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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

Cur American system of education is often regarded as the backbone of our democratic society. Throughout our history we have witnessed the adaptation of new educational concepts to better prepare our people for a changing and complex world.

Up to the first half of the nineteenth century our schools were guided by a classical concept of the curriculum. The free school movement, coupled with the developing frontier and increased democratic consciousness, signaled the end of conventional educational methods. The laboratory method slowly but surely evolved, along with a more thorough approach in the curriculum to realistic problems. Within a relatively brief neriod of time our public schools were stressing the importance of real problens, situations or interests as the basis for learning. The formal classroom approach, as a total instructional philosophy and practice, was soon modified by the adaptation of reallife experiences and the practical application of subject matter.

If such changes were being noted in the curriculum development of our public schools, complimentary ideas and concepts of teacher training likewise were being advanced. One of these was the introduction and development of the conference.

While it is logical that our early educators may have utilized some of the principles and methodology of the present day conference, the first organized professional activity for teachers, under the name of a workshop, was conducted at Ohio State University in 1936 (18:251).

This workshop brought about such marked changes in the ideas and practices of the participants that a meeting of a second group of 126 teachers was held the following year on tine campus of Sarah Lawrence College in Bronxville, New York (17:251).

This second workshop was noteworthy for a second development. City school systems began the practice of sending delegates of keyteachers to spend their time planning and preparing for some change in the educational program of their schools. A further important development was the opportunity for everyone to live and dine on the campus, and the many provisions for group participation in the solving of problens of matual interest (17:251).

In 1940 the Commission on Teacher Education of the American Council on Education instituted a workshop program for the college and university faculty members associated with their study of teacher education. By the summer of $1940, I_{t}$ colleges and universities had participated actively in the experinental program, "that workshons might well take their place as a normal part of the graduate programs of our leading universities" (17:251).

Vickery (36:29\%) observed that the "workshop way of learning" was fairly well defined by 1942. He states further:

But there was often a great difference between what workshops were supposed to do and what intergroup education workshops did in 1942. In trying to translate principles into practice leaders sometimes furnbled, for they had not been trained in the skills workshop leadership requires.

It may be thus observed, by these references to several of the first organized workshops for teachers that, "they represented concern for the needs of teachers and a willingness to use whatever combination
of experiences and methods contributing to the personal and professional growth of the teacher" (17:251). However, much needed to be learned about workshop mechanics and techniques. Most of the consequent literature was, and still is, devoted to satisfying this need.

Origin of the Study

The development of the conference program at Michigan State University has been prominently characterized by its quantitative growth. The existence of such a remarkable facility as the Kellogg Center for Continuing Education has given great support to the establishment of Michigan State University as a leading educational conference center. Such a situation seemed to offer considerable opportunities for research in the conference area.

This investigation received its main impetus from the need for a research program relative to the activities of the Department of Special Courses and Conferences of the Continuing Education Service. Its quantitative growth assured, it was increasingly apparent that some measurement and evaluation of the qualitative factors of the conference program was imperative. The intriguing question of why people attend conferences had been of increasing interest and concern to the department. It was felt necessary to answer this question in order to proceed with an evaluation of the effectiveness of the conference program in satisfying the needs and problems of conference participants as well as providing the best possible learning experiences. It was further recognized that such a research procedure might well contribute to the information and understanding relative to the role of the conference as an educational learning procedure.

After discussing the proposed research study with the staff and dean of the Continuing Education Service the writer decided to investigate the piausibility of the area of conference goals as a worthy subject for a doctoral dissertation. Interviews were held with staff members of the Michigan State Department of Public Instruction who had participated extensively in conference planning and operation. The writer also met with the Committee on Conferences of the Cuririculum Planning Committee sponsored by the Michigan State Department of Public Instruction. Both sources were enthusiastic over the merit and educational implications of the research, and offered support and assistance to the study.

Upon reporting the conclusions of the preliminary investigations to the head of the Department of Special Courses and Conferences and the dean of the Continuing Education Service, a financial grant applicable to the study was approved. The writer then proceeded to develop plans for two pilot studies as a first step in determining the merit of a full investigation into the area of conference goals.

## Statement of the Problem

The educational conference has now achieved a prominent position among the many educational opportunities for our citizens. Whether the problem area of concern is the development of policies and procedures, problem identification, program development, clarifying issues or the dissemination of information, a conference will usually be considered as a possible means of achieving the objectives and goals that have been identified for solution. Whether the conference is the most effective means of attaining the aforementioned purposes is the question at hand.

Experience and research are continually adding to the resevoir of relevant knowledge as to the learning process. It was such research, and the consequent emphasis upon the practical application of knowledge, that advanced new ideas and methods of instruction, one of which was the conference. The resultant develonment of procedures and techniques related to conference operation, while logical and needed, has lacked research that would tend to focus attention from such techniques per se to their utility and worth to a conference as a total learning situation. The problem, as related to this investigation, was thus to tie tozether the several facets that make up the components of conference methodology, planning and organization, and present research that would entail the complete scope of the conference as a procedure for the achievement of educational objectives and purposes.

Specifically, the study was concerned with: (1) an analysis of the conference goals of teachers who attended a selected group of educational conferences at Michigan State University and the conference goals of teachers who were members of the teacher organization sponsoring the conference, but who were unable to attend; (2) a comparison of the expressed goals of the membership with the planning committee expression of the goals of the membership; (3) an examination of possible relationships between the conference goals and certain biographical characteristics of both participants and non-participants; (4) an examination of the planning and administrative procedures of the planning committees; (5) the evaluation of the respective conferences in terms of the ways in which individual conference goals were met as a result of attending the conference.

Need for the study. The popularization of the conference has created numerous problems for public school administrators and teachers alike. The number of conferences of professional interest to teachers is increasing with startling rapidity. For school administrators, conference attendance by the teaching staff, represents a considerable investment in time and expense with attendant problems of budget allotments for conference expenses; the development of policies regarding in-service education; the status of conference attendance in such policies and the serious shortage of qualified substitute teachers to replace those teachers attending a conference. These matters have caused many administrators to question not only the value of conferences, but to seek ways and means of either reducing the number of conferences through group action or restricting the number of conferences to be attended by staff members by control at the local level.

An example of such opoosition is present within the Michigan Association of School Administrators. The adoption of the Cushman Committee Report by this organization constitutes a grave situation facing teacher organizations snonsoring conferences and those conference programs of colleges of education of institutions of higher learning and the Michigan State Department of Public Instruction. With this situation existing in Michigan, it is timely and logical that research be conducted to exanine and evaluate a selected group of educational conferences for teachers.

A survey of the literature reveals little in the way of published research on conferences. However, three recently completed doctoral dissertations discussed topics related to the conference field.

Robert G. Van Duyn (50) reported on 808 conferences, workshops, and conventions held for school administrators in Michigan during the threeyear period from October 1, 1947 through September 30, 1950. Arnold R. Meier (99) reported on the use of the Work-Group Conference Method in testing a group of teachers and staff members of the Citizen Education Study in Detroit. James R. Mitchell (106) identified those characteristics of the workshop which distinguish it from other in-service education procedures.

This lack of research in the conference field does not mean that there is little in the literature about conferences. However, such literature is concerned with suggestions for workshop mechanics, group processes, and other methodology. As heretofore mentioned, the paucity of research related to the areas of goals, planning and the conference methods makes this study useful and desirable.

Besides the need for more research, there appears a further need for a particular kind of conference information. This need is aptly expressed by Parsey (27:1) who concludes:

Although much has been learned about conference effectiveness, many conferences are still planned around inappropriate problems, directed towards improbable goals, and conducted in unsatisfactory ways.

Beem and Savage (33:1) support this position by stating, "our basic problem is concerned with the degree to which these conferences attain their purposes." Carskadon (86:2) also asks:

How do we make sure that our conference programs really met the immediate realistic concerns, the questions in the minds of many people they seek to reach, both attendees and nonattendees.

The literature is replete with emphases upon the need for the identification of objectives and goals of a conference based in turn on the needs and interests of the group. The Issue Committee of Adult Leadership ( $38: 7$ ) summarized this viewpoint by stating:

The over-all goals must inclucie versistent purposes important to each individual taking part in it. Furthermore he must recognize that his purposes are present in the larger common purposes of the meeting.

Haiman (41:81) concurs by saying, "the individual is in the group because he seeks to satisfy some specific needs, and he feels that being a part of this group may help him fulfil those needs."

While authorities nay agree that it is desirable for conferences to be thus conceived, research is needed to not only reveal the existence and nature of conference goals, but to explore the possible relationships between these goals and the ways in which the conference satisfied the goals.

Referring to the Van Duyn Studj, Beem and Savage (33:2) state that, little evidence was found that the meetings for school administrators were designed to give help in solving problems. Nor were the planning, organization, and reporting of the meetings calculated to take advantage of known procedures to facilitate learning. Thus, there is a need for a study that would concern itself to the make-up, selection, and training of the planning committee itself together with an analysis of planning procedures. As Beem and Savage (33:1) pose the question: "In the light of what is known about the learning process, how well do educators in their own meetings design programs to result in real in-service growth?"

Additional support to the need for the study comes from the Michigan State Department of Public Instruction. Records show (107) that in 1948, a sub-committee on Evaluation and Improvement of Conferences of the Curriculum Planning Committee of the Michigan State Department of Public Instruction was appointed to develop a policy report on coriferences and workshops. Such a report was approved by the Curriculum Planning Committee on June 6, 1950. The motivation for this procedure was a report in 1948 of the Committee on Professional Meetings of the Michigan Association of School Administrators under the chairmanship of Superintendent C. W. Bemer of Muskegon. The committce was formed because of growing opposition within the Michigan Association of School Administrators to conference attendance by teachers.

In 1952, the Committee on Professional Meetings issued a report on the findings of a study of In-Service Training Policies in Michigan conducted in 1951 by Superintendent Benton Yates of Battle Creek Lakeview.

In 1954, the Committee on State-Wide Meetings, formerly known as the Committee on Professional Meetings, issued a report to the Michigan Association of School Administrators recomnending adoption of a series of six proposals relative to the conference problem. As a result of this action an ad hoc comittee on Evaluation and Improvenent of Conferences of the Curriculum Planning Committee was appointed by Clair L. Taylor, Superintendent of the Michigan State Department of Public Instruction, to study conferences and workshops for teachers held in Michigan and subinit a comprehensive set of recommendations to the Curriculum Planning Committee and Superintendent Taylor.

The wriver was invited to meet with this committee and participate in the meetings. At the January 14, 1955 meetins of the connittee, held at the Union Building, Michigan State University, the writer presented an outline of this investigation. The cominitlee expressed great interest in the goals and conference planning, adiunistrative and evaluation approach of this study as representing areas oi much concern to the comittee and the Michigan State Department of Public Instruction.

The froblem of the conference method of learning is inportant when viewed from another vantare point. Many public school systens are interested in developirg a professional prograin of in-service education to help solve educational problems of local interest. MacDonald (49:19) states that in order to carry on a successful in-service program in a school syster, definite written policies are essential. Such policy statements should recognize the place of state and rekional conferences in the in-service education program and provide controls to the end that conferences serve the local in-service program. MacDonald stated that the East Lansing, Michigan schools use conference and workshop procedures constantly in working together at the local level. Heywood (34:1) affirms the point by his conclusion that most school adninistrators today are encouragirg and initiating in-service training prograns for their teaching staffs. Heywood states further that a variety of means is employed in school systems to provide in-service training for teachers to include conferences and workshops.

In the opinion of the Michigan State Derartment of Public Instruction (50:1):

Those concerned with the development of personnel policies will be guided best, if they remember that the purpose, and
therefore the basis of validation of all personnel policies is the protection, growth, and development of children and adults.

With this increasing interest in the utilization of conferences in the promotion of local program improvement by our public school systems this study is of particular utility and worth.

## Basic Assumptions

In undertaking this investigation, the writer made six basic assumptions.
// First, that conferences, to be educationally functional and desirable, must be planned according to nrinciples and procedures that are educationally sound and effective.

Second, the conference, as a method of learning, should be designed, planned, and stmictured to serve the needs and interests of the people directly concerned.

Third, the individual teacher attends a conference motivated by certain professional goals for which he sceks satisfaction.
// Fourth, the conference as a whole should be evaluated in terms of participant goal satisfaction as well as the evaluation of individual features, methods or techniques included in the conference design.

Fifth, the planning committee must appropriately represent the membership and be oriented to the various educational procedures that are applicable to the conference method of learning in order to effectively plan an educational conference for teachers.

Sixth, that the membership of the five teacher organizations represented the parent population of public school teachers in Michigan,
and that they could be combined into one total sample of teachers for the purposes of this investigation.

## Scope of the Study

The tabulation of the data revealed an unanticipated situation regarding no-responses. However, a careful analysis of the no-response problem did not present conclusions which might invalidate the particuler data concerned. The questions in Section I of the participant and nonparticipant questionnaires relating to biogrephical information, contained few no-responses with the excention of those guestions concerned with conference attendance. In Section II, the open-ended question, "what planning did you do," was answered by 21 per cent of the participants and 12 per cent of the non-participants. In this case, the no-responses would indicate that the individual did not engage in any planning activity before attending the conference.

Section III, Conference Goals, which contained questions on individual goals, influences, and conference methods, presented data considered to be the heart of this study. It was, however, deemed necessary to word each question in such a manner so as to insure a sincere, relevant, and pertinent response. Consequently, it was not advisable or proper to insist that the respondent answer each question completely if by so doing he may reply solely to fulfil such a request. It was considered most important to obtain only those expressions reflecting the conscious and sincere needs and attitudes of each individual. While it may not be possible to conclude that the resultant no-responses could be attributed solely to lack of relevancy in the
individual decision process, it was the decision of the writer to report the data in terms of responses and discuss the no-responses whenever considered pertinent and appropriate.

## Limitations of the Study

The educational organizations which participated in this study represented public school teachers from the state of Michigan. The secondary, junior high, and elementary areas were represented although no attempt was made to obtain representative distribution.

The five conferences meet annually at the Kellogg Center for Continuing Education at Michigan State University. No recocnition was Eiven to conference grouns meeting at various camp sites such as the St. Mary's Lake, Clear Lake, Higgins Lake, and Haven Hill camps. Some teacher organizations, in planning a meeting, prefer a camo site setting which provides for infornality, together with a wide variety of recreational possibilities such as camping, hiking and swimmine. The general natiure of this tye of facility seems to lend itself also to unstructured meetings, and a casual, informal and relaxed atmosphere. To include teacher groups meeting outsile Kellog Center may have resulted in the introduction of questionable environmental variables into the data. Furthermore, the conferences meeting at Aichigan State University follow a prescribed organizational procedure which requires the assumption, to some degree, of planning, operational and administrative responsibilities by Michigan State University faculty and staff personnel. Groups meeting at camp sites, and at many institutions of higher learning in Michigan, usually assume full responsibility for the planning, organization and operation of the conference.

## Definition of Terms

Conference - is a planned program of meetincs of a group of people interested in a connon problein or set of problems designed for the purpose of improving instmaction by professional contacts, discussion of mutual problems, and explanations of work being done in various fields of instruction. The procram format usually includes a keynote speaker, group discussions on the theme or selected problems of concern to the group, and other methodolopy applicable to the goals and purposes of the conference. The length of a conference varies from one to three days. Attendarice is usually onen to anyone with legitimate interest in the conference.

Workshop - an educational procedure in which participants work to identify and solve problems of common concern or cooperatively undertake the development of plans, policies, procedures, and materials utilizing resource materials and resource persons for guidance and stimulation. Perticinants are generally admitted on the basis of predetermined standards. The duration of a workshop is usually from several days to one month.

Convention - a meeting of delegates and/or members of an orcranization concerned prinarily with information, decisions, policies, relations, and activities of the organization. Control of the program and policies is usually exercised by officers or authorized representatives of the organization. Attendance is usually confined to delegates or members and specially invited guests.

Teachers - as used in this study refer to those public school teachers who attended the conferences participating in this study, and
those of the membership of each organization who were invited to attend but were unable to do so.

Participants - those teachers who attended one of the five conferences.

Non-participants - those teachers who were members of the educational organizations sponsoring the conference but who were unable to attend the conference.

Planning Committee - those members of the sponsoring organization who, along with appropriate Michigan State University representatives, were responsible for planning the conference.
Organization of the Stuiy

The thesis is divided into six chapters.
Chanter I, Introduction, includes the origin of the study, basic assumptions, scope of the study, limitations of the study, definition of terms, and plan of organization.

Chapter II presents a review of the development, philosophy, structure and operation of the conference program at Michigan State University.

Chapter III is concerned with a review of the literature which includes the historical background of conferences, conference group discussions, conference planning, conference evaluation and the related research that is pertinent to this investigation.

Chapter IV includes the methods and procedures used in carrying out the stidy including a description of the pilot studies, preparation
of the messuring instruments, selection of participating conferences, procedure for the collection of data, and the tabulation of the data. Chapter V presents the analysis of the data. Discussed is the analysis and comparison of the biographical characteristics of the conference participants and non-participants, an analysis and comparison of the conference goals of the participants and non-narticinants, the relationshif; of conference foals to selected biorrantical characteristics, the analysis of over-all conference goals, ard an analysis of certain conference influences. Also presented is a discussion concerning accefted principles of effective conference plaming both by the planning committees and the individual narticipant, a summary of planning committce administrative procedures, and an analysis of the data related to conference evaluation.

Chapter VI incluaes the sumary, conclusions and implications fcr further $\mathrm{r} \in$ search.

## CHiPTER II



It was mentioned in Chanter I that this study was concerned with conferences meeting at the Kellogg Center for Continuing Education, Michigan State University. It would seem advisable to present a brief overview of the conference prosran at Michizan State University in order to picture the framework under which the conferences participating in the study were planned and administered. Such a discussion might also set the stage for the analysis of the data to follow.

The Continuing Education Service was created by the State Board of Agriculture in 1943 , to facilitate and coordinate certain programs of Michizan State College, both on and off-campus, not directly performed by the Cooperative Extension Service. The basic philnsophy of the conference program, like that of the Continuing Education Service, was a manifestation of the Land-Grant philosophy of making available the total resources of the college to the reonle of the state of Michigan. In seven years this progra: has grown in the number of citizens served, and in the quality of edrcational experience (104:1).

The conference program of the Continuing Education Service was first administered by the Departnent of Syecial Courses and Conference:. This derartment was also responsible for the operation of all on-canpus programs of the Continuing Edncation Service, and aided in the developnent of conference programs of canpus schools and departments.

During the initial year of operation, 1950-1951, 157 conferences were held. In the fiscal year, 1952-1953, the Department of Special

Courses and Conferences assisted in planning and facilitating 245 conferences involving 33,994 participants. The number of conferences held at Michigan State College durine 1953-1054 increased to 325 in which 45,731 people participated. In 1954-1955, 57,500 people registered for 3ol conferences. It is expected that another significant increase will be noted in both the number of conferences held and the attendance at conferences during 1955-105.

The function of the Department of Special Courses and Conferences was two-fold. First, it cooperated actively in program planning and design with representetion of the sponsoring group and the related carnus instructional denartments. Second, it served as a coordinating agency to procure needed facilitating services and personnel. The department was also responsible for the operation of special courses and workshons planned by carmus departments and other departinents of the Continuing Education Service.

The resnonsibility for the actual planning, organization and conduct of conferences at Michigan State College was assigned to a staff of conference coordinators, each of which for the most part, served a specified subject matter area. After the initial planing With the planniñ committee the coorainator served as the liaison between the group involved and the college. Besides lending his experience and skills in conference planning the coordinator thus became intimately involved in the creation of desirable public relations with the conference group which would reflect to the best interests of Michigan State College.

As the conference program procressed, the amount of facilitating services increased requiring additional staff and constant improvements
in orcanizational structure. Too, as sikills and services achieved greater competencies and naturity, new technjous and ideas were constantly emerging to more efficiently fulfil assumed revinuiuiliuicio Scon, the Denartment of Snecial Courses and Conferences was ablc to offor cormlete facilitating serviess for conferences to include reeistration, mailing and mineographing, facility arrangement, secretarial assistance, program printing, budecting, Audio-visual arrangements, and a host of superviscry and other services of significant import to efficient and comilete conference operation.

The total concept of the confererce program required the assistance and cooperation of the staff and services of the Kellogg Center. The adninistrative structre of the Contiruing Diucation Service did not provide for jurisdiction over either the physical operation of the Kelloge Center or the housing and dining functions serving conference guests. This division of authority required careful and constant liaison between the Kellogg Center staff and tre Continuing Education Service conference coordinators, sharing the common philosophy of serving the conference group in the best manner possible. Weekly staff meetings were arranged to provide and exchance information pertaining not only to conforence plans but in the development of policies of mutual interest affecting the conference program as well as the $K \leqslant l l o g g ~ C e n t e r ~ o p e r a t i o n . ~$

The year 1951 witnessed the completion of the W. K. Kellogg Center for Continuing Education. This unique facility was the first building of its kind in the United States and was designed to provide housing, meeting and banguet facilities for the Continuing Education Service conference program. Funds for the construction of the building
were donated largely by the W. K. Kellogg Foundation together with a grant from the Anerican Hotel Association to aid in the establishment of the Division of Hotel, Restaurant and Institutional Management.

On November 12, 1955, an extensive remodeling program was completed along with significant physical additions to the Kelloge Center building financed through an additional grant from the W. K. Kellogg Foundation. These improvenents added much needed meeting and dining roon space as well as a larger conference registration area to rrovide better facilities for conference grouns. The growth of the conference program had often made it necessary wo reguest the use of other on-campus meeting and dining space with attendant problems of parking, scparation from nousing accommodations and arrangement inequities. It was necessary, diring the sumer months, to utilize resicience hall facilities. Mary eroups, however, were too large to be accommodated at the Kellogg Center, while others preferred a residerce hall setting often for reasons of economy and infommality.

On September 1, 1955, a reorganization of the Continuing Educavion Service was affected which dissolved the Department of Special Courses and Conferences and formed in its place a program of University Conferences. The oijective of the reorganization, as it affected University Conferences, was for each college, and the related instructional denartment within the college, to assume wider responsibilities in sponsoring ard planning conferences. A staff position in the office of the dean of each college was created to serve as the key person for the development and coordination of continuing education programs in that college and to secure planning and program personnel to represent
appropriate departments in the performance of planning and program functions.

It may thus be understood that the conference program at Michigan State University has been an undertaking of considerable educational significance. As Vice-President Varner (10/4:1) stated:

Not content with teaching and research on the campus, this university has accepted as an iniegral part of its educational responsibility the development of crograns that service the needs of the people wherever they might be.

Since its incention it has been the objective of university conference programs to emphasize the role of the conference as providing the finest possible learning experiences. It is assumed that the main purpose of the people attending a conference is to learn, and that it is the responsioiliむy of Michigan State University, as a public institution, in cooperation with the conference group, to provide the resources of our university to insure the most logical learning opportunities possible in line with the needs, interests and goals of the group being served.

With this overview of the development, philosophy, purposes and structure of the conference program, the reader may be able to better analyze and interpret the succeeding portions of this study.

## CHAPTEA III

## RTVIE.N OF THE LITERATURE

In Chapter I it was pointed out that most of the published literature on conferences was concerned with conference techniques and methodology. Information nertaining to conference mechanics, group processes, planning procedurcs and other components of the methodolozy has usually appeared in totality within a report, summary, or synopsis of a particular conference.

In presenting the review of the literature the writer has selected references which illustraite, for the most part, philosophy and principles that may be helpful in servine as guideposts for decisions. Such references, in the opinion of the writcr, are renresentative of the available literature on conferences and are presented in four parts: Historical Background of Conferences, Conference Group Discussions, Conference Planning, and Evaluation.

## Historical Background of Conferences

It has been mentioned in Chapter I that a conference for teachers, as an organized activity, found its origin at Ohio State University in 1936 where 35 teachers of science and mathematics discussed curriculum problems of mutual interest. This meeting was followed by a second workshop of 12.6 teachers from a wider range of subject fields at Sarah Lawrence College, Bronxville, New York in 1937 (17:251).

Additional impetus and cognizance to the growing use of workshops was given by the General Education Board which set up four workshops in

1938 and by the Commission on Teacher Education of the American Council on Education for the Cooperative Study on Teacher Education in 1940 (17:252). This cooperative study was an experimental program that workshops might well be recognized as a normal part of the graduate programs of our universities and colleges.

Barr (52:613), in stating his impressions of the educational implications underlying the adaptability of workshops to both formal classwork and field servicos, made the observation in 1941:

There are ordinarily some basic needs, principles, and conditions underlying the discovery and application of new techniques and devices. For some time college instruction, including work in professional education, has been under attack as formal and academic and divorced from practice.

Vickery (36:293) indicated that there were often wide differences between the objectives of workshops at this time and what was actually accomplished. He states, however, that the workshop was fairly well defined by 1942.

In 1943 the Department of Education of Kentucky, in cooperation with the Council on Public Higher Education, the University of Kentuchy, the state teacher's colleges, the private colleges, and city and county superintendents, set up an emergency program for the education of teachers in service. An important part of this progran was the educational workshop (53:7). Barr, Burton, and Brueckner (14:713) relate this observation:

A visitor who had never seen a workshop in operation visited the curriculum workshop at the University of Maine during the summer of 1944 composed of 172 Maine teachers, supervisors, superintendents, and normal school staff members scattered at long
tables, working as individuals or in groups....Grouns broke un, reformed, changed membership; the scene was one of movenent, bustle, freedom.

Anderson and Long (54:209) describe a further apolication of the conference. Through a cooperative plan shared in by the Oregon State System of Higher Education and the Portland, Oregon Prblic Schools, tine teachers of Portland in 194山, attended smmer workshons in the school environment in which they worked, learned and solved their problems by on the spot analysis.

