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In this project the reaction of $\left[\alpha, \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right.$ '-[isopropylidenbis (azo)]di-astibenendato]nickel(II), (NiMMK) with ethylinediamine and 1,3 - propanediamine has been investigated in the presence of solvent. NiMMK contains a ligand with cis-oriented CO groups. The reaction of ethylenediamine with NiMMK results in the formation of macrocyclic complex with four nitrogen donors, [3,3,9-trimethyl-6,7,12,13 tetraphenyl-1,2,4,5,8,11-hexaazacyclotrideca-1,4,6,12-tetraenato] nickel(II), (NiMcyclo-13). With 1,3-propanediamine, however, only one of the CO groups of NiMMK undergoes condensation producing a complex with a non-cyclic ligand, [ $\alpha^{\prime}$ [[1-[[2-[(3-aminopropyl)amino]-1,2-diphenylvinyl]azo]-l-methylethyl]azo]- $\alpha$-stibenolato]nickel(II), (NiApSo).

The reactions were followed spectrophotometrically in tetrahydrofuran. The formation of NiApSo is found to involve a single slow step, but the formation of NiMcyclo-13 involves two slow steps (XVI). It was also found that the reactions of amines with NiMMK are base catalysed. Kinetics data have been obtained for the reactions. The dependence of the rate of the reactions on the hydroxide concentration and each of the reactants has been

 is proposed.
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The ability of transition metal ions to direct the steric course of certain condensation reactions between organic molecules has been recognized for several years. Many of the products of these condensation reactions are natural products, for example, derivatives of porphin or corrin ring systems.

During the past ten years or so there has been considerable interest in the use of transition metal ions for the synthesis of compounds containing macrocyclic ligands which may serve as models for biological processes which are known to require the presence of metal ions. Research into the synthesis and characterization of complexes containing macrocyclic ligands has been extensive, and several reviews which summarize the research in the area have been published ${ }^{1-6}$. The most extensively studied systems are those which lead to the formation of complexes containing macrocyclic ligands with four nitrogen donors. Condensation reactions between carbonyl compounds and primary amines have been extensively employed for the formation of these new macrocyclic ligands. The groups of Busch and Curtis have been particularly active in this area (see reference 5) although significant contributions have been made by other workers including Jager ${ }^{7-10}$, Black ${ }^{11}$, Cummings ${ }^{12-14}$, Green and Tasker ${ }^{15}$, and Bamfield ${ }^{16}$. Recently Kerwin and Melson reported some reactions 17 between amines and ligands derived from hydrazones. The research group of Holm has carried out extensive studies of the synthesis, characterization and reactions of complexes of this type, although
their syntheses have been accomplished by direct reaction between the free organic macrocycle and the metal ion rather than by "in situ" reactions which are governed more directly by template effects ${ }^{18-20}$. While recognizing the significant results of Holm's research, we shall concentrate this discussion on "in situ" process; i.e., those processes in which the reactants are brought together by coordination to the same metal ion. The proximity of the reactive sites then promotes a reaction that would take place much less readily, if at all, in the absence of the metal ion.

In spite of the wealth of data on the synthesis and characterization of metal complexes containing macrocyclic ligands with nitrogen donors, there is little information on the factors that are important in controlling the reactions or on the role that the metal ion plays in the "in situ" condensations. Indeed Lindoy and Busch in their article ${ }^{5}$ concerning complexes of macrocyclic ligands comment:

Unfortunately the principles underlying some of the synthetic procedures are yet little understood, and in addition to its contribution to fundamental understanding, the elucidation of the mechanisms of the formation of macrocyclic complexes will undoubtedly be of great benefit in the design of new syntheses.

Metal-ion control in the synthesis of Schiff base complexes has been the subject of a recent review ${ }^{21}$ although reactions of salicylaldehyde complexes with amines have been discussed earlier ${ }^{22,23}$. The nature of both the metal ion and the ligand is an important criterion to be considered in planning the synthesis of a Schiff base complex. Nunez and Eichhorn ${ }^{24}$ reported one of the early studies on the kinetics of formation of metal complexes containing Schiff bases. The reactions of nickel(II) and copper(II) with salicylaldehyde and glycine were investigated and it was found that the nature
of participation of the metal ion in Schiff base formation is dependent on the metal ion and on the order of mixing of the reactants. The proposed mechanism involves the formation of an intermediate, I, followed by reaction to produce the Schiff base complex, II.


I I

Leussing and co-workers ${ }^{25}$ have studied the formation of Schiff bases in the presence of metal ions in aqueous media, and the effects of metal ions on the rates of formation have been discussed ${ }^{26}$. Recently, Curtis ${ }^{27,28}$ proposed the following mechanism for reactions between metal-amine complexes and carbonyl compounds:


With the exception of the above work of Curtis and the report on the stepwise formation of phthalocyanine ${ }^{29}$, no detailed studies related to the formation of complexes containing macrocyclic ligands with nitrogen donors have been reported.

