WIDTH OF TWO - DIMENSIONAL ANOMALY SOURCES FROM GRAVITY AND MAGNETIC SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVES

> Thesis for the Degree of M. S. MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY MICHAEL M. SPURGAT 1971



#### WIDTH OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL ANOMALY SOURCES FROM GRAVITY AND MAGNETIC SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVES

Ву

Michael M. Spurgat

A theoretical study of second vertical derivatives of gravity and magnetic anomalies shows that the width of the derivatives at zero value is useful in determining the width of two-dimensional tabular anomaly sources. The width of the zero values of the second vertical derivative increases with respect to the source width as the depth and depth extent increases and the dip decreases.

Widths of vertical two-dimensional tabular sources can be estimated if the depth and depth extent of the source are known using general families of curves relating true widths to observed widths as determined from the zero values of second vertical derivatives of gravity and vertical magnetic anomalies. In the gravity case if the width of the vertical tabular source is greater than approximately 4.5 times the depth, the zero values of second vertical derivatives are in error by less than 10 percent for any depth extent in predicting the width of the source. In the case of vertical magnetic anomalies, if the width exceeds the depth to the source by 2.0 or more, the error in estimating width from second vertical derivatives is less than 10 percent for any depth extent of the source.

.

# WIDTH OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL ANOMALY SOURCES FROM GRAVITY AND MAGNETIC SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVES

By Michael M.<sup>1</sup> Spurgat

#### A THESIS

Submitted to Michigan State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Geology

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

10) 13 - 13 13 - 13

																Page
LIST OF	TABI	LES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iii
LIST OF	FIGU	JRES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iv
Chapter																
I.	INTI	RODU	CTI	ON	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
II.	THE	SEC	OND	VE	ERTI	CAI	DI	ERIN	/ATI	VE	MEI	гноі	5.	•	•	3
III.	THE	GRA	VIT	YС	CASE	Ξ.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
IV.	RESU	JLTS	OF	GF	RAVI	TY	MOI	DEL]	ING	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
V.	THE	MAG	NET	IC	CAS	SΕ	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	19
VI.	RESU	JLTS	OF	MA	GNE	ETIÇ	C MC	DEI	LING	3.	•	•	•	•	•	25
VII.	A LE	EAST	SQ	UAF	RES	SEC	CONI	O VE	ERTI	CAI	DE	ERIV	JAT:	IVE		20
	Ał	PRO	XIM	ATT	.ON	ME	'HOI	).	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
VIII.	CONC	CLUS	ION	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	40
BIBLIOGR	APHY	ζ.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	42
APPENDIX	K A	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	45
APPENDIX	КВ	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	49
APPENDIX	с	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	54
APPENDIX	D	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	58
APPENDIX	E	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	64
APPENDIX	F	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	73

## LIST OF TABLES

Table			Ρa	ıge
1.	Difference between theoretical and approximate second vertical derivatives of gravity of a vertical tabular source using various approximation methods $(r=\frac{1}{2}Z_1)$	•	•	34
2.	Difference between theoretical and approximate second vertical derivatives of gravity of a vertical tabular source using various data intervals for the five point method.	•	•	36
3.	Difference between theoretical and approximate second vertical derivatives of magnetics of a vertical tabular source $(r=\frac{1}{2}Z_1)$	•	•	37
4.	Difference between theoretical and approximate second vertical derivatives of magnetics of a vertical tabular source using various data intervals for the five point method	_	_	39

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Example of template used in calculating second vertical derivatives from gridded data. (Dots represent data points)	5
2.	Definition of parameters used for geological models and second vertical derivative calculations	7
3.	Cross-section of a two-dimensional geolo- gical model represented by an irregular- shaped polygon	11
4.	Relationship between W, T, Z <sub>1</sub> , and ΔX for a vertical tabular source of gravity anomalies	14
5.	The effect of dip of tabular sources on $\Delta X$ of gravitational fields	17
6.	Relationship between Ŵ, T, Z <sub>1</sub> , and ΔX for a vertical tabular source of vertical magnetic intensity anomalies in a vertical magnetic field.	26
7.	The effect of dip of tabular sources on $\Delta X$ of vertical magnetic intensity anomalies	28
8.	Grid for calculating a three dimensional second vertical derivative	31
9.	Approximate second vertical derivative plotted as a function of r <sup>2</sup> , and shown fitted with a least squares curve of	2.2
		22

#### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

Second vertical derivatives of gravity and magnetic fields have been used extensively for many years to enhance anomalies characterized by high frequency components. Another important property of second vertical derivatives is their relationship to geologic boundaries of anomalous sources. Vacquier and others (1951) have pointed out that the zero curvature or second vertical derivative contour of the total magnetic intensity closely approximates the outline of the vertical prismatic magnetic anomaly sources extending to great depths. Leney (1966) has used this principle in estimating the width of a nearly vertical, tabular magnetic ore body. Rudman and Blakely (1965) have used the second vertical derivative of both gravity and magnetic fields to outline the subsurface configuration of a balsaltic plug intruded into a granitic basement in Indiana. The delineation of the outline of any anomaly source is invaluable in the geophysical mapping of geologic units and is useful as a starting point in defining the anomaly source in the indirect method of interpreting gravity and magnetic anomalies.

Despite this important property of second vertical derivatives little study has been devoted to analysis of the method's applicability and accuracy. In this investigation, the relationship of the zero curvature values of gravity and vertical magnetic intensity anomalies to the width of a tabular, two-dimensional, dike-like source is determined analytically over a wide range of source parameters. The tabular, two-dimensional, dike-like source is considered because of its similarity to many geological features and its relatively well-known anomaly field. The study of the second vertical derivative of the vertical magnetic intensity has been restricted to induced magnetization in a vertical geomagnetic field, or the total magnetic intensity in this special case.

In order to calculate theoretical values, it was necessary to derive the equations for the second vertical derivative of gravity and vertical magnetic intensity of two-dimensional vertical rectangular prisms. In addition, equations were derived for second vertical derivatives of two-dimensional polygonal-shaped prisms which can be used to approximate the source of any two-dimensional gravity and magnetic feature. Furthermore, a method based on the least squares technique is described for calculating second vertical derivatives from field data derived from twodimensional sources.

#### CHAPTER II

#### THE SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE METHOD

Methods of approximating the second vertical derivative of gravity and magnetic fields were introduced into the geophysical industry in the early nineteen thirties to aid in isolating economically interesting anomalies. However, the first account of the method was not published until 1949 (Peters, 1949 and Henderson and Zietz, 1949). Subsequently, other approaches to the calculation of second vertical derivatives have been proposed (e.g.; Elkins, 1951; Rosenbach, 1953; and Henderson, 1960) and their relative merits discussed. Fuller (1967) has calculated the frequency response of several of the more common second vertical derivative calculation methods utilizing the Fourier transform.

In general, the second vertical derivative is determined from orthogonal second horizontal derivatives of the anomaly field (A) using LePlace's equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial z^2} = - \left( \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y^2} \right)$$
(1)

where X and Y are orthogonal coordinates on a horizontal surface and Z is the vertical component. The second horizontal derivative can be calculated directly from a contour map of the anomaly field. Most methods utilize a numerical method employing anomaly values at set radial distances (r) from the point of calculation. The second vertical derivative is calculated using an equation of the general form:

$$\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial z^2} = \frac{K}{r^2} (W_0 C_0 + W_1 C_1 + W_2 C_2 + \dots + W_n C_n)$$
(2)

where  $C_0$  is the value of the anomaly field at the point of calculation;  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n$  are average anomaly values of data points on rings 1, 2, ..., n;  $W_0, W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_n$ , are weighting factors determined by the particular method either theoretically or empirically; K is a numerical coefficient; and r is the distance represented by the unit grid spacing of the anomaly values (Fig. 1).

The choice of weighting factors, number of rings, and the number of data points on each ring are a result of extensive comparative tests on theoretical and field data of various degrees of precision, frequencies, and data intervals. The final product, a second vertical derivative map, is only an approximation to the true second vertical derivative.



FIGURE 1.--Example of template used in calculating second vertical derivatives from gridded data. (Dots represent data points).

The second vertical derivative of gravity and magnetic anomalies has been used primarily to increase the perceptibility of steep-gradient anomalies derived from shallow sources. Romberg (1958) has pointed out that second vertical derivatives decrease two powers faster with depth than the original anomaly field. As a result second vertical derivatives attenuate rapidly as the depth to the source increases, thus increasing the perceptibility of shallow source anomalies. An additional use of second vertical derivatives is to aid in defining the boundaries of the anomaly source. As noted perviously, the zero second vertical derivative contour approaches the outline of the upper surface of the anomaly source. This is illustrated in the principal profile over a two-dimensional anomalous source (Fig. 2). The relationship between the distance between the zero cross-over points of the second vertical derivative profile  $(\Delta X)$  and the parameters of tabular magnetic and gravity anomaly sources is the subject of this investigation.





X<sub>1</sub>=Distance from the observation point to the near side of the geological model.

 $X_2$ =Distance from the observation point to the far side of the geological model.

 $Z_1$ =Depth to the top of the model.

 $Z_2$ =Depth to the bottom of the model.

- T =Thickness of the model.
- $\alpha$  =Dip of the model.

AX=Distance between cross-over points. The cross-over point is defined as the point where the second vertical derivative changes sign.

FIGURE 2.--Definition of parameters used for geological models and second vertical derivative calculations.

<sup>1</sup>All second vertical derivative values given in the text are in units of  $10^{-10}$ .

<sup>2</sup>For all gravitational sources a density of 1.0 gr./cc. was used, and for all magnetic sources a susceptibility of 0.001 emu/cc and induced field of 0.58 oersteds was used.

#### CHAPTER III

#### THE GRAVITY CASE

The equation for the gravitational anomaly due to a rectangular-shaped two-dimensional prism of density contrast  $\Delta \rho$  as given by Heiland (1940) is

$$\Delta g = 2 \gamma \Delta \rho \left[ X_2 \ln \left( \frac{X_2^2 + Z_2^2}{X_2^2 + Z_1^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - X_1 \ln \left( \frac{X_1^2 + Z_2^2}{X_1^2 + Z_1^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

$$+ Z_2 \left( \tan^{-1} \frac{X_2}{Z_2} - \tan^{-1} \frac{X_1}{Z_2} \right) - Z_1 \left( \tan^{-1} \frac{X_2}{Z_1} - \tan^{-1} \frac{X_1}{Z_1} \right) \right]$$
(3)

where  $\Delta g$  is the calculated gravity anomaly,  $\gamma$  is the universal gravitational constant, and the other parameters are as defined in Figure 2. The first vertical derivative of gravity as determined by taking the derivative of equation 3 with respect to Z holding X constant is

$$\frac{\partial \Delta g}{\partial Z} = 2\gamma \Delta \rho [\tan^{-1} \frac{X_2}{Z_2} - \tan^{-1} \frac{X_1}{Z_2} - \tan^{-1} \frac{X_2}{Z_1} + \tan^{-1} \frac{X_1}{Z_1}].$$
(4)

Taking the partial derivative of equation 4 with respect to Z we obtain the second vertical derivative of gravity of a two-dimensional rectangular-shaped prism,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Delta g}{\partial z^2} = 2\gamma \Delta \rho \left[ \frac{x_1}{x_1^2 + z_1^2} + \frac{x_2}{x_2^2 + z_2^2} - \frac{x_1}{x_1^2 + z_2^2} - \frac{x_2}{x_2^2 + z_1^2} \right].$$
(5)

In order to obtain a more general relationship and specifically to calculate the second vertical derivative of dipping, two-dimensional, tabular bodies, we can consider the mathematical expression for the gravity anomaly of a two-dimensional prism of arbitrary cross-section (Grant and West, 1965):

$$\Delta g = 2 \gamma \Delta \rho \sum_{K=1}^{N} \frac{b_{K}}{1 + a_{K}^{2}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{x_{K+1}^{2} + z_{K+1}^{2}}{x_{K}^{2} + z_{K}^{2}} \right) + a_{K} \right]$$

$$(\tan^{-1} \frac{x_{K+1}}{z_{K+1}^{2}} - \tan^{-1} \frac{x_{K}}{z_{K}} ]$$
(6)

Where:

$$a_{K} = \frac{X_{K+1} - X_{K}}{Z_{K+1} - Z_{K}}$$

$$b_{K} = \frac{X_{K}Z_{K+1} - X_{K+1}Z_{K}}{Z_{K+1} - Z_{K}}$$

 $\Delta g$  is the calculated gravity anomaly,  $\gamma$  is the universal gravitational constant,  $\Delta \rho$  is the density differential between the anomalous source and the country rock,  $X_{K}$  (K=1,2,3,4,...,N),  $X_{K+1}$  (K=1,2,3,4,...,N),  $Z_{K}$  (K=1,2,3,4,...,N), and  $Z_{K+1}$  (K=1,2,3,4,...,N) are horizontal and vertical distances respectively to the intersection points of the straight lines defining the shape of the source (see Fig. 3). The first vertical derivative of gravity as determined by taking the derivative of equation 6 with respect to Z holding X constant is:

$$\frac{\partial \Delta g}{\partial Z} = 2\gamma \Delta \rho \{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{X_{K+1}^2 + Z_{K+1}^2}{X_K^2 + Z_K^2} \right) + a_K \right] \}$$

$$(\tan^{-1} \frac{X_{K+1}}{Z_{K+1}} - \tan^{-1} \frac{X_K}{Z_K}) ] [(\frac{1}{1+a_K^2})]$$

$$\left(\frac{X_{K}-X_{K+1}}{Z_{K+1}+Z_{K}}\right) \right\} + \left\{ \left[\frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}}\right]$$
(7)

$$\left[ \left( \frac{(x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2}) z_{K+1}^{2} - (x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2}) z_{K}}{(x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2}) (x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2})} \right) + a_{K} \right]$$

$$\left(\frac{x_{K}}{x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2}}-\frac{x_{K+1}}{x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2}}\right)\right].$$

Taking the partial derivative of equation 7 with respect to Z we obtain the second vertical derivative of gravity,



FIGURE 3.--Cross-section of a two-dimensional geological model represented by an irregular-shaped polygon.

