

EFFECT UPON THE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE BY EUMINATING CURING WATER Thesis for the Degree of B. S. Harold J. Rathfoot ⁱ 9 2 7

THEBIS

Service Mary

$\mathbb{C} \mathbb{C} \Lambda^{1,1}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\bar{\xi}$

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ $\hat{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$

 $\ddot{}$ $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\overline{}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\bar{\bar{z}}$ $\ddot{}$

 $\overline{}$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ $\sim 10^{-1}$ $\sim 10^{-11}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2} \frac{d\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}}{d\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}}\leq \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{N_{i}}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{i}}\frac{1}{N_{i}}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{i}}\frac{1}{N_{i}}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{i}}\frac{1}{N_{i}}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{i}}\frac{1}{N_{i}}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{i}}\frac{1}{N_{i}}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{i}}\frac{1}{N_{i}}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{i}}\frac{1}{N_{i}}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{i$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$ $\langle \cdot \rangle_{V}$

 $\ddot{}$

 ϵ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\sim 10^{-1}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\langle \cdot \rangle$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\langle \cdot \rangle$ \sim

FOOT, a Grand Ledge native who supervised construction of hundreds of miles of Michigan's state highway system in the 1950s and then supervised maintenance of the system for nine years, died Friday in a Florida hospital at the age of 77. A graduate of Grand
Ledge High School and **Michigan State University** (1927), Rathfoot joined
the state highway department in 1934 as a concrete inspector. He retired after 34 years service in
1967 and moved to Fort Lauderdale, Fla. He is survived by his wife Alice and a son, Harold Jr., an ingineer with the Delta 'ounty road commission. ervices in Florida are ervices
eing arranged. /

N

fo

 ϵ

EPPIME UNCH THE COMMONWEDTRENGTH OF CONCERTE PY ELL'IVATIVE CULING UATER

. Thesis Submitted to the Faculty α Wichigan kate College

 $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbf{y}}$

Marold J. Rathfoot

Candidate for the Degree of Bachelor of Ceience

June 1927

 $\bar{\beta}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\bar{\psi}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{$

THESIS $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N}}$

 $\overline{}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2}d\mu_{\rm{eff}}\,.$ $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

EFFECT UPON THE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE $\left($ BY ELIMINATING CURING WATER

It is a custom, and one hard to enforce, in the highvay department that requires pavement to be thoroughly vetted for the first seven days after it is placed. The supposition is that the curing water makes a stronger pavement. Having worked on pavement construction both as a laborer and as an inspector and realising hov hard it is to secure a thorough job of vetting; this thesis was performed to determine the value, if any, of such a procedure. Since not eneough time vas available to cast blocks of pavement and test under actual road conditions it vas necessary to substitute cylinders and laboratory methods of keeping the cylinders vetted. Cylinders vere made to cover an age of from one to tventy-eight days vetted from one to seven days.

For a working basis a concrete of 2500\# per sq. inch unit strength at the age of twenty-eight days, having a slump of six to seven inches, vith maximum size of aggregate as three-fourth inches was chosen. Only this type of mix vas used and all data pretains to the above designed strength.

103399

 $\ddot{}$ $\label{eq:1.1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \right) \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt}$ $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{X}$. $\frac{1}{2\pi}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ ţ. \mathbf{I} $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ 医皮肤 医重复性

The above mix was designed according to Professor Abram's Water Ratio Theory and the complete design is given below:--Strength 2500\# per $5q$. In. 28 days

Slump 6 to 7 inches Maximum size of aggregate $\frac{3}{4}$ inch
Water-Cement Ratio -- Curve B -- .78 Real mix $--$ 1:3 Fineness Modulus -- 5.13 Field Mix. Finemess modulus for sand 2.66
m m m m coarse 6.48
combined5.13 $\mathbf{r} = \frac{6.48 - 5.13}{6.48 - 2.66} = 35.3\%$ Weight per cubic foot combined aggregate 126.8 # Volume required when measured seperate Sand -- .353 x 115 = 40.6 $\frac{\pi}{12}$
Stone - .647 x 112 = $\frac{72.79\text{\#}}{113.39\text{\#}}$ It will require $\frac{113.4}{126.8}$ = .894 volumes of mixed agg-
regate to correspond regate to correspond to one volume of aggregate mixed seperately. Field mix = $1:3$ = $1:3.6$ Weight of sand damp and loose = $100 \frac{\#}{\#}$ per Cu. Ft.
100 $\frac{\#}{\#}$ when dry weighs 97.18 $\frac{\#}{\#}$
One subis foot dry and rodded weighs 115 $\frac{\#}{\#}$ Bulking of sand $\frac{115}{97.18}$ -1.183

 $\pmb{\downarrow}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \mathrm{d} x \, \mathrm{d} x$ ϵ \hat{r} $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ $t_{\rm c} = \frac{1}{4}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