In 1968, Blinn and Busch described the only study of the kinetics of macrocycle formation ${ }^{30}$. This involved the reaction between 2,3 pentanedione-bis (mercaptoethylimino) nickel(II) and $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ -dibromo-o-xylene. The "kinetic coordination template effect" was demonstrated by following the reaction spectrophotometrically in dichloroethane at $25^{\circ}$. A two-step mechanism was proposed; the first being slow, (III,IV) and the second ring closure step (IV-V) very rapid.


Since this report, other studies of reactions of the mercaptide function of complexes containing mercaptoamines with alkyl and aryl halides have been reported ${ }^{31-34}$ although no further studies of macrocycle formation have appeared in the literature.

Reactions between some monohydrazones, VI and ketones, $R^{3} R^{4} C=0$ in the presence of nickel (II) ions have been reported recently by Melson and et al.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { VI a, } \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \\
& \text { VI } \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\
& \text { VI c, } \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{l}}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

In all cases, nickel(II) complexes which contain ligands derived from two monohydrazones and one ketone, VII, are obtained ${ }^{35-37}$.

> VII $a, R^{1}=R^{2}=C_{6} H_{5}$
> VII b, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
> VII c, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$

In the absence of nickel (II) ions, VIII is obtained.


VIII
Thus the nickel (II) ions control the condensation reactions with the result that products are formed which are not obtained in the absence of the metal ions. The mode of coordination of the ligands with $\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{VII}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$, (VII ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ ) has been established from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nmr spectra ${ }^{38}$ and it is assumed that ligands derived from (VII ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ ) coordinate in a similar manner, as in IX.


IX

The reactivity of the coordinated CO groups of these ligands towards amines has been investigated. Since they are oriented in a cis configuration in the nickel complex, reaction with a suitable diamine would be expected to result in a complex with a macrocyclic ligand containing four nitrogen donors. In the presence of diamines, the species $X$ have been obtained ${ }^{17}$. The mode of coordination and conformation of the new chelate ring has been established from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}$ and circular dichroism spectra ${ }^{39}$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& X \quad a, R^{1}=R^{2}=C_{6} H_{5}, R^{5}=H \\
& X \quad b, R^{1}=R^{2}=C_{6} H_{5}, R^{5}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\
& X \quad c, R^{1}=R^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, R^{5}=\mathrm{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

With 1,3 diaminopropane, replacement of only one of the coordinated oxygens occurs ${ }^{17}$, producing a complex containing a non-cyclic, potentially pentadentate ligand XI.


It is interesting to note that the complex with ligand VII ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ does not react with diamines, even under forcing conditions ${ }^{37}$. Obviously the nature of the group attached to the coordinated CO has a marked influence on the reactivity of the carbonyl.

It is appropriate at this time to determine the mechanisms of reactions which lead to the formation of complexes containing macrocyclic ligands. This dissertation is a report on the kinetics and mechanism of ring closure reactions between $I X, R^{1}=R^{2}=C_{6} H_{5}$ and some diamines such as ethylenediamine, 1,2-propanediamine and 1,3propanediamine in solution. (The preparative reactions were carried out in the absence of solvent). It is anticipated that this study should lead to a more complete understanding of the mechanism of macrocycle formation and may lead to the development of new and more effective synthetic procedures.

## CHAPTER TWO

EXPERIMENTAL

## 1. Preparation of Materials

## A. Nickel Complexes

[ $\alpha, \alpha$ ''I[Isopropylidenbis(azo)di- $\alpha$ stibenendato]nickel(II), (NiMMK), [3,3-Dimethyl-6,7,12,13-tetraphenyl-1,2,4,5,9,11-hexaazacylo-trideca-1,4,6,12-tetraenato] nickel(II), (NiHcyclo-13), [3,3,9-Trimethyl-6,7,12,13 tetrapheny1-12,4,5,8,11-hexaazacyclotrideca-1,4,6,12-tetraenato] nickel(II), (NiMcyclo-13) and [ $\alpha^{\prime}$ [[1-[[2-[(3-Aminopropyl)amino]-1,2-diphenylvinyl]azo]-1-methylethyl]azo]-a-stibenolato] nickel(II), (NiApSo) were prepared as previously described ${ }^{32-34}$. NiMMK, NiHcyclol3 and NiMcyclol3 were recrystalyzed from acetone and NiApSo was recrystalyzed from tetrahydrofuran.
B. Amines

Ethylenediamine, 1,2-propanediamine and 1,3-propanediamine were distilled from sodium hydroxide under nitrogen, collected over sodium hydroxide and stored in a dry box.
C. Solvents

1. Tetrahydrofuran (Mallinckrodt) was refluxed and distilled from sodium to remove water, benzophenone was added as an indicator. (Water content of the solvent after distillation was $60 \mu \mathrm{l}$ per ml ).
2. Acetonitrile (Baker analyzed reagent) was refluxed and then distilled from calcium hydride. Other solvents used were dichloroethane, dimethyl sulfoxide, dimethylformamide, dioxane and pyridine.