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \Delta g}{\partial z^{2}} = 2 \gamma \Delta \rho \left\{ \left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \left( \frac{x_{K}-x_{K+1}}{z_{K+1}-z_{K}} \right) \right] \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

$$\left[ \left( \frac{(x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2}) z_{K+1}-(x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2}) z_{K}}{(x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2}) (x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2})} \right) + a_{K} \left( \frac{x_{K}}{x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2}} \right) - \frac{x_{K+1}}{x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2}} \right] \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{(x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2}) z_{K+1}-(x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2}) z_{K}}{(x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2}) (x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2})} \right) + a_{K} \left( \frac{x_{K}}{x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2}} - \frac{x_{K+1}}{x_{K+1}^{2}-z_{K+1}^{2}} \right) \right] \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \left( \frac{x_{K}-x_{K+1}}{z_{K+1}-z_{K}} \right) \right] \right\} + \left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right] \right\} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{b_{K}}{1+a_{K}^{2}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\left[\left(\frac{\left[\left(x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2}\right)\left(x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2}\right)\right]\left[\left(x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2}\right)+\left(x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2}\right)\right]\right\}}{\left[\left(x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2}\right)\left(x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2}\right)\right]^{2}}\right]$$

$$\frac{-\{[(x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2})z_{K+1}-(x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2})z_{K}][2(x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2})z_{K+1}+}{2(x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2})z_{K}]\} + a_{K} (\frac{2x_{K+1}z_{K+1}}{(x_{K+1}^{2}+z_{K+1}^{2})^{2}} - \frac{2x_{K}z_{K}}{(x_{K}^{2}+z_{K}^{2})^{2}}]\}}.$$

The computer programs for calculating the second vertical derivative of gravity according to equations 5 and 8 are given in Appendices A and B respectively.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### RESULTS OF GRAVITY MODELING

The horizontal distance between the zero second vertical derivative values of gravity ( $\Delta X$  of Fig. 2) were calculated for a series of two-dimensional, vertical, tabular bodies from equation 5. The direction of the calculated profiles is perpendicular to the Y-axis along which the source is assumed to extend infinitely. A simple interation scheme was used to calculate the horizontal position of the zero value of the second vertical derivative from which  $\Delta X$  can be computed. This interation appears as a subroutine to the computer programs in the appendices. The horizontal positions were calculated to a precision of 0.2 percent or less of the minimum distance parameter of the geologic models.

The  $\Delta X$  values were computed for models varying from 500 to 10,000 units for depth to the top, width and thickness, except for the special case of  $T/Z_1=100$  where T was set equal to 100000 units. The results are presented in Figure 4 with  $\Delta X/Z_1$  plotted against  $W/Z_1$  where W is the true width of the model and  $Z_1$  is the depth to the top of the source from the observation surface. The values



FIGURE 4.--Relationship between W, T,  $z_1, \ {\rm and} \ {\rm \Delta} X$  for a vertical tabular source of gravity anomalies.

of  $\Delta X$  and W are divided by  $Z_1$  to generalize the results. A family of curves is presented for values of  $T/Z_1$  from 0.1 to 100. Error curves also have been plotted on Figure 4 which show the percent error according to the equation (( $\Delta X-W$ )/W) x 100.

A number of very important relationships between the width, depth, and thickness of gravity anomaly sources and  $\Delta X$  can be observed from Figure 4. First, for any given values of T,  $Z_1$ , and W,  $\Delta X$  is always greater than W, except for the specific case of  $Z_1=0$  where  $\Delta X$  equals W. Secondly, as the ratio of  $W/Z_1$  decreases, as, for example, when the depth increases while the width remains constant, the ratio of  $\Delta X/Z_1$  decreases, but the percent error rapidly increases for all values of  $T/Z_1$ . Thirdly, as the width increases for a constant depth, the ratio of  $\Delta X/Z_1$  increases and the error decreases for all values of  $T/Z_1$ . The curves asymptotically approach zero error as  $W/Z_1$  increases toward large values. Fourthly, with width and depth constant, the error increases directly with the thickness of the source, but at a diminishing rate as thickness increases. The error difference between ratios of  $T/Z_1$  of 10 and 100 are relatively small.

Utilizing the general relationships shown in Figure 4, if  $\Delta X$  of a vertical two-dimensional tabular body is determined from a second vertical derivative of gravity map or profile and Z<sub>1</sub> is known from geological or geophysical data, a range of widths of the causative body can

be determined or the true width determined if the thickness of the source is known. For example, if  $\Delta X$  is found to be 2700 feet and Z<sub>1</sub> and T are estimated to be 1000 and 5000 feet respectively, the width of the body is 2000 feet. As a general statement, if W/Z<sub>1</sub> is approximately 4.5 or greater,  $\Delta X$  will be in error by less than 10 percent, for any ratio of T/Z<sub>1</sub>.

Plotted in Figure 4 is a curve of  $T/Z_1=100$ . In this case, the thickness of the source can be considered essentially infinite. At great depths the mass of this source has little influence on the observed gravitational field, and therefore little effect on the second vertical derivative and  $\Delta x$ . Thus, any value of T can be considered essentially infinite when  $T/Z_1>10$ . This conclusion can be verified by the following example. Assume the model parameters are T=1000 feet,  $Z_1=500$  feet  $(T/Z_1=20)$ , and W=500 feet. Using the  $T/Z_1=10$  curve of Figure 4,  $\Delta X/Z_1=2.20$ , while for the  $T/Z_1=100$  curve,  $\Delta X/Z_1=2.23$ . The difference introduced in calculating  $\Delta X$  by this slight variation in  $\Delta X/Z_1$  is less than 1.5 percent. The actual value of  $\Delta X/Z_1$ , for  $T/Z_1=20$  is 2.22 (not shown in Fig. 4).

The effect of dip of tabular bodies on the  $\Delta X$ of gravitational fields is illustrated for a few special cases in Figure 5. In this figure the difference in the  $\Delta X$  of dipping tabular bodies ( $\Delta X_1$ ) and vertical tabular bodies ( $\Delta X_2$ ) is plotted against T for specific cases of



FIGURE 5.--The effect of dip of tabular sources on  $\Delta X$  of gravitational fields,

anomaly sources dipping at  $60^{\circ}$  and  $75^{\circ}$ . Only a relatively few data points have been calculated and, therefore, the positions of the curves between data points as indicated by dashed lines may be somewhat in error because of their high curvature. Several conclusions can be reached from this figure. First,  $\Delta X$  increases as the dip decreases. Second, the difference in the  $\Delta X$  of dipping and vertical tabular bodies increases rapidly as thickness of the bodies increase up to thicknesses of approximately five times the depth to the top of the source. For greater thicknesses the difference in  $\Delta X$  remains relatively constant and for one case starts to decrease. Third, the difference in the  $\Delta X$  of dipping and vertical tabular bodies increases with increasing depth to the top and decreasing width of the source.

#### CHAPTER V

## THE MAGNETIC CASE

The equation for the vertical magnetic intensity due to a rectangular-shaped two-dimensional prism of susceptibility contrast  $\Delta k$  as given by Cook (1950) is:

$$\Delta V = 2 \Delta k H \left( \tan^{-1} \frac{X_1}{Z_1} - \tan^{-1} \frac{X_1}{Z_2} - \tan^{-1} \frac{X_2}{Z_1} + \tan^{-1} \frac{X_2}{Z_2} \right)$$
(9)

where  $\Delta V$  is the calculated vertical magnetic intensity,  $\Delta k$  is the susceptibility contrast, H is the Earth's magnetic field, and the other parameters are as defined in Figure 2. The first vertical derivative of the vertical magnetic intensity as determined by taking the derivative of equation 9 with respect to Z holding X constant is:

$$\frac{\partial \Delta V}{\partial z} = 2 \Delta k H \left( \frac{x_1}{x_1^2 + z_1^2} - \frac{x_1}{x_1^2 + z_2^2} - \frac{x_2}{x_2^2 + z_1^2} + \frac{x_2}{x_2^2 + z_2^2} \right).$$
(10)

Taking the partial derivative of equation 10 with respect to Z we obtain the second vertical derivative of magnetics of a two-dimensional rectangular-shaped prism,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Delta V}{\partial z^2} = 4 \Delta k H \left[ \frac{X_1 Z_1}{(X_1^2 + Z_2^2)^2} - \frac{X_1 Z_2}{(X_1^2 + Z_2^2)^2} - \frac{X_2 Z_1}{(X_2^2 + Z_1^2)^2} + \frac{X_2 Z_2}{(X_2^2 + Z_2^2)^2} \right]. (11)$$

In order to obtain a more general relationship and specifically to calculate the second vertical derivative of dipping, two-dimensional, tabular bodies, we can consider the mathematical expression for the vertical magnetic intensity of a two-dimensional prism of arbitrary crosssection (Grant and West, 1965):

$$\Delta V = 2\Delta k_{\rm H} \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \lambda \cos^2 I} \sum_{\rm K=1}^{\rm N} \left(\frac{1}{1 + a^2}\right)$$

{[ $(a_K \sin\beta + \cos\beta) X_K$ ]

$$[\ln \sqrt{\frac{(1+a_{K}^{2}) z_{K+1}^{2}+2 a_{K} b_{K} z_{K+1}+b_{K}^{2}}{(1+a^{2}k) z_{K}^{2}+2 a_{K} b_{K} z_{K}-b_{K}^{2}}} -$$

$$(a_{K} \cos\beta - \sin\beta) x_{K}^{2} [\tan^{-1}(\frac{(1+a_{K}^{2}) z_{K+1}}{b_{K}} + a_{K})]$$

$$-\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{(1+a_{K}^{2})Z_{K}}{b_{K}}+a_{K}\right)^{-1}\right\}$$
(12)

where:

$$a_{K} = \frac{X_{K+1} - X_{K}}{Z_{K+1} - Z_{K}}, \ b_{K} = \frac{X_{K} Z_{K+1} - X_{K+1} Z_{K}}{Z_{K+1} - Z_{K}}$$

$$\beta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\tan I}{\sin\lambda}\right)$$

where  $\Delta V$  is the vertical magnetic intensity,  $\Delta k$  is the susceptibility contrast between the anomalous source and the country rock, H is the Earth's magnetic field,  $\lambda$  is the strike of the body, I is the inclination of the Earth's magnetic field, and  $X_K$  (K=1,2,3,...,N),  $X_{K+1}$  (K=1,2,3,..., N),  $Z_K$  (K=1,2,3,...,N),  $Z_{K+1}$  (K=1,2,3,...,N) are horizontal and vertical distances respectively to the intersection points of the straight lines defining the shape of the source (See Fig. 3). The first vertical derivative of the vertical magnetic intensity as determined by taking the derivative of equation 12 with respect to Z holding X constant is:

$$\frac{\partial \Delta V}{\partial Z} = 2\Delta KH \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \lambda \cos^2 I} \qquad \sum_{K=1}^{N} e_K$$

