## A COMPARISON OF THREE METHODS OF ASSAY FOR THE RIBOFLAVIN CONTENT OF THREE MIXED DIETS

Thesis for the Degree of M. S. MICHIGAN STATE COLLEGE Annanell Campbell 1944

ļ

A COMPARISON OF THREE METHODS OF ASSAY

FOR THE RIBOFLAVIN CONTENT

OF

TUREE MIXED DIETS

by

Annanell Campbell

#### A THESIS

Submitted to the Graduate School of Michigan State College of Agriculture and Applied Science in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Foods and Nutrition School of Home Economics

.

#### THESIS

· .

.

.

#### VUKNOWIIICEIENI

The writer wishes to express her grateful appreciation to Dr. Thelma Porter, Dr. Margaret Randolph, Dr. Margaret Ohlson, Dr. W. L. Mallmann, Miss Wilma Brewer and Miss Betty Pullard for the materials, help and sugrestions which made this study possible.

,

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	5
Peview of the Literature	5
Purpose of the Study	10
Experimental Procedures	11
Biological Assay	12
Chemical Assay Using the Fluoroscope	1!+
Microbiological Assay	15
Results and Discussion of Pecults	19
Chemical Assay Using the Fluoroscope	21
Microbiological Assay	21
Summary and Conclusions	33
Bibliography	35
Appendix	1.0

# "ABLES

Number	Title	Page
Ţ	Results of the Biological Ascay	21
II	Results of the Biological Assay, Calculated from the Standard Curve	22
III	Results of the Fluorometric Assay	26
IV	Results of the Microbiological Assay	27
Λ	Comparison of Values for Ribeflavin in the Diets from Microbiological, Fluorometric and Biological Results	31
VI	Results of the Microbiological, Fluorometric and Piological Assays	32

#### PLATES

Number	Title	Parjo
I	Comparison of the Total Weight Gairs on the Experimental Diets	23
II	Microbiological Assay, Diet I Values	28
III	Microbiological Assay, Diet II Values	29
IV	Microbiological Assay, Diet III Values	30

•

INTRODUCTION

ł

3

#### INTRODUCTION

That the animal body needs riboflavin for its most efficient functioning has been recognized for many years, and to riboflavin have been attributed many functions now known to be performed by other factors of the Vitamin B complex. The distinction between riboflavin and the other members of the Vitamin B family has been impeded greatly by the lack of methods for its measurement and extraction.

A short time after fat-soluble A and water-soluble B vitemins were postulated, experimenters discovered that they were working with at least two water-soluble factors, one thermolabile and the other thermostable. The thermostable portion became known as  $B_2$ .

Among the early workers who attempted to assay this material were Chick and Roscoe (1928), and Sherman and his co-workers (1931-1935) whose efforts led to the establishment of the Bourquin-Sherman rat unit. Those who have worked to improve this technique are Wagrer, Axelrod, Lipton and Elvejhem (1940), who studied the basal diet; Norgan, Cook and Davison (1938), who worked with the effect of the kind of carbohydrate in the riboflavin-depletion diet; Clark, Lechycka and Cook (1900), who studied the value of the various B-complex supplements and who greatly simplified the diet and clarified the symptoms of ariboflavinosis; Shaw and Phillips (1901), and Mannering, Lipton and Elvejhem (1941), who determined the effect of fat in riboflavin-deficient diets; and Street (1941), who has reviewed the rat assay methods in current use in an effort to standardize and improve them.

Other animals than rats have been used in the biological assay. Chicks, monkeys and mice were used by Day (193h), and Jukes (1937) outlined the present assay methods using chicks. Dops were used as the experimental animals by Street and Cowgill (1939). In 1940, Koch suggested using the larval stage of development of the beetles <u>Tribolium confusum</u>, <u>dropsophila</u> and <u>sitodrepa</u> in the assay of flours for riboflavin, and in 1941 Fraenkel and Blewett and Barton-Wright made improvements in Koch's method with <u>Tribolium confusum</u>.

Human studies also have been conducted in an effort to learn the human requirement.

In vitro experiments have paralleled the biological. Much of this early work was done in Europe. warburg and Christian (1933) first isolated crystalline riboflavin, or "flavine" as they called it. Kuhn and Wagner-Jauregg (1933) are credited with first noting its fluorescence. The same authors with Kaltschmitt (193%) studied its distribution in plants as "lumniflavin" by means of a photometer. Charite and Khaustov (193%) and Murthy (1937) measured the flavine content of foodstuffs by a colorimetric comparison with potassium dichromate. Cohen (1935) measured the fluorescence of unknown solutions with a selenium cell. Weisberg and

Levin (1937) compared the fluorescence of the unknown and standards using fluorescein as the standard. Von Euler and Adler (1934). Supplee. Ansbacher and Bender (1935). and Whitnah. Kunnerth and Kramer (1937) made similar visual comparisons using lactoflavin as the standard. Von Euler, Adler and Schlötzer (193);) used a carbon arc lamp and blue glass filters. Josephy and Cohen (both 1934) used filters also, Josephy comparing his samples with fluorescein, and Cohen with lactoflavin. Hand (1939) used a uranium glass cube calibrated with standard riboflavin solutions, and estimated that with it, riboflavin present in the amounts of one-tenth to four milligrams per liter of solution could be detected, whereas the colorimetric procedure was accurate on solutions containing from four to forty milligrams per liter. In 1939, Hodson and Norris described a method for use with foods by which the riboflavin content was indirectly measured, and Sullivan and Norris (1939) sought to prevent the interference of impurities with light absorption readings by reading the samples on the photometer, reducing them with sodium hyposulfite and reading again, the difference in readings being taken as the riboflavin value. Supplee, Bender and Jenson (1939) outlined a similar procedure and checked it by rat assay of the adsorbates of samples. These methods have since been modified by Ferrebee (1930) and Najjar (1941) for use with

biological fluids. Conner and Straub (1941) have simplified these methods and their procedure is in current use.

Much of the work done on the extraction of flavins from samples was done by Gyorgy, Kuhn and Wagner-Jaureng (1934). Von Euler, Adler and Karrer (1934) are responsible for the beginning of work which showed all flavins to be riboflavin.

Experimentation on the microbiological assay of riboflavin has been done for a large part in the United States, but a search of the literature indicates that the conception of the need of microorganisms for riboflavin is due to Warburg and Christian (1933), who discovered it in large amounts in yeasts, lactic-acid and acetic acid bacteria, and believed that it was a cell oxidant for these organisms. Little was done with this information until Snell and Strong (1939, 1939) and Krauskopf, Snell and McCoy (1939) experimented to find which of these groups of organisms needed riboflavin for growth. Four of the lactic-acid producers and one Streptococcus exhibited poor growth in the absence of riboflavin. Further research produced the method of Snell and Strong (1939) now used.

Revisions of the method of extracting the samples and removing of interfering, growth-stimulating substances followed, the first being suggested by Feeney and Strong (1940), Scott, Randall and Hessel (1941), and Wegner, Kemmerer and Fraps (1942). Andrews, Boyd and Terry (1942) found a

material present in flour after digestion and extraction which did not contain riboflavin and which did not stimulate growth unless it was in the presence of riboflavin. Bauernfeind, Sotier and Boruff (1942) showed that some of the stimulating materials were fatty acids, salts of fatty acids and alcohols. Strong and Carpenter (1942) revised the original method of preparation of samples, but there still is need for improvement as their results with mixed food samples varied as much as eight-tenths of a microgram per gram of dry sample.

Before the microbiological method of assay was suggested the biological assay was used as a standard of comparison for chemical or fluorometric determination. Since that time, and due to a feeling that the biological assay is not quantitative, the fluorometric assay has become the accepted standard. Whether or not the microbiological assay will replace the fluorometric assay as a standard procedure will depend on the development of a more complete means of removing other growthstimulants from the sample.

Emmett and his co-workers (1941) have compared the existing standard procedures and have reported "similar" results on dried milk samples. Strong and Carperter (1942) consider the results of a like comparison of method to give only a "fair degree of correlation". Andrews (1943) in his report of the committee on methods of analysis for 1942-1943 believes the microbiological assay gives the most accurate

analysis of the riboflavin content of foods, the fluorometric assay to give values of 87 per cent of the microbiological value, and the biological assay to give values of 81 per cent of the microbiological value in the assay of flours and cereals.

As far as is known to the author, a comparison of assay methods on a mixed human diet has never been attempted. This study has been undertaken for the purpose of comparing the three standard methods of assay on a mixed human diet.

•

#### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

#### EMPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The samples assayed by these three methods were the three diets fed by Brewer and Ingalls (1944)\* in the study of human requirement for riboflavin. The diets were similar in composition, but fluorometric analysis by Brewer and Ingalls revealed that the three diets varied somewhat in riboflavin content.

The sampling and preparation of food for analysis was conducted as follows: as the food prepared for consumption was weiched, an additional portion was weiched for assay purposes. The food for each meal was combined into a single sample, mixed in a Waring blendor, acidified to a pH of 4.5 with glacial acetic acid, dried to constant weight at  $40^{\circ}$ C., ground, placed in amber bottles and stored until assayed. In this study the food samples were mixed in the original proportions of breakfast, lunch and dinner as calculated from the moisture loss in the drying of each meal, and equal amounts of all samples of the same diet mixed ard stored in amber bottles. Samples were protected from strong light at all times, and all weighing and other procedures were carried out in semi-darkness.

The composition of the three diets may be found in the Appendix in Table I. Calculations of food values were made by Miss Brewer and Miss Incalls.

\* Unpublished data from this laboratory.

#### Piological Assay

The method of Clark, Lechycka and Cook (1940) was followed for the biological assay, using twenty-four day old albino rats of the Sprague-Dawley strain. The rats weighed thirty to forty-five grams when they were placed on the basal diet. The diet was similar to those recommended by Sherman, and by Clark, Lechycka and Cook (1940), but had an additional amount of fat as suggested by Shaw and Phillips (1941), and Mannering, Lipton and Elvejhem (1941). The amount of fat used was the amount suggested by the latter authors.

#### Basal Diet

Cornstarch	50 %
Alcohol-extracted casein	18
Crisco	26
Osborne and Mendel salt	
mixture	$l_{\perp}$
Cod liver oil	2
Yeast Supplement	6.5

All of the animals were given 50 micrograms of thiamine hydrochloride daily throughout the experiment. It was planned to give 150 milligrams of Borden's rice polish factor a day, but as that company could not supply the rice polish factor, the amount on hand was fed for the first five days, during which time a yeast supplement was prepared for the remainder of the feeding perio'. The yeast supplement was the same as that used in the microbiological assay method of Snell and Strong (1939), and was given in amounts equivalent to 150 milliment of whole yeast a day. The rate ate very little of the yeast supplement even when it was given on super, so it was mixed with the basal diet and baked in a hot oven, with the heat turned off, for ten minutes. The supplement was concentrated so that one milliliter of the solution mixed with ten grams of the basal diet supplied 6.5 per cent of whole yeast to the diet.

The rats were placed in individual cages with raised, large-mesh screen floors, and given the basal diet and distilled water, ad libitum. They were weighed twice weekly until a weight plateau was reached and maintained for a week. or until they lost weight on two successive weighing days. This depletion period was seventeen and eighteen days in length. At this time they were separated according to weight. and placed on the experimental diets with four males and four females in each of nine dietary groups. Each of the three human diets was fed in amounts to supply 2.5, 3.75 and 5.0 micrompars of riboflavin daily as calculated from the fluorometric analysis. (Table I) Negative and positive control rats paralleled the experimental rats with four males and four females in each group. Negative controls received no further supplement. Positive controls were divided into three groups of eight animals and received 2.5, 3.75 and 5.0 micrograms of pure riboflavin daily as a standard solution prepared by dissolving pure riboflavin (Merck) in 0.02 N acetic acid to contain 100 micrograms per milliliter, and diluted to contain 10 micrograms per milliliter as needed.

] ]

The experimental period lasted twenty-eight days. At the end of that time the rats were chloroformed and one half of each group autopsied. All of the negative controls were autopsied.

#### Chemical Assay Using the Fluoroscope

The chemical assay method of Hodson and Norris (1939) with modifications by Cennor and Straub (1941) was followed. Samples of the three experimental diets were weighed in duplicate on the analytical balance and transferred quantitatively to amber class flasks. Fifty milliliters of 0.01 N sulfuric acid were added and the flasks were weighed. Food samples were hydrolyzed on the water bath for one hour, cooled. and the weight adjusted to the weight before hydrolysis. Ten milliliters of a solution containing 0.01 grams of takadiastase and 0.01 crams of papain por milliliter were added. and the flasks inculated over night at 37°C. The enzymes were inactivated by heating the samples on the steam bath. after which the cooled samples were filtered through No. 12 Whatman pleated filter paper. Adsorption columns of pyridine-treated Florosil were prepared and kept wet until the samples had filtered. Then a twenty milliliter aliquot was adsorbed on the Florosil, washed several times with warm, distilled water, and eluted with thirty milliliters of twenty per cent pyridine in two per cent acetic acid. the eluate was collected in fifty milliliter volumetric

 $1l\iota$ 

flasks and made to volume. A fifteen milliliter aliquot was pipetted into twenty-five milliliter volumetric flasks, and one milliliter of four per cent potassium permanganate solution added. This was mixed and allowed to stand for one minute, then three milliliters of three per cent hydrogen peroxide were added, the whole mixed and made to volume. A reagent blank was propared during this process, starting with the adsorption column. The standard riboflavin solution containing 100 micrograms per milliliter was diluted to contain 0.1 micrograms per milliliter. The fluoroscope (Lumetron) was standardized with this solution, corrected with the reagent blank, and the samples read as per cent of 0.1 micrograms per milliliter.

This procedure was repeated on a second set of samples except that these were autoclaved for fifteen minutes at fifteen pounds of pressure in place of the hydrolysis period. This was done to determine the effect of autoclaving of samples on the fluorescence of the resulting solutions.

#### Microbiological Assay

The microbiological assay for riboflavin was that of Snell and Strong (1939), except that the samples were digested over night prior to assay to release protein and carbohydratebound riboflavin.

A pure culture of <u>Lactobacillus</u> <u>caseii</u> was obtained from the Experiment Station, Department of Agricultural

1.5

Chemistry, Michigan State College, East Lansing, Michigan. This organism was carried as a stab culture in yeast agar, and transferred every forty-eight hours for eight days, at which time it was used for the assay.

The various ingredients were prepared according to Snell and Strong's method (1939) except the cystine and the yeast supplement. The method for preparing the latter is from Strong and Carpenter (1942).

#### Cystine Solution

Cystine was suspended in a small amount of distilled water and heated gently. Concentrated hydrochloric acid was added dropwise until the cystine was in solution. This was cooled and made to volume with distilled water, and stored under toluene and chloroform in the refrigerator. One milliliter of this solution contained one milligram of cystine. Snell and Strong (1939) recommended using cystine hydrochloride, but this is not readily soluble in water. The method of preparation outlined above results in the same solution, and is more easily prepared.

The medium for fifty assay tubes contains:

50 ml. of photolyzed, NaOH-treated peptone 50 ml. of Cystine solution 10 ml. of yeast supplement 5 grams of anhydrous, C.P. glucose 2.5 ml. of salt solution A 2.5 ml. of salt solution B

These were mixed and the pH adjusted to 6.6 - 6.8 with sodium hydroxide. After the solution was diluted to 250 milliliters

five milliliters were pipetted into each of fifty standard bore test tubes. This medium is of double strength to allow for dilution by the addition of samples. An aliquot of riboflavin-containing extract was added, and distilled water to make each tube to a volume of ten milliliters. The tubes were plugged with cotton and sterilized in the autoclave at fifteen pounds of pressure for fifteen minutes. When they had cooled, they were innoculated and incubated.

The innoculum was prepared by the method of Snell and Strong (1939), and one drop in a sterile standard four millimeter innoculating loop was used to seed each tube.

Samples of the three experimental diets were prepared for assay as follows: 0.0h N sulfuric acid was added so that each milliliter of solution would contain approximately 0.1 microgram of riboflavin as calculated from the results of the fluorometric assay. The samples were autoclaved for fifteen minutes at fifteen pounds of pressure. When they had cooled, ten milliliters of a solution containing 0.1 grams of each of takadiastase and papain were added, and the samples incubated over night. Since riboflavin is found in foods combined with cereals and protein, and since all of these diets contained cereals, egg and moat, this digestion period was considered necessary. Enzyme action was stopped by heating the samples on the steam bath for five minutes, and the pH adjusted to 6.6 - 6.8 with N NaOH solution. After filtering through No. 12 Whatman pleated

filter paper, the samples were restored to their original volume with distilled water. Aliquots then were pipetted into tubes containing the basal medium, made to a volume of ten milliliters, and sterilized in the autoclave. Nine sets of triplicate tubes were prepared, and each of the three diets was samples in amounts giving an estimated 0.05, 0.15 and 0.25 micrograms of riboflavin. With each assay, duplicate standard tubes were set up containing 0.0, 0.05, 0.075, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.5 micrograms of pure riboflavin per tube. All of the tubes were innoculated as described above, and incubated for  $2\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{48}$  and 72 hours at  $37^{\circ}$ C. (Table III).

When the incubation time was finished, the contents of the tubes were transferred to Erlenmeyer flasks, the tubes washed with ten to twenty milliliters of distilled water, and titrated with 0.1 N. sodium hydroxide (approximately) to a  $p^{\rm H}$  of 7.0, using Brom Thymol Plue as an indicator and a color comparison flask. The indicator was added to the flasks before the samples were transferred.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

•

,

#### PESUES AND DISCUSSION

The results of the biological assay of the three experimental diets are recorded in Tables I and II. A standard curve was constructed from the total average weight gains of the control animals, but the rise of this curve was not great enough to allow for calculating the riboflavin content of the experimental diets from the gains in weight made by the animals on these diets. It was not considered logical to extend the standard curve because all of the weight gains of the animals on the experimental diets were above the highest weight gain on a pure riboflavin supplement. Thus the experimental diets seemed to contain much more riboflavin than was found by fluorometric assay. It is unlikely that the fluorometric analysis was this inaccurate, and the discrepancy in weight gains must be explained as due to other substances in the experimental diets which were growth-promoting in the rat, or to an inadequacy in the basal diet. Since the rise of the standard curve was less than that reported in the literature, the latter seems the more likely explanation although this same diet has been used successfully by Shaw and Phillips (1941) and by Mannering, Lipton and Elvejhem (1941). However even if a standard curve of the usual rise were used, the weight gains of the animals on the experimental diets far exceed those of control animals except on the highest concentration of riboflavin. The smallest gain in weight on the

experimental diets, the 2.5 microgram level of Diet III, would then correspond to the highest gain in weight on the control diet.

Plate I shows the total average gains in weight for each group. It will be noted that the gains in weight on each diet were well separated for each amount of riboflavin fed.

On autopsy five of the negative control animals had yellow edged livers, and all had mottled livers. The **re** was no body fat on these animals, and they were less mature sexually than any of the other groups: the testes in the males were smaller and there was no follicular development in the females. The three groups of positive controls were much the same, the amount of body fat corresponding to the riboflavin intake. All of the positive controls had mottled livers, but none showed yellowing. Testes in male rats were larger, and the ovaries were faintly pink. The animals on the three experimental diets were comparable. These rats also had stores of body fat corresponding to the supplement intake. There were no yellow livers, and mottling appeared only on the lowest amount of diet fed. Testes were correspondingly larger, and all of the females on the five microgram level of riboflavin intake in the diets showed mature follicles. The external appearance of all of the animals was much the same, except that the negative control rats had less hair and this was matted with oil.

	۲. 	BL	EI	
Results	of	the	Biological	Assay

Diet	Camma of ribofla- vin/cram	Amount	of di	et fed	Total Average Veight cains on the Experimental Diets				
		<u> amma</u>	ribof	lavin	ranna	of ribo	flavin		
		2.5	3.75	5.0	2.5	3.75	5.0		
		<b>Trams</b>	mang	mains	erans	mams	grams		
I	1.51* <sub>.</sub>	1.65	2.5	3•3	17.5	21 <b>.</b> 0	22.0		
II	<b>1.</b> 3l4	1.85	2.8	3•7	17.0	19.0	22.0		
III	1.83	1.4	2.05	2.7	15.0	18.0	23.0		
Con- trols	10/ml.	25ml.	•375	•50	7•5	10.0	13.5		

\* Values calculated from Brewer and Ingalls (19/4)

.

#### TABLE II

#### Results of the Biological Assay

#### Calculated from the Standard

#### Curve

	Value	s calcul	lated 1	from St	andar	d Curve	
Diet			Average				
		2.5		2.75		5.0	gamna/gm.
	per cent	gamma/ gram	per cent	gamma/ gram	per cent	namna/ gram	
I	233	h.13	210	3•36	163	2.66	3•4
II	226	3.62	190	2.67	163	2.37	2.8
III	200	4.1/+	130	3.46	165	3•4	3.66

#### PLATE I

TOTAL AVERAGE WEIGHT GAINS OH THE EXPERIMENTAL DIETS



These results are not quantitative, but they do corroborate the findings of Shaw and Phillips (1941) with regard to the effect of ariboflavinosis on the reproductive organs. They would seem to indicate that riboflavin is intimately concerned in fat metabolism and storage, as Hannering, Lipton and Elvejhem (1941) affirmed.

#### Chemical Assay Using the Fluoroscope

There were two sets of samples for this assay, one was hydrolyzed to release the riboflavin and the other was autoclaved. The results are found in Table III. Autoclaving of samples in place of hydrolyzing them had little effect on the results of the fluorometric assay. The difference was slight in all but Diet III, in which autoclaved samples cave readings of 117 per cent of the hydrolyzed samples. Since autoclaving apparently made only a small difference, the values of the hydrolyzed samples were taken as a basis of comparison of fluorometric values with the values of the microbiological method.

#### hicrobiological Assay

Diet samples assayed by the microbiological method of Snell and Strong (1939) and Strong and Carpenter (1942) indicated that the twenty-four hour incubation period was inadequate and gave misleading results. (Table IV) Fortyeight and seventy-two hours of incubation gave results more nearly like the standard curves, and compared more closely

2!:

with the fluorometric assay. The correlation also was closer between the forty-eight hour standards and samples, than between samples which had been incubated for seventytwo hours. Strong and Carpenter (1942) believe that the seventy-two hour incubation period gives the most accurate results, but as this did not appear to be true of these samples, all comparisons were made with the riboflavin values of samples which had been incubated for forty-eight hours. (Table V).

Standard curves were constructed for each of the incubation periods, and the riboflavin values of the samples were calculated from them. (Plates II, III, IV).

The results of the three methods of assay are compiled in Tables V and VI. If the microbiological assay results are taken as the true riboflavin values of the samples, the fluorometric assay values are thirty-four to one hundred and five per cent higher, and the biological assay values would be two hundred and thirty-one to three hundred and sixtyseven per cent higher.

The estimated riboflavin values calculated from the microbiological assay of each of the three diets indicate that there is little basis for comparing the three methods of assay when a food mixture is tested. The present methods of assay apparently are not accurate enough for use when a large number of foodstuffs are present.

#### TARLE III

Diet	Weight of sample	Lumetron	readings	Gamma Ril gra	ooflavin/ am	Differ- ence
	Crams	Intreated	Autoclaved	Untreated	Autoclaved	Per Cont
T	5	32	33	1.6	1.65	<b>†</b> 3.1
II	5	28	27.5	1.!+	1.375	-1.8
."II	5	38	45•5	1.9	2.225	<b>+</b> 17.0

#### Results of Fluorometric Assay

TABLE IV

•

Pesults of the Microbiological Assay

1			-									
in lated	ard	SSAN	22	£0.•	•095	.135	.035	.095	•17	£0°	.12	.18
flavin calcu	stand	Hours	48	•06	.105	• 135	• 05	•10	.185	.06	.12	.185
Ribo sample	from	rubes	21.1	• 15	1	1	• 13	1	1	• 13	1	1
ц		72 +	ml.	4.30	6.10	7.10	4.60	6.10	8.30	4.35	6.80	8.75
used 1 ration	Hours	1 87	.Lm	4.25	5.75	6.80	4.00	5.60	8.00	4.25	6.80	7.95
NaOH		24	ml.	3.40	4.25	5.10	3.20	4.25	6.00	3.20	4.60	5.60
Estimated riboflavin	in	sample	gamma	0.05	0.15	0.25	0.05	0.15	0.25	0.05	0.15	0.25
N 70.	H2SOI,	+	ml.		82.5			68.7			111.3	
Weight	Sample		grams		5			Б			5	
	Diet				н			II			III	
in n		72	ml.	3.2	5.1	5.5	6.2	7.5	9.5	10.8	10.5	
I used	Iours	148	ml.	3.0	4.0	4.8	5.7	7.2	8.3	0.6	9.5	
NaOF		517	ml.	2.25	2.6	2.75	3.0	3.3	3.65	4.0	4.15	
Standard	tubes	gamma/	tube	0.00	0.05	0.075	0.10	0.15	0.2	6.0	0.5	

PLATE II

Standard Curves for Microbiological Assay

with







Standard Curves for Nicrobiclogical Assay

#### with





#### PLATE IV

Standard Curves for Nicrobiological Assay

#### with

Diet III Values Plotted Upon Them



#### TARLE V

#### Comparison of Values for Riboflavin in Diets from Microbiological, Fluorometric and Biological Results

Diet	Microbiological assay value	Fluorometric assay value	Fiological assay value
	Gamma/gram	Gamma/gram	G <b>a</b> mma/gram
I	0.775	1.60	3•4
II	0.89	1.40	2.8
TII	1.41	1.00	3.66

#### TABLE VI

#### Results of Microbiological, Fluorometric and Biological Assays

Diet	Riboflavin calculated from micro- biological assay	Microbiologi- cal assay*	Fluorometric assay*	Biological assay*
I	0.775	100 %	205 %	467 %
II	0.89	100	156	331
III	1.1+1	100	134	35 <sup>1</sup> +

\* In per cent of Microbiological assay results.

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

When albino rats, which had been depleted of their riboflavin stores as indicated by weight plateaus, were fed the three experimental mixed human diets, their weight gains far exceeded those of tats on the basal diet plus pure riboflavin. It is felt that growth-stimuleting substances other than riboflavin were present in the experimental diets which prevented an accurate determination of the riboflavin value of the diets, and also that the basal diet did not permit normal growth and development.

Autopsy revealed that the animals on the experimental diets had better developed reproductive organs than the control animals, and the positive controls showed better development than the negative controls. The amount of body fat was directly related to the amount of riboflavin fed, but all of the animals given the experimental diets had larger fat deposits than the control animals. Most of the negative controls had yellow-edged livers, and all had mottled livers, as did all of the positive controls and the experimental animals fed the smallest amounts of the three diets.

Autoclaving the food samples before determining the riboflavin value fluorometrically gave values of -1.79 to + 17.0 per cent of the hydrolized samples. From these results it is indicated that the substances causing interference with readings of fluorescence are not removed by autoclaving.

A forty-eight hour incubation period for food samples by the microbiological assay resulted in values for riboflavin which were more closely related to the standard curve and to the fluorometric assay values than the results obtained by seventy-two hours of incubation.

The results of the fluorometric assay were thirty-four to one hundred and five per cent higher than the microbiological assay values, and the biological assay values were two hundred and thirty-one to three hundred and sixty-seven per cert higher. Since it is unlikely that any of the methods is as inaccurate as this comparison would indicate, a detailed study of the materials which cause variation in fluorescence and a means of removing growth-stimulants for the biological and microbiological assays are necessary before any comparison of these methods of assay of a mixed diet for riboflavin can be useful. Due to a large number of unknowns in a mixed diet, and to ignorance of the manner in which they may operate as stimulants or interferents, the present assay methods do not give comparable results.

**BIELIOGFAPHY** 

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Andrews, John S., Boyd, Harold M., and Terry, David E. 19/2. Riboflavin Analysis of Gereals; Application of the Microbiological Nethod. Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed. 19: 271-274.
- Andrews, John S. 1943. Report of the 1942-1943 Methods of Analysis Subcommittee on Riboflavin Assay, I. Cercal Chem. 20:613-625.
- Arnold, A., Lipsuis, S.T., and Greene, D.J. 1941. Riboflavin Determination by the Microbiological Method. Food Res. 6:39-43.
- Barton-Wright, E. 1941. Flour and the Growth of Tribolium. Nature 148:565-566.
- Bauernfeind, J.C., Sotier, A.L., and Boruff, C.S. 1942. Crowth Stimulants in the Microbiological Assay for Piboflavin and Pantothenic Acid. Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal. Ed. 14:666-669.
- Erewer, Wilma D. and Ingalls, Ruth L. 1944. (Unpublished data).
- Charite, A.J. and Khaustov, N.W. 1934. The Estimation of Flavines in Animal Ticsues. C.B. Acad. Sci. 3:388-390. (Nutri. Abs. and Rev. 4:505, 1934-1935)
- Chick, H. and Roscoe, M. H. 1928. The Effect Upon Young Rats of Vitamin B<sub>2</sub> Deficiency and a Method for the Biological Assay of Vitamin B<sub>2</sub>. Biochem. Jr. 22:790-98.
- Clark, M.F., Lechycka, M. and Cook, C.A. 1940. Biological Assay of Riboflavin. Jr. Nutrition. 20:133-144.
- Cohen, F.H. 1934. A Simple Fluoroscope Especially for the Assay of Vitamin B2. Acta. brev. neerland. 4:46-47. (Nutri. Abs. and Rev. 4:505, 1934-1935)
- Cohen, F.H. 1935. A Simple Apparatus for Objective Fluorescence Measurement by Means of a Selenium Cell. Rec. Trav. chim. Pays-Bas 54:133. (Nutri. Abs. and Rev. 5:949, 1935-1936)
- Connor, R.T. and Straub, G.J. 1941. Combined Determination of Riboflavin in Food Products. Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed. 13:385-388.

- Day, Paul I. 1971. Extractability of Vitanin C (B2) from Yeast by Various Aceton-Water and Methyl-Alcohol-Water Mixtures. Jr. Amer. Chem. Soc. 56:1152-1151.
- Day, Paul L. 1931. Vitamin G Deficiency. Amer. Jr. Public Health. 21:603-609
- Emmett, A.D., Bird, O.D., Brown, R.A., Peacock, G., and Vandenbelt, J.M. 1941. The Determination of Riboflavin. A Comparison of Bioassay, Microbiological and Fluorometric. Ind. Eng. Chem., Aral. Ed. 13:219-212.
- Euler, H.v., and Adler, E. 1934. Flavines in Animal Tissues. Ztschr. F. Physiol. Chem. 223:105-112. (Nutri. Abs. and Rev. 1:61, 1934-1935).
- Euler, H. v., Karrer, P., and Adler, E. 1934. Flavines and B. Ark. Kemi, Min. Ceol. 11B: No. 33, p. 6. (Nutri.-Abs. and Rev. 1:286, 1934-1935).
- Euler, H. v., and Adler, E., and Schlötzer, A. 1934. Distribution of Bound and Free Flavines in Plants. Ztschr. f. physiol. Chem. 226:87-94. (Nutri. Abs. and Rev. 4:509, 1934-1935).
- Feeney, R.E. and Strong, F.M. 1940. The Microbiological Determination of Riboflavin. Jr. Piol. Chem. 133: Proc. XXXI.
- Ferrebee, Joseph W. 1940. The Urinary Excretion of Riboflavin. Fluorometric Methods for its Estimation. Jr. Clin. Invest. 19:251-260.
- Fraenkel, G. and Blewett, M. 19/1. Deficiency of White Flour in Riboflavin (Tested with the Flour Beetle, Tribolium confusum). Nature 147:716-717.
- György, P., Kuhn, R., and Wagner-Jauregg, T. 1934. Preparation of Vitamin B2 Concentrates. Ztachr. f. physiol. Chem. 223:27-35. (Nutri. Abs. and Rev. 4:57, 1934-1935).
- Gyoray, P., Kuhn, R., and Wagner-Jaureng, T. 1934. Flavines and Flavoproteins as Vitamin B2. Ztschr. f. physiol. Chem. 223:241-244. (Nutri. Abs. and Nev. 4:286, 1934-1935).
- Hand, David B. 1939. Determination of Riboflavin in Milk by Photoelectric Fluoroescence Measurements. Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed. 11:306-309.

- Hodson, A.Z. and Norris, L.C. 1939. A Fluorometric Method of Determining the Riboflavin Content of Foodstuffs. Jr. Biol. Chem. 131:621-630.
- Josephy, B. 1934. The Quantatitive Estimation of Vitamin B2 by Means of Fluorescence. Acta brev. neerland. 4:48-49. (Nutri. Abs. and Rev. 4:949, 1934-1935).
- Jukes, T.H. 1937. The Biological Assay of Lactoflavin with Chicks. Jr. Nutri. 14:223-233.
- Koch, A. 1940. Growth Substances in Yeast. Naturwissenschaften 28:24-27. (Nutri. Abs. and Rev. 11:564, 1942-1943).
- Krauskopf, E.J., Snell, E.E. and McCoy, E. 1939. Growth Factors for Bacteria II. A Survey of the Pantothenic Acid and Riboflavin Requirements of Various Groups of Bacteria. Enzymologia 7:327-330.
- Kuhn, R. and Wagner-Jauregg, T. 1935. Flavines isolated from Egg White and Milk. Ber. d. deutsch. Chem. Gesellsch. 66:7577-1582, 1932. (Nut. Abs. and Nev. 3:992, 1933-1034).
- Kuhn, R., Kaltschmitt, H. and Walmer-Jaureng, T. 1934. The Distribution of Flavines in Plants. Ber. deutsch Chem. Ges. 67:1452-1457. (Nutri. Abs. and Rev. 4:509, 1934-1935).
- Mannering, G.J., Lipton, M.A. and Elvejhem, C.A. 1941. The Relation of Dietary Fat to Riboflavin Requirement of Growing Rats. Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. Med. 46:100-104.
- Morgan, A.F., Cook, B.B. and Davison, H.G. 1938. Vitamin Deficiencies as Affected by Dietary Carbohydrate. Jr. Nutri. 15:27-43.
- Murthy, G.N. 1937. A Chemical Method for the Estimation of Flavine in Foodstuffs. Ind. Jr. Med. Mes. 24:1083-1092. (Nutri. Abs. and Rev. 7:330, 1937-1938).
- Najjar, Victor A. 1941. The Fluorometric Determination of Riboflavin in Urine and Other Biological Materials. Jr. Biol. Chem. 141:355-364.
- Peterson, W.J., Brady, D.E. and Shaw, A.O. 1943. The Fluorometric Determination of Riboflavin in Pork Products. Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed. 15:634-636.

- Schumacher, A.E. and Heuser, G.F. 1940. "iboflavin Content of Yeasts Determined Photometrically and Biologically. Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed. 12:203-204.
- Scott, M.L., Randall, F.E., and Hessel, F.H. 1941. A Modification of the Snell and Strong Microbiological Method for Determining Riboflavin. Jr. Biol. Chem. 141:325-326. (Letters to the Editor).
- Shaw, J.H. and Phillips, P.A. 1941. The Pathology of Riboflavin Deficiency in the Rat. Jr. Nutri. 22:345-358.
- Sherman, H.C. The Chemistry of Foods and Nutrition, 5th ed. New York City. The MacMillan Co. 1937.
- Snell, E.E. and Strong. F.M. 1939. The Effect of Riboflavin and Certain Synthetic Flavins on the Growth of Lactic Acid Bacteria. Enzymologia 6:186-193.
- Street, H.R. and Cowgill, G.R. 1939. Acute Riboflavin Deficiency in the Dog. Amer. Jr. Physiol. 125:323-334.
- Street, H.R. 1941. Studies on the Rat Crowth Assay Method for Riboflavin. Jr. Nutri. 22:399-400.
- Strong, F.M., Earle, Ann, and Zeman, B. 1941. The Distribution and Stability of Riboflavin and Pantothenic Acid in Biological Materials. Jr. Biol. Chem. 140: proc. cxxviii.
- Strong, F.M. and Carpenter, L.E. 1942. The Preparation of Samples for the Microbiological Determination of Riboflavin. Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed. 345-350.
- Sullivan, Royal A. and Norris, L.C. 1939. Determining the Riboflavin in Dried Milk Products. Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed. 11:535-540.
- Supplee, G.C., Ansbacher, S. and Bender, R.C. 1935. The Fluorometric Estimation of Lactoflavin. Jr. Biol. Chem. 110:365.
- Supplee, G.C., Bender, R.C. and Jensen, O.G. 1939. Determining Riboflavin. A Fluorometric and a Biological Method. Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed. 11:495.
- Sure, Barnett, Dichek, M. and Citron, M.M. 1941. Riboflavin as a Factor in the Economy of Food Utilization, I and II. Jr. Hutri. 21:453-460, and 22:295-301.

- Sure, Parnett, and Ford, Zenas W., Jr., 1942. Vitamin Interrelationships II, Thiamin and Riboflavin Interrelationship in Metabolism. Jr. Biol. Chem. 146:241-250.
- Van Duyne, F.O. 1941. A Method of Determination in Vitro of Riboflavin in Tissues. Jr. Biol. Chem. 139:207-218.
- Wagner, J.R., Axelrod, A.E., Lipton, M.A., and Elvejhem, C.A. 1940. A Rat Assay Method for the Determination of Riboflavin. Jr. Biol. Chem. 136:357-365.
- Warburg, O. and Christian, W. 1933. The Vellow Oxidizing Przyme. Piochem. Ztschr. 258:496-498. (Nutr. Abs. and Rev. 3:93, 1933-1934).
- Weyner, N.I., Kenmerer, A.R. and Fraps, G.S. 1942. The Influence of Method of Preparetion of Sample on the Microbiological Assay for Riboflavin. Jr. Bicl. Chem. 146:547-551.
- Weisberg, S.M. and Levin, I. 1937. The Estimation of Riboflavin. A Simple Fluorescent Method. Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed. 9:523.
- Whitnah, C.H., Kunnerth, B.L. and Kramer, M.M. 1937. A Rapid Method for the Determination of Isctoflavin in Milk. Jr. Amer. Chem. Soc. 59:1153-1154.
- Zimmerman, H.M. and Burack, E. 1934. Studies on the Nervous System in Deficiency Diseases II. Lesions Produced in Dors by Diets Lacking in the Water-Soluble, Heat-Stable Vitamin B<sub>2</sub> (3). Jr. Exp. Med. 59:21-34.

APPENLIX

tscorbic Acid बंदुइ.	31	ł	-	Ч	ł	ţ	0	0	0	18	0	ł	1	0	თ	:	0
Niecin Mgs.	1	ł	1	ł	1	0.13	0	0	×.	•46	•04	۶ç.	ł	0	2°05	ļ	0
Ribo- flavin Mgs.	800.	•034	0.013	630.0	1	•014	0	.067	0	•04	0.168	•0£8	1	•067	•045	•032	0
Thia- min Mgs.	.059	0.113	0.023	0.018	1	•014	θ	0	600.	.045	.072	.028		0	.045	+	0
Vitamin ± I.U.	16	ł	50	£40	ł	1	550	0	0	680	720	ł	550	0	50	•+•	0
Lron .dgs.	.16	.17	0.61	0.10	ł	0.18	• 03	•05	.25	• 35	<b>1.</b> 36	• 58	•03	•05	.76	0	0
Phos- phorus Mgs.	0.013	•028	0.002	0.039	1	0.02	.002	0	.031	.022	.105	•04	• 002	0	•038	•082	0
Cक्टीcium अंद्रs•	ß	4.76	20	50	1	S	ເປ	1.5	2.8	80	59	10	دي	1.5	21	œ	0
0°lories	37	79.69	91.6	105.9	52	52 <b>.</b> 6	95.3	51.6	97.5	18.6	75.1	105.2	95.2	51.8	108.4	102.8	16
ceight Gms.	79	135	59	51	13	20	13	18	100	<b>C</b> 8	47	40	13	18	170	17	14
Measure	1/3 c.	ບ - ເ <sup>1</sup> າງ	4		ч Ч	1 slice	ч Ч	El do	3/4 c.	• • •	-1	2 slices	ч.	<b>E</b> -''0	2 halves T.Juice	H ณ	1 T.
Food	Granefruit	Farina (cooked wt.	Dates	Cream 18.5≪	Sugar	Bread	Butter	Jelly	Rice	Tonstoes	Egg B	Bread	Rutter	Jelly	Derches 2	Cashew nuts	Gelstin
1.62.1	Jaslyrsad												ųə	unŢ			

COMPOSITION OF FOODS IN DIET I

		_				_		
1	7	10	I	0	0	വ	1	۲ß
9 <b>.</b> 6	1.4 <i>2</i>	.43	•26	0	C	.63	• 55	CI.3I
.220	.072	.072	050 •	0	.067	•038	al0.	1.116
.12	.121	•048	.028	0	C	•034	<b>.</b> 01£	.368
30	45	<b>C</b> C8	ł	OCII	0	06	200	5,505
3.15	1.10	•30	• 36	90.	.1	•45	.10	10.58
0.179	.064	•034	.040	<b>•</b> CC.	0	.014	•022	.781
12	14	37	IO	4	3	10	4	267.1
145.8	103.1	2 <b>8</b> .5	105.3	<b>1</b> 90.61	102.6	158.2	103.8	2,181.4
88	120	67	40	56	36	126	28	
l pa <del>tty</del>	1 large	<b>U</b> - 1 <sup>(0)</sup>	2 slices	ч. Ч.	1 T.	0 0 -!02	ю	
Ground beef	Potato	Green beans	Pread	Butter	Jelly	Apole- seuce	Sugar Cookies	Totels
				L	əuuț(	I		
	<b>Ground beef 1 patty 88 145.8 12 0.179 3.15 30 .12 .220 6.6</b>	Ground beef     1 patty     88     145.8     12     0.179     3.15     30     .12     .220     6.6        Potato     1 large     120     103.1     14     .064     1.10     45     .131     .072     1.42     7	<b>Ground beef</b> 1 pathy88145.812 $0.179$ $5.15$ $30$ $.12$ $.220$ $6.6$ $-$ Potato1 large120103.114 $.064$ 1.1045 $.131$ $.072$ $1.42$ 7Green beans $\frac{1}{2}$ c.67 $28.2$ $57$ $.034$ $.30$ $800$ $.042$ $.072$ $.43$ 10	Ground beef1patty88145.812 $0.179$ $3.15$ $30$ $.12$ $.220$ $6.6$ $-1$ Potato11srge120103.114 $.064$ 1.1045 $.151$ $.072$ $1.47$ 7Green beans $\frac{1}{2}$ c. $67$ $28.2$ $57$ $.054$ $.30$ $830$ $.042$ $.072$ $.43$ $10$ Frend2slices $40$ $105.3$ $10$ $.040$ $.56$ $1$ $.028$ $.279$ $.26$ $-1$	<b>Ground beef</b> 1 patty       88       145.8       12 $0.179$ $3.15$ $30$ $.12$ $.220$ $6.6$ $-1$ Potato       1       1 srige       120       103.1       14 $.064$ 1.10       45 $.131$ $.072$ $1.47$ 7         Green besns $\frac{1}{2}$ c. $67$ $28.2$ $57$ $.034$ $.90$ $800$ $.042$ $.072$ $1.47$ 7         Bread       2       silces       40       105.3       10 $.034$ $.36$ $-1$ $.028$ $.072$ $.45$ $10$ h       Butter       2 T.       26 $190.6$ $4$ $.034$ $.36$ $10$ $0$	(tround beef       1 pathy       88       145.8       12 $0.179$ $3.15$ $30$ $.220$ $6.6$ $-1$ Potato       1       1srge       120       103.1       14 $.064$ 1.10       45 $.121$ $.072$ $1.47$ $7$ Potato       1       1srge       120       103.1       14 $.064$ 1.10       45 $.171$ $.072$ $1.47$ $7$ Green beans $\frac{1}{2}$ c. $67$ $28.2$ $57$ $.024$ $.30$ $800$ $.042$ $.072$ $.43$ $10$ Frend       2       silices       40 $105.3$ $10$ $.040$ $.56$ $.072$ $.43$ $10$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ $.26$ $$ <	(tround beef         1 path         88         145.8         12 $0.179$ $3.15$ $30$ $12$ $270$ $6.6$ $$ Potato         1 lerge         120         103.1         14 $.064$ 1.10         45 $.131$ $.072$ $1.47$ $7$ Green beams $3$ c.         67 $28.2$ $57$ $.054$ $1.10$ $45$ $.137$ $772$ $1.47$ $7$ Freed $2$ slices         40 $105.3$ $10$ $042$ $042$ $072$ $45$ $10$ Freed $2$ slices         40 $105.3$ $10$ $044$ $072$ $16$ $26$ $$ Butter $2$ T. $26$ $190.6$ $4$ $024$ $072$ $26$ $$ $0$	tround beef         1 petty         88         145.8         12         0.179         5.15         30         .12         .270         6.6            Poteio         1 large         120         105.1         14         .064         1.10         45         .131         .072         1.47         7           Freen beens $\frac{1}{2}$ c.         67         28.5 $57$ .054         .30         .904         .072         1.47         7           Freed         2 slices         40         105.3         10         .040         .072         .072         .45         10           Freed         2 slices         40         105.3         10         .040         .072         .072         .076         .076         -075         .145         10           Freed         2 slices         40         105.3         10         .040         .076         .076         .076         .076         .076         -076         -076         -076         -076         -076         -076         .076         .076         .076         .076         .06         0         0         0         10         10         10         10         10

10+

none present not known present but only in traces

COWPOSITION OF FOODS IN DIET II (CONT.)

* 6.9 <b>1</b>	pooc <sub>.H</sub>	lessure	Teight Gms.	Calories	ं <b>lcium</b> ोंद्र s.	Phos- phorus ਪੀੜ :	Iron Mgs.	Vitamin A I.U.	Thia- min Mgs.	Ribo- flavin Mgs.	Miscin Mgc.	scorbic ∧cid \rs.
	لي . مور ب	Ч	47	75.1	53	3CI.	1.36	720	•072	.163	•038	0
	Jashew Xuts	E &	17	102.8	œ	330. •	0	-	+	330 <b>.</b>	1	ł
	Gelatin	<b>د</b>	62	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0
	Ground Beef	1 coke	88	145 <b>.</b> 8	12	.179	3.15	30	021.	63 •	6.6	1
	Canned Sparatus	6 stalks	60	13.1	10	•026	<b>ئ</b>	250	380.	•05	1	10
	Potsto	l lerge	120	103.1	14	•064	1.1	45	121.	370.	1.42	7
	Bread	2 slices	40	105.3	10	•04	• 36	1	•028	930.	9 54	I
96L	Butter	1 Т.	13	95.5	୍ଲ ଝ	300.	0.5	550	0	0	0	0
dd <b>n</b> 3	Jelly	• E1	18	51.8	1-5	0	•05	0	0	.067	0	0
	Applesauce cake	3X3X2	50	177.6	ю	•023	0	50	·012	600.	1	0
	hates	63	53	91.6	50	•0.02	-61	50	• 053	•013		0
	Totals			2,130.4	257.7	.972	10.85	4,494	677.	10.1	11.5	82

10+

None Present Not known Present hut only in traces

Ascorbic Actid	41			0	Ч		0	0	14.4	1.2	1	0	0	0	2
Niacin Mgs.		0	.12	0	0		0	े दूर दूर	•34	0	-26	0	0	o	
Ribo- flavin Mgs.	OIC.	• 358	<b>*</b> 10•	0	• )50	I	790.	0	.031	• 008	• 028	0	.067	.018	+-
Thie- min M7s.	67C.	•084	.014	0	81C.		0	0	057	202	028	0	0	024	+
Vitamin A I.U.	21			550	640		0	0	528	ני <u>ז</u>	!	550	0	270	235
'Iron ⊻gs.	.21	1.23	.19	.03	CI.		•02	. 43	.37	•14	<b>.</b> 36	J5	0	.40	0.27
Phos- phorus	.017	6ê0 <b>.</b>	0.02	,002	.039	1	0	.052	600.	.01	<b>•</b> 0	• 300	.05	.052	.027
Calcium Mgs.	10	11	ы	C2	50	1	נע ה	ω	3.7	16.5	10	<b>6</b> 2	1.5	14	13
Cslories	49	101.7	52.64	95.4	1.05	7. v	51.8	130	14	4.4	105.2	95.4	51.8	150.4	95.2
weight Gms.	137	27	50	٦٤	2	13	18	100	62	20	40	13	18	38	122
Meesure	U , ilay	l bis- cuit	l slice	1 1.	ق بیرادج	Т.	e Lalos	3/4 C.	ی بیراند	l stalk	2 slices	ЧТ.	е <b>н</b> ,і <sub>Ю</sub>	CN2	3 <b>7.Juice</b>
Food	Grapefruit Juice	Shredded Theat	Bresd	Rutter	Crean 19.5%	Sugar	Jelly	Speghetti	Tonato Tuice	Celery, raw	Bread	Butter	Jelly	Brownies	Koyel ^me Cherries
			Ja	sî X.	Bre					·			นอนเ	ſ	

COMPARIANT OF FOODS IN DIFINITY OF

Menl	Food	Measure	eight	Calories	Calcium	Phos-	Iron	Vitemin	Thia- min	Ribo- flavin	Niecin	scorbic Acid
			Gms.		Mgs.	Mg S•	15 S	A I.U.	Mg S •	. Mg s	Mgs.	Mq S.
	Grapefruit Juice	ں بەلا-	137	.64	14	•0£3	0.28	53	0.102	0.015	1	ភភ
	Grapenuts	1/3 c.	40	152	16.4	.134	16.1	0	0	0	2.699	0
	Creem 18.5%	<b>0</b> F 11-11	21	105	50.0	•039	01.0	640	0.018	6÷0•0	0	Ч
tar1:	Sugar	г.	13	52	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ł
теак	Bread	1 slice	20	52.6	വ	•05	0.18	1	0.014	0.014	0.13	ł
B	Butter	н Н Н	13	95.3	ຸ	.002	0.03	550	0	0	0	0
	Jelly	е. 	. 18	51.8	1.5	0.	0.05	0	0	.067	0	0
	Becon	l slice	σ	41	86*0	.0145	0.24	I	0.038	0,008	1	I
	50 00	-1	47	73.9	29•0	0.105	1.36	720	0.072	0.158	0°04	ł
	Cerrot strips	1/3 med.	20	8.7	9.1	0.008	0.12	662	0.02	0.018	0.29	0.8
	Bread	2 slices	40	105.2	10.0	0.040	0.36	1	0.028	0.028	.026	ł
	Butter	ч.	13	95.3	<b>5.0</b>	0.02	0.03	550	0	0	0	0
	Jelly	E- Laing	18	51.8	1.5	0	0.05	0	0	0.067	0	0
yəun	Pears Canned	2 T. Juice 2 helves	100	67.6	Ħ	0.016	0.29	1	0.3	+	0.14	ବ୍ୟ
I	Dete Bars	୍ୟ	50	167.3	23.08	0.0397	0.962	173.07	0.046	0.035	0	0
	Cashew muts	2 5 1	17	102.8	8.00	0.082	0	+	+	0.032	1	1
	Gelatin	<b>ب</b> بەلاح	ଋ	<b>8</b> .0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
									<del></del>			

TTE TELL NE SCANE IN NOTLESNAWING

Ascorbic Acid mes с. С က တ 1 0 0 O 0 5 S S 0 Miacin 13.12 N or S 1.42 1.51 • 26 6 **.** 6 0 0 0 0 0 ila**fin** nes -cdii •072 .013 . 905 .027 •023 80° .22 0 O  $\circ$ Thta-min mcs 0**1**8 1.09 .131 .131 . C**2**3 • 06G •**-**15 0 C С Vita-MIN A 500 200 5294 00 **3** 9 5 7 550 1 0 0 Iron | mas .32 .905 3.15 .46 0 ℃ • 03 • 02 .958 12.4 1.1 Ч. .016 | cium phorus mas mas Cal- Phos-0.179 .064 .116 -005 • • 04 M P:S С 0 0 25 С Н 252 37 14 ဖ Ц କୁହ ·4ı CV2 Weight Calo-103.1 ວ**າ** ເວ 145 . S 95.3 105.3 ries 1975 60 109 95 35 SmC 120 (1) (1) 120 62 40 5 1 С Н 0  $\overset{\odot}{\sim}$ catty Leasn're 2 slice e. H • • ٠ • €-i Ē O Ю **~**` **...**! '' Ч ------2 Ч Ч Cocktail Frine juice Ground teef corn Food Coortes Fotato Canned rutter Fruit SUCAL Jelly Tread TOTAL Leal JOUUTA

CO FCAITION CA FOODS IN DIST III (cont.)

• . .

# ROCH HEF CHIL

.

•

•

·

.

.

.

