

## MAJOR OLD HIGH GERMAN CLAUSE STRUCTURES IN ISIDOR AND TATIAN

Thesis for the Degree of M. A. MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY Portia Adams Brown 1969 THESIS



Ļ ) 4 ۱ 'n i. ł

### MAJOR OLD HIGH GERMAN CLAUSE STRUCTURES IN ISIDOR AND TATIAN

By

Portia Adams Brown

#### A THESIS

Submitted to Michigan State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF ARTS

Department of Linguistics and Oriental and African Languages

# G58354 10/22/69

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1
CHAPTER 2: Declarative Clauses	1
CHAPTER 3: Interrogative and Imperative Clauses . 2	25
CHAPTER 4: Relative Clauses	50
CONCLUSION	35
APPENDIX I: Abbreviations	56
APPENDIX II: Slot-Filler List	39
APPENDIX III: Clause Formula List	50
BIBLIOGRAPHY	52

•	•	-	•	

· · · ·

· · · · · ·

• • • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

· · · · · · · · ·

. **.** 

· · ·

.

#### CHAPTER 1: Introduction

Old High German was spoken from about 600 A.D. (when it evolved from Proto-Germanic) to approximately 1100 A.D. (by which time it had developed into Middle High German) in the area where High German dialects have been spoken ever since then, present Southern Germany, Switzerland, and Austria (Braune, <u>Althochdeutsche Grammatik</u>, pp. 1-2).

Our knowledge of Old High German is limited to what can be reconstructed linguistically and a few manuscripts, most of them very short. The four sizeable manuscripts are Isidor, Tatian, Otfrid, and Notker. Isidor, Tatian, and Notker are close translations of Latin theological works. Otfrid is original Old High German poetry. Isidor and Tatian are dated around 800, Otfrid 860-70, and Notker about 1000. Previous research in Old High German has produced detailed accounts of its phonology and morphology (Braune, Althochdeutsche Grammatik) and also some information on syntax (Behaghel). An interesting fact is that there were only two tenses native to Old High German, present and past, future actions usually being expressed in the present tense (Brinkmann, pp. 28-32 and 61 and Lawson, p. 64). The numerous spelling variations in different manuscripts reflect the phonological variations in different dialects.

There are two major <u>Isidor</u> manuscripts, found at Paris and Monsee (near Salzburg) and presently located in the national libraries in Paris and Vienna. The original Old High German translation was made between 790 and 800 into either the Rhine Franconian

or the Alemannic dialect (Eggers, pp. V-XX).

The primary <u>Tatian</u> manuscript, dating from 850-900, was found at the monastery library in St. Gallen, Switzerland, and is still there. It is a translation into the East Franconian dialect of a 2nd Century Latin manuscript, which has been in the monastery library at Fulda since the 6th Century. The manuscript at St. Gallen is believed to be a copy of the original translation made by several persons at Fulda about 830 (Sievers, "Einleitung" to <u>Tatian</u>).

The Old High German Isidor and Tatian are respectively about 35 and 140 pages long. My analysis is based on about the first 150 clauses of Isidor and the first 100 of Tatian. Hence, it includes most of the clause types used, especially the most common ones, but probably not all clause types appearing in the manuscripts. Although there are great spelling differences between Isidor and Tatian, I could find no differences in clause structure in my data. With minor exceptions due to limited data, I found exactly the same clause types and tagmemes in both. For example, each manuscript contains at least one clause of each type listed on the following clause chart: declarative, interrogative imperative, relative, transitive, transitive passive, etc. For all clauses of which I have more than three or four examples, formulas made separately for each manuscript would be essentially the same (at least the nuclear tagmemes, potential tagmeme fillers, and basic syntax). Possible variant orders of tagmemes listed after formulas occur in both manuscripts, not just one. Since both are close translations from Latin, there is some Latin influence on the syntax, but there is little more of this apparent in Tatian than in Isidor, although Tatian is a more literal translation.

Even in <u>Tatian</u> the predicate is the first or second tagmeme in independent clauses as opposed to the final position of the predicate in the Latin (Ruhfus, pp. 24-25). The only noticeable difference I found between the two manuscripts was that in <u>Tatian</u> the present participle is used more freely and in a greater number of places within the clause. This is presumably due to the greater use of participles in Latin.

All the assumptions in the above paragraph are based entirely on my data. They are presumed to be true for the whole manuscripts but easily may not be. There are certain differences in frequency of clause types due to content. <u>Isidor</u> is a theological treatise and so contains, for example, more "if" clauses and questions than <u>Tatian</u>, which is a gospel harmony.

My clause structure anaysis of parts of these two manuscripts is based on the tagmemic theory of linguistics as outlined by Pike (<u>Language</u> <u>in Relation to a Unified Theory of the Structure</u> <u>of Human Behavior</u>), Longacre (<u>Grammar Discovery Pro-</u> <u>cedures</u>; "The Notion of Sentence"), and Lind ("Clause and Sentence Level Syntagmemes in Sierra Popoluca"). I have considered one nuclear difference plus one of the following: one non-nuclear difference, one potential transform difference, or one distributional difference, as minimum criteria for judging any two clauses to be of separate types.

The following chart shows the clause types I found in <u>Isidor</u> and <u>Tatian</u>. Numbers indicate the number of clauses I found of each type.

transitive transitive	<b>4</b> 8 22	3 1	3	10 6	
passive		-			
appellative appellative	2 4			1	
passi <b>ve</b> quotati <b>ve</b>	41	1	4	3	
quotative passive	8	-	·	3	
dative			2	1	
intransitive	21		3	1	
stative	14	1		1	
descriptive	46	6		6	

declarative interrogative imperative relative

Except perhaps for the imperative ones, I am sure that the blanks in the chart are due to lack of data. Exactly what is meant by these various types of clauses is explained in Chapter 2.

Use of the subjunctive in Old High German is often connected with what verb is used (Frank, p. 69). Since I could find no difference, except for the mood of the verb, between indicative and subjunctive clauses, I combined them into one declarative clause type.

Even nuclear parts of any of the clauses may be deleted if the clause is in the latter part of a sentence and the deleted parts are obvious from context. For example: SC<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup>:G?pn StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP S<sub>3topic</sub>:NNP 1. <u>wala nu auh<sup>1</sup> hwes / mac / dhesiu</u> well now also whose can this Pr cont SC<sub>1</sub>:GNP stimna/ wesan /<u>nibu<sup>1</sup> dhes nerrendin</u> voice be if not of the saving druhtinees (I,III.9)<sup>3</sup> Lord

Well now, whose can this voice be, if not that of the saving Lord?

Here the whole nucleus of the dependent clause has been omitted (Longacre, "The Notion of Sentence," pp. 19-20).

The above example also serves to illustrate sentence level conjunctions. The first three words, "wala nu auh," serve to link the following clause to whatever precedes. Hence they are fillers of sentence level tagmemes and irrelevant to the clause structure (Longacre, "The Notion of Sentence," p. 15). The following words have been analyzed as sentence level conjunctions and therefore omitted from the clause structure: <u>auur</u> 'but', <u>dhanne</u> 'then', <u>nu</u> 'now', <u>wo</u> 'as', <u>auh</u> 'also, <u>endi</u> 'and', <u>ioh</u> 'and', <u>inu</u> 'now', <u>oh</u> 'also', <u>wala</u> 'well', <u>see</u> 'behold', and <u>tho</u> 'then'. There are some exceptions to this, since some of these words are not always used as sentence level conjunctions.

 Underlined words in examples are fillers of tagmemes above or below clause level and not considered in the clause structure. 2. See Appendix II for explanation of subscripts.
 <u>Isidor</u>, Chapter III, Paragraph 9; references to <u>Tatian</u> are similarly indicated. Nu 'now' is used as a time word in Tatian:

T<sub>1</sub>:t StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP S<sub>ltopic</sub>:Npn SC<sub>1</sub>:pres part 2. nu /wirdist /thu /suigenti (T,Luke 1:20) now become you dumb Now you will become dumb.