$$\left[\frac{j_K v_K - i_K m_K}{j_K v_K}\right] - g_K \left[\left(\frac{1}{1 + s_K}\right) + \left(\frac{b_K u_K - c_K u_K Z_{K+1}}{b_K^2}\right)\right] - \left[\left(\frac{1}{1 + t_K}\right) + \left(\frac{b_K u_K - c_K u_K Z_{K+1}}{b_K^2}\right)\right] - \left[\left(\frac{1}{1 + t_K}\right) + \left(\frac{b_K u_K - c_K u_K Z_{K+1}}{b_K^2}\right)\right]\right]$$

$$\left(\frac{b_K u_K - c_K u_K Z_{K+1}}{b_K^2}\right)$$

$$\left(\frac{b_K u_K - c_K u_K Z_{K+1}}{b_K^2}\right)$$

$$\left(\frac{b_K u_K - c_K u_K Z_{K+1}}{b_K^2}\right)$$

where:

$$C_{K} = \frac{X_{K} - X_{K+1}}{Z_{K+1} - Z_{K}}$$

$$e_{K} = a_{K} \sin\beta + \cos\beta$$

$$g_{K} = a_{K} \cos\beta - \sin\beta$$

$$i_{K} = u_{K} z_{K+1}^{2} + 2a_{K} b_{K} z_{K+1} + b_{K}^{2}$$

$$j_{K} = u_{K} z_{K}^{2} + 2a_{K} b_{K} z_{K} + b_{K}^{2}$$

$$m_{K} = 2 (u_{K} z_{K} + a_{K} b_{K} + a_{K} c_{K} z_{K} + b_{K} c_{K})$$

$$s_{K} = 1 + (\frac{u_{K} z_{K+1}}{b_{K}} + a_{K})^{2}$$

$$t_{K} = 1 + (\frac{u_{K} z_{K}}{b_{K}} + a_{K})^{2}$$

$$u_{K} = (1 + a_{K}^{2})$$

$$v_{K} = 2(u_{K}A_{K+1} + a_{K}b_{K} + a_{K}c_{K}Z_{K+1} + b_{K}c_{K}).$$

Taking the partial derivative of equation 13 with respect to Z we obtain the second vertical derivative of the vertical magnetic intensity,

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \Delta V}{\partial z^{2}} = 2\Delta k H \sqrt{1 - \cos^{2} \lambda \cos^{2} I} \sum_{K=1}^{N} \{e_{K} \\ \left[ \frac{\left[ j_{K} v_{K}(j_{K} n_{K} + m_{K} v_{K} - i_{K} n_{K} + m_{K} v_{K})\right]_{+}}{(1_{K} j_{K})^{2}} \right] \\ \frac{\left[ (j_{K} v_{K} - i_{K} m_{K}) (m_{K} v_{K} + j_{K} n_{K})\right]_{+}}{(1_{K} j_{K})^{2}}$$
(14)

$$\{g_{K} [\frac{j_{K} v_{K}^{-1} k^{m}_{K}}{v_{K} j_{K}^{-1}}] = r_{K} \{[(\frac{1}{1+s_{K}}) \\ (\frac{b_{K} k^{-c} k^{K} k^{Z}_{K+1}}{b_{K}^{2}})] = [(\frac{1}{1+t_{K}}) \\ (\frac{b_{K} u_{K}^{-c} k^{u} k^{Z}_{K}}{b_{K}^{2}})] = g_{K} \{[(\frac{1}{1+p_{K}}) \\ (\frac{b_{K} u_{K}^{-c} k^{u} k^{Z}_{K+1}}{b_{K}^{2}})] + [(\frac{1}{1+s_{K}}) \\ (\frac{b_{K}^{2} (c_{k} u_{k}) - (c_{k} u_{k}) + (b_{k} u_{K}^{-c} k^{u} k^{Z}_{K+1})}{b_{K}^{4}} \\ \frac{(2b_{K} c_{K})}{b_{K}}] = [(\frac{1}{1+w_{K}}) (\frac{b_{K} u_{K}^{-c} k^{u} k^{Z}_{K}}{b_{K}^{2}})] \\ + [(\frac{1}{1+t_{K}}) (\frac{b_{K}^{2} (c_{K} u_{K}) - (c_{K} u_{K}) +}{b_{K}^{4}} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{(\mathbf{b}_{K}\mathbf{u}_{K}-\mathbf{c}_{K}\mathbf{u}_{K}\mathbf{z}_{k})(2\mathbf{b}_{K}\mathbf{c}_{K})}{[2\mathbf{b}_{K}\mathbf{c}_{K}]}]$$

where:

$$n_{K} = 2[u_{K} + 2a_{K}c_{K} + c_{K}^{2}]$$

$$p_{K} = \frac{a_{K}b_{K}+u_{K}Z_{K}+1}b_{K}}{b_{K}}$$
$$r_{K} = -a_{1}\sin\beta + \cos\beta$$
$$w_{K} = \frac{a_{K}b_{K}+u_{K}Z_{K}+u_{K}}{b_{K}}.$$

The computer programs for calculating the second vertical derivative of the vertical magnetic intensity according to equations 11 and 14 are given in Appendices C and D respectively. An inclination of 90° was used for the models, therefore, the vertical magnetic intensity is equal to the total magnetic intensity.

#### CHAPTER VI

## RESULTS OF MAGNETIC MODELING

The horizontal distance between the zero second vertical derivative of vertical magnetic intensity ( $\Delta X$  of Fig. 2) was calculated for the same series of two-dimensional, vertical tabular bodies that was considered in the gravity case. Vertical magnetic polarization of the anomaly sources was assumed. The results of these calculations are presented in Figure 6 in the same manner as the results of the gravity calculations are shown in Figure 4. The single exception is that the  $T/Z_1=10$ curve is not plotted for the magnetic case because it is nearly the same as the  $T/Z_1=5$  curve.

Figure 6 may be used in magnetic interprotation as Figure 4 can be used in gravity interpretation and in general the conclusions reached from Figure 4 are applicable to the magnetic case considered here. However, the measured horizontal distance between the zero second vertical derivatives of vertical magnetic intensity is more nearly equivalent to the true width of the anomaly source than  $\Delta X$  in the gravity case. As a general statement, if  $W/Z_1$  is 2.0 or greater,  $\Delta X$  will be in error by



FIGURE 6.--Relationship between W, T,  $Z_1$ , and  $\Delta X$  for a vertical tabular source of vertical magnetic intensity anomalies in a vertical magnetic field.

less than 10 percent for any value of  $T/Z_1$ . Also the effect of varying T is less in the magnetic case than in gravity. For all practical purposes in this regard, the source can be assumed to have an infinite thickness if  $T/Z_1 > 5$ .

As in gravity case, the effect of dip of the anomaly source on  $\Delta X$  was studied for a few special cases in magnetics. The results of this study are shown in Figure 7 in an equivalent manner to the gravity case (Fig. 5). Only a relatively few data points have been calculated and, therefore, as in the gravity case, the position of the curves between data points as indicated by dashed lines, may be somewhat in error because of their high curvature. The significant conclusions reached from a study of Figure 7 are the following. First,  $\Delta X$  increases with decreasing dip for any given set of body dimensions. Second, the difference in  $\Delta X$  of dipping and vertical tabular sources increases as the depth increases and the width decreases all other dimensions being equal. Third, the difference in  $\Delta X$  of dipping and vertical tabular bodies increases rapidly as the thickness (T) of the source increases. The difference in  $\Delta X$  reaches a maximum and then gradually decreases. The value of T at which the maximum occurs increases as the depth to the top of the scurce increases.



FIGURE 7.--The effect of dip of tabular sources on  $\Delta X$  of vertical magnetic intensity anomalies.
#### CHAPTER VII

# A LEAST SQUARES SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE APPROXIMATION METHOD

The relationships previously determined in this study are based on theoretical second vertical derivatives. However, in actual practice second vertical derivatives calculated from observed anomalies are only approximations to the true or theoretical second vertical derivatives. Therefore, conclusions based on the results previously presented will be in error by an amount determined by the accuracy of the method used to calculate the approximate second vertical derivative.

Elkins (1951) and Swartz (1954) have outlined a second vertical derivative procedure which is applicable to two-dimensional gravity and magnetic anomalies. This method, which is based on LaPlace's equation, utilizes an extrapolation of the curve of " $r^2$ " versus the average anomaly at a distance "r" from the calculation point to the zero value of r. The curve can be approximated by the method of least squares to determine the second vertical derivative.

The theory is developed in the following manner assuming that the field data collected from a gravity or magnetic survey obeys LaPlace's equation.

29

LaPlace's equation is,

$$\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial z^2} = - \left( \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y^2} \right)$$
(15)

where A is the observed anomaly. Equation 15 can be simplified to

$$\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial z^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x^2}$$
(16)

if the anomaly is two-dimensional in the Y direction, i.e.,  $\partial^2 A/\partial Y^2$  is equal to zero. Referring to Figure 8 where c is the anomaly value at the point of calculation of the second vertical derivative, and  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are the anomaly values along the X direction at a distance "r" from c, the second horizontal derivative parallel to the X axis is:

$$\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x^2} \sim \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{b_1 - c}{r} - \frac{c - b_3}{r} \right] = \frac{b_1 + b_3 - 2c}{r^2}$$
(17)

substituting  $-\partial^2 A/\partial z^2$  for  $\partial^2 A/\partial x^2$  the approximate relationship in equation 17 becomes exact as the distance r approaches zero, and thus:

$$\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial z^2} = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{2c - b_1 - b_3}{r^2} .$$
 (18)

Utilizing a series of values at different distances ("r") from c, a least-squares curve method can be readily



FIGURE 8.--Grid for calculating a three dimensional second vertical derivative.



FIGURE 9.--Approximate second vertical derivative plotted as a function of  $r^2$ , and shown fitted with a least squares curve of degree twc.

applied to calculate  $\partial^2 A/\partial Z^2$  using equation 18. The procedure consists of calculating the approximate second vertical derivative values from profile data at various values of r. An example is illustrated in Figure 9 where  $\partial^2 A/\partial Z^2$  is plotted against the appropriate "r<sup>2</sup>" values. Next a polynomial equation of degree N is fitted to the data points using the least squares method. After solving for the coefficients of the equation, "r" is set equal to zero and the resulting equation is solved for  $\partial^2 A/\partial Z^2$  according to equation 18. The data points represent a smooth function, therefore, a second degree equation (N=2) was chosen for the analysis. A listing of the computer programs used for the gravity and magnetic cases are given in Appendices E and F respectively.

A theoretical gravity anomaly was calculated for a vertical tabular source and used to test the least squares method of finding second vertical derivatives. The theoretical second vertical derivative was calculated for the vertical tabular source using the same set of parameters  $(Z_1=1000 \text{ feet}, W=1000 \text{ feet}, \text{ and } Z_2=100,000 \text{ feet}).$ 

The theoretical gravity and theoretical second vertical derivative of gravity are presented in Table 1. A varying number of data points were used in calculating the approximate second vertical derivative. The "five point method" implies that the center point and two adjacent points, two on either side, were used in the calculation. Columns 4 through 7 show the difference between the approximate and theoretical second vertical derivative for various "point methods".

33

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	12.112	- 1.570	0.000	+0.000	+0.000	+0.000
2	12.523	- 1.886	0.000	+0.000	+0.000	±0.001
3	12.977	- 2.297	0.000	+0.000	+0.000	+0.002
4	13.485	- 2.837	0.000	+0.001	+0.002	+).008
5	14.059	- 3.536	+0.002	+0.002	+0.007	÷:).022
6	14.716	- 4.381	-0.011	+0.003	+0.011	-0.005
7	15.474	- 5.113	-0.038	-0.002	-0.053	-0.203
8	16.348	- 4.382	-0.043	-0.060	-0.159	-0.177
9	17.313	+ 2.689	-0.316	-0.099	-0.382	-0.871
10	18.188	+21.880	-0.005	-0.054	-0.144	-0.172
11	18.567	+35.011	+0.055	+0.194	+0.671	+1.384
Absc	lute mea	in error =	0.043	0.038	0.130	0.254

TABLE 1.--Difference between theoretical and approximate second vertical derivatives of gravity of a vertical tabular source using various approximation methods  $(r = 1/2 Z_1)$ .

Column (1) Station number (interval = 500 feet).

