

ROBERT E. LOREE



145
256
THS

POT EXPERIMENTS WITH STRAWBARRIES

Thesis for Degree of M. S.
Robert Earl Loree
1925

1 THESIS

Strawberries

The Effect on Growth and Fruit Production of Nutrients Applied at Various Seasons

Submitted to the Faculty of the Michigan State
College of Agriculture and Applied Science
in partial fulfillment of the require-
ments for the degree of
Master of Science

By
Robert Earl Loree
June 1925

THESIS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction - - - - -	1
Review of Literature - - - - -	2
Statement of Problem - - - - -	10
Materials and Methods- - - - -	11
Presentation of Data - - - - -	17
Influence of Nutrients on Vegetative Growth	17
Nitrogen, Mineral and Carbohydrate Content of Plants - - - - -	28
Relation of Potash and Sugars - - - - -	35
Total Intake of Elements - - - - -	35
Flowering and Setting of Fruit - - - - -	37
Total Yield and Size of Fruit - - - - -	40
Relation of Nitrogen and Carbohydrates - -	45
Pistil Abortion - - - - -	52
Moisture Content of Berry - - - - -	53
Discussion - - - - -	56
Summary - - - - -	59
Acknowledgments- - - - -	64
Literature Cited - - - - -	65

INTRODUCTION

With the exception of moisture there is, perhaps, no factor which more often limits fruit production by the strawberry plant than the supply of nitrogen and mineral nutrients in the soil. A soil may possess all the physical qualities which make it suitable for the strawberry, but unless there is a proper proportion and amount of the essential elements readily available for the plants the results in growth and fruit production are likely to be unsatisfactory.

The nutrient requirements of the strawberry are not well understood. The limited data which are available on the subject are conflicting and the recommendations for the use of fertilizers equally contradictory. Commercial fertilizers are often used in strawberry growing, and they are sometimes applied with little definite knowledge of the results that may be expected from the use of different amounts, different combinations, or different times of application.

In the past the interpretation of the results of fertilizer experiments have been based almost entirely upon the yield and grade of fruit. Very little attention has been given to the nutritive conditions within the plant and their relationship to the various manifestations of growth such as runner production, crown development, number of flower clusters,

the flowering and the setting of the fruit. Few carefully conducted experiments have been recorded in which an attempt has been made to control experimental conditions so as to measure accurately the various responses of the strawberry plant to known variations in the supply of available nutrients in the soil. Consequently, though the yield of fruit is the ultimate test of the value of any fertilization program the results obtained in terms of yield only are empirical and of limited range of applicability.

Review of Literature

The data which are available on strawberry nutrition are largely the results of field experiments with fertilizers which have been conducted under variable soil and climatic conditions in different sections of the country, and a few analyses of the plant and of the fruit.

Analyses of the fruit indicate that the amount of nutrients removed from the soil by the strawberry when compared with some other crops is relatively small. Shaw (16) in Oregon found that the average amounts of the essential elements which would be removed in 1000 pounds of fruit was 1.9 pounds of nitrogen, .59 pounds of phosphoric acid and 1.67 pounds of potash. Van Slyke (18) in New York calculated that a crop of

5000 quarts per acre will remove from the soil approximately 7.5 pounds of nitrogen, 3 pounds of phosphoric acid and 12 pounds of potash. However, the total intake, or the amounts of these constituents which are actually removed from the soil by the plants in the production of a crop of fruit is much larger than these figures indicate. According to Willis (28) one acre of strawberry plants with a crop of 10,000 pounds of fruit will require more than 100 pounds of nitrogen and nearly 500 pounds of mineral elements to sustain them during growth. He points out that though the loss of nutrients from the soil through the harvesting of a crop of fruit is small, the soil must provide, in addition to the elements which are required by the fruit, a very liberal supply of the constituents which are necessary for the plants. Further, "That a soil to be well manured must contain a large excess of available plant food over and above the amount that can be utilized by the strawberry crop since it cannot by virtue of its root disposition in the soil absorb more than a small proportion of such fertilizing ingredients".

A study of the literature shows that the response of the strawberry to fertilizer treatments is extremely variable in different localities, particularly where there are differences in soil composition; and that there are many conflicting opinions regarding

in soil by the plants in the gro-
it is much larger than these
ding to Willis (23) one acre
in a crop of 10,000 pounds of
than 100 pounds of nitrogen
mineral elements to sustain
points out that though the
the soil through the harvesting
all, the soil must provide, in
which are required by the
apply of the constituents which
plants. Further, "That a soil to
tain a large excess of avail-
above the amount that can be
crop since it cannot by
ation in the soil absorb more
of such fertilizing ingredients".
of the literature shows that
nearly to fertilizer treatments
different localities, parti-
differences in soil composition;
conflicting opinions regarding

the kind and amounts of materials carrying the limiting elements which may be profitably employed. There is also a considerable diversity of opinion regarding the influence of nutritive conditions in the soil, as affected by fertilizer applications, at different seasons.

The use of fertilizers in the spring of the fruiting year is thought by many to be particularly beneficial. Fletcher (7) emphasizes the importance of a large amount of available plant nutrients in the "short time between the blossom and the ripe fruit". He states (8) that "gains of 500 to 1000 quarts an acre from a spring dressing of nitrate of soda are not infrequent". It is applied as the plants come into bloom. If used late in the spring there is danger that it will produce a rank growth and the berries will be soft and of poor quality and flavor". According to Darrow (6) "The use of nitrogen has been found profitable in the growing of certain varieties for they seem to need the stimulating effect of this element in the spring. Applications of nitrate of soda are made in the spring of each year following the one in which the plants are set". White (21) in New Jersey reported an increase of 31 per cent in yield from a spring dressing of 200 pounds of nitrate of soda. The soil was a sandy loam and had

not previously been fertilized with nitrogen though well supplied with potash and phosphoric acid. A similar application (22) of nitrate of soda to plants which were well fertilized with complete fertilizer a year before, when they were set, gave an increase of only 18 per cent. The gains in yield were due to an increase in the size of the fruit and apparently not to any increase in numbers. Brown (3) at the Hood River Station in Oregon found that heavy applications of nitrogen greatly increased the yield but that the results from the use of either potash or phosphoric acid alone were disappointing. The use of sodium nitrate combined with phosphoric acid resulted in yields smaller than those where no fertilizer was used, but when combined with sulphate of potash the yields were increased. Highest yields were secured when heavy applications of sodium nitrate were made, one-half in early spring and the other half at blossoming time. Quaintance (15) in Georgia found that an early spring application of complete fertilizer supplemented by 200 pounds of sodium nitrate at blooming time increased the yield sufficiently to warrant its use. He also tried the effects of doubling the essential elements in a normal fertilizer formula. In plots that received a double dose of potash the yield was lessened considerably below the normal. Doubling the amount of

sodium nitrate resulted in a slightly increased yield but not enough to make it financially profitable. At the Woburn Experimental Farms (2) in England, liquid dressings of manure and commercial fertilizers were applied to strawberry plants during the fruiting season. The dressings had a retarding influence on the ripening of the fruit, but otherwise had no appreciable effect on the crop. Von Brehmer (19) gives an account of forcing strawberries in pots filled with the same kind of soil but differently fertilized with chemical fertilizers applied once each week in water solutions. With a complete fertilizer and an additional application of calcium nitrate the yield was more than ten times greater than that with no fertilizer and the fruit ripened nearly two weeks earlier. Chandler (4) in Missouri found that nitrogen either in the form of sodium nitrate or dried blood applied in the spring before the crop was harvested gave injurious results in every case. They caused excessive weed growth and greatly reduced the crop. The berries were larger but fewer in number, and they were soft and of poor color and quality. The data presented by Gardner (10) in Missouri indicate that there is little, if any, effect upon yield from applications of fertilizer in the spring of the fruiting year. He states, "When

moisture and temperature are not limiting factors the number of flower clusters, number of flowers and size of berries are dependent on nutritive conditions within the plant the preceding fall and winter, and they are practically independent of soil fertility conditions during the spring and at the time of fruiting". Size of berry was found to be correlated with the number of pistils per flower and the percentage of these which developed akenes in the resultant fruit. These were influenced but little by fertilizer treatments shortly before fruiting. He further states that "the nutrition question as it relates to the strawberry is a late summer and fall question to a much greater extent than has been generally suspected".

Few experiments have been reported which furnish definite data on the effect of fertilizers when applied in the spring a year before the harvesting of the crop. Bailey (1) in summarizing the results of a series of cooperative experiments conducted on various types of soil in Oswego County, New York, reported that the use of both potash and phosphoric acid was beneficial, but with commercial nitrogen the increase in returns failed to repay the outlay. The fertilizers were applied to young plantations after the first tillage and after the plants bloomed, but a year before any records were taken on the crop. The use of potash and phosphoric acid increased productiveness, and the

berries were firmer and better colored. When nitrogenous fertilizers were used there was too much plant growth and an inferior quality of fruit. Chandler (4) gives the results of a number of experiments in Missouri which were conducted on both old and on new beds. "Acid phosphate used alone at the rate of 150 to 440 pounds to the acre gave a profitable increase in five trials out of six. In six trials out of seven when used in combination with either sodium nitrate or dried blood the yields were increased over the yields that were obtained when either of the latter were used alone. Nitrogen applied in the spring a year before the crop was harvested gave an increase in yield over the unfertilized plots in only one trial out of nine". Keffer (11) in Tennessee working with a clay loam soil found that with muriate of potash, acid phosphate, and cottonseed meal singly and in combination, some of the fertilized plots yielded less than the unfertilized, and that the results, as a whole, were negative.