<u>Endi</u> and <u>ioh</u> are used frequently to link not only clauses but also individual words and phrases. In the latter cases they have still been omitted from the clause structure, because they always link two coordinate fillers of the same slot, and hence fill tagmemes at the phrase level:

S<sub>1topic</sub>:Npn StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP B<sub>1</sub>:Dpn SC<sub>1</sub>:AdjP / thir / gifeho <u>inti</u> to you joy and /ist is 3. her he blidida (T, Luke 1:14) exultation He will be a joy and exultation to you. S<sub>ltopic</sub>:NpnP StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP M<sub>1</sub>:m 4. dhazs ir selbo Christ/ ist / chiwisso/ that he same Christ is surely SC<sub>1</sub>:NNP got <u>ioh</u> druhtin (I,III.1) God and Lord

that He, the very Christ, is surely God and Lord <u>So</u> can also be used as an adverb in various positions, sometimes coming into the clause structure as the filler of a manner slot:

Ref<sub>1</sub>:RefP TrPasPr<sub>1</sub>:TrPasVP M<sub>1</sub>:m Pr cont 5. umbi dhiz/ nist / so / chiscriban/ about this not is 80 written  $L_1:LP$ in dhero siibunzo tradungum (I,III.3) in of the seventy translation It is not so written about this in the Septuagint. AO<sub>lgoal</sub>:Apn TrPr<sub>1</sub>:TrVP S<sub>lactor</sub>:NNP / got God 6. mih / deda made me A0 cont <u>so</u> <u>selp</u> <u>so</u> dhih (I,III.10) as well as you

God made me as well as you.

Conjunctions relating dependent and independent clauses are also fillers of sentence level slots, since their purpose is more to connect the two clauses than to be a part of one of them. Which conjunction is used to introduce a dependent clause has no effect on the syntax of this clause, and, if a clause can be preceded by one of these conjunctions, it can be introduced by any of them ( with limited exceptions for negation). The subordinating conjunctions and conjunctive phrases are: dhoh dhiu hwederu 'which (of two)', hweo 'how', so 'as', aefter dhiu dhazs 'after', dhazs 'that', ibu 'if', bidhiu 'because', dhar 'where', dhoh 'although', hwanda 'because', ni 'not' (subjunctive introducer), nibu 'if not', mit thiu 'while', and dhuo 'when'. Of these only so, mentioned above, is used in other positions. Following is an example of a sentence containing a dependent clause introduced by a conjunction:

Slactor: NNP TrPr1: TrVP A0<sub>lgoal</sub>: Apn 7. thes hoisten megin / biscatuit / thih of the Highest power overshadows you Sltopic: NpnP StPr1: StVP bithiu thaz thar giboran/ wirdit / because that there born becomes SC1: AdjP heilag (T, Luke 1:35) holy The power of the Highest will overshadow you because that which is born there will be holy.

The only difference between the clauses introduced by a subordinate conjunction and independent declarative clauses is that the predicate is usually final in dependent clauses and usually not final in independent clauses (Holmes, p. 198; Behaghel, pp. 45-6). Therefore I have considered these two as one clause type. For example, consider the following sentence:

StPr<sub>l</sub>:StVP B<sub>l</sub>:Dpn S<sub>ltopic</sub>:NNP 8. ni ward / in / sun / <u>bithiu wanta</u> not was to them son / <u>bithiu wanta</u> S<sub>ltopic</sub>:Nn StPr<sub>l</sub>:StVP SC<sub>l</sub>:N pres part Elisabeth / was /unberenti (T,Luke 1:7) Elizabeth was un-bearing They didn't have a son because Elizabeth was barren.

Here <u>bithiu</u> <u>wanta</u> is the subordinating conjunction introducting the second clause, but this second clause, "Elisabeth was unberenti," could be an independent declarative clause in another context.

Because dependent declarative clauses are separated from the main clause and because they are placed in no particular position in relation to the main clause, they are considered to fill sentence level slots. Hence, in the example above, the dependent clause is not part of the main clause (see Lind, esp. pp. 352-3).

Dependent purpose clauses are declarative clauses and so do not fill clause level slots. Infinitive phrases expressing purpose have the same function, since they comment on complete clauses and sometimes on more than one clause. Hence they are regarded as clause modifiers on the sentence level and omitted from the clause structure. Following is an example of a purpose infinitive phrase modifying two clauses:

Slactor:Npn IntrPr\_:IntrVP L\_:LP 9. <u>inti</u> her / ferit / fora inan / and he goes before him M<sub>1</sub>:MP in geiste inti in megine Heliases/ thaz in spirit and in power of Elijah that Slactor:Npn TrPr;:TrVP AO / giwente / herzun fatero her turn hearts of fathers he  $L_1:LP$ A0 cont in kind / <u>inti</u> ungiloubfolle/ to children and unbelievers  $L_1:LP$ zi wistuome rehtero garwen truhtine to wisdom of just to make ready to Lord thuruhthigan folc (T, Luke 1:17) prepared people And he goes before him in the spirit and in the power of Elijah, that he may turn the hearts of fathers to their children, and the unbelievers to the wisdom of the just, to make ready for the Lord a people prepared.

Since vocatives also comment on a whole clause, they also are assumed to be sentence level. Any tagmeme filled by more than one word, especially a predicate, may be discontinuous. In this case the two parts of the predicate are both indicated in the formula. It is never obligatory, as in modern German, that predicates filled by more than one word be discontinuous. In addition, one clause may contain two separate manner tagmemes, usually filled by one manner phrase and one manner word. For example:

TrPasPr<sub>l</sub>:TrPasVP M<sub>l</sub>:m L<sub>l</sub>:LP 10. <u>so</u> chisendit ward / chiwisso/ zi dheodum/ so sent was surely to people M<sub>l</sub>:MP

> after dheru sineru gotnissa guotliihhin(I,III.9) according to of the his deity glory

So He was surely sent to the people according to the glory of His deity.

In the following three chapters I will discuss the various clause types in detail, starting with the most common, declarative, clauses, and going on to interrogative, imperative, and relative clauses. The appendices should help especially in understanding my formulas and examples. These appendices contain all the abbreviations, slot-fillers, and clause formulas mentioned in the main body of the thesis.

#### CHAPTER 2: Declarative Clauses

The term "declarative" is used to distinguish these clauses from interrogative, imperative, and relative clauses, which are all different in distribution. Interrogative and imperative clauses fill interrogative and imperative slots in the discourse structure, and relative clauses must be embedded in another clause, while declarative clauses fill declarative slots in discourse. Declarative clauses are also distinguished by other factors. They cannot contain relative or interrogative pronouns or interrogative manner words. One of the first of these must occur in every relative clause and one of the last two in every interrogative clause.

There are nine kinds of declarative clauses, as indicated on the chart in Chapter 1. These are distinguished mostly by having different types of predicates.

#### Transitive Active Clauses

The declarative transitive active clause is so named because it must contain a direct object, which will be in the accusative case. The only other declarative clauses containing accusative objects are the appellative active and quotative active clauses, each of which contains a tagmeme not found in the transitive clause. The appellative clause contains the name tagmeme and the quotative clause the reference tagmeme. The transitive active clause is also distinguished from all other indicative clauses by having a unique predicate filler class and function. Its formula is as follows:

The statements below the formulasiindicate possible variation in syntax, meaning "S may occur after Pr, B, or AO," etc. In all declarative clauses the predicate is usually final if the clause is introduced by a subordinating conjunction (see pp. 7-9). The following are examples of declarative transitive active clauses:

this slot.

Slactor:Npn TrPr1:TrVP B1:Dpn 11. ih / wendu / imu / I turn to him A01goal:ANP chuningo hrucca (I,III.2) of kings backs I turn the backs of the kings towards him.

<sup>4.</sup> Since all slots designated the same way in my formulas have the same fillers, I have listed the fillers in a separate Slot-Filler List (Appendix II) in order to avoid rewriting them in every formula. Only the fillers of predicate slots, which vary considerably, are listed in the formulas.

B<sub>1</sub>:Dpn TrPr<sub>1</sub>:TrVP A0 lgoal : ANP 12. dhiu chiborgonun hort / dhir / ghibu(I,III.2) the hidden treasure to you I give I give you the hidden treasure TrPr1:TrVP A01goal:Apn M1:MP / mih 13. sendida / after guotliihhin/ he sent me in glory  $B_{1}:DP$ zi dheodom dhem euwih biraubodon (I.III.8) to people who you robbed He sent me in glory to the people who robbed you.

5. One might suspect that <u>geban</u> 'to give' would be different from other transitive verbs in having an obligatory dative beneficiary. Although my data is too limited to throw light on this problem, I have found an example from later in <u>Tatian</u>, where <u>geban</u> appears without a beneficiary:

TrPr<sub>l</sub>:TrVP <sup>AO</sup>lgoal:ANP gibu / dezemon allero thero ih in ehti I give tenth of all of which I in possession bihaben (T, Luke 18:12) own

I give a tenth of all I possess.

While geban can occur without a beneficiary, it is still possible that clauses with geban are of a different type, since two beneficiaries are semantically possible; i.e. "The doctor gave the nurse the medicine for the patient." TrPr1:TrVP Slactor:NNP L1:LP 14. regonoda / druhtin / fona druhtine ubar rained Lord from Lord over AOlgoal:ANP Sodomam endi Gomorram/ swebil endi Sodom and GGomorra sulphur and fyur (I,III.6) fire The Lord rained sulphur and fire from the

Lord over Sodom and Gomorra.