- Column (2) Observed gravity anomaly (mgals) over a vertical tabular source. Station 11 is over the center of the source.
- Column (3) Theoretical second vertical derivative of gravity in mgals/cm<sup>2</sup>  $\times$  10<sup>-10</sup>.
- Column (4) Difference between the theoretical and approximate second vertical derivative using the five point method.
- Column (5) Same as (4) for the seven point method.
- Column (6) Same as (4) for the nine point method.
- Column (7) Same as (4) for the eleven point method.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	12.112	- 1.570	+0.000	+0.000	
2	12.523	- 1.886	+0.000	+0.000	+0.001
3	12.977	- 2.297	+0.000	+0.000	
4	13.485	- 2.837	+0.001	+0.000	-0.013
5	14.059	- 3.536	+0.001	+0.002	
6	14.716	- 4.381	-0.001	-0.011	-0.239
7	15.474	- 5.113	-0.002	-0.038	
8	16.348	- 4.382	-0.004	-0.043	+0.486
9	17.313	+ 2.689	-0.021	-0.316	
10	18.188	+21.880	+0.005	-0.005	+0.238
11	18.567	+35.011	+0.041	+0.055	

TABLE 2.--Difference between theoretical and approximate second vertical derivatives of gravity of a vertical tabular using various data intervals for the five point method.

Source Parameters: Z1=1000 units; W=1000 units; and Z2=100,000 units.

Column (1) Station number (interval = 500 feet).

- Column (2) Observed gravity anomaly (mgals) over a vertical tabular source. Station 11 is over the center of the source.
- Column (3) Theoretical second vertical derivative of gravity in mgals/cm<sup>2</sup> x  $10^{-10}$ .
- Column (4) Difference between the theoretical and approximate second vertical derivative for r=1/4 Z<sub>1</sub>.
- Column (5) Same as (4) for  $r=1/2 Z_1$ .
- Column (6) Same as (4) for  $r=Z_1$ .

The average mean error was calculated to aid in evaluating the various "point methods".

In the example given the "Seven point method" gave the best overall results. However, in other cases depending on (1) the station spacing, (2) the noise within the data, and (3) the frequency spectra of the anomalies; one of the other methods may be more suitable. Comparative tests on the set of data being studied would be necessary in most cases to determine which method gave the best results. This could be easily programmed as a simple input parameter.

A test was also made to determine the effect of the data interval on the accuracy in calculating the second vertical derivative of gravity of the same model used in evaluating the various "point methods". Various data intervals ("r") were chosen as a function of  $Z_1$ . A value of r equal to  $1/4 Z_1$  gave the best results for the theoretical case (see Table 2). However, field data may contain high frequency noise, therefore, the closest data interval chosen would not necessarily give the best results. A compromise between theoretical accuracy and the influence of the noise within the data would have to be made in choosing the optimum data interval.

The same procedure and anomaly source used to evaluate the second vertical derivative of gravity was applied to the magnetic case assuming vertical magnetic polarization. Table 3 for magnetics is equivalent to Table 1 for gravity. The same general conclusions can be drawn for

36

(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)1 $3.35$ $ 10.824$ $+$ $0.008$ $ 0.001$ $ 0.001$ 2 $4.36$ $ 16.095$ $+$ $0.016$ $ 0.002$ $ 0.002$ 3 $5.76$ $ 24.900$ $+$ $0.035$ $ 0.055$ $ 0.007$ 4 $7.75$ $ 40.354$ $+$ $0.079$ $ 0.007$ $ 0.007$	(7) $(7)$ $(0)4 - 0.017$ $(0)11 - 0.038$ $(021 - 0.058)$ $(011 - 0.177)$ $(326 + 2.094)$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	.004 - 0.017 .011 - 0.038 .021 - 0.058 .011 - 0.177 .326 + 2.094
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	.011 - 0.038 .021 - 0.058 .011 - 0.177 .326 + 2.094
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	.021 - 0.058 .011 - 0.177 .326 + 2.094
4 7.75 - 40.354 + 0.079 - 0.007 - 0.	.011 - 0.177 .326 + 2.094
	.326 + 2.094
5 10.70 - 68.990 + 0.158 + 0.021 + 0.	
6 15.30 - 124.859 + 0.073 + 0.337 + 2.	.179 + 4.399
7 22.91 - 235.855 - 2.267 + 1.127 - 0.	.669 - 3.156
8 36.16 - 424.515 -11.032 - 7.167 -22.	<b>.936 -</b> 35.535
9 59.06 - 444.462 +26.095 + 7.070 +32.	<b>.6</b> 50 + 76.145
10 89.95 + 624.256 -10.871 - 6.852 -20.	.705 - 30.023
11 106.41 +1598.162 $+48.049$ $+16.406$ $+64.$	<u>,549</u> <u>+129.997</u>
Absolute mean error = 8.97 4.20 13.	.11 25.60
Column (1) Station number (interval = 500 feet	=).
Column (2) Observed vertical magnetic intensit over a vertical tabular source in a magnetic field. Station 11 is over of the source.	y (gummas) a vertical r the center
Column (3) Theoretical second vertical derivat in gammas/cm <sup>2</sup> x $10-10$ .	tive of magnetics
Column (4) Difference between the theoretical mate second vertical derivative for point method.	and approxi- the five
Column (5) Same as (4) for the seven point met	chod.
Column (6) Same as (4) for the nine point meth	nod.
Column (7) Same as (4) for the elven point met	- <b>1 1</b>

TABLE 3.--Difference between theoretical and approximate second vertical derivatives of magnetics of a vertical tabular source  $(r=1/2 \ Z_1)$ .

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	3.35	- 10.824	+0.000	+ 0.008	
2	4.36	- 16.095	+0.000	+ 0.016	+ :.313
3	5.76	- 24.900	+0.000	+ 0.035	
4	7.75	- 40.354	+0.004	+ 0.079	+ 1.381
5	10.70	- 68.990	+0.008	+ 0.158	
6	15.30	- 124.859	+0.006	+ 0.073	- 9.002
7	22.91	- 235.855	-0.107	- 2.267	
8	36.16	- 424.515	-0.836	-11.032	-25.199
9	59.06	- 444.462	+1.480	+26.095	
10	89.95	+ 624.256	-0.802	-10.871	-32.426
11	106.41	+1598.162	+2.769	+48.049	

TABLE 4.--Difference between theoretical and approximate second vertical derivatives of magnetics of a vertical tabular source using various data intervals for the five point method.

Source Parameters: Z1=1000 units; W=1000 units; and Z2=100,000 units.

Column (1) Station number (interval=500 feet).

- Column (2) Observed vertical magnetic intensity (gammas) over a vertical tabular source in a vertical magnetic field. Station 11 is over the center of the source.
- Column (3) Theoretical second vertical derivative of magnetics in gammas/cm<sup>2</sup> x  $10^{-10}$ .
- Column (4) Difference between the theoretical and approximate second vertical derivative for r-1/4 Z<sub>1</sub>.

Column (5) Same as (4) for  $r=1/2 Z_1$ .

Column (6) Same as (4) for  $r=Z_1$ .

magnetics except that the magnitude of error is greater for magnetics. This can be explained since the equivalent magnetic anomaly is larger in magnitude and narrower in width, thus containing sharper gradients. Table 4 for magnetics is equivalent to Table 2 for gravity. The data interval r=1/4 Z<sub>1</sub> gave the best results as in the equivalent gravity case.

Observed magnetic data in general contains higher frequency components than gravity data from the same sources. Thus, the selection of the best "point method" is more critical for magnetics due to greater difficulty in separating noise from signal.

### CHAPTER VIII

## CONCLUSION

The distance between zero values of the second vertical derivative of gravity and magnetic anomalies is useful as a guide to the width of two-dimensional tabular sources. The accuracy of the zero value in defining the width decreases as the true width decreases, the depth extent increases, and the dip decreases for a given depth to the source. The effect of these variations is to increase the width as defined by the zero second vertical derivative values over the true width. The error is greater for gravity than for vertical magnetic intensity.

General family of curves relating true widths of vertical two-dimensional tabular bodies to observed widths as determined from the zero values of second vertical derivatives of gravity and vertical magnetic intensity can be used to estimate true widths providing the depth and depth extent of the source are known. In any event, in the case of gravity, if the width of the vertical tabular source is greater than approximately 4.5 times the depth, the zero values of second vertical derivatives are in error by less than 10 percent for any depth extent in predicting the width

40

of the source. In the case of magnetics, if the width exceeds the depth to the source two-fold or more, the error in estimating the width from second vertical derivatives is less than 10 percent for any depth extent of the source.

The least squares method of approximating the second vertical derivatives of two-dimensional gravity and magnetic anomalies is a viable method of approximating the true second vertical derivative. BIBLIOGRAPHY

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Cook, Kenneth L., 1950, Quantitative Interpretation of Vertical Magnetic Anomalies Over Veins: Geophysics, V. 15, p. 667-686.
- Elkins, T. A., 1951, The Second Derivative Method of Gravity Interpretation: Geophysics, V. 16, p. 29-30.
- Fuller, B. D., 1967, Two-Dimensional Frequency analysis and Design of Grid Operators in Mining Geophysics: 026, V. 2, p. 658-708.
- Grant, F. S., and West, G. F., 1965, Interpretation Theory in Applied Geophysics: New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc.
- Heiland, C. A., 1940, Geophysical Exploration: New York, Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Henderson, R. G., 1960, A Comprehensive System of Astonatic Computation in Magnetic and Gravity Interpretation: Geophysics, V. 25, p. 569-585.
- Henderson, R. G., and Zietz, I., 1949a, The Computation of Second Vertical Derivatives of Geomagnetic Fields: Geophysics, V. 14, p. 508-516.
- Leney, G. W., 1966, Field Studies in Iron Ore Geophysics in S.E.G., Mining Geophysics, case Histories, V. J, p. 391-417.
- Peters, L. J., 1949, The Direct Approach to Magnetic Interpretation and Its Practical Application: Geophysics, V. 14, p. 290-320.
- Romberg, F. E., 1958, Key Variables of Gravity: Geophysics, V. 23, p. 684-700.
- Rosenbach, Otto, 1953, A Contribution to the Computation of the "Second Derivative" from Gravity Data: Geophysics, V. 18, p. 894-912.
- Rudman, A. J., and Blakely, R. F., 1965, A Geophysical Study of a Basement Anomaly in Indiana: Geophysics, V. 30, p. 740-761.

Swartz, Charles A., 1954, Some Geometrical Properties of Residual Maps: Geophysics, V. 19, p. 46-70.

Vacquier, V., Steenland, N. C., Henderson, R. G., and Zietz, I., 1951, Interpretation of Aeromagnetic Maps: Geol. Soc. Am. Mem. 47, p. 1-151.

# AFPENDIX A

COMPUTER PROGRAM FOF CALCULATING THE THEORETICAL SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE OF GRAVITY OVER A VERTICAL TABULAR MODEL

PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING THE THEORETICAL SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE OF GRAVITY OF A VERTICAL TABULAR SOURCE PROGRAMMED IN FORTRAN FOR A XDS SIGMA 5 (1/72) \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* DESCRIPTION OF INPUT DATA: CARD 1 (15) LTAT=TATAL NUMBER OF PROFILES CARD 2 (15) JTAT=TOTAL NUMBER OF SOURCES PER PROFILE CARD 3 (2F10+2,2I5) DELX=STATION SPACING FO=X=COORDINATE OF THE FIRST STATION M=TOTAL NUMBER OF STATIONS PER PROFILE NENUMBER OF COORDINATES PLUS ONE FOR EACH SOURCE CARDS 4++>N (2F10+3) X(I) = X+COORDINATES OF THE SOURCE Z(I) # Z + COORDINATES OF THE SOURCE CARDS 4 -- >N ARE REPEATED JTOT NUMBER OF TIMES ...CARDS 2 THROUGH 4-->N ARE REPEATED LTOT NUMBER OF TIMES