Experimental data on the influence of summer and fall applications of fertilizers in the nutrition of the strawberry are also meager. Fletcher (9) states that "many growers in the northern and central states apply one-third of the fertilizer before the plants are set, one-third during the summer,

and one-third early the following spring", and that "throughout the South three or four applications of fertilizer commonly are made; the first when the plants are set and the last four or five weeks before the plants bloom" (8). However, no references to experimental data are given. Bailey (1) reports that in one of the tests in Oswego County, New York, the fertilizer was not applied until August. The fertilizers were sodium nitrate and acid phosphate, and the plot yielded almost 1000 quarts less per acre than the plots which were fertilized with potash in combination with nitrate of soda or potash alone. He attributes the small yield, in part, to the lateness of the fertilizer application. Close (5) in Maryland applied commercial fertilizers to strawberry beds in the fall at the time when they were ordinarily mulched. The yields from the fall fertilized plots were smaller than from the check plot which received no fertilizer or mulch. Chandler (4) found that when sodium nitrate or dried blood is applied in small quantities during the early summer one year before the crop is harvested they do not cause excessive plant or weed growth the following spring. However, when dried blood is applied in large quantities, even a year before the crop is harvested, it tends to cause excessive plant growth, to reduce the yield and to cause the berries to wilt worse during droughts at picking time. Brown (3) in

Oregon conducted some experiments on an old bed to determine the value of applications made after the harvesting season as compared with similar applications made at blossoming time. The yields though small consistently favored late summer applications. As a rule the plants receiving nitrogen alone produced larger berries than those receiving nitrogen in combination with potash or phosphoric acid. Where complete fertilizers were used, the plants which were fertilized in the fall produced somewhat larger berries than those which were similarly treated in the spring.

Statement of Problem.

Considerable information has been secured as a result of the investigations which have been cited, but as yet some of the more fundamental problems relating to the nutrition of the strawberry are not well understood. Gardner (10) has shown that where moisture and temperature are not limiting factors the crop of any season is determined largely by the nutritive conditions existing within the plants during the preceding fall, particularly at the time of fruit bud formation. A study of the nutrition problem brings to attention two questions. First, what are the conditions which are essential for the growth and fruit-

ing of the strawberry; is a certain nutritive condition or balance of the elements existing within the plant necessary or best at the time of fruit bud formation, or is it more important to obtain certain growth conditions or a certain size of plant or crown? Second, what are the best methods of bringing about the desirable conditions? The first can be answered only by a chemical examination of the plants grown under various nutritive conditions, and careful observations on the behavior of the plants during the blossoming and fruiting period. The second, obviously is a question of soil management and the proper selection and use of nutrient materials. The objects of this investigation have been to determine (1) the particular conditions which are most favorable for fruit bud formation and the development of the fruit in the strawberry; and (2) the influence of certain nutrient materials, particularly those containing nitrogen, phosphoric acid and potash on the growth and the nutritive conditions in the plant when applied at different times of the year.

Materials and Methods.

Young runner plants were dug from a bed of Senator Dunlap strawberries early in the spring of 1923 and planted in six inch pots. These were

first set on boards which were laid on the bottom of a shallow trench, and then surrounded with ordinary garden soil. Later this soil was covered with sand to prevent it from splashing onto the soil in the pots. The soil used for growing the plants during that experiment was a very light sand. The use of a soil of this type was intended to provide a medium which would offer favorable physical conditions for growth, and at the same time one very low in plant nutrients. The composition of the soil is shown by the following analysis:

Moisture	0.16%
Loss on ignition	.36
Phosphorous pentoxide (P_2O_5)	0.04
Sodium oxide (Na_2O)	1.07
Potassium Oxide (K_2O)	1.29
Silicon dioxide (SiO_2)	86.75
Calcium Oxide (CaO)	.98
Magnesium Oxide (MgO)	.90
Iron and aluminum oxides (Fe_2O_3 and Al_2O_3)	9.29
Titanium dioxide (TiO_2)	trace
Manganous oxide (MnO)	trace
Sulphate (SO_3)	bare trace
Nitrogen (N)	0.02

The amounts of nitrogen, phosphoric acid, sulphur and some other elements seem small when compared with the amounts present in a common productive soil, and there was very little organic matter present. The supply of potash was moderate but inasmuch as an abundance of organic matter

is thought to be essential for the liberation of potash (13) it is possible that only a small percentage of it was available.

When the plants had become established in the pots they were divided into lots of thirty-five each and treated with fertilizers according to the outline in Table 1. With the exception of Lot 18 the nutrient elements were applied in the form of commercial ammonium sulphate containing 20 per cent. nitrogen, acid phosphate containing 16 per cent. P_2O_5 and potassium chloride containing 50 per cent. K_2O . In Lot 18 chemically pure monocalcium phosphate and commercial sodium nitrate were used. The fertilizer applications were calculated on the basis of an application of 200 pounds of ammonium sulphate, 400 pounds of acid phosphate and 150 pounds of potassium chloride per acre, assuming the plants to be grown under field conditions in hills 15 x 30 inches apart. During the first season the spring applications of acid phosphate and potassium chloride were made on May 10 and the summer applications on August 1. In the case of the nitrogen-carrying fertilizers applications of one gram per plant were made once each month to avoid excessive concentration in the soil and consequent injury to the plants. Those for the spring period were made on May 10, June 1,

and July 1 and those for the summer period on August 1, September 1, and October 1. When fertilizers were applied in the spring of the second year a single application was made soon after the plants started to grow, (about May 1).

So far as possible, uniform conditions favorable for the growth of the plants were maintained during the course of the experiment. Water was supplied whenever necessary by means of an overhead system of irrigation, and rarely did any of the plants show symptoms which indicated that they were suffering from the lack of moisture in the soil. Some trouble was experienced with strawberry leaf spot but the foliage was kept in a healthy condition by spraying with Bordeaux Mixture. There was some root growth outside of the pots but usually the boards underneath prevented any penetration into the soil in which the pots were plunged. The pots were lifted occasionally and any roots found protruding from them were cut.

All runners were removed as they appeared throughout the season and the number and total length of those from each lot of plants recorded. On August 1 and on October 26 three representative plants were selected from each of the lots which had previously received different treatments. These were carefully washed, the fresh weights of the tops and roots determined, and afterward dried and saved for the purpose

Table 1. -- Outline of Treatments used in Strawberry
Nutrition Experiment.

Lot No.	Nutrients Used	Total amount applied per plant	Time of application.
1	No fertilizer		
2	Ammonium Sulphate	3 grams	Spring
3	" "	3 "	Summer
4	" "	6 "	Spring and summer
5	(Ammonium Sulphate	3 "	Spring
	(Acid Phosphate	15 "	Summer
6	Acid Phosphate	15 "	Spring
7	" "	15 "	Summer
8	(Acid Phosphate	15 "	Spring
	(Ammonium Sulphate	3 "	Summer
9	(Acid Phosphate	15 "	Summer
	(Ammonium Sulphate	2 "	Spring (2nd yr.)
10	(Ammonium Sulphate	3 "	Spring
	(Acid Phosphate	15 "	Spring
11	(Ammonium Sulphate	3 "	Summer
	(Acid Phosphate	15 "	Summer
12	(Ammonium Sulphate	6 "	Summer
	(Acid Phosphate	15 "	1/2 spring, 1/2 summer
13	(Ammonium Sulphate	8 "	1/3 spring, 1/3
	(Acid Phosphate	15 "	summer, 1/3 foll- owing spring.
14	(Ammonium Sulphate	3 "	Spring
	(Acid Phosphate	15 "	Spring
	(Potassium Muriate	5 "	Spring
15	(Ammonium Sulphate	3 "	Summer
	(Acid Phosphate	15 "	Summer
	(Potassium Muriate	5 "	Summer
16	(Ammonium Sulphate	6 "	1/2 spring, 1/2
	(Acid Phosphate	15 "	summer
	(Potassium Muriate	5 "	
17	(Ammonium Sulphate	8 "	1/3 spring,
	(Acid Phosphate	15 "	1/3 summer, 1/3
	(Potassium Muriate	5 "	following spring
18	(Sodium Nitrate	8 "	1/3 spring, 1/3
	(Monocalcium Phospha-	4 "	summer, 1/3 foll-
	(Potassium Muriate)te	5 "	owing spring.

of determining the dry weight, free reducing sugars, sucrose, starch, total polysaccharides, nitrogen and mineral content.

When the plant samples were collected samples of the soil in which they were grown were taken and submitted for hydrogen-ion determinations. These were made colorometrically against standard buffer solutions. The untreated soil showed a pH of 7.5, indicating a slight degree of alkalinity and apparently it remained unchanged throughout the season. The use of fertilizers resulted in some modification of the pH, but the differences were small and there was no indication that the hydrogen-ion concentration in the soil had any definite influence on the behavior of the plants in this experiment.

During the winter the plants were protected with several inches of straw and with the exception of a few from each lot which were taken into the greenhouse for observation during the winter months, all were left under natural out-door conditions until spring. During the spring and summer of the fruiting year records were taken of the number of flower clusters, number of flowers per cluster, and the number and weight of the berries from each individual plant. Moisture determinations of the berries were made and the fruit dried and saved for analysis. Records were made also of the number of abortive pistils and fully developed akenes per berry for each of the different lots. After all the fruit was

harvested three representative plants were collected from each lot and the fresh and the dry weights determined and recorded.

The determinations of nitrogen and mineral content of the plants, as well as the hydrogen-ion determinations of the soil, were made by the experiment station chemists. All carbohydrate determinations were made in the research laboratory of the horticultural department. The Allihn method, (14) with slight modification, was employed in making all the carbohydrate determinations. Digestion with diastase (20) preceded the hydrolysis of the starch. The results of all chemical analyses were calculated on the basis of oven dry material.

PRESENTATION OF DATA

The Influence of Nutrients Applied During the Spring and Summer on Vegetative Growth. -- When the plants were dug from the field in the spring considerable care was taken to select those which were nearly the same in size and vigor, and after they were planted in the pots they appeared to be very uniform in these respects. At the end of the third week differences in the character of the plants were noticeable, particularly in the lots to which nitrogen had been applied. At the end of the spring period (August 1) these differences, especially in the size and vigor of the plants, were very pronounced.

Table 2. -- Effect of Spring and Summer Applications of Fertilizer on the Size
of the Strawberry Plant.