They were all reagent grade or equivalent and used as supplied.
D. Sodium Hydroxide

Stock solutions of sodium hydroxide were prepared by dissolving solid sodium hydroxide in absolute ethanol, and standardized with a standard solution of potassium hydrogen phthalate (Fisher scientific Company) using either phenolphtalein or pH meter for determination of end point.

Note: All other chemicals used were reagent grade or equivalent.

## 2. Physical Measurements

All visible and ultraviolet spectra were obtained between 600-275 nm by use of a Unicam SP800 B spectrophotometer. Kinetics data were obtained from a Beckman model Du spectrophotometer. Both Unicam and Beckman spectrophotometers were calibrated for absorption with a standard solution of potassiumdichromate in sulfuric acid and for wavelength with a didymium filter. The base lines of the spectra were obtained via air vs. air.

Mass spectra were determined with a Hitachi-Perkin-Elmer RMU-60 mass spectrometer. A pH meter model LS S-30005 (Sargent) was used for preparation of the standard sodium hydroxide solution.

## 3. General Procedure for Obtaining Kinetics Data

A weighed amount of MiMMK was dissolved in a known quantity of tetrahydrofuran (concentration approximately $2 \times 10^{-3}-8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ ) in a 50 ml round bottom flask filled with a reflux condenser, and
stopcock. The stopcock was closed with a serum cap. The solution was stirred with a magnetic stirrer. To the solution was added $0.5-2 \mathrm{ml}$ of $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ alcoholic solution of sodium hydroxide. The solution was warmed to $\sim 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the diamine (approximately .3 M . 6 M ) was injected into the mixture with a syringe, and within seconds the solution started to reflux. At this time (zero time for reaction) $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{ml}$ of sample (depending on the original concentration of NiMMK) was removed with a microsyringe from the flask through the serum cap of the side arm. The sample was diluted with tetrahydrofuran to approximately $8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ in complex, and then transfered to a spectraphotometer cell, with a 1 Cm . path length, placed into the spectrophotometer, and the spectrum recorded (Unicam) or absorption at $500,400,385,360$ and 340 nm . measured (Beckman). Total run times ranged from 18 hours at high diamine concentration to $\sim 24$ hours at low diamine concentration.

## CHAPTER THREE

KINETICS AND DATA TREATMENT

## I. The Kinetics of the Reactions of NiMMK

with 1,3 Propanediamine
The experimental procedure outlined for following the reaction between NiMMK and 1,3-propanediamine in tetrahydrofuran solution at $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ results in a series of spectra (Figure I). Over a period of time the decrease in absorbance at 385 nm is larger than that at other wavelengths and the rate of reaction of NiMMK with 1,3-propanediamine may be followed at this point, (a typical data set is shown in Table I), however, choosing any point between each two isosbestic points is expected to show similar results, and they do. The change of the spectra of the reactants as the reaction progresses produces several sharp isosbestic points at 325,418 , 464 and 526 nm (see Figure I). These isosbestic points are coincident to those obtained experimentally from the spectra of the NiMMK and pure NiApSo (Figure II). This suggests a simple reaction between NiMMK and 1,3-propanediamine. Reactions were run under pseudo first-order conditions ( $\approx 1: 200$ NiMMK to 1,3 -propanediamine) and therefore 1,3-propanediamine concentration can be considered to be constant. With this assumption, the data are fitted to pseudo first-order rate equation of the form $\left(A_{\infty}-A_{t} / A_{\infty}-A_{0}\right)=e^{-k t}$, where $k$ is rate constant and $A_{\infty}, A_{t}$ and $A_{o}$ are the observed absorbances at infinite, $t$ and zero time (few seconds after mixing the reactants) respectively. By using this equation rate constants were calculated by the curve fitting program of Dye and Nicely ${ }^{40}$. By feeding rate constant, time and absorbance to the computer the computer adjusts them until the
calculated constant agrees with the experimental one. The calculated and experimental data should nearly coincide if the form of the equation being used in the curve fitting program is correct. A sample plot of absorption vs. time, from the reaction between NiMMK and 1,3-propanediamine is shown in Figure III.