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

```
ramman SECDERIV(500), FX(500), M, X(50), Z(50), N
   READ (5.5) LTOT
 5 FARMAT (15)
                         l
   D8 49 L=1,LT0T
   READ (5,7) JTAT
 7 FORMAT (15)
   READ (5,9) DELX, FA, M, N
 9 FARMAT (2F10+2,2I5)
   19 47 J=1, JT8T
   WRITE (6,11)
11 FARMAT ('1')
   WRITE (6,13) L
13 FARMAT (//,50%, RESULTS FOR PROFILE NUMBER ', 15,///)
   09 15 I=1,M
   RI=I
15 FX(I)=F9=DELX+DELX+RI
   WRITE (6,17) J
17 FARMAT (50X, 'COORDINATES FOR BODY NUMBER ', 15,//)
   WRITE (6,19)
19 FORMAT (50X, 'X-COORDINATE', 6X, 'Z-COORDINATE', //)
   D9 25 1=1,N
   READ (5,21) X(1),Z(1)
21 FORMAT (2F10+2)
   WRITE (6,23) X(1),Z(1)
23 FARMAT (46X,2F15.3)
25 CONTINUE
   71=7(1)
   22=Z(3)
   IF (Z1) 28,27,28
27 21=•01
28 DA 30 1=1,M
   X1 = FX(I) = X(2)
   X \ge F \times (1) = \times (1)
   r_{A1} c_{3} = (x_{2}/(z_{1} + z_{2} + x_{2} + z_{2})) = (x_{1}/(z_{1} + z_{2} + x_{1} + z_{2}))
   CALC4=(X1/(Z2**?+X1**2)) ~(X?/(Z2**2+X2**2))
   SECDEPIV(1)=4.377E=06*(CALC3+CALC4)
30 CONTINUE
   WRITE (6,35)
35 FORMAT (///,30X,'I',8X,'FX(I)',8X,'SECOND DERIVATIVE GRAVITY (2D T
  1HICK DIKE CASE) ///
   PA 39 1=1.M
   WRITE (6,37) I, FX(I), SECDERIV(I)
37 FARMAT (27X, 14, F13, 3, 22X, E13, 6)
39 CONTINUE
   CALL XINTERCT (XINTERCP)
   WRITE (6,41)
41 FARMAT (//,50%, THE CROSS-OVER POINT IS LACATED AT',/)
   WRITE (6,43) XINTERCP
43 FARMAT (60X, X=', F10.3)
47 CONTINUE
```

```
49 CANTINUE
   STOP
   FND
                           i
   SUBROUTINE XINTERCT (XINTERCP)
  CAMMON DERIV(500), FX(500), NUMBER, X(50), Z(50), N
  XX1=X(1)
  XX5=X(5)
  Z1 = Z(1)
  Z2=Z(3)
  FX1=FX(1)
  IF (DERIV(1)+LE+0) ISET=0
  IF (DERIV(1).GT.O) ISET.1
  IF (Z1) 10,5,10
 5 Z1=•01
10 FX1=FX1+1.
  X1=FX1-XX2
  x?=FX1=XX1
  \times \times 1 = \times (1)
  XX5=X(5)
  CALC3=(X2/(Z1**2+X2**2))=(X1/(Z1**2+X1**2))
  CALC4=(X1/(Z2++2+X1++2))=(X2/(Z2++2+X2++2))
  DERIV1=4.377E=06+(CALC3+CALC4)
  IF (ISET.EQ.O.AND.DERIV1.LT.O) GO TO 10
  IF (ISET.EQ.1.AND.DERIV1.GT.O) GO TO 10
  XINTERCP#FX1
  RETURN
  END
```

i

# APPENDIX B

COMPUTER PROGRAM FOF CALCULATING THE THEORETICAL SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE OF GRAVITY OVER A IRREGULAR-SHAPED POLYGON

PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING THE THEORETICAL SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE OF GRAVITY OF A PRISM.SHAPED SOURCE PROGRAMMED IN FORTRAN FOR A XDS SIGMA 5 (1/72) \* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* DESCRIPTION OF INPUT DATA: CARD 1 (15) LTATETATAL NUMBER OF PROFILES CARD 2 (15) JTOT TOTAL NUMBER OF SOURCES PER PROFILE CARD 3 (2F10+2,2I5) METOTAL NUMBER OF STATIONS PER PROFILE DELX#STATION SPACING FO=X+COORDINATE OF THE FIRST STATION NENUMBER OF COORDINATES PLUS ONE FOR EACH SOURCE CARDS 4-->N (2F10.3) X(1) #X-COORDINATES OF THE SOURCE Z(I)=Z=COORDINATES OF THE SOURCE CARDS 4-+>N ARE REPEATED JTOT NUMBER OF TIMES CARDS 2 THROUGH 4 -- >N ARE REPEATED LTOT NUMBER OF TIMES

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

50

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

```
COMMON SECDERIV(500), FX(500), X(50), Z(50), XINTERCP(50), M, N, LL
   IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,0-Z)
   READ (5,5) LTOT
 5 FORMAT (15)
   DA 49 L=1,LTOT
   READ (5.7) JTOT
 7 FARMAT (15)
   READ (5,9) DELX, FO, M, N
 9 FORMAT (2F10+2,215)
   D8 47 J=1,JT8T
   WRITE (6,11)
11 FORMAT ('1')
   WRITE (6,13) L
13 FORMAT (//,50%, RESULTS FOR PROFILE NUMBER ', 15,///)
   D8 15 I=1.M
   RIII
15 FX(I)=F8=DELX+DELX+RI
   WRITE (6,17) J
17 FORMAT (50X, COARDINATES FAR BODY NUMBER 1/15,//)
   WRITE (6,19)
19 FORMAT (50X) X=COORDINATE', 6X) Z=COORDINATE',//)
   D9 25 1=1,N
   READ (5,21) \times (1), Z(1)
21 FORMAT (2F10.2)
   WRITE (6,23) X(1),2(1)
23 FORMAT (46X, 2F15.3)
25 CONTINUE
   NN=N-1
   D8 33 I=1,M
   SECDER2=0
   DA 31 K=1,NN
   X1 = X(K) = FX(T)
   X \ge X(K+1) = EX(1)
   ZZ1=Z(K)
   71=Z(K)
   7Z2=Z(K+1)
   72=Z(K+1)
   IF (ZZ1-ZZ2) 29,27,29
27 772=772++000001
29 BB1 = (X1 + Z2 - X2 + Z1) / (ZZ2 - ZZ1)
   A1 = (X2 - X1) / (ZZ2 - ZZ1)
   P1=(1/(1+A1++2))+((X1+X2)/(ZZ2+ZZ1))
   n2=((X1++2+Z1++2)+Z2)-((X2++2+Z2++2)+Z1)
   p3=(X1++2+Z1++2)+(X2++2+Z2++2)
   R4=A1*((X1/(X1+2+2+21+2))=(X2/(X2+2+2+2+2)))
   C1 = B1 + ((B2/B3) + B4)
   B2=((X1++2+Z1++2)+Z2)-((X2++2+Z2++2)+Z1)
   P6=(X1**2+Z1**2)*(X2**2+Z2**2)
   P7=A1*((X1/(X1**2+Z1**2))+(X2/(X2**2+Z2**2)))
   nq=(1/(1+#1**2))*((X1=X2)/(ZZ2=ZZ1))
```

P9=BB1/(1+A1++2)R10=(X1++2+21++2)+(X2++2+22++2) B11=(X1++2+Z1++2)=(X2++2+Z2++2) b15=((X1++5+21++5)+25)=((X5++5+25++5)+51) n13=((x1\*\*2+Z1\*\*2)\*2\*Z2)+((x2\*\*2+Z2\*\*2)\*2\*Z1) n14=((X1++2+21++2)+(X2++2+22++2))++2 p15=A1+(((2+X2+Z2)/((X2++2+Z2++2)++2))-((2+X1+Z1)/((X1++2+Z1++2) 1 + + 2)))r2=(((85/86)+87)+88)+(89\*(((810+811)=(812+813))/814)+815)) SECDEP1=C1+C2 SECDER2=SECDER1+SFCDER2 31 CANTINUE SECDERIV(I) = 4 + 377E + 06 + SECDER2 33 CONTINUE WRITE (6,35) 35 FARMAT (///,30x, 11,8x, FX(I),8x, SECOND DERIVATIVE GRAVITY (2D 3 1ENERAL CASE) 1/1) D8 39 1=1,M WRITE (6,37) I, FX(I), SECDERIV(I) 37 FORMAT (27X, 14, F13, 3, 22X, E13, 6) 39 CANTINUE CALL XINTERCT WRITE (6,41) 41 FORMAT (//,50%, THE CROSS-OVER POINTS ARE LOCATED ATI, /) DB 45 I=1,LL WRITE (6,43) XINTERCP(I) 43 FARMAT (60X, 'X=', F10.3) 45 CONTINUE 47 CONTINUE 49 CONTINUE STOP **FND** SUBROUTINE XINTERCT COMMON DERIV(500), FX(500), X(50), Z(50), XINTERCP(50), NUMBER, N, LL IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A+H,0+Z) LL=0 NN=N=1 JJ=1 5 IF (DERIV(JJ)) 7,7,15 7 D8 13 I=1, NUMBER 1F (NUMBER-JJ) 35,35,9 9 JJ#JJ+1 IF (DERIV(JJ)) 13,11,11 11 FX1=FX(JJ+1) KK=1 LL=LL+1 68 T8 23 13 CONTINUE 15 09 21 1=1, NUMBER IF (NUMBER-JJ) 35,35,17

```
17 JJ=JJ+1
   IF (DERIV(JJ)) 19,19,21
19 FX1=FX(JJ=1)
   KK=D
   LL=LL+1
   68 T8 23
21 CANTINUE
23 FX1=FX1+1.
   SECDER2=0
   D8 29 K=1,NN
   X1 = X(K) = FX1
   X2=X(K+1)=FX1
   721=Z(K)
   21=Z(K)
   7Z2=Z(K+1)
   22=Z(X+1)
   IF (271-222) 27,25,27
25 ZZ2=ZZ2++00001
27 BB1=(X1+Z2+X2+Z1)/(ZZ2+ZZ1)
   A1 = (X2 = X1) / (ZZ2 = ZZ1)
   H1=(1/(1+A1++2))+((X1+X2)/(722+ZZ1))
   R2=((X1++2+Z1++2)+Z2)=((X2++2+Z2++2)+Z1)
   q3=(X1++2+Z1++2)+(X2++2+Z2++2)
   B4=A1+((X1/(X1++2+Z1++2))=(X2/(X2++2+Z2++2)))
   C1=B1+((B2/B3)+B4)
   R5#((X1**2+Z1**2)*Z2)=((X2**2+Z2**2)*Z1)
   P6=(X1++2+Z1++2)+(X2++2+Z2++2)
   B7=A1+((X1/(X1++2+Z1++2))+(X2/(X2++2+Z2++2)))
   B3 = (1/(1+A1+2)) + ((X1-X2)/(772-771))
   B9=BB1/(1+A1++2)
   P10=(X1++2+Z1++2)+(X2++2+Z2++2)
   B11=(X1++2+Z1++2)=(X2++2+Z2++2)
   B12=((X1*+2+Z1++2)+Z2)+((X2++2+Z2++2)+Z1)
   B13=((X1++5+21++5)+5+25)+((X5++5+25++5)+5+51)
   R14=((X1**2+Z1**2)*(X2**2+7?**2))**2
   R15=A1+(((2+X2+Z2)/((X2++2+Z2++2)++2))+((2+X1+Z1)/((X1++2+Z1++2)
  1++2)))
   C2=(((B5/B6)+B7)*B8)+(B9*((((B10*B11)*(B12*B13))/B14)+B15))
   SFCDFR1=C1+C2
   SECDER2=SECDER1+SECDER2
29 CONTINUE
   DERIV1=4+377E=06+SECDER2
   1F (KK) 31,33,31
31 IF (DERIV1) 23,34,34
33 IF (DERIV1) 34,34,23
34 XINTERCP(LL)=FX1
   68 19 5
35 CONTINUE
   RETURN
   END
                         Ł
```

## APPENDIX C

COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING THE THEORETICAL SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE OF MAGNETICS OVER A VERTICAL TABULAR MODEL