Lot No.	Treatment	Total average weight per plant, Aug. 1. (gms.)		Total average weight per plant, Oct. 26. (gms.)		Increase Aug. 1 to Oct. 26. (gms.)	
		Fresh	Dry	Fresh	Dry	Fresh	Dry
1	Unfertilized	21.0	6.32	20.14	6.01	-.86	-.31
2	N spring	36.0	10.4	38.0	11.4	2.0	1.0
10	NP spring	45.73	14.5	49.1	15.29	3.36	.8
14	NPK spring	42.43	13.37	54.38	15.78	11.94	2.4
6	P spring	21.4	6.48	18.82	5.8	-2.58	-.68
3	N summer	21.0	6.32	44 .07	12.65	23.06	2.25
11	NP summer	21.0	6.32	49.92	13.9	28.92	7.57
15	NPK summer	21.0	6.32	56.5	15.87	35.5	9.54
7	P summer	21.0	6.32	20.23	5.65	-.77	-.67
4	N spring-summer	36.0	10.4	58.7	18.79	22.7	8.39
12	NP spring-summer	45.73	14.5	76.3	22.86	31.56	8.36
16	NPK spring-summer	42.43	13.37	60.23	18.69	17.79	5.32
18	NPK-S spring-summer	34.23	9.71	51.0	15.45	16.76	5.74
5	N spring, P summer	36.	10.4	51.8	16.03	15.8	5.63
8	P spring, N summer	21.4	6.48	42.7	12.3	21.3	5.8

Spring Applications. -- The data in Table 2 show the average total fresh and dry weights of the plants which were collected from the various lots on August 1 and on October 26. The average fresh weight of the unfertilized plants on August 1 was 21 gms. and of those which were fertilized with nitrogen alone 36 gms., a gain of 70 per cent. over the unfertilized plants during this period. In the lots which were fertilized with nitrogen and phosphoric acid the average weight was 45.7 grams or a gain of nearly 118 per cent. The gain in weight from the use of nitrogen and phosphoric acid with potash in combination was slightly less. Phosphoric acid alone did not increase the growth appreciably over that of the unfertilized plants.

The gains of the same plants during the summer period are significant. There was a slight decrease in the fresh weight and the dry weight of the plants in the unfertilized and in the acid phosphate fertilized lots. This was due to a failure of the plants to produce new growth and the loss of some of the older leaves in the fall. The effect of withholding nitrogen during the summer period was an almost immediate check in growth of the crowns. In Lots 2 and 10 the increases in fresh weight per plant after August 1 were only 2 gms. and 3.36 gms. respectively. In Lot 14 which was fertilized with nitrogen, phosphoric acid and potash there was a larger increase of 11.94 grams.

Summer Applications. -- The results with the plants which were fertilized during the summer period only are also significant. Here again phosphoric acid alone failed to produce any effect on vegetative growth, but when used in combination with nitrogen or with nitrogen and potash the growth was greater than with the use of nitrogen alone. The effect of fertilizers on the growth of the plants in Lots 3, 11, and 15 which had received no previous fertilizer treatment during the spring period was almost immediate. The leaves changed from a light green to a dark green color and the plants grew vigorously until the close of the season. The data show that the plants were slightly larger at the close of the season than those which received similar treatments during the spring period only.

Spring and Summer Application. -- Plants which were treated with fertilizers containing nitrogen during both the spring and summer periods were all larger at the close of the season than the plants which had been treated during the spring period or the summer period only. The plants in Lot 12 which were treated with nitrogen and phosphoric acid were larger and more vigorous than those of any other lot. The average green weight of these plants on October 26 was 76.3 grams. Those fertilized with nitrogen weighed 58.7 grams and those fertilized with nitrogen, phosphoric acid and potash 60.23 grams. In Lot 18 the same elements were applied and in

the same amount as in Lot 16, but as indicated in the outline in Table 1 the phosphorous was applied as chemically pure monocalcium phosphate and the nitrogen as commercial sodium nitrate. The treatment was designed to determine the importance of sulphur in strawberry nutrition. Since no sulphur was added to the soil in the form of fertilizers and there was only a bare trace of sulphates present in the soil, the amount of sulphur available for the plants was extremely small. The plants grown under this treatment were not as large and vigorous as those of the other lots which received a complete fertilizer treatment. The leaf petioles were shorter and the plants lower and more spreading in habit. During a portion of the season there was a characteristic crinkling of the leaves somewhat resembling the disease known in some of the southern states as "strawberry crimp". However, it is not certain whether the differences noted were entirely due to the fertilizer treatment given or to some other factor for an analysis of the plants given later shows that the tissues contained nearly as much sulphur as those grown under any other treatment.

The Effect of Fertilizer Treatments on Runner Production. -- Records of the number and length of runners produced by each lot of plants with the date of their removal are shown in Table 3. This table gives some idea of the distribution of runner production throughout the season. A summary of the total number, length,

Table 3. Record of Number and Total Length of Runners Removed.

(Length recorded in inches).

DATE	6/8	6/15	6/25	7/5	7/18	7/24	8/5	8/13	8/20	8/29	9/5	Total												
Lot	No.	No.	Length	No.	No.	Length	No.	No.	Length	No.	No.	Length	No.	No.	Length	No.	No.	Length	No.	No.	Length	No.	No.	Length
1	1	3	4	28	12	83	3	14	1	6	11	6	13	14	1	10	0		1	10	0		27	176
2			6	26	10	53	16	76	42	268	22	120	50	242	48	270	25	101	30	135	2	13	251	1304
3			3	12	8	51	3	18	4	26	3	17	4	18	1	8	3	12	10	31	6	41	45	234
4					19	98	17	44	45	273	30	174	42	140	35	178	27	82	35	133	5	24	255	1148
5			7	37	9	60	17	56	31	214	23	130	56	253	31	179	24	80	23	77	3	17	224	1103
6	3	18	1	4	7	49	2	8	6	16			3	11	3	24			1	6			26	136
7			7	38	10	67	3	13	5	29	3	18	3	12			3	14	1	3			35	194
8	1	3	1	3	5	29	1	4	3	26	1	5	5	22			9	33	28	98	7	48	61	270
9			2	65	3	10			2	8			2	7					1	6.5	1	4	11	42
10	4	36	10	40	42	260	22	148	36	305	28	160	66	321	40	226	12	42	20	77	3	18	283	1633
11	3	6	3	15	6	49	1	3	1	4			2	4.5	3	20	2	12	11	29	6	45.5	38	1825
12			7	37	48	272	58	350	62	444	35	128	77	331	58	273	34	113	66	263	10	57	455	2288
13	5	27	11	50	39	227	59	302	58	388	45	178	74	368	66	360	34	108	59	239	6	35	456	2282
14	3	12	8	44	30	196	34	238	27	158	28	122	62	273	48	363	23	93	28	136	2	14	293	1649
15			5	43	6	51	1	16	1	9							1	3			2	19	16	140
16	6	42	16	80	40	248	40	227	36	256	36	181	70	386	43	279	23	80	28	111	6	45	344	1935
17	3	14	21	88	42	259	39	228	52	354	25	106	65	325	43	271	39	164	31	125	15	108	375	2042
18			8	42	25	122	28	124	58	370	19	91	57	276	48	284	20	68	13	65	1	10	277	1453

and fresh weight of the runners produced, together with the average production per plant is given in Table 4. The data show some interesting facts regarding this feature of vegetative growth. The unfertilized plants produced only 27 runners with a total length of 176 inches and a total weight of 10.2 grams. About 75 per cent. of these were produced during June. Spring applications of nitrogen either alone or in combination with phosphoric acid or potash greatly stimulated runner production and apparently at the expense of other parts of the plant for runners were produced freely throughout the season even though no nitrogen was applied after July 1, and the growth of the tops was checked. Continued applications of nitrogen during the summer period particularly when in combination with phosphoric acid and potash stimulated still greater runner production. In Lot 13 the total number of runners produced was 456 with a total length of 2282 inches and a total weight of 215.4 grams. When nitrogen was applied during the summer period only, fewer runners were produced as shown in Lots 3, 11 and 15 while the total weight of the plants slightly exceeded that of the plants in the spring-treated lots. However, when the weight of runners per plant is taken into account the total amount of vegetative growth produced per plant was nearly the same in the spring and the summer-treated lots. The average total fresh weight of the plants with runners in the spring-treated lots (2, 10 and 14) was 41 gms., 52.9

gms. and 58.58 grams respectively and in Lots 3, 11 and 15 which were similarly treated during the summer period 44.71 gms., 50.42 gms. and 56.8 grams respectively.

The data show that the nutrients which were applied in the spring induced nearly the same total amount of new tissue formation as equal amounts of the same nutrients applied in the summer, but in one case, it was utilized to a considerable extent in runner production, and in the other for the development of the crowns.

Relation of Top and Root Development. --

The average dry weights of the tops and roots of the plants in the various lots on August 1 and on October 26 are tabulated in Table 5. The growth of the tops and roots previous to August 1 in the plants of the unfertilized lot and of those which were treated with acid phosphate were nearly equal, but when the plants were treated with nitrogen the tops were much larger than the roots. When nitrogen was used alone the ratio of tops to roots was 3 to 2 and when it was used in combination with phosphoric acid and potash the ratio was 2 to 1. The weights of the plants which were collected in October show that there were increases in the root growth in all the spring-fertilized lots and decreases in the weight of the tops. Very little top growth, except the production of runners, was made by the plants in these lots after August 1. Some of the outer leaves dried up and were lost from the

Table 4. Summary of Runner Production.