Haskew and Smith (55:205) provide an account of the notable and widely quoted Atlanta, Georgia workshops which represented one of the first references to the importance of conference planning. These workshops, in the spring of 1914 and October of 1945, were studies of planning contrast. The first workshop was planned by a steering committee of teachers and administrators during the conduct of the workshop. From this experience the October workshop was planned by teachers alone through committee pre-planning. This second workshop, attended by 300 teachers, concluded in its evaluation, that although teachers can plan and will plan to meet their own problems, the success of planning "ergenders more pianning by more people."

This brief overview of some of the early workshops for teachers presents much encouragement for the literature on conference methodolozy that followed. The several references to actual workshops conducted during the first 10 years of the history of workshops for teachers further illustrate a relatively successful attempt to experinent with new techniques which Kelley (26:Foreward IX) describes as "a more effective method of putting into practice the truths that have become
$\square$
known about how poople learn...and to enable grouns of neople to learn from each other and help each other toward the solution of comnon problems."

## Conference Group Discussions

In presenting this section on Conference Group Liscussions the writer has selected simificant references relating to pertinent operational oriacinles and philosophy. For information concerning the mriad of organizational details related to groun discussions and the several tries of group procensec, the reader is invited to refor to the bibiiography.

The development of a literature of methodology came as a result of the experimental ideas of interested educators who were seekine more effective ways of achieving certain educational objectives. The workshops that fioneered this new learning environent were also symbolic of the growing accertance of democratic procedures. Anderson (17:253) sumnarizes a popular and ropresentative ofinion when he states:

Those of us who have participated in workshops for several years do not consider thein nanaceas for all aspects of professional education...It (a workshop) nrovides a unique opportunity to learn to use denocratic group processes in attacking practical problems.

The heart of the conference and the core of the workshop is the group discussion. Dickerman (34:21) states, "the basic unit of production in the work conference is the small work group." There are many references in the literature to the advantages and benefits of this technique. Typical of the conment is the following related by Simmons and Senkewitz (2:4) who list the benefits from group discussions as follows:

1. Participation
2. Pooling experiences
3. Covers wide areas of problems
4. Self-conifidence
5. Respect for denocratic processes
6. Developes logical thinking habits
7. Leadcrship training
8. Promote the individuals "sonse" of sharing.

Emine ard Fordycc (10:712) list these advantaecs for the wor!shop:

1. It is conceived with the felt needs of participants.
2. The naridcimants devclop indivirually, socially, emotionally, as well as protessionally.
3. It proviaes an opportunity for participants to make a conctructive contribution on the educational frontier.

1t. It provides a means of supplying more practical assistance to field workers.
5. It provides easir access to conpetent assistance.

万. It provides inäivicuul attacks mor educational :rollens.
7. It furnishes a ilimuletion to coninued rrofessional gro.. ini in scrvice.
3. Tie materials and ideas devoloped in morkshops are usefill in school situations.

The two foregoing lists of advanteges indicate the two basic emphases to which the denefits of the workshop seem to be directed:
(1) the workshop ais a Learning situation to be evaluated on its colucational achievements, and (2) as a learning situation to be evaluated in
terms of the intrinsic human values benefiting both the groun and the individual through individuation and socialization. Hall and ifugent (43:22) describe this dualion as follows:

The particinant is a nerson with ioeas, howledge, desires, and the right of participation. This rigit, however, impies a conconitant responsibility, which obligates the participant to rerognize the eroup exoll as his own. He then is able to share with the groun his personality, ideas, knorledge, and dosires in a way constructively offective in accomplishing group objectives.

This vic: is emmessen and assund in countless wave in the literature. Zeleny (31) exresses the opirion that the only method of training eroun laders is throng the experience of the group rorocess. Hall and Musent (143:18) state three srocific and reasureable bencfits to the particinent throneh the mecliun of the group mocess:

1. The onportunity for 1 rowth anci leadership is implicit in the eroup rocess.
2. The exchan $n^{c}$ c of information is one of the greatest benefits of group discussion.
3. The feeling of belonging, of veing a nember, or participant, in a combion endervor is one of the most gratifying exneriences a man car! have.

Hell and Nugent (43:6) point out three rather comon practices which are a detriment to the effectiveness and reputation of group meetings:

1. Laissez-faire - the group has no direction, no information and no responsibility.
2. Controlled group meeting - the group has little or nothing to say about the decisions which are made, the policies which are formulated, or the plans which are constructed.
3. The Manipulative process - the leader goes into the group with his ow solutions and decisions already
$\qquad$
formulated. Through manifulation of discussion he proceeds to "lead" the group to his decisions.

Bradford and French ( $63: 70$ ) point out that "the mettod of Eroup discussion is an extremely complex cducitional process." Jenkins (ó2:60) outlines the following principles:

If it is to be an offective producins unit, a discussion Group must zive attention to its mechanics of oneration. Awareness of its direction and goal, its rate of rogress, present location or its nsth, use of the members potential ability and its ability to impore itself arc important factors which lead to increased efficienc-

There are ctier operational principles that abound in the literature several of wich will be mentioned hore. Kelley (25:12) suegests that cach groun shovid be made up of not less than 10 or more than 15 members, who have siniler interests and who want to work on similar problems. Eckellberry (6:2?) coments that groups must begin their discussion at a point clearly defined and sufficiently advanced. Haiman (41:33) points out, that one camot understand the cynamics of a small groun without understandino the poychology of ircividuals. The success of a group discussion is largely dependent upon the leadership of the discussion leacker. Such leadership is not only based on knowledee of the subject matter area but his familiarity with principles of interaction, role plaring, ard other facets of group processes.

A considerable proportion of the literature on group prosesses is made up of philcsophy, concepts and objectives particularly the values and benefits the individual teacher should exnect to receive from participation in a well conductsid group discussion. Barnard (22:273) illustrates this point by stating:

Workshops (groups) are places where there is an interaction which challenges cach particirant to develop a working philcsophy of education. He is challenged to test the validity of his beliefs in relation to the cultural setting in which the educational program is conceived and in relation to what is known about the nature of tine learning process.... If we accent chanced behavior as a ricsirable objective, the learnine by doing feature of the workshop has possibilities. Workshons are places where the spirit of inquiry, research, critical thinking, and creative endeavor are natured.

Benne, Bradfora, Brownell, and Hallenbeck (71:41) discuss certain values which seem to resilt whon peonle participate actively.

Sheats, Jayne, and Spence (24:Sl) made this obsorvation:

Active peorle in various agencies, and organizations, grop workers, and educators have become increasingly convinced thet workshops and work conterences, in which the erphasis is on group thinkin' and decision by all participants, on problens defined by particinants, offer distinct advantages over informationgivine sessions in which conference leadership assumes responsibility for defining the nroblems considered and for getting "experts" in to give "trie answers" to confercnce members.

Included in conference methodology are several rclatively new techniques each of which is useful accordins to its particular ability to meet a certain need or objective. These include such procedures as psychodrama, socio-drana, problem census, panels, and Phillips 66. Psychodrama and socio-cirama, as devised by Foreno (100), are quite involved encompassing a wide latitude of operational principles. Thelen (61:191) smanarized a viluable critique in the use of techniques as follows:

A technique is in itself neither good nor bad, but it can be used effectivily, with little consequence, or disastrously. Role playing out of place can be gruesome; buzz grouping when there is nothing to talk about can be downright emberrassing; discussion by a panel of "experts" who have had no experience relevant to the needs of the group is simply maddening; problem censuses before
people fecl able to formulate their "real problens" stick them with cinals they will reject lator... The critical technical questions are:

1. What is the main thing this technique should accomplish?
2. Under what conditions does it work that way?
3. What are the other thinge it does, too, that may not be desirable?
4. What part of the technicue is "given" and wat things about it are mociified in accordance with each rerticular situation?

Confercnce Planning

More and more emphasis is being placed upon the planning aspect of conferences. Much of the criticisin of conferences stems from evidences of ineffective planning procedures. Hall and Nugent (43:22) state the problem in this way:

Preparation for metinc;s has recsived much attention, and richtly so. Upon this founcation, to a large extent, is built the initial attitude of those who will attend the meeting, as well as the ultimate effectiveness of the mecting.

While it is not alware possible to devclop a chronolofical sequence of plaming rocedares authorities are onerally agrecd that the detemination of coals is the first ster. Raven (21:318) points out that:

In a workshop, as in any corcerted group enceavor, it is important that those involved be aware of fairly specific group goals. It is the formulation of these goals wiich is then the first task of the planning committee.

Anderson (17:255) illustrates the importance of individual goals by stating:

Each workshopper contimuously evaluates his progress in terms of the purposc for which he came or the goals he sets for himself in the workshop.... The purposes of a workshon should be in keeping with the expressed needs of the participants rathor than on what soneone says they should have.

Parsey (27:2) reaffirms this viewpoint by suz̃estino: "The planning committee might take as its firct task the setting up of objectives for the conterence."

Cooperative planning is another imortant principle for cffective conference planning. The Issus Comaittee of Ad:alt Education (40:24) suggests, "one way to imrove the quality of neetings is to involve all concerned." Anderson (17:255) poinis out that careful pro-planning should be done in adyence with reference to those w'lo will particinate. Hall and Nugent (43:5) state: "The meeting....is, or should be, a process by which all individuals are given ample opportunity to share their specialized preparation, experience, and individual ideas in planning."

Luke, Anderson, Bockhard, and Smith (82:13) concur by sayins, "dielegates (participants) are likely to work harder and to assume more responsibility for the aims of a conference if they have a part in planninध it." Parsey (27:2) sugeests a preliminary survey to identify:

1. Specific problems witnin the general area to be considered at the conferonce.
2. The major needs, interests, the background, and other characceristics of potential participants.
3. Leaders, resource perscns, and cther consultants.
4. Materials and other resources.

Parsey states further that this preliminary survey, plus the experience
and insights of the planning committee, are the basic ingredients of the conference plan. It is Parsej's opinion that if the outlining of objectives, content, experiences, and procedures has been adequate there should be relatively little difficulty in setting up a tentative conference schedule and making proliminary decisions regarding speakers, consultants and discussion leaders.

Related to the principle of cooperative planning is the need for pre-conference orientation of the prospective conference participant. Jack (13:13) comentis, "every one of the participants should be advised, well in advance, regardine the purpose of the program, how they are to participate, the tinc element, the type of audience, and other pertinent information." Benne and Demorest (31:9) describes three types of conference attendecs as the tourists, expatriates, and the learners. A conference planning committee should begir to wor' against "tourist" reaction by early pre-conference communjetions. Anderson, Davis, Johnson, and Sjillars (35:23) also mention the trend towari pre-conference corresnondence between the planning comaittee and potential participants to give planners "essential information about the people who are going to come to the meetings..."

Carskadon (36:3) emphasizes the need for pre-conference commications with the entire group by stating:
ive can sce that our thinking, planning, and acting must begin lons before the conference gets under way; must consider the needs of all the people it concerns, not just those who can atterd

Carskadon further suggests the need to send materials to all concerned in advance for roading and study. Coffey and Golden (33:18) suggest a
pamphlet be sent all prospective participants outlininc coals, procedures, prohlem areas, organization, and a description of the leorning process.

The significance of facilities in planning a conference is emphasized by Luke, Anderson, Beckhard, and Smith (32:15). Anderson (17:257) presents a rerresertative summery of suegestions:
> 1. Confortaile and even beautiful surroundiness, if possible away from distracting influencos.
> 2. Jinine and housing arrangements in the conforence conter.
> 3. Roons and laboratories for small groups, general sessions, disrlay....recreation.
> 4. Morable Drniture for various kinds of informal grolle work.
> 5. A quiet place to studr, think, and write.
> 6. Adequate equinent and sumbies.
> 7. Commnity resources.

Jack (13:14) suciests nother ficet of conference rlannine certain to increase in prominence in the literatiare on conferences by stating, "the benefits a teacher derives frem a convention in the finel analysis depend upon what that teacher carries to a convention." Hall and Nugent ( $43: 29$ ) lists five speciric resnonsibilities of the participant in relation to pre-necting dutics.

Benne and Demorest (31:10) list trree suecestions for preconforerce plamine by participants:

1. Choose grcups carefully - assess your own needs.
$\therefore$ Consult staff on possible home problem areas.
2. Clarify conference sulutions to thinse areas before applying back home.

Directed to the sclection and make-up of the planning comrittee is a comment b, Tinelon ( $01: 303$ ) wric writes, "probably the best method of selection of momors remens unon the speciric fanctinas of the planninz comittee." Like, Andersen, Beckhard, and Smitin (32:15) sacest three criteria for sclecting the planning committee.

The foresoine references to conferne ploming aro ropresentative of the literature concerned with the major concerns of conference planning. The biblingrariny contains extensive resources nertainina to the mand details and erenern aspects of this arca.
Conterence ivaluation

The arca of conferener evoluation is geverally consicered to be an cescntiel part of the conference organizational process (23:237). As such, conference planers should reongnize that the purnase and method of evaluating an educational meeting are directly related to the pumpose and method of the meetine itself ( $43: 25$ ). Knomles (il:39) states:

Program evaluation mens deteraning how successfally the proram has acomplished its objectives. Draldetion is a process that oreins rith objectives and ends rith onjectives...it is an integral part of the fiaming process and should b- built right into it.

The Issue Cormittee of Adult Lcadership substantiates this roint by stating, "it is inortant that the murposes of the mecting and the collection of data about its succoss bo planned toettrer."

Lippitt and Schmidt (72:11) list four evaluation tools but outins, "nembens mait feel sure something rill hannen as a rebult of their effort to comply with evaluation reanests." Kolley (25: 77-35) surgests three areas of evaluation; sclf-evalıation, small-roup evaluation, ard total-group evaluation. Rine (20:27B) points oat the mocessity of pre-plarriag evaluation as rasic to the over-all conference plan.

Hall and Nucent (43:25-27) outline reconsibilitics of an evaluation committee wich they oucest be created by the rlannine committee as an integral part of the confrrence work plan.

The foregoine references comnrise the most relevant contributions in the fiela or conference evaluation. The general puriose of these reforences was to acquaint the reader ath princirles and techniques adaptable to the needs or nurposes to be served.

## Related Research

The studies presented in this section represent the research in the conference ficld relevant to this study. Evaluation stuhios were of'ten reported athin the context of a reference dealine with a particular conference.

The first study related to this stady was an investigation bir Neier (og) who studied the ase of the mork-Eroup conference in testing a group of teachers ard staff members of the Citigen Education Stady of Detroit in promoting the examination of certain curriculum areas and planning, executing, ard evaluating specific ererimental curriculum changes. Meier concluded:

1. Whe aspirations, smectations ard sensitivity of Eroup renbers to the rrontor mar consicerution are invortant Enctors in leternining a oroups' silccess.
2. When orientation to the method was not sufficiently completr, the expectations of the orop monbors or sub-croups tonded to be confused.
3. The \%ork-iroun conferwnce mettoc was helpful when there was eroup agreement about the existence of a rroblem, but oid not sunction well as a velicle for "shock" techniuues used by one sub-iroup to increase the sensitivity of anctiner sub-rroup to certein ideas.
4. iffen a surotroup atteints to superimpose a rurpose Thish is :oot developed by the eritire groun, titere is lirely to be resistaice and group cleavage.
5. The acceptance by the group of lenethy, involved formulations sumitted bir incividuals or subaroups is not lasting inless it is the subject of considerable total orcin activity.
6. Use of the vork-sroup conforence method increasod tenchers' mrofessional interests and led, in the absence of threats to personal or proiessional. seciurity, to decision making besed more on educational theory and ralues than on ferconel loyalty の安 EDDEticncy.

Van juyn ( 50 ) interrereted axi cualuated 303 conferences, worischops and conventions held for scrool administritors in the state of Michie:n from October 1, 1947 throug'2 Scptember 30, 1950. The major instrument was an interview schedule used with the persons who, because of their positions, were most likely to have the most complete and accurate information sboui, the moetinges. Eerne and Sevage (33) sumarized the findings of the stugy as follows:

The study revealed little evidence of problem solving opportunities; dojectives of the necting secmed seldom to be defined; planning sessions were of ten monopolized by such leadecs as Executive secretaries, sponsoring organizations, or small power srours; the meetings were concermed with seering support for
established ideas rather than re-thinking ideas and developing new concepts; there was little 0 fort for formal motivation; since objectives were gererally vacue, evaluation was indefinite. The study concluded that social fellowshin was a najor attraction.

Mitchell (106), in reporting on the characteristics of the workshon which distinguish it from other in-service tducation procedures, concluded that the najor factor in the success of a workshop was its staff. The staff must possess cometencies and personal characteristics desired for chucational wor: of this nature. Mitchell also ste.ted that there is danger in overacing the rakshop by making it too common an experience. Tre worlsiop mist retoin its ireshness, fioneering snirit and uniqueness.

## Surnary

The revien of the literature reverled that most of the literature was concerned with philosophy and onerationel practices dealing with group diacussions, conference plannince, and evaluation. The general character of the refcrences illustrates the fact that a sound pailosophy and a defined body of urinciples have evolved dealing with the aforementioned arcas.

The revien of related literature aiso showed that therc is a scarcity of avalable recearch in the conecrence field. The study by Meier ( $\rho g$ ) presented pertinont conclusions relative to the utility and helpfulness of the work-groun conference method. Jan Dayn's Study (50) of the conferences, worlshops and conventions held for school administrators in Michigan has limitations of applicability and relevancy to a particular situation since the study did not differentiate between types
of meetincs. However, the Jan Duyn Study did reveal problem areas of concern rinich require further anily sis and rescarch. Nitehell's Study (105) revealea sienificant findings rogerding worksop characteristics and principles useful in workshop planning.

## CHAPTER IV

## IWTHCDS AND PROCEDURES

It was mentioned in Ghanter I that considerable preparation had gone into the pre-planning of this study before the final decision was made to propose the stucy for consicieration. Included were extersive cons?ltetions with conference authorities in the Nichigen State Denertmert of Public Irstruction, Continuing Education Service Staff menbers, and the Committee on Conferences of the Curriculum Planning Committee of the Michigan Suate Derartment of Public Instruction. A first step in determining the potential merit of this investigation was the planning and conduct oi two nilot studies. It was honed not only to illustrate the presence of factors which mient substantiate the existence of individuai conference zoals but to identify them and the statis importance of certair influences that may, to scme cegree, motivate a decision to autond the conference in question. The resu:lts from the pilot studies were, in part, the bases of the questionnaires used for the final study.

Pilot Studies. On September 23, 1054, the Eastern Michigan Section of the Association for Childhood Eaucauion held its second annual conference at the Kelloge Center.* Twelve personal interviens were conducted wrine which 10 questions were asced to reveal the above information.

The interviews rovealed interestinc rocults since all 12 toachers interviewed reflected personal or professional needs as evidenced by the desire for new teaching ideas and tronds, professional problens to be discussed, interest in the profession, and common group interests.

[^0]On Novenber 20, 105!, the writer conducted a second pilot study Suring the Second Annual Basketball Coaches Clinic held at Michigan State University.* The results of this filct stidy, like the first, revecled a noar complete expression of needs and problems which, it may be assumed, motivated a coachs' atter riance to the clinic.

The resilts of the tivo pilct studies helped form the basic format for the questionnaires which were devised for the final study.

Propsration of the mosuring irstrumatis. In order to fulfil the purposes of this investitatior four questionnaires bere desiened:

1. A mestionneire vas desiened to secure iremmation from to teachers attentin; the conference. This instrumert was called the particinant questionnaire.
2. A similar questionnaire was devised for distribution to those members of the sponsoring teacher organization who were unable to attend.
3. An evaluation form ras made un to be administered to conference :articipants at the conclusion of the conference.
4. A questionnaire was designed for the respective planning comittiecs of each of the five conferences participating in the study.

The items used in each questionaire were obtained from the following sources:

1. The resalts from the tino pilot stadics.
2. Interviews with representatives of the sponsoring teacier organizations.

[^1]3. Suegestions from staff members of the Continuing Edacation Service.
4. Some of the items were selected from measuring instruments used bj the Hichicen State Departnent of Public Instruction.
5. A menber of the Comaittee on the Evaluation and Improvement of Conferences of the Michigan State Derartment of Pablic Instruction, who is trained in the area of conference methodoloy and who has had wide experience desiening and working with conferences for teachers and pablic school serstans, assisted in the dssich ard content of the questicnaircs. The professional opinions and recomendations exprossed were nost lelpful in the selection of worls, in the content, and in the format.

Selection of the particinating conferences. For the nurposes of this study the teacher orgarizetions which marticinated ir this investigation represented public sc:1ool teachers of Michigan. It was desirable to obtain an adequate sannlinc of teachoris which wonld provige a statewide representation. The conferences sclected ropresented elemontery and secondury teachers with varied subject matter snecialties.

It was furthormore deci ied to choose conferences which followed a similar conforence procran design. All five confererces began their prograns with a nizht session; used lequote speakors; movideu organised social recreation; anà had, as a rrominent feature, eroup discussions. The five conferences utilized the two basic types of group discussion: (1) those discussine one general theme or tonic, and (2) grours discussing several different problems or topics.

All of the conferences would thus lerd themselves readily for comarative study because of their similarity in administrative structure and educational purpose. At the same time, the sampling would not prevent the conclusions and recommendations from being applied to other teacher organizations sponsoring conferences with similar desion and purposes.

Procedure for the collection of data. This study was carried out during the calendar year 1955. The participant questionnaire was administered at the first session ot each conterence prior to any other portion of the corference program. The Departinent of Elenentery School Principals of the Michigen Education Association, April 12-13, 1955; Metronolitan Detroit Bureau of Cooperative School Studics, April $21-23$, 1955; and Michigan issociation for Supervision and Curriculum Development of the Michigan Education Association, November 3-4, 1955, were administered the participant questionnaire at the conclusion of the orening dinner.

The Michigan Speech Correction Acsociation, * Na Ij-14, Io5j; and the Michigan Counselors Association, October 31-November 1, 1.55, began their respective conferences with an evening session. The rarticinant ghestionaire was completed by the groun before tic opening general session. Oral explanation of the purposes and methodology of the research, together with a statement of full support for the sturi by the cooperating teacher organizetion, was provided by a conference representative in all cases.

The evaluation form was distributed to the participarts at the conclusion of each conference.
*No'n identified as the Michigan Speech and Hearing Association.

Table 1 presents the sumary of narticimant questionnaires and evaluation forms completed by each conference participating in the study.
 PCOM COPPLETED DY EACH PARTICIPATING COMFERENEE

| Conierence (uu | luanber of Questionnaires | Number of Evaluation Foms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Departmert of Elomenter, Uchocl Princieals``` | 309 | 353 |
| Metropoliten Bureau of onopretive School Studies | 92 | 74 |
| Kichigen Sneech and Hearing (Correction) Associetion | 6 | 30 |
| Wichiean Counselors Association | 235 | 145 |
| MEchigan Association for Sunervisio and Currigutan Levelopaent, | on 203 | 208 |
| Totel | 125 | 35 |

Tre non-rartici ant quectionnaire mas nailed to ill mombers of each of the five educationel or'ginizations who were unable to attend the conference of their articular groun. Those membors unsble to attena wor letermined by comerirg the conference regiatration list with the total membership list.

Three mailines were completed to nomers of eacin conference not attending the conference. The first miling consisted of a nonparticinant questionnaire, a letter fron the writer explaining the
purposes of the study, a letter from a representative oi the socrecring group in sunnort of the orgenization's particigation in the investicatior, and a self-acidressed stamed envelope. The second and third mailings consisteci oi a letter from the writer and a post card upon mich the individial could request a non-participart questionnsire be sent him.

Nost of the plannirg comittee questionnaires were comnleted by the members of each conference planning committee at the beginning of the confinence. In severel instances, the atestionnaires were mailed to those monbers of the planning comittec min were unable to attead the confierance.

Teble 2 shows the record of the three mailings, the number of questionnsincs returned, and the percentage return in each category.

An examination of Table 2 shows the complete mailing recora of questionneires, expanctory letters, and return rost curus sent to each
 returned. The nercentage of retirn varied fron 23 per cent from the Nichigan Speecri Correction Association to 49 per cent retiurn from the Denartment of Elementary School Principals. The average retiurn for the five participating teacher organizations was 42 per cent.

A summary of Tables 1 and 2 reveals a total of 915 participant questionnaires completed and 490 nor-participant questionnaires returned. The total samle of 1,105 , teachers renresentec the five teacher crganizations which participatcd in the study.
TABLE 2. VAILING SUALARY GF NOR--PARTICIPANT QUESTIONAIRES TO FIJE SELECTED TEACHER CRGANIZATICNS


## Tabulation of the Data

Information on the questionnaires was first coded in anticipation of amplication to IBY: Machine tebulation. The opon-end questions were recorded, classified, and coded. Information recorded on the evaluation forms was tullied and the resnonses to tho essay-type question pertaining to soal satisfactions were classifjed and coded. All questionnaires were assembled by conference grouping̈, numered, and the coded data key-punched on IBH cards.

## CHPTLR J

## T:L NAYSIS OE THL DATA

As an aid to the roader the nurposes and methodology prosented in Chanters I-IV will be reviewod here.

It was nointoi out in Chanter I that this study was concerned with an analysis of the conferene gerls of poblic school teachers attendine five educational conferences at the Kellocg Conter for Continuing Iducetion, Michisin state university and those teachers who were moners of tho monsoring toncher oranization but wo vore unsble to attend. Such analuses inclulad an malersis of binaraphical characteristics of teacher particioent; and non-participants with appropriato comparisons and relationships; the relationship of conference goals to certain biographical characteristics; planning conmittee evaluation of such conforence goals; and an evaluation of the participatine confercreces in terms of individual and over-all corferunce goal satisfaction.

A further objective of the stiady was to analyze the several conference metriocis or tyes of progren fomat in terns of participant, non-particinant and planning committee preferences.

Statistical procedares and techniques were used to aid in the interpretation of the data, and to assist in the analysis and comarison of conference coals with solected biogranhinal choracteristics of the participents and non-narticirents.

In ordon to acomalish these obectives, five educational coneerences for teeachers neetine at the Kelloge Center for Continuirg Education, Michigen State University, were selected to be administered the measuring
instrunents. All five participating conferences followed a similar nrogran format and shared cormon over-all conference goals and clucational objectives. Each conference was sponsored by an active teacher organjzation representing a particular subject matter area or gride classification.