## FIGURE I

## Absorption Spectrum for Reaction of NiMMK with 1,3-Propanediamine



TABLE I
A Set of Kinetics Data for the Reaction between NiMMK and 1,3-Propanediamine

| $\lambda_{\mathrm{nm}}$ | 340 |  | 385 |  | 440 |  | 500 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time/Min | A | T\% | A | T\% | A | T\% | A | T\% |
| 0.0 | 1.075 | 8.75 | 1.280 | 5.35 | 0.668 | 21.75 | 0.521 | 30.18 |
| 14.66 | 1.015 | 8.90 | 1.232 | 6.00 | 0.692 | 20.25 | 0.493 | 32.10 |
| 28.83 | 0.987 | 10.28 | 1.175 | 6.90 | 0.713 | 19.50 | 0.469 | 34.10 |
| 42.16 | 0.958 | 11.10 | 1.120 | 7.90 | 0.734 | 18.75 | 0.451 | 35.70 |
| 53.83 | 0.942 | 11.50 | 1.080 | 3.50 | 0.752 | 17.90 | 0.435 | 35.95 |
| 68.50 | 0.938 | 11.90 | 1.050 | 9.10 | 0.772 | 17.00 | 0.419 | 38.20 |
| 85.16 | 0.910 | 12.38 | 1.008 | 9.98 | 0.790 | 16.25 | 0.399 | 40.80 |
| 110.16 | 0.878 | 13.50 | 0.962 | 11.00 | 0.802 | 15.90 | 0.375 | 42.10 |
| 140.16 | 0.842 | 14.45 | 0.910 | 12.40 | 0.828 | 15.00 | 0.355 | 44.10 |
| 175.16 | 0.812 | 15.60 | 0.865 | 13.80 | 0.842 | 14.70 | 0.336 | 46.20 |
| 213.83 | 0.800 | 16.00 | 0.838 | 14.80 | 0.862 | 13.92 | 0.324 | 47.50 |
| 255.50 | 0.817 | 15.35 | 0.817 | 15.30 | 0.878 | 13.40 | 0.315 | 48.70 |
| 325.50 | 0.779 | 16.80 | 0.797 | 16.10 | 0.886 | 13.10 | 0.302 | 50.10 |
| 508.83 | 0.768 | 17.10 | 0.772 | 17.00 | 0.897 | 12.90 | 0.291 | 51.20 |
| 1255.50 | 0.768 | 17.10 | 0.769 | 17.10 | 0.897 | 12.90 | 0.211 | 51.20 |

## FIGURE II

## Absorption Spectrum of Equal Concentrations of NiMMK, (a) and NiApSo, (b)



A Computer Plot of Absorbance vs. Time of the Reaction between NiMMK and 1,3-Propanediamine

A. 1,3-Propanediamine Dependence of the Rate

The reaction procedure has been outlined previously. Table II records the rate constants that are obtained with different concentrations of 1,3-propanediamine.

TABLE II
1,3-Propanediamine Dependence of the Rate

| $\underline{\text { [1,3-propanediamine]mole } 1 \mathrm{it}^{-1}}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}}\left(\min ^{-1}\right)$ | standard deviation \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.53 | 0.327 | $\pm 5$ |
| 0.663 | 0.510 | $\pm 3$ |
| 0.796 | 0.532 | $\pm 6$ |
| 0.884 | 0.713 | $\pm 4$ |

In Figure IV a plot of 1,3 -propanediamine concentration vs. $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}}$ is shown. This suggests a first order reaction with respect to amine.
B. NiMMK Dependence of the Rate

The rate constants obtained in differenc concentrations of NiMMK are shown in Table III.

## FIGURE IV

## A Plot of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}}$ vs. l,3-Propanediamine Concentration for the Reaction between NiMMK and 1,3-Propanediamine



TABLE III
NiMMK Dependence of the Rate

| $\left[\right.$ NiMMK $\times 10^{2}\left(\right.$ mole $\left.1 \mathrm{it}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.185 | 0.89 | $\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}} \times 10^{2}\left(\mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)}{\text { standard deviation \% }}$ |
| 0.370 | 0.89 | $\pm 2.0$ |
| 0.740 | 0.89 | $\pm 3.0$ |
|  |  | $\pm 2.0$ |

Since the calculation of $k_{o b s}$ includes the concentration of NiMMK, the above data suggest an order of one with respect to the NiMMK concentration.
C. Sodium Hydroxide Dependence of the Rate

During the experiment it was found that the rate of the reaction is dependent on the sodium hydroxide concentration. The experimental results are shown in Table IV.