Lot. No.	Treatment	Total no. runners removed	Total length runners re- moved (inches)	Total fresh weight of runners (gms.)	Av. fresh wt. of plant Oct. 26. (gms.)	Av. fresh wt. of runners per plant (gms.)
1	No fertilizer	27	176	10.2	20.14	.3
2	N spring	251	1304	106.9	38.0	3.0
10	NP spring	283	1633	132.2	49.1	3.8
14	NPK spring	293	1649	140.0	54.38	4.0
6	P spring	26	136	9.5	18.82	2.8
3	N summer	45	234	22.4	44.07	.64
11	NP summer	38	188	17.4	49.92	.5
15	NPK summer	16	140	10.0	56.5	.3
7	P summer	35	194	13.3	20.23	.4
8	P spring, N summer	61	270	27.5	42.7	.8
5	N spring, P summer	224	1103	90.4	51.8	2.6
4	N spring & summer	224	1103	101.4	58.7	2.9
12	NP spring & summer	455	2288	208.	76.3	6.
13	NP spring & summer	456	2282	215.4	61	6.2
16	NPK spring & summer	344	1935	191.5	60.23	5.5
17	NPK spring & summer	375	2042	200.	60.23	6.
18	NPK-S spring & summer	277	1453	138.	51.	4.

plants and this, with the larger number of runners produced, accounts for the loss in weight between August 1 and October 26. The data show, however, that there was a considerable increase in the growth of the roots after August 1 and that the amount of living tissue in the tops at the close of the growing season was less than in the roots. In the summer treated lots which had not been treated with nutrients previous to August 1 the development of the roots was less in proportion to the amount of tops produced than in the spring fertilized lots. In the lots which had been treated with nutrients during both the spring and the summer periods, the tops were all larger than the roots. It will be noticed, however, that with nitrogen alone the weight of the tops did not greatly exceed that of the roots, while with the addition of phosphoric acid and potash the proportion of tops was much larger. Apparently, the phosphoric acid or the potash, or perhaps both, have had some influence in the development of larger crowns with relatively small root systems. The most important point to be observed, however, is that when the supply of nutrients in the soil is small the plants develop an extensive root system, and with a very limited amount of nutrients a correspondingly small crown. On the other hand, with a moderate supply of readily available nutrients in the soil the root system

Table 5. The Effect of Nutrients on Top and Root Development in the Strawberry Plant.

Nutrients Applied	Av. dry wt. of tops and roots Aug. 1 (gms.)			Av. dry wt. of tops and roots, October 26. (gms.)								
				Spring treatment			Summer Treatment			Spring & summer treatment		
	Tops	Roots	Ratio of tops to roots T/R	Tops	Roots	T/R	Tops	Roots	T/R	Tops	Roots	T/R
No fertilizer	3.27	2.95	1.11	1.82	4.19	.43						
P	3.5	2.98	1.17	1.96	3.85	.5	2.17	3.48	.6			
N	6.24	4.16	1.47	5.56	5.84	.93	6.94	5.71	1.2	9.72	9.07	1.07
NP	9.77	4.73	2.06	6.95	8.34	.83	7.02	6.88	1.02	12.3	10.56	1.16
NPK	9.08	4.29	2.11	7.66	8.12	.94	8.14	7.7	1.06	11.25	7.21	1.55
NPK(-S)	6.34	3.37	1.88							8.76	6.68	1.3

is less extensive and the crowns proportionally larger.

The Influence of Fertilizer Treatments on Vegetative Growth During the Spring and Summer of the Fruiting Year. -- Considerable differences were observed in the growth of the plants during the spring and summer of the second (fruiting) year. The unfertilized plants developed very little new foliage, some of them producing only one or two very small flower clusters. The growth of the plants of the various lots which were fertilized during the preceding season was variable, but in general, the plants which were treated with fertilizers containing nitrogen during the summer period grew more vigorously than those which were similarly treated during the spring period only. Whenever nitrogen was applied in the spring of the fruiting year there was a quick response in the vegetative growth, not only in the development of new foliage but in runner production. The response in new growth was much greater in the plants of Lot 9 which were grown under rather low nutritive conditions than in those of Lots 13 and 17 which were well supplied with nitrogen and the mineral nutrients during the preceding season.

The Influence of Fertilizer Treatments on the Nitrogen, Mineral, and Carbohydrate Content of the Plants. -- Still more significant perhaps than the morphological characters which have been discussed were the differences in the nitrogen, mineral and carbohy-

Table. 6. Percentage Composition of Strawberry Plants Collected October 26.

(Oven Dry Material)

Lot No.	Treatment	Ash %	S %	N %	P ₂ O ₅ %	K ₂ O %	Reducing sugars %	Sucrose %	Total sugars %	Starch %	Total poly-saccharides %	Total carbohydrates %
<u>TOPS</u>												
1	Unfertilized		.23	.82	.51	.87	5.44	1.24	6.68		22.26	28.94
2	N spring	11.64		.97	.45	.87	7.6	3.92	11.52	1.32	10.98	22.5
10	NP spring	11.48		.71	.42	.99	9.76	1.4	11.16		16.04	27.2
14	NPK spring	11.88		.84	.48	.99	9.95	.7	10.65		12.70	23.35
6	P spring	16.16	.20	.86	.73	1.10		7.8	7.8		8.24	16.04
5	N spring, P summer	14.24		.66	.52	.98	10.13	1.2	11.33	.90	13.74	25.07
3	N summer	11.89		1.59	.49	1.10	9.1	.8	9.9		7.5	17.4
11	NP summer	9.40		2.02	.76	1.33	2.9	4.63	7.53		9.87	17.4
15	NPK summer	11.05		2.09	.84	1.50	7.49	4.68	12.17	.80	11.18	23.35
8	P spg., N summer	15.15		1.79	.67	1.11	2.61	8.11	10.72		11.26	21.98
4	N spg., summer	13.34	.26	1.55	.24	.98	6.66	3.09	9.75	1.03	11.64	21.39
12	NP spg., summer	10.88	.26	1.79	.66	1.00	5.4	4.9	10.3	1.0	10.29	20.59
16	NPK spg., summer	11.89	.22	1.63	.62	1.01	3.91	5.95	9.86	1.03	12.00	21.86
18	NPK-S spg., summer	12.86	.19	1.55	.65	1.25	4.33	7.72	12.05	.72	12.43	24.48
<u>ROOTS</u>												
1	Unfertilized	27.21	.19	.69	.50	.87	5.17	1.97	7.14		15.34	22.48
4	N spg., summer	18.72	.21	1.64	.39	.86	5.72	.50	6.22	4.14	18.81	25.03
6	P spring	30.85	.24	.84	.87	1.06	5.27	1.06	6.33		14.67	21.0
12	NP spg., summer	19.18	.41	2.22	.69	1.03	8.79	.75	9.54	3.0	11.87	21.41
16	NPK spg., summer	13.89	.27	2.02	.67	1.01						
18	NPK(-S) spg., summer	12.27	.19	1.72	.68	1.13						

Table 7. Absolute Amounts of Nitrogen, Minerals and Carbohydrates Per Plant in Strawberry Plants
Collected October 26.

Lot No.	Av. dry wt. of tops per plant (gms.)	S gms.	N gms.	P ₂ O ₅ gms.	K ₂ O gms.	Free re- ducing sugars gms.	sucrose gms.	total sugars gms.	starch gms.	Polysacchar- ides gms.	total carbohydrates gms.
1	1.67	.004	.014	.009		.091	.021	.112		.372	.483
2	5.03		.049	.023	.044	.382	.197	.579	.066	.552	1.132
10	6.39		.045	.027	.063	.623	.089	.712		1.030	1.738
14	7.09		.060	.034	.070	.705	.050	.755		.900	1.655
6	1.82	.004	.016	.013	.020		.142	.142		.150	.292
5	7.26		.052	.038	.071	.735	.088	.823	.065	.998	1.820
3	6.43		.102	.032	.071	.585	.052	.637		.482	1.119
11	6.53		.132	.049	.087	.189	.303	.492		.644	1.136
15	7.47		.156	.063	.112	.559	.350	.909	.059	.835	1.744
8	5.83		.046	.039	.065	.152	.473	.625		.660	1.281
4	8.97	.023	.139	.031	.087	.597	.278	.875	.092	1.044	1.918
12	11.4	.030	.204	.075	.114	.615	.559	1.174	.114	1.170	2.347
16	10.36	.030	.169	.064	.105	.405	.616	1.021	.106	1.243	2.264
18	8.04	.015	.125	.053	.100	.348	.621	.969	.058	1.000	1.968
<u>ROOTS</u>											
1	3.89	.0073	.0268	.0194	.0338	.201	.0766	.277		.596	.873
4	8.42	.0176	.138	.0328	.0656	.481	.043	.524	.348	1.583	2.107
6	3.61	.0086	.0303	.0314	.0382	.190	.038	.228		.529	.757
12	9.79	.03	.217	.0675	.1007	.860	.074	.934	.293	1.162	2.096

drate content of the plants in the different lots. The analyses of the plants taken October 26 are shown in Tables 6 and 7. The amounts of the various substances in percentage of dry matter are tabulated in Table 6 and the absolute amounts in grams per plant in Table 7. Some of the samples were too small to make all determinations, and for this reason the analyses in several cases, particularly for sulphur and starch are incomplete. Only a few analyses of the roots are given. These show that the ash and starch content was larger in the roots than in the tops. There were some differences in the percentages of nitrogen, and of carbohydrates other than starch, but the data in Table 7 show that, in general, the absolute amounts in proportion to the amount of dry matter were nearly the same. The percentage of ash in the tops varied from 9.4 per cent. in Lot 11 to 16.16 per cent. in Lot 6. It will be noticed, however, that the plants with the lowest ash content contained larger amounts of nitrogen, phosphoric acid, and potash than those with the highest ash content. The unfertilized plants and those which had been treated with fertilizers containing nitrogen in the spring period only, contained lower percentages of nitrogen, phosphoric acid, and potash, and a higher percentage of carbohydrates than those which had been similarly treated during the summer period. The greatest differences were found in the nitrogen content. When the nutrients were applied in the summer period only, the percentage of

nitrogen was larger than when corresponding treatments were given during both the spring and summer periods. In the spring-treated lots the amount of nitrogen ranged from 0.66 to 0.97 per cent; in the summer-treated lots 1.59 to 2.02 per cent. and in the spring- and summer-treated lots 1.55 to 1.79 per cent. Reference to Table 7 shows, however, that the absolute amounts of nitrogen and carbohydrates per plant were the largest in Lots 4, 12, 16 which were well supplied with nutrients during the entire season.