A pre-conference questionnaire was administerci to the conference particinants at a time preceding the official beginning of tio conference program. A similar instrunent was mailed to each member of the sponsoring teacher oranization majo to attoun the conference. A third questionraire mas desiened for the planning committct of each confercnce and administered to eaci planing comittee momber during the meeting. An evaluation form was conrleted by the participents at the conclusion of each confererce.

The data was coded and tabulated on IBM cards with consequent opnortunities for nront treatment of quantitative data and convenient crose-tabulation of portinent rariables.

Anslreis of the riouranhical characteristics of the conference participants. The following table prescnts information concerning the a.ge and sex of the conference particirants.

Table 3 shows that 35 ror cent of the particinents responding were between 25 and 50 years of yee with the largost percentage being between the ages of 4.5 and 50. Forty-six per cent of the participants wore under 40 vears of age.

However, mablo 3 reveals a ratiner coven distribution between the age class limits, especially between 25 and 55 years. The smallest percentage of attendance was between the ases of 20 and 24 years. The

TABLE 3. JISTRIBUTION OF COMPREMCL PARTICIPANTS BY AGE AM SEX

| Class <br> Interval | $F$ | 8 | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{Cum}}$ | F-Mole | Cun. \% Male | F-Female | Cum. \% Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-214 | 39 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 31 | 3 |
| 25-29 | 98 | 13 | 13 | 62 | 19 | 36 | 18 |
| 30-34 | 104 | 14 | 32 | 34 | 4.1 | 19 | C3 |
| 35-39 | 103 | 34 | 45 | 6 | 53 | 33 | 33 |
| 40-4.4 | 免 | 12.5 | 53.5 | 5 | 72 | 40 | 44 |
| 45-49 | 124 | 16.5 | 75 | 1.0 | 3 | 34 | 的 |
| 50-54 | 114 | 15 | 90 | 1:5 | 95 | 69 | 35 |
| 55-over | 74 | 10 | 100 | 20 | 100 | 54 | 100 |
| Total | 750 | 100 |  | 379 |  | 371 |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nedian age-groun - } 47.15 \\
& \text { Nedien abe-fenales - } 47.1 \\
& \text { Modian ace-males - } 37.15
\end{aligned}
$$

median age, or that nege which divides the participants into two equal grouns, was li, 15 yours.

It is interesting to rente that when the group is bivided into male and female characteristics a different picture is presentej. Devinty-two ner cent of the male participants resnonding were under 15 years of age as compared to 40 per cent of the female particinants. The nodal class interyal for malcs was 30 to 34 years while the largest number of females were between 45 to 49 years of age. This variation is
further jllustrated by the numerical difforence between tine medians. The madian age for males was 37.15 wile the median age for femles was L7.1.

Of tise total number of 015 perticinentis, with one no-responee, 103 or 44 per cent of the group :ere males and 511 or 56 per cent wore females.

Table 9 reveals that 59 por cent of the participants roported they were teaching in Class A schools; 28 $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent in Class 3 scincols; 3 per cent in Class C; 2 fer cent in Ciass D; and $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in Class $\mathfrak{E}$. Eighty-six por cent reforted they taught in a school located south of the Grand Rapids - Port Iuron line, wile $U_{4}$ per cent of the participants taucht in schools above this line of division. Eleven toachers attended the conferences from the upper peninsula.

Table 4 sin:s the tabulation of the conference participants according to the number of years in the teaching profession.

The dats presented in Tehle 4 shows thet there was a Fairly even Cistribution of frequencies with a rance from 7 to 19 per cent. Slichtiy orer half, or 52 por cent of the group pesponing, had taight 20 yoars or less. The modal intervil contains 19 per cent of the frequencies, but the varintion between class intervals is relatively small. The mecian number of zears in teochine for participents was 13.25 .

TABLE L. DISTRTBUTICN OF COFEREWG PARTICIPANTS BY MEARS IN TEACHING

| Class Interval | F | $\% \mathrm{~N}$ | Cun. $\% \mathrm{~N}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-4$ | 99 | 11 | 11 |
| $5-9$ | 153 | 19 | 30 |
| $10-14$ | 83 | 10 | 40 |
| $15-19$ | 102 | 12 | 52 |
| $20-24$ | 119 | 14 | 55 |
| $25-29$ | 123 | 15 | 31 |
| $30-34$ | 101 | 12 | 93 |
| $35-0 v e r$ | 59 | 7 | 100 |
| Total | 864 | 100 |  |

Median number of years in teaching for marticinents - 18.25

Table 9 shows the distribution of marital status and sex of the conference particinents. Sixty-two per cent were married while 38 per cent were single. Of the 565 married particinants, 370 or 65 per cent were male and 159 or 35 per cent fenale. Or the 345 single participants, 10 por cent were male and go rer cent femalc.

One of the interesting aspects of the study was to detomnine the amount of conference attendance by teachers. Both the participants and non-particinants worc asked to state the number of conferences they had attended in the past five years of the organizations perticinating in this study, and also the number of conforences they had attended of
other organizations curing the sane period of tinc. The question requested that locnl tocchers' meetings and äneral cixricialum netings not be includfa ir order to facilitate a commoness of interpretation of the question.

The reader will recall in Cheper I thet the Michican fsoociation of School diministrators had expressed concerr aid sposition to the annarent large number of teachers attending conferences. This question pertaining to conforence attondarce by teechers wis intended, in part, to reveal infommtion regarding this subject.

The information mertaining to the nunder of conforerces attenced by tenchers, both of the organizations marticipating in the stejer an otrier oreaniantions, i.s rrecent in Table 5. The data is shown by numerical fregency and the vercentre of the total found in cact class intorval.

An cxamintion of Talle 5 shows that the median numbr of conicrences of orgminations raticirabirg in thes irvertigetion attonad bj

 the particirsints resmoreing res 6.12 .

The writer semaroted the zero to tor clase interval to point out the numbr of porticinants attending the coniorcros of their profesion=l oranizatinn for the first, time winci aountes to arlt or 29 per cent of t're total orodr. It is interesting to point out thet only is teaciers or 7 mer cent of the noticinents reoporing had not ricuiously attended a conference of any toacher or enization.

##  in pact fite cars

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class } \\ & \text { Interval } \end{aligned}$ | Crgan. Part. <br> in Study <br> F | 兄 | $c_{0}$ |  | 名 F | $\therefore \mathrm{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 28 | 23.9 | 23.9 | 59 | 7 | 7 |
| 1-2 | 236 | 24.7 | 53.6 | 127 | $2 / 4$ | 21 |
| 3-5 | 35 | 142.5 | 9.5 | 225 | 25 | 47 |
| - | 25 | $? .7$ | 09.2 | 1/12 | 15 | 63 |
| --9 | 7 | . 3 | 100.0 | 1.51 | 17 | 30 |
| 1-14 | - | - | - | 22 | 2 | 32 |
| 15-cuter | - | - | - | 156 | 13 | 100 |
| Tot: 1 | 915 | 100 |  | 281 | 100 |  |



 in this study attended by particinanto huriry past fire yeirs - . 2 e

 study in fin port, live jears os comerad to 21 per cent, mio had attended the same numbr of cores races of other teacher organizations. Another interesting comprisor is thet 9.5 of the particinonts attormek five or less confemences of their moforional teacher or unizatior wile 63 yer cent has ettended eidt or less conferences of other teacher organizations in the rest fiv: :.ears.

An analysis of the biographicel olinacteristics of tho conference non-carticigants. Tha conierenco non-narticionts havs been identified aj those tachors rin were members on the or onazation sponsoring the cunerence, but who mere unable to attenc. The questionnaire adinistered to the teaciers attoneing the corfirence and the instmment combleted of these not in atthene wrodided tho samo bourarhina diata. The frequency distribution of the conference nom-rerticinent.s is shom ir mote 5.

TADLE S. DISTRIBUTICI GG COFEREOL ?ON-PARTICIPANS BY AUE AD SEX

| Age Class <br> Interval | $\vec{F}$ | \% O | Cum. $\ddot{7} N$ | F-Miele | Cum. 右 Male | F-Female | Cum. \% Fenle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20-214$ | 15 | 4 | $!$ | 3 | 2 | 24 | 5 |
| 25-ac | 37 | 3 | 12 | 23 | 75 | 1.1 | 17 |
| 30-34 | 42 | $?$ | 22 | 23 | ?) | 13 | 16 |
| $35-35$ | 5 | 12 | 33 | 31 | 1.5 | 23 | $\ldots$ |
| 140-1:4 | 55 | 13 | 16 | Cl | 50 | 35 | 37 |
| 45-49 | 30 | 17 | $\bigcirc 3$ | 0 | 72 | 50 | 53 |
| $50-54$ | 5 | 2.1 | 3 | 5 | 36 | 12 | 33 |
| ソ-over | 73 | 1' | 100 | 2.: | 130 | 43 | 100 |
| Totitl | 157 | 720 |  | 173 |  | 234 |  |
| Tedion ace non-nanticinonte - !5.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Necitan =ft hon-participant fomales - 47.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median cici non-participent males - 40.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5 shows a progressive incerense in when nom of mucncies of the grour as the ree variable incresess, with the oxception of the last age class interval of 55-older. Thus, the non-particifants sre not eveali distributer throubut the class intirvals, but ratier how a slacied netribution. Fiftw-four yer cont of te non-parijeipants were ly rears of ace or olacr. The medien ace of the non-particinents w2s 45.75 years.

A comarison of the mars a non-narticirnt males and females Ghows that bo per ent af the males an: 3 ner cent, of the females rere
 distributed throwhout tie ago class jutcruals wite the femile womed an incerasing nubur of frequench with each comeononuing abe increase with the exception of the last abe class interval of over 55 years of ase

Table $?$ shers the uistrib:tion of conferonce non-proticigants by marital status and sex. Sixty per cent of the non-participants were married and 40 por cent were single. Cf the 255 married non-particioents, 5y per cent wre males and 45 per cont wre perales. Oi tie 193 single non-narticirants 7 ror cert vore males and 53 per cont fomales.

Fifty-seven ner cort of the non-rorticinente taught in Class A scinols, 31 rer cont in Chass $B$ sehoo?s, 10 per cent, in Class $C$, and 2 per cent in Class D. Eighty-five per cent tawht in schools located in the southerr bots of the lower neninsala of Machigan.

To illustrate the ynars in teaching category of the nonparticipants, the tabulated data is shom in Taole ?.
 TEACIING

| Years in Tcaching | F | \% F | Cun. $\% \mathrm{~F}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 35 | 7 | 7 |
| 5-9 | 73 | 15 | 22 |
| 10-14: | 35 | 7 | 29 |
| 15-19 | 53 | 12 | 1.1 |
| 20-a! | $\%$ | 14 | 55 |
| 25-29 | \% | 10 | 74 |
| 30-34 | 74 | 15 | 39 |
| 35 -over | 52 | 11 | 100 |
| Total | 182 | 100 |  |

Median rumber of years ir tenchin for non-particirents - 22.94

An examination of Toble 7 reveris considerable variation of the roponses betmen clocs intervals. However, tio anount of variation $b \in t w e e n$ class liait Irequencies fis quite small. The median miver of Jears tought by tie non-narticinants was 22.94. The mosal class interval is from 25-29 years in teabing containinc 19 per cent of the resporeces.

Table 7 alao slows that 41 yer ent of the non-narticipents had tanght 20 years or less with 25 ner cent tesching over ary zars. wers est Encencies mere fous rith in the aro to four anc 10-214 ciss intorvals.

The inctor of conference attendence of non-particivant teachers is rreschtod in Table 3. The zero to two class interval is sun-aivided
to point out the number of non-participantis who would have attended the conierence of their professional organization for the first time and those teachers not havin; previously attended a conference of any other teacher oreanization.

TABLE 8. DISTRIEUTION O NON-PAETIOIPANTS AOCORUIG TO COMVENCE ATTMMNOE IN PAJT FIVE YOARS

| Olass <br> Interval. | Crgan. Part. <br> ir. Study F | 87 | $\operatorname{cun}$ | Other <br> Teacher <br> Organ. | \% F | $\begin{aligned} & G u n \\ & \% \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 161 | 33 | 33 | 59 | 12 | 12 |
| 1-2 | 143 | 29 | 62 | 73 | 15 | 23 |
| 3-5 | 180 | 37 | 99 | 112 | 23 | 51 |
| $6-8$ | 6 | 1 | 100 | 72 | 15 | (i) |
| 0-71 | - | - | - | 59 | 12 | 73 |
| 12-14 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 12 | 2 | So |
| 15-over | - | - | - | 98 | 20 | 100 |
| Total | 490 | 100 |  | 450 | 100 |  |

Median number of confrences of teacher organizations participating in this study attended by non-particifants - 2.24

Medien number of conferences of teacher orgenizations not participating in this study attended ber non-particirants - 5.41

Table 3 shows that 62 per cent of the non-participants had attended two or less conferences of their professional teacher organization in the rast five years and 33 per cent of the sroup had not attended
a conference of this group before. However, only 12 ner cent of the uroup had not, attended a conference of any teacher organization. Thirtyfour per cent haw gtonded over nire corierences during the pest five vears with 20 per cent attenting crer lia conferences. It is of firtiour interest to note that 30 por cent of the 480 non-particinants attended Iess then gioht conforcoco uririci the rast five yeore with the nedian number beine 5.lil contornces.

> Sumare Sormarison of Biocranical Characteristics of Prrticipants ara CoriParticiants

Comprison are. A comnarison of the cata shown in Tables 3 and 6 shous that lo rer cent of the marticinants were 30 years of age or less as comprod to 33 per cent of the non-participants. Fifty-iour per cent of the non-particinents were cver l:1 years of age while ! I per cent of tie narticimats were over 44 years of ace. Since the trecteris attendire the conferences hat a median age of lin. 1.5 as comrored to 45 . 75 For the nor-marticinants, the rarticipants represented a somewhat roumer portion of the total toaching sample.

A composite tablation of five biogronical characteristics: sex, marital status, matital statis $y$ sex, cless $o f$ school, and eeorraphic location of your school is nresented in Table 9.

Comparison by scx. Table 9 shows that it per cent of the perticincuts wore males as comared to 56 per cent fonales. The non-participants had a higher ratio of females - Sly prer cent to 36 por cont males. A further comparison shows that the eroup of teachers in-hle to attend contrined 8 fer cent less malos and 9 per cent more females than did the rour of teachers attending•
 OF SCHOCL ATI (IECGRAPHIC LCCATION

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sex } \\ \text { :ale Female } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Varried } \\ \text { Group Vale Fenale } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Sincle |  |  | Class of Scinool |  | Geographic North South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Particirant | 1.14 | 55 | 62 | 55 | 35 | 33 | 10 | 80 | $\underline{59} 20.5$ | 21.5 | 14. | 85 |
| Non-Participant | 35 | 6 | 50 | 55 | 145 | 140 | 7 | 3 | 573110 | 2 | 15 | 35 |

Comparison by narital status. An exarination of Table 9 shovs that fo por cent of the corierence participants were moriod as comrared to 50 nor cent of the non-participants or a difference of only 2 per cent. Of the marrich participante, 55 por cont were male mile 55 par cent of the amriof non-particinants wer malc. 'Me reverse situation as trie as ronards the famales since 35 ar cert of the particinants vere femsle ani if ror cent oi the ron-particinente vere fenale.

Comprison of years in teaching. Fifty-five nor cent of the monparbicinnt teachers had twent loss tion 25 yours as compared to 66 per cent of the particinente. Fisty-tro rer ount of the teachers atteriding had tang 20 yours or less in comerison vith 41 ner cont of tine teachers mable to $a^{t}$ tenci. The modin mmbor of yoars in teachine of the participents was 12.25 rears as compared to $22.5 /$ for the nonparticiments.

Comparison of attendance at conierences. Tables 5 and 8 show the conference attendence of rerticipants asd ron-particinents relative to the confromoos narticinating in this study and conforences not incladed in this investi;ation Necrly 29 ner cent of the participonts attended the conferonce of their professional organizetion for the first tinc, winle 33 per cent, of the non-participunts had not attended a conference of the broup of wich they were a momber. Arotien interecting comparison occurs within the class limits of three to Eive conferences of the participeting toacher oreanizations. Nearly 43 ner cont of the participants had attended from three to five conferences whilc 37 per cent of the ron-participants had totence? the same number. The 161 teachers reporting they had not attenced a conference of the teacher
organizetions particinatinc in this study ropresented 11 per cent of the total somple of 1 , Los tecchers.

Trelve per cent of the non-rarticinents had net attendee a conference of other teachor oreniontions as connero with 7 for cent of the particirants. However, 30 nem cent of the non-rarticinarts had attended From one to five confrences of other toacher onganizationc rije 40 per cent of the onnticipants had attended from ono to five coniererces of other groups. Thus, the distribution of conference attenciance of participants and ron-particinents shows little variation within the class limits.

Commrison of cres in teaching to conference atterdince. An oxamination of Table 10 reverls that of the particirants attorising the conforence of their profossional organization for the first time, the largest or mod $\equiv 1$ group had tanght fror five to nine yoars. However, when considering the nercentace of the total number of teachers within each class interval whe whe attoning the conference for the first time, the zero to four years in teachin; clase interval contained the largest such percentare. Fifty-four por cent of the teachers who had taught zero to four years, attended tie conference of their professioncl organization for the first tine. Cf the 177 participunts wo had stbended :II five conferences of their professional teachor orcanization, 140 had taught at least 20 years. Twenty-one per cent of the particinant, s ind attcinded all itive conformes of their oreanization.

The nor-participants reflect a sonewhat. cifferent pattern of information. The modal group of non-participants had taught from 25-20 years. The five to nine yeure in trachine class interval contained the



largest percentage of teachers witinin each oless intorval wo hat rot yet attenlad a cone mence of the or mization of wich thes were a
 jears, ind not attended a confererce of their professional or canization. Cnly 6 yer cent of the mon-participants had attended all five st the conerences of ingir refeseional orgenization.

Wuje Il reveals the irformation as rearde to conforence attendshee at coniferances of other teacher organizations.

Ar exunintion of hinie 11 show that, a wanity of the particErants ad non-rarticinants aithin each elan interval with tie cxaption of the zare to forr class intemrel, had attondud from three to five conieronces of cthen tocher oreaizations in the past five years. The teachers in the five to nine years in teachinf, cless interval attended the lareset percentate of conforences of cther teacher organizations as compared to teachors included rithin the reminine class intervals. Ctherrise, the the wos not roval infor.atior that richt inainate significat variat,icns of tho data within the years in teacing class intervals of either the participants or nor-marticipents.


Comparison of class of school and woerahic location. It is interesting to note in Table of the similarity of perconteges of marticipents and non-articinants representinc eacia class of school and each geographic division. It is equelly as intercstire, werme sibrificant, that nearly threc-fifths oi the total samle of terners tought in Cless A schoola. Thirty-ore fer cent of the non-particinants and 23.5 wor cunt of the raticirants tayht in Class B schools, witile only 9 and 10 yer cont of tie perticipants and ron-rarticipants respectively
 yichols, leavis 7.5 nor cent of the particinents to ropresent the Class E achools.

Fourteen rer cent of the participants and 15 per cent of the nonparticipants tadut in schools locatece above a line catending from Port, Huron west through Grand Rapids, dividine the lower peninsula of Michican into two rather equal oographic sivisiens. The reminker, or fif and 35 por ceit of the particinonts and ron-merticirants taght in schools located south of the aforemontioned geographic line.

It may be of interest to know of the conierence attendance of those techors attending the confercnce of their professional or banization for the Cirst time, but whon have attended conferences of other teacher orgmizations. This imfornation is mesonted in Table 12 .

Two hundred end sixty-six perticinents stated that this conference was the first biph meeting they had cver attonded, while llil nonparticipants hed not attendei a previous conference of their professional organization. Table 1 ? shows that only 30 particinants or 3 rer cent of the total munber of particimants had not attended a conference beforo.




|  | N | 0 | $1-2$ | $3-5$ | $6-8$ | $5-11$ | $12-14$ | 15-over |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Particirant | 266 | 30 | 50 | 70 | 31 | 35 | 3 | 23 |
| Non-Particinatt | 14120 | 33 | 34 | 22 | 15 | 7 | 24 |  |

This compres to 20 non-raticinants or if ner cont of the total that hed not vet attended a conference. Honce, nost of the teachers in the sample hed had revious conferonee encrience.

## An Aralesis of Conforeace Goals

It was rointed out in Chnter I thet conorerces arose at a time rien ducators wore quectioning tif maported values of the formal classroom apuroach to learning. The methololog usod in the teaching of subject matter, is such, was ro longer considered valid, so that there ocon became on awreness and accentance of the practical aprlication of subject matter to recl-lifo problens and emerioncos based unon individual needs and interestrs.

Comprtibile with these chanzes in educational thourht was the philosonhy of the conference way of learning with ite cmphesje uron particination, proble:n-solving, fellowship, informality, democratic valucs, needs and intwestis, and the many other ferets now particularly identified with a well planned conference. Hence, within a comparatively
short period of time, conferences and workshops have emerged to assume a significant role in the conotent sourch for are cifoctire metions of practicine knom loarning principles.

The naucity of resoarch in the conference fiold has posed serious nrohlems wich have threatened present and future conference proerans of rrofessioral interest to terciers in Michifan. Educators are in reat reed of research on conferences and in the narticular area of corferenee goals which ney tyrify or mortray the ncods ard problens of yotertial teacher perticinonts. it is tien nosable, as well as necessary, to annlyze the effnctiveness of the conference in terns of neetino nud satisfying individuel conference zals. The conference, like any other olucational learnine method or recoess, must stand the test of research; St must provive for effective learning emeriences based unon knowm and acented procedures to facilitate lrarnina: and be pleaned accoriing to sound edicationel nrincirlos. If research and cvaluation justifies the marer benefits ard values claimed for the conforence, the teaching profession, our ontire educationel suten, and our denncratic eociety mey benerit inneasmably from maneling the robential storohouse of lourning emorionces throun effective andication of the conier moe motion of learring.

Bource of the data. In Chartne IV it was statoci that each joal ros obtainod throijh onc on more of the following sourcos:

1. Rocilts of the two pilot studies.
2. Personal interviews with nlannine comittee mombers if eact snonsoring teacher organization.
3. Persoral interviens witin a bichigan State ikgartmont of Public Instraction confercnoc snecialist,
4. Consultations with contiming Esumtion Sewice stesf menbers.

Uethonology. Enok rarticirent was anked to coeck ane of a series

 Eonis wich would have described their reasons for attencine tie conforence. Each planning conaittee mener whis akes to note the ir evaluaticn of rich of the cols motivated the atteraces to come to the coritrexce.

 The suection did not ask or infor that each goal was to be evaluated. It was deand desiroble ard moner hou the omission of tiose gonle not considered valid in the individual situation. However, the rosid.tant
 eratione. The incotion also nemjttea ormortunity for each renondent to spocify a aol inton ma merinefol to him, but not listod in the question nrancr.

In order to comer the roting of the coneromod bals by the particinant, nor-norticirant was denning comittee orore, wo was
 illustratce tije astribution oi goal rreferences by particinant, ronnartjeinat :al manine comittee categories.

Comparison of conforence goals. in examination of Toble 13 shows

table 13. distribution of goal prbferences by participants, non-participants and planning committee categories

| Category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Goal } \\ & \text { Group Identification } \end{aligned}$ | Goal $B$ <br> Remey Contacts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goal C } \\ \text { Othbar Sahool: Ame Doing } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goal D } \\ \text { Academic_Stimution } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 \% 2 \% 3 \& $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ | 1 \% 2 \% 3 \% 人 | $1 \% 2 \% 3 \%$ |
| Participant <br> N-Participant <br> Planning Comm. <br> Total | $\begin{array}{\|rrrrrrrr} 276 & 42 & 237 & 36 & 141 & 22 & 1.81 \\ 122 & 37 & 124 & 38 & 82 & 25 & 1.88 \\ 11 & 35 & 16 & 52 & 4 & 13 & 1.77 \\ 409 & 40 & 377 & 37 & 227 & 23 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrr} 258 & 42 & 236 & 38 & 124 & 20 & 1.78 \\ 114 & 37 & 110 & 35 & 88 & 28 & 1.91 \\ 10 & 32 & 14 & 45 & 7 & 23 & 1.90 \\ 382 & 40 & 360 & 37 & 219 & 23 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 549 71 183 24 39 5 1.34  <br> 262 66 109 28 23 6 1.39  <br> 16 50 11 34 5 16 1.66  <br> 827 69 303 25 67 6   | 318 51 220 36 81 13 1.55 <br> 166 49 133 39 38 12 1.62 <br> 16 49 12 36 5 15 1.67 <br> 570 54 365 34 124 14  |
| Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goal E } \\ \text { New Ideas - Trends } \end{gathered}$ | Goal F Renev Fxiendships | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goal G } \\ \text { Aid_With_Insth_Problams } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goal H } \\ \text { CatiAnay Erom_School } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 \% 2 \% 3 \% $\overline{\text { x }}$ | 1 \% 2 \% 3 \% X | 1 \% 2\% 3\% 又 | 1 \% 2 \% 3 \% X |
| Participant | $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}562 & 75 & 157 & 21 & 33 & 4 & 1.29\end{array}$ | 1602721636219372.10 | 558741472044612.31 |  |
| N-Participant |  | 581910636136452.26 |  |  |
| Planning Comme | $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}42 & 12 & 38 & 16 & 50 & 2.37\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{llllllllll}28 & 85 & 412 & 1 & 3 & 1.18\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}0 & 0 & 2 & 7 & 27 & 93\end{array}$ |
| Total | $8917523020 \quad 64 \quad 5$ | 237234334235735 | $8877621819 \quad 60 \quad 5$ | $61 \quad 7 \quad 62 \quad 865485$ |

rarticirants, non-rarticipants, and planning committee. The rarticipants and non-particinarts reflected a close arcemert throuphot wit: the
 tion of 3 , 9 and 11 per cent resnectively was not laree onoyg to merit detained amprsis. A comarison of men values for each boal likerise


One of the marpors of this invostieation was to comare the coels of the menbershin, or the total semple of teachors, with tile joals of the nembership as junded b;r the rlanning comrattee. The objective of this procedure was to detervine the demree ard wevs in wich the planning committes monbors of the rasnective conforences vere cogriant of the ooals of their $0 \%$ m marrship.