105 # per Cu. Ft.
103.75 #
112.5 # Weight of stone damp and loose
105 # when dry weighs
One cubic foot dry and rodded Bulking of stone $\frac{112.5}{103.75}$ \bullet 1.071 Volume of loose damp Sand 3.6 x .353 x 1.183 = 1.5
Stone 3.6 x .647 x 1.071 = 2.5 Field mix -1 : 1.5: 2.5 Correction for absorption and moisture Water-Cement ratio = .78. This is equivalint to
7.48 x .78 = 5.834 gals. of water Water to be added to take absorption. Sand -- 150 x 97 x .01 = 1.45 $#$ or .17 gal.
Stone 2.5 X 103.7 x .01 = 2.67 $#$ Or .32 gal. Total .494 gallons per sack of cement. Deductions for water contained in aggregate Sand $1.5 \times 2.82 - .423$ g_n¹.
Stone 2.5 x 1.25 = .313 Total .736 gallons per sack of cement Total water to be added per sack of cement
5.834 - .494 - .736 - 5.592 gallons Quantity of materials to be used For a real mix of 1: 3 use 8 bags of cement per subis yard of finished concrete. Sand to be added $8 \times 1.5 = 12$ cy. ft.
Stone $\begin{array}{cccc}\n8 & 2.5 & 20 \\
3 & 6 & 8 \\
\end{array}$ Stone $\begin{array}{cc} n & n & n \\ n & n & n \end{array}$ Concrete required for experiment \approx .165 cu. yds. Quantity of cement 94 x 8 x .165 = 124
sand 100 x 12 x .165 = 198 4 ŸP. stone $105 \times 20 \times .165 = 346$ \mathbf{m} n Ħ. water 44.74 x 8.33 x .165 = 61.4 $\frac{\mu}{r}$

After the mix was designed one hundred twenty-six cylinders vere filled and placed in the moist chamber, being removed in the following order:- twenty~seven after being in the moist chamber one day; two days tventy-four; three days twenty-one; four days eighteen; five days fifteen; six days twelve and seven days nine.

At the age of one day three cylinders were broken in a compression machine to determine their strength in compression. All the cylinders were in the moist chamber one day. At the age of two days six were broken, three having been in the moist chamber one day and three for two days. At the age of three days nine cylinders were broken, three having been in the chamber one day, three for tw days and three for three days. At the age of four days twelve cylinders vere broken, three having been in the moist chamber one day, three two days, three for three days and three for four days. At the age of five days fifteen cylinders were broken, three for one day in the moist chamber and three each for two, three, four and five days. The sixth day eighteen cylinders were broken, three each for one, two, three, four, five, and six days in the moist chamber. At the age of seven, fourteen, and twenty-eight days twenty-one cylinders were broken each day, three each for one, tvo, three, four, five, six, seven days in the moist chamber.

"he breaking strength of each cylinder was recorded and the average of the three taken as the strength of the test. No cylinder was used in computing the average which varied by more than three thousand pounds per cylinder.

A graph vas made for each of the following conditir ons. One for the cylinders which had been in the moist chamber for one day and broken from the ages of one to twenty-eight days. One for the cylinders which had been in the moist chamber for two days and broken at the ages

of two to twenty-eight days. A graph for the cylinders which had been in the moist chamber for three days and broken from the ages of three to twenty-eight days. One for the cylinders vhich had been in the moist chamber for four days and broken at the ages of four to twentyeight days. One for the cylinders which had been vetted

I

five days and broken from the ages of five to twentyeight days. Agraph was made for the cylinders in the moist chamber six days and broken ate the age of six to twenty-eight days. One for the cylinders in the moist chamber for seven days and broken at the age of seven, fourteen, and twenty-eight days. A graph was then made comparing the above strengths.

Four more graphs vere drawn, one for each the seven fouteen, and twenty-eight day strengths shoving the variation in strength due to being in the moist chamber for varying lengths of time. The last graph is one comparing the curves of the seven, fourteen, and twentyeight day strengths.

The results of this experiment are by no means certain. Several hundred tests should be run on this strength and a like amount on other strengths and then some definite conclusions could be drawn. The results obtained shov that vith the same mic and with an age of twenty-eight days a concrete which has been vetted only one day vill be only about one-half as strong as one vetted seven days. This ratio also holds for the seven and fourteen day ages. 0n the lesser ages the results are less varying and below five days it is not

 $\overline{}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 ϵ . ~ 30 km s $^{-1}$

easy to notice any change in the strength due to the different lengths of thim that the cylinders were left in the moist chamber. It is therefore a matter of much concern for'the State to be sure the pavement is well wetted for the first seven days, since they may be sure the resulting concrete is about twice as strong and thus better than a pavement which has been vetted one day.

I

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 \mathcal{L}_{max}

 \mathcal{L}_{max} .

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{d^2} \right)$, where

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}})$, \mathcal{L}^{c} , \mathcal{L}^{c} , \mathcal{L}^{c}

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}})$

ROOM USE ONLY