PROGRAM FAR CALCULATING THE THEORETICAL SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE OF MAGNETICS OF A VERTICAL TABULAR SOURCE PROGRAMMED IN FORTRAN FOR A XDS SIGMA 5 (1/72) \* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* DESCRIPTION OF INPUT DATA: CARD 1 (15) LTAT TATAL NUMBER OF PRAFILES CARD 2 (15) JTAT=TATAL NUMBER OF SOURCES PER PROFILE CARD 3 (2F10+2,2I5,3F10+2) DELX=STATION SPACING F0=X+C00RDINATE OF THE FIRST STATION METATAL NUMBER OF STATIONS PER PROFILE N=NUMBER OF COORDINATES PLUS ONE FOR EACH SOURCE SUS#SUSCEPTIBILITY CONTRAST (EMU/CC) ZINDUCED=MAGNITUDE OF THE EARTH'S REGIONAL MAGNETIC FIFLD (BERSTEDS) CARDS 4-->N (2F10.3) X(I) = X = COORDINATES OF THE SOURCE Z(1)=Z=C90RDINATES OF THE SOURCE CARDS 4-->N ARE REPEATED UTOT NUMBER OF TIMES CARDS 2 THROUGH 4-->N ARE REPEATED LTOT NUMBER OF TIMES 

j

```
COMMON SECDERIV (500), FX(500), M, X(50), Z(50), SUS, INCL,
  1ZINDUCED, N. VERTMAG
   REAL INCL
   READ (5.5) LTOT
 5 FARMAT (15)
   D9 70 L=1,LT8T
   READ (5,7) JTOT
 7 FORMAT (15)
   READ (5,9) DELX, F9, M, N, SUS, ZINDUCED, INCL
 9 FORMAT (2F10+2,2I5,3F10+2)
   79 10 1=1,M
10 FX(I)=F9=DELX+DELX+I
   D9 60 J=1, JTAT
   WRITE (6,20)
20 FRRMAT (111)
   WRITE (6,22) L
22 FORMAT (///,50X, TRESULTS FOR PROFILE NUMBER 1, 15,///)
   WRITE (6,24) SUS, ZINDUCED, INCL
24 FORMAT (/, 'SUSCEPTIBILITY=', F7.4, 10X, 'INDUCED MAGNETIZATION=',
  1F10.2,1X, OERSTEDS', 10X, INCLINATION OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD=',
  1F5.2,1X, DEGREES!,//)
   WRITE (6,30) J
30 FORMAT (50%, COORDINATES FOR BODY NUMBERI, 15, //)
   WRITE (6,32)
32 FORMAT (50X, 1X-COORDINATE, 6X, 1Z-COORDINATE, //)
   D8 38 1=1.N
   READ (5,34) X(1), Z(1)
34 FORMAT (2F10.2)
   WRITE (6,36) X(1),Z(1)
36 FORMAT (46X, 2F15+3)
38 CANTINUE
   RADANGLE=INCL++01745329
   VERTMAG=ZINDUCED+SIN(RADANGLE)
   71 #Z(1)
   Z2=Z(3)
   IF (Z1) 45,40,45
40 71=•01
45 D8 50 I=1.M
   x_1 = F_X(T) = X(2)
   X2 = FX(I) = X(1)
   CALC1=(X1+Z2)/(X1++2+Z2++2)++2
   CALC2=(X1+Z1)/(X1++2+Z1++2)++2
   CALC3=(X2+71)/(X2++7+71++2)++2
   CALC4=(X2+Z2)/(X2++2+Z2++2)++2
   SECDERIV(I)=SUS+VERTMAG+4.0356E02+(CALC1-CALC2+CALC3+CALC4)
50 CONTINUE
   WRITE (6,52)
52 FORMAT (///, 30X, 'I', 8X, 'FX(I)', 8X, 'SECOND DERIVATIVE MAGNETICS (2)
  1 THICK DIKE CASE) 1/1
   DA 54 1=1,M
```

```
WRITE (6,53) I, FX(I), SECDERIV(I)
53 FORMAT (27X, 14, F13.3, 22X, E13.6)
54 CONTINUE
   CALL XINTERCT (XINTERCP)
   WRITE (6,56)
56 FARMAT (//, 50X, THE CROSS-AVER POINT IS LACATED ATI /)
   WRITE (6,57) XINTERCP
57 FARMAT (60X, 1X=1, F10+3)
60 CONTINUE
70 CANTINUE
   STOP
   END
   SUBROUTINE XINTERCT (XINTERCP)
   CAMMON SECDERIV (500), FX(500), M, X(50), Z(50), SUS, INCL,
  1ZINDUCED, N, VERTMAG
   XX1=X(1)
   XX5=X(5)
   71=Z(1)
   Z2=Z(3)
   FX1=FX(1)
   IF (SFCDERIV(1)+LE+0) ISET=0
   IF (SECDERIV(1).GT.D) ISET=1
   IF (Z1) 20,15,20
15 21=•01
20 FX1=FX1+1.
   X1=FX1-XX2
   X2=FX1=XX1
  CALC1=(X1+Z2)/(X1++2+Z2++2)++2
   CALC2=(X1+Z1)/(X1++2+Z1++2)++2
  CALC3=(X2+Z1)/(X2++2+Z1++2)++2
  CALC4=(X2+Z5)/(X2++5+Z5++5)++5
  DERIV1=SUS+VERTMAG+4+0356E02+(CALC1=CALC2+CALC3+CALC4)
  IF (ISET.ER.O.AND.DERIVI.LT.C) GO TO 20
  IF (ISET .ED.1 .AND.DERIV1.GT.C) GO TO 20
  XINTERCP=FX1
  RETURN
  END
```

# APPENDIX D

# COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING THE THEORETICAL SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE OF MAGNETICS OVER A IRREGULAR-SHAPED POLYGON

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING THE THEORETICAL SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE OF MAGNETICS OF A PRISM-SHAPED SOURCE PRAGRAMMED IN FARTRAN FAR & XDS SIGMA 5 (1/72) \* DESCRIPTION OF INPUT DATA: CARD 1 (15) LTAT=TATAL NUMBER OF PRAFILES CARD 2 (15) JTAT=TATAL NUMBER OF SOURCES PER PROFILE CARD 3 (2F10+2,2I5,3F10+2) DELX=STATION SPACING FR=X-COORDINATE OF THE FIRST STATION METOTAL NUMBER OF STATIONS PER PROFILE N=NUMBER OF COORDINATES PLUS ONE FOR EACH SOURCE SUS=SUSCEPTIBILITY CONTRAST (EMU/CC) ZINDUCED=MAGNITUDE OF THE EARTH'S REGIONAL MAGNETIC FIELD (BERSTEDS) CARDS 4-->N (2F10.3) X(I)=X=COORDINATES OF THE SOURCE Z(I)=Z-CAORDINATES OF THE SOURCE CARDS 4-->N ARE REPEATED JTOT NUMBER OF TIMES CARDS 2 THROUGH 4 -- >N ARE REPEATED LTOT NUMBER OF TIMES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

```
CAMMAN SECDERIV(500), FX(500), X(50), Z(50), SUS, STRIKE,
  1XINTEPCP(50), BETA, BBP, ZINDUCED, INCL, M, N, LL
   IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,0-Z)
   REAL INCL
   READ (5,5) LTOT
5 FORMAT (15)
   DA 70 L=1,LTAT
   READ (5.7) JTOT
 7 FARMAT (15)
   READ (5,9) DELX, FO, M, N, SUS, ZINDUCED, INCL, STRIKE
 9 FARMAT (2F10+2,215,4F10+2)
   D8 10 1=1.M
10 FX(I)=F0=DELX+DELX+I
   IF (STRIKE) 17,15,17
15 STRIKE=.00001
17 STRIKE=STRIKE++01745329
   INCL = INCL + . 01745329
   BETA=DATAN(DTAN(INCL)-DSIN(STRIKE))
   NN=N=1
   BBB=DSQRT(1+((DCOS(STRIKE))++2+(DCOS(INCL))++2))
   STRIKE=STRIKE/+01745329
   INCL=INCL/.01745329
   NB 60 J=1, JT8T
   WRITE (6,20)
20 FARMAT ('1')
   WRITE (6,22) L
22 FORMAT (///,50X, RESULTS FOR PROFILE NUMBER 1, 15,///)
   WRITE (6,24) SUS, ZINDUCED, INCL
24 FORMAT (/, 'SUSCEPTIBILITY=', F7.4, 10X, 'INDUCED MAGNETIZATION=',
  1F10-2,1X, BERSTEDS', 10X, INCLINATION OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD=',
  1F5+2,1X, DEGREES',//)
   WRITE (6,26) STRIKE
26 FORMAT (50X, STRIKE OF THE BODY=N', F5.2, 101,//)
   WRITE (6,30) J
30 FARMAT (50X, 'COORDINATES FAR BODY NUMBER', IS, //)
   WRITE (6,32)
32 FARMAT (50X, X+COARDINATE', 6X, Z+COORDINATE', //)
   D0 38 I=1,N
   READ (5,34) X(1),Z(1)
34 FORMAT (2F10+2)
   WRITE (6,36) X(I)_{J}Z(I)
36 FORMAT (46X, 2F15+3)
38 CONTINUE
   D8 51 I=1,M
   DERIV2=0
   D9 50 KE1,NN
   X1 = X(K) = FX(I)
   X2=X(K+1)+EX(I)
   771=7(K)
   21=Z(K)
```

225=2(K+1) 72=Z(K+1) 1F (Z71+Z72) 42,40,42 40 222=272++01 42 A1=(X2=X1)/(ZZ2=ZZ1) P1 = (X1 + Z2 - X2 + Z1) / (ZZ2 - ZZ1)TF (B1) 46,44,46 44 B1=.00001 46 H2=1+A1+#? AA=1/H2 BB=A1+DSIN(BETA)+DC9S(BFTA) DD=A1+DCOS(BETA)=DSIN(BFTA) FF=((1+A1++2)+Z2++2)+(2+A1+P1+Z2)+B1++2 GG=((1+A1++2)+Z1++2)+(2+A1+B1+Z1)+B1++2  $\mu_1 = (x_1 - x_2) / (Z_2 - Z_2)$ SN=((H2+Z2)/B1)+A1 SP=((H2+Z1)/B1)+A1 HH=(2\*Z2\*H2)+(2\*A1\*B1)+(2\*A1\*Z2\*H1)+(2\*B1\*H1) SI=(2+Z1+H2)+(2+A1+B1)+(2+A1+Z1+H1)+(2+B1+H1)  $S_{1}=2*(H_{2}+(2*A_{1}*H_{1})+H_{1}**2)$ SL=1+SN++2 SM=1+5P++2 C3=(GG\*FF)\*(((GG\*SJ)+(HH\*SI))=((FF\*SJ)+(SI\*HH))) RR=((GG\*HH)+(FF\*SI))\*((GG\*HH)+(FF\*SI)) SS# .5\*BB\*(NQ=RR)/(GG\*FF)\*\*2 UUU=81+22+H1 VVV=B1+Z1+H1 UU=1/SL\*(-2\*H1\*H2\*UUU)/B1\*\*3 WW=1/SM+(+2+H1+H2+VVV)/B1++3 VV=((H2+UUU)/B1++2)+(+2+SN+(H2+UUU)/B1++2)/SL++2 XX=((H2+VVV)/B1++2)+(-2+SP+(H2+VVV)/B1++2)/SM++2 YY=DD\*((UJ+VV)+(WW+XX))DERIVI = AA+(SS+YY) DERIV2=DERIV1+DERIV2 50 CONTINUE SECDERIV(I)=2+0178E02+SUS+ZINDUCED+BBB+DERIV2 51 CANTINUE WRITE (6,52) 52 FORMAT (///, 30x, 11', 8x, 1FX(1)', 8x, 1SECOND DERIVATIVE MAGNETICS (2) 1 GENERAL CASE) / // DA 54 1=1,M WRITE (6,53) I,FX(I),SECDERIV(I) 53 FORMAT (27X, 14, F13.3, 22X, E13.6) 54 CANTINUE CALL XINTERCT WRITE (6,56) D9 58 I=1.LL WRITE (6,57) XINTERCP(1) 57 FARMAT (60X, 'X=', F10.3)

```
58 CANTINUE
60 CONTINUE
70 CANTINUE
   STOP
   FND
   SUBROUTINE XINTERCT
   CAMMAN DERIV(500), FX(500), X(50), Z(50), SUS, STRIKE,
  1XINTERCP(50), BETA, BBB, ZINQUCED, INCLINUMBERINILL
   IMPLICIT DAUBLE PRECISION (A-H,0-Z)
   REAL INCL
   LL=O
   NN=N=1
   JJ=1
 5 IF (DERIV(JJ)) 7,7,13
 7 D8 12 1=1, NUMBER
   IF (NUMBER-JJ) 39,39,9
 9 JJ=JJ+1
   IF (DERIV(JJ)) 12,11,11
11 FX1=FX(JJ=1)
   KK=1
   LL=LL+1
   G8 T8 21
12 CANTINUE
13 D8 19 I=1, NUMBER
   IF (NUMBER-JJ) 39,39,15
15 JJ=JJ+1
   IF (DERIV(JJ)) 17,17,19
17 FX1 = FX(JJ = 1)
   KK=D
   LL=LL+1
   GA TA 21
19 CANTINUE
21 FX1=FX1+1.
   DERIV2=0
   D9 31 K=1,NN
   X1=X(K)=FX1
   X \ge X(K+1) = F \times 1
   ZZ1=Z(K)
   71=Z(K)
   7Z2=Z(K+1)
   Z2=Z(K+1)
   IF (ZZ1-ZZ2) 25,23,25
53 225=225++01
25 A1=(X2=X1)/(ZZ2=ZZ1)
   P1=(X1+Z2+X2+Z1)/(Z72-ZZ1)
   IF (B1) 29,27,29
27 P1=00001
29 H2=1+A1+*2
   AA=1/42
   BB=A1+DSIN(BETA)+DCAS(BETA)
```

```
DD=A1+DCOS(BETA)+DSIN(BETA)
   FF=((1+A1++2)+72++2)+(2+A1+B1+72)+B1++2
   G3=((1+A1**2)*Z1**2)+(2*A1*B1*Z1)+B1**2
   H1 = (X1 = X2) / (ZZ2 = ZZ1)
   SN=((H2+Z2)/B1)+A1
   HH=(2*Z2*H2)+(2*A1*B1)+(2*A1*Z2*H1)+(2*B1*H1)
   ST=(2*Z1*H2)+(2*A1*B1)+(2*A1*Z1*H1)+(2*B1*H1)
   cJ=2+(H2+(2+A1+H1)+H1++2)
   SL=1+SN++2
   SM=1+SP++2
   00=(66*FF)*(((66*SJ)+(HH*ST))=((FF*SJ)+(ST*HH)))
   RR = ((GG + HH) + (FF + SI)) + ((GG + HH) + (FF + SI))
   SS=+5+BB+(Q2=RR)/(G5+FF)++2
   UUU=B1-Z2+H1
   VVV=B1=Z1+H1
   UU=1/SL+(-2+H1+H2+UUU)/B1++3
   WW=1/SM*(+2*H1*H2*VVV)/B1**3
   VV=((H2+UUU)/B1++2)+(-2+SN+(H2+UUU)/B1++2)/SL++2
   XX=((H2+VVV)/B1++2)+(-2+SP+(H2+VVV)/B1++2)/SM++2
   YY=DD+((UU+VV)-(WW+XX))
   DERIV1=AA+(SS=YY)
   PERIV2=DERIV1+DERIV2
31 CONTINUE
   DERIV1=2+0178E02*SUS+ZINDUCED*BBB*DERIV2
   TF (KK) 33,35,33
33 IF (DERIV1) 21,37,37
35 IF (DERIV1) 37, 37, 21
37 XINTERCP(IL)=FX1
   68 T8 5
39 CONTINUE
   RETURN
   END
```