A comnarison of goal preforerces of the perticinents, nor-participante and phaning comitioe morbers shows a wide variation cocurrine beteen the plannine comittce meners ard the narticinants ard nom-particiments
 other schools were coing," was ratad very inrontent by il per cent of the particirants are ber cont if the non-rarticipante e pic womures aith fo ner cent of the planing cominttee monere. This oon was domod imontrat to moticinents ene non-raridenents os shom bry the low men values ni 1. 3L and 1.3 , resacotively.

Goel 7 , "I manted to he infomad new tenchine idens and trems,
 3nd tie rerticinants and ron-marticinente. Sottentrifive per cont of the
 this coal of ver. imrortment. This comares to only 12 ner cat of the
nlanning comittee. Furtiemore, orly ly rer curt of tho thane consi-
 comatre ryrars.










 comareu to 1.7 nor cowt of t'r ghaming committao.
以
 Participant - Mr-Particinat $\quad r_{r}=05$ Plamire Covittee - Particinant $\quad r_{1}=.5$ Proming Cormjetce - ion-Prriciparty $r_{r}=0.6$

Funs,


 consal acroment noted betroen the rarticip nts ind ron-participants as
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 was 1.22. Earine twire in the nubor of very imortant reatonses vas
 tiorn : adem," with 55 ? partisipantc and 301 non-participants. The
 veluo ves T.

 To zoticiponts ad 26 non-particirants. The partioi, ent mon value of conl Cocs 1.34 as compored to 1.30 for tie non-rartioinants.
 02 DD , "I felt a need for academic stimulation and irispiration," acoourt-







 and eigt nor-perticirente rosponine. The rerticinant rem vaue wo 2.7i and the ron-warticirunt mon value was ate The seond lowest
 receivine 50 vor, ingortint portioimert poonorers with a new value of 2.70 and 11 non-narticinont responses with a mean viulu os a.
It uas wothoned in Ciroter III tiot the van Duy sour (ro)
concluded thet mocial fellowinip mas a mejor attwaction of tie 103 neet-

 Hitil frienis," was the third loncst rabed edel by both proticitunto and
 and 2.f. For ron-marticinente.

## 

 A further proose of the stude wa to emane possible roletionstips betwon tre conforence fols ord errain biecrabion chacturstana. Do the gots of gounger teachers differ from the boals of other age categnries? Do zoals vary or change accorbline to jears in traching? How do the eqals of marriod teachors comere with gols of ing tanturs that is the acreement betwoen the mons of male teachers as comparea to fomale teachers? These are some of the interecting questions often arising when discussing the noseible reasons wh teachers atterd conforances. Surnositions aro citfin made but reserch hes not been available to dony or confirm hyothetion aredictions.ielationshin of conicrence boals to and non-narticinants. It wos anticirated that the tabulation of conforsrce ceals by ace class intervels miot yerent slonges in the somb prefernces betwen cotain ore classes, a sbilt in the nean valucs rithin certuin goils, or disolose certoin disferenoes bencen particinents and
 each gorl in tomaj of 1 - vory irmortert, 2 - someriat importint and 3 of minor imortance with nercentage an? Hin values aloo comutr . The

 invortent ane 3-of minor imortance is sioum for both particinants (diaconal lines) and nonmarticjnents (no marking).

Gos] I, "I felt whe conferencc , rorined omorturstite for rrofosWional erowth," exisited the last variation for botin narticiments and

TABLI 14. DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANT GOALS TO AGB

| Age | Group Identification |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal B Renew Contacts |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coal C } \\ \text { Other Schools Are Doing } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 8 | 2 | \% | 3 | $\%$ | $\bar{X}$ | 1 | 8 | 2 | \% | 3 | \% | I | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| 20-24 | 10 | 36 | 11 | 39 | 7 | 25 | 1.89 | 12 | 44 | 10 | 37 | 5 | 19 | 1.74 | 21 | 64 | 11 | 33 | 1 | 3 | 1.39 |
| 25-29 | 27 | 36 | 31 | 42 | 16 | 22 | 1.85 | 22 | 31 | 25 | 36 | 23 | 33 | 2.01 | 62 | 74 | 17 | 20 | 5 | 6 | 1.32 |
| 30-34 | 27 | 33 | 28 | 34 | 28 | 33 | 2.01 | 24 | 29 | 37 | 46 | 20 | 25 | 1.95 | 63 | 67 | 27 | 29 | 4 | 4 | 1.36 |
| 35-39 | 31 | 39 | 30 | 37 | 19 | 24 | 1.82 | 31 | 44 | 30 | 42 | 10 | 14 | 1.70 | 60 | 67 | 23 | 26 | 6 | 7 | 1.39 |
| 40-44 | 32 | 41 | 27 | 35 | 19 | 24 | 1.83 | 29 | 39 | 29 | 39 | 16 | 22 | 1.82 | 57 | 72 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 4 | 1.32 |
| 45-49 | 36 | 44 | 31 | 38 | 15 | 18 | 1.74 | 34 | 46 | 28 | 38 | 12 | 16 | 1.70 | 84 | 81 | 18 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 1.21 |
| 50-54 | 38 | 49 | 22 | 29 | 17 | 22 | 1.73 | 33 | 42 | 30 | 39 | 15 | 19 | 1.77 | 71 | 72 | 25 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 1.31 |
| 55 over | 30 | 60 | 15 | 30 | 5 | 10 | 1.50 | 2 | 50 | 15 | 31 | 9 | 12 | 1.69 | 1.6 | 75 | 11 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 1.26 |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Goal D } \\ & \text { Acadenic Stimulation } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { coal E } \\ \text { Now Ideas - Tronds } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Goal F <br> Renew Friendships |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aqe | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 8 | $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$ | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 8 | I | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 8 | $\bar{\chi}$ |
| 20-24 | 20 | 63 | 8 | 25 | 4 | 12 | 1.50 | 31 | 89 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1.17 | 6 | 23 | 12 | 46 | 8 | 31 | 2.08 |
| 25-29 | 47 | 58 | 22 | 27 | 12 | 15 | 1.57 | 64 | 72 | 21 | 23 | 4 | 5 | 1.32 | 14 | 20 | 22 | 31 | 35 | 49 | 2.29 |
| 30-34 | 42 | 46 | 36 | 40 | 12 | 4 | 1.67 | 67 | 76 | 15 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 1.31 | 13 | 16 | 29 | 36 | 39 | 48 | 2.32 |
| 35-39 | 40 | 49 | 28 | 35 | 13 | 16 | 1.67 | 57 | 65 | 25 | 28 | 6 | 7 | 1.42 | 20 | 28 | 23 | 32 | 29 | 40 | 2.12 |
| 40-44 | 42 | 57 | 24 | 33 | 7 | 10 | 1.52 | 57 | 72 | 16 | 20 | 6 | 8 | 1.35 | 19 | 27 | 26 | 37 | 26 | 36 | 2.10 |
| 45-49 | 51 | 55 | 34 | 36 | 8 | 9 | 1.53 | 83 | 83 | 15 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1.19 | 18 | 26 | 30 | 4 | 21 | 30 | 2.04 |
| 50-54 | 46 | 57 | 25 | 31 | 10 | 12 | 1.55 | 70 | 75 | 18 | 19 | 5 | 6 | 1.30 | 21 | 28 | 28 | 37 | 27 |  | 2.08 |
| 55 over | 35 | 69 | 10 | 19 | 6 | 12 | 1.31 | 39 | 70 | 17 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1.30 | 15 | 37 | 16 | 32 |  |  | 1.88 |

TABII IH (Continued)

|  | Goal 0Inetructional Problems |  |  |  |  |  | CON1 H Away From School |  |  |  |  |  |  | ```Goal I Professional Growth``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 18 | 2 | 8 | 3 |  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 8 | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 8 | $\overline{\text { I }}$ |
| 20-24 | 2576 | 7 | 21 | 1 | 3 | 1.27 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 80 | 2.73 | 30 | 88 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 1.18 |
| 25-29 | $56 \quad 67$ | 20 | 24 | 8 | 9 | 1.43 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 48 | 80 | 2.71 | 1 | 79 | 17 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 1.23 |
| 30-34 | $68 \quad 75$ | 15 | 16 | 8 | 9 | 1.34 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 61 | 80 | 2.71 | 16 | 83 | 17 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1.20 |
| 35-39 | $65 \quad 73$ | 20 | 22 | 4 | 5 | 1.31 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 12 | 49 | 76 | 2.63 | 81 | 88 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1.12 |
| 40-4 4 | 6172 | 19 | 22 | 5 | 6 | 1.34 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 6 | 53 | 80 | 2.67 | 57 | 80 | 15 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 1.23 |
| 45-49 | 8179 | 15 | 15 | 6 | 6 | 1.26 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 49 | 87 | 2.86 | 96 | 87 | 13 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1.15 |
| 50-54 | 6975 | 20 | 22 | 3 | 3 | 1.28 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 43 | 92 | 2.87 | 81 | 80 | 19 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 1.21 |
| 55 over | 4.585 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 1.21 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 14 | 21 | 75 | 2.64 | 5 | 89 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1.11 |


| Age | Goal J New Materials |  |  |  |  |  | coal $x$ <br> New Job Opportunities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | $\%$ | $\bar{\chi}$ | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | \% | I |
| 20-24 | 1755 | 8 | 26 | 6 | 19 | 1.64 | 8 | 28 | 5 | 18 | 15 | 54 | 2.25 |
| 25-29 | 2736 | 30 | 40 | 19 | 24 | 1.89 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 19 | 45 | 76 | 2.76 |
| 30-34 | 2834 | 40 | 48 | 15 | 18 | 1.84 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 53 | 80 | 2.76 |
| 35-39 | 2331 | 37 | 51 | 13 | 18 | 1.86 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 54 | 87 | 2.81 |
| 40-44 | 2434 | 29 | 4 | 18 | 25 | 1.91 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 55 | 90 | 2.80 |
| 45-49 | 3544 | 35 | 4 | 9 | 12 | 1.67 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 45 | 83 | 2.72 |
| 50-54 | 2231 | 32 | 46 | 16 | 23 | 1.91 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 98 | 2.95 |
| 55 over | $25 \quad 53$ | 16 | 34 | 6 | 13 | 1.59 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 22 | 85 | 2.73 |

TABTE 15. DISTRIEJTICM OF YON-PARTICIPAITY OONLS TO AOR

| Age | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goal A } \\ \text { Group Identification } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Conl B Rener Contacte |  |  |  |  |  | Coal COther Sobools Axe Doing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 8 | $\bar{L}$ | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3.8 | $\underline{7}$ | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 7 |
| 20-24 | 3 | 21 | 7 | 50 | 4 | 29 | 2.07 | 6 | 14 | 2 | 14 | 643 | 2.00 | 7 | 50 | 5 | 36 | 2 | 14 | 1.64 |
| 25-29 | 6 | 21 | 14 | 50 | 8 | 29 | 2.07 | 7 | 43 | 12 | 43 | 932 | 2.07 | 21 | 70 | 9 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1.30 |
| 30-34 | 10 | 31 | 10 | 31 | 12 | 38 | 2.06 | 13 | 24 | 7 | 24 | 1033 | 1.90 | 24 | 67 | 12 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1.33 |
| 35-39 | 9 | 25 | 17 | 47 | 10 | 28 | 2.03 | 12 | 43 | 15 | 43 | 823 | 1.88 | 31 | 69 | 10 | 22 | 4 | 9 | 1.40 |
| 40-44 | 13 | 32 | 17 | 4 | 11 | 27 | 1.68 | 11 | 44 | 19 | 44 | 1330 | 2.05 | 28 | 61 | 15 | 32 | 3 | 7 | 1.46 |
| 45-49 | 22 | 42 | 18 | 34 | 13 | 25 | 1.83 | 29 | 27 | 12 | 27 | 1431 | 1.89 | 42 | 68 | 19 | 31 | 1 | 1 | 1.34 |
| 50-54 | 30 | 49 | 22 | 36 | 9 | 15 | 1.65 | 24 | 35 | 22 | 35 | 1626 | 1.87 | 52 | 68 | 19 | 25 | 5 | 7 | 1.38 |
| 55 over | 23 | 53 | 11 | 26 | 9 | 21 | 1.67 | 16 | 39 | 14 | 39 | 617 | 1.72 | 36 | 60 | 18 | 30 | 6 | 10 | 1.50 |


| Age | Oosl D <br> Acadanic Stimalation |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coal E } \\ \text { Mow Idoas - Iroude } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ooal F <br> Henew Fricackhipe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 1 |
| 20-24 |  | 640 | 7 | 47 | 2 | 13 | 1.73 | 14 | 93 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1.07 | 3 |  | $\downarrow$ | 3 | 21 | 8 | 58 | 2.36 |
| 25-29 | 1 | 759 | 10 | 34 | 2 | 7 | 1.48 | 27 | 88 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 1.19 | 6 | 6 | 21 | 12 | 4 | 11 | 38 | 2.17 |
| 30-34 | 1 | 442 | 16 | 49 | 3 | 9 | 1.66 | 30 | 81 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1.27 | 4 | 1 | 14 | 12 | 43 | 12 | 43 | 2.28 |
| 35-39 | 1 | 8 51 | 13 | 37 | 4 | 12 | 1.60 | 34 | 76 | 8 | 18 | 3 | 6 | 1.31 | 6 | 1 | 17 | 12 | 34 | 17 | 40 | 2.31 |
| 40-44 | 2 | 54 | 25 | 33 | 6 | 13 | 1.60 | 40 | 83 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 1.19 | 5 |  | 13 | 10 | 24 | 25 | 63 | 2.50 |
| 45-49 | 2 | 443 | 24 | 43 | 8 | 14 | 1.71 | 52 | 79 | 10 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 1.27 | 7 |  | 17 | 16 | 39 | 18 | 44 | 2.27 |
| 50-54 | 30 | 040 | 25 | 42 | 6 | 10 | 1.60 | 62 | 84 | 9 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 1.20 | 14 | 2 | 24 | 20 | 35 | 24 | 44 | 2.17 |
| 55 over | 2 | 3.55 | 14 | 33 | 5 | 12 | 1.57 | 1.5 | 78 | 12 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 1.2h | 2 |  | 37 | 11 | 32 | $1 /$ | 1 | 2.25 |

TABLE 15 (Contimued)

| Age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Goal G } \\ & \text { Instructional Problems } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Goal H <br> Away From School |  |  |  |  |  | ```Coal I Professional Growth``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 8 | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | \% | $\bar{X}$ | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | 8 | $3 \%$ | I | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 8 | $\bar{X}$ |
| 20-24 | 11 | 73 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 1.26 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 1083 | 2.83 | 10 | 77 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1.23 |
| 25-29 | 23 | 74 | 6 | 19 | 2 | 7 | 1.32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | $24 \quad 96$ | 2.96 | 24 | 80 | 5 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 1.23 |
| 30-34 | 32 | 89 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1.22 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 2385 | 2.78 | 27 | 73 | 10 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 1.27 |
| 35-39 | 30 | 72 | 9 | 21 | 3 | 7 | 1.40 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 16 | 2481 | 2.76 | 38 | 79 | 9 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 1.23 |
| 40-44 | 39 | 80 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1.20 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3592 | 2.89 | 40 | 77 | 12 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1.23 |
| 45-49 | 47 | 77 | 10 | 16 | 4 | 7 | 1.29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37100 | 3.00 | 59 | 86 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 1.19 |
| 50-54 | 56 | 79 | 14 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 1.22 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 4089 | 2.80 | 74 | 89 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1.13 |
| 55 over | 43 | 81 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 1.26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22100 | 3.00 | 51 | 84 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 1.16 |


| Age | Goal J New Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  | Goal $X$ <br> New Job Opportunities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | $\%$ | $\bar{X}$ | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | \% | $\bar{\chi}$ |
| 20-24 | 10 | 67 | 4 | 27 | 1 | 6 | 1.40 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 9 | 75 | 2.75 |
| 25-29 | 17 | 57 | 10 | 33 | 3 | 10 | 1.53 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 22 | 84 | 2.73 |
| 30-34 | 14 | 40 | 14 | 40 | 7 | 20 | 1.80 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 25 | 89 | 2.89 |
| 35-39 | 20 | 53 | 13 | 34 | 5 | 13 | 1.60 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 25 | 92 | 2.88 |
| 40-44 | 21 | 48 | 14 | 32 | 9 | 20 | 1.73 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 31 | 97 | 2.96 |
| 45-49 | 26 | 46 | 21 | 38 | 9 | 16 | 1.69 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 35 | 94 | 2.91 |
| 50-54 | 23 | 38 | 33 | 54 | 5 | 8 | 1.70 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 36 | 90 | 2.90 |
| 55 over | 22 | 54 | 18 | 44 | 1 | 2 | 1.48 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 18 | 90 | 2.85 |


Figure 1. Percentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Age Class Interval


Figure 2. Percentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Age Class Interval


Figure 3. Fercentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Age Class Interval

Figure 4. Percentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Age Class Interval

Figure 5. Percentage of Participant and NonmParticipant Conference Goal Responses According to Age Class Interval
$40-44$ years


Figure 6. Percentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Age Class Interval

Figure 7. Percentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Age Class Interval
$50-54$ years


Figure 8. Percentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Age Class Interval
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 . 5 . Thble 17 , dricting goal refermes of maried and sirgle mor-



 Eoth valuog, horero, iniecte trat roal ll was of minor imnortarec to




 partinjortos.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Marrict - studertiononts } \quad r_{r}=.97 \\
& \text { Mrrieci - sin Lo ron-rarticinants } \quad r_{r}=. r 3 \\
& \text { Morriod rarticinents - ron-rarticinonts } r_{r}=07 \\
& \text { Sincle zentiniments - noriparticirante } \quad r_{r}=.33
\end{aligned}
$$

table 16. distribution of participant gonls to marital status


TABLE 17. DISTRIBUTION OF NON-PARTICIPANT GOALS TO MARITAL STATUS
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table 18. distribution of participant gonis by sex


table 19. distribution of non-Participant goais to sex

| Sex | Goal AGroup Identification |  |  |  |  | Coal B Renow Contacts |  |  |  |  |  | Oosl C <br> Othor Schools Are Doing |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goal D } \\ \text { Academic Stimulation } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 \% | $2 \%$ | 3 | \% | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $1 \%$ | 2 | \% | 3 | 8 | $\bar{X}$ | 1 | \% | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | \% | I | 1 \% | 2 | \% | 3 |  | $\overline{\text { I }}$ |
| Male | 4133 | 4738 | 35 | 29 | 1.95 | 4335 | 49 | 40 | 30 | 25 | 1.89 |  | 766 | 43 | 29 | 7 | 51 | . 39 | 5545 | 52 | 42 | 17 |  | 1.69 |
| Female | 8140 | 7738 | 47 | 22 | 1.83 | 7137 |  | 32 | 58 | 31 | 1.93 | 164 | 467 | 66 | 27 | 16 | 61 | . 39 | 11052 | 81 | 38 | 21 |  | . 58 |


| Sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goal } \mathrm{E} \\ \text { New Ideas - Trends } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Goal F <br> Renew Friendshipe |  |  |  |  | Goal 0 <br> Aid With Inst. Problems |  |  |  |  |  |  | Goal HAway From School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 \% | 2 \% | 3 | \% $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 3 | $\%$ | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ | 1 | \% | 2 | \% | 3 | \% | $\overline{\mathbf{l}}$ | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | \% | 3 | \% | $\overline{\text { X }}$ |
| Male | 11176 | 2819 | 7 | 51.28 | 2016 | 4742 | 48 | 42 | 2.24 | 109 |  | 26 | 19 |  | 31 | . 25 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 86 | 85 | 2.77 |
| Female | 21284 |  | 8 | 31.19 |  | 5932 |  | 48 | 2.28 | 192 | 79 |  | 17 | 10 | 4 | . 25 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 4 |  |  | 92 |

Rank Correletion Sneficionto (70.14) wo comuted as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { portininut are - } \quad r=01 t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Partirinant ale - non-rarticivant male } \quad r_{f}=. \operatorname{In} \\
& \text { Particinant femalo - non-particinant somale ry }=0.9
\end{aligned}
$$

Tee comelution coffeciento shon strong relationshins in all four categories with the only discremency of note brince bewon the



Another interesting obervation is conceriad with the vercontage


 fimics.





 ranticiont resoonses arc wianted.
TABLE 20. DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANT GOALS TO IEARS IN TBACHDNG

| Years in Teaching | Group Identification |  |  |  |  |  |  | Goal B Renew Contacts |  |  |  |  |  |  | Goal C <br> Other Schools Are Doing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | ${ }_{8}$ | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | \% | $\bar{X}$ | 1 | \% | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | \% | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 1 | \% | 2 | q | 3 | $\%$ | $\bar{X}$ |
| U-4 | 29 | 38 | 28 | 38 | 17 |  | 1.39 | 27 | 37 | 22 | 31 | 23 | 32 | 1.94 | 57 | 69 | 23 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 35 |
| 5-9 | 40 | 30 | 54 | 41 | 39 |  | 1.99 | 44 | 35 | 52 | 42 | 28 | 23 | 1.87 | 102 | 68 | 40 | 27 | 8 | 5 | 37 |
| 10-14 | 27 | 42 | 23 | 35 | 15 |  | 1.81 | 19 | 33 | 27 | 48 | 11 |  | 1.88 | 50 | 67 | 19 | 26 | 5 | 7 | 1.39 |
| 15-19 | 36 | 47 | 25 | 33 | 16 |  | 1.75 | 31 | 45 | 25 | 36 | 13 | 19 | 1.74 | 03 | 73 | 16 | 19 | 7 | 8 | 1.35 |
| 20-24 | 28 | 35 | 34 | 42 | 19 |  | 1.98 | 35 | 43 | 32 | 39 | 15 |  | 1.75 | 72 | 74 | 22 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 25-29 | 50 | 57 | 26 | 29 | 12 |  | 1.57 | 42 | 54 | 28 | 36 | 8 |  | 1.56 | 76 | 72 | 26 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 1.30 |
| 30-34 | 29 | 44 | 24 | 36 | 13 |  | 1.76 | 24 | 37 | 31 | 48 | 10 |  | 1.78 | 39 | 66 | 25 | 28 | 5 | 6 | 1.35 |
| 35 over | 25 | 63 | 11 | 27 | 4 |  | 1.47 | 22 | 51 | 11 | 26 | 10 | 23 | 1.72 | 39 | 81 | 7 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 1.23 |


|  | Goal D <br> Academic Stimulation |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goal E } \\ \text { New Ideas - Trends } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goal F } \\ \text { Renew Friendships } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | \% | 2 | \% | 3 | \% X | 1 | \% | 2 | \% | 3 | $\%$ | $\bar{X}$ | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | \% | 3 | d |  |  |
| U-4 | 46 | 58 | 25 | 31 | 9 | 111.54 | 76 | 85 | 12 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 1.18 | 19 | 13 | 20 | 29 | 36 | 52 |  | . 3 |
| 5-9 | 72 | 52 | 49 | 35 | 18 | 131.61 | 105 | 72 | 34 | 23 | 7 | 5 | 1.33 | 24 | 19 | 47 | 37 | 56 | 4 |  | . 29 |
| 10-14 | 36 | 54 | 21 | 32 | 9 | 141.59 | 50 | 07 | 18 | 24 | 7 | 9 | 1.43 | 11 | 19 | 22 | 38 | 25 | 43 |  |  |
| 15-19 | 39 | 52 | 24 | 32 | 12 | 161.64 | 57 | 70 | 18 | 22 | 6 | 8 | 1.37 | 22 | 33 | 23 | 34 | 22 | 33 |  | . 00 |
| 20-24 | 47 | 57 | 27 | 33 | 8 | 101.52 | 71 | 76 | 18 | 19 | 5 | 5 | 1.29 | 28 | 36 | 27 | 35 | 23 | 29 |  |  |
| 25-29 | 62 | 61 | 31 | 31 | 8 | 81.46 | 83 | 83 | 15 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1.19 | 16 | 21 | 33 | 43 | 28 | 36 |  |  |
| 30-34 | 36 | 51 | 27 | 38 | 8 | 111.60 | 55 | 66 | 27 | 32 | 2 | 2 | 1.37 | 17 | 29 | 24 | 42 | 17 | 29 |  |  |
| 35 over | 29 | 77 | 7 | 17 | 5 | 121.17 | 35 | 80 | 8 | 18 | 1 | 2 | 1.23 | 15 | 13 | 31. | 10 | 17 |  |  |  |