The analyses of the plants at the close of the spring period (August 1) are given in Table 8. A comparison of these analyses with those of the plants which were taken October 26 shows that considerable amounts of nitrogen and potash which were absorbed by the plants during the early stages of growth were lost from the plants later in the season. The data show that in Lots 1 and 6 there was an increase in the percentage of nitrogen and phosphoric acid but a decrease in potash during the summer period. In Lots 2, 10 and 14 the phosphoric acid remained nearly constant but there were large decreases in nitrogen and potash. Reference to Table 2 shows that the total dry weights of the plants on August 1 and on October 26 were nearly the same, therefore the loss in percentage cannot be accounted for by an increase in bulk or growth of plant. There were probably some losses of these elements from the crowns through runner production and the death

Table 8. Nitrogen, Mineral and Carbohydrate Content of Strawberry Plants

Collected August 1.

Lot No.	Treatment	S %	N %	P ₂ O ₅ %	K ₂ O %	Free reducing sugars %	Sucrose %	Total sugar %	Poly-saccharides %	Total carbohydrates %
1	Unfertilized	.14	.69	.33	1.68					
6	P spring	.17	.65	.46	1.60					
2	N spring	.15	1.22	.46	1.66	5.57	2.59	8.16	12.19	20.35
10	NP spring	.25	1.38	.49	1.64	11.97	1.26	13.23	12.39	25.62
14	NPK spring	.16	1.35	.49	1.60	11.84	1.75	13.59	12.51	26.1
18	NPK(-S)	.19	1.52	.52	2.06	6.06	2.07	8.13	12.37	20.5

• • • •

• • • •

• • • •

• • • •

• • • •

• • • • • •

• • • • • •

• • • • • •

• • • • • •

of some of the outer leaves during the summer period. It is also conceivable that certain amounts may have been returned to the soil and that there were losses from the plants by leaching. A further comparison of the analyses in Tables 6 and 8 brings out still another interesting fact. The nitrogen-carbohydrate content of the plants on August 1 was nearly identical with that of the summer treated plants on October 26. The response to this condition in the spring-treated plants, however, was manifested chiefly by a vigorous runner production and as will be shown later, no fruit bud differentiation at the time, and very little fruit bud differentiation in late fall, while under similar conditions in the summer treated plants there was less tendency for runner production and a greater response in fruit bud formation. The analyses of the spring treated plants taken on October 26 show that there was a decrease in the percentage of nitrogen after August 1 and a slight increase in carbohydrate content. In Lot 18 which received nitrogen during both the spring and summer period the percentage of nitrogen on August 1 and October 26 was nearly the same and there was a slight increase in the percentage of carbohydrates.

The Relation of Potash and Sugars --

One of the general effects of the fertilizer treatments in this experiment was an increase in the amount of sugars and a decrease in polysaccharides. The unfertilized plants contained 6.68 per cent. sugar and 22.26 per cent. polysaccharides. With the exception of Lots 6 and 11 the amounts of sugar in the fertilized lots ranged from 9.75 to 12.17 per cent. Lot 3 was lowest in polysaccharides with 7.5 per cent. and Lot 10 highest with 16.04 per cent. Spring-treated plants contained more free reducing sugars and less sucrose than the summer-treated plants. The data presented in Table 9 show that there is, apparently, some relationship between the intake of potash and the amount of sugars found in the plant. The potash content varies from 20 to 114 mgms. and the sugar content from 142 to 1174 mgms. per plant. The ratio of potash to sugars (S/K_2O) in the tops varies from 1.0 : 5.6 to 1.0 : 13.5. The average ratio is 1:8.7 and the most common ratio about 1:10. In the roots the ratio is approximately 1:8. The data indicate that even if no definite ratio of potash to sugars exists in the strawberry the amount of sugars produced is closely associated with the intake of potash by the plant.

The Total Intake of Nitrogen and Mineral Elements by the Strawberry Plant. Calculations from the data in Table 6 show that the typical ash content of the

Table 9. The Ratio of Potash and Sugar in the Strawberry Plant.

Lot No.	Dry wt. per plant (gms.)	Potash(K ₂ O)		Sugar		Ration of potash to sugars S/K ₂ O
		%	Mgms. per plant	%	Mgms. per plant	
TOPS						
2	5.03	.87	43	11.52	579	13.5
3	6.43	1.10	70	9.9	637	9.9
4	8.97	.98	87	9.75	875	10.5
5	7.26	.98	71	11.33	823	11.7
6	1.82	1.10	20	7.8	142	7.1
8	5.83	1.11	65	10.72	625	9.6
10	6.39	.99	63	11.16	713	11.3
11	6.53	1.33	87	7.53	492	5.6
12	11.4	1.00	114	10.3	1174	10.3
14	7.09	.99	70	10.65	755	7.08
15	7.47	1.50	112	12.17	909	8.1
16	10.36	1.01	105	9.86	1021	9.7
18	8.04	1.25	100	12.05	968	9.7
ROOTS						
1	3.89	.87	34	7.14	277	8.
4	8.42	.86	65	6.22	524	8.
6	3.65	1.06	38	6.33	228	6.
12	9.79	1.03	100	9.54	934	9.3

plants at the termination of growth in the fall was approximately 12.4 per cent., and that the plants contained an average of 1.75 per cent. nitrogen, .64 per cent. phosphoric acid, and 1.16 per cent potash. Though these figures are not necessarily indicative of the requirements of the strawberry, they furnish a measurement of the amounts of the various nutrients absorbed from the soil by the plants. Analyses of the berries indicate that the amounts of nitrogen, phosphoric acid, and potash present in the fruit is small and that the strawberry is not a soil depleting crop. However, the data show that the actual intake of nutrients by the plant, though only temporarily removed from the soil, is considerable; and while the strawberry is not an exhausting crop its requirements particularly during the period of vegetative growth are relatively high.

The Influence of Fertilizer Treatments on the Flowering and Setting of Fruit. -- Table 10 summarizes the data on flower production and the set of fruit in the different lots. The records show that the total number of flowers is determined chiefly by the number of flower clusters and only to a small extent by the number of flowers per cluster. The general effect of the fertilizers containing nitrogen has been an increase in the number of clusters per plant and in some cases a slight increase in the number of flowers per cluster. The plants which were treated during the summer

Table 10. The Influence of Fertilizer Treatments on Flowering and the Setting of Fruit
in the Strawberry.

Lot No.	Treatment	Av. no. flower clusters per plant	Av. no. flowers per cluster	Av. no. flowers setting fruit.	Per cent. of flowers setting fruit.	Per cent. of "set" matured.
1	Unfertilized	3.3	6.	76	22.7	86.8
1A	Unfertilized 1st. yr.	4.0	7.2	57	40	84.2
2	N spring 2nd yr.		6.			
2	N spring 1st yr.	6.4	6.5	208	30.8	83.1
10	NP spring	5.0	7.4	225	33.3	84.4
14	NPK spring	4.7	7.8	198	28.4	84.8
6	P spring	4.4	5.7	113	27.9	92.9
5	N spring, P summer	4.9	7.3	201	27.8	88.5
3	N summer	11.7	6.8	596	37.1	83.3
11	NP summer	9.7	9.1	648	38.4	76.3
15	NPK summer	10.3	7.4	740	46.0	83.5
8	P spring, N summer	11.3	7.5	626	36.8	83.5
7	P summer	3.8	6.6	141	27.0	89.3
9	P summer, N second spring,	3.2	7.0	344	61.3	88.1
4	N spring & summer	12.2	7.1	717	39	76.5
12	NP spring & summer	14.4	8.8	910	40	75.1
13	NP spring, summer, & (spring 2nd yr.)	13.3	8.8	1228	52	74.6
16	NPK spring, & summer	11.7	8.2	685	37.6	81.9
17	NPK-S spring, summer- spring, 2nd yr.	10.5	9.1	1091	47.3	81.4
18	NPK-S spring, summer, spring, (2nd yr.)	7.6	8.9	563	43.3	88.4

period only, produced nearly twice as many flower clusters as those which received corresponding treatments during the spring period though they were but little, if any, larger. The average number of flower clusters per plant in the spring fertilized lots ranged from 4.7 to 6.4, and in the summer fertilized lots from 9.7 to 11.7. The average number of clusters per plant in the lots which received both spring and summer treatments was somewhat larger, ranging from 10.5 to 14.4 and there was a slightly larger number of flowers per cluster. The applications of fertilizers in the spring of the fruiting year had no effect on the number of clusters per plant or the number of flowers per cluster.

The percentage of flowers which set fruit is also shown in Table 10. From these data it appears that the percentage of set may be influenced by nutritive conditions which exist in the plant, and to a considerable extent by nutritive conditions in the soil during the blooming season. Plants which had been fertilized during the spring period only, did not set as many flowers as those which had been fertilized during the summer period. The average set of all spring (first year) -fertilized lots was 29.6 per cent., of all summer-fertilized lots 37 per cent., and of those which had been fertilized during both the spring and the summer periods 39 per cent. Reference to Table 6 shows that the summer-fertilized plants had more reserve nitrogen

in the tissues in the fall, which may account for the better set of fruit the following spring.

Applications of nitrogen in the spring of the fruiting year greatly increased the set of fruit, particularly, with those plants which were grown under low nutritive conditions the preceding summer and fall. In Lot 1 (unfertilized), 22.7 per cent. of the blossoms set fruit, while in those of the same lot which had received an application of sodium nitrate just before blossoming there was a 40 per cent. set. In Lot 9, which had been treated with acid phosphate during the summer period and with nitrogen the following spring, 61.3 per cent. of the blossoms set fruit while the set was only 27.6 per cent when no spring application of nitrogen was used. Spring applications of nitrogen before blooming in the lots which had previously been well fertilized during the preceding season also resulted in an increase in the set of fruit, as shown in Lots 13 and 17, but the increase was not as large as in those which had been grown under less favorable nutritive conditions.

The Influence of Fertilizer Treatments on the Total Yield and Size of Fruit. -- Table 11 presents a summary of the average total yield and the number and the weight of berries from the plants of the different lots.

Nitrogen, when used alone or in combination with phosphorous and potash has in every instance

greatly increased the yield. The yields due to the influence of spring applications of fertilizer the first year have been doubled and in some cases trebled by the same treatment with fertilizers during the summer period. Fertilizers applied during both spring and summer periods have in only one instance given increased yields over those which were applied in the summer period only. The largest yields were secured from plants in lots 13 and 17 which were fertilized during both the spring and summer periods and again in the spring of the fruiting year. Applications of nitrogen in the spring of the fruiting year increased the yields by inducing a better setting of the flowers and an increase in the size of the berries.

In Table 12 the data which has already been presented in Table 9, is arranged in a different manner to show the number and size of the berries which ripened in different periods during the harvesting season. It will be noticed that the berries which ripened in the first period (June 20 - 25) were the largest and that there was a decrease in size until at the end of the season many of them were very small. However, this seems to be characteristic of the Senator Dunlap and was to be expected. Vallean (17) has shown that there is a definite relationship between the position of the flower in the cluster and the size as well as the degree of setting of

Table 11. Number and Size of Berries per Plant as Influenced by Different Fertilizer Treatments.

Lot No.	Treatment	Av. no. berries per plant	Av. total wt. of berries per plant (gms.)	Av. wt. per berry (gms.)
1	Unfertilized	3.9	9.8	2.5
1A	Unfertilized (1st yr.)	9.6	24.2	2.5
	Nitrogen(2nd spring)			
6	P spring (1st year)	6.56	14.3	2.18
7	P summer	6.0	14.4	2.4
9	(P summer	12.1	35.0	2.88
	(N 2nd spring			
2	N spring (1st yr.)	10.8	25.7	2.37
10	NP spring	10.6	22.6	2.14
14	NPK spring	8.74	20.8	2.26
5	(N spring	8.9	20.6	2.3
	(P summer			
3	N summer	24.8	53.5	2.2
11	NP summer	26.0	58.1	2.23
15	NPK summer	31.0	71.5	2.43
8	(P spring	26.1	60.5	2.33
	(N summer			
4	N spring-& summer	26.1	53.2	2.04
12	NP spring and summer	38.0	74.7	1.97
13	NP spring, summer spring (2nd yr.)	45.8	96.3	2.1
16	NPK spring, summer	29.63	67.1	2.26
17	NPK spring, summer, spring (2nd yr.)	37.	91.3	2.46
18	NPK-S spring, summer, spring (2nd yr.)	26.2	54.7	2.09

of the fruit. With Senator Dunlap in particular, he found that a very large percentage of the primary flowers set perfect fruit, and that there was a gradual decrease in the number of perfect fruits and the total number of berries set from the primary to the last flowers which opened in the cluster. The data in Table 12 show that the number of early berries depends more on the number of flower clusters than any other factor. Nitrogen applied in the spring of the fruiting year slightly increased the number as shown in Lots 1A, 9, 13 and 17, probably by a better setting of the primary flowers. The early berries were usually larger when they were few in number. In Lots 1, 2, 10 and 14 which bore a small number of clusters the berries were larger than those from the summer-treated plants in Lots 3, 11 and 15, which bore a large number of clusters. In the second period (June 27 to July 2) a larger number of berries in proportion to the number of clusters was harvested from the summer-fertilized plants, and the fruit was larger in size. Nitrogen applied in the spring before fruiting was most effective during this period. Apparently it influenced a much larger setting of the secondary and tertiary flowers in the clusters for 3.8 clusters per plant in Lot 7 ripened only 2 berries with an average weight of 2 grams each while 3.2 clusters in Lot 9 ripened 6.1 berries with an average weight of 2.8 grams each. Corresponding increases in the number and size of berries during this period may be shown by a comparison of Lots 12 and 13

Table 12. The Influence of Fertilizer Treatments on the Number and Size of Berries
at Different Periods During the Harvesting Season.

Lot No.	Treatment	Berries harvested June 20 to 25.				Berries harvested June 27 to July 2.			Berries harvested July 2 to 10.		
		Av. no. Clusters per plant	Av. no. berries per plant	Av. total wt. berries per plant (gms.)	Av. wt. of berry (gms.)	Av. no. berries per plant	Av. total wt. berries per plant (gms.)	Av. wt. of berry (gms.)	Av. no. berries per plant	Av. total wt. berries per plant (gms.)	Av. wt. of berry (gms.)
1	Unfertilized	3.3	.6	2.7	4.5	1.4	3.8	2.7	2	3	1.51
1A	N spg. 2nd yr.	4.0	2.0	9.2	4.6	4.4	9.6	2.2	3.2	5.4	1.7
6	P spring	4.4	1.5	4.2	3.1	2.1	5.0	2.4	3.0	5.	1.63
7	P summer	3.8	1.86	6.1	3.2	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.2	4.3	1.95
9	P summer	3.2	2.9	11.7	4.1	6.1	17.3	2.8	3.1	5.9	1.9
2	N 2nd spg.										
2	N spring	16.4	2.9	10.5	3.65	4.2	9.5	2.26	3.7	5.7	1.54
10	NP spring	5.0	2.55	8.3	3.26	4.0	9.4	2.35	4.	4.9	1.22
14	NPK spring	4.7	2.26	7.8	3.44	3.0	6.6	2.2	3.5	5.4	1.54
5	N spring, P summer	4.9	2.15	7.8	3.63	3.65	8.7	2.4	3.1	4.1	1.32
3	N summer	11.7	4.9	13.8	2.8	10.	25.	2.5	9.8	14.8	1.5
11	NP summer	9.7	5.0	16.0	2.8	10.7	28.0	2.6	10.3	16.1	1.56
15	NPK summer	10.3	3.3	11.1	3.4	13.	37.6	2.9	13.2	23.0	1.75
8	P spring, N summer	11.3	5.0	16.0	3.2	11.7	32.0	2.7	9.4	13.4	1.42
4	N spring & summer	12.2	5.3	15.8	3.0	13.0	25.5	2.0	9.0	11.9	1.33
12	NP spring & summer	14.4	5.6	16.0	2.9	18.	41.6	2.3	14.3	17.0	1.2
13	NP spring, summer & spring	13.3	7.65	24.0	3.14	25.5	57.2	2.24	12.7	15.0	1.2
16	NPK spring & summer	11.7	6.0	17.8	2.97	14.0	35.4	2.5	10	14.0	1.4
17	NP spring, sum- mer & spring	10.5	7.0	23.0	3.30	18.7	51.0	2.7	11.4	18.0	1.6
18	NPK-S spg., summer, spg.	7.6	4.8	13.7	2.85	10.	24.7	2.47	11.4	16.5	1.45

and of Lots 16 and 17.

In the third period which included the last four pickings nearly all the fruit was small. However, the size of berry in Lots 7, 9 and 15 held up well to the end of the season. The number of berries ripened in this period was about equal to the number ripened in the second season except in Lots 4, 12, 13, 16 and 17 which ripened about fifty per cent of the entire crop during the second period.

The Relation of Nitrogen and Carbohydrates to Fruit Bud Formation and Yield in the Strawberry Plant. -- The results of recent investigations indicate that the proportion of nitrogen and carbohydrates existing in the plant at certain times of the year bears an important relationship to fruit bud differentiation and to blossom and fruit production the following spring and summer.

Krause and Kraybill (12) in their studies of the response in vegetative growth and fruit setting of the tomato concluded that "fruitfulness is associated neither with highest nitrates nor highest carbohydrates but with a condition of balance between them. Fertilizers containing available nitrogen are mainly effective in producing vegetative response. They may either increase or decrease fruitfulness according to the relative available carbohydrate supply".

The analysis of the plants grown under the various treatments in this experiment show that very extreme ranges in the nitrogen and in the carbohydrate contents have been produced, which afford opportunity for examination of the applicability of this concept to the strawberry. In Table 13 the data from the different lots have been arranged in five groups according to the dry weight of the tops of the plants in order that some direct comparisons may be made. The data shows that four distinct sets of conditions have been produced so far as the amounts of nitrogen and carbohydrates are concerned:

- (1) a low nitrogen content with high carbohydrates;
- (2) a low nitrogen content with low carbohydrates;
- (3) a high nitrogen content with low carbohydrates;
- and (4) a high nitrogen content with high carbohydrates.

Comparison of the data from Lots 1 and 6 show that both have a low nitrogen content, but that the carbohydrate content is high in Lot 1 and low in Lot 6. However, the number of clusters and the total yield of fruit in Lot 6 was larger than in Lot 1. No definite cause can be assigned for the difference in yield in the two lots. It is evident that high carbohydrate alone was not responsible for the relatively low yield in Lot 1 for other lots nearly as high in carbohydrates yielded well. However, high carbohydrates with low nitrogen has apparently inhibited fruit bud information, for Lots 5, 10 and 14 were all low in ni-

Table 13. Nitrogen and Carbohydrates and their Relation to Fruit Bud Formation and Yield in the Strawberry Plant.

Lot No.	Dry wt. of tops per plant gms.	Nitrogen		Total carbo-hydrates.		Avl no. clusters per plant	Av. no. clusters per gm. dry wt. of plant	Av. no berries per plant	Av. total wt. of berries per plant (gms.)	Av. total wt. of berries per gm. dry wt. of plant.
		%	Mgms. per plant.	%	Mgms. per plant.					
1	1.67	.82	14	28.94	483	3.3	2	3.9	9.8	5.27
6	1.82	.86	16	16.04	292	4.4	2.4	6.56	14.3	7.85
2	5.03	.97	49	22.5	1132	6.4	1.27	10.8	25.7	5.1
8	5.83	1.79	104	21.98	1281	11.3	2	26.1	60.5	10.4
10	6.39	.71	45	27.19	1738	5.0	.8	10.6	22.6	3.5
3	6.43	1.59	102	17.4	1119	11.7	1.8	24.8	53.5	8.3
11	6.53	2.02	132	17.4	1136	9.7	1.5	26.0	58.1	8.8
14	7.09	.84	60	23.35	1655	4.7	.66	8.74	20.8	2.9
5	7.26	.66	52	25.07	1820	4.9	.67	8.9	20.6	2.8
15	7.47	2.09	156	23.35	1744	10.3	1.38	31.0	71.5	9.6
18	8.04	1.55	125	24.48	1968	7.6	.94	26.2	54.7	6.8
4	8.97	1.55	139	21.39	1918	12.2	1.36	26.1	53.2	6.0
16	10.36	1.63	169	21.86	2264	11.7	1.13	29.6	67.1	6.5
12	11.4	1.79	204	20.59	2347	14.4	1.26	38.	74.7	6.6

trogen and high in carbohydrates and their relative production in number of clusters and yield of fruit in proportion to their size is even less than in Lot 1. In Lot 6 which was fertilized with acid phosphate the plants contained larger amounts of phosphoric acid, potash, and sugars than those in Lot 1, and it is possible that these factors may have had some influence on the formation of fruit buds.

Lots 2 and 8 both had a moderately high carbohydrate content but there was twice as much nitrogen in Lot 8 as in Lot 2. Here the nitrogen content appears to be the limiting factor in fruit production for in Lot 2 with 0.97 per cent. nitrogen there were 6.4 clusters and the total yield was 25.7 grams per plant, while in Lot 8 with 1.79 per cent. nitrogen the number of clusters was 11.3 and the yield of fruit 60.5 grams per plant.

The data from Lots 3, 10 and 11 also indicate that nitrogen was the governing factor in fruit production for in Lot 10 which had a low nitrogen content -- 0.71 per cent. -- and a high carbohydrate content, the number of clusters and the total yield of fruit was less than one-half that from Lots 3 and 11 which had a high percentage of nitrogen and a comparatively low carbohydrate content.

Similar comparisons may be made with the remaining groups. It will be noticed that the carbohydrate content is moderately high in all the lots in these

groups, but that there are extremes in the nitrogen content. Low nitrogen content in Lots 5 and 14 is associated with low yields. On the other hand, high nitrogen with high carbohydrates as in Lot 15 is associated with high yields. In general, the data show clearly the importance of nitrogen in the nutrition of the strawberry. A large accumulation of carbohydrates is desirable, but a relatively large amount of nitrogen is very important for fruit bud differentiation and the development of the fruit the following spring.

However, the data show that fruit bud differentiation is due to, or is controlled by, some factor or factors other than a certain proportion of nitrogen and carbohydrates in the plant. It has been pointed out that though in this experiment extreme ranges have been produced both in the nitrogen and in the carbohydrate content in the various lots of plants at the time of fruit bud differentiation, some fruit bud formation has always taken place -- better in some lots than in others -- but nevertheless it has never been entirely inhibited. Furthermore, in the discussion of the data presented in Table 7, it has been shown that the nitrogen-carbohydrate content of the spring-fertilized plants on August 1 was very similar to that of the summer-fertilized plants on October 26. Sometime after August 1, the nitrogen-carbohydrate conditions of the summer-fertilized plants must have become identical

with that of the spring-fertilized plants earlier in the season. The response to this condition in the spring-fertilized plants was manifested by a vigorous runner production. On the other hand, when the same condition obtained in the summer-fertilized plants it did not start a vigorous runner production, but gave rise to fruit bud formation. In other words, the same nutritive condition in the plants which was responsible for a vigorous runner production at one time of the year did not induce a vigorous runner production at another time, but instead gave rise to fruit bud differentiation.

Fruit bud differentiation in the strawberry, therefore, is not due entirely to a certain nitrogen-carbohydrate ratio within the plant, but is modified, perhaps, by temperature, length of day, rest period phenomena or some other associated factor. However, the data from this experiment show that though fruit bud formation has not been entirely inhibited by the extremes in the nitrogen and in the carbohydrate content of the various lots of plants, yet there are certain conditions which are more favorable than others during late summer and fall when fruit bud differentiation does take place. Extreme variations in the nitrogen content have had a greater effect on fruit bud formation than extremes in carbohydrate content. High carbohydrates with low nitrogen have an inhibiting effect on fruit bud formation. Low nitrogen has always been associated with low yields; high nitrogen with

Table 14. The Influence of Fertilizer Treatments on Pistil Abortion.

Lot No.	Treatment	Av.. total no. of pistils per berry	Av.. no. of akenes	Av. no. aborted pistils	Per cent. of pistils aborting.
1	Unfertilized	217	197	20	9.12
2	N spring	243	212	31	12.7
10	NP spring	158	137	21	13.3
14	NPK spring	223	197	26	11.6
5	N spring, P summer	247	223	24	9.7
6	P spring	233	215	18	7.7
3	N summer	235	208	27	11.5
11	NP summer	238	213	25	10.5
15	NPK summer	261	226	35	13.4
8	P spring, N summer	253	221	32	12.6
7	P summer	204	183	21	10.3
4	N spring & summer	242	204	38	15.7
12	NP spring & summer	228	210	18	8.0
16	NPK spring & summer	253	230	23	9.1
18	NPK-S spring & summer	213	171	42	19.7
9	P summer, N following spring	192	170	22	11.5
13	NP spring, summer & spring (2nd year)	268	244	24	8.2
17	NPK spring, summer & spring (2nd year)	266	224	22	8.2

with high yields. The best condition in the plant at the time of fruit bud differentiation is a high nitrogen content associated with a moderately high, or a high percentage of carbohydrates.

The Influence of Fertilizer Treatments on Pistil Abortion. -- Gardner (10) has shown that the number of pistils per flower and the setting of the individual pistils bears an important relationship to the size of the fruit, and that the nutritive conditions in the plant during the fall particularly at the time of fruit bud differentiation has considerable influence on the setting of the pistils the following spring. No attempt has been made in this investigation to study this relation other than to determine the influence of the different treatments on this factor in the development of the fruit. Counts were made of the number of akenes and of the aborted pistils in berries from each of the different lots. Some of the berries were collected early in the season, and some from the midseason crop. None of the small late berries were saved for this purpose. The data are presented in Table 11. The differences in the number of pistils per berry were not as large as might be expected considering the wide range of the different treatments. In general the number of pistils was larger in the berries from the plants which had received summer treatments than from those of the spring-treated lots, but the differences are not sufficiently consistent to warrant any definite

conclusions. Furthermore, there is no evidence that there has been any definite influence of the different treatments, on the setting of the individual pistils.

The Moisture Content of Berry As Influenced by Fertilizer Treatments. -- In the field experiments which have been reported from different sections of the country, frequent mention has been made of the effect of fertilizers on the texture and quality of the fruit. Chandler (4), in particular, reported that whenever nitrogen was applied in the spring before the crop was harvested it tended to make the berries soft and of poor color and quality. Somewhat similar results are reported by Bailey (1) from the use of nitrogenous fertilizers when applied in the spring a year before the crop was harvested. Brown (3) found that when the weather was extremely warm during a large portion of the season the plants which had received heavy applications of nitrogen produced berries that were inclined to be soft and that plants receiving sulphate of potash produced somewhat firmer but not more attractive berries. However, in the years when there was a long cool picking season there was practically no difference in the firmness, size, and appearance of ^{the} fruit.

Moisture determinations were made for three samples of fruit which were taken at different times during the harvesting period, from each of the different lots of plants in this experiment.

Table 15. Moisture Content of Berries as Influenced by Fertilizer Treatments.

Lot No.	Treatment	Wt. of H ₂ O per gm. of dry matter.			Average wt. of H ₂ O per gm. dry matter
		Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	
1	Unfertilized	9.1	7.6	8.85	8.52
2	N spring	9.7	8.25	8.77	8.91
10	NP spring	9.7	8.15	8.6	8.82
14	NPK spring	8.7	7.4	9.0	8.03
6	P spring.	10.3	7.2	7.84	8.44
3	N summer	10.7	8.86	9.04	9.53
11	NP summer	10.2	8.2	9.5	9.3
15	NPK summer	11.0	8.44	9.3	9.58
7	P summer	9.56	7.3	8.6	8.49
4	N spring & summer	10.7	8.6	9.8	9.53
12	NP spring & summer	12.1	9.0	8.8	9.97
16	NPK spring & summer	10.9	8.87	9.6	9.79
5	N spring, P summer	9.7	7.6	7.61	8.3
8	P spring, N summer	9.17	8.7	8.8	8.89
9	P summer, N next spring	8.9	7.33	6.6	7.61
13	NP spring, summer and following spring	10.6	8.3	8.0	8.93
17	NPK, Spring, summer and following spring	10.4	7.9	8.6	8.97
18	NPK-S spring, summer and following spring.	10.3	9.3	9.4	9.7

The data are summarized in Table 12.

The figures indicate the weight of water in grams for each gram of dry matter. Berries from the unfertilized plants and those which were treated with acid phosphate alone, show a lower moisture content than those from the other fertilized lots. Plants which had been fertilized with nitrogen during the summer period produced berries with a higher moisture content than those which had been similarly treated during the spring period only. However, there was practically no difference in the appearance and texture of the fruit at the time of harvesting. Acid phosphate and potash when used in combination with nitrogen have had no effect on the moisture content. The use of fertilizers applied in the spring of the fruiting year, as shown in Lots 9, 13 and 17, has not resulted in any increase in moisture content though the berries were somewhat larger than those from the plants which were not fertilized at that time.

DISCUSSION

While strawberries are actually planted in soils of practically every kind they are seldom grown in a medium that is of lower productivity than the one used in this experiment -- a dune or "blow" sand. The evidence indicates, however, that even such a soil will provide the strawberry plant with an ample supply of most of the essential nutrient elements. Furthermore, applications of fertilizers carrying nutrient elements other than nitrogen would seem to be of little value, though perhaps in some cases phosphorous containing materials may be of some use. On the other hand, the data show that a deficiency in available nitrogen at any period during the growing season profoundly influences the growth and development of the fruit and observation leads to the belief that such deficiencies are of common occurrence in strawberry production. From a practical standpoint, then, nitrogen is the nutrient element to which the grower needs to give the most of his attention.

Plants grown with a limited supply of available nitrogen during the spring and early summer and with more liberal supply later will produce crowns about as large as those in which nutrient conditions are reversed and they are much more productive. On light soils which are likely to be deficient in nitrogen, the application of quickly available nitrogenous fertilizers in the spring when the plants are set may stimulate a

vigorous vegetative growth with an abundant runner production. This may result in a condition which will arrest the development of the crowns and reduce fruit bud formation. Therefore, such applications, if made at all, should be light in the case of those plantations intended for fruit production. In the case of plantations intended principally for plant or runner production more liberal spring applications are warranted. In many cases both spring and summer applications of nutrients may be necessary for the development of crowns of sufficient size for maximum fruit production and to obtain the best conditions for fruit bud differentiation. However, if only one application is to be given the summer treatment is to be preferred.

Fruit bud formation and total yield of fruit depend largely on nutritive conditions within the plant in late fall. More specifically, they seem to be associated with a rather high nitrogen and carbohydrate content within the plant at that particular time. These in turn depend largely on soil nutrient conditions, particularly the supply of available nitrogen during the late summer and early fall. Without doubt, many soils that are being well cultivated naturally provide conditions that approach the optimum in this respect, and fertilizer applications would not be useful. They might even be harmful. On the other hand, it is evident that in many cases light or moderate summer and early fall applications of quickly available nitrogen carrying fertilizers would

be of value. No definite statement can be made that will enable the grower to decide exactly when or where fertilizer applications are reasonably sure to prove profitable; nor can a definite statement be made as to how heavy such applications should be. However, observations on the appearance of the different lots under experimental study in this investigation, the data furnished by the analyses, and the later fruiting records, together with many field observations lead to the belief that any considerable purpling of the foliage excluding that which is obviously caused by growth or leaf spot, in summer or early fall, indicates a deficiency of available soil nitrogen that is very likely to interfere with fruit bud differentiation and correspondingly to reduce subsequent yield. This should be a signal for judicious applications of nitrogen carrying fertilizers, or better still, the grower should so handle his strawberry plantation as to prevent this condition from developing. It is, of course, possible that applications of amounts over and above those required to prevent such purpling may sometimes be desirable. Applications of nitrogen in the spring of the fruiting year may increase yields by inducing a better setting of the flowers and an increase in the size of the berries. However, the greatest response from an application at this time may be expected from those plants which have been grown under low nutritive conditions during the preceding summer and fall.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

1. Large variations have occurred in the size of the plants as a result of receiving the various treatments in this experiment. The summer-treated plants were slightly larger than the spring-treated plants. The largest plants were obtained when the nutrients were applied during both the spring and the summer periods.

2. Nitrogen has been the chief limiting element. It is an important factor in promoting vegetative growth and is particularly important at the time of fruit bud differentiation.

3. Phosphorous alone has, apparently had no effect on vegetative growth. In combination with nitrogen it has promoted a larger vegetative growth and fruit production than was secured with nitrogen alone.

4. Plants treated with sodium nitrate, monocalcium phosphate and potash were not as large or as productive as those treated with ammonium sulphate, acid phosphate and potash.

5. Spring applications of nitrogen, alone or in combination with phosphoric acid or phosphoric acid and potash, caused a vigorous runner production. When equal amounts of the same nutrients were applied during the summer period, few runners were produced and there was a better development of the crowns.

6. With a limited supply of nutrients in

the soil the roots were large in proportion to the tops. With a moderate supply of nutrients the root system was less extensive and the tops proportionally larger. When phosphoric acid and potash were used in combination with nitrogen the proportion of tops to roots was larger than when nitrogen was used alone.

7. Plants which had been treated with nitrogen during the previous summer period grew better in the spring of the fruiting year than the unfertilized, or the spring-treated (first year) plants. The response in vegetative growth was greater in plants grown under low nutritive conditions the preceding summer and fall.

8. The ash content of the roots was larger than that of the tops. There were some differences in the percentages of nitrogen, and carbohydrates in the tops and roots, but the absolute amounts of the various constituents in proportion to the amount of dry matter were nearly the same.

9. The amount of ash in the tops varied from 9.4 to 16.16 per cent., but the plants with the lowest percentage of ash contained higher percentages of nitrogen, phosphoric acid and potash than those with the highest ash content.

10. The unfertilized plants and the spring-treated plants were lower in nitrogen, phosphoric acid and potash and higher in percentage of carbohydrates, than the summer-treated plants.

11. One of the effects of the fertilizer treatments was an increase in sugars and a decrease in polysaccharides. Spring-treated plants contained more free reducing sugars than the summer-treated plants. The amount of sugars in the plants appears to be closely associated with the potash content.

12. Calculations from the data of this experiment show that an acre of strawberry plants in hills 15 by 30 inches apart will produce, during the period of vegetative growth and fruit bud formation, approximately 2500 pounds of dry matter which will contain 41.75 pounds of nitrogen, 16 pounds of phosphoric acid, 29 pounds of potash and 225 pounds of other mineral constituents.

13. The total number of flowers per plant as modified by fertilizer treatments is determined chiefly by the number of clusters, and to a very limited extent by the number of flowers per cluster. Fertilizers containing nitrogen increased the number of flower clusters per plant. The summer-treated plants produced nearly twice as many clusters as the spring-treated plants. A slightly larger number of clusters was borne by the spring- and summer-treated plants. Applications of fertilizers in the spring of the fruiting year have no effect on the number of clusters or the number of flowers per cluster.

14. The proportion of flowers which set fruit is influenced to some extent by nutritive condi-

tions which exist in the plant the preceding fall, and to a considerable extent by nutritive conditions in the soil at blooming time. Summer-treated plants, which contained more nitrogen at the termination of growth in the fall, set a larger percentage of blossoms than the spring-fertilized plants which were low in nitrogen. Applications of nitrogen in the spring of the fruiting year caused a better setting of the blossoms and an increase in the size of the berries.

15. Nitrogen alone or in combination with phosphoric acid and potash has in every instance increased the total yield. The yield of the summer-fertilized plants was larger than that of the spring-fertilized plants. Largest yields were obtained from plants which were fertilized during both the spring and the summer periods and again in the spring of the fruiting year.

16. Fruit bud differentiation in the strawberry does not depend on a particular nitrogen-carbohydrate ratio in the plant. However, fruit buds are formed more readily when certain quantitative relationships of the nitrogen and carbohydrates exist at the time differentiation takes place.

17. Variations in the nitrogen content of the plants at the time of fruit bud differentiation have a greater effect on the yield of fruit than variations in carbohydrate content. Low nitrogen is associated

with low yields, high nitrogen with high yields. Plants with a high nitrogen content and a high carbohydrate content are most productive.

18. The size of the crown is not an index of the fruitfulness of the strawberry plant. Total production is determined by the number of flower clusters and the number of blossoms which set and develop into fruits. These are determined chiefly by nutritive conditions within the plant at the time of fruit bud differentiation during the late summer and fall.

19. There is no indication that fertilizer treatments have materially affected the moisture content, the texture, or the quality of the fruit.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The writer wishes to make acknowledgment to Professor V. R. Gardner for many criticisms and suggestions in planning and conducting the work outlined in this experiment, and for assistance in the preparation of the manuscript; to Dr. John W. Crist for assistance in making carbohydrate analyses; to the members of the Agricultural Chemistry Department for making the mineral analyses; and to Professors F. C. Bradford and R. E. Marshall for reviewing the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Bailey, L. H. Cornell Univ. Agr. Exp. Bul. 189. 1901.
2. Bedford, H. A. R., and Pickering, S. V. Woburn Exp. Farms Rept. 1900, pp. 83-92. (abs. from Exp. Sta. Rec. Vol. 12 p. 645. 1900-1901).
3. Brown, G. G. Oreg. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 159. 1919.
4. Chandler, W. H. Mo. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 113. 1913.
5. Close, C. P., Ballard, W. R. and White, T. H. Md. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 124. 1907.
6. Darrow, Geo. M. U.S.D.A. Farmers' Bul. 1028. 1919.
7. Fletcher, S. W. Strawberry Growing p. 53. New York. 1917.
8. *ibid.* p. 59.
9. *ibid.* p. 58.
10. Gardner, V. R. Mo. Agr. Exp. Sta. Res. Bul. 57. 1923.
11. Keffer, C. A. Tenn. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. XVIII:2. 1905.
12. Kraus, E. J., and Kraybill, H. R. Oreg. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 149. 1918.
13. Lyon, T. L., and Buckman, H. O. The Nature and Properties of Soils, p. 13. New York. 1923.
14. Methods of Analysis, A. O. A. C., p. 90. Washington, D. C. 1921.
15. Quaintance, A. L. Ga. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 48. 1900.
16. Shaw, G. W. Oreg. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 62. 1900.
17. Valleau, W. D. U. S. Dept. Agr. Jour. Agr. Res. XII : 10. p. 613. 1918.
18. Van Slyke, L. L. Fertilizers and Crops. p. 694. New York. 1912.

19. Von Brehmer, -- , Gartenwelt, 11 (1906) No. 7,
pp. 78-79. (Abs. from Exp. Sta. Rec. Vol. 18.
p. 549. 1906-1907)
20. Walton, G. P., and Coe, M. R. U. S. Dept. Agr.
Jour. Agr. Res. XXIII : 12, p. 995. 1923.
21. White, J. M. N. J. Agr. Exp. Sta. Rept., 1891.
p. 141.
22. White, J. M. N. J. Agr. Exp. Sta. Rept., 1892.
p. 127.
23. Willis, J. J. Gardener's Chronicle, 3 : XX : 590.
1896.

ROOM USE ONLY