STUDIES OF THE EFFECT OF CERTAIN-CARDIOTONIC AGENTS ON THE CARDIAC OUTPUT IN DOGS AS DETERMINED BY THE DYE DILUTiON'METHOD

> Thesis for the Degree of M. S. MICHIGAN STATE COLLEGE Jack R. Schmid 1954'

 $\epsilon$ 

#### This is to certify that the

thesis entitled

STUDIES OF THE EFFECT OF CERTAIN CARDIOTONIC AGENTS ON THE CARDIAC OUTPUT IN DOGS AS DEFERAINED BY THE DYE DILUTION NETHOD

presented by

Jack R. Schmid

has been accepted towards fulfillment of the requirements for MASTER OF SCIENCE degree in\_

Department of Physiology and Pharmacology

Major Collings

Date <u>August 5, 1954</u>

 $O-169$ 

## STUDIES OF THE EFFECT OF CERTAIN CARDIOTONIC AGENTS ON THE CAMUIAC OUTPUT IN DOGS AS DETERMINED BY THE DIE DILUTION.mEiHOD

By

Jack R. Schmid

AN ABSLRACT

Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies of Michigan State College of Agriculture and Applied Science in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of By<br>By<br>By<br>dack R. Schwid<br>An Addinate Studies of Michigan<br>to the School of Graduate Studies of Michigan<br>College of Agriculture and Applied Science<br>partial fulfillment of the requirements<br>for the degree of<br>hiSikR OF SCILNCE<br>a

PLSTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Physiology and Pharmacology

 $Year$  1954

Approved and the company of the company of

 $\overline{I}$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ 

Jack R. Schmid

# THESIS ABSTRACT THESTS ABSTRICT

 $1.21.54$ 

The Stewart-Hamilton injection method for determining the cardiac output in the intact pentobarbitalized dog was explored as a possible method by which to study the effect of drugs e.g., Strephanthus or epinephrine, on the cardiac output.

Thirty healthy mongrel male and female dogs were used in this investigation. The dogs were deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium; the common carotid artery was exposed and cannulated to facilitate the collection of blood samples needed to determine the cardiac output; the external jugular vein was made accessible for injection of the dye  $T-\frac{182h}{n}$  and for the drugs used for experimentation. Three milligrams of the dye were used for each determination. A second determination was carried out thirty minutes after the first, and at this time a drug was introduced so that its effect could be measured and compared with the first. The samples of blood containing the dye were collected at two and three second intervals from the carotid artery. The plasma dye concentrations were determined using a fisher-electrophotometer and the values were plotted on a logarithmic ordinate against a linear time abscissa. The curve was then extrapolated to the base line and delineated. A linear replot was then made and the area inscribed by the curve was measured. The ordinate dividing the curve into two equal halves is the average concentration from which the cardiac output can be determined.

The results obtained from six dogs in which two dye injections were made thirty minutes apart were not significantly different and it was assumed that the method was feasible for showing the effect of a drug on the cardiac output. A commercial epinephrine solution was tested and found to give a significant increase in cardiac output in five dogs. Norepinephrine was next tested and it was shown that there was no significant difference in cardiac output, but that there was a trend toward a decrease in cardiac output. Sarveroside, a glycoside of Strophanthus, produced a profound decrease in cardiac output in some cases and a small but not significant decrease in others. The results depended on the time of the arterial sampling after injection of the drug.

# STUDIES OF THE EFFECT OF CERTAIN CARDIOTONIC AGENTS ON THE CARDIAC OUTPUT IN DOGS AS DETERMINED BY THE DIE DILUTION METHOD

By

Jack R. Schmid

## A TnESIS

# Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies of Michigan State College of Agriculture and Applied Science in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

# MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Physiology and Pharmacology

19Sh

 $T612 - 1$ <br>5 3 4 8

 $\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

#### ACKNOWLEDGAENTS

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to Dr. W. D. Collings for his kind assistance during the course of this research and in the preparation of the manuscript. To Dr. B. V. filfredson for his encouragement, suggestions and the use of the facilities of the department. he is also greatly indebted to Miss Louise Feng and to Mr. Clarence Decker for their assistance in all the experiments performed to make this thesis possible. Grateful acknowledgment is also due to Mr. Jack Monroe for the care of the experimental animals, to Mr. Howard hardy for technical assistance, to Mr. Robert Cornwall for his many helpful suggestions and, finally, his fellow students for their encouragement and kind interest in the problem.

```
**********
as" ' asset—x-*******
   *****
    ***
     长
```
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

 $\sim 10^6$ 

 $\sim 10^7$ 



 $\sim 10^7$ 

المحافظ والمعقار فالقاه وواود والمتافر والمتابع والمتابع المتناول والمتابع والمتابع المتحافظ والمعارض والمنافر والمتناعي والتعاري وقامتها فالتراث والمتحارث والمتوا الفنوا وكوارها المرازي والقادر فالفناء وبالمواصل كالمتفاوض الربواني وكالهنئ الموارق الحنجين المتحاوية مارته التراجي الحاري التوادي المتعاديات والتواصل والواجز الواقعة والمتعارض والمتعاونة والمتعارض والمتعارض المجانعين وبالمنافذ فترافعنا والمنافية الجاري والمعادات وتجاهدوا والمعادات والوادي والمواطن وكالمتحادث التحاري والمحاولات والمتوجب والمناجي المنفخر ومراديهم والمتعاري والمتحر فتفرد المتملكين والمتقاطر متعينه وقلعت المناصلته المناد ووجادها المناج ومحاجراتها المتحولة ومعاطفتها المناجات المنادرة والتمام والمتحاف المتجاه الوالو والمتوقع فالمناج المتعاون المناولات التواصل والمناول والمناول المتمرك والمناولة والمتوقعة a para mengandukan pertama dan bermula pada tersebut dan bermula pertama dan bermula pertama dan bermula pertama العقققا والانفعال العالم وعيام والمناسب والمتوقع والمتعارض فعادا الاستقماد المواجب والقانق والانتقاء وووارد وبالانتقاد والمنادر المنادر والمنادر والمنفعة المستند والمحاوية الفراقية الفاجهة المناجل والمتحدثة والمناقب القارب والمتحاوية وتفاق  $\sim$   $\sim$ الوقع والمرادات التمايليات الاستقادات والانتقاد والاستعاد والمعاونة والمنافي والتنامين وسنوا والتعار الرطاقة فالمنافر والمتحافين والمناطق والمتعاقب والمتحدث فالمتحافظ والمتحارث المتطاولة يتعادمون وبالأوالي والأوالا والمتعارض بالتناب والأنابعات المعتقل لالحاج والمتعمل والتناويم المعاري

#### INTRODUCTION

During recent years there has been considerable investigation of the measurement of cardiac output by the dye dilution method. In 1932, Hamilton reported the use of this method in the study of mechanisms involved in the regulation of the circulation; in this study he reported the effects of epinephrine on the output of the heart using the dye Brilliant Vital Red as indicator. His procedure was to determine the cardiac output before and after injection of the drug using two successive injections of the dye. However, he presented no evidence that determining the cardiac output in two successive experiments on the same animal would give comparable results and thereby establish the validity of such a procedure. Surtshin  $(1950)$ , using T-1824, found that the plasma dye concentration curves following two dye injections, given  $\mu$ 9 to 2 $\mu$ 1 minutes apart, were not significantly different.

These data suggest that if the validity of such a procedure could be established it would have the earmarks of a feasible pharmacological method for determining the effects of various cardiovascular agents on the output of the heart. With a few additional simultaneous measurements e.g., heart rate, hematocrit and electrocardiogram, it would be possible to obtain many other important data concerning the cardiovascular status of the subject under the influence of these agents. This technique

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

presented an Opportunity to gain insight to some of the actions and mechanisms involved in phamacodynamics.

The selection of the cardiotonic agents to be employed in this study presented the usual problems encountered in most studies of this nature i.e., mode of administration, an effective dose and the time of onset of action. Epinephrine and norepinephrine,<sup>1</sup> because of their opposite effects on the total peripheral resistance, were chosen because it was thought that these two sympathomimetic amines would show some interesting effects on the cardiac output, which is dependent to some extent on the resistance to flow. Strephanthus is known to increase the cardiac output of the failing heart, but the normal heart responds to this glycoside in another fashion resulting in a decrease in cardiac output. It seemed worth-while to investigate the effects of Strephanthus on the cardiac output of the dog deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium. The particular form of Strophanthus used in these experiments was sarveroside.<sup>2</sup> a short acting glycoside with one-half the activity and toxicity of ouabain . output of the dog de<br>particular form of S<br>a short acting glyco<br>ouabain.

Tourtesy of Dr. A. M. Lands, Sterling-Winthrop Research Institute. 2Courtesy of Dr. M. J. Vander Brook, The Upjohn Company.

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

#### Dilution Principle

The importance of the dilution principle as a means of studying the composition of the body was reviewed.by Edelman in 1952.

The extent to which a substance is diluted in a solvent constitutes a measure of the volume of the solvent . . . . This simple relationship may be expressed mathematically by the following equation: h a substanc<br>
f the volume<br>
p may be exp<br>  $V_3 = \frac{C_1 V_1}{V_2}$ 

$$
V_2 = \frac{C_1 V_1}{C_2}
$$

where  $C_1$  and  $V_1$  are, respectively, the concentration and volume of the solute before dilution, and  $C_2$  and  $V_2$  are the concentration and volume after dilution. . . . This equation is derived from the simple consideration that the product of the concentration and volume has the dimension of weight or mass, and within a closed system the mass of the solute is constant regardless of the extent of its dilution i.e.,

$$
C_1V_1 = C_2V_2
$$

The concentration and volume of the solute before dilution are known, and the concentration after dilution is experimentally measured. The only unknown in the equation then is  $V_2$  which is easily computed. . . .

In applying the dilution principle to animal studies it is assumed that the cardiovascular system is a closed system, which of course is not the case at all. A very small amount of liquid is being added or removed all the time so that the dilution curve is not flat but is sleping constantly until the tracer is completely removed.

# $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ 

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  . The set of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}^{\dagger},\mathbf{A}^{\dagger},\mathbf{A}^{\dagger})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}^{\dagger},\mathbf{A}^{\dagger})$  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$  are the subset of the space of the space of the space of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2.$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2$  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{A})$  and

# $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$ 

## The Application of the Dilution Principle to the Measurement of Cardiac Output

In 1897, Stewart showed that it was possible to estimate the quantity of blood put out by the heart of a dog from the dilution of a known amount of injected foreign substance by the blood, which passes through the heart and lungs during a known period of time. Stewart devised two methods to obtain cardiac output which were both'based on the assumption that none of the injected material had time to recirculate through the systemic cardiovascular bed before the sampling was completed. The first method is referred to as the "constant infusion method". In this method the indicator is injected into the blood stream at a constant rate; after a few seconds the indicator was said to have reached a constant concentration in the arterial blood which indicated that it had been diluted quantitatively by the aortic stream. This is said to occur prior to recirculation and reaches a "concentration plateau" from which cardiac output may be calculated. The following equation gives the relationship between the factors involved in the constant infusion method and the cardiac output:

1. 
$$
f = \frac{i}{c}
$$

where  $\underline{f}$  is the flow in L. per min.,  $\underline{i}$  is the rate of injection in mg. per min., and g is the concentration of the indicator at the height of the plateau in mg. per L. The second method is really a technical simplification of the first and is often referred to as the "rapid injection method". A known amount of indicator is rapidly injected.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=$ 

# 

The time required for collection of a sample of blood representing the average concentration of the injected substance in the arterial stream is necessary to determine the flow. If the rate of sampling is constant, the flow is equal to the amount of injected substance, divided by the product of the average concentration and the duration of sampl-

ing during the first circulation of the indicator:

\n
$$
\text{where } f = f \text{low (L./min.)}
$$
\n
$$
2. \quad f = \frac{60 \text{ I}}{c \text{ t}} \qquad \qquad I = \text{dye injected (mg.)}
$$
\n
$$
c = \text{average concentration (mg./L.)}
$$
\n
$$
t = \text{time of first circulation (sec.)}
$$

It is not clear from Stewart's description of these two methods if he had considered the possibility that the samples taken contained, in part, twice-circulated indicator. hamilton and Remington (19h?) observed while using the method that the indicator begins to recirculate before a "concentration plateau" has been established and that this invalidates a method of this type. Howard, et al. (1953), confirmed this viewpoint and further pointed out that spurious plateaus are frequently encountered that are unrelated to a valid estimate of flow, and that one cause of these plateaus is fluctuations in venous inflow as often observed in changes during the respiratory cycle. Rashkind and Morton (1949) and Wiggers  $(1944)$  found the constant infusion method entirely satisfactory in their hands. However, the basic soundness of the Stewart principles is recognized in their adoption for hydraulic measurements (Dow, et al.,  $1946$ , in which the flow of water in pipes and rivers is accurately determined. It may be noted that this was independently developed by engineers (Hamilton, 1945).

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$  and the set of the  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{$ 

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O})$  . The set of  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O})$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt$  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathcal{D}}$  .  $\sqrt{\lambda}$  .

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  are the set of the set o 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$  are the set of the set of

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(x) = \mathcal{F}(x)$  . The contract of t  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\$ 

#### Development of the Hamilton Method

In 1928, Hamilton, et al., revived and extended the method by using non-diffusing and protein-binding dyes. They began a series of studies using Stewart's second method for the estimation of the output of the heart. Injection of the indicator was made rapidly into the jugular vein and serial samples were removed by cardiac puncture from the left ventricle under local anesthetic (novacaine) in dogs. Samples were collected into small tubes mounted on a revolving kymograph of' known speed. The samples were analyzed for dye concentration, colorimetrically against standards, in the Bausch and Lomb microcolorimeter. The cardiac output was calculated in liters per minute using Stewart's<br>second equation:<br> $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ second equation:

$$
f = \frac{60 \text{ I}}{c \text{ t}}
$$

In order to prove the validity of the method, the Hamilton group, under the direction of Kinsman (1929), proceeded to test it in artificial glass models in which recirculation was allowed to occur. They found that it was necessary to find some means of mathematically prolonging the primary curve so that all the dye during its first circulation could be accounted for. The fact that the time of recovery of all the dye approaches infinity suggested to them a 10garithmic scale for concentration plotted against the time on a linear scale. Such a semilogarithmic plot made the descending limb of the curve a straight line to the point of recirculation and by extrapolation of this line to the base line the time for complete removal of the dye could be determined,

and from this information an accurate measurement of the average concentration during this "wash out" period could be made by integration of the enclosed curve when replotted on a linear scale. From these recirculation experiments in glass models the average error was found to be  $+4.8$  per cent.

This same group, under the leadership of Moore (1929), next proceeded to test the validity of the method by comparing it with an accepted method, the direct Fick, to show that in actual practice it gives output values that check. It was found that the average difference between the calculated values for cardiac output by the two methods was only  $\mu_*$ 7 per cent in six dog experiments indicating that it was a valid method. When applied to human beings, the method gave values for the cardiac output which were much too high in comparison with those obtained by the Grollman acetylene technique which was then very popular; as a result the method fell into disrepute. When the direct Fick method of estimating blood flow became applicable for human experiments by venous catheterization of. the heart, it was found that the values obtained for cardiac output by this method were considerably higher than those obtained by the acetylene method. Hamilton, et al. (19h8) , once again investigated the validity of the dye method by comparing it with the direct Fick in man. The two methods showed satisfactory agreement in forty-two cases with no evidence of systematic differences in the determination of cardiac output. This was confirmed by Doyle, et al.  $(1953)$ , Nahas, et al.  $(1953)$ , and by Werko, et al.

 $\overline{7}$ 

(l9h9). Shore (19h5) showed that samples taken from the right auricle or right ventricle may be in considerable error as a result of obtaining a non-representative sample of mixed venous blood. The dye method has been compared with the isotope dilution method by Dow, et al.  $(1946)$ and Lawson, et al. (1952b), and it was found that the curves for the dye and the tagged cells were practically identical, but there were indications of a more rapid transit of the cells in some part of the circuit. When the Hamilton dye method was compared with the cuvette and earpiece oximeter dye methods the average differences of cardiac output was of the order of 3.5 per cent (Ring, 1952).

#### Objections to the Dye Dilution Method

The Stewart-Hamilton method was criticized on the grounds that some of the dye was being retained in the lungs. and that this was responsible for the high values obtained for cardiac output. Hamilton, et al. (1930), affirmed that the dye he used in earlier studies, phenoltetraiod-pthalein sodium, was not a non-diffusible dye, and that it should not be used in this method; at the same time they showed, by perfusion of the lungs and the left heart, that the dye "brilliant vital red" did not diffuse from the pulmonary vascular bed during its first passage through; and that all the dye injected could be recovered. Gregersen and Rawson (1943) found that the dye T-1824 was so firmly bound to the albumin that its disappearance rate, during the first hour after injection, was a measure of the rate of escape of the circulating albumin. Dow and Hahn  $(1946)$ , and later Lawson, et al.  $(1952)$ , found

no preferential retention of dye in or on the vessels of the lesser circulation. It was concluded by Dow and Hahn that the high cardiac outputs obtained by the dye injection method were not in error as the result of retention of dye in the lungs.

Hamilton, et al.  $(1948)$ , defended the use of large vessel hematocrit, in preference to whole body hematocrit, on the grounds that the flow is measured from the great veins to the great arteries, each of which has the same hematocrit,

The trapping of plasma among the cells has been shown by Gregersen, Gibson and Stead (1935) to leave four per cent of T-182h unaccounted. for in the plasma above the cells. This cannot be dismissed as a possible source of error in cardiac output studies on the assumption that all the samples are likewise affected and therefore the error cancels. The areas inscribed in the linear time concentration curves, using samples which have and have not been corrected for trapped plasma, may not be the same and therefore not yield the same cardiac outputs. The factor used to correct for trapped plasma is considered by Reeve (19%) to be 0.95 while Gregersen (1951) maintains it is 0.96. This difference, although small in appearance, is one of an aggregate which could determine the accuracy of the method as Ring, et al. (1952), pointed out.

Hamilton and Remington (19h?) observed that when injection of dye was made into the left ventricle it was practically cleared from the stream before recirculation occurred. In general, the dilution curves resulting from the more central injection sites describe a smaller area

than do the more peripheral injection curves. The values obtained for the cardiac output from the more central curves were shown to be smaller, by Hetzel and Swan (1953), and larger by Coe, Best and Lawson (1950) and Lawson, et al.  $(195h)$ , than the peripheral curves. It was pointed out by Werko, et al.  $(1949)$ , that dilution curves derived from injection into the pulmonary artery nearly approach zero concentration and thus require extrapolation of a smaller part of the curve, which is most desirable. The variations in results obtained due to the different sites of injection of the dye could account for some of the inconsistencies reported.

While the basic principle for the dilution method is quite simple, its application to research on the cardiovascular system has proved to be more complicated. The accuracy of the dye method seems to rest upon the ability of the investigator to delineate the primary dilution curve (Lagerlof, et al., 1949; Dow and Hamilton, 1950; Nahas, et al., 1953; and Schreiner, et al., 1953). The part played by the state of the subject, e.g., anesthesia, voluntary movement, metabolism, extrinsic innervation of the heart, etc., must be considered. Stewart realized the influence of the many factors which control the output of the heart and discussed them in his treatise on the cardiac output back in 1921. Werko, et  $aL$ . (1949), have further pointed out that the dye method covers the cardiac output during a short interval of time, and it is thus possible that the cardiac output determined by this method is more influenced by the phases of respiration.

Perhaps the most undesirable part of the Stewart-Hamilton method is the inconvenience of collecting the many blood samples required, and the many hours of tedious colorimetry, graphing, and calculating necessary to obtain a single cardiac output (White, 19117, and Lewis, 1953).

 $\sim 10^{-11}$ 

#### EXPERIMENTAL

# EXPERIMENTAL<br>General Procedure General Procedure

Healthy male and female mongrel dogs in a post nutritive state were deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (approximately 30 mg./kg.) so that the respiratory rate was controlled between ten and fourteen ventilations per minute, and the palpebral reflex was abolished. A midline incision was made on the ventral surface of the neck and the external jugular vein on one side and common carotid artery on the other side were isolated by blunt dissection. The vago-sympathetic trunk was carefully separated from the carotid artery and the artery was cannulated with a piece of polyethylene tubing of the preper size, and approximately twenty centimeters long.

Electrocardiograms were taken in all the experiments using either a Sanborn "Poly-Viso" recorder or a Cardiotron. It was necessary to obtain the heart rates just prior to the cardiac output determination and at the time when the dilution curve was being formed. This was carried out in most experiments for both first and second determinations. plece of polyethylen<br>twenty centimeters 1<br>Electrocardiogr<br>a Sanborn "Poly-Viso<br>obtain the heart rat<br>and at the time when<br>carried out in moste<br>Approximately t<br>calibrated syringe a<br>exposed external jug

Approximately three mg. of  $T-1824$  were carefully drawn into a calibrated syringe and the needle was inserted into the lumen of the exposed external jugular vein. The cannula was checked for flow and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>An amount necessary to insure adequate optical density in the plasma samples.

a sample of blood was drawn for the hematocrit and the blank. The signals for injections of the dye and drug, as well as the signals for the arterial sampling procedure, were accurately recorded on magnetic tape. The tape recorder and electrocardiograph were started and at the proper signal the dye was injected as quickly as possible into the jugular vein. Serial samples were collected in three m1. collecting tubes, each containing one drop of heparin sodium (10 mg./ml.), from the cannulated carotid artery at two second intervals up to twelve seconds, then every three seconds to twenty-seven seconds at which time the sampling was terminated.

Two Wintrobe<sup>1</sup> hematocrit tubes were filled, capped, and centrifuged for forty minutes at 2500 rpm. The samples were centrifuged for twenty minutes and set aside until the experiment was completed. '

The second determination on each dog was made thirty minutes after the first injection of dye. It was carried out in much the same manner as the first determination, the difference being that a drug was generally injected, at a specified time, prior to the injection of the second sample of dye. In order to insure patency of the cannula during the relatively long interval of time between determinations, approximately three to five ml. of heparinized saline solution (10 mg./200 ml.) were flushed through the cannula and this solution was allowed to remain in the cannula until just prior to the beginning of the second determination. Samples for the plasma volume determinations were taken from

<sup>1</sup>Capacity 1 ml., 3 mm. bore and graduated from 0-100, both up and down the hematocrit tube.

The carotid artery at an interval of eight minutes after the injection of the dye for each respective determination.

One ml. samples of plasma, containing the dye, were drawn from the tops of the sample tubes using clean pipettes. They were diluted to a total volume of three ml. with physiological saline solution in three ml. "Fisher" micro cells. Their optical densities were determined by a Fisher Electrophotometer using the 650 mu red filter and subtracting the value obtained for the blank from each sample. The Optical densities of the blank samples were generally values between  $1.5-3.0$  indicating a very small amount of lipoid material and hemoglobin present which was not significant enough to interfere with the colorimetry. Due to the fact that  $T-\frac{182h}{h}$ , at the concentration desired, did not strictly conform to Beer's Law a plotted calibration  $curve<sup>1</sup>$  was employed to obtain the individual sample concentrations. Strict analytical procedure was followed in preparing the series of standard solutions used in plotting the calibration curve.

The concentration values of the plasma samples were corrected for dilution and expressed in terms of mg. per ml.; and then further adjusted for any variations due to body weight by dividing by mg. dye injected per gram body weight. These values were then plotted on a logarithmic ordinate against a linear time abscissa and the dye concentration curve to Beer's Law a plot<br>individual sample co<br>followed in pregarin<br>the calibration curv<br>The concentrati<br>dilution and express<br>for any variations d<br>gram body weight. T<br>ordinate against a l<br>was drawn (Figure 1) was drawn (Figure 1). There were generally three points in a straight

 $1<sub>h</sub>$ 

<sup>1</sup>Optical density for the ordinate, and concentration in mg. per ml. for the abscicca. This curve was determined by plotting the optical densities of serial samples of T-1824 diluted to a final volume of three ml. i.e., one ml. of a standard dye solution, one ml. pooled dogs' plasma and one ml. physiological saline solution.





line on the descending limb of the curve so that extrapolation to the base line was quite accurate in most cases. After delineation of the curve a linear plot of the curve was made using one second values from the semi-logarithmic curve (Figure 2). The area inscribed in the linear curve was determined using a heuffel and Esser planimeter, and the ordinate that divides the inscribed curve into equal halves is the average value for reciprocal of flow which is used to determine the cardiac output.<sup>1</sup> The cardiac output, or flow, is calculated using the following equation:

(1) 
$$
F_p = \frac{60}{ct}
$$
 where  $F_p$  = plasma flow (ml./gm./min.)  
c = reciprocal of flow (gms./ml.)  
t = clearance time<sup>2</sup> of dye (seconds)

The plasma flow may be converted to a whole blood value using the eQuation: The plasma flow may<br>equation:<br>(2) Fb =<br>1<br>Stroke volumes were<br> $SV = \frac{F}{hR}$ 

where Fp - plasma flow (ml ./gm./min.) Fb - whole blood flow (ml ./gn.) Hct hematocrit Fp 1 .OO-dict (2) Fb -

Stroke volumes were calculated from:

$$
SV = \frac{F}{HR}
$$
 where  $SV = \text{stroke volume (ml./beat)}$   
 $F = \text{whole blood flow (ml./dog/min.)}$   
 $HR = \text{heart rate (beats/min.})$ 

 cleared of dye if no recirculation occurred.aTheoretical time in which one central circulation would be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In the process of correcting for any variation due to the body weight the average concentration is not obtained; instead a value is obtained which is the reciprocal of flow in terms of body weight.



 $\bar{z}$ 

Fig.  $2.$ Linear Replot of Time Concentration Curve. Experiment 6B.

Plasma volumes were calculated from the eight minute samples using the equation:

PV = 
$$
\frac{c}{c}
$$
 where PV = plasma volume (ml.)  
c = dye injected (mg.)  
c' = concentration of dye of  
eight minute sample (mg./ml.)

Slood volumes were obtained from the plasma. volumes and the hematocrit as follows:

$$
BV = \frac{PV}{1.00\text{-}Hct} \qquad \text{where } BV = \text{blood volume } (m1.)
$$

Surface areas were calculated from the Rubner formula:

SA = 0.107 x 
$$
W^{2/3}
$$
 where SA = body surface area (sq.M.)  

$$
W = weight of dog (kg.)
$$

Cardiac indexes were calculated so that the flow could be expressed in terms of the body surface area:

C I = 
$$
\frac{r}{SA}
$$
 where C I = cardiac index  
F = flow (L./min.)

Stroke indexes were also calculated to express the stroke volume in<br>
terms of surface area:<br>  $S I = \frac{SV}{\sqrt{S}}$  where S I = stroke index (ml./beat/sq. M.) terms of surface area:

 $\sim 10^{11}$ 

 $\sim 10$ 

 $\sim$ 

S I = 
$$
\frac{SV}{S A}
$$
 where SI = stroke index (ml./beat/sq. M.)

#### Results

#### Group One

Before the dye method for determining the cardiac output could be accepted as a suitable one for testing the effect of a drug, it was necessary to compare the results obtained from a series of two successive determinations of cardiac output on the same dog, and to show that there was no significant difference in their values.

Six dogs weighing from eleven to nineteen kilograms were used in this group. The dogs received no drug treatment during the course of the experiments. They were anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (30 mg./kg.). The operative procedure was carried out as quickly and carefully as possible to minimize any deterioration of the dog. A period of approximately thirty minutes were allowed for recovery from the surgery before the experiment was continued.<sup>1</sup>

Table I presents the data which were collected and computed for this series of experiments. Part A contains the values obtained from the first dye injection. This serves as the control for the values obtained from the second dye injection in part B. Values for the hematocrit remained unchanged from the first run to the second. Plasma volumes remained the same in dogs two, four and six; Experiment number five shows an increase in plasma volume from the first run of about surgery before the e<br>Table I present<br>this series of exper<br>the first dye inject<br>obtained from the se<br>crit remained unchan<br>volumes remained the<br>five shows an increa<br>fourteen per cent. fourteen per cent. Eight-minute samples were not taken for part  $B$  of

lThese preliminary steps and precautions were followed throughout the remaining experiments whenever possible.

experiments one and three in this group. The plasma volumes could not be computed for these dogs. The cardiac.indexes varied from a difference of eighteen per cent in dog number two, to a difference of less than one per cent in dog number five; the difference between the means of parts A and B was only two per cent. There was little change in clearance time for dogs one, two and four, while dog number six showed a substantial change of 7.3 seconds, which is well over one-half the initial value for clearance of the dye in run A. Dogs three and five showed differences of comparable magnitude but Opposite in direction. It should be noted that when the clearance time is either increased or decreased for a particular dog in this group, the cardiac index for this same dog is also changed but always Opposite in direction. This point will be discussed in detail later. There was no significant difference shown for cardiac output  $(t = 0.44)$ .

#### Group Two

A commercial epinephrine solution was injected rapidly into the external jugular vein of seven dogs, ranging in weight from 7.6 to 25.0 kgms., prior to the determination Of cardiac output. Some of these dogs had been used in a previous experiment in which the stroke index was measured With a strain gauge and/or a hamilton Optical manometer system within two hours prior to this investigation, i.e., dogs three, four and five. The dose and the time from drug injection to blood sampling were varied in an effort to establish a suitable treatment for future experiments. The total volume of epinephrine injected was one

milliliter. The dose varied from  $1.5$  to 2.6 micrograms per kilogram  $(Table IIB)$ .

Table II shows the data collected and calculated for all experiments in which the commercial epinephrine was injected with, or just prior to, the injection of the dye. Dogs one and two should be considered in a separate group due to the mode of administration of the drug, i.e., mixed in the dye solution. It is not known if epinephrine is fully active when mixed with the dye. Furthermore, different time factors in drug action did not warrant including these two types of experiments in the same group. Epinephrine has a very short onset of action and duration which depends, in part, on the rate of its oxidation (Sollmann, 1950). DOgs one and two do not show any change worthy of discussion other than an increase in plasma volume over control of twenty-five per cent for dog one; also an increase of clearance time of 5.6 seconds or thirty three per cent over the control value for the same dog.

An examination of the data for the last five dogs in this group present some very interesting changes. hematocrit values were increased slightly in dogs five and seven (7 and 8% respectively). In all five remaining experiments of the group the plasma volumes were increased substantially. Cardiac indexes were increased in all dogs with an average of thirty seven per cent. This was significant at the five per cent level  $(t = 2.49)$ . Stroke indexes were also increased in every experiment with an average incresse of twenty per cent. This was significant at the one per cent level  $(t = 4.28)$ . When the clearance

time decreased, i.e., dogs three and  $six$ , the cardiac index and stroke index increased.more than when clearance time increased or remained unchanged. It appears that the heart rates were affected in these experiments. However, due to inadequate measurement of the pre-drug heart rate it is necessary to omit a comparison.

#### Group Three

Valuable information concerning dose and time of onset of action was obtained from the previous experiments using a commercial epinephrine preparation. It was shown that a dose of approximately two micrograms per kilogram body weight, given about five seconds prior to injection of the dye solution, altered cardiac output significantly. This dosage and time schedule was substantiated by Brown  $(1954)$  and supplemented by him with additional facts concerning the use of another sympathomimetic amine, l-norepinephrine.

Preliminary dose-response experiments showed that a dose of two micrograms per kilogram body weight of l-norepinephrine bitartrate monohydrate, administered rapidly into the external jugular vein, gave a substantial pressor response in the intact anesthetized dog. The time of onset of the pressor response varied in several experiments on two dogs between  $7.5$  and  $9.5$  seconds. It was the purpose of the following experiments to measure the cardiac output, after injecting this drug, and at a time just prior to and during the pressor response. The injection time for l-norepinephrine was set at 5.5 seconds prior to injection of the dye.
The results of seven experiments are shown in Table IiI in which the drug is compared to control values. In experiment five the hematocrit increased from  $0.37$  to  $0.41$ , an increase of eleven per cent; the other six experiments showed no change. Plasma volume increased slightly in five out of seven cases. There is no significant difference in cardiac indexes at the five per cent level  $(t = 1.38)$  but attention should be called to the fact that in five of the seven dogs tested the cardiac index fell. Stroke indexes fell to a much lower level and are significant at the five per cent level  $(t = 2.47)$ . Clearance time was prolonged in every experiment, and eSpecially in experiment four where the difference is  $29.3$  seconds or a 141 per cent increase from the control value. Other experiments, i.e., two and seven, gave values for clearance time substantially increased.

#### Group Four

Sarveroside is a glycoside of Strophanthus with one-half the activity and toxicity of ouabain. The latency in the heart-lung preparation was very short (one to two minutes) and maximum effect was seen in ten minutes (Vander Brook, 1954). Meyers (1954) found in acute experiments, that two normal dogs responded similarly to intravenous ouabain  $(0.0)$ mg./kg.). The cardiac output was reduced thirty per cent after twenty minutes in these two experiments.

Preliminary experiments on four normal dogs showed that a dose of sarveroside  $(0.08 \text{ mg})(\text{kg})$  injected at a constant rate for twenty seconds produced a mild bradycardia and a slight increase in blood pressure. The blood pressure returned to normal in approximately five minutes.

It was concluded from the results of these experiments that at an interval of one and one-half minutes after the beginning of injection of the drug, a substantial change had occurred in the cardiovascular system; and that the determination of the cardiac output at this time would probably show a significant change from the control value.

Table IV lists the data obtained on five degs ranging in weight from 9.1 to 11.5 kgms. and treated using the procedure outlined above. The hematocrit fell slightly in dogs two, three and four. Changes in plasma volume were as follows; it decreased in dogs one, three and four, and increased in dogs two and five. The changes seen in dogs two and three were large (21 and  $27\%$  respectively), but in the opposite direction.

The cardiac indexes in all experiments were decreased from control values, with a mean decrease of forty-six per cent. This was significant at the one-tenth per cent level  $(t = 13.64)$ . Stroke indexes also decreased in all experiments with a mean decrease of forty-two per cent. This was also significant at the one-tenth per cent level  $(t = 6.02)$ . Clearance times were increased in all experiments. The magnitude and particularly the direction of the change in clearance time very obviously indicate a relationship between clearance time and cardiac output.

### Group Five

The procedure was the same as for the previous group with the  $ex$ ception of the time of determination of cardiac output after injection of the drug. The preliminary dose-reSponse experiments indicated that

2h

the effect of the drug had changed considerably at the end of five minutes in the direction of normalcy.

Sarveroside was injected five minutes before the determination of cardiac output in five dogs ranging in weight from  $8.5$  to  $9.8$  kgms. Table V presents the data for this group. The hematocrit values, with one exception, increased in this group in contrast to Group Four in which all decreased slightly. Dog one shows an increase of seventeen per cent over the control, and dog three also shows a definite increase. Plasma volume demonstrates the same variation as in Group Four. It appears that the trend is for a mild decrease in plasma volume after Sarveroside. Cardiac indexes were generally decreased, but dog one demonstrated a substantial increase. Although the average difference between the control and drug values was twenty-nine per cent, this was not significant at the five per cent level  $(t = 1.23)$ . It appears that if more dogs would have been used there would have been a significant decrease in cardiac output. Stroke indexes decreased in four out of five cases. Dog one showed an increase which once again interfered with the possible significance of these values at the five per cent level  $(t = 1.51)$ . As was expected, the clearance time increased for dogs demonstrating a decrease in cardiac output, and decreased for the one exception, d0g number one.

# TABLE I

CARDIAC OUTPUT<sup>\*</sup> FROM TWO SUCCESSIVE INJECTIONS OF T-1824

Experi- ment No.	Weight (kg.)	Surface Area (M <sub>5</sub> )	Hemato- Volume crit		Plasma Cardiac Output	Cardiac Index $(cc.)$ $(cc./min.)$ $(L/min./m2)$	Clearance Time (sec.)
A. First Dye Injection							
1A 2A 3A ЩA 5A 6A	19.3 12.1 14.0 11.0 13.6 11.0	0.77 0.56 0,62 0.52 0.61 0.52	0,46 0.51 0.37 0, 42 0.46 0.43	827 596 689 649 632 545	4396 3506 2117 1516 2569 2092	5.71 6.26 3.41 2.92 4,21 4.00	13.0 11.1 22.3 22.2 19.0 13.5
					Mean $\mu_*\mu_2$ $S.E. \pm 0.53$		
B. Second Dye Injection--Thirty Minutes Later							
<b>JB</b> 2B 3B ĻВ 5в 6В	19.3 12,1 14.0 11.0 13.6 11.0	0.77 0.56 0.62 0.52 0.61 0.52	0.46 0.51 0.36 0.43 0.46 0.44	--- 596 $\frac{1}{2}$ 641 719 551	4969 4124 1823 1467 25E1 1770	6.45 7.36 2.94 2.82 4.23 3.40	12.3 12.2 18.3 21.8 23.9 20.8
					4.53 Mean $S.E. \pm 0.95$		
		$t - differences$			0.44		

Controls

\* Derived from plasma dye concentration.

÷,

 $\mathcal{L}$  . The same  $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 







TABLE II

EFFECT OF COMMERCIAL EPINEPHRINE ON CARDIAC OUTPUT



\*\* Not included in statistical analysis.<br>+ Significant at 5% level.<br>++ Significant at 1% level.





EFFECT OF L-NOREFINEFHEINE ON CARDIAC OUTPUT

 $(\text{heads/min})$ Rate Heart Hanaanna<br>Hanaanna nangnang<br>1989<br>1999 Clearance  $(see)$ Time 2515217823 82日の25日<br>8225050 1-worepinephrine (cc/beat) (cc/beat/h2) 28352355<br>28374852 ង<br>មិនមានជ័យ<br>អ្នក មិន មិន Stroke Time from Injection to Sampling 5.5 Seconds. lndex  $2.47$ <sup>+</sup> 26.17  $22.29$ <br> $4.11$ Thirty Minutes Later-Following **Hardward**<br>Hardward od<br>Cancros<br>Cancros Stroke Volume Mean  $\frac{2}{5}$  $+1$ Mean<br>S.E. A. First Dye Injection<br>1233  $\frac{2.69}{1.39}$  $(L/\text{min}/N^2)$ Cardiac Index 200554223<br>20055423 s<br>*s*<br>Sans Soo Mean  $3.78$ <br> $5. E. 10.32$ 3.59  $1.38$  $+1$  $($ cc $/m$ in $)$ Mean<br>S.E. Cardiac Output 1912<br>1912<br>1912<br>1912<br>1924 202234265282282 Plasma Volume Injection  $(c<sub>c</sub>)$ 1822210705 63374838 Dose 2ug./kg. - differences  $\begin{array}{cccc}\n & 8 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{array}$ Hematocrit 0000000 Surface Area د  $(5M)$ Weight<br>(kg) o d i j j j j j j<br>o d i j j j j j j j Experi- $I1 - A - 5$ <br> $I1 - A - 6$  $L=4-3$  $11 - 8 - 1$ <br> $11 - 8 - 2$  $H = 3$ <br> $H = 3$  $\frac{1}{11-8-6}$  $I = k - 7$ Number II-A-2 II-A-lı  $L - A - 1$  $T = B - 7$ ment

Derived from plasma dye concentration. Significant at the 5% level





EFFECT OF SARVEROSIDE ON CARDIAC OUTPUT\*

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

Dose  $0.08$  mg  $\Delta x$ . Time from Injection to Sampling 1 5 Minutes





TABLE V

EFFECT OF SARVEROSIDE ON CARDIAC OUTPUT\*

Dose O.08 mg./kg. Time from Injection to Sampling 5.0 Minutes



 $30$ 

\* Derived from plasma dye concentration.



 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}$ 

#### DISCUSSION

The reproducibility of values for cardiac output was of paramount importance in this investigation due to lack of evidence in the literature to substantiate that two successive injections of the dye  $T-L\&2l_{+}$ . thirty minutes apart, would give similar values for cardiac output. The results of the experiments in Group I strongly indicate that the Stewart-Hamilton dye method for determining cardiac output gives con sistently similar results under the conditions in which these experiments were carried out. The hematocrit values obtained from successive determinations were practically unchanged. Plasma volumes and clearance times generally showed little variation between the first and second dye injections. A comparison of heart rates during the first dye injection with those just prior to drug administration Show no consistent pattern. These findings have been taken to indicate that no major changes occurred in cardiovascular status of these dogs during the time of collection of the arterial samples used in the determinations.

The increase in hematocrit seen in Group 11 after injection of epinephrine is explained by the action of this agent in contracting the Spleen and therefore increasing the cell-plasma ratio (Sollmann, 1950; Kaltreider, meneely and Allen, 1942; and Ahlquist, et al., 1954). Large doses of epinephrine generally cause a decrease in plasma volume (Kaltreider, Meneely and Allen,  $1942$ ; and Sollmann,  $1950$ ). Small intravenous doses of epinephrine, of the order employed in these experiments,

generally enhance the cardiac output (Goodman and Gilman,  $1941$ ; and hamilton, 1932a), and this in turn would increase the effective plasma volume. All the d0gs in this group showed an increase in plasma volume while under the influence of this dose of epinephrine. With an increase in cardiac output of the magnitude shown in some of these experiments, it is not surprising to see a substantial decrease in the clearance time because the velocity of flow is also increased at this time. It has been shown that epinephrine stimulates the myocardium, and that this results in a more forceful cardiac systole which increases the stroke volume of the heart (Goodman and Gilman,  $1944$ ). Stroke volumes were increased in every experiment in Group II which indicates that the force of contraction was increased along with the increase in output. It would have been desirable to have determined the heart rate immediately prior to the epinephrine injection for comparison with the drug effects on rate. The magnitude of the stroke volume increase in some instances accounted for the increased cardiac output, because rate changes of the heart were insufficient. In other cases the only explanation for the change could be an increase or decrease in rate.

In six out of seven experiments following the injection of two micrograms per kiIOgram of l-norepinephrine, it was shown that there was little if any change in the hematocrit. This is in contrast to the results seen in the epinephrine experiments. A possible explanation for the absence of an increase in hematocrit in these experiments is that this dose of 1-norepinephrine is evidently not effective in causing severe contraction of areas in which the red corpuscles are sequestered

under pentobarbital anesthesia. Alquist (1954) found that epinephrine is significantly more effective in contracting the spleen than 1-norepinephrine. The literature on the effects of Circulating epinephrine and norepinephrine in the dog reveals a variety of findings. The pressor response which follows shortly after the injection of epinephrine or norepinephrine is greater for norepinephrine (Tainter and Lands, 1953; Ahlquist, 1950; and Anlquist, et al., 1954). This difference has been found to be due to a greater vasodilator action of epinephrine which tends to reduce the total peripheral resistance (Ahlquist, 1950). Wakim and Essex (1952) found no significant difference in arterial blood pressure, heart rate or blood flow with identical doses of these two amines (dose varied from  $0.01$  to 10 ug. $/kg$ .). They also showed that immediately before the maximum increase in arterial blood pressure after intravenous injection of both norepinephrine and epinephrine, there was a transient increase in blood flow of several hundred per cent accompanying the augmented force of heart contraction and cardiac output. This was attributed to the direct stimulation of the myocardium by these agents. Grant and Lands  $(1950)$  believe the difference in effect demonstrated by epinephrine and norepinephrine are of a quantitative rather than a qualitative nature. This Opinion is substantiated by Jochim (1952), Wakim and Essex (1952), and Zanetti and Opdyke (1953). In view of the opinions given above it seems likely that if the total peripheral resistance is augmented for norepinephrine after the period of extreme cardiac stimulation, then the cardiac output should not increase as much as for epinephrine which causes more

vasodilation. The cardiac indexes in Group 111 are not significantly decreased as a whole but do show a trend in this direction. This is interpreted to mean that at this dose level and time of arterial sampling after injection of 1-norepinephrine, the values for cardiac output were determined at a time when the peripheral resistance was relatively high and/or there was a change in heart rate. The data collected are insufficient to make any definite conclusions as to the cause of the fall in cardiac indexes and stroke volumes in this series of experiments. It should be pointed out that neither blood pressure nor peripheral resistance were measured, and that these measurements are absolutely necessary in order to determine the mechanisms involved in cardiac output and stroke volume during drug action. It is believed by Zanetti and 0pdyke (1953) that the flow response to a pressor amine depends on the initial cardiac and vasomotor status of the dog prior to injection. It has been shown by Tainter and Lands (1953) that norepinephrine injected intramuscularly in humans consistently elicits a bradycardia. This is also generally the case for the dog although it varies greatly and is probably due to less vagal control of the heart, or greater sensitivity to stimulatory action of norepinephrine. In the experiments reported here this variation in heart rate is also demonstrated (see appendix).

The failing heart responds to intravenous administration of Strophanthus with an increase in cardiac output due to direct stimulation of the myocardium producing longer and more powerful contractions. This longer contraction is responsible for greater filling of the heart

 $3<sup>h</sup>$ 

which aids in reducing a high venous pressure (if initially present). The heart rate before administration of Strophanthus is usually very rapid due to the increase in venous and intra-auricular pressure which is often present in failure. This increase in pressure excites the Bainbridge reflex which lowers vagal tone, and increases the heart rate in an attempt to eliminate the back-log of venous blood. An increase in heart rate further weakens the failing heart and a vicious circle results. Strophanthus, by increasing the cardiac output, causes a fall in venous pressure which slows the heart and the circulation is restored to a more normal level (Sollmann, 1950). Cattell and Gold (1938) by removing all extraneous factors controlling the papillary muscle of the cat showed that ouabain or digitoxin produced a variable but marked increase in force of contraction indicating that these extraneous factors were non-essential in explaining the increase in myocardial contraction of the failing cat heart muscle. This is substantiated by the work of Lee (1953) who further pointed out that ouabain increased the force of contraction of cat papillary muscle without a concurrent increase in oxygen consumption. This evidence for direct action of the cardiac glycosides on the myocardium helps us to understand the over-all effect of these agents on the entire cardiovascular system including influences upon heart rate, diastolic size, peripheral resistance, venous return and coronary flow.

The effects of cardiac glycosides (e.g., sarveroside, which was used in this experiment) on the intact dog heart is entirely different from those on the failing heart or isolated cat papillary muscle.

Page, et al. (1951), showed that 0.037 milligrams per kilogram of ouabain in the intact anesthetized dog decreased the coronary flow, pulse rate and cardiac output, and increased the arterial blood pressure, total peripheral resistance and stroke work. The increase in blood pressure was transient and leveled off in approximately fifteen minutes. This group used the Fick method to determine cardiac output. Successive determinations prior to and after thirty minutes from the time of injection of ouabain gave no significant results (t =  $0.66$ ) for cardiac output. however, when the dose was reduced to 0.026 milligrams per kilogram the values for cardiac output were increased significantly over the controls. Previous to this work many other investigators (Harrison and Leonard, 1926; Dock and Tainter, 1930; Tainter and Dock, 1930; Bing, et al. 1950; and McMichael and Sharpey-Schafer,  $19\mu\mu$ , using full therapeutic doses of various glycosides, showed similar results marked by a fall in cardiac output in the normal intact anesthetized dog.

The results in Group IV and V of this research with sarveroside are in agreement with the results obtained by the above investigators for changes in cardiac output following the injection of other glycosides. The present experiments are the first of this kind ever to be performed with sarveroside. All dogs in Group IV demonstrated a decrease in heart rate which agrees with the findings of Page, et al. (1951), while those in Group V varied considerably. Dock and Tainter (1930) account for the fall in cardiac output in their experiments by the fact that the Spleen and liver both increased in volume. Since this would tend

to reduce the effective plasma volume, this may account for low values for plasma volume obtained in some of the experiments reported here. The heart, when working against a higher pressure which is probably the case in these experiments, finds it more difficult to maintain a respectable cardiac output. This is particularly true if the blood volume decreases and thus reduces venous return as Dock and Tainter (1930) suggest. During cardiac decompensation the circulation time often increases to levels of thirty-one to fifty-four seconds (Mchichael, 1948). When the cardiac output decreases to the extent that it has in some of the experiments reported here, then it seems logical to assume that this may really be a temporary cardiac decompensation. This is indicated by the fact that in the cases showing the largest fall in cardiac output, the clearance time for the dye was increased. This is the expected direction of change of the circulation time during cardiac decompensation.

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Evidence has been presented which suggests that the Stewart-Hamilton dye method for determining cardiac output is a feasible pharmacolOgical laboratory procedure for studying the effects of a \_ cardiotonic agent on the output of the heart in the dog. The application of the dye-dilution method to the study of three cardiotonic agents i.e., epinephrine, norepinephrine and sarveroside, has given comparable measurements for cardiac output and plasma volume compared to data in the literature.

The following conclusions have been reached:

1) There is essentially no difference in the values obtained for cardiac output in parts A and B of Group I. This indicates that two successive runs can be made on the same animal with minimal variation.

2) When approximately two micrograms per kilogram body weight of a commercial epinephrine solution were administered intravenously from h.S to 9.? seconds prior to collection of arterial blood samples for cardiac output, there was generally a substantial increase in cardiac output in the intact anesthetized dog.

3) On intravenous administration of two micrograms per kilogram body weight of norepinephrine, 5.5 seconds prior to cardiac output measurement, there was generally a slight decrease in cardiac output but this was not significant.

4) The dose of sarveroside (0.08 mg./kg.), used in these experiments and given 1.5 minutes prior to collection of the arterial blood for cardiac output, was found to decrease heart output profoundly in five dogs tested. When the heart output was measured five minutes after the drug was given, Group V, the results showed some variation but were not significantly different from the control values.

5) The average plasma volume calculated from a single eight minute sample for thirty dogs was found to be  $48.83$  cc. per kg. body weight. This figure agrees nicely with the accepted value of 50.0 cc. per kg. body weight (Gregersen, l9Sh).

6) The average cardiac index.for the thirty dogs used in these experiments was 3.9 liters per minute per square meter of body surface. This value is slightly high compared to the average value in the literature.

7) The dye-dilution method is a feasible technique for studying certain cardiac effects of drugs in the intact anesthetized dog.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ahlquist, R. P.: Comparative Effects of Epinephrine and Arterenol-ISOprOpyl Arterenol Mixtures, Fed. Proc. 9:253, 1950.
- Ahlquist, R. P., Taylor, J. P., Rawson, C. W. Jr., Sydow, V. L.: Comparative Effects of Epinephrine and Levarterenol in the Intact Anesthetized Dog, J. Pharm. Exper. Therap. 110:352 ,19514.
- Ahmed, S., Bayliss, R. I. S., Briscoe, W. A., and McMichael, J.: The Action of Ouabain (G-strophanthin) on the Circulation in Man, and a Comparison with Digoxin, Clin. Sc. 9:1, 1950.
- Allen, T. H., and Semple, R. E.: Effects of Repeated Sampling on Plasma and Cell Volumes in Degs as Estimated with Small and Large Amounts of T-182h, m. J. Physiol. 165:205, 1951.
- Andres, R., Zierler, K. L., Anderson, R. M., Stainsby, W. N., Cader, G., Ghrayyib, A. 8., and Lilienthal, J. L. Jr.: Measurement of Blood Flow and Volume in the Forearm of Man; with Notes on the Theory of Indicator Dilution and on Production of Turbulence, Hemolysis, and Vasodilatation by Intra-Vascular Injection, J. Clin. Invest. 33xu82, 19Sh.
- Auerbach, M. E., Angell. E.: The Determination of Arterenol in Epinephrine, Science 109:537, 1919.
- Auerbach, M. E.: A Limit Test for Norepinephrine (Arterenol) in Epinephrine. Drug Standards 20:165, 1952.
- Barcroft, R., and Konzett, H.: Action of Nor-Adrenaline and Adrenaline on Human Heart-Rate, Lancet 1:1147, 19h9.
- Barcroft, H., and Starr, I.: Comparison of the Actions of Adrenaline and Noradrenaline on the Cardiac Output in man, Clin. Sc. 10:295, 1951.
- Bing, R. J., Maraist, F. M., Dammann, J. F. Jr., Draper, A. Jr., heimbecker, R., Daley, R., Gerard, R., and Calazel, P.: Effect of Strophanthus on Coronary Blood Flow and Cardiac Oxygen Consumption of Normal and Failing Hearts, Circulation 2:513 ,1950.
- Bloomfield, R. A., Rapoport, B., Milnor, J. P., Long, W. K., Mebane, J. G., and Ellis, L. B.: The Effects of the Cardiac Glycosides Upon the Dynamics of the Circulation in Congestive heart Failure. I. Ouabain,  $J.$  Clin. Invest. 27:588. 1948.
- Brookhart, J. M., and Boyd. T. E.: Local Differences in Intrathoracic Pressure and Their Relation to Cardiac Filling Pressure in the Dog, Am. J. Physiol. lh8xh3h,19h7.
- Brown, R. V., and Boxill, G. C.: Presser Dose-Effect Curves for L-Epinephrine, L-N0repinephrine and <sup>a</sup> Commercial Epinephrine, Fed. Proc. 10:283,1951.
- Brown, R. V.: Personal Communication, 1954.
- Cattell, M., and Gold, H.: The Influence of Digitalis Glucosides on the Force of Contraction of Mammalian Cardiac Muscle, J. Pharm. Exper. Therap. 62:116, 1938.
- Chinard, F. P. 1951: Estimation of Plasma Volume by Dye Dilution Method, in Methods in Medical Research, Vol. IV. p. 38, M. B. Visscher (Editor-in-Chief) , Year Book Publishers, Inc. , Chicago, Illinois.
- Coe, W. 3., Best, M. M., and Lawson, H. 0.: Measurement of Cardiac Output by Intracardiac Dye Injection, Am. J. Physiol. 163:70h, 1950.
- Cohn, A. E., and Stewart, H. J.: The Relation Between Cardiac Size and Cardiac Output Per Minute Following the Administration of Digitalis in Normal Dogs, J. Clin. Invest. 6:53, 1928.
- Courtice, F. 0.: The Blood Volume of Normal Animals, J. Physiol. 102:290, l9h3.
- Cushny, A. B.: On the Action of Substances of the Digitalis Series on the Circulation in Mammals, J. Exper. Med. 2:233, 1897.
- Cyvin, K.: Calculation of Cardiac Output by Estimation with Dilution Method, Acta PhysiolOgica Scandinavica 19:57, 1949.
- Dock, W., and Tainter, M. L.: The Circulatory Changes After Full Therapeutic Doses of Digitalis, with a Critical Discussion of Views on Cardiac Output, J. Clin. Invest. 8:467, 1930.
- Dow, P., Hahn, P. F., and Hamilton, W. F.: The Simultaneous Transport of T-182h and Radioactive Red Cells Through the Heart and Lungs, Am. J. Physiol. lh7xh93, 19146.
- Dow, P., and Hamilton, W. F.: Prototypal Curves of Dye Concentration for Cardiac Output by Injection Method, Fed. Proc. 9:33, 1950.
- Dow, P., Remington, J. W., and Howard, A. B.: Comparison of Three Methods for Repeated Rapid Determinations of Cardiac Output, Fed.Proc. 11:36, 1952.

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$  are the contribution of the contribution of  $\mathcal{A}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\$  $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z})$  . The contribution of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ 

 $\mathcal{A}(\bullet)$  ,  $\mathcal{A}(\bullet)$  , and  $\mathcal{A}(\bullet)$  $\bullet$  ,  $\bullet$  ,  $\bullet$  ,  $\bullet$  ,  $\bullet$ 

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$  are the set of the set

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$  . The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  is the contribution of the contribution of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ 

 $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \left( \mathcal{L} \right) \left( \mathcal{L} \right) \left( \mathcal{L} \right) \left( \mathcal{L} \right) \left( \mathcal{L} \right)$  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$  are the set of the set of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{$  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$  , where  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and the contribution of the con

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$  are  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$  . In the set of  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}$  .  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$  are the set of the set of the set of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ 

- Doyle, J. T., Wilson, J. S., Lepine, G., and Warren, J. V.: An Evaluation of the Measuremnt of the Cardiac Output and of the So-called Pulmonary Blood Volume by the Dye-Dilution Method, J. Lab. Clin. Med. 41:29. 1953.
- 'Edelman, I. S., Olney, J. M., James, A. H., Brooks, L., and Moore, F. D.: Body Composition: Studies in the Human Being by the Dilution Principle, Science  $115:\frac{\mu}{7}$ , 1952.
- Fowler, N. 0., Westcott, R. M., Scott, R. G., and McGuire, J.: The Effect of Nor-Epinephrine Upon Pulmonary Arteriolar Resistance in Man, J. Clin. Invest. 30:517, 1951.
- Garb, S.: Inotropic Action of Epinephrine, Nor-epinephrine, and N-iSOprOpyl-Norepinephrine on Heart Muscle, Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol.  $Med. 73:134.1950.$
- Gilmore, J. P., and Handford, S. W.: The Effect of L-norepinephrine on Cardiac Output in the Anesthetized Dog During Graded Hemorrhage. J. Clin. Invest. 33:88h, 1951;.
- Goodman, L., and Gilman, A. 1941: Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, Macmillan 00., h. I.
- Grant, J. I., and Lands, A. M.: Intravenous Infusion Studies in Dogs with Epinephrine and 1-Arterenol, Fed. Proc. 11:351. 1952.
- Green, H. D.  $1948$ : Cardiac Output and Contractility; III. Injection Methods, in Methods in Medical Research, Vol. I, p. 221, V. R. Potter (Editor-in-Chief), lear Book Publishers, Inc., Chicago, Ill.
- Greenberg,  $R_{\bullet}$ , and Lambeth,  $C_{\bullet} B_{\bullet}$ : Comparison of Effect of 1-Epinephrine and 1-Norepinephrine on the Denervated Heart of Unanesthetized Dogs, Fed. Proc. 11:58, 1952.
- Gregersen, M. I., Gibson, J. G., and Stead, E. A.: Plasma Volume Determination with Dyes: Errors in Calorimetry; Use of the Blue Dye T-182h, Am. J. Physiol. 113x514, 1935.
- Gregersen,  $M_{\bullet}$  I., and Gibson, J. G. I1.: Conditions Affecting the Absorption Spectra of Vital Dyes in Plasma, Am. J. Physiol.  $120i\mu\mu$ , 1937 .
- Gregersen, M. I., and Rawson, R. A.: The Disappearance of  $T-1824$  and Structurally Related Dyes from the Blood Stream, Am. J. Physiol. 138:698, 19h3. .
- Gregersen, M. I.: A Practical Method for the Determination of Blood Volume with the Dye T-1824,  $J$ . Lab. Clin. Med. 29:1266, 1944.

Gregersen, M. I.: Blood Volume, Ann. Rev. Physiol. 13:397. 1951.

Gregersen, M. 1.: Personal Communication, 195k.

- Hamilton, W. F., Moore, J. W., Kinsman, J. M., and Spurling, R. G.: Simultaneous Determination of the Greater and Lesser Circulation Times, of the Mean Velocity of Blood Flow Through the Heart and Lungs, of the Cardiac Output and an Approximation of the Amount of Blood Actively Circulating in the Heart and Lungs, Am. J. Physiol. 85:377, 1928a.
- Hamilton, W. F., Moore, J. W., Kinsman, J. M., and Spurling, R. G.: Simultaneous Determination of the Pulrnonary and Systemic Circulation Times in Man and of a Figure Related to the Cardiac Output, Am. J. Physiol.  $84:338.1928b$ .
- Hamilton, W. F., Moore, J. W., Kinsman, J. M., and Spurling, R. G.: Blood Flow and Intrathoracic Blood Volume, as Determined by the Injection Method and Checked by Direct Measurement in Perfusion Experiments, Am. J. Physiol. 93:65h, 1930.
- Hamilton, W. F., Moore, J. W., and Kinsman, J. M.: Cardiac Output, Mean Circulation Time, Total Blood Volume and Heart-Lung Blood Volume Under Physiological and Pathological Conditions, Am. J. Physiol. 97:528, 1931.
- Hamilton, W. F., Moore, J. W., Kinsman, J. M., and Spurling, R. G.: Studies on the Circulation: 1V. Further Analysis of the Injection Method, and of Changes in Hemodynamics Under Physiological and Pathological Conditions, Am. J. Physiol. 99:534, 1932a.
- Hamilton, W. F.: Some Mechanisms Involved in the Regulation of the Circulation, Am. J. Physiol. 102:551, 1932b.
- Hamilton, W. F. 1944: Heart Output, in Medical Physics, p. 575, Otto Glasser (Editor-in-Chief) , Year Book Publishers, Inc., Chicago, 111.
- Hamilton, W. F.: Notes on the Development of the Physiology of Cardiac Output, Fed. Proc. 4:183, 1945.
- Hamilton, W. F., and Remington, J. W.: Comparison of the Time Concentration Curves in Arterial Blood of Diffusible and hon-Diffusible Substances When Injected at a Constant Rate and When Injected Instantaneously, Am. J. Physiol. 1148:35, 19h7.
- Hamilton, W. F., and Remington, J. W.: Some Factors in the Regulation of the Stroke Volume, Am. J. Physiol. 153: 287, l9h8a.
- Hamilton, W. F., Riley, A. M., Attyah, A. M., Cournand, A., Fowell, D. M., Himmelstein, F. A., Noble, R. P., Remington, J. W., Richards, D. W. Jr., Wheeler, M. G., and Witham, A. 0.2 Comparison of the Fick and Dye Injection Methods of Measuring the Cardiac Output in Man, Am. J. Physiol. 153:309, 1948b.
	- Harrison, T. R., and Leonard, B. W.: The Effect of Digitalis on the Cardiac Output of Dogs and its Bearing on the Action of the Drug in Heart Disease, J. Clin. Invest. 3:1, 1926.
	- Hetzel, P. S., Swan, H. J. C.: Comparison of Arterial Dilution Curves Following Central and Peripheral Injections of Dye, Fed. Proc. 12: 66, 1953.
	- Hoff, H. E.: Heart: Cardiac Output and Dynamics, Ann. Rev. Physiol. 8:311, 19h6.
	- Holt, J. P.: The Effect of Positive and Negative Intrathoracic Pressure on Cardiac Output and Venous Pressure in the Dog, Am. J. Physiol. 1h2:59h, 19uh.
	- Howard, A. R., Hamilton, W. F., and Dow, F.: Limitations of the Continuous Infusion Method for Measuring Cardiac Output by Dye Dilution, Am. J. Physiol. 1752173, 1953.
	- Jochim, K. E.: Some Vascular Responses in the Dog to 1-Epinephrine and l-Norepinephrine, Fed. Proc. 11279, 1952.
	- Johnson, S. R.: The Effect of Some Anesthetic Agents on Circulation in man, with Special Reference to the Significance of Pulmonary Blood Volume for the Circulatory Regulation, Acta Chir. Scand. Supp. 15a, 1951.
	- Kaltreider, N. L., Meneely, G. R., and Allen, J. R.: The Effect of Epinephrine on the Volume of the Blood, J. Clin. Invest. 21:339.  $1942.$
	- Kelly, H. G., and Bayliss, R. I. 5.: Influence of Heart-Rate on Cardiac Output; Studies with Digoxin and Atropine, Lancet 2:1071, 1949.
	- Kinsman, J. M., Moore, J. W., and Hamilton, W. F.: Studies on the Circulation. 1. Injection Method: Physical and Mathematical Considerations, Am. J. Physiol.  $89:322,1929$ .
	- Kopelman, H.: The Circulation Time as a Clinical Test, Brit. Heart J. 13:301, 1951.
	- Lagerlof, H., Werko, L., Bucht, H. , and Holmgren, A.: Separate Determination of the Blood Volume of the Right and Left Heart and the Lungs in Man With the Aid of the Dye Injection Method, Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Invest. 1:114, 1949.
- Lands, A. M., and Tainter, M. L.: Sympathomimetic Amines in the Treatment of Peripheral Circulatory Failure, Med. Ann. Dist. Col. 21: 63, 1952.
- Lawson, H. C., Cantrell, W. F., Shaw, J. E., and Blackburn, D. L.: Simultaneous Comparison of Two Injection Methods for Cardiac Output, Fed. Proc. 11:90, 1952.
- Lawson, H. C., Cantrell, W. F., Shaw, J. E., Blackburn, D. L., and Adams, 8.: measurement of Cardiac Output in the Dog by the Simultaneous Injection of Dye and Radioactive Red Cells, Am. J. Physiol. 170:277, 1952.
- Lawson, H. C., Shadle, O. W., Coleman, E. S., and Holtgrave, D. E.: A Comparison of Intracardiac and Intravenous Injections for the Measurement of Cardiac Output by the Dilution Technic, Cir. Res. 2:251, l95u.
- Lee,  $K_5$ .: A New Technique for the Simultaneous Recording of Oxygen Consumption and Contraction of Muscle: The Effect of Ouabain on Cat Papillary Muscle, J. Pharm. Exper. Therap. 109:304, 1953.
- Lee, K. S.: The Simultaneous Recording of Oxygen Uptake and Contraction of Papillary'huscles as Affected by l—Epinephrine and l-horepinephrine, J. Pharm. Exper. Therap. 1092313, 1953.
- Lewis, A. E.: Computation of Cardiac Output from Dye Dilution Curves, J. Applied Physiol. 6293, 1953.
- Lewis, A. E.: cistimation of Plasma Volume of the Heart, Am. J. Physiol. 1722203, 1953.
- Lewis, A. E.: Measurement of Thoracic Visceral Plasma Volume, Am. J. Physiol. 172:195, 1953.
- Luduena, F. P., Ananenko, B., Siegmund, O. H., and Miller, L. 0.: Comparative Pharmacology of the Optical Isomers of Arterenol, J. Pharm. Exper. Therap. 952155, 19h9.
- McMichael, J., and Sharpey-Schafer, E. P.: The Action of Intravenous Digoxin in man, Quarterly J. Med. 13:123, 1944.
- McMichael, J.: heart: The Influence of Respiration on the Circulation, Ann. Rev. Physiol. 10:206, 1948.
- Mendez,  $\overline{h}_*$ , and Mendez,  $C_*$ : The Action of Cardiac Glycosides on the Refractory Period of Heart Tissues, J. Pharm. Exper. Therap. 107: 2A, 1953.

Meyers, F. M.: Personal Comnunication, 1951;.

- Moore, J. W., Kinsman, J. M., Hamilton, W. F., and Spurling, R. G.: Studies on the Circulation. II. Cardiac Output Determinations; Comparison of the Injection Method with the Direct Fick Procedure, Am. J. Physiol. 89:331, 1929.
- Movitt, E. R. 19129: Digitalis and Other Cardiotonic Drugs, 2nd Ed. , Oxford University Press, N. Y.
- hahas, G. G., Visscher, M. B., and Maddy, F. J.: Discrepancies in Cardiac Output Measurements by Two Applications of the Direct Fick Principle, J. Applied Physiol. 6:292, 1953.
- Newman, E. V., Merrell, M., Genecin, A., Monge, C., Milnor, W. R., and Moheever, W. F.: The Dye Dilution Method for Describing the Central Circulation; An Analysis of Factors Shaping the Time-Concentration Curves, Circulation 4:735, 1951.
- Nicholson, J. W. III., and Wood, E. B.: Estimation of Cardiac Output and Evans Blue Space in Man, Using an Oximeter, J. Lab. Clin. Med. 38:588, 1951.
- Noble, R. P., and Gregersen, M. I.: Blood Volume in Clinical Shock: I. Mixing Time and Disappearance Rate of T-182h in Normal Subjects and in Patients in Shock; Determination of Plasma Volume in Man from lO-Minute Sample, J. Clin. Invest. 252158, 19146.
- Page, R. G., Wendel, H., Sheldon, W. B., and Foltz, E. L.: Effects of Ouabain on the Coronary Circulation and Cardiac Energetics, Fed. Proc. 9:306, 1950.
- Pearce, M. L., Lewis, A. E., and Kaplan, M. R.: The Factors Influencing the Circulation Time, Circulation 5:563, 1952 .
- Pearce, M. L., McKeever, W. P., Dow, P., Merrell, M., and Newman, E. V.: An Anatomic Dissection of Dye Dilution Curves, Fed. Proc. 11:110. 1952'.
- Raab, W., and hpeschkin, E.: Pressor and Cardiac Effects of Adreno chrome (Omega) in the Atropinized Cat, Exper. Med. Surg. 8:319, 1950.
- Rashkind, W. T., and Morton, J. H.: Comparison of the Constant and Instantaneous Injection Techniques for Determining Carciac Output, Am. J. Physiol. 159:389, 1919.
- Reeve, R. B.: Methods of Estimating Plasma and Total Red Cell Volume,  $nut.$  Abst. Rev. 17:811. 1948.
- ning, G. C., Oppenheimer, M. J., Baier, H. N., Sokalchuk, A., Bell, L. L., Ichtiarowa, L. D., Ellis, D. W., Lynch, P. R., and Shapiro, L. J.: Wood's Cuvette Oximeter for Measurement of Dye Concentration in Blood, J. Applied Physiol. 52h3, 1952.
- Rytand, D. A.: The Effect of Digitalis on the Venous Pressure of Normal Individuals, J. Clin. Invest. 1228L7, 1933.
- Schambye, P.2 Theoretical and Experimental Evaluation of the Time-Concentration Curves Obtained by the Hamilton Method, Fed. Proc. 122126, 1953.
- Schreiner, G. E., Freinkel, N., Athens, J. W., and Stone, W. IlI.2 Cardiac Output, Central Volume and Dye Injection Curves in Traumatic Arterio-Venous Fistulas in Man, Circulation 72718, 1953.
- Shore,  $R_{.9}$  Holt, J. P., and Knoefel, P. K.: Determination of Cardiac Output in the Dog by the Fick Procedure,  $Am_{.}$  J. Physiol. 1 $\frac{1}{3}$ 2709. 19h5.
- Sollmann, T. 1950: A Manual of Pharmacology, W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, Penn.
- Stewart, G. N.: Researches on the Circulation Time and on the Influences Which Affect It: IV. The Output of the Heart, J. Physiol. 22:159, 1897.
- Stewart, G. N.: The Output of the Heart in Dogs, Am. J. Physiol. 57:27, 1921.
- Stewart, H. J., and Cohn, A. E.: Studies of Effects of Action of Digitalis on Output of Blood from Heart; Effect on Output in Normal Hearts; Effect on Output of Hearts in Heart Failure with Congestion in Human Beings, J. Clin. Invest. 112917, 1932,
- Storaasli, J. P., Krieger, H., Friedell, H. L., and Holden, w. B.: The Use of Radioactive Iodinated.Plasma Protein in the Study of Blood Volume, Surg. Gynecol. Obstet. 912h58, 1950.
- Surtshin, A., and Rolf, D.2 Plasma.Dye Concentration Curves Following Two Successive Injections, Am. J. Physiol. 161:483, 1950.
- Sutton, G. G., Kappert, A., Reale, A., Skoglund, C. H., and Nylin, G.: Studies on l-Norepinephrine: Relation of Dosage to Pressor and Bradycardia effect, J. Lab. Clin. Med. 36:460, 1950.
- Swan, H. J. C.: Effect of Noradrenaline on the Human Circulation, Lancet. 22506,19b9.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$  . The set of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  , and the set of  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$  , and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$ 

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(1600) \times 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{Gyr}^{-1})$  . The contribution of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(10^6) \times 10^6)$  . The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(10^6))$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2.$  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$  $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$  $\label{eq:2} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}}}}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}}}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}}} \\ &\leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal$  $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v})$  , where  $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v})$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{dx}{\sqrt{2}}\,dx\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{dx}{\sqrt{2}}\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{dx}{\sqrt{2}}\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{dx}{\sqrt{2}}\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb$  $\label{eq:3.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \,$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  . The contribution of the contribution of  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2$ 