TABLE 20 (Contimued)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Years } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Teaching } \end{gathered}$ | Goal GInstructional Problems |  |  |  |  |  |  | Goal HAway From School |  |  |  |  |  |  | ```Goal I Professional Growth``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | \% | X | 1 | \% | 2 | 8 | 3 | \% | X | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | \% | I |
| 0-4 | 67 | 76 | 19 | 22 | 2 | 2 | 1.26 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 51 | 88 | 2.86 | 77 | 87 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 1.17 |
| 5-9 | 97 | 69 | 30 | 21 | 14 | 10 | 1.47 | 11 | 9 | 17 | 15 | 89 | 76 | 2.66 | 121 | 80 | 29 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 1.22 |
| 10-14 | 59 | 75 | 16 | 20 | 4 | 5 | 1.30 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 78 | 2.68 | 64 | 80 | 15 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 1.21 |
| 15-19 | 60 | 73 | 18 | 22 | 4 | 5 | 1.32 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 45 | 80 | 2.68 | 79 | 89 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1.12 |
| 20-24 | 69 | 70 | 24 | 24 | 6 | 6 | 1.36 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 55 | 83 | 2.74 | 88 | 85 | 13 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 1.16 |
| 25-29 | 84 |  | 12 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 1.20 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 50 | 84 | 2.75 | 91 | 80 | 21 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 1.22 |
| 30-34 | 56 |  | 17 | 22 | 5 | 6 | 1.35 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 14 | 28 |  | 2.65 | 73 | 74 | 21 | 22 | 4 | 4 | 1.30 |
| 35 over | 43 | 88 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 2.16 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 20 |  | 2.78 | 46 | 90 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Years } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Toaching } \end{gathered}$ | Goal J Now Materials |  |  |  |  |  | Cosl K <br> Now Job Opportunities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | 83 | \% | $\bar{X}$ | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | \% | $\overline{\text { I }}$ |
| 0-4 | 39 | 49 | 27 | 3413 | 17 | 1.67 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 16 | 4 | 70 | 2.55 |
| 5-y | 41 | 32 | 60 | 4628 | 22 | 1.90 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 13 | 83 | 83 | 2.79 |
| 10-14 | 20 | 30 | 33 | 5013 | 20 | 1.89 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 46 | 89 | 2.85 |
| 15-1y | 26 | 38 | 33 | 4810 | 14 | 1.77 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 48 | 92 | 2.88 |
| 20-24 | 30 | 37 | 33 | 4118 | 22 | 1.85 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 52 | 85 | 2.77 |
| 25-29 | 38 | 46 | 29 | 3516 | 19 | 1.73 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 51 | 87 | 2.76 |
| 30-34 | 12 | 22 | 33 | 619 | 17 | 1.94 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 97 | 2.93 |
| 3\% ソur | 24 | 69 | 8 | 233 | 8 | 1.40 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 86 | 2.73 |

TABLE 21. DISTRIBUTION OF NON-PARTICIPANT GOALS TO YEARS IN TEACHING

| Years in Teaching | Goal AGroup Identification |  |  |  |  |  |  | Goal B <br> Renew Contacts |  |  |  |  |  | Goal COther Schools Are Doing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 |  | $\bar{X}$ | 1 | \% | 2 | \% | $3 \%$ | $\overline{\text { I }}$ | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | \% | $\bar{X}$ |
| 0-4 | 5 | 19 | 14 | 54 | 7 |  | 2.08 | 9 | 34 | 9 | 33 | 339 | 2.00 | 17 | 61 | 9 | 32 | 2 | 7 | 1.46 |
| 5-9 | 15 | 25 | 21 | 35 |  |  | 2.15 | 17 | 29 | 22 | 38 | 1933 | 2.03 | 48 | 72 | 18 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 1.30 |
| 10-14 | 6 | 25 | 13 | 54 | 5 |  | 1.95 | 9 | 45 | 7 | 35 | 420 | 1.75 | 18 | 60 | 9 | 30 | 3 | 10 | 1.50 |
| 15-19 | 17 | 47 | 14 | 39 | 5 |  | 1.66 | 25 | 39 | 13 | 34 | 1027 | 1.87 | 32 | 70 | 10 | 22 | 4 | 8 | 1.39 |
| $15-19$ $20-24$ | 17 | 27 | 17 | 43 | 12 |  | 2.02 | 12 | 32 | 14 | 36 | 1232 | 2.00 | 34 | 67 | 14 | 27 | 3 | 6 | 1.39 |
| - 24 | 28 | 4 | 22 | 35 |  |  | 1.76 | 21 | 36 | 16 | 28 | 2136 | 2.00 | 47 | 64 | 24 | 32 | 3 | 4 | 1.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 40 | 19 | 40 | 920 | 2.02 | 42 | 76 | 11 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 2.00 |
| 35 over | 14 | 53 48 | 14 8 | 30 28 | 7 |  |  | 10 | 4 | 9 | 39 | 417 | 1.74 | 21 | 55 | 14 | 37 | 3 | 8 | 1.53 |


| Years in Teaching | ```Goal D Academic Stimulation``` |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goal E } \\ \text { New Ideas - Trends } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ```Goal F``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | \% | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | \% | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 1 | \% | 2 | \% | 3 | $\%$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | $\%$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ |
|  |  | 63 | 9 | 33 | 1 | 4 | 1.41 | 23 | 77 | 6 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 1.26 | 8 | 30 | 9 | 33 | 10 | 37 | 2.07 |
| $0-4$ $5-9$ | 24 | 63 39 | 31 | 33 50 | 7 | 11 | 1.72 | 5 | 81 | 10 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 2.23 | 56 | 81 | 10 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 1.23 |
| 10-14 | 16 | 62 | 31 | 27 | 3 | 1 | 1.50 | 23 | 77 | 6 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 1.27 | 26 | 76 | 6 | 18 | 2 | 6 | 1.29 |
| 15-19 | 18 | 45 | 18 | 45 | 4 | 10 | 1.65 | 35 | 75 | 10 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 1.30 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 28 | 21 | 58 | 2.44 |
| 20-24 | 24 | 56 | 15 | 35 | 4 | 9 | 1.53 | 40 | 82 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 1.22 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 42 | 17 | 52 | 2.45 |
| 25-29 | 30 | 46 | 26 | 40 | 9 | 14 | 1.68 | 61 | 81 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 1.25 | 11 | 20 | 19 | 35 | 25 | 45 | 2.25 |
| 30-34 | 24 | 53 | 16 | 36 | 5 | 11 | 1.58 | 45 | 79 | 11 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 1.23 | 13 | 31 | 16 | 38 |  | 31 | 2.00 |
| 35 over | 11 | 42 | 10 | 39 | 5 | 19 | 1.77 | 33 | 83 | 6 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 1.20 | 7 | 29 | 6 | 25 | 11 | 46 | 2.17 |

TABLE 21 (Continued)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Years } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Teaching } \end{gathered}$ | Goal ${ }^{\text {G }}$Instructional Problems |  |  |  |  |  |  | Away From School |  |  |  |  |  | Goal IProfessional Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | \% | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | $3 \%$ | $\bar{\chi}$ | 1 | $g$ | 2 | 8 | 3 |  | $\bar{X}$ |
| 0-4 | 24 | 80 | 5 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 1.23 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 2087 | 2.83 | 22 | 76 | 6 | 21 | 1 | 3 | 1.27 |
| 5-9 | 55 | 81 | 10 | 14 | 3 | 5 | 1.23 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 4888 | 2.83 | 52 | 77 | 15 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 1.25 |
| 10-14 | 24 | 77 | 7 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23100 | 3.00 | 23 | 74 | 6 | 19 | 2 | 7 | 1.32 |
| 15-19 | 34 | 76 | 9 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 1.29 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 16 | 2374 | 2.64 | 45 | 87 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 1.15 |
| 20-24 | 40 | 77 | 10 | 19 | 2 | 4 | 1.27 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3097 | 2.96 | 42 | 75 | 13 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 1.27 |
| 25-29 | 51 | 76 | 13 | 19 | 3 | 5 | 1.28 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 42.8 | 2.93 | 72 | 91 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1.10 |
| 30-34 | 42 | 86 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1.14 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2991 | 2.81 | 49 | 82 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 5 | 1.23 |
| 35 over | 27 | 75 | 5 | 14 | 4 | 11 | 1.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.100 | 3.00 | 36 | 85 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 1.19 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Years } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Teaching } \end{gathered}$ | Goal J New Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  | Goal K <br> New Job Opportunities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | \% | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | \% | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | \% | 3 | \% | X |
| 0-4 | 20 | 69 | 7 | 24 | 2 | 7 | 1.34 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 13 | 18 | 78 | 1.91 |
| 5-9 | 28 | 44 | 26 | 47 | 10 | 15 | 1.71 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 47 | 37 | 2.83 |
| 10-14 | 12 | 48 | 8 | 32 | 5 | 20 | 1.72 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 95 | 1.94 |
| 15-19 | 20 | 51 | 12 | 31 | 7 | 18 | 1.66 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 24 |  | 2.92 |
| 2q-24 | 21 | 46 | 20 | 43 | 5 | 11 | 1.65 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 29 | 94 | 2.90 |
| $25<29$ | 26 | 42 | 27 | 44 | 9 | 14 | 1.72 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 39 | 93 | 2.30 |
| 30-34 | 22 | 50 | 21 | 48 | 1 | 2 | 1.52 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 23 |  | 2.92 |
| 35 over | 12 | 45 | 13 | 48 | 2 | 7 | 1.62 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 86 | 2.78 |


Figure 9. Percentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Years in Teaching
Class Interval U-4 Years

Figure 10. Percentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Years in Teaching

Figure 11. Percentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Years in Teaching
Class Interval 10-14 Years

Figuro 12 . Percentage of Participant and Mon-Participant Conference coal Responses According to Yoars in Toeohing
cises Interval $15-19$ grars

Figure 13. Percentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Years in Teaching
Class Interval 20-24 Years

Figure Ile $_{4}$ Percentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Years in Teaching
Class Interval $25-29$ Years

Figure 15. Percentage of Participant and Non-Participant Conference Goal Responses According to Years in Toaching
Class Interval $30-34$ Yoare

Fipure 16. Percentage of Participant and Non-Participent Conference coal Responses According to Years in Teaching
Class Interval 35 over Yeare
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 on: ofjectives of the conforerce.

To ascertein the goale of the conference, as ewressed the particinants, non-Rarticimants and the plaming contitoc manne, the

 are mentod in Taine 22.
 CPI IOR AGE TYZ joils CF TYOC COTEREMTM

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cvarall } \\ & \text { Conineme Gos? } \end{aligned}$ | Fort. | $\stackrel{0}{\text { Part. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yon- } \\ & \text { Fost. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{10}{10} \\ & \text { Mon- } \\ & \text { Part. } \end{aligned}$ | Flne. | Flne |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Information on the there | 237 | 34 | $5 ?$ | 2 | 17 | 44 |
| Aor: Lieas - tronds | 29 | 14 | 42 | 23 | $\zeta$ | 15 |
| Ghare and diacuss Encration | 3 s | 13 | 35 | 15 | , | 1.5 |
| Cortects - Enlorsip | -1 | V | 13 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Inoriration - stimulation | 27 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 1. | 10 |
| Lcarn wat atiore aroch.s re dino | 5 | 2 | 16 | $?$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ada rur orocesion - } \\ & \text { rane gondards } \end{aligned}$ | 33 | 6 | If | 7 | 4 | 3.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fotri to dor the } \\ & \text { sacor } \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 1 | $?$ | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| I movernt of classroom instricticn | 52 | 3 | 26 | 7 | 1 | 3 |
| Tot,al | 93 | 100 | 229 | 100 | 18 | 200 |

It hes been the wsual function of the theme of an educational confereace fon tenchers to rureent the general. irctructional area of concern to the group. Thus, a conference groun may focl tiat "Sanerrision" smbolizes the problom area of ureatoct irtorast to the anmorife
 theme of the corforence Howver, ruch oninions are atities witin twonslven and desery fo or rewgand an cuche



 participants, and 15 per cent of the armä condtes remondind. "Gore wh achas informtion" and "Insuration - atwation" ure gonle revet, in reris.




## Sonforace Goals Sumary

 severil retione. First, a comarativo marais wes mo between the oals of the particinats, non-partioipante and the nlanning comittoce The narticipants, non-particinants ard plamine committoe were aske to
 moring 1 - verj imortant, $2-$ sonemat imortant and 3 - of mincr imortance, weside cach goal. The rercentege of the response in ench
cateary was atormined and the un value oi the three categorios




 planning comittec maniore. In comoring the fon values ant the ropcuthges of the rire inemant remoners oi tho several boala, i.t wa foum that illor variation ocenred boticen the noming conittace and prticipant on? now-articimant goal reforonce relntive to ouls o,
 ard tenas," illustrating the larges percentage differontintion. Then the goals wore raned in order of reforcnce oy the three Erows using tho mon whon, wa tre Enewn Rank Corrolation Cofili-


 raning of coals by the rlannine comitter vith that of the paticipants and ron-particinonts.


 2.13 rernectively. The five oals receiving the largest nuniocr of very important rosnonses - gons I, E, G, C and D were rantra in iconticol orier by both particirants and non-participants.

The writer then cross-tabulated the goals with a series of artin: biocranhical characteristics to bamine possible relationshins
that may orist. The first bogreanical chernetoristic to be prosentol


 For the reanine 10 conierence goals. Also, rith the yossible eycontion
 of age clase Entorvals of nert,icimats and non-particinntes simod comBistent close apmanert.

The relationshin of conforag eong to maritel status of conieronce part, icinants and nor-marticinents was rext onalyzed. The conference goal mean values of the fenale particinants were loner then malo pertio-

 onn. way value of the female men-narticipants woro lower than ale
 iorortant resnorises in nino gonls.
 to drtomri ie the a meement between gol rrofonences di rarticiant and non-porticipent males ond armales; mortioinent and nor-rarticiront noles;

 of .90 with tive pertioment, male ard non-matioment malas lowat oith a comeration cocracionton.?

The rarticinonts ard non-rarticimante wre in weral woonent as to the rature of tro orer-all conference greals or desirable utcours of the confronce. The plenninc comitted menoers were in cloocr arerent




## Deriformen Inflacmes




 uccision rrocose.





 Table 23 Usiono the uistration of the ranonses.





 wes, "Infumo of tho roninence of the speaker," wiot roceived 1 yor ceat of tir rarticirant and 03 per cent of the non-particinant sianifLe at rainowers.
TABLE 23.

| Catogory | Factor A Administrative Superior |  |  |  |  |  |  | Factor B <br> Report At Staff Meetings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Factor C } \\ \text { Influence of A Friend } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Factor D <br> Being On The Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | \% | 2 | $\%$ | 3 | \% | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ | 1 | \% | 2 | \% |  | 3 | \% | $\overline{\text { X }}$ |  | \% | 2 | \% | 3 | ¢ | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ |  | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | \% | $\overline{1}$ |
| Participant | 73 | 14 | 65 | 13 | 66 | 13 | 2.58 |  | 13 | 73 | 15 |  |  | 72 | 2.38 |  | 416 | 102 | 20 | 326 | 6 | 2.47 |  | 314 | 22 | 5 | . 373 | 81 | 2.68 |
| N-Participant | 124 | 42 | 78 | 27 | 91 | 31 | 1.89 |  | 116 | 88 | 3 |  | 29 | 50 | 2.34 |  | 011 | 77 | 30 |  |  | 2.47 |  | 73 | 33 |  |  |  | 1.69 |
| Totel | 197 | 25 | 143 | 18 | 457 | 57 |  | 10 | 2 | 16 | 1 |  |  | 64 |  | 114 |  | 179 | 23 |  | 62 |  | 140 | 020 | 55 |  | 512 | 72 |  |


| Category | Factor E <br> Paymont of Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  | Factor $F$ <br> Fellowship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ```Factor 0 Prominence of Speaker``` |  |  |  |  |  |  | Factor H <br> School Support Of Conference |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | \% | 2 | \% | 3 | 8 | $\bar{\chi}$ | 1 | \% | 2 | \% | 3 | \% |  | $\bar{X}$ | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | \% | 3 | $\%$ | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ |  | 8 | 2 | \% | 3 | 1 | I |
| Participant | 56 | 11 | 128 | 26 | 315 | 63 | 2.52 | 186 | 34 | 249 | 45 | 118 | 21 |  | . 88 | 388 | 61 | 165 | 26 | 78 | 13 | 1.51 | 423 | 4 | 153 |  | 82 | 13 | 48 |
| N-Participant |  | 28 |  | 26 |  | 46 | 2.18 | 60 | 21 | 133 | 47 | 89 | 32 |  | . 10 | 208 | 63 | 90 | 27 | 32 | 10 | 1.47 |  |  |  |  | 37 | 111 | 1.42 |
| Total | 233 |  | 197 |  | 440 | 57 |  | 246 | 29 | 382 | 40 | 207 | 25 |  |  | 592 | 62 | 255 | 27 | 110 | 11 |  | 647 | 65 | 230 | 23 | 119 |  |  |

Fortutre fucet of the non-articinants respondine indicatud
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 iill be presented here.

 Raven (21:31), Anicrsor (17:255), and Parcej (27:2) concrred with th




 prospertive orticinunts.

 siaractiorictics of notential conference atternees. Pre-conference
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| -its! mos | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wwan of } \\ & \text { Rearcnose } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 |
|  | 3 |
| Involved as may peone on progran as posoble | 2 |
|  | 15 |
|  | 1. |
| Total | 32 |
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```
guetiona vith reforemee to confmome mesting i=ator, tho "inet of
```




```
rovealed bre the planning comittee nembers.
```




| Techriaue | Numbr of Recronses |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 |
| Grours discasoin trme or mrobem torjes | 6 |
| Lifuctivs snericn ont groun sessions | 21 |
| Program nersonnel | 5 |
| Frous torini yes | 4 |
| Evaluntion | 3 |
| $\therefore$ Aneme | 6 |
| Pand discusaion | 5 |
| Use of nrollen clinics | 5 |
| Uencnstrations | 3 |
| Totial | 56 |

```
confrunces. The most prominent of the tronnides nenticuma we eroup
discussiong. Six rezonses alza mentimon gron Hscusoiono in conjun-
```



```
availoble as to tirs nurposes which oach tecinime rea to morve.
```
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Table 2c.
```





| 3rowonse | amier fer <br> Resumes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fabry oon - grow is wit onnisticotea | 5 |
| Mobly obillon and wneriencou | 13 |
|  | 7 |
| Don't, mow | 4 |
| Total | 34 |

An examination of Table 29 shows that 30 of the 34 responses indicated some faniliarity with meeting techniques with 23 of the group stating the comilttee members were experienced and well informed.

The last question in the area of conference meeting techniques was concerned with the important factor of planning committee orientation to conference meeting design. The procedures used to orient committee members are stated in Table 30.



| Procedure | Number of <br> Responses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Meetings held by comnittee during the year | 7 |
| Meeting prior to conference |  |
| Rotation of planning committee keeps experienced <br> reople on the committee <br> Specialists from irichigan State University <br> Don't know | 3 |
| Total | 24 |

An examination of Table 30 shows little in the way of positive attempts to orient committee members to the various conference meeting technicues. Seven members replied, however, that orientation occurred during the planning meetings held during the year. Since most planning committees use the rotation system with a fixed number being appointed
each year, nine planning comnittee members expressed confidence in such a rotation plan to keep teachers experienced in conierence planning on the planning conmittee at all times. The fact that 11 of the 34 committee members did not know what procedures were used lends credence to the conclusion that the subject of planning comnittee orientation to technicues of conference planning needs further investigation.

The third question was, "Were the chairmen, resource people and speakers briefed about the goals and purposes of the conference?" The planning comnittee members were asked to check any of the three categories which were so briefed. The chairmen received il yes responses, resource people 26 yes responses, and speakers 30 checks indicating yes responses.

Conference planning by the membership. The importance of participant planning for both maximum individual benefit and effective group participation was emphasized by Jack (13:14), and Benne and Demorest (81:10). This principle of participant planning has also been stressed by the publications of the Michigan State Department of Public Instruction. It was deemed pertinent to this stucy to determine what specific planning was effected by the participants who attended trie conference and by the non-participants who had originally intended to attend the conference. The data thus revealed is presented in Tables 31 and 32.

TABLE 31. RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION "DID YOU DO Ainy SPECJFIC PLANNING IN PREPhRation Fof youir pakticipatioiv In this CONFERENCE"

| Category | Yes | $\%$ | Yes | No | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Porticipants | 210 | 24 | 674 | 76 |  |
| Non-Participants | 59 | 28 | 153 | 72 |  |

Only 24 per cent of the participants indicated they had made plans for their participation in the conference. Of the 212 non-participants who by responding to the question, inferred an intention to attend, 28 per cent indicated plaming activity towards conference participation. The ways in which the participants and non-participants planned to participate are presented in Table 32.

TABLE 32. RESFGNSE OF PAGTICDGLTS AND NON-PARTICIPANTS TC THE GUESTICN
"WHAT PLANNING DID YCU DO"

| Response | No. of <br> Part. | No. of <br> Non-Part. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Member of the planning comnittee | 24 | 2 |
| Read materials on the topic | 83 | 15 |
| Discussed conference with administration | 28 | 25 |
| Arranged for travel - reservations at | 25 | 17 |
| Kellogg Center | 36 | 6 |
| Preparation as a group leader | 22 | 5 |
| Arranged for substitute, made lesson plans | 3 | 2 |
| Preparations for participation in group meetirgs | 6 | 1 |
| Made necessary fanily arrangenents | 245 | 81 |
| Reviewed last year's conference | 2 | 2 |
| Total |  |  |

Of the 245 participant responses to the question, 157 were related to planning which might aid the attendee to more effectively participate
in the conference. It is worthy to note, however, that 704 participants indicated no specific planning activity.

Benne and Demorest (81:11) pointed out an important aspect of the factor of pre-conference planning by individual teachers. For maximum utilization of the benefits received from conference attendance such authorities suggested consultation with the staff to determine what information might be of assistance to the local situation. Approximately one-third of the participants indicated they had discussed the conference with fellow staff members. Related to this application of conference information "back home" is the opportunity to report to the staff. The writer asked both participants and non-participants two questions regarding reports to the staff which are presented in Tables 33 and 34.

TABLE 33. RESPGISES OF PAR'TICIPANTS AND NON-PARTICIPANTS TC THE QUESTION "IS PROVISION NADE TO MAKE A REPORT TO THE STAFF"

| Category | Yes | $\%$ | Yes | No | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 33 reveals that 40 per cent of the participants and 45 per cent of the non-participants were afforded the opportunity of reporting on the conference to their school staff.

TABLE 34. RESPONSES OF PARTICIPANTS AND NON-PARTICIPANLS TO THE QUESTION "WOLIU YOU SUGGEST A REPORT BE :LADE TO THE STAFF"

| Category | Yes | $\%$ Yes | No | $\%$ | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Participants | 542 | 67 | 207 | 33 |  |
| Non-Participants | 348 | 78 | 100 | 22 |  |
| Total | 890 | 71 | 367 | 29 |  |

Table 34 shows that 07 per cent of the participants and 78 per cent of the non-participants suggested that the school administration provide the opportunity for the teachers who attended a conference to present a report on the conference to the appropriate school staff. The fact that 78 per cent of the non-participants favored a provision for reporting conference results to the staff would indicate interest and need from such a report by those teachers unable to attend the conference.

## Conference Administration

The Van Duyn Study (50) revealed that planning sessions of meetings covered by the study were of ten monopolized by "such leaders as executive-secretaries, sponsoring organizations, or small power groups... The dominance of a self-perpetuating leadership group seemed to have inhibiting effects on intellectual and professional growth..." (33:3). It was considered a purpose of this study to investigate planning committee make-up and seek information relative to planning committee selection, operational patterns and decision making processes.

Selection of resource people. The qualification most often expressed as being the most important when selecting resource people is that they be authorities in the specialty or instructional area to be discussed. There are other criteria which may be peculiar to the traditions or interests of the particular conference group. The data presented in Table 35 are responses in reply to the question addressed to the planning committee members: "What procedures are used to select resource people?"

TABLE 35. PROCEUUTES TISEL TO SELECT RESCURCE PEOPLE FOR FIVE SELECTED COIFERENCES FOR TEACHEIS

| Procedure | Number of <br> Fesponses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Geographic representation | 11 |
| Merit or experience | 29 |
| Reconnendations to planning committee | 3 |
| No criteria | 3 |
| Total | 3 |

The Van Duyn Study (50) revealed that resource people were usually obtained from institutions of higher learning and the Michigan State Department of Public Instruction. Although provisiion was made for planning committee members to check these two sources, only one committee member indicated that their conference groups considered selection of
such personnel as a significant procedure per se. The utilization of personnel outside the public school area is subject to the other criteria as suggested in Table 35, the most important of which was merit or experience with some recognition given to geographic representation.

Orientation of group chairmen and resource people. The success of group discussions is considered largely dependent upon well trained and informed group chairmen and resource persons. Each has a particular and vital role to play in the conduct of an effective and purposeful group discussion. Hence, an important principle of educationally sound conference planning is provision for thorough orientation of group chairmen and resource people to the goals and purposes of the conference and a clearly defined understanding of their specific duties and obligations.

The plarning comnittee members were asked three questions dealing with the subject of orientation. The first question was, "Are there specific provisions made for the orientation of group chairmen and resource people? ${ }^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ To this question 30 members replied yes and three members replied no.

The second question asked: "If yes, what were they?" Table 36 presents the data in answer to this question.

From Table 36 it is observed that most of the conference program personnel, excluding the speaker, received orientation to their duties and responsibilities at a time prior to the opening of the conference, usually a few hours preceding the first session.

## TABLE 36. PHOCEDTJRES FCR ORIENTATION OF GROIP CHAIREN AND RESOURCE

 PEOPLE| Procedure | Number of Responses |
| :---: | :---: |
| Meeting of personnel prior to opening of conference | 22 |
| Material sent through the mail | 7 |
| Assune leaders are faniliar with techniques | 2 |
| Kellogg Center provides personnel | 2 |
| Total | 33 |
| Operation of the planning committees in group session. The admin- |  |
| istration of a conference, as particularly concerned with the internal |  |
| functioning of the planning comittee, is a factor of utmost importance |  |
| if the conference is to adhere to principles of planning that are educa- |  |
| tionally sound and philosophically defensible. The oft mentioned comnent |  |
| that some conferences are dominated by a clique or cower group within |  |
| the membership was confirmed by the Van Duyn Study (50). To determine |  |
| the operational practices of the five conferences included in this invest- |  |
| igation, the following two questions were asked of the planning committee <br> members: (1) How does the planning committee function, and (2) How are |  |
| decisions made? The results are tabulated in Tables 37 and 33 which |  |
| follow: |  |

TABLE 37. TABULATION OF RESPGRSES TO THE QUENTION "HO'N DCES TEE PLANNING COMITTEE FUNCTIGN:"

| Response | Number of <br> Responses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Through individual committees | 5 |
| Through full planning committee meetings | 32 |
| Through comnittee chairman assigned responsibilities | 15 |
| Other | 0 |
| Total | 52 |

Table 37 reveals that the conferences participating in the study functioned trrough cominttee activity. Consultaticni : with representatives of the five conferences revealed that all five groups conducted planning activities while meeting in fu:l committee session, but because of the complexity of certain details it was necessary for the chairman to appoint sub-comilittees, which in turn renorted to the full comnittee for action. Some committpe members were assigned to, or voluntcered for, specific duties such as chairman of the planned recreation program or chairman of group discussion assignments.