# APPENDIX E

# COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING THE SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE OF THEORETICAL GRAVITY USING THE LEAST SQUARES METHOD
PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING THE APPROXIMATE SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE OF THEORETICAL GRAVITY USING THE LEAST SQUARES METHOD PROGRAMMED IN FORTRAN FOR A COC 3600 COMPUTER (12/66) \* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* DESCRIPTION OF INPUT DATA: CARD 1 (15) LTOT=TOTAL NUMBER OF PROFILES CARD 2 (2110,2F10.2) JTAT=TATAL NUMBER OF SOURCES PER PROFILE METATAL NUMBER OF STATIONS PER PROFILE DELX=STATION SPACING FA=X-COORDINATE OF THE FIRST STATION CARD 3 (110,F10.2) NENUMBER OF COORDINATES PLUS ONE FOR EACH SOURCE RHA=DENSITY CONTRAST (GR/CC) CARDS 4-->N (2F10.3) X(1)=X=C00RDINATES OF THE SOURCE Z(I)=Z-COORDINATES OF THE SOURCE CAPDS 4-->N ARE REPEATED JTOT NUMBER OF TIMES CARDS 2 THROUGH 4-->N ARE REPEATED LTOT NUMBER OF TIMES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

IN5.3B		12/08/66
P C 1R C M R R	ROGRAM DGDZ COMMON/1/GRAV(500),FX(500),M, HO,THEODER(500),NUMBODY,MM,N COMMON/2/ DUMMY(2011) M=1 \$ NN=2 EAD3,LTOT ORMAT(15)	APPŘDEŘ(500),X(50),Ž(50),N,DELX,FO, N
	ORMAT (1H1) RINT 7 ORMAT (1H1) RINT 4.J ORMAT (///50X,*RESULTS FOR P ALL APPROX	ROFILE NUMBER + , 15///)
P 11 F 12 F 12 F 1E D	RINT 11 ORMAT(1H1) RINT 12 ORMAT (5X,+I+,10X,+STATION+, SECOND DERIVATIVE+,10X,+THE 10 13 I=1,M	10X,+GRAVITY ANOMALY+,10X,+APPROXIMAT Dretical Second Derivative+//)
13 T P 15 F 510 F 20 C	HEODER(I) = THEODER(I) + 1E10 RINT 15,(I,FX(I),GRAV(I),APP ORMAT (I6,8X,F10.3,9X,F10.3, UNCH 510,(GRAV(I),APPRDER(I) ORMAT (6E13,6) ONTINUE	RDER(I),THEODER(I),I=1,M) 23X,E13,6,26X,E13.6) THEODER(I),I=1,M)
E	ND	
• THE COMPANY OF THE AND AND AND THE COMPANY	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	• • • • • • • •	
		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

. . . . . . . . .

. ......

N5.3B		12/08/66	
		SUBROUTINE APPROX	
		COMMON/1/GRÁV(500), DUMMY1(500), M, APPRDER(500), DUMMY2(101), DELX,	
		1DUMMY3(505)	
		COMMON/2/DUMMY4(931),VAL1(30),VAL2(30),DUMMY5(1020)	
		CALL GRAV2D	
		PRINT 5	
	5	FORMAT (1H1)	
		MAX=M-2	
		DO 15 I=3,MAX	
		RAD =0	
		DO 10 K=1,2	
		RAD=RAD+DELX	
		VAL1(K)=(RAD+31.48)++2	
		VAL2(K) = (2 + GRAV(I) + GRAV(I + K) = GRAV(I + K))/VAL1(K)	
	10	VAL2(K)=VAL2(K)+1E10	
		CALL LSTSQPOL (DERIV)	
		APPRDER(1)=DERIV	
	15	CONTINUE	
		END	

------

-

.....

67

··· ··· ···

-----

N5.3B	12/08/66
	SURROUTINE GRAV2D
	COMMON/1/GRAV(500), FX(500), M, APPRDER(500), X(50), Z(50), N, DELX, FO,
а	1RH0,THEODER(507),J101,DUMMY1(2) 
с	
Č	N IS THE NUMBER OF BODY POINTS PLUS ONE
СС	L IS THE INDEX FOR PROFILES
C	J IS THE INDEX FOR BODIES/PROFILE
C C	ELE IS THE ELEVATION OF THE PROFILE
č	FO IS THE X COORDINATE OF 1S" STATION
č	BODY COORDINATES, STATION SPACING AND FO MUST BE CONVERTED TO KET
C	
	READ 12, JTOT, M, DELX, FO
12	FURMAT (2110,2F10,2)
	GRAV(I)=0.0 % FX(I)=(FO+DELX)+CELX+1 % APPRDER(I)=0.0
15	THEOPER(I)=0.0
-	DO 13 J=1, JTOT
	READ 16, N, RHO \$ ELE=0.0
16	FORMAT (110, F10.2)
	READ 20, (X(I), Z(I), I=1,N)
20	FUMMAI(2F10,3) Dungu 500 n.m. RHO.FO.DELY
500	FORMAT $(2110.3F10.2)$
	PUNCH 505, (X(1), Z(1), I=1, N)
505	FORMAT (10F8,0)
	CALL CALCDER
0	PRINT 8,J
R	PURMAI(JUX, TUURDINAIES FOR BUDT NUMBERT, 19777
9	FORMAT(50X.+X-COURDINATE+, 6X, +Z+COURDINATE+//)
	PRINT 14, (X(I), Z(I), I=1, N)
14	FORMAT (49x, F15, 3, 2x, F15, 3)
	D042n K=1,M
	SDEL7=0,0
	1=1 I=1
207	7EEF=7(I)-FLE
	RR=EXXX*EXXX+ZFEE*7EEE
	IF(EXXX)210,240,280
210	IF(ZEEE)220,230,230
220	THETB=ATANF(ZEEE/EXXX)=3,141592/
270	GU 10300 THETREATANE(7EEE/EXXX)+3.1415927
200	GO TO 300
240	IF(ZEEE)250,260,270
250	THETR==1,5707963
	<u>GO TO 300</u>
260	THETHSD.0
	THETR=1.5707963
e / 0	GO TO 300
280	THETR=ATANF(ZEEE/EXXX)
300	IF(1-1)3001,3002,3001
3002	EXX=FXXX

12/08/66

N5.38

· · · · · - -

**.** ...

	ZEE=ZEEE	
-	R=RR	
	THETA=THETR	
	IF(I=1)205,200,205	
200	1=2	
	GO TO 205	
3001	CHECK=FXX+7EEE=ZEE+EXXX	
	IF(CHECK)320,310,320	 
310	DELZ=0.0	
320	OMEGA=THETA+THETB	
	IF (OMEGA) 3201, 3202, 3202	
3202	IF(OMEGA=3,1415927)330,330,340	
3201	IF(OMEGA+3,1415927)340,330,330	
330	DTHET=OMEGA	
	GO TO 370	
340	IF(OMEGA)350,360,360	
350	DTHET=OMEGA+6,2831853	
	GO TO 370	
360	DTHET=OMEGA+6,2831853	
370	AAA=(EXXX=FXX)+(EXXX=EXX)	
	AA=(ZEEE+ZFE)+(ZEEE+ZEE)	
	A=CHECK/(AAA+AA)	
	B=(EXXX=FXX)+DTHET	
	IF(RR)371,371,403	
371	C=0, n	
	GO TO 402	•
403	IF(R)371,371,401	
401	CCC=RR/R	
	CC=LOGF(CCC)	
	C=,5+(7EEE+ZEE)+CC	
402	DELZ=A+(B+C)	
400	SDELZ=SDELZ+DELZ	
	IF(I+N)3003,3005,3005	
3003	I=I+1	
	GO TO 3002	
3005	SUM = ,004066032*RH0*SDEL7	
	GRAV(K)=GRAV(K)+SUM	 <b></b>
420	CONTINUE	
13	CONTINUE	
	END	

\_\_\_\_\_69

-----

;

......

IN5.3B	12/08/66
	SUBROUTINE CALCOER
140 M 1	COMMON/1/GRAV(500), FX(500), M, DUMMY1(500), X(50), Z(50), N, DELX, FO, RH
	1, THEODER(500), DUMMY2(3)
	COMMON/2/ nUMMY3(2n11)
	DO 15 [=1,M
	SEC DER2=0
	UU 14 KF1,NN 
	- X1=X(K)=FX(I) & X2=X(K+1)=FX(I) & 21=221=2(K) & 22=222=2(K+1) IE(74=72)16,40,46
1 1	179=79+ 04
16	5 BB1=(X1+772=X2+ZZ1)/(Z2=Z1)
-	A1 = (X2 = X1) / (72 = Z1)
••••••	B1=(1/(1+A1++2))+((X1-X2)/(Z2-Z1))
	B2=((X1*+2+Z1*+2)+Z2)-((X2++2+Z2++2)+Z1)
	B3=(X1++2+71++2)+(X2++2+Z2++2)
	B4=A1+((X1/(X1++2+71++2))+(X?/(X2++2+Z2++2)))
	C1=B1+((P2/B3)+B4)
	B5=((X1**2+Z1**2)*Z2)=((X2++2+Z2**2)*Z1)
	B6=(X1++2+71++2)+(X2++2+Z2++2)
	B7=A1+((X1/(X1++2+71++2))-(X2/(X2++2+Z2++2)))
	98#(1/(1+A1++2))+((X1+X2)/(Z?+21))
	57=541/(1+A1**2) D10=//4++0,74++0,70++0+70++0
	D1U=(A1**2+21**2)*(A2**2*62**2) R11=(Y1++0,71++0)=/Y0++0+70++0)
	R12 + (11 + 2 + 71 + 2) + 72) + (112 + 2 + 72 + 2) + 71)
	B13 = ((X1 + +2 + 71 + +2) + 2 + 72) + ((X2 + +2 + 72 + +2) + 2 + 71)
	B14=((X1++2+71++2)+(X2++2+72++2))++2
	B15=A1+(((2+X2+Z2)/((X2++2+Z2++2)++2))-((2+X1+Z1)/((X1++2+Z1++2)++
-	12)))
	C2=(((B5/B6)+B7)+BR)+(B9+((((B10+B11)-(B12+B13))/B14)+R15))
	SECDER1=C1+C2
	SECDER2=SECDER1+SECDER2
14	CONTINUE
	SEUDERIV = #4,3//EeO6+SECDER2+RHC
4 G	INCUDER(I)#INEUDER(I)+SEUDERIV
17	

70

- -- -- ...