TABLE IV
Sodium Hydroxide Dependence of the Rate

| $[\mathrm{NaOH}] \times 10^{2}\left(\right.$ mole $\left.1 \mathrm{it}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.30 | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}} \times 10^{2}\left(\mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ | standard deviation $\%$ <br> 0.41 |
| 0.820 | 0.934 | $\pm 4.0$ |
| 0.51 | 0.980 | $\pm 4.0$ |
| 0.62 | 0.989 | $\pm 2.0$ |
| 0.72 | 0.990 | $\pm 2.0$ |
|  |  | $\pm 4.0$ |

A plot of observed rate constant vs. sodium hydroxide concentration is shown in Figure $V$. The rate initially increases as sodium hydroxide concentration increases, however, at higher concentrations of sodium hydroxide the rate stays almost constant. More experimental results have shown that this is due to an inhibitory effect of the ethanol in which the sodium hydroxide is dissolved. By increasing the sodium hydroxide concentration, consequently the concentration of ethanol increases and therefore the rate decreases. A third order rate constant calculated from the slope of the hydroxide dependence is $1.72 \mathrm{lit}^{2} \mathrm{~mole}^{-2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$.

## FIGURE V

A Plot of $k_{\text {obs }}$ vs. Sodium Hydroxide Concentration for the Reaction between NiMMK and 1,3-Propanediamine


## 2. Kinetics of the Reaction of NiMMK with Ethylenediamine

The reaction of NiMMK with ethylenediamine in tetrahydrofuran produces a series of spectra (a typical sample is shown in Figure VI). There are three important pieces of information that may be obtained from this series:
i. The absorbance at 360 nm initially decreases and is then followed by the appearance of an isosbestic point (see Figure VI and Table $V$ at 360 nm ).
ii. The absorbance at 340 nm initially decreases (spectra $1,2,3$ and 4 of Figure VI) and then increases as the reaction proceeds.
iii. Reaction of NiMMK with ethylenediamine does not produce the predicted isobestic points at $299,360,422,476$ and 519 nm based on spectra of NiMMK and NiMcyclo-13 (see Figure VII).

These phenomena were not observed in the reaction of NiMMK with 1,3 -propanediamine and are thus evidence for greater complexity of this reaction compared with the simple reaction with 1,3 -propanediamine. Similar to the spectrum number five of figure VI is spectrum number six from Figure I, which is the final product in reaction of NiMMK with 1,3 -propanediamine. The spectra suggest that the reaction of NiMMK with ethylenediamine occurs via a two step process in which a significant concentration of the "intermediate" builds up as the reaction proceeds.

Reactions were run under pseudo first-order conditions (by making ethylenediamine concentrations approximately 200 fold larger than NiMMK concentrations). A typical set of data is in Table V. The two steps were treated separately and each step was fitted to a pseudo first-order rate equation by the procedure
previously mentioned. Figures VIII and IX show the computer plot of absorbance vs. time for the first and second steps respectively.

TABLE V
A Set of Kinetics Data of the Reaction
of NiMMK with Ethylenediamine
$\lambda_{\mathrm{nm}}$
340
360
385
440
500

| Time/Min | A | T\% | A | T\% | A | T\% | A | T\% | A | T\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 1.048 | 9.10 | 0.962 | 11.00 | 1.200 | 5.15 | 0.648 | 22.90 | 0.505 | 31.30 |
| 16.0 | 0.999 | 10.10 | 0.917 | 12.10 | 1.140 | 7.45 | 0.671 | 21.20 | 0.468 | 34.10 |
| 27.66 | 1.000 | 10.00 | 0.885 | 13.00 | 1.018 | 8.90 | 0.694 | 20.25 | 0.448 | 36.00 |
| 39.33 | 1.015 | 9.90 | 0.8810 | 13.11 | 1.000 | 10.00 | 0.723 | 19.00 | 0.435 | 37.00 |
| 52.33 | 1.038 | 9.50 | 0.860 | 14.00 | 0.936 | 11.90 | 0.735 | 18.60 | 0.418 | 38.60 |
| 66.0 | 1.050 | 9.00 | 0.868 | 13.80 | 0.878 | 13.25 | 0.762 | 17.45 | p. 411 | 39.00 |
| 78.66 | 1.072 | 8.70 | 0.860 | 14.00 | 0.819 | 15.10 | 0.769 | 17.10 | 0.397 | 40.10 |
| 91.66 | 1.110 | 7.92 | 0.863 | 13.90 | 0.790 | 16.30 | 0.795 | 16.10 | 0.392 | 40.16 |
| 108.33 | 1.150 | 7.10 | 0.866 | 13.60 | 0.739 | 18.45 | 0.810 | 15.70 | p. 391 | 40.90 |
| 125.16 | 1.190 | 7.50 | 0.850 | 14.10 | 0.671 | 21.40 | 0.796 | 16.10 | p. 384 | 42.70 |
| 141.83 | 1.220 | 6.10 | 0.865 | 13.90 | 0.661 | 21.90 | 0.837 | 14.90 | 0.379 | 41.50 |
| 161.83 | 1.240 | 5.92 | 0.866 | 13.85 | 0.619 | 24.10 | 0.842 | 14.30 | 0.371 | 41.90 |
| 183.5 | 1.245 | 5.90 | 0.866 | 13.85 | 0.588 | 25.92 | 0.878 | 14.10 | 0.372 | 42.80 |
| 208.5 | 1.290 | 5.10 | 0.866 | 13.85 | 0.561 | 27.65 | 0.859 | 14.00 | D. 373 | 42.60 |
| 258.5 | 1.310 | 5.00 | 0.890 | 13.00 | 0.530 | 29.80 | 0.879 | 13.25 | D. 366 | 42.60 |
| 316.83 | 1.340 | 4.90 | 0.890 | 13.00 | 0.510 | 31.75 | 0.862 | 13.90 | b. 371 | 43.00 |
| 386.83 | 1.350 | 4.75 | 0.890 | 13.00 | 0.491 | 32.10 | 0.882 | 13.10 | D. 369 | 42.50 |
| 685.16 | 1.351 | 4.60 | 0.900 | 12.80 | 0.477 | 33.72 | 0.883 | 13.00 | p. 371 | 42.90 |
| 1298.5 | 1.370 | 4.40 | 0.900 | 12.80 | 0.473 | 33.90 | 0.898 | 12.90 | D. 366 | 42.50 |
| 1570.16 | - | - | 0.900 | 12.80 | 0.471 | 34.00 | - | - |  | - |

## FIGURE VI

Absorption Spectrum of the Reaction of NiMMK with Ethylenediamine

$\lambda(n m)$

## FIGURE VII

## Absorption Spectrum of Equal Concentrations of NiMMK (a) and MiMcyclo-13(b)



A Computer Plot of Absorbance vs. Time
for the First Step of the Reaction of NiMMK and Ethylenediamine


## FIGURE IX

## A Computer Plot of Absorbance vs. Time for the Second Step of the Reaction of NiMMK and Ethylenediamine


A. Ethylenediamine Dependence of the Reaction Rate

The experimental data for ethylenediamine dependence of the first and second steps are tabulated in Table VI. The second order rate constants are obtained by plotting observed rate constants vs. ethylenediamine concentrations (Figures X and XI ). Their values are $0.19 \times 10^{-1}$ liters $\mathrm{mole}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and $0.16 \times 10^{-1}$ liters mole $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$ respectively.
B. NiMMK Dependence of the Reaction Rate

By holding ethylenediamine and sodium hydroxide concentrations constant the following results have been obtained by varying the NiMMK concentration (the results are shown in Table VI).

TABLE VI
[Ethylenediamine] Dependence of the First and Second Steps
a. First Stage

| [en]mole $1 \mathrm{lit}^{-1}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }} \times 10^{2}\left(\mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)}{}$ | $\frac{\text { standard deviation \% }}{0.38}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.47 | 0.90 | $\pm 7.0$ |
| 0.57 | 1.16 | $\pm 7.0$ |
| 0.66 | 1.44 | $\pm 4.0$ |
|  | 1.68 | $\pm 6.0$ |

b. Second Stage

| 0.38 | 0.70 | $\pm 5.0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.47 | 0.79 | $\pm 3.0$ |
| 0.57 | 1.07 | $\pm 9.0$ |
| 0.66 | 1.14 | $\pm 10.0$ |

## TABLE VII

[NiMMK] Dependence of the First and Second Step
a. First Stage

| [NiMMK] $\times 10^{2} \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }} \times 10^{1}\left(\mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ | standard deviation\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.159 | 0.142 | $\pm 3.0$ |
| 0.318 | 0.144 | $\pm 4.0$ |
| 0.477 | 0.141 | $\pm 6.0$ |
| 0.636 | 0.143 | $\pm 5.0$ |

b. Second Stage

| 0.159 | 0.108 | $\pm 3.0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.318 | 0.107 | $\pm 8.0$ |
| 0.477 | 0.107 | $\pm 4.0$ |
| 0.636 | 0.107 | $\pm 4.0$ |

## FIGURE X

Ethylenediamine Dependence of the Rate of the First Step


## FIGURE XI

Ethylenediamine Dependence of the Rate of the Second Step


## C. Sodium Hydroxide Dependence of the Reaction Rate

The rates of both the first and the second steps are dependent on the sodium hydroxide concentration, similar to that found for the reaction between NiMMK and 1,3-propanediamine; the rate stays constant at higher hydroxide concentration. The resulting rate constants are tabulated in Table VIII a and b . Figure XII shows the plot of $\ln \left(A_{\infty}-A_{t} / A_{\infty}-A_{o}\right)$ vs. time. The slope of these lines are pseudo first-order rate constants at different concentrations of sodium hydroxide. A plot of the observed rate constant vs. sodium hydroxide concentration for both steps are shown in Figures XII and XIV.

As was mentioned earlier, by increasing the sodium hydroxide concentration, the concentration of the ethanol in the reaction mixture increases, and due to its inhibitory property, rate decreases (as is shown in Figures XIII and XIV). The estimated third order rate constants for the first and the second stage are $32.93 \mathrm{lit}^{2}$ mole $\mathrm{e}^{-2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and $11.65 \mathrm{lit}^{2}$ mole $\mathrm{min}^{-2}$ respectively.

## TABLE VIII

[Sodium Hydroxide] Dependence of the First and Second Step
a. First Stage

| $[\mathrm{OH}] \times 10^{2} \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }} \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.092 | 2.95 | $\frac{\text { standard deviation \% }}{}$ |
| 0.123 | 3.60 | $\pm 3.0$ |
| 0.185 | 3.70 | $\pm 4.0$ |
| 0.246 | 3.70 | $\pm 4.0$ |
| 0.308 | 3.80 | $\pm 5.0$ |
|  |  | $\pm 4.0$ |

b. Second Stage

| 0.092 | 0.43 | $\pm 6.0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.123 | 0.66 | $\pm 3.0$ |
| 0.185 | 1.05 | $\pm 3.0$ |
| 0.308 | 1.26 | $\pm 3.0$ |
| 0.401 | 1.29 | $\pm 2.0$ |



## FIGURE XIII

## Sodium Hydroxide Dependence of the First Step

for the Reaction between NiMMK and Ethylenediamine


FIGURE XIV

```
Sodium Hydroxide Dependence of the Second Step
for the Reaction between NiMMK and Ethylenediamine
```



## CHAPTER FOUR

## MECHANISM OF THE REACTIONS

The reaction of $N i M M K$ with amines results in the formation of two types of complexes, the macrocyle (X) and non-cyclic (XI) complexes. These compounds are obtained by the condensation of the CO group(s) of NiMMK with one or two amine groups and the elimination of one or two molecules of water 35,36 .

With 1,3-propanediamine, only one of the $C O$ groups has undergone condensation, whereas with ethylenediamine both of the CO groups have taken part in the condensation reaction (compare Figures $I$ and VI). It thus appears that the ring closure reaction proceeds via a stepwise process in which the coordinated $C O$ groups react succesively.



Since the CO groups are of different types, one is part of a five membered chelate ring; the other part of a six-membered ring their reactivities may be different. By study of the fragmentation pattern of the mass spectrum of NiApSo it seems that the fragments of the six-membered ring predominates (see Table IX), and thus we conclude that the CO groups of the five membered ring preferentially reacts faster. The data obtained from the fragmentation pattern of this compound are shown in Table IX.

Although attempts to isolate the intermediate species in the ring closure reaction have not been successful, there are indications for the existance of intermediate in the reaction mixture.

Benzoyl Derivative of the Intermediate
100 m . of $=3.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of NiMMK in acetonitrile and ethylenediamine ( 0.6 M ) were mixed, and refluxed. The reaction was followed by Unicam spectrophotometer until the spectrum of the reaction mixture was close to the spectrum of the NiApSo (see spectrum number 5 of Figure VI). At this time the reaction mixture was cooled to prevent the progress of the reaction. 1.5 ml of benzoyl chloride was added to a solution of 15 ml chloroform and 60 ml of a 5\% solution of sodium hydroxide. This mixture was then added to the quenched solution of the reaction mixture. The solution was stirred for about twenty minutes at room temperature and then allowed to stand for twelve hours in a separatory funnel. The higher level of sparatory funnel was evaporated and then introduced to the mass spectrometer. The spectra showed a benzoyl derivative of the dangling complex (XV, M.W = 690).


TABLE IX
Mass Spectrum of NiApSo

| $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}^{\text {a }}$ | Assignment Leaving Group | Assignment Residue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p-15 | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  |
| p-28 | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |  |
| p-56 | $\mathrm{NC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  |
| p-84 |  |  |
| p-159 | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  |
| p-187 | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{p}-250{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})-\mathrm{N}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |
| p-276 | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  |
| p-290 | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{N})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | ${ }^{+}$ |
| 350 |  |  |
| 308 |  | $\left[{ }^{\text {comen }}\right.$ |

TABLE IX
Continued

| m/e | Assignment Leaving Group | Assignment Residue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 294 |  |  |
| 280 |  |  |
| 236 |  | $[\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})]^{+}$ |
| 222 |  | $[0-C(P h)=C(P h)-N=N]^{+}$ |
| 202 |  |  |
| 178 |  | $[\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{CPh}]^{+}$ |
| 77 |  | [Ph] ${ }^{+}$ |

a. for nickel containing species the value for $58_{\mathrm{N}}$ is given
b. for dangling compounds only

The experimental results (based on the dependence of the rate of the reaction on the concentration of amines, NiMMK and sodium hydroxide) have shown that for each stage the overall reaction is a third order, and is first order with respect to each of the reactants.

A mechanism consistent with the observed results and a
derivation of the rate equation is suggested as follows:
a. For 1,3-propanediamine and NiMMK reactions:

$$
\mathrm{NiMMK}+\mathrm{am} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{k}_{f_{2}}]{\mathrm{k}_{1}} \text { NiMMK. am }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { NiMMK. } \mathrm{am}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \xrightarrow{\stackrel{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}_{1}}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}_{2}}}}[\mathrm{NiMMK}(\mathrm{am}-\mathrm{H})]^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{NiMMK}(\mathrm{am}-\mathrm{H})]^{-\mathrm{k}_{1}} \text { Nidang }+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

slow
slow

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}(\text { Nidang })}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{k}_{1}[\text { NiMMK (am-H) }]^{-}
$$

from 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{[\mathrm{NiMMK}(\mathrm{am}-\mathrm{H})]^{-}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]}{[\text { NiMMK. am }][\mathrm{O} \overline{\mathrm{H}}]} \\
& {[\text { NiMMK (am-H) }]^{-}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}\left[\frac{[\mathrm{O} \overline{\mathrm{H}}]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]} \cdot[\text { NiMMK . am] }\right.} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{d}(\text { Nidang })}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}} \frac{[\mathrm{O} \overline{\mathrm{H}}]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]} \cdot \text { [NiMMK. am] }
\end{aligned}
$$

## from 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\text { NiMMK. am] }=K_{f}[\text { NiMMK }][\mathrm{am}]\right.} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{d} \text { (Nidang) }}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{f}} \frac{[\mathrm{O} \overline{\mathrm{H}}]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]} \cdot[\text { NiMMK }](\mathrm{am}]
\end{aligned}
$$

assume

$$
\mathrm{k}_{3}=\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{f}}
$$

Therefore $\frac{d \text { (Nidang) }}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{k}_{3}[\mathrm{O} \overline{\mathrm{H}}][\mathrm{NiMMK}][\mathrm{am}] / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
b. For ethylenediamine and NiMMK reaction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [Nidang] }+\mathrm{O} \overline{\mathrm{H}} \stackrel{\mathrm{~K}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\text { [Nidang] }^{-} \\
& \text {[Nidang }{ }^{-} \text {] } \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{2}} \text { NiMcycle }+\mathrm{O} \overline{\mathrm{H}} \\
& \text { fast (4) } \\
& \text { slow (5) } \\
& \frac{\mathrm{d} \text { (NiMcycle) }}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{k}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Nidang}^{-}\right. \text {] } \\
& K=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]\left[\text { Nidang }^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{O} \overline{\mathrm{H}}][\text { Nidang }]} \\
& \text { [Nidang }^{-} \text {] }=\frac{\mathrm{K}[\mathrm{O} \overline{\mathrm{H}}][\text { Nidang] }}{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER FIVE

In addition to the determination of the activation energy, entropy and ethalpy of the reaction of diamines with NiMMK, there are several other areas that should be investigated.

Fragmentation pattern of NiApSo in mass spectrometer shows that the site of the first condensation is the five-membered chelate ring, rather than the six-membered ring (see Table IX). Work has to be done on the crystal structure of NiApSo to support the idea; we are in the process of doing this.

We have also examined successfully reactions of $1,2-$ propanediamine with NiMMK and ethylenediamine with NiDMK (VII where $R^{3}$ and $R^{4}$ are methyl groups) in tetrahydrofuran. Their absorption spectra are shown in Figures XV and XVI respectively. Understanding their mechanism of the reaction needs more kinetics data; however reactions of NiMMK with 1,2 propanediamine shows very similar paths to those of NiMMK with ethylenediamine (compare Figures VI and XV).

Absorption Spectrum for Reaction of NiMMK with 1,2-Propanediamine


Absorption Spectrum for Reactions of NiDMK with Ethylenediamine


APPENDIX

## APPENDIX

During the preparation of this thesis we became aware of the Tektronix 4012 graphics terminal. Reexamination of the kinetics data was carried out. The resulting plots obtained from the plotter are shown in Figures XVII-XX.** The program used for the plots is also included.

Figure XVII [NiMMK] Dependence for the Reaction between 1,3-Propanediamine and NiMMK

Figure XVIII [Sodium Hydroxide] Dependence for the First Step of the Reaction between NiMMK and Ethylenediamine

Figure XIX [NiMMK] Dependence for the Second Stage of the Reaction between NiMMK and Ethylenediamine Figure XX [Sodium Hydroxide] Dependence for the Reaction between NiMMK and 1,3-Propanediamine

[^0]FIGURE XVII


FIGURE XVIII
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FIGURE XX
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[^0]:    *Special thanks to Lawrence Pachla for this information.
    ** The dotted line indicates the theoretical values; the triangles indicate the experimental values.