- Tainter, M. L., and Dock W.: Further Observations on the Circulatory Actions of Digitalis and Strophanthus with Special Reference to the Liver, and Comparisons with Histamine and Epinephrine, J. Clin.<br>Invest. 8:485, 1930.
- Tainter, M. L.2 Sympathomimetic Amines as Epinephrine Substitutes, J. A. M. A. 116:2769, 1941.
- Tainter, M. L.: The Experimental and Clinical Evaluation of 1-Arterenol. A Hormone of the Adrenal Medulla, International Rec. Med. 1662227, 1953 .
- Tainter, M. L., and Lands, A. M.: Certain Actions of Sympathomimetic Amines on the Heart,  $N = X$ . State J. Med. 53:1433, 1953.
- Tular, B. F.: The Separation of l-Arterenol from Natural U. S. P. Epinephrine, Science 109:536, 1949.
- von Euler, U. 5.2 Hormones of the Sympathetic Nervous System and the Adrenal Medulla, Brit. Med. J. Jan. 20, 1951a.
- von Euler, U. 8.2 The Nature of Adrenergic Nerve Mediators, Pharm. Rev. 3:2u7, 1951b.
- von Euler, U. 8.2 III. Epinephrine and Norepinephrine, Pharm. Rev.  $6:15, 1954.$
- Wakim, K. G.: The Effects of Adrenaline and Nembutal Anesthesia on Blood Constituents Before and After Splenectomy, J. Lab. Clin. Med. 31:18, 1946.
- Wakim, K. G., and Essex, H. E.: Comparison of Circulatory Effects of 1-Epinephrine and 1-Norepinephrine, Fed. Proc. 10:141, 1951.
- Wakim, K. G., and Essex, H. E.: Comparison of the Circulatory Effects of Epinephrine and Norepinephrine, Circulation 5:370, 1952.
- Walker, J. M., Laurie, E. M., and Burn, J. H.: The Effects of Digoxin and Ouabain on the Heart-Lung Preparation of the Dog, Brit. J. Pharm. 52306, 1950.
- Walton, R. P., Leary, J. S., and Jones, H. P.: Comparative Increase in Ventricular Contractile Force Produced by Several Cardiac Glycosides , J. Pharm. Exper. Therap. 98:345, 1950.
- Warner, H. R., and Wood, E. H.: Simplified Calculation of Cardiac Output from Dye Dilution Curves Recorded by Oximeter, J. Applied Physiol. 52111, 1952.
- Werko, L., Lagerlof, H., Bucht, H., Wehle, B., and Holmgren, A.: Comparison of the Pick and Hamilton Methods for Determination of Cardiac Output in Man, Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Invest. 1:109. 1949.
- White, H. L.: Measurement of Cardiac Output by a Continuously Recording Conductivity Method, Am. J. Physiol. 1512115, 19W.
- Wiggers, H. C.2 Cardiac Output and Total Peripheral Resistance Measurements in Experimental Dogs, Am. J. Physiol. 140:519, 1944.
- Witham,  $A. C.,$  and Fleming,  $J. W.:$  The Effect of Epinephrine on the Pulmonary Circulation in Man, J. Clin. Invest. 302707, 1951.
- Wollenberger, A.2 Metabolic Action of the Cardiac Glycosides , II. Effect of Ouabain and Digoxin on the Energy-Rich Phosphate Content of the Heart, J. Pharm. Exper. Therap. 1032123, 1951.
- Woske, H., Fastier, F. N., Belford, J., and Brooks, C. M.: The Effect of Induced Failure and Digitalization on the Excitability and Rhythmicity of the Dog's Heart, J. Pharm. Exper. Therap. 110:215, 19Sh.
- Zanetti, M. E., and Opdyke, D. F.: Similarity of Acute Hemodynamic Response to 1-Epinephrine and 1-Arterenol, J. Pharm. Exper. Therap. 1092107, 1953.

APPENDIX

## A. Statistics Formulae

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

1. Standard Deviation of The Differences

$$
\sigma_d \qquad \qquad = \sqrt{\frac{\sum d^2 - \left(\sum d\right)^2}{n}}
$$

2. Standard Error of The Differences

$$
\sigma_d = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

3. t - Test of The Differences

$$
t = \frac{\bar{d} - 0}{\sigma_{\bar{d}}}
$$

 $\bar{z}$
$\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}) & = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}) \\ & = \mathcal{L$  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  , where  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  , where  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}d\mu_{\rm{eff}}\,d\mu_{\rm{eff}}\,.$  $\label{eq:2} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) & = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  , where  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac$ 



 $\sim 10^{-10}$ 

### CHANGES IN HEART RATE DURING EXPERIMENTS

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}
$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$ 

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})
$$

المراجع والمحافظة ومعتريه

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}
$$

ومخلوجهم والمتعاري والمرادي المرادي المتعارضة المتعاري والمرادي والمتناول والمتعارض

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})
$$

$$
(\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A},\mathcal
$$

A. Controls



 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 















 $\bullet$  .

B. Commercial Epinephrine B. Commercial Epinephrine



Continued

 $\bar{z}$ 



 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

## C. l-Norepinephrine. 1-Norepinephrine

С.	1-Norepinephrine.		Dose 2.0 $ug.$ /kg.		Duration 5.5 Sec.		55
Seconds	$\overline{\log}$ No. $II-A-1$ mg./m1.	Dog No. II-B-1 Wt. 9.0 kg.	Seconds Mg./ml.	Seconds	$Dog No. II-A-2$ $mg./ml$ .	Dog No. 11-B-2 Wt. 10.0 kg.	Seconds Mg./ml.
$\boldsymbol{2}$ $\pmb{\mathsf{L}}$ $\boldsymbol{6}$ $\pmb{8}$ 10 12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	$\mathbf 0$ $\mathbf{o}$ 0,00069 0.02115 0,03270 0.02889 0.01506 0.00693 0.00597 $\bullet$	$\mathbf{2}$ 4 6 8 10 12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	$\mathbf{O}$ 0.00159 0.01905 0.02364 0.02265 0.01428 0.00894 0.00816 0,00909	$\boldsymbol{2}$ $\mathbf{h}$ 6 8 10 12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	O 0,00423 0.03039 0.02478 0.00792 0.00315 0.00540 0.00774 0.00876	$\boldsymbol{2}$ 4 6 q 10 12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	$\mathbf o$ $\mathbf 0$ 0.00309 0.02478 0.02877 0.01599 0.00894 0.00591 0.00645
$\overline{\log}$ No. $\Pi$ -A-3	Seconds Mg./ml.	Dog No. $11-8-3$ Wt. 14.1 kg.	Seconds $mg/Ml$ .	$\log$ No. II-A-4 Seconds	mg./ml.	$Dog No. II-B-4$ Wt. 11.5 kg.	Seconds $mg_{\bullet}/m1$ .
$\mathbf{2}$ 4 $\boldsymbol{6}$ $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ 10 12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	$\mathbf 0$ 0.01611 0.02151 0.00585 0.00195 0.00279 $0.00!\,\mathrm{d}4$ 0.00486 0.00468 --	$\boldsymbol{2}$ 4 6 õ 10 12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	0 0.01653 0.01584 0.00513 0.00345 0.00393 0.00540 0.00498	$\boldsymbol{2}$ 4 6 8 10 12 15 18 21 24	0 0.00045 0,02250 0.03315 0.02403 0.01155 0.00546 0.00546 0,00816 $\blacksquare$	2 4 6 ୪ 10 12 15 18 21 25	O $\mathbf 0$ 0,00189 0.01542 0,02502 0.02454 0,01776 0.01242 0,00963
$Dog No. II-A-5$	Seconds Mg./ml.	Dog No. II-B-5 Wt. 13.2 kg.	Seconds Mg./ml.	Seconds	Dog No. II-A-6 $Ng$ ./ml.	Dog No. II-B-6 Wt. 12.0 kg.	Seconds Mg./ml.
2 4 6 $\pmb{8}$ 10 12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	0 $\mathbf 0$ 0.01335 0.02214 0.01224 0.00435 0,00213 0.00372 0.00459	2 $\mathbf{h}$ $6\atop 8$ 10 12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	0 0 0.01233 0.02325 0,01233 0.00528 0.00378 0.00591 0,00708	2 4 6 8 10 12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	0 0.00045 0.01551 0,02790 0.01428 <b>0.00 LL4</b> 0.00201 0.00420 0.00489	$\mathbf{2}$ 4 6 $\boldsymbol{8}$ 10 12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	0 0.00228 0.02766 0,02190 0,00708 0.00399 0.00336 0.00315 0.00498 --

Dose 2.0 ug. /kg. Duration 5.5 Sec.

Continued

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  , where  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 





 $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$  $\zeta^{(0)}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\$ 

# D. Sarveroside<br>D. Sarveroside





#### E. Sarveroside

								58
E. Sarveroside					Dose 0.08 Mg./kg. Duration 5.0 Min.			
Dog No. IV-A-1 Seconds	Mg./ml.	Wt. 9.2 kg.	Dog No. IV-B-I Seconds	$mg$ ./ml.	$\overline{\text{Dog}}$ . No. IV-A-2 Seconds	$Mg_{\circ}/m$ l.	Dog No. $IV-B-2$ Wt. 9.8 kg. Seconds	$mg/ml$ .
$\boldsymbol{2}$ $\mathbf{l}_{4}$ $\ddot{6}$ $\bf 8$ 10	O 0.01107 0.04005 0.02703 0.00909		$\mathbf{2}$ $\mathbf{h}$ 6 $\bf 8$ 10	$\mathbf{o}$ 0.02553 0.03321 0.01605 0.00534	$\mathbf{c}$ $\pmb{\mu}$ 6 Ⴘ 10	0 $\mathbf 0$ 0.00075 0.01464 0.03408	$\mathbf{2}$ 4 6 $\bf 8$ ${\bf 10}$	$\mathsf O$ $\mathsf O$ $\mathsf O$ 0,00069 0.01326
12 15 18 21 24	0.00429 0.00759 0.00852 --		12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	0.00498 0.00894 0.00969	12 15 18 21 24	0.03099 0.01722 0.00744 0.00582 --	12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	0.02952 0.03099 0.02226 0.01437 0.00954
$\overline{\text{Dog no}}$ . IV-A-3 Seconds	Mg./ml.	Wt. 8.6 kg.	Dog No. $IV-B-3$	Seconds Mg./ml.	Dog No. IV-A-4 Seconds Mg./ml		Dog No. 1V-B-4 Wt. 8.5 kg. Seconds	$mg_{\bullet}/m$
$\mathbf{2}$ $\mathbf{h}$ 6 $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ $10\,$ 12 15 $18\,$ 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	$\mathbf{o}$ 0.01899 0,03990 0.02238 0.00684 0,00279 0.00537		$\mathbf{2}$ 4 6 $\bf 8$ 10 12 15 18 21 2 <sub>4</sub>	$\mathbf 0$ 0 0.00213 0.01104 0.03126 0.03705 0.03186 0.01596 0,00900 0.00846	$\mathbf{c}$ 4 6 $\bf8$ 10 12 15 18 21 24	0 $\mathbf o$ 0.00165 0.02337 0.03918 0.03630 0.02565 0.01101 0.01209	$\frac{2}{4}$ 6 ෪ 10 12 15 18 21 24	0 $\mathbf 0$ 88110.0 0.03075 0.03345 0,02700 0.01629 0.00969 0.01059 --
Dog No. IV-A-5 Seconds	Mg./ml.	Wt. 8.9 kg.	$Dog$ No. IV-B-5	Seconds Mg./ml.				

Dose 0.08 Mg./kg. Duration 5.0 Min.

### ROOM USE ONLY

0x  $9.57$ Allex company A. 1-8 book &  $JUL$  16 1960  $\approx$ 

 $\Box$ 