Some comizititee activity was carried on by correspondence, much of which was directed to the Continuing Education Service coordinator at Michigan State University.

TABLE 38. TABULATICN OE RLSPOSES TO THE GESTION HHCN ARE DECISIONS MADE"

| Response | 30 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Majority vote of the comaittee | Number of <br> Responses |
| By the comnittee chairman | 7 |
| Total | 0 |

Table 38 shows that 30 of the 37 responses indicated that decisions were made by a najority vote of the committee. The seven remaining responses stated that the decisions were made by the committee chairman. However, while consulting with representatives of the conferences the writer was informed that the action on the part of the committee chairman was concerned with minor details which occurred during the performance of assigned responsibilities by a sub-committee or an individual. Such action by the chairman was in reality a recomendation or suggestion and not necessarily a decision. In no case was the committee chairman permitted to make unauthorized decisions on his own.

Planning comnittee selection and term of office. Other important facets as regards to the operation of the planning committee are concerned with the manner of selection of planning committee members and their term of office. The question, "In what manner are the planning comnittee members selected," was asked not to obtain a list of qualifications but
to determine the machinery of planning committee selection. Table 39 reveals the data concerned with planning committee selection.

TABLE 39. RESPONSES TO THE QIESTION "IN JHAT MANNER ARE THE PLAVVING COMITTEE ZEMBERS SELECTED

| Response | Number of Responses |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vote of last year's conference participants | 2 |
| Appointed by the organization roverning body | 16 |
| Representation by geographic regions | 12 |
| Poll of membersijp by nail | 1 |
| Cther | 0 |
| Total | 31 |

An examination of Table 39 discloses that the majority of planning committee members were appointed by the governing body of the organization. Twelve conrnittee members represented the various districts in which the groups were organized.* Only two members were elected by the conference participants at the time of the conference while one member was elected by vote of the membershio by mail. Nine of the planning committee members served one year while the remainder served different terms utilizing the principle of rotation. Seven comnittee members served three year terms under a rotation plan.

[^2]
## Conference Planning and Administration Sumnary

The analysis of the data concerned with the important area of conference planning was presented to reveal planning procedures of both the planning committee and the teachers who attended or planned to attend the conference. Certain basic principles were outlined, most of which formed the basis for the questions included in the measuring instrunents.

None of the conferences conducted a pre-conference survey but instead relied on information obtained from an evaluation form completed by those in attendance at the conference of the preceding year, and from a limited anount of personal inquiry. Most of the planning committee members indicated that the needs and interests of the membership received considerable attention during the conference planning sessions. These conclusions were supported by expressions of confidence from the membership. Ninety-eight per cent of the participants and 96 per cent of the non-participants responded that the conference was, in their opinion, planned to meet the needs of the majority of the members of their professional teacher organization.

Technique B, "Theme, speaker - group discussions on the theme," was the type of conference program design most preferred by the participants, non-participants and planning committee, with those programs utilizing group discussions receiving 75 per cent of the number one participant responses, 78 per cent of the non-participant number one responses, and 88 per cent of the planning committee number one responses.

The planning comnittee members indicated that group discussion chairmen, resource people, and the principal speakers were briefed concerning the goals and purposes of the conference. The usual method for
such briefing was to provide an orientation meeting for progran personnel prior to the opening of the conference. Progran personnel were selected by merit or experience in the majority of cases although geographic representation was also a prominent factor.

The planning comittees of the five educational conferences for teachers participating in this study functioned through full cominittee meetings with some particular duties and responsibilities assigned to comnittee members by the chairman. Decisions were made by a majority vote of the conmittee in all cases. Most of the planning committee members were appointed by the governing body of each organization, served terms of varying lonisth, and vere mointed acconding to some rincirle of rotation. Seven members served three year terms while nine served one year appointments.

Seventy-six per cent of the participants and 72 per cent of the non-participants who had planned to attend, but were unable to do so, made no specific plans for their participation in the conference. It was significant to note that while 40 per cent of the participants and 45 per cent of the non-participants were given the opportunity to report the results of the conference to their school staff, 67 per cent of the participants and 73 per cent of the non-oarticipants favored the onportiunity for teachers who attended a conference to refort conference resulti to the anoropriate staff.

## Conference Evaluation

It was mentioned in Chapter III that conference evaluation is generally considered to be an essential part of effective conference
planning. It was further mentioned that conference evaluation should be conceived in terms of how successfully the conference accomplished its objectives.

It was a basic assumption of this investigation that the conference, as a method of learning, should be designed, planned and structured to serve the needs and interests of the people directly concerned. By the same token it was also a basic assumption that the conference should be evaluated in terms of participant goal satisfaction as well as the evaluation of individual features, methods, or techniques included in the conference procran.

The evaluation process, as concerned with this investigation, consisted of administering an evaluation form to the conference participants at the conclusion of the conference. The evaluation form consisted of questions reluted to three categories: (1) questions to provide data relative to the satisfaction of individual goals as a result of attending the conference, (2) questions pertaining to an evaluation of the main features of each conference, and (3) questions inserted at the request of conference representatives concerned with membership preference on choice of days, length of the conference, and suggestions for increasing interest in this particular conference.

For the purnoses of this study the first section dealing with individual goal satisfaction as a result of attending the conference will be analyzed and presented here.

To determine a measure of the degree of participant goal satisfaction, the writer presented the following statement on the evaluation form: "I feel this conference has completely met my personal and
professional goals." The participant was asked to rate his ow personal evaluation by checking one of four evaluative measures: true, mostly true, false and mostly false. The results are shown in Table 40.

TABLE 40. REPLIES OF CONFERENCE PARTICIPANTS IN RESPONSE TC THE STATE
 GCALS"

| Category | Number of <br> Responses | Per Cent of <br> Responses | Cumulative <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| True | 195 | 23.8 | 23.9 |
| Mostly true | 579 | .70 .6 | 94.4 |
| Mostly false | 41 | 5.0 | 59.4 |
| False | 5 | .6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 820 | 100.0 |  |

Table 40 reveals that 23.8 per cent of the participants replied that the conference completely met their goals while 70.6 per cent replied that the statement was mostly true. Thus, 94.4 per cent replied positively to the statenent while 5.6 per cent reolied negatively. Only . 6 of 1 per cent responded to the "false" category.

The second question asked on the evaluation form was, "If true or mostly true, in what ways did the conference satisfy your goals?" The answers are presented in Table 41.

TABLE L1. DISTRIBUTION OF CONFERENCE PARTICIPANT RE'SPONSES TO THE QUESTION "IN WHA'T WAYS DID THE CONFERENCE SATISFY YOUR GOALS"

| Response | Number of <br> Responses | Per Cent of <br> Responses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Speaker excellent, practical, helpful | 136 | 13 |
| Discussion groups excellent, worthwhile | 249 | 24 |
| Topic - theme provided needed information | 173 | 17 |
| New ideas | 84 | 8 |
| Helps to know others have similar problems | 59 | 6 |
| Fellowship, meeting old friends | 68 | 171 |
| Stimulation and inspiration to do a <br> better job | 59 | 16 |
| Evaluated my own work, substantiated <br> own philosophy | 32 | 100 |
| Learned what others were doing | 1,031 | 3 |

The analysis of the data in Table 41 reveals that the modal response was "Discussion grouns excellent, worthwhile" representing 24 per cent of the responses. Seventeen per cent of the responses mentioned the topic or theme of the meeting and 16 per cent responded that the conference provided stimulation and inspiration to do a better job.

It is interesting to note that 13 per cent of the responses mentioned the benefits received from the contribution of the speakers. This is in contrast io the data revealed in Table $\geqslant 2$ where it was shown
that onty 1 per cent of the participants stated hearing the speaker was a goal of the conference. Also, when tallying the data in Table 13 "wanting to hear the speazer" or an equivalent statement was not added to the list of goals in the spaces provided on the questionnaire. However, in Table 23 the influence of the prominence of the speaker was noted as significant in influencing a majority of the participants to attend the confererice.

Another pertinent coservation is the relationship of the preferred tippe of conference meeting arrangerent wijch was revealed in Table 27 to be "speaker, there - discussion crours on tre theme," and three of the four highest responses in Tabie 41 which were "discussion grours," "topic thene," and "sjeaker." Thus, the data presents a direct relationsinip between tine responses in Table 41 and the method preferred in achieving narticipant and non-participant conference soals.

As regards to the conduct of an eveluation of the 1054 conference of each conference participating in the study, 31 planning committee members renlied that an evaluation was made of their conference while three nembers stated there was no evaluation. Twentyr-two of the 31 members referred to above stated the evaluation was systematic and nine replied that the evaluation was informal. The evaluation was made at the conclusion of the conference in all cases and reviewed at the first planning cominittee meeting held after the conference.

It is interesting to note that 18 planning cominttee members stated that the evaluation was made by the planning committee while 10 nembers replied that conference participants completed pvaluation forms. Thus, less than one-third of the planning ccalittee nembers were able to
cvaluete their confercnce in terms of participant reactions, suggestions and goal satisfactions.

It was a basic assanntion of this investigation that the conferonce participant attends an educational conference motivated by certain goals for which he seeks satisfaction. If such goals motivate a participant to attend a conference, the question arises as to why the non-participants were unable to attend. The reasons for non-a.ttendance, as suggested by renresentatives of the teacher organizations participating in this study, were presented for non-participart reaction. Table 42 presents the non-participant responses to the question, "Did any of the following influence your decision not to attend this conference?" The number of responses represented those considered important by the nonparticipants.

The non-participants were given opportunities to reflect their reasons for not attending the conference of their professional organization in four areas: (1) conflicts due to family obligations and prior cominitments, (2) travel and expense, (3) lack of interest in the conference progran, and (4) local school problems. Forty-nine per cent of the non-participants stated that they had a scheduled conflict, while 22 per cent replied that family ooligations required their presence at home.

The second area of responses, travel and expense, received 12 per cent of the responses. Five per cent of the non-participants stated that they had too far to travel, 5 per cent could not afford the expense, and 2 per cent had no transportation available.

Area three, lack of interest in the conference program, consisted of two statements: (1) "I did not feel the conference would be of benefit

TABLE 42. DISTRIBUTION OF NO:T-PARMICIPANT RESPGNSES TC THE ZUESTION "JID ANY CH TEE FCLLOWIVG I:FLUENCE YOUR DYCISICH: OOT TO ATTEND THIS CONFTRENCE"

| Response | NumberPer Cent of <br> Responses |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Too far to travel | 21 | 5 |
| No transportation available | 8 | 2 |
| Could not afford the expense | 21 | 5 |
| I did not feel the conference would be of <br> benefit to me | 11 | 38 |
| I could not obtain consent of the <br> administration | 203 | 49 |
| I had a scheduled conflict | 18 | 4 |
| I could not obtain a substitute teacher | 6 | 1 |
| I did not like the nrogran this year family oblications | 917 | 22 |

to me," received 3 per cent of the resnonses while the statenent, "I did not like the orograin this year," recejved but l per cent of the important non-rarticipant responses.

Area four, iocal school problems, presented two statenents related to the most cominon conflicts in this area. Four per cent of the nonparticipants stated that a substitute teacher could not be obtained while 9 per cent could not obtain consent to attend the conference from the school administration.
,

From the data thus revealed, 71 per cent of the non-participant responses were related to personal problens. The nature of the "scheduled conflicts" was not revealed.

While Table 42 presented non-participant responses to a predetermined set of statements in order to confirm or deny certain authoritydefined reasons for non-participant attendance, it was considered necessary to pose the question in such a way so as to cbtain original expressions from the individual non-participant. Hence, the writer asked the followinf question: "Nnat factors caused you not to attend this conference?" The non-participant responses are presented in Table 43.

An cxamination of Table 43 reveals, in greater detail, the reasons why the non-participants were unable to attend. The modal statement, "heavy schedule - too busy," received 20 per cent of the responses. Ctherwise, the number of responses were randomly distributed with no particular resporse outstanding.

It would be aporopriate to compare responses mentioned in Table 42 with the responses noted in Table 43. For comparative purposes, the responses in Table 43 will be combined, where logical and related, to fit the four areas of replies mentioned in the discussion following Table 42.

Area one - conflicts due to family obligations, scheduled conflicts and personal reasons. Seventy-one per cent of the responses in Table 42 were related to tinis area. By combiring the statements, "I had a conflict," "Junior Figh Principals Conference," "previous plans...," "personal reasons," "illness," and "family obligations," from Table 43, 50 per cent of the responses would be related to area one.
 "JHAT FACTORS CAUSED YGU NOT 'IO ATTED TYIS COHEERENCE"

| Response | Number | Per Cent of Responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I had a conflict | 36 | 10 |
| Heavy schedule, too busy | 71 | 20 |
| Family obligations | 23 | 7 |
| Illness | 22 | 6 |
| Fersonal reasons | 29 | 9 |
| Wasn't my turn to come, wasn't elicible | 22 | 6 |
| Attended national conference of the organization - could not atterd both | 17 | 5 |
| Junior High Prircipals Conference | 23 | 8 |
| Lack of information on propram | 9 | 3 |
| Previous plans, spring vacation | 34 | 10 |
| Wasn't asked, administration womld not permit attendance | 34 | 10 |
| Not interested in attending, program is not inviting | 10 | 3 |
| No substitute teacher available | 8 | 2 |
| Miscellaneous | 4 | 1 |
| Total | 347 | 100 |

Area tivo - travel and expense. Twelve per cent of the responses in Table 42 were classified in this area, while only two non-participants responded in this area in response to the question noted in Table 43.

Area three - lack of interest in the conference jrogram. This statement received 4 per cent of the responses as shown in Table 42 as compared to 3 per cent of tine ron-participants in Table 43 who replied, "not interested in attending - the program is not inviting."

Area four - local school problems, received 13 per cent of the responses in Table 42 . By combining the statements, "heavy schedule too busy," "wasn't my turn to come, wasn't eligivie," "wasn't asked, administration would not permit," "no substitute teacher available," and "attended national conference of tile organization - could not attend both," noted in Table 43, 43 per cent of the non-participant replies wonld be related to this area.

One statement in Table 43 was not assigned to a particular area. "Lack of information on the conference" could possibly be related to area tinree, but the lack of information might not infer a lack of interest in the conference program.

## Summary

The data pertaining to conference evaluation reveals a high degree of acceptance by the participants of the manner in which the conference oi their nrofessional teacher organization satisfied their goals. Nearly one-fourth of the participants stated that the conference corupletely nct their personal and professional goals while approxinately $i l$ fer cent replied that most of their goals were satisfied as a result of attending the conference.

The responses to the ways in which the conference satisfied the conference goals of the participants were analogous to the preferred
type of conference progran desicn. Twenty-four per cent of the responses attested to the benefits received from the group discussions while 17 per cent replied that the information received from the topic or theme was helpful. That the conference provided stirnulation and inspiration to do a better job was mentioned by ló per cent of the responses while the information and benefits received fron hearirg the keynote speakers received 13 per cent of the responses.

The study presented the analysis of the reasons why the nonparticipants were unable to atterd the conference of their professional organization in two weys. First, a structured question was asked to reveal resconses in terns of authority-defined reasons for potential particinants not attending tre conferonce. A second question asked the non-rarticipants to state their reasons for not attending the conference in question.

The structured question revealed that rearly one-half of the non-participants responding stated thej had a scheauled conflict. Twenty-two per cent rerlicd that family obligations required their presence at homic. Iine per cent of the non-participants could not obtain consent of the school administration to altend the conference.

The unstrmetured question, "inhet factors caused you not to attend this conterence," revealed a wide variety of reasons with the staterment, "heavy schedule - too busy," receiving 20 per cent of the responses. When the responses in Tables 42 and 43 were classified into four areas, comnarison of the responses revealed only one area where substantial agreenent was obtaincd. The lack of interest in the conference procrain was of nincr concern to the nor-rarticinants while conflicts
due do fanily obligations, personal reasons, and prior comitaments received 71 per cent of the structured yuestion resronses and 50 per cent of the responses from the unstructured question.

For the most purt the data revealed by the unstractured question seemed to be more relevant to the problem ancic are viuc lor aalysis and evaluation.

## CHPTER VI

## Introduction

Statement un the problem. The educational conference has becune a popular ard prominent mediun for the achievement of certain caucational geals and objectives of professional interest to teachers. Although a literature on coniorence methociology and tectiniques tes been developed, such literature has lacked research that would benci to focus attention on the componentis of conference mathodology, as they relate to the planning, organization and evaluation of the conference as a total learning situation.

Specifically it was the pirpose of this investigation to: (1) analyze the conference goals of teachers who attenced a selected eroup of emacational conferences at Michigan State University and the conference goals of teachers who were nenbers of the teacher organization sponsoring the corforence, but who were unable to attend; (2) compare the goals of the mombershie with the planning comittees expression of the goals of the nembership; (3) examine possible relationships between the conference goals and certain biographical characteristics of the participants and non-participants; (4) exanine the planning and administrative procedures of the conferences participating in the study; and (5) evaluate the respective conferences in terms of the ways in which individual conference goals were met as a result of attending the conference.

Need for the study. The number of confererces of professional interest to teschers is increasing in number creating numerous problems for school aduinistrators and teaciers alike. Conference attendance by teachers creates the need for budgetary allotinents, in-service education policies and the status of conference attendance by teachers in such policies, and the reed for qualified substitute te:achers to replace those teachers attending a corference. These problems have resulted in organized opposition within the Michigan Association of School Administrators to that is considered encessive conference attendarce by teachers. There is little doubt that a sizeable portion of this opposition questions the educational value of confererces. With this situation existing in Nichizan it anneared timely and logical to evaluate the conference as a wortrmile learning opportunity for teachers.

The review of the litersture indicated an apparent lack of published research on conforences althow the literature presented general artizles with refererce to certain conference methodolowy and tecinicues. Research was needed, however, to evaluate such methodology in teras of the fulas of the confrence as well as to reveal the proferences of the potential particinant for certain techniques most useful in meeting his needs and interests.

It was a basic assumption of this investigation that a parlicipant attonded a conference motivated by certain goals for which he sought satisfaction. The lack of research in this fundamental area of conference goal.s made this study cesirable and purposeful.

It was heretofore mentioned that research was needed that would entail the complete scope of the conference as a proccdure for the
achievernent of educational objectives and purposes. The present study included the areas of conference coals, biocraphicitl characteristics of the sample, conforence olanning and administration, and an evaluation of the conferences in terms of individual goal satisfaction.

Methods and procedures. After conducting two rilot studies, which served as bases for this study as well as to provide, in part, many of the items for the questionaires used for the final study, five educational conferences for teachers were selected, and permission for particioation in the study was granted by the appropriate governing board of each sponsoring teacher organization. The five conferences selected followed similar conference meeting design, were groups neeting annually at the Kelloge Center for Contimuirg Education, Michigan State University, and were sinilar in acininistrative structure and educational purnose.

Four measuring instruments were devised: (1) a pre-conference questionnaire was administered to conference participants prior to the opening of the conference; (2) a similar questionneire vas mailed to those nembers of the sponsoring teacher organization who were unable to attend; (3) ar evaluation form was administered to the narticipants at the conclusion of the conferonce; and (4) a queatiomaire was competed by each manber of the resnective conference plaming comittees.

The questionnaires were prepared in consultation with rerresentatives of the sronsoring teacher organizations, staff members of the Continuing Education Service, and staff members of the Michizan State Department of Public Instruction. The specific research techiniques employed were: (1) interview; (2) questionnaire; and (3) djrect observation.

## Summary

In order to ascertain the nature of the groups under investigation, the study first presented an analysis of the biographical characteristics of the conference particinant and non-participant grouns. A sumary commarison of biographical data was also provided. The initial biographical characteristic discussed was that of age.

An analysis of the data snowed that the participants represented a somewhat younger portion of the sample, although the sge class interval for this group revealed a rather uniform age distribution. Both participant and non-narticipant groups were composed of a hieher percentage of females, with the ratio of females to males larer anong the nonparticipants. The median age of the male teachers in the total sample was noticeably lower than the nedian age of the fenale teachers. Furthermore, the data showed a wider difference between tre median are of the male participants and female particigants than between the nedian age of the male non-participants and the female non-particioants.

A majority of the sample was married, with the participant and non-participant grouns containing a similar nercentace of narried teachers. dotciolo differences were noted between the number of tecchers in the sample teaching in the several classcs of schools. Class A schools were reprosented by more teachers in the total sample then the combined total of teachers from the class B, $\mathcal{C}$, $D$ and $E$ schools. The percentage of participants and non-participants teaching in cach of the five classes of schools was alnost identical. A substantial percentage of the sample taught in schools located below a line exteriding west from Port Huron through Grand Ranids.

This investigation further showed that the median number of years in teaching for particinants was lower than the non-participants with relatively small class interval variations between the tao groms. Annroximately one-third of the non-participants had not yet attended a conference of the teacher organizations wich particinated in this strudy, while a near equal percentare of the participants attended the conference for the first time. A very sinall percentage of the total sample had not had previous conference experience. Two-thirds of the total sample of teachers had attended less then eight conferences during the past five years. The data showed little variation between participant and non-participant attendance at conferences of teacher organizations which participated in the study or at conferences of other teacher organizations not included in this investigation.

Close agreement existed between the conference goal preferences of the particjnants and non-participants with moderate agreenent noted between the planning comrittee expression of membership goals and those expressed by the participants and non-particirants. These conclusions were verified when the nean values of the goals were ranked in order of preference $b_{j}$ the three groups and the Snearman Rank Correlation Coefficiont (105:1:57) aplicd. The five goals receiving the largest number of very important responses were identically ranked in order by the participants and non-participants. A conclusion of the Van Duyn Study (50) that social fellowshin was a major attraction of the meetings, conferences, and conventions held for public school superintendents in Michigan from 1914 7 -1950, was not supported by the data revealed in this study.

When the garticipant and non-participant grours were classificd into age chass intervels and the mean values of the coal preferences apiliod, such men values were found to be randomy distributed. The data also showed that iften the mean values of each goal were ranted, using both dieg and years in teaching class intervals, little eviderce was noted of abier gal chane or variation between the aje and years in tesching categories or betmen participant did non-participant responses. Furthernore, little variation was loted between roel mreferences of narried and sinslo narticipants, harried and single non-participarts, married nerticinante and non-prticinents, and sincle particinants and non-articinats. The arlication of tre Soearnar. Rank Correlation Coefficient (105:457) confirmed t $\because$ is conclusion.

The corference goal mean vilues of the female participants were consiotontly low than thone of the male particinants, and were in now complete arreeqent with the ranking of the goals by the female nonparticipants. Both the particinant mutes and femeles expressed a nicher percentage of very important responses for each conference goal as compared to non-narticinant males and females.

Acain the participants and non-participants were in close agreement concerning the over-all goals oi the conference. The neribers of the planning connittees were in closer agreement with the participants than with the non-participants, althongh all three grouns ranked the four most prominent coals in identical order.

Bolin participents and ron-participants disclosed two factors which may have influenced their decision to attend. Thus, the attraction of a "nane" snonker, and the recognition by the particular school
brsten of the conference as a valuable in-service education nodiun were notiveting forces in influenciry, to some derree, the attendance by the particinants to a conference ircluded in this investigation. Other factors did not anear to have eneral sigmificance although each ary have influenced individual decisions to atterd. A sizeable percentage of non-particinants indicated they might have attended the conference of their intorest if consent had been obtained from their school administration.

The planning comittees, for the most part, used an evaluation form, comnleted at the previous year's conference, as the nain basis for determining the needs of the memivership as well as for planning next year's conference. Otherwise, planing comittee members relied on their collective interpretation of meabershin needs and problems. Both the particinants and non-participants were fully conficient in the plannine comittee of their respective organization to approvriately recognize membership needs ard interests in planning a prroseful and vorthinile conference.

A conference meetirs arrancenent in wich speakers and groun discussions were featured, was roferred by a substantial najority of participants, non-participants and plannine committee mombers. All three crours were in close acreenent on this point. Group discussions on a sirgle tonic or theme were rreferred to group discussions on various topics. The arrangement least preferred by all three aforementioned grouns, was the unstructured conference.

The data chowed that the planninf comittees of the participating teacher organizations discussed a wide variety of conference techniques
during the conterence plaming sessions. winile the comittee merioers expressed their faniliarity with various conference reeting̈ techniques, the data revealed little evicience that planning comittee memoers nere Given concrete and organized orientation to conference nethodolog. Nost members relied on their own experience with various conierence meeting techniques plus the exmerience of those on the cominttee wo, because of the rotating nembershin nan, had served reviously on the plaming comuttee.

Over three-íourths of the particiounts indicsted they had nade no snecific preparation or planning for their partjcination in the conference. However, one-third of the participants discussed the conference with fellow staff members with onds slightly more in number being able to report, the benefits received fron attendins the corterence to the school staif. A sizeable majority of the total sample, however, favored an oprortunity to report to the apmoriate school facuity.

Most of the conterence eroup discussion chairmen and revource people were briefed as to the doals and objectives of the conference a.t a meeting scheduled prior to tise opening of the conference. The speakers were usually so briefed by correspondence. Resource peonle were selected on the basis of nerit or experience in the majority of cases while some consideration was given to obtainine acierate feogramic representation of nrocram rersonnel. Extensive use of feculty memoers of institutions of hicher learning or of the Michigan State Department of Public Instruction nas not in evidence.

The conferences narticipating in the study were planned in full plamine committee neetinas with the resnonsibility of some specitic
task or cictail assichod bo sub-comittees or individuals by the respective committce chairmen. Decisions meremade by ajority vote of the full committes.

A majority of the comittee mombers were aprointed by the organization gov rning body while one conference planning committee was composed of a member rerresentin each of the Nichican Education Association regions. Only two of the 34 riannine conmittee members stated that they were elected by voto of tho conference narticipants.

The deta forther shomed thet wir particinntos were well satisfied Wh'n the role al the comence in andevire tineir personal and profesEioncl coals. decrly one-fourth oi the pertictoants respondine st, tued thet the coninence combetely net their conference goals, while a subtanting anomiturad that the statenent, "I ieel this conference has combetoly met my personal end protessionel oals," was mostly true. Less ther. ser cont of the partacinents ropion menativere to the statsmat.






 inent resronser.

Sone indacaion re noted on the part of the rlannini comattee nerbers as to the rovisions for evaluation of their own particular
confercnce. Over die-half of the namine conattee unbers stated abat the evaluation was conducted y the planing comittee, while less than one-third of the nombers replied that the conforence participurts completed evalu:tion forms. Accorling to the data, tio-thirds of the planing conittee nembers we mable to evaluate their conference in terms of participant resclions, suaestions ard participant conference enal satisfaction.

A wide variety of reesons were expressed by the nom-jarticinants
 organization. Fost messions wro concemed ath the extensive and tine consuming schonl onlinations, orior comitmonts, family oblications and certain personal reasons. The lack of interest in either the correrence rograu or on their modessional oreanization was rat subatandect bu the tota.

## Corclusions

The following concinsions must be inturereted in terms of fire limitations and scone of the stub. The linitations inderent in the data restrict the findires of the investiration in terms of the oreadth of generaiizations that can be made.

1. It nay be concluded from the dita that the participants and non-participants, as separate entities, do not have exclusive characteristics or separate needs ard interests. To the contrary, the close agreenent noted with reaird to individual conference eoals, over-all conference goals, conference meeting design, conference attendence, and the other categories and areas discussed in this investigation shows a
comon sharing of needs, interests and opinions wich reflect the existence of a proression of teachers rather then srbitray divisions known as conforence rarticirants an corirence non-purticirants.
2. It men be corchadnd from the responses wit the articipant and non-participant groups that the particirets atterder the conference of tieir rofessional oreanization motirated by certain professional dals for when they sought satisfaction. The ouls rated highest in


 onals were satisfied as a result ai etterding the conference were lite:ise empessions rol ted to the instructioral process and professional.


 inc土ate in thio intostiontmo











 Correlation Cof Miziont was orbe

It $\because$ a conclubd that the auction of planame comitue







 a small portion of the samle attended most of the conaracreta of tiat

 atituded too mane conerrects. It may be sürested that allecitions of
 by a closer conamation of the meanim of the tera "excescive conforence attrendance" as hised $b_{j}$ the leaders of tie oprosition within the Mialifan Asscei bion of Jchonl Aministratome.

- The fact that, a moritu of the nartiourats, non-rarticiats and mamine curattice mombers preferrod a conference design to incluce a lefnote orestor and croup discussions is indicative of tie significance attached by the teachers included ir the sarple to a learming situstion or technique best suited to ineet their reeds and interests and to solve their mofoceional probleme. An analysis of the responses to the treys
in mich the confernce goals of the rarticimants were sutistied as a rowlt of attencing a confercnce revented that the tiree state ents receiving the largost muber fif responses vere discussion grouns, information on the topic or theme, and the practicel information reciaved from the konots menker. These thrce factors also made up the basic conference irsign most nreferred by the particinarts, non-rarticipants and plamire comittee mombers.

6. The dita reveded that the mamine comittee monbers woro inconsistent, in thair roonase se to the provisions for evaluation of the conferences participating in this study. This anparent indecision and lack of information raises serious question as to the nature and effectiveness of the evaluation nrocess used by the five participating conferences.
7. me indementation of an organized orientation progran for planning conniltite nembers to the comonents of conferance plamine, netrode, ereraization and evaluation is an educationally sound and eficctive procedure. The date revealed little evidence that the oryanizations particireting in the study provided for this significant princinle of conference plannire.
8. Plamins for rarticipation in the conference by the potential participint was revealed by this study to be worthy of considerable attention by teacher orcanizations and other groups cooperating in sponsoring a conference for teachers. It was aentioncd in Cnapter III that the conference participant is obigated to is group to assess his problems and needs, read appropriate literature related to the theme or toric of the conference, discuss the conference with the staff in tems
of that conference venerits may be applied in th: locsl school situation, and vany othor aspects of effective paricipant ramive. The data revealed that, over threc-fourths of the 915 particirante give no indication of planing activity thet migh contribute to the effectiveness of his particiration in the confercace of his professiongl organization.
S. The overwhelming majority of tho total sampe of teachers expresser conifidence thet their respective planning committefs phaned the conference of their partjcular orgenization to nect tise necus and interests of the erour. Uith a majority of the planing comithee nembers beinc amointed by the ecvernine bog of the moticular tuacher organization, it miat be concluded that axa conditions are indicuive

 nenocrsinip mond tend to incilate thet thice was not true.

Recomandations

As a result of tids stud, the ariter noted sone specific rroblems that are worthy of further research. It is true tinat a emeral stady of this kind, while brond ret inolusive, mat neglect cortein arcas of conference poration that aro ir need of detailed andysis. Ham suggestions for future research are inhererit in the data. The following represent the nost urjent and cienisicant speestions for research which errerged from the findings of this invostigation.

1. The findings of this stiady revealed the existence of cuiference soals which motivatod a notential conference participent to attend the conference of the teacher orgazation of which he was a amber.

This invostigation also revected the was in hich tie conference satisfied the conforence ouls of the articinarte. It is cugested that research be conducted in the area of conference follor-up to determine the wes in wich the benefits received from attending the confercnce vere applied, and to develop neasures applicable to the area or polessional srouth as rolated to conifenco atendance. It my by aso pasinle tu bust the pecific hrectinsis that concroes eral onentetions rior to a corfernce eqerince ciffor fro tan crientations after a confuruce Ros ta'ren place.
2. This invoticotion showod that alnubthenned rocreation ns rovided, the socind bonefits obtained ion such activities were not of major concern to the particinentis or non-marticipante. This conclusion, hovever, does not seem to be shared by the evaluation of

 study be nade to comsider socionetric puttorns of professibual neetings as comperes to educational confercnecs.
3. Related in the area of erous discussions, some educatoms have taken the vies thet the most, imortant eriterion for roup discussion success is the perticirents positive evalualion of the ejucrience. Implicit in this position is a purported relationship between quality and degree of participation by the individual in the group discussion and his positive evaluation of the eperionce. researcin indines on this problen :ould uo much to elinim te the current polemic controversj in the natter.
4. This stud revoaled a lack of utilization of staff nerivers of institutions of higher leaming or on the Fichicen State Dopartment
of Public Instruction as resource weple. That are the reasons for this condition? Shat is the role and icontiou of the "anthority" as concerned with the criteria used in the sclection of rusurce reonle for group liscussions?
5. The area of group ilentification is worthy of further roseareh. What is the influence of orgenizationsl memorehia unon educutional aspirations? ioes beloreinc to a teacher organization, such as one of the organizations rarticipatireg in this study, influemece encational or prefossiong orontin? Furtiernore, is the ned for rimary uroup identification a sionificunt, facter in conference attendance?
©. A further useful stidy would be to tost the hypothesis that guel orientation anc subsequert cance are related to certain biogranideal variables and to the pumoses of the conierence.
7. This investication revenléu the rolative importance attached to the importace of having homote spemers as a part of the conference prowne deaign wat is the role of the seacer in tive watisfaction of conionence goals? At mat woint in the mogran is the speuker noot effective, and hor mon tine should be alott, to speakers as comred to erou: dincossions? Nat the on oputer should be mesentcu -
 mentioned problens riloted to bernote smeakers are ucsurvino of waposenil rescarch.
8. The fact bit over memilf of tion total sumpe of teachers tanght in class a sericols should encourae a further stud, into tio
 particimate in the corferences.
9. This stad mas linited to conferances hich net at the Kclloge Center for Contimaile Elacuion, Michigen State irivarita. It

 and (2) educationsl meetings for teachers other then conferences.
10. The uccoptance of the corference as worthe of co:sideration ron develozing a progen of ir-service education for a school suster, me derend aron the attitudes of the school acininistrator, surervisor, Fublic officials, teachers and lay citizens. It is suceested that further resewch be conacteci to cetornine the atitudes of the abeve grouns, using a cotron sct of critoric, vith a vien of reverins whamode ainl untoctod attitucies. Such reserch ma, be extronty useful in the cunstant scarcin for more effective was of plamine educational conferences.
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It is ganorally assumed that the conforence, as an in-servico educational modium is, or should be, designed to serve the necds and interests of the people diroctly conccrnod. As such, it is also assumed that the participant attonds the conforence motivated by certin goals or objectives for which he sooks satisfacm tion. Whether the conforence is designed, planncd, or structured to moct and satisfy thosc goals is the question at hand.

At the prosent time tho conforonco is bcing critically discussed as to its offoctive cducational valuc both by school administrators and the university acadomician. Organizod opposition is prosent in tho Michigan Association of School Administrators. While the Cushman Committoc roport was at first judgod to be a minority reprosentation we now find that the adoption of the report by tho MASA constitutos a gravo situation facing toachor organizations and those conforenco programs of Schools' of Education of institutions of higher learning which invitc tcachers as participants.

A survoy of the litorature reveals littlo in the way of organized rescarch on this question. The only rolovant rescarch is a recently completed doctoral disscrtation by Robert $G$. Van Duyn at the Univorsity of Chicago who reported on 808 conferences, workshops, and moctings for Superintendonts of Schools in Ilicho Igan from 1947-1950. The conclusions wore critical of the aforementioned modia as problcmasolving opportunities and werc lacking as to specific ovidence of helpfulncss. He found such moetings characterized by poorly definod objoctives, monopoly in planning, little motivation of attendance, inconclusive and leadordefincd goals, little cvaluation and followap, and a lack of continuity.

It should be stated here that there are Iimitations to Van Duyn's study. All evidence was obtainod by the interview tochniquo aftor a mocting had been comploted with consequent problems of receall. No definition of torms was presonted and the roliability of the cvidence was not established and is opon to sorious question.

Such limitations do not altor or influonce the position of the school administram tors. While many arc questioning with conscquent problems of obtaining substitute toachers, axponso, and some local opposition, others fcel the conference a valuablo in-service training device whose attondance by a staff is recommended and encouraged. Such administrators $2 l s o$ fecl that the problems of regulation and control is a matter to bo docidod by tho local school and not by a stato agency. NEED FOR THE STUDY

Tho lack of rescarch in the conference ficld is, porhaps in itself, sufficiont reason for a constructive and comprohensivo resoarch program. The Committio on Conferonce Evaluation of the liichigan State Dcpartment of Public Instruction of which the writer is a momber, and which is charged with the responsibility of submitting a report to the Superintondont of Public Instruction in raply to the Cushman report has suggested throe arcas of nocded rosearch. The flrst area is representod by the above proposod study.

The lack of rescarch in the conforonco ficld does not mean that therc is little in the litcrature about conferonces. However, such litcraturc is concorned almost axclusivcly with suggostions for workshop mechanics, group processes, and othor methodology. Whilc such information is valuablo and uscful it would seem important to adapt such mechanics to the needs and goals of the conforence for effective presentation and not vicc versa as so ofton is the case. The proposed study would hope to investigate conforenco planning practicos insofar as they are related to this problam.

Criticism of the conference as an in-service oducational medium is, of course, valid in some rospocts. The Van Duyn study did roveal items that cannot be denied validity when evaluating many confcrenco programs. A great doal of improvement can be made in planning conferences but research is needed to reveal the goals of conference attendees as well as objective analysis of the planning procedures and development of the educational conforence.

More and more conferences are using evaluation and follow-up. Such ovaluam tions are often concerned with an appraisal of certain features of the conference but rarely oricntod towards the satisfaction of goals and problems. Likewisc, unless the conference format is designed to provide problem solving opportunities ovaluation of a conference often lacks scientific bases).

Another basic need for the study deals with the makcoup, selection, and training of the planning committoe itself along with planning procedures. Van Duyn's study rovealed the evidence of power structures within organizations that may plan conferences according to the wishes of a few seeking to perpetuate a cortain philosophy or wishing to deny opportunities for free participation. Van Duyn also stated that planning committec's are often composed of individuals who arc totally inoxperienced with the task of planning a conference and lack oriontation to the many workshop mechanics and techniques. This whole area of conference planning needs research rosulting in suggestions and recommendations for action and improvement.

The intriguing question of why people attend conferences points up another need for the study. It may be assumed that there are many situations that tend to influence an individual to attend a conference that may not be associated with a conference goal. In fact, there may be reason to believe that these influences may be the main impetus of motivation to attend a given conference. Thus, whethor expenses are paid by the local school may be the deciding factor, or it may be the attraction of a prominent speaker. It may also be the influence of group identifim cation, displays and exhibits, reward, recognition or approval of administrative superiors. These and many other factors may be very important in influencing an individual to act. If the study should reveal such itams and also the premominance of such items in the decision process, the information should be of value to a planning committce.

## MEIHODOLOGY

Basic Hyothesiss Individuals attending educational conferences have conference goals that may be identificd with a particular conference.

Pllot Studies: In order to evaluate the potential merit of the study the writer conducted two pilot investigations. It was hoped not only to illustrate the presence of factors which might substantiate the existence of individual goals but to identify them and the status importance of certain influences that may, to some degree, motivate a decision to attend the conference in question.

On September 23 the Eastern Section of the Association for Childhood Education held its second conference at the Kellogg Center. With the help of Dr. Calvin and two graduate assistants, twelve personal interviews were conducted. Each person was asked the following questionss

QUESTICN CNE - "Why did you come to this conference?"
New trends and ideasmemen Get together professionallymomel
Common group interests-m-7
Answers to problems-menomemell
Kecp up with thingsome-mi
Got a lot out of it last ycarmes
QUESTION TWO - "Wore there any factors that caused you to hesitate coming to the conference?"

Not usually free on Saturdaym 1 Close to NEA meetingan-momel
Saturday is family day-mememen Wanted to work at homemem-1
QUESTION THREE - "Did any of the following factors influence your decision in any way?"
1.- Dosire to hear the speakers on the program.

No - 9
Yes - 3
(Didn't know the speakers of the No group - 2)
2 - The cost of the conference.
No - 10
Two stated the costs very reasonable
3 - Influence of administrative superior.
No - 11
One stated it a good idea the principal know sho came.

$$
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4 - Desire to be a part of the group attending.
Yes - 9
No - 2
One had not thought about it.
5 - An expressed personal or professional need such as problems to be discussed.
Yes - 10
No - 2
6 - Desire to get away from school for a day.
Yes - 1
No - 11
7 - The possibility of reward such as promotion or administrative recognition.
Yos - 1
No $=10$
8 - The desire to personally discuss problems with mombers of the conference.
Yes - 7
No - 5
9 - The desire to come to Kellogg Center.
Yes-7
No - 5
10. Which of the above was most significant in motivating you to come to the conference.
Discuss problems - 4
Be with the group - 4
Got new ideas and trends - 4
RESULIS OF THE FIRST PILOT STUDY
The first study reveals interesting results since all twelve interviewed answered the first question with reference to goals and intorests. The reflection of personal or professional needs is expressed by the desire for new 1deas, trends, problems to be solved, and interest in the profossion.

## SECOND PILOT STUDY

On November 20 the writer conducted a second study during the Second Annual Basketball Coaches Clinic. On the evaluation form which was distributed to the coaches attending the following question was asked, "Why did you come to this clinic?" The ninety-three coaches returning the form and answering the question suggested 172 items which were classified into nine categories as follows:

4

1 - Wished to see Forddy Anderson's system - 46
2 - Wished to get new ideas, pointers, drills - 43
3 - Wished to improve own method and grow professionally - 47
4 - Wished to meet and discuss problems with other coaches - 11
5 - Timely date and location of the clinic -9
6 - Wished to get away for a day - 2
7 - Influence of the administration - 2
8 - See the football game - 2
9 - Miscellaneous - 10
It is evident that 159 of the 172 itens (total less items \#5,6,7) expressed a personal conference goal that may have motivated their attendance.

A second classification of the data reveals the items that were mentioned first, second, third, and fourth on the evaluation form.

TABLE II

| 1 - Anderson's offense | 24 | 13 | 8 | 4 | 46 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 - New ideas | 26 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 43 |
| 3 - Selfaimprovement | 23 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 47 |
| 4 - Meet other coaches | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 17 |
| 5 - Timely date and place | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| 6 - Get away for the day | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 7 - Influence of the administration | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8 - See the football game | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 162 |

The following is a further classification of the data according to the more specific problems mentioned:

TABLE III
$1-$ Offense - 17
$2=$ Drills -26
$3=$ Fast break - 7
$4-$ New teaching methods -8

5-Defense - 9
6-Zone - 4
7 - Out of bounds plays - 2
8 - Miscellaneous - 6
RESULTS OF THE SECOND PILOT STUDY
The results of this study, like the first, reveal a near complete expression of needs and problems which may be interpreted as constituting conference goals. Items 5, 6, and 7 are the only ones in Table II which may be interpreted as possible external "influoncos" that may have motivated a coach's attendance.

## FINAL STUDY

It is proposed that the final study consist of two instruments that would be administored to at least three educational conferences that would provide a sample
of about 1000. Consent has been obtained from the Department of Elementary Principals whose conference will be held April 114-15; The Metropolitan Detroit Bureau of Cooperative School Studies April 21-23; and the Michigan Speech Correction Conference Nay 13-24.

The first instrument would be administered to the conference participants during the conference. A second instrunent would be administercd to the planning committee's of the three conferences.

The preliminary investigation has thus far shown the feasibility of this study and has indicated to some degree the general merit of the undertaking.

While the specific practical utilization of such a study will have to await the completion of the investigation, it is hoped that the results would increase the effectiveness of the contribution of the Continuing Education Service, the conference group, and the related MSC instructional departments in providing the greatest possible help and assistance in the development and planning of educational conferences.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A STUDY OF CONFERENCE GOALS AS RELATED TO THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT OF } \\
& \text { EDUCATIONAL CONFERENCES }
\end{aligned}
$$

## STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM While not a new technique the educational conference

 has become a highly popular medium of in-service education. While many articles have been written concerning the mechanics of workshop operation, there is nothing in the liferature to fllustrate concrete attempts at determining the conference goals of the participants. Likewise; little researth has been attempted in the ovaiuar tion of the planning and development of the conference with a focus on educational objectives.NEED FOR THE STUDY At the present time the conference is being critically discussed as to its effective educational value both by school administrators and the university academician. Criticism of the conference as an in-service educational medium is valid in some respects. The Van Duyn study showed that much improvement can be made in planning conferences but additional research is needed to reveal the goals of conference attendees as well as an objective analysis of the planning procedures and development of the educational conference.

It may be assumed that there are many situations that tend to influence an individual to attend a conference that may not be associated with a conference goal. If the study should reveal such items and also the pre-dominance of such items in the decision process, the information should be of value to a planning committee.

## METHOLOLOGY

Basic Hypothesis: Individuals attending educational conferences have conference goals that may be identified with a particular conference.

Pilot Studies: The writer has conducted two pilot studies in order to evaluate the petential merit of the study and to offer a hypothesis. The results were very interesting and are reported in the proposal supplement.

Conference Sample: It is proposed that the final study consist of two instruments that would be administered to at least three educational conferences that would provide a sample of about 1000. The first instrument would be administered to the conference participants during the conference while the

## RESULTS OF THE STUDY

The preliminary investigation has thus far shown the feasibility of this study and has indicated to some degree the general merit of the undertaking. While the specific practical utilization of such a study will have to await the completion of the investigation, it is hoped that the results would increase the effectiveness of the contribution of the Continuing Education Service, the conference group, and the related MSC instructional departments in providing the greatest possible help and assistance in the development and planning of educational conferences. BIBLIOGRAPHY
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## Questionnaire for Participants

## SECTION Is GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Age $\qquad$
2. Male__ Female $\qquad$
3. Married $\qquad$ Single $\qquad$ Widowed $\qquad$
4. Number of children of schoul age $\qquad$
5. What grades and subjects are you teaching at the present time? Grades

Subjects $\qquad$
6. Class of School $A+B \quad C \quad D \quad E \quad E$
7. School position Elementary Teacher_Secondary Teacher_Elementary Principal__Secondary Frincipal__ Superintendent__ Other
8. Number of years in the teaching profession $\qquad$
9. Geographic Iocation of your school Southeast__Southwest__ Northern___ (above Grand Rapids-Port Huron Iine) Upper Peninsula
10. How many previous conferences of this organization have you attended in the past five years? $\qquad$
11. How many conferences of other organizations have you attended in the past five years? $\qquad$ (Do not include local teacher meetings or general curriculum meetings.)
12. Is this the first conference you have ever attended of any organization? Yes $\qquad$
13. Is this the first conference of this organization you have attended? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
14. Were you asked to attend this conference by your administration? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ 15. Did you initiate the request to attend this conference? Yes_No__
16. What part of your expenses to this conference are paid by your administration? Room__Meals__Fee_Transportation__ Substitute Teacher____ All__ None___
17. Are you required to make a report on the conference to your administration? Yes__ No__Optional___ (If yes, is it verbal__ written__ to whom____
18. Is provision made to make a report to the staff? Yes_No__
19. Would you suggest a report be made to the staff? Yes_ No __
20. Does your school system have a policy on conference participation? Yes_No__
21. How many conferences are teachers in your school system permitted to attend each year? $\qquad$ No restriction on number $\qquad$
22. Please give your best estimate as to the percentage of teachers in your system who attend conferences:
 70-80___ $80-90 \_$_ $90-100 \ldots$ _
23. What percentage go sometimes $\qquad$ What percentage go frequently $\qquad$ What percentage do not go $\qquad$ -
24. How far did you travel to attend this conference? $\qquad$ miles
25. About how many people attended this conference from your school system? $\qquad$

## SECTION II: INFORIATION ABOUT THIS PARTICUIAR CONFERENCE

1. Did you receive an advance copy of the program? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
2. How long before the conference did you receive the program?
3. Did you do any specific planning in preparation for your participation in this conference? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
4. What planning did you do?
5. Did you confer with any members of your staff concerning information that they might gain from the conference? Yes No $\qquad$

## SECTION III: CONFERENCE GOALS

1. What, in your opinion, are the goals or desirable outcomes of this conference?
(Questionnaire for Participants continued)- page 3
2. What motivated you to attend this conference? (Please check any of the following goals which may seem to describe your reasons to attend this conference. Please mark 1-Very Important, 2-Somewhat Important, 3m0f Miner Importance) a - I wanted to be more identified with this group _ـ
b - I wanted to renew contacts in my field $\qquad$
c - I wanted to find out what other school systems were doing
d-I felt a need for academic stimulation and inspiration $\qquad$
$e-$ I wanted to be informed of new teaching ideas and trends f - I wanted to renew acquaintances with friends $\qquad$
g - I wanted to learn more effective ways of dealing with instructional problems $\qquad$
h - I wanted to get away from school routines $\qquad$
i- I felt the conference provided opportunities for professional growth $\qquad$
$j$ - I wanted to find out about new materials and publications
$k$ - I wanted to find out about new job opportunities $\qquad$ 1 - Other (specify)
$m \propto$ Other (specify)
3. What are the specific things in this conference program that made you want to attend this conference?
4. What parts of the program didn't you like and caused you to question whether you would attend?

None $\qquad$
(Questionnaire for Participants continued) page 4
5. Did any of the following favorably influence your decision to come to this conference? (Please mark l-Significant, 2-Fairly Signiflcant, 3-Not Significant.)
a - Urging of your administrative superior $\qquad$
b - Influence of reporting of previous conferences in staff meetings $\qquad$
c- Influence of a friend __
d - Influence of being on the program $\qquad$
e - Payment of expenses by your school
$f$ - Social fellowship opportunities
g - Influence of the prominence of the speaker $\qquad$
h-Influence of your schools' acceptance and support of the conference as inmservice education $\qquad$
6. What techniques of conference planning fits your needs as a participant in attending this conference? (Please check 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 in your order of preference.)
a - Theme, series of speakers $\qquad$
b - Theme, keynote speaker, discussion groups on the theme $\qquad$
c - Theme, keynote speaker, discussion groups on various topics _
d - Speaker, problem discussion groups, no theme _
e - Panels, speakers, no discussion groups _
f - Unstructured meeting groups set up at the conference _
g - I have no preference $\qquad$
h - Other (specify)
7. Do you feel this conference was planned to meet the needs of the majority of the members of this organization? Yes___ No__ (If no, why not?)
8. How can more people be interested in this conference?

## SECTTON Is GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Age
2. Male $\qquad$ Female
3. Married $\qquad$ Single $\qquad$ Widowed $\qquad$
4. Number of children of school age $\qquad$
5. What grades and subjects are you teaching at the present time? Grades

Subjects $\qquad$

7. School position Elementary Teacher_Secondary Teacher_ Elementary Principal_Secondary Principal_Superintendent__ Other
8. Number of years in the teaching profession $\qquad$
9. Geographic location of your school Southeast__Southwest__ Northern (above Grand RapidsmPort Huron Iine) $\quad$ Upper Peninsula__
10. How many previous conferences of this organization have you attended in the past five yoars? $\qquad$
11. How many conferences of other organizations have you attended in the past five years? $\qquad$ (Do not include local teacher meetings or general curriculum meetings.)
12. Would this have been the first conference you would have ever attended of any organization? Yes_No_n_
13. Would this have been the first conference of this organization you would have attended? Yes_No_
14. Were you asked to attend this conference by your administration? Yes_No__
15. Did you initiate a request to attend this conference? Yes_No__
16. What part of your expenses to this conference would have been paid by your administration? Room_Meals_Fee_Transportation_Substitute Teacher $\qquad$ None $\qquad$
(Questionnaire for Non-Participants continued) - page 2
17. Are you required to make a report on a conference to your aeninistration? Yes_No_ Optional___ (If yes, is it verbal___ written___ to whom_____
18. Is provision made to make a report to the staff? Yes_No__
19. Would you suggest a report be made to the staff? Yes_No_
20. Does your school system have a policy on conference participation? Yes___ No
21. How many conferences are teachers in your school system permitted to attend each years No restriction on number $\qquad$
22. Please give your best estimate as to the percentage of teachers in your system who attend conferencess
 70-80___ ; $80-90$ _ $90-100 \_$_
23. What percentage go sometimes $\qquad$ - What percentage go frequentiy $\qquad$ What percentage do not go ____
24. How far would you have traveled to attend this conference? $\qquad$ miles
25. About how many people attended this conference from your school system? $\qquad$

## SECTION II: INFORMATION ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR CONFERENCE

1. Did you receive an advance copy of the program? Yes_No_ No_
2. How long before the conference did you receive the program? (If you originally planned to attend, but were unable to, would you please answer the following questions. If not, ignore the next three questions.)
3. Did you do any specific planning in preparation for your participation in this conference? Yes_No_
4. What planning did you do?
5. Did you confer with any members of your staff concerning information that they might gain from the conference? Yes_No__
(Questionnaire for Non-Participants continued) - page 3

SECTION III: CONFERENCE GOAIS
2. What, in your opinion, were the goals or desirable outcomes of this conference?
2. What would have motivated you to attend this conference? (Please check any of the following goals which may seam to describe your reasons to attend this conference had you been able to do so. Please mark I-Very Important, 2mSomewhat Important, 3-0f Minor Importance.)
a - I wanted to be more identified with this group _ـ
b $\rightarrow$ I wanted to renew contacts in ny field $\qquad$
c m I wanted to find out what other school systems were doing _.
d $m$ I felt a need for academic stimulation and inspiration $\qquad$
e - I wanted to be informed of new teaching ideas and trends __
f - I wanted to renew acquaintances with friends $\qquad$
$g$ = I wanted to learn more effective ways of dealing with instructional problems
h - I warted to get away from school routines $\qquad$
i- I felt the conference provided opportunities for professional growth $\qquad$
$j=I$ wanted to find out about new materials and publications $\qquad$
k - I wanted to find out about new job opportunities $\qquad$
1 - Other (specify)
$m=$ Other (specify)
3. What are the specific things in this conference program that made you want to attend this conference?
4. What factors caused you to decide not to attend this conference?
(Questionnaire for NonmParticipants continued) - page 4
55. Which of the following would have favorably influenced your decision to come to this conference? (Please mark I-Signiflcant, 2mFairly Significant, 3~Not Significant.)
a m Urging of yous administrative superior $\qquad$
b - Influence of reporting of previous conferences in staff meetings $\qquad$
c - Influence of a friend $\qquad$
d - Influence of being on the program $\qquad$
e - Payment of expenses by your school $\qquad$
f - Social fellowship opportunities $\qquad$
$g$ - Influence of the prominence of the speaker $\qquad$
h. Influence of your schools' acceptance and support of the conference as in-service education $\qquad$
6. Did any of the following influeace your decision not to attend this conference? (Please check 1 -Important, 2-Somewhat Important, 3-0f Minor Importance) a - Too far to travel $\qquad$
b - No transportation available $\qquad$
o - Could not afford the expense $\qquad$
d - I did not feel the conference would be of benefit to me $\qquad$ e - I could not obtain consent of the administration f-I had a scheduled confliot $\qquad$
$g$ - I could not obtain a substitute teacher $\qquad$ h - I did not like the program this year $\qquad$
1-I had family obligations $\qquad$
7. What techniques of conference planning fits your needs as a participant in attending a conference? (Please cheok $1,2,3,4,5,6$ in your order of preference)
a - Theme, series of speakers $\qquad$
b - Theme, keynote speaker, discussion groups on the theme
(Questionnaire for Non-Participants continued) - page 5
c - Theme, keynote speaker, discussion groups on various topios
d-Speaker, problem discussion groups, no theme
e - Panels, speakers, no discussion groups -
f - Unstructured meeting groups set up at the conference
g - I have no preference
h - Other (specify)
8. Do you feel this conference was planned to meet the needs of the majority of the members of this organization? Yes_No__ (If no, why not?)
9. Would you suggest any specific changes in the conference program that may better satisfy your goal s?
10. How can more people be interested in this conference?

## SECTION IV: EVALUATION

1. Which of the following days of the week would be most ideal for you to attend
a conference?
Monday__ Tuesday__ Wednesday__Thursday__ Friday__ Saturday__ Sunday__
2. I feel the length of a conference should bes
a - one day $\qquad$
b - two days $\qquad$
c - three days $\qquad$
d- four days $\qquad$
e - five days $\qquad$
$f=$ more
a Studi of conference goals as related to the planning of educational conferences

## Planning Committee Questionnaire

1. What in your opinion are the goals or desirable outcomes of this conference?
2. Which of the following goals do you feel motivated the attendees to come to this conference? (Please mark 1-Very Important, 2mSomewhat Important, 3-0f Minor Importancej)
a - They wanted to be more identified with this group $\qquad$
b - They wanted to renew contacts in their field $\qquad$
c - They wanted to find out what other school systems were doing $\qquad$
d - They felt a need for academic stimulation and inspiration $\qquad$
e - They wanted to renew acquaintances with friends $\qquad$
$f$ - They wanted to be informed of new teaching ideas and trends $\qquad$
$g-$ They wanted to learn more effective ways of dealing with instructional problems $\qquad$
$h$ - They wanted to get away from school routines $\qquad$
i - They felt the conference provided opportunities for professional growth $\qquad$
j - They wanted to find out about new materials and publications $\qquad$
k - Other (specify)
1 - Other (specify)
3. Did the committee survey the membership to determine membership goals before planning the conference? Yes_No $\qquad$
3a. If yes, how?
4. In what ways were the goals of the membership recognized or considered in making out the conference program?
in

(Planning Committee Questionnaire continued)- page 2
5. Were various conference techniques discussed to meet the goals of the conference? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
5a. If yes, what were they?
6. Which, if any, of the following techniques of conference planning do you feel best meets the goals of the participants? (Flease mark 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. in your order of preference。)
a - Theme, series of speakers $\qquad$
b - Theme, keynote speaker, discussion groups on the theme $\qquad$
c - Theme, keynote speaker, discussion groups on various topics
d - Speaker, problem discussion groups, no theme $\qquad$
e - Panels, speakers, no discussion groups $\qquad$
f - Unstructured meeting, groups set up at the conference__
g - Other (specify)
h - No preference
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
7. To what degree are committee members familiar with the various conference meeting techniques?
8. What consideration was given to the study and application of various conference techniques? (To best fit the goals of the conference or membership.)
9. Does the committee follow the same format each year? Yes No $\qquad$
(Planning Committee Questionnaire continued)- page 3

9a. If yes, why?
10. What procedures are made to train or orient committee members to conference methods?
11. Did the planning committee provide for continuity from last year's conference? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
12. Was there an evaluation made of last year's conference? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
12a. If yes, what kind? Systematic_ Informal
13. When was the evaluation made?

13a. By whom?
14. What promotion techniques were used this year?
a - mailed announcement c. newsletter
b - mailed program
d - other (specify)
15. To whom were the programs sent?
a - membership
c - all in the profession $\qquad$
b - last year's registrants
d - superintendents
e - other (specify)
$\qquad$
16. Are programs sent to the membership directly__ or through the Superintendent's office?
17. Are there specific provisions made for the orientation of group chairmen and resource people? Yes $\qquad$ No
(Planning Committee Questionnaire continued)- page 4

17a. If yes, what are they?
18. What procedures are used to select resource people?
a - geographical representation
d = school population $\qquad$
b - college or high school e - no criteria
c - merit or experience
$f-$ other (specify)
19. Were the chairmen resource people or speakers $\qquad$ briefed about the goals and purposes of the conference? (Please check, if yes.)
20. How does the planning committee function? (Check any which apply.)
a - through individual committees
c - through committee chairman assigned
responsibilities
b - through full planning committee meetings
d - other (specify)
21. How are decisions made?
a - majority vote of committee
c - chairman of planning committee
b - by the committee chairman d - other (specify)
22. In what manner are the planning cormittee members selected?
a - vote of last year's conference participants _
b - appointed by conference governing body __
c - other (specify)
23. What is the term of office of the committee?
a - all serve one year $\qquad$
b members serve different terms
24. How many members are on the planning committee?
25. Which conference officers are on the planning conmittee?
(Planning Committee Questionnaire continued)- page 5
26. Are they automatically members of the committee___ or are they appointed__ elected
27. Are there any specific qualifications for membership on the planning committee? Yes__No_

27a. If yes, what are they?
28. How much time was spent planning this year's conference? Hours Number of meetings
29. What type of professional or consultant service was solicited to help plan this conference?
a $\sim$ CES coordinator
b - Representative of Michigan State Instructional Department
c - Specialist in conference operation
d $\sim$ None
e - Other (specify)
30. What was the role of the consultant in planning this conference?
a STUDY of CONFELENCE GOALS AS RELATED TO THE PLaNNING OF EDUCATIONAL COHFELINCES Home Phone

## Questionnaire for Participants

SECTION IV: EVALUATION

1. I feel that this conference has completely met my personal and professional goals. True_ Mostly True_ Mostly False__ False__
2. If true or mostly true, in what ways did the conference satisfy your goals?
3. Do you have any suggestions for improving this conference?
a - Keynote speaker session
b - Group discussion sessions
; ..
c - Social activities
d - Conference as a whole
4. Which of the following days of the week would be nost ideal for you to attend a conference?

5. I feel the length of a conference should be:
a - one day
c - three days
e-five days
b - two days
d - four days
f-more_

APMMIK

# MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY 

continuing education service

November Ten
Nineteen Fifty-Five

## Dear Colleague:

We arc very sorry you were unable to attend the conference of the Michigan Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development hold at tho Kellogg Center for Continuing Education, Michigan State University, Noveniner 3-4, 1955.

Cur organization is extremely intcrostcd in finding out as much as possible the foclings of our membership regarding our conforoncos.

Mr. J. D. Jackson, of the Michigan State University Faculty and Coordinator of our conference, is conducting a survey which wo hope will result in conferences that will attract every member and that will enable us to plan a program of maximum benefit to you.

The participants at the last conference wore very cooperative in filling out a questionnaire for Mr. Jackson. It is of the greatost importance to our organization, and to other educetional groups, that the enclosed questionnaire be filled out promptly and returned in the envelope provided.

Thank you vary much for your doing this important task for our organization.

Sincerely yours,


Edith Roach Snyder
Conference Chairman
ERS: kilo
enc.
all Cnionninal

November Ten
Nineteen Fifty-Five

## Dear Friends

I wish to extend our sincere appreciation to the Michigan Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development for the opportunity of administering the questionnaire to those attending the conference, November 3-4, 1955.

The attached questionnaire is almost identical to the instrument given at the conference. Several questions have been re-phrased and several new questions added that should provide pertinent information useful to provide for needs of those not attending.

It is thus, just as significant to find out the goals, needs, and certain biographical and conference information of nonparticipants as that obtained from those attending.

While the questionnaire may appear to be quite long, most of the questions require checks or yes or no answers. The time required to fill out the instrument is about five minutes.

I shall appreciate your filling out the questionnaire as completely as possible. Your consideration in helping us is deeply appreciated.

Sincerely yours,


J
D
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## December Five

Nineteen Fifty-Five

## Dear Friend:

On November 10 we sent a questionnaire to all members of the Michigan Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development who were unable to attend the Conference held at the Kellogg Center November 3-4, 1955.

In order to provide your organization with complete information according to the objectives of the research, which includes a comparison of participant and nonmparticipant information, we need a high rate of return of the non-participant questionnaires.

If you have completed and returned the questionnaire, please accept our sincere thanks for your cooperation. If, however, you have not completed the instrument we would very much appreciate your doing so at your earliest convenience.

If you have misplaced the questionnaire or did not receive one, please return the enclosed postal card and the instrument will be sent to you.

Thank you very much.
Sincerely yours,


J
D
J
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December Sixteen
Nineteen Fifty -Five

## Dear Friend:

We are about ready to tabulate and analyze the research questionnaires sent to all members of the Michigan Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development who were unable to attend the Conference held at the Kellogg Center November 3-4, 1955.

We have had a near $40 \%$ return of the questionnaires which is a Wonderful response and one for which we are very grateful.

If you have completed and returned the questionnaire, please accept our sincere thanks. If you have not had the opportunity to complete the instrument, we would very much appreciate your doing so in order to approximate the number of participant questionnaires completed at the conference.

If you have misplaced the questionnaire, please return the enclosed postal card and the instrument will be sent to you.

Thank you very mach for your cooperation.
Sincerely yours,

$J$
D
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k
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May Nineteen
Nineteen Fifty-Five

## Dear Colleague:

We are very sorry you were unable to attend the conference of the Michigan Speech Correction Association held at the Kellogg Center for Continuing Education, Michigan State College, May 13-14, 1955.

Our organization is extremely interested in finding out as much as possible the feelings of our membership regarding our conferences.

Mire J. D. Jackson, of the Michigan State College Faculty and Coordinator of our conference, is conducting a survey which we hope will result in conferences that will attract every member and that will enable us to plan a program of maximum benefit to you.

The participants at the last conference were very cooperative in filling out a questionnaire for Mr. Jackson. It is of the greatest importance to our organization, and to other educational groups, that the enclosed questionnaire be filled out promptly and returned in the envelope provided.

Thank you very much for your doing this important task for our organization.

Sincerely yours,
margaret Baton
Margaret Hatton, President-Elect
Michigan Speech Correction Association
MF: kls
enc.
i!


Dear Friend:
I wish to extend our sincere appreciation to the Michigan Speech Correction Association for the opportunity of administering the questionnaire to those attending the conference, May 13-14, 1955.

The attached questionnaire is almost identical to the instrument given at the conference. Several questions have been remphrased and several new questions added that should provide pertinent information useful to provide for needs of those not attending.

It is thus, just as significant to find out the goals, needs, and certain biographical and conference information of non-participants as that obtained from those attending.

While the questionnaire may appear to be quite long, most of the questions require checks or yes or no answers. The time required to fill out the instrument is about five minutes.

I shall appreciate your filling out the questionnaire as completely as possible. Your consideration in helping us is deeply appreciated.

Sincerely yours,
(1) U. Vackson
J. D. Jackson

Conference Coordinator
J
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May Twenty-Seven
Nineteen Flifty-Five

Dear Friends
On May 19th we sent a questionnaire to all members of the Michigan Speech Correction Association who were unable to attend the Conference held at the Kellogg Center May 13-14, 1955.

In order to provide your organization with complete information according to the objectives of the research, which includes a comparison of participant and non-participant information, we need a high rate of return of the non-participant questionnaires.

If you have completed and returned the questionnaire, please accept our sincere thanks for your cooperation. If, however, you have not completed the instrument we would very much appreciate your doing so at your earliest convenience.

If you have misplaced the questionnaire or did not receive one, please return the enclosed postal card and the instrument will be sent to you.

Thank you very much.
Sincerely yours,
Q. 化. of acksm
J. D. Jackson Conference Coordinator
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CONTINUING EDUCATION SERVICE

June Eight
Nineteen Fifty-Five

## Dear Friend:

We are about ready to tabulate and analyze the research questionnaires sent to all members of the Speech Correction Association who were unable to attend the Conference held at the Kellogg Center April 13-14.

We have had a near $50 \%$ return of the questionnaires which is a wonderful response and one for which we are very grateful.

If you have completed and returned the questionnaire, please accept our sincere thanks. If you have not had the opportunity to complete the instrument, we would very much appreciate your doing so in order to approximate the number of participant questionnaires completed at the conference.

If you have misplaced the questionnaire, please return the enclosed postal card and the instrument will be sent to you.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.
Sincerely yours,


Conference Coordinator
J
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CONTINUING RDUCATION sbrvice

May TwentymSeven<br>Nineteen Fifty Five

## Dear Colleague:

You will recall that at the meeting of the Elementary Improvement Committee at Michigan State College a research questionnaire was distributed to the group. I sincerely appreciate your cooperation in participating in this project which has the full support of the Bureau of Cooperative School Studies.

In order to provide the Bureau with complete information according to the objectives of the research, which includes a comparison of participant and non-participant information, we need an equivalent number of non-participant questionnaires.

Would you please select a member of the staff of your school and ask this person to please fill out the enclosed questionnaire and return to Mr. J. D. Jackson at your earliest convenience in the enclosed envelope. This person should be one who might have been interested in attending the conference had it been possible to do so.

The Bureau is happy to cooperate in this research project and I wish to thank you for your help and cooperation.

Sincerely yours,


Robert Hubbard, Director Hietropolitan Detroit Bureau of Cooperative School Studies
$\mathrm{RH}: \mathrm{Kls}$


I wish to take this opportunity to again thank the Metropolitan Detroit Bureau of Cooperative School Studies and the participants attending the spring conference for their wonderful cooperation in helping us with our research study．

As Mr．Hubbard has mentioned in his letter all information from the entire study，including data from your own conference，will be made available to the Bureau．

The enclosed questionnaire is basically similar to the one you may have completed at the conference．Several significant questions have been added and others remphrased so tests of significance may be made comparing conference information of the participants and non－participants．

My reason for asking your help is the virtual impossibility and impracticability of contacting the large number of eligible con－ ference participants whose schools are members of the Bureau．I am very grateful for your personal attention to our request and sincerely hope this imposition upon your time may be rewarded by a wealth of significant and helpful information to your organizam tion and the teaching profession．

Sincerely yours，


J．D．Jackson
Conference Coordinator
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Continuing education service

June Seven<br>Nineteen Fifty-Five

## Dear Friend:

On May 27th we sent a questionnaire to all members of the Bureau of Cooperative School Studies who were unable to attend the Conference held at the Kellogg Center April 21-23, 1955.

In order to provide your organization with complete information according to the objectives of the research, which includes a comparison of participant and nonparticipant information, we need a high rate of return of the non-participant questionnaires.

If you have completed and returned the questionnaire, please accept our sincere thanks for your cooperation. If, however, you have not completed the instrument we would very much appreciate your doing so at your earliest convenience.

If you have misplaced the questionnaire or did not receive one, please return the enclosed postal card and the instrument will be sent to you.

Thank you very much.
Sincerely yours,

J. D. Jackson

Conference Coordinator
J
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CONTINUING EDUCATION SERVICE

June Sixteen
Nineteen Fifty-Five

## Dear Friend:

We are about ready to tabulate and analyze the research questionnaires sent to all members of the Metropolitan Detroit Bureau of Cooperative School Studies who were unable to attend the Conference held at the Kellogg Center April 21-23, 1955.

We have had a near $50 \%$ return of the questionnaires which is a wonderful response and one for which we are very grateful.

If you have completed and returned the questionnaire, please accept our sincere thanks. If you have not had the opportunity to complete the instrument, we would very mach appreciate your doing so in order to approximate the number of participant questionnaires completed at the conference.

If you have misplaced the questionnaire, please return the enclosed postal card and the instrument will be sent to you.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.
Sincerely yours,
9. 10. Jackson
J. D. Jackson

Conference Coordinator
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## May Eighteen

Nineteen Fifty-Five

## Dear Colleague:

We are very sorry you were unable to attend the conference of the Department of Elementary School Principals held at the Kellogg Center for Continuing Education, Michigan State College, April 14-15, 1955.
fur organization is extremely interested in finding out as much as possible the feelings of our membership regarding our conferences.

Mr. J. D. Jackson, of the Michigan State College Faculty and Coordinato of our conference, is conducting a survey which we hope will result in conferences that will attract every member and that will enable us to plan a program of maximum benefit to you.

The participants at the last conference were very cooperative in filling out a questionnaire for Mr. Jackson. It is of the greatest importance to our organization, and to other educational groups, that the enclosed questionnaire be filled out promptly and returned in the envelope provided.

Thank you very much for your doing this important task for our organization.

Sincerely yours,


Richard Featherstone, Vice President
Department of Elementary School Principals
RF: Klee
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## Dear Friend:

I wish to extend our sincere appreciation to the Department of Elementary Principals for the opportunity of administering the questionnaire to those attending the conference, April 14-15, 1955.

The attached questionnaire is almost identical to the instrument given at the conference. Several questions have been re-phrased and several new questions added that should provide pertinent information useful to provide for needs of those not attending.

It is thus, just as significant to find out the goals, needs, and certain biographical and conference information of non-participants as that obtained from those attending.

While the questionnaire may appear to be quite long, most of the questions require checks or yes or no answers. The time required to fill out the instrument is about five minutes.

I shall appreciate your filling out the questionnaire as completely as possible. Your consideration in helping us is deeply appreciated.

Sincerely yours,
4. N. Gachaen
J. D. Jackson

Conference Coordinator
J
D
J
:
k
1


May Twenty-Seven
Nineteen Fifty-Fite

## Dear Friend:

On May 18th we sent a questionnaire to all members of the Department of Elementary Principals who were unable to attend the Conference held at the Kellogg Center April 14-15, 1955.

In order to provide your organization with complete information according to the objectives of the research, which includes a comparison of participant and nonmparticipant information, we need a high rate of return of the non-participant questionnaires.
If you have completed and returned the questionnaire, please accept our sincere thanks for your cooperation. If, however, you have not completed the instrument we would very much appreciate your doing so at your earliest convenience.

If you have misplaced the questionnaire or did not receive one, please return the enclosed postal card and the instrument will be sent to you.

Thank you very much.
Sincerely yours,

J. D. Jackson Conference Coordinator
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June Nine
Nineteen Fifty -Five

## Dear Friend:

We are about ready to tabulate and analyze the research questionnaires sent to all members of the Department of Elementary Principals who were unable to attend the Conference held at the Kellogg Center April 14-15.

We have had a near $50 \%$ return of the questionnaires which is a wonderful response and one for which we are very grateful.

If you have completed and returned the questionnaire, please accept our sincere thanks. If you have not had the opportunity to complete the instrument, we would very much appreciate your doing so in order to approximate the number of participant questionnaires completed at the conference.

If you have misplaced the questionnaire, please return the enclosed postal card and the instrument will be sent to you.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.
Sincerely yours,


$N$Gubsun
J. D. Jackson Conference Coordinator

J
D
J
:
k
1
$s$

November Eight
Nineteen Fifty-Fivo

## Dar ar Colloaguor

Wo arc very sorry you wore unable to attend the conference of the Michigan Counselors Association hold at the Kellogg Center for Continuing Education, Michigan Stato Orivorsity, October 31November 1, 1955.

Our organization is extremely interested in finding out as much as possible the fecilings of our membership regarding our conferences.

Mra J. D. Jackson, of the Michigan State University Faculty and Coordinator of our conference, is conducting a survey which we hope will result in conferences that will attract every member and that will cable us to plan a program of maximum benefit to you.

The participants at the last conference wore very cooperative in filling out a questionnaire for MIr. Jackson. It is of the greatest importance to our organization, and to other educational groups, that the enclosed questionnaire bc filled out promptly and returned in the envelope provided.

Thank you very much for your doing this important task for our organization.

Sincerely yours,


Rex Gillion, Chairman<br>Michigan Counselors Association

REs RIo
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November Eight
Nineteen Fifty-Five

## Dear Friend:

I wish to extend our sincere appreciation to the Michigan Counselors Association for the opportunity of administering the questionnaire to those attending the conference, October 31-November 1, 1955.

The attached questionnaire is almost identical to the instrument given at the conference. Several questions have been re-phrased and several new questions added that should provide pertinent information useful to provide for needs of those not attending.

It is thus, just as significant to find out the goals, needs, and certain biographical and conference information of nonparticipants as that obtained from those attending.

While the questionnaire may appear to be quite long, most of the questions require checks or yes or no answers. The time required to fill out the instrument is about five minutes.

I shall appreciate your filling out the questionnaire as completely as possible. Your consideration in helping us is deeply appreciated.

Sincerely yours,
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December Five
Nineteen Fifty-Five

## Dear Friend:

On November 8 we sent a questionnaire to all members of the Michigan Counselors Association who were unable to attend the Conference held at the Kellogg Center October 31~November $1_{9}$ 1955.

In order to provide your organization with complete information according to the objectives of the research, which includes a comparison of participant and non-participant information, we need a high rate of return of the nonmparticipant questionnaires.

If you have completed and returned the questionnaire, please accept our sincere thanks for your cooperation. If, however, you have not completed the instrument we would very much appreciate your doing so at your earliest convenience.

If you have misplaced the questionnaire or did not receive one, please return the enclosed postal card and the instrument will be sent to you.

Thank you very much.
Sincerely yours,

$J$ D J 1 k 1 0
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continuing bducation sbrvice

December Sixteen<br>Nineteen Fifty-Five

## Dear Friends

We are about ready to tabulate and analyze the research questionnaires sent to all members of the Nichigan Counselors Association who were unable to attend the Conference held at the Kellogg Center October 31November 1, 1955.

We have had a near $40 \%$ return of the questionnaires which is a wonderful response and one for which we are very grateful.

If you have completed and returned the questionnaire, please accept our sincere thanks. If you have not had the opportunity to complete the instrument, we would very much appreciate your doing so in order to approximate the number of participant questionnaires completed at the conference.

If you have misplaced the questionnaire, please return the enclosed postal card and the instrument will be sent to you.

Thank you very much for your cooperation,
Sincerely yours,


Conference Coordinator
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Please send me a questionnaire for the Michigan Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Name
Address

Please send me a questionnaire for the Michigan Speech Correction Association.

Name
Address

```
ANPLE ETR": MO? NOM COMTM,D
```

Please send me a questionnaire for the Cooperative School Studies Conference.

Name
Address


Please send me a questiomaire for the Elementary Principals Conference.

## Mame

Address


Please send me a questionnaire for the Michigan Counselors Association.

## Name

Address

Date Due




[^0]:    "tro outline and results of this study are presented in Appendix A

[^1]:    *The outline and results of this study are presented in Armondix A

[^2]:    *The Department of Elementary Principals is organized according to the regions of the Michigan Education Association with a representative from each region serving on the state conference planning committee.