#### Transitive Passive Clauses

The declarative transitive passive clause is the passive transform of the preceding clause. The filler of its predicate differs by being obligatorily in the passive voice. The clause may also contain an agent tagmeme common only to passive clauses. Since it has an agent tagmeme and a unique predicate, there are at least two factors that distinguish it from all non-passive clauses. It differs from appellative and quotative clauses in the same ways as its active counterpart: it can contain no name or reference tagmeme. The declarative transitive passive clause formula is as follows:

 $DcTrPasCl = {}^{\pm}I_{1} {}^{\pm}Ag_{1} {}^{+}TrPasPr_{1}{}^{*}:TrPasVP_{a} {}^{\pm}S_{1}goal$  ${}^{\pm}B_{1} {}^{\pm}M_{1} {}^{\pm}T_{1} {}^{\pm}L_{1} {}^{+}TrPasPr_{1}{}^{*}:TrPasVP_{b}$ S before Pr or Ag L before Pr T before Pr

\*In passive clauses the predicate always consists of two verbs, one of them auxiliary (wesan or werdan). If the predicate is discontinuous, the auxiliary verb occurs in the first predicate slot and the other, a past participle, in the second. If the predicate is continuous, it may occur in either slot. This is true for all passive clauses.

The following are declarative transitive passive clauses:

Slgoal:NNP I1:IP TrPasPr<sub>1</sub>:TrPasVP / thuruh thaz / wurdun gitan (T,John 1:3) through that was made 15. alliu all All things were made through that. TrPasPr<sub>1</sub>:TrPasVP T<sub>1</sub>:TP 16. in themo sensten manude/ gisentit ward / month sent in the sixth WAS S<sub>lgoal</sub>:NNP Ag<sub>1</sub>:AgP L<sub>1</sub>:LP engil Gabriel/ fon gote/ in this burg angel Gabriel from God to the city Galilee thero namo ist Nazareth zi of Galilee of which name is Nazareth to thiornun gimahaltero gommanne themo virgin engaged to man to whom namo was Ioseph (T, Luke 1:26) name was Joseph In the sixth month the angel Gabriel was sent by God to the city of Galilee named Nazareth to a virgin engaged to a man named Joseph. TrPasPr<sub>l</sub>:TrPasVP S<sub>lgoal</sub>:Nn L<sub>1</sub>:1 / Christ / 17. dhar / ist there is Christ Pr cont chizeihnit (I,III.2) indicated There Christ is indicated.

TrPasPr<sub>1</sub>:TrPasVP T<sub>1</sub>:TP 18. in dhemu daghe/ werdhant / in the day become S<sub>lgoal</sub>:NNP Pr cont manego dheodun/ chisamnoda/ many people gathered  $L_1:LP$ zi druhtine (I,III.9) to Lord In that day many people will be gathered to the Lord.

#### Appellative Clauses

The fillers of the predicates of the declarative appellative active clauses are only verbs meaning to name or call. Therefore these clauses have unique predicates that separate them from all other declarative clause types as well as an obligatory name tagmeme. Besides the obvious transform difference between the two appellative clause types, they also differ in that only the active may have an accusative object. The appellative active clause formula is as follows:

DcApCl = +ApPr<sub>l</sub>:ApVP <sup>±</sup>S<sub>lactor</sub> +AO<sub>lben</sub> +Name<sub>lgoal</sub> An example of this clause type is as follows:

ApPr1:ApVP AO1ben:ANP Name1goal:An

19. ginemnis / sinan namon/ Heilant (T, Luke 1:31) you name his name Savior You will call His name Savior. ·

-. . .

The appellative passive clause formula is as follows:  $DcApPasCl = -M_1 -I_1 -S_{lben} +ApPasPr_1:ApPasVP_a$ **±**T<sub>1</sub> +Name<sub>lgoal</sub> +ApPasPr<sub>1</sub>:ApPasVP<sub>b</sub> Name before Pr Following are appellative passive clauses: S<sub>lben</sub>:Npn ApPasPr<sub>l</sub>:ApPasVP Name<sub>lgoal</sub>:Nn / wirdit ginemnit / gotes barn(T,Luke 1:35) becomes named God's child 20. thaz that He will be called the Son of God. S<sub>lben</sub>Npn Name<sub>lgoal</sub>:Nn 21. ther / thes hoisten sun / he of the highest son ApPasPr<sub>1</sub>:ApPasVP ist ginemnit (T, Luke 1:32) is named He will be called the Son of the Highest.

#### Quotative Clauses

Quotative clauses are characterized by verbs of speaking, writing, or knowing and a unique tagmeme indicating what is referred to (i.e. phrases like <u>umbi dhiz</u> 'about this', <u>umbi dhen</u> 'about whom (or which)', <u>fon them</u> 'about whom (or which)'). Quotative clauses always have a potential subordinate clause indicating what was said. The subordinate clause indicates what was said the reference indicates what (or whom) it was said about. There is a potential transform difference between the active and passive quotative clauses, since each can be transformed into the other. Each quotative clause type has a unique predicate distinguisheing it from all other declarative clause types. Following is the declarative quotative active clause formula:  $DcQC1 = \frac{1}{Ref_1} \frac{1}{L_1} \frac{1}{T_1} \frac{1}{AO_{1goal}} \frac{1}{M_1} \frac{1}{T_1} + QPr_1 : QVP$  $\pm S_{1actor} \pm B_1$ I after S Ref after S AO after Pr S after B S before Pr or T B before AO or Pr Following are quotative active clauses: QPr1:QVP Slactor:Nn B1:DP 22. quad / Zacharias / zi themo engile(T,Luke 1:18) Zechariah to the angel said Zechariah said to the angel. QPr<sub>1</sub>:QVP Ref<sub>1</sub>:RefP 23. umbi dhesan selbun Christ/ chundida / about this same Christ witnessed S<sub>lactor</sub>:NNP I<sub>l</sub>:IP almahtic fater / dhurah Isaian (I,III.2) Almighty Father though Isaiah The Almighty Father witnessed about this same Christ through Isaiah. M<sub>1</sub>:m QPr<sub>1</sub>:QVP S<sub>lactor</sub>:NNP 24. sus / quhad / dher gomo dhemu izs firgheban thus said the man to whom it given the man to whom it given ward adhalsangheri Israhelo / was noble-singer of Israel Ref<sub>1</sub>:RefP umbi Christan Iacobes got (I,III.7) about Christ of Jacob God Thus said the man to whom it was given, the noble singer of Israel, about Christ, the God of Jacob.

QPr<sub>1</sub>:QVP B<sub>1</sub>:Dpn S<sub>lactor</sub>:NNP / iru / ther engil (T, Luke 1:30) to her the angel 25. quad said The angel said to her. Slactor:Npn QPr1:QVP / sculut bichennen<sup>6</sup>(I.III.8) 26. er shall know you You shall know.  $B_1:DP QPr_1:QVP$ Slactor: NNP 27. ingangenti thie engil/ zi iru/quad (T,Luke 1:28) in-going the angel to her said Going in, the angel said to her. This is the declarative quotative passive clause formula:

 $DcQPasCl = \frac{+}{Ref_{1}} \frac{+}{T_{1}} \frac{+}{L_{1}} \frac{+}{M_{1}} \frac{+}{L_{1}} + QPasPr_{1}:QPasVP_{a}$  $\frac{+}{S_{1goal}} \frac{+}{B_{1}} + QPasPr_{1}:QPasVP_{b}$ L after PrM after Pr

Following are quotative passive clauses:

<sup>6.</sup> Although <u>bichennen</u> is not a verb of speaking, I have classified it as quotative because it can take a dependent clause indicating what is known. Here, for example, it is followed by <u>dhazs werodheoda</u> <u>druhtin min sendida</u> 'that the Lord of Hosts sent <u>me'. I would guess that bichennen</u> could also take a reference tagmeme stating about whom (or what) it is known, but I have no example of it.

Ref<sub>1</sub>:RefP QPasPr<sub>1</sub>:QPasVP M<sub>1</sub>:m 28. umbi dhiz / nist / 80 / about this not is 80  $Pr cont L_1:LP$ chiscriban/ in dhero siibunzo written in of the seventy tradungum (I,III.3) translation It is not so written about this in the Septuagint. QPasPr<sub>1</sub>:QPasVP L<sub>1</sub>:LP M<sub>1</sub>:m 29. chiwisso/ chiscriban ist/ in Genesi (I,III.6) written is in Genesis surely Surely it is written in Genesis.

#### Intransitive Clauses

The declarative intransitive clause has a unique predicate filler class and function. It is different from all other declarative clauses also because it cannot have tagmemes present in the others, such as accusative object, name, reference, and subject complement. It differs from the stative clause because an intransitive clause may contain an instrumental tagmeme but a stative clause cannot. The declarative intransitive clause formula is as follows:

DcIntrCl = <sup>±</sup>S<sub>lactor</sub> +IntrPr<sub>1</sub>:IntrVP<sub>a</sub> <sup>±</sup>I<sub>1</sub> <sup>±</sup>M<sub>1</sub> <sup>±</sup>L<sub>1</sub> <sup>±</sup>IntrPr<sub>1</sub>\*:IntrVP<sub>b</sub> <sup>±</sup>T<sub>1</sub> T before Pr S after Pr L before Pr or M M before Pr

\*In <u>Tatian</u> the predicate may be discontinuous with the second part a present participle occurring in this slot. The following are declarative intransitive clauses: Slactor: NNP IntrPr<sub>1</sub>:IntrVP 30. manage Israheles barno / giwerbit many Israel's of children turn / L<sub>1</sub>:LP zi truhtine gote iro (T, Luke 1:16) to Lord God their Many of the children of Israel will turn to the Lord their God. IntrPr<sub>1</sub>:IntrVP T<sub>1</sub>:TP 31. after then tagon/ entfieng / after the days conceived Slactor: NnP Elisabeth sin quena (T, Luke 1:24) Elizabeth his wife After the days Elizabeth his wife conceived. Slactor:NNP M1:MP 32. manage / in sinero giburti/ in his birth many IntrPr<sub>1</sub>:IntrVP mendent (T, Luke 1:14) rejoice Many will rejoice at his birth. Slactor:NNP L1:LP 33. thaz light / in finstarnessin/ the light in darknesses IntrPr<sub>1</sub>:IntrVP liuhta (T, John 1:5) shined The light shined in the darkness.

#### Stative and Descriptive Clauses

Actually the predicates of the stative and descriptive clauses are the same, and they are different from those of all other declarative clauses. Their fillers are forms of <u>wesan</u> and <u>werdan</u>, meaning 'to be' and 'to become'. Among other differences, these two clauses are both also separated from all other declarative clauses by having the subject as topic rather than as actor or goal. The most obvious way in which stative and descriptive clauses differ from each other is that the descriptive clause has an obligatory subject complement, which the stative clause cannot have. The other difference is that the subject is obligatory in the descriptive clause but optional in the stative.<sup>7</sup> This is the declarative stative clause formula:

DestCl = <sup>±</sup>M<sub>1</sub> +StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP <sup>±</sup>B<sub>1</sub> <sup>±</sup>T<sub>1</sub> <sup>±</sup>S<sub>1topic</sub> <sup>±</sup>L<sub>1</sub> T before Pr S before Pr L before S or Pr

Following are declarative stative clauses:

T<sub>1</sub>:TP StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP S<sub>ltopic</sub>:NNP 34. in anaginne / was / wort (T, John 1:1) in beginning was word

In the beginning was the Word.

<sup>7.</sup> Due to lack of data it is possible that this statement is incorrect and the subject is not obligatory in descriptive clauses. Hence, with enough data, one might find that stative and descriptive clauses are only one clause type.

StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP B<sub>1</sub>:Dpn S<sub>ltopic</sub>:NNP 35. ni ward / in / sun (T, Luke 1:7) not was to them son They didn't have a son. S<sub>ltopic</sub>:NNP StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP L<sub>1</sub>:LP 36. thaz wort / was / mit gote (T,John 1:1) the word was with God The Word was with God. StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP L<sub>1</sub>:LP /in.dhesem dhrim heidem / in these three Persons 37. ist is S<sub>ltopic</sub>:NNP ein namo dhes unchideiliden meghines(I,IV.1) one name of the undivided power There is in these three Persons one name of undivided power. The declarative descriptive clause formula is as follows:  $DcDsCl = -T_1 + S_{ltopic} + StPr_1 : StVP - M_1 - B_1 + SC_1 - L_1$ M before Pr S after Pr B after SC SC before Pr The following are declarative descriptive clauses: S<sub>ltopic</sub>:Npn StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP SC<sub>1</sub>:AdjP / bim / alt (T, Luke 1:18) am old 38. ih Ι I am old.

S<sub>ltopic</sub>:Nn M<sub>l</sub>:MP 39. Christus / in dhes fleisches liihhamin/ Christ in of the flesh body StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP SC<sub>1</sub>:NNP / Davides sunu (I,III.7) David's son sii is Christ is in the body of flesh David's son. T<sub>1</sub>:t StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP S<sub>ltopic</sub>:Npn 40. nu / wirdist / thu / now become you SC<sub>1</sub>:Npres part suigenti (T, Luke 1:20) dumb Now you will become dumb. S<sub>ltopic</sub>:Npn StPr<sub>l</sub>:StVP SC<sub>l</sub>:ZiP 41. sie / werdant / zi scaahche/ they become booty B<sub>1</sub>:DRC1 dhem im aer dheonodon (I,III.8) to whom them earlier served They become booty to those who earlier served them. SC<sub>1</sub>:NNP S<sub>ltopic</sub>:infP 42. dhazs so zi chilaubanne/ mihhil wootnissa/ that so to believe great insanity StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP ist (I,III.5) is So to believe that is great insanity.

CHAPTER 3: Interrogative and Imperative Clauses

Interrogative clauses are distinguished from all other clause types by filling interrogative slots in discourse structure and having an obligatory question word included in the filler of the first tagmeme in the clause, which may be anything except the predicate.

I found only five types of interrogative clauses, which differ from each other in the same ways as their declarative counterparts (see Chapter 2). There was only one "yes-no" question in my data, which was not enough to analyze.<sup>8</sup> The transitive active interrogative clause formula is as follows:

 $[TrCl = \frac{+}{3} + TrPr_{1} * :TrVP_{a} \frac{+}{3} 3 actor + AO_{3} goal$  $\frac{+}{2}L_{3} \frac{+}{3}T_{3} \frac{+}{3}TrPr_{1} * :TrVP_{b}$ S before Pr M after L

\*The predicate may be formed with the modal verb <u>magan</u> 'can'. In this case the modal appears in the first predicate slot and an infinitive in the second. If <u>magan</u> is not used, the predicate is continuous and occurs in the first slot. This situation was also found in descriptive and stative interrogative clauses.

8. This example is as follows:

The following are examples of transitive active interrogative clauses:

M<sub>3</sub>:?m TrPr<sub>1</sub>:TrVP S<sub>3actor</sub>Npn 43. wanan/ weiz / ih / how know Т A03goal:Apn thaz (T, Luke 1:18) that How do I know that? S<sub>3actor</sub>:NNP TrPr<sub>l</sub>:TrVP AO<sub>3goal</sub>:ANP 44. hwelih druhtin/ regonoda / fyur which Lord rained fire L<sub>3</sub>:LP in Sodoma fona druhtine (I,III.6) on Sodom from Lord Which Lord rained fire on Sodom from the Lord? This is the transitive passive interrogative clause formula:  $TrPasCl = \pm I_3 \pm Ag_3 \pm M_3 + TrPasPr_1: TrPasVP_a \pm S_{3goal}$  $\pm B_3 \pm T_3 \pm L_3 \pm TrPasPr_1: TrPasVP_b$ Following is my only example of this clause type: TrPasPr<sub>1</sub>:TrPasVP  $M_3:MP$ 45. zi hwes chilihnissu/ wardh / in whose likeness was S<sub>3goal</sub>:NNP Pr cont / chiscaffan (I,III.5) man created man In whose likeness was man created?

Following is the quotative active interrogative clause formula:

 $2QC1 = -Ref_{3} + M_{3} + B_{3} + QPr_{1} + QVP + S_{3actor} + L_{3} + T_{3}$ Following is my only example of this clause type: Ref<sub>3</sub>:RefP QPr<sub>1</sub>:QVP S<sub>3actor</sub>:Nn 46. umbi hwenan/ quhad about whom spoke / David David L<sub>z</sub>:LP in chuningo boohhum (I,III.7) in of kings books About whom did David speak in the Books of Kings? This is the stative interrogative clause formula:  $StCl = -M_3 + StPr_1 : StVP_a -T_3 -S_{3topic} -L_3 -StPr_1 : StVP_b$ \*See footnote to transisive interrogative clause formula. This is my only example of this clause type: M<sub>3</sub>:?m StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP S<sub>3topic</sub>:Npn 47. wuo / mag how can / thaz that Pr cont sin (T, Luke 1:34) be How can that be? The descriptive interrogative clause formula is as follows: ?DsCl = +SC<sub>3</sub> +StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP<sub>a</sub> +S<sub>3topic</sub> <sup>±</sup>StPr<sub>1</sub>\*:StVP<sub>b</sub> \*See footnote to transitive interrggative clause formula. Following is a sample of this clause type:

SC<sub>3</sub>:N?pn StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP S<sub>3topic</sub>:NNP 48. hwer / ist / dher druhtin (I,III.6) who is the Lord Who is the Lord?

I found only four types of imperative clauses: transitive active, quotative active, intransitive, and dative. Since these are only the general clause types where the subject is the actor, it is possible that others do not exist. However, I would suspect that the others are possible, as in English, but rare for semantic reasons. Imperative clauses are easily distinguished from other clauses because they are used to fill an imperative slot in the discourse structure and they have a different type of predicate filler, always in the imperative mood. The first three imperative clauses are distinguished from each other in the same ways as declarative clause types (see Chapter 2).

The transitive active imperative formula is as follows:

ImpTrCl = +TrPr<sub>2</sub>: ImpTrVP  $^{\pm}L_1$  +AO<sub>lgoal</sub>  $^{\pm}M_1$   $^{\pm}T_1$ Following is an example of this clause type:

	TrPr <sub>2</sub> :ImpTrVP	A0 <sub>lgoal</sub> :Apn
49.	frewi / rejoice	thih (I,III.9) yourself

Rejoice!

This is the quotative active imperative clause formula:

ImpQCl =  ${}^{+}M_1$  +QPr<sub>2</sub>: ImpQVP  ${}^{+}B_1$   ${}^{+}S_1$  lactor Following is an example of this clause type:

> M<sub>1</sub>:m QPr<sub>2</sub>:ImpQVP 50. ziware/ firnim (I,III.2) truly understand Understand truly.

This is the intransitive imperative clause formula:  $ImpTntrCl = +IntrPr_{2}:ImpIntrVP \stackrel{+}{=}S_{lactor} \stackrel{+}{=}M_{l}$   $\stackrel{+}{=}L_{l} \stackrel{+}{=}T_{l}$ The following is an intransitive imperative clause:  $IntrPr_{2}:ImpIntrVP \quad L_{1}:LP$ 

51. sitzi/ azs zesuun halp miin (I,III.7)sitat right half my

Sit at my right side.

Although I found only three of them, dative clauses are definitely different from other clause types. They have a unique predicate function and filler class, verbs which take direct objects in the dative case. They also cannot undergo the passive transformation like transitive clauses. This is the dative imperative clause formula:

Following is a dative imperative clause:

	DP2:ImpDVP	Slactor <sup>:Npn</sup>	DO <sub>lgoal</sub> :Dpn
52.	ni forhti /	thu /	thir (T, Luke 1:13)
	not fear	you	yourself

Do not fear.

I found only imperative and relative dative clauses in my data. Since dative clauses do not occur very frequently, I would assume that declarative and interrogative dative clauses are possible.

## CHAPTER 4: Relative Clauses

There is a distributional difference between relative clauses and other clause types because relative clauses are always parts of other clauses and other clause types never are. Relative clauses also have an obligatory relative pronoun which must be included in the filler of the first slot in the clause and have an antecedent in the main clause. Relative clauses may fill the same slots filled by nouns or adjectives in the main clause, but the relative pronoun must be in the case appropriate for the given slot.

I found eight relative clause types, which are distinguished in the same ways as declarative clause types. The transitive active relative clause formula is as follows:

Following are examples of this clause type:

as follows:  $RTrPasCl = \frac{+}{B_2} + TrPasPr_1 : TrPasVP_a \frac{+}{S_{2goal}} \frac{+}{L_2}$ **\***Ag<sub>2</sub> **\***I<sub>2</sub> **\***M<sub>2</sub> **\***T<sub>2</sub> **\***TrPasPr<sub>1</sub>**:**TrPasVP<sub>b</sub> L before S Following are clauses of this type: S<sub>2goal</sub>:NRpn Ag<sub>2</sub>:AgP / fona werodheoda druhtin/ by of mankind Lord 55. dher who TrPasPr<sub>1</sub>:TrPasVP ward chisendit (I,III.8) was sent who was sent by the Lord of Hosts L<sub>2</sub>:LP TrPasPr<sub>1</sub>:TrPasVP 56. fora dhemu / sindun / before whom are S<sub>2goal</sub>:NNP Pr cont dheodun ioh riihi / chihneigidiu/ peoples and kingdoms bowed down M<sub>2</sub>:MP in ghilaubin (I,III.3) in belief before whom peoples and kingdoms are bowed down in their belief This is the appellative active relative clause formula: RApC1 = +S<sub>2actor</sub> +AO<sub>2ben</sub> +Name<sub>2goal</sub> +ApPr<sub>1</sub>:ApVP My only example of this clause type is as follows:

31

S<sub>2actor</sub>:NRpn AO<sub>2ben</sub>:Apn ApPr<sub>1</sub>:ApVP / dhih / nemniu (I,III.2) 57. dher who you name (I) who name you The quotative active relative clause formula is as follows:  $RQC1 = \frac{t}{T_2} \frac{t}{L_2} \frac{t}{Ref_2} \frac{t}{M_2} + QPr_1 : QVP \frac{t}{S_{2ackor}}$ The following is my only example of this clause type: Ref<sub>2</sub>:RefP  $M_2:m$  QPr<sub>1</sub>:QVP 58. fona dhes gotnissa/ sus / quhad / of whose deity thus said S<sub>2actor</sub>:Nn Iob (I,III.10) Job of whose deity Job spoke thus This is the quotative passive relative clause formula:  $RQPasC1 = \frac{+}{B_2} \frac{+}{Ref_2} + QPasPr_1: QPasVP_a \frac{+}{S_{2001}}$ +QPasPr1:QPasVP Ref after first Pr Following are sample clauses of this type: Ref<sub>2</sub>:RefP S<sub>2goal</sub>:Npn QPasPr<sub>1</sub>:QPasVP 59. fon them / thu about which you / gil**erit** taught bist (T, Luke 1:4) are about which you are taught

B2:DRpn S2goal:Npn QPasPr1:QPasVP 60. dhemu / izs / chibodan ward / to whom it revealed was Ref<sub>2</sub>:RefP umbi Christan Iacobes gotes (I,IV.2) about Christ of Jacob of God to whom it was revealed about Christ of the God of Jacob This is the dative relative clause formula:  $RDC1 = \frac{+}{S}_{2actor} + DO_{2goal} + T_2 + DPr_1 : DVP$ Following is my only example of this clause type: S<sub>2actor</sub>:NRpn DO<sub>2goal</sub>:Dpn T<sub>2</sub>:t 61. dhem / im / aer / who them earlier DPr<sub>1</sub>:DVP dheonodon (I,III.8) served (to them) who served them earlier The intransitive relative clause formula is as follows: RIntrCl =  $\pm S_{2actor}$  +IntrPr<sub>1</sub>:IntrVP  $\pm L_2$ Following is my only intransitive relative clause: S<sub>2actor</sub>:NRpn IntrPr<sub>1</sub>:IntrVP 62. thie / azstante / who stand L<sub>2</sub>:LP fora gote (T, Luke 1:19) before God (I) who stand before God The stative relative clause formula is as follows:  $RStCl = \pm T_2 \pm S_{2topic} + StPr_1: StVP$ The following is my only example of this clause type:

T<sub>2</sub>:TP S<sub>2topic</sub>:Npn StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP 63 in themo/ thisu / werdent (T, Luke 1:20) in which these become (the day) in which these things will be The descriptive relative clause formula is as follows:  $RDsCl = +S_{2topic} \stackrel{\pm}{=} T_2 \stackrel{\pm}{=} L_2 \stackrel{\pm}{=} M_2 + SC_2 + StPr_1 : StVP$ SC after Pr Following are examples of this clause type: S<sub>2topic</sub>:NRpn T<sub>2</sub>:t SC<sub>2</sub>:AdjP / simbles/ fona dhemu fater always from the father 64. dher who StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP chisendit chiwon/ ist / sent used is SC cont fona himile nidharquheman endi from heaven descend and uphstigan (I,III.6) ascend who, sent from the Father, is always accustomed to descend from heaven and ascend S<sub>2topic</sub>:NNP StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP SC<sub>2</sub>:Nn 65. themo namo / was / Ioseph (T,Luke 1:27) to whom name was Joseph whose name was Joseph

## CONCLUSION

Presumably we will never know for sure exactly what the clause structure of spoken Old High German was. We know that Latin, as the language of the rulers and the educated, had a sizeable influence on the writing of Old High German, as well as on the spoken language, but we cannot be sure how great. Since what remains to us of Old High German is mostly close translations from Latin, we have no way of knowing exactly how many similarities are due to poor translating and how many are examples of linguistic borrowing or coincidental similarities between the two languages.

I have tried to show that the clause structures found in <u>Isidor</u> and <u>Tatian</u> are basically the same, although they represent different dialects. If this is true, it indicates that <u>Tatian</u>, although a more literal translation, is not noticeably closer to Latin syntax than <u>Isidor</u>. Although I have not discussed this, it is obvious from glancing at the parablel texts that there are major structural differences between the German and Latin clauses. A more accurate picture of Old High German clause structure could be obtained by comparing the structures found here to those of <u>Otfrid</u> and shorter original Old High German works.

A - accusative A0 - accusative object AdjP - adjective phrase Ag - agent AgP - agent phrase AinfP - accusative infinitive phrase An - accusative name word ANP - accusative noun phrase Ap - appellative ApPasPr - appellative passive predicate ApPasVP - appellative passive verb phrase ApPr - appellative predicate ApVP - appellative verb phrase Apn - accusative pronoun ApnP - accusative pronoun phrase ARpn - accusative relative pronoun B - beneficiary slot ben - beneficiary Cl - clause cont - continued D - dative Dc - declarative DcApC1 - declarative appellative clause DcApPasC1 - declarative appellative passive clause DcDsCl - declarative descriptive clause DcIntrCl - declarative intransitive clause DcQC1 - declarative quotative clause DcQPasC1 - declarative quotative passive clause DcStCl - declarative stative clause DcTrCl - declarative transitive clause DcTrPasC1 - declarative transitive passive clause Ds - descriptive DRC1 - dative relative clause DNP - dative noun phrase DO - dative object DP - dative phrase Dpn - dative pronoun DRpn - dative relative pronoun DPr - dative predicate DVP - dative verb phrase GNP - genitive noun phrase Gpn - genitive pronoun GRpn - genitive relative pronoun G?pn - genitive interrogative pronoun (I) - example from Isidor I - instrumental IP - instrumental phrase Imp - imperative ImpDC1 - imperative dative clause ImpIntrCl - imperative intransitive clause

```
ImpDVP - imperative dative verb phrase
ImpIntrVP - imperative intransitive verb phrase
ImpQC1 - imperative quotative clause
ImpQVP - imperative quotative verb phrase
ImpTrCl - imperative transitive clause
ImpTrVP - imperative transitive verb phrase
InfP - infinitive phrase
Intr - intransitive
IntrPr - intransitive predicate
IntrVP - intransitive verb phrase
L - locative
1 - locative word
LP - locative phrase
M - manner
m - manner word
MP - manner phrase
Name - name slot
n - name word
Nn - nominative name word
NNP - nominative noun phrase
NnP - nominative name phrase
NRC1 - nominative relative clause
Npn - nominative pronoun
NpnP - nominative pronoun phrase
Npres part - nominative present participle
N?pn_nominative interrogative pronoun
NRpn - nominative relative pronoun
Pr - predicate
Pas - passive
PasPr - passive predicate
PresPart - present participle
Past PartP - past participial phrase
PresPartP - present participial phrase
Q - quotative
QPasPr - quotative passive predicate
QPasVP - quotative passive verb phrase
QPr - quotative predicate
QVP - quotative verb phrase
R - relative
Ref - reference slot
RC1 - relative clause
RApC1 - relative appellative clause
RDC1 - relative dative clause
RDsCl - relative descriptive clause
RIntrCl - relative intransitive clause
RQC1 - relative quotative clause
RQPasC1 - relative quotative passive clause
RStCl - relative stative clause
RTrCl - relative transitive clause
RTrPasC1 - relative transitive passive clause
RefP - reference phrase
Rpn - relative pronoun
```

```
S - subject
SC - subject complement
St - stative
StPr - stative predicate
StVP - stative verb phrase
T - time
(T) - example from Tatian
t - time word
TP - time phrase
Tr - transitive
TrPasPr - transitive passive predicate
TrPasVP - transitive passive verb phrase
TrVP - transitive verb phrase
TrPr - transitive predicate
VP - verb phrase
ZiP - phrase beginning with 'zi'
? - interrogative
?Cl - interrogative clause
?m - interrogative manner word
?DsCl - interrogative descriptive clause
?QC1 - interrogative quotative clause
?StCl - interrogative stative clause
?TrCl - interrogative transitive clause
?TrPasCl - interrogative transitive passive clause
?pn - interrogative pronoun
/ - tagmeme boundary
† - obligatory
- optional
```

```
Ag: Don/ AgP
AO: App/ ANP/ AinfP/ An
B: Dpn/ DNP/ DP/ DRC1
DO: Don
I: IP
L: LP/ 1
M: MP/m
Name: Nn/ An
Ref: RefP
S: Non/ NNP/ NRC1/ Nn/ InfP/ Dpn/ Gpn
SC: Npn/ NNP/ ZiP/ AdjP/ InfP/ Gpn/ PresPartP/
     PastPartP/ Nn/ GNP
T: TP/t
Subscripts on all slot symbols except predicates
indicate the following:
     1. Fillers may not contain relative or interroga-
          tive pronouns (except in embedded relative
          clauses).
     2. Fillers may contain relative pronouns but
          not interrogative pronouns.
     3. Fillers may contain interrogative pronouns
          but not relative pronouns (except in embedded
          relative clauses).
```

Subscripts on predicates indicate the following:

1. Filler is indicative or subjunctive.

2. Filler is imperative.

## 1. AO:Apn

TrPr1:TrVP A01goal:Apn Slactor:NNP / got dhin got/ God your God chisalboda/ dhih annointed you  $L_1$ :LP I<sub>1</sub>:IP mit freuwidha olee/ fora dhinem with of joy oil before your chilothzssom (I,III.1) consort (transitive declarative clause) God, your God, annointed you with the oil of joy before your consort. 2. AO:ANP ApPr1:ApVP Slactor:Npn AOlben:ANP / thu / sinan namon/ his name nemnis you name Name lgoal : An Iohannem (T, Luke 1:13) John (appellative declarative clause) You will name his name John.

3. AO:AinfP

AO<sub>lgoal</sub>:AinfP QPr<sub>1</sub>:QVP Slactor:NpnP / chundida / ir almahtic got/ sih He Almighty God Himself witnessed A0 cont wesan chisendidan fona dhemu almahtigen to be sent from the Almighty fater (I,III.9) Father (quotative declarative clause) He, Almighty God, witnessed Himself to be sent from the Almighty Father. 4. A0:An TrPr1:TrVP Slactor:NNP Pr cont / thaz folc / beitonti / Was the people expecting was A0<sub>lgoal</sub>:An Zachariam (T. Luke 1:21) Zechariah (transitive declarative clause) The people were expecting Zechariah. 5. Ag:Dpn TrPasPr<sub>1</sub>:TrPasVP Ag<sub>1</sub>:Dpn Pr cont S<sub>lgoal</sub>:InfP / mir / gisehan/ gifolgentemo fon was by me seen following from Was anaginne allem gernlihho after antreitu beginning all gladly in order thir scriban (T, Luke 1:1) to you to write (transitive passive declarative clause) It was seen by me to write to you everything gladly and in order, starting from the beginning. 6. Ag:AgP

S<sub>2goal</sub>:NRpn Ag<sub>2</sub>:AgP dher / fona werodheoda druhtin/ who by of mankind Lord TrPasPr<sub>1</sub>:TrPasVP ward chisendit (I,III.8) was sent (transitive passive relative clause) who was sent by the Lord of Hosts 7. B:Dpn

StPrl:StVP Bl:Dpn Sltopic:NNP
ni ward / in / sun (T, Luke 1:7)
not was to them son
(stative declarative clause)
They did not have a son.

8. B:DNP

AO<sub>lgoal</sub>:Apn QP<sub>1</sub>:QVP S<sub>lactor</sub>:NNP dhiz / quhad / druhtin / this said Lord B<sub>1</sub>:DNP minemu christe Cyre (I,III.2) to my annointed one Cyrus

(quotative declarative clause)

This the Lord said to my annointed one, Cyrus.

9. B:DP

QPr1:QVP Slactor:Nn B1:DP / Zacharias / zi themo engile (T,Luke 1:18) quad said Zechariah to the angel (quotative declarative clause) Zechariah said to the angel. 10. B:DRC1 Sltopic:Npn StPrl:StVP SCl:ZiP / werdant / zi scaahche/ sie become booty they B1:DRC1 dhem im aer dheonodon (I,III.8) those who them earlier served (descriptive declarative clause) They will become booty to those who earlier served them. 11. DO:Dpn<sup>1</sup> S<sub>2actor</sub>:DRpn DO<sub>2goal</sub>:Dpn T<sub>2</sub>:t / im / aer / them earlier dhem who dheonodon (I,III.5) served (dative relative clause) (to those) who served them earlier

<sup>1.</sup> The unusual case of the subject in this and the clause in example 26 is because the whole clauses are filling dative or genitive slots in the main clause (see 10).

12. I:IP  $QPr_1: QVP$ Ref<sub>1</sub>:RefP umbi dhesan selbun Christ/ chundida / about this same Christ witnessed I<sub>1</sub>:IP Slactor: NNP almahtic fater / dhurah Isaian (I,III.2) Almighty Father through Isaiah (quotative declarative clause) The Almighty Father witnessed about this same Christ through Isaiah. 13. L:LP - see 1 14. L:1 Slector:NNP IntrPr<sub>1</sub>:IntrVP S cont al thiu menigi/ was / thes folkes / all the crowd was of the people Pr cont T<sub>1</sub>:TP L,:1 uzze / betonti/ in thero ziti thes outside praying at the time of the rouhennes (T, Luke 1:10) incense (intransitive declarative clause) All the crowd of people was outside praying at the time of incense. 15. M:MP TrPr<sub>1</sub>:TrVP AO:Apn M<sub>7</sub>:MP chiliihhan gote/ chifrumida/ dhen (I,III.4) God created like him (transitive active declarative clause) (He) created him like God.

44

16. M:m

M<sub>1</sub>:m TrPasPr<sub>1</sub>:TrPasVP S<sub>1</sub>:Nn / Christus/ chiwisso/ ist surely Christ is I<sub>1</sub>:IP Pr cont in dheru selbun salbidhu / chimeinit (I,III.2) in the annointing meant same (transitive passive declarative clause) Surely Christ is meant in the same annointing. 17. Name:Nn ApPasPr<sub>1</sub>:ApPasVP T<sub>1</sub>:t  $I_1: IP$ / eo / before in andra wiis / ni wardh in another way not was S<sub>lben</sub>:NNP Namelgoal<sup>:Nn</sup> einic in Israhelo rihhe / Cyrus / any in of Israel kingdom Cyrus Pr cont chinemnit (I,III.3) named (appellative passive declarative clause) Otherwise no one in the Kingdom of Israel was named Cyrus before. 18. Name: An - see 2 19. Ref:RefP - see 12 20. S:Npn - see 2 21. S:MNP - see 14

22. S:NRC1

Slactor: NRC1 TrPr<sub>1</sub>:TrVP dher euwih hrinit / hrinit / whoever you touches touches AO<sub>lgoal</sub>:ANP sines augin sehun (I,III.8) of his eye pupil (transitive declarative clause) Whoever touches you touches the pupil of his eye. 23. S:Nn - see 16 24. S:InfP - see 5 25. S:Dpn - see 11 26. S:Gpn S<sub>2actor</sub>:GRpn AO<sub>2goal</sub>:ApnP TrPr<sub>1</sub>:TrVP / izs al / chiscuof (I,III.5)
it all made dhes who (transitive relative clause) (of him) who made it all 27. SC:Npn SC3:N?pn StPr1:StVP S3topic:NNP / dhese chisalbodo got / ist hwer this annointed God who is fona gote (I,III.2) from God (descriptive interrogative clause) Who is this annointed God from God?

28. SC:NNP

S<sub>ltopic</sub>:NNP StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP rehtnissa garda / ist of righteousness scepter is SC<sub>1</sub>:NNP garde dhines riihes (I,III.1) scepter of your kingdom (descriptive declarative clause) The scepter of righteousness is the scepter of your kingdom. 29. SC:ZiP - see 10 30. SC:AdjP S<sub>ltopic</sub>:Npn StPr<sub>l</sub>:StVP SC<sub>l</sub>:AdjP / bim / alt (T, Luke 1:18) am old ih Ι (descriptive declarative clause) I am old. 31. SC:InfP SC3:InfP StPr1:StVP S3topic:Npn / ist / dhiz hwemu / this to whom is SC cont zi quhedanne (I,III.5) to say (descriptive interrogative clause) To whom is this to be said?

32. SC:Gpn

SC<sub>3</sub>:G?pn StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP S<sub>3topic</sub>:NNP / dhesiu stimna/ hwes / mac this whose can voice Pr cont wesan (I,III.9) he (descriptive interrogative clause) Whose voice can this be? 33. SC:PresPartP StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP SC<sub>1</sub>:PresPartP S<sub>ltopic</sub>:Nn / unberenti (T, Luke 1:7) Elisabeth / was Elizabeth un-bearing was (descriptive declarative clause) Elizabeth was barren. 34. SC:PastPartP S<sub>ltopic</sub>:NNP (StPr<sub>1</sub> understood) SC<sub>1</sub>:PastPartP / beidu framgigiengun both far-gone in iro tagun (T, Luke 1:7) in their days (descriptive declarative clause) Both (were) advanced in their days. 35. SC:Nn S<sub>ltopic</sub>:NNP StPr<sub>1</sub>:StVP SC<sub>1</sub>:Nn / Elisabeth (T, Luke 1:5) ira namo / was Elizabeth her name Was (descriptive declarative clause) Her name was Elizabeth.

APPENDIX III: Glause Formula List  
DeTrCl = 
$${}^{\pm}S_{lactor} + TrPr_1: TrVP_a \, {}^{\pm}B_1 \, {}^{\pm}TrPr_1: TrVP_b + AO_{lgoal} \, {}^{\pm}I_1 \, {}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}L_1$$
  
DeTrPasCl =  ${}^{\pm}I_1 \, {}^{\pm}Ag_1 + TrPasPr_1: TrPasVP_a \, {}^{\pm}S_{lgoal} \, {}^{\pm}B_1 + {}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}T_1PasPr_1: TrPasVP_b$   
DeApCl =  $+ApPr_1: ApVP \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} + AO_{lben} + Name_{lgoal}$   
DeApPasCl =  ${}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}I_1 \, {}^{\pm}S_{lben} + ApPasPr_1: ApPasVP_a \, {}^{\pm}T_1 + {}^{\pm}L_1 + Name_{lgoal} + ApPasPr_1: ApPasVP_b$   
DeQCl =  ${}^{\pm}Ref_1 \, {}^{\pm}I_1 \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}AO_{lgoal} \, {}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}I_1 + QPr_1: QVP + {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, {}^{\pm}B_1$   
DeQCasCl =  ${}^{\pm}Ref_1 \, {}^{\pm}I_1 \, {}^{\pm}I_1 \, {}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}I_1 + QPasPr_1: QPasVP_a + {}^{\pm}S_{lgoal} \, {}^{\pm}B_1 + QPasPr_1: QPasVP_b$   
DeQCasCl =  ${}^{\pm}Ref_1 \, {}^{\pm}I_1 \, {}^{\pm}L_1 \, {}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}I_1 + QPasPr_1: QPasVP_a + {}^{\pm}S_{lgoal} \, {}^{\pm}B_1 + QPasPr_1: QPasVP_b$   
DeIntrCl =  ${}^{\pm}S_{lactor} + IntrPr_1: IntrVP_a \, {}^{\pm}I_1 \, {}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}L_1 + {}^{\pm}IntrPr_1: IntrVP_b \, {}^{\pm}T_1$   
DeStCl =  ${}^{\pm}M_1 + StPr_1: StVP \, {}^{\pm}B_1 \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}S_{ltopic} \, {}^{\pm}L_1$   
?TrCl =  ${}^{\pm}M_3 + TrPr_1: TrVP_a \, {}^{\pm}S_{3actor} \, +AO_{3goal} \, {}^{\pm}L_3 \, {}^{\pm}T_3 + {}^{\pm}TrPr_1: TrVP_b$   
?TrPasCl =  ${}^{\pm}I_3 \, {}^{\pm}Ag_3 \, {}^{\pm}M_3 + TrPasPr_1: TrPasVP_a \, {}^{\pm}S_{3goal} + {}^{\pm}B_3 \, {}^{\pm}T_3 \,$ 

APPENDIX III: Clause Formula List  
DeTrCl = 
$${}^{\pm}S_{lactor} + TrPr_1: TrVP_a \, {}^{\pm}B_1 \, {}^{\pm}TrPr_1: TrVP_b + AO_{lgoal} \, {}^{\pm}l_1 \, {}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}r_1 \, {}^{\pm}l_1$$
  
DeTrPasCl =  ${}^{\pm}I_1 \, {}^{\pm}Ag_1 + TrPasPr_1: TrPasVP_a \, {}^{\pm}S_{lgoal} \, {}^{\pm}B_1 \, {}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}L_1 \, {}^{\pm}TrPasPr_1: TrPasVP_b$   
DeApCl =  $+ApPr_1: ApVP \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, +AO_{lben} + Name_{lgoal}$   
DeApPasCl =  ${}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}I_1 \, {}^{\pm}S_{lben} \, +ApPasPr_1: ApPasVP_a \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}L_1 \, +Name_{lgoal} \, +ApPasPr_1: ApPasVP_b$   
DeQcl =  ${}^{\pm}Ref_1 \, {}^{\pm}L_1 \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}AO_{lgoal} \, {}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}I_1 \, +QPasPr_1: QPasVP_a \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, {}^{\pm}B_1$   
DeQPasCl =  ${}^{\pm}Ref_1 \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}L_1 \, {}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}I_1 \, +QPasPr_1: QPasVP_a \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, {}^{\pm}B_1 \, {}^{\pm}QPasVP_1: QPasVP_a \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, {}^{\pm}B_1 \, {}^{\pm}QPasPr_1: QPasVP_b$   
DeCIntrCl =  ${}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, +IntrPr_1: IntrVP_a \, {}^{\pm}I_1 \, {}^{\pm}M_1 \, {}^{\pm}L_1 \, {}^{\pm}IntrPr_1: IntrVP_b \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}IntrPr_1: IntrVP_b \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}IntrPr_1: IntrVP_b \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}IntrPr_1: TrVP_b \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, {}^{\pm}AO_{lacol} \, {}^{\pm}L_1 \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, {}^{\pm}AO_{lacol} \, {}^{\pm}L_1 \, {}^{\pm}S_{lacol} \, {}^{\pm}L_1 \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, {}^{\pm}L_1 \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, {}^{\pm}L_1 \, {}^{\pm}IntrPr_1: TrVP_b \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}IntrPr_1: TrVP_b \, {}^{\pm}T_1 \, {}^{\pm}IntrPr_1: TrVP_b \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, {}^{\pm}AO_{lacol} \, {}^{\pm}L_2 \, {}^{\pm}TrPr_1: TrVP_b \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, {}^{\pm}L_2 \, {}^{\pm}TrPr_1: StVP_b \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, {}^{\pm}L_2 \, {}^{\pm}S_{lactor} \, {}^{\pm}L_2 \, {}^{\pm}S_{lacol} \, {}^{\pm}L_2 \, {}^{\pm}L_2 \, {}^{\pm}L_2 \, {}^{\pm}S_{lacol} \, {}^{\pm}L_2 \, {}^{\pm}L_2$ 

$$\begin{split} \text{ImpIntrCl} &= +\text{IntrPr}_{2}: \text{ImpIntrVP} \, {}^{\pm}S_{1actor} \, {}^{\pm}M_{1} \, {}^{\pm}L_{1} \, {}^{\pm}T_{1} \\ \text{ImpDCl} &= +\text{DPr}_{2}: \text{ImpDVP} \, {}^{\pm}S_{1actor} \, {}^{+DO}_{1goal} \\ \text{RTrCl} &= {}^{\pm}S_{2actor} \, {}^{+AO}_{2goal} \, {}^{\pm}M_{2} \, {}^{\pm}\text{TrPr}_{1}: \text{TrVP} \\ \text{RTrPasCl} &= {}^{\pm}B_{2} \, {}^{\pm}\text{TrPasPr}_{1}: \text{TrPasVP}_{a} \, {}^{\pm}S_{2goal} \, {}^{\pm}L_{2} \, {}^{\pm}Ag_{2} \\ & {}^{\pm}I_{2} \, {}^{\pm}M_{2} \, {}^{\pm}T_{2} \, {}^{\pm}\text{TrPasPr}_{1}: \text{TrPasVP}_{b} \\ \cdot \text{RApCl} &= {}^{\pm}S_{2actor} \, {}^{+AO}_{2ben} \, {}^{\pm}\text{Name}_{2goal} \, {}^{+}\text{ApPr}_{1}: \text{ApVP} \\ \text{RQCl} &= {}^{\pm}T_{2} \, {}^{\pm}\text{Ref}_{2} \, {}^{\pm}M_{2} \, {}^{+}\text{QPr}_{1}: \text{QVP} \, {}^{\pm}S_{2actor} \\ \text{RQPasCl} &= {}^{\pm}B_{2} \, {}^{\pm}\text{Ref}_{2} \, {}^{\pm}\text{QPasPr}_{1}: \text{QPasVP}_{a} \, {}^{\pm}S_{2goal} \, {}^{+}\text{QPasPr}_{1}: \text{QPasVP}_{b} \\ \text{RDCl} &= {}^{\pm}S_{2actor} \, {}^{+}\text{DO}_{2goal} \, {}^{\pm}T_{2} \, {}^{\pm}\text{DPr}_{1}: \text{DVP} \\ \text{RIntrCl} &= {}^{\pm}S_{2actor} \, {}^{+}\text{IntrPr}_{1}: \text{IntrVP} \, {}^{\pm}\text{L}_{2} \\ \text{RStCl} &= {}^{\pm}T_{2} \, {}^{\pm}S_{2topic} \, {}^{\pm}\text{StPr}_{1}: \text{StVP} \\ \text{RDsCl} &= {}^{+}S_{2topic} \, {}^{\pm}T_{2} \, {}^{\pm}L_{2} \, {}^{\pm}M_{2} \, {}^{+}\text{SC}_{2} \, {}^{+}\text{StPr}_{1}: \text{StVP} \end{split}$$

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Behaghel, Otto, <u>Deutsche Syntax</u>, Vol. 4: <u>Wortstellung-</u> <u>Periodenbau</u>, Heidelberg, Carl Winters Universitätsbuchhandlung, 1932
- Braune, Wilhelm, <u>Althochdeutsche</u> <u>Grammatik</u>, revised by Walther Mitzka, Tübingen, Max Niemeyer Verlag, 1967
- Braune, Wilhelm, <u>Althochdeutsches</u> <u>Lesebuch</u>, revised by Karl Helm, Tübingen, Max Niemeyer Verlag, 1965
- Brinkmann, Hennig, <u>Studien</u> <u>zür</u> <u>Geschichte</u> <u>der</u> <u>deutschen</u> <u>Sprache</u> <u>und</u> <u>Literatur</u>, Vol. I, Düsseldorf, Pädagogischer Verlag Schwann, 1965
- Eggers, Hans, ed., <u>Der althochdeutsche</u> <u>Isidor</u>, Tübingen, Max Niemeyer Verlag, 1964
- Frank, Tenney, "On Constructions of Indirect Discourse in Early Germanic Dialects," <u>Journal of English</u> <u>and Germanic Philology</u>, Vol. 7, 1907-8, Urbana, University of Illinois
- Holmes, Urban T., "Germanic Influence on Old French Syntax," <u>Language</u>, Vol. 7, 1931, Baltimore, Linguistic Society of America
- Lawson, Richard H., "The Old High German Translation of Latin Future Active in <u>Tatian</u>," <u>Journal</u> <u>of</u> <u>English and Germanic Philology</u>, Vol. 57, 1958, Urbana, University of Illinois
- Lind, John, "Clause and Sentence Level Syntagmemes in Sierra Popoluca," <u>International Journal of</u> <u>American Linguistics</u>, Vol. 30, 1964, Baltimore, Waverley Press
- Longacre, Robert E., <u>Grammar</u> <u>Discovery</u> <u>Procedures</u>, The Hague, Mouton, 1964
- Longacre, Robert E., "The Notion of Sentence," <u>Report</u> <u>of the Eighteenth Annual Round Table Meeting</u> <u>on Linguistics and Language Studies</u>, Washington, D.C., Georgetown University Press, 1967
- Pike, Kenneth L., <u>Language in Relation to a Unified</u> <u>Theory of the Structure of Human Behavior</u>, Second Revised Edition, The Hague, Mouton, 1968

- Ruhfus, Wilhelm, <u>Die Stellung des Verbums im</u> <u>Althochdeutschen Tatian</u>, Dortmund, doctoral dissertation for the University of Heidelberg, 1897
- Schützeichel, Rudolf, <u>Althochdeutsches</u> <u>Wörterbuch</u>, Tübingen, Max Niemeyer Verlag, 1969
- Sievers, Eduard, ed., <u>Tatian</u>, Paderborn, Ferdinand Schöningh, 1966

.