TN5.38		12/0	8/66
		SUBROUTINE LSTSQPOL(DERIV)	
		COMMON/1/DIMMY1(2106), M, N	
		COMMON/2/A(30,30), H(30), MP1, X(30), Y(30), C(30,30), DUMMY	2(120)
		DO 30 I=1,N	
	30	C(1,1)=1,0	
		MP1=M+1	
		DO 35 J=2,MP1	
	• •	DO 35 J=1,N	
	35	<pre>C(I,J)=C(I,J=1)+X(t)</pre>	
		DO 40 J=1,MP1	
		DO 40 J=1,MP1	
		A(I,J)=0,0	
=		DO 40 K=1,N	
	40	A(I,J)=A(I,J)+C(K,I)+C(K,J)	
		DO 45 I=1,MP1	
		B(I)=0.0	
		DO 45 K=1,N	
	45	B(1) = B(1) + C(K, 1) + Y(K)	
		CALL MATRIX	
	_	PRINT 600, N, M	
	600	FORMAT (* NUMBER OF GIVEN DATA POINTS=*,12,10%,*DEGREE	: POLYNOMIAL=
		1+,12)	
		DO 50 I=1,MP1	
	50	PRINT BOD, II, B(I)	
	800	FORMAT (5X,12, + DEGREE CUEFFICIENT=+,E13.6)	
		DERIV=R(1)	
		END	

71

- and and the statement

.....

. . . . . .

----

12/08/66

SUBROUTINE MATRIX COMMON/1/ DUMMY1(2108) COMMON/2/A(30,30), R(30), N , DUMMY2(960), IPVOT(30), INDEX(30,2), 1PIVOT(30)EQUIVALENCE (IROW, JROW), (ICOL, JCOL) DET=1.0 DO 17 J=1,N 17 IPVOT(J)=0DO 135 I=1,N ∓ T=0 DO 9 J=1,N IF(IPVOT(J)+1)+3,9,13 13 DO 23 K=1.N IF(IPVOT(K)-1)43,23,81 43 IF(ARSF(T)+ABSF(A(J,K)))83,23,23 83 IROWEJ \$ ICOLEK \$ TEA(J,K) 23 CONTINUE 9 CONTINUE IPVOT(ICOL)=IPVOT(ICOL)+1 IF(IROW+ICOL)73,109,73 73 DET=DFT DO 12 L=1,N T=A(IROW,L)A(IROW,L) = A(ICOL,L)12 A(ICOL,L)=T T=B(IROW) S B(IROW)=B(ICOL); S B(ICOL)=T 109 INDEX(I,1)=IROW \$ INDEX(I,2)=ICOL PIVOT(I)=A(ICOL, ICOL) S DET=DET=PIVOT(I) S A(ICOL, ICOL)=1.0 DO 205 L=1,N  $205 \land (ICOL, L) = \land (ICOL, L) / PIVOT(1)$ B(ICOL)=B(ICOL)/PIVOT(I) DO 135 LI=1,N IF(LI=JCOL) 21,135,21 21 T=A(LI,ICOL) A(LI, ICOL) = 0.0DO 89 L=1,N 89 A(LI,L)=A(LI,L)-A(1COL,L)+TB(LI)=B(LI)=B(ICOL)+T135 CONTINUE 222 DO 3 I=1.N L=N-I+1 IF(INDEX(L,1)-INDEX(L,2))19,3,19 19 JROW=INDEX(L,1) JCOL=INDEX(L,2) DO 549 K=1,N T=A(K, JROW) \$ A(K, JROW)=A(K, JCOL) \$ A(K, JCOL)=T 549 CONTINUE 3 CONTINUE 81 CONTINUE END 1.59,4500,7, UTION STARTED AT 2224 -31

## APPENDIX F

COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING THE SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE OF THEORETICAL MAGNETICS USING THE LEAST SQUARES METHOD

PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING THE APPROXIMATE SECOND VERTICAL DERIVATIVE OF THEORETICAL MAGNETICS USING THE LEAST SQUARES METHAD PROGRAMMED IN FORTRAN FOR A CDC 3600 COMPUTER (1/67) \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* DESCRIPTION OF INPUT DATA: CARD 1 (15) LTAT TATAL NUMBER OF PROFILES CARD 2 (2110,2F10.2) JTAT TATAL NUMBER OF SOURCES PER PROFILE METOTAL NUMBER OF STATIONS PER PROFILE DELX=STATION SPACING FREX-CAARDINATE OF THE FIRST STATION CARD 3 (110,4F10.2) N=NUMBER OF COORDINATES PLUS ONE FOR EACH SOURCE SUS=SUSCEPTIBILITY CONTRAST (EMU/CC) STRIKE STRIKE OF THE SOURCE (POSITIVE CLOCKWISE (DEGREES) FROM THE MAGNETIC NORTH POLE) DIP=INCLINATION OF THE EARTH'S REGIONAL MAGNETIC FIELD (PASITIVE DOWNWARD (DEGREES)) ZINDUCED=MAGNITUDE OF THE EARTH'S REGIONAL MAGNETIC FIELD (MERSTEDS) INCL = INCLINATION OF THE FARTH'S REGIONAL MAGNETIC FIELD (PASITIVE DOWNWARD (DEGREES)) CARDS 4-->N (2F10.3) X(I)=X-COORDINATES OF THE SOURCE Z(I)=Z=COORDINATES OF THE SOURCE CAPDS 4-->N ARE REPEATED JIGT NUMBER OF TIMES CARDS 2 THROUGH 4-->N ARE REPEATED LTOT NUMBER OF TIMES 

TN5.3B

```
PROGRAM FGDZ
    COMMON/1/ZMAG(500),FX(500),M,APPRDÉR(500),X(50),Z(50),N,DÉLX,FO,
   1SUS, THEOLER(500), NUMBODY, MM, NN, STRIKE, DIP, 7INDUCED
    COMMON/2/ DUMMY(2011)
    MM=2 # NN=3
    READS, LTCT
  3 FORMAT(15)
    DO 20 J=1,LTOT
    PRINT 7
  7 FORMAT (1H1)
    PRINT 4,J
  4 FORMAT (///59X, +HESJLTS FOR PROFILE NUMBER*, 15///)
    CALL APPROX
    PRINT 11
 14 FORMAT(1)
    PRINT 12
 12 FORMAT (5X,+I+,10X,+STATION+,10X,+MAGNETIC ANOMALY+,10X,+APPROXIMA
   1TE SECOND DERIVATIVE*,10X,*THEORETICAL SECOND DERIVATIVE*//)
    DO 13 1=1,M
 13 THEODER(I)=THEODER(I)+1E10
    PRINT 15, (I, FX(I), ZMAG(I), APPRDER(I), THEODER(I), I=1,M)
 15 FORMAT (16,8x,F10.3,9x,F10.3,23x,E13.6,26x,E13.6)
    PUNCH 51(,(ZMAG(I), APPRDER(I), THEODER(), I=1, M)
510 FORMAT (6E13.6)
 20 CONTINUE
    END
```

01/06/67

```
SUHRPUTINE APPROX
   COMMON/1/7MAG(500), DUMMY1(500), M, APPRDER(500), DUMMY2(101), DELX,
  1DUMMY3(5(8)
   COMMON/2/DUMMY4(931), VAL1(30), VAL2(30), DUMMY5(1020)
   CALL MAGED
                                           4
   PRINT 5
5 FORMAT (1H1)
   MAX=1-3
   DO 15 1=4, MAY
   RA0 =0
   00 1º ×=1,3
   RAD=FAD+!ELX
   VAL1(K)=(RAD+30.48)++2
   VAL2(K) = (2 + ZMAG(T) + ZMAG(T - K) - ZMAG(T + K))/VAL1(K)
10 VAL2(K)=\AL2(K)+1E10
   CALL LETERPOL (DERIV)
   APPRIER(I)=DERIV
15 CONTINUE
   END
```

.

01/06/67

```
SUBROUTINE MAG2D
    COMMPN/1/7MAG(500),FX(500),M,APPRDER(500),X(50),Z(50),N,DELX,FO,
   1SUS, THEORER(500), JTOT, DUMMY1(2), STRIKE, DIP, ZINDUCED
    COMMON/2/ DUMMY2(2011)
    READ 12, JTOT, M, DELX, FO
12 FORMAT (2110,2F10.2)
    10 6 1=1.M
    APPR[ER(1)=0.0]
   FX(I) = FO = DFLX + DELX + I 
    DO 13 J=1, JTOT
    READ 16, SUS, STRIKE, DIP, ZINDUCED $ FLE=0.0
 16 FORMAT (110,4F10.2)
    READ 20, (X(I),7(I), I=1, N)
 20 FORMAT(2+10.3)
    PUNCH SON, N, M, FO, DELX, SUS, STRIKE, DIP, ZINDUCED
500 FORMAT (215,6F10.4)
    PUNC = 505, (X(I), 7(I), I=1, N)
505 FORMAT (10F8.0)
    PRINT 8.J
  A FORMAT(5"X, +COORDINATES FOR BOLY NUMBER+, 15//)
    PRINT 9
  9 FORMAT(50x, *x=COORDINATE*, 6x, *Z=COORDINATE*//)
    PR[NT 14, (X(1), Z(1), 1=1, N)]
 14 FORMAT (49X, F15.3, 2X, F15.3)
    PRINT 5, SUS, ZINDUCED, DIP
 5 FORMAT (* SUSCEPTIBILITY=*,F7.4,10X,*INDUCED MAGNETIZATION=*,F10.2
   1,10X,+INCLINATION OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD=+,F5.2,1X,+DEGREES+//)
    PRINT 15, STRIKE
 15 FORMAT (+ STRIKE OF THE BODY=N+,+5.2,+F+//)
    IF (STRIKE) 10, 19
 19 STRIKE=, 00001
 10 STRIKE=STRIKE*.01745329 $ DIP=UIP*.01745329
    CALL CALCDER
    BETA=ATANE(TANE(DIP)/SINE(STRIKE))
    NN=N=1 5 BBE=SURTF(1-((COSF(STHIKE))++2+(COSF(DIP))++2))
    DO 15 I=1,M
    71 JT+N2=1
    DO 14 K=1, NN
    x1=x(K)-Fx(I) % x2=x(K+1)-Fx(I) % 7Z1=71=Z(K) % 772=Z2=Z(K+1)
    IF(Z)1-Z72)16,17,16
17 772=772+.01
 14 A1=(x2-X1)/(772-771)
    P_1 = (y_1 + Z_2 - X_2 + Z_1) / (7Z_2 - ZZ_1)
    IF(B1)38,36
34 B1=,001
 3P H2=1+A1++2 5 AA=1/H2
    BB=A1+SINF(BETA)+COSF(BETA)
    DD=A1 + COSF (BETA) - SINF (BETA)
    FF=((1+A1++2)+72++2)+(2+A1+B1+72)+B1++2
    GG=((1+A1++2)+71++2)+(2+A1+B1+71)+B1++2
   HH=S(RTF(FF/GG)
    IF(HH)40,40,42
 40 HH=1
 42 CC=L(GF(HH)
    EE=ATANE(SN)-ATANE(SP)
```

```
7INTEN1=4A+((BB*CC)-(DD*EE))
7INTEN2=7INTEN1+7INTEN2
14 CONTINUE
7MAG(I) =2E5+SUS+7INDUCED+BPB+7INTEN2
15 CONTINUE
13 CONTINUE
```

ENU

01/06/67

```
SUBROUTINE CALCOER
   COMM(N/1/ZMAG(500),FX(500),M,DUMMY1(500),X(50),Z(50),N,DELX,F0,SUS
  1, THE(DER(500), DUMMY2(3), STRIKE, DIP, ZINDUCED
   COMM(N/2/ DUMNY3(2011)
   RETAEATANE(TAME(PIP)/SINE(STRIKE))
               HRH=SORTF(1-((CUSF(STHIKE))++2+(COSF(DIP))++2))
   NN=N-1 5
   DO 15 1=1.M
   DE-112=0
   DO 14 K=1, NN
   x1=x(K)-Fx(I) + x2=x(K+1)-Fx(I) & 7Z1=71=Z(K) + ZZ2=Z2=7(K+1)
   JF(Z/1-Z/2)16,17,16
17 772=272+.01
1 \land A1 = (2 - X1) / (772 - 721)
   H_1 = (x_1 + Z_2 - x_2 + Z_1) / (Z_2 - Z_2)
   IF (F1)22,21
21 R1=.11
22 H2=1+A1++2 4 AA=1/H2
   BB=A1+SINF(BETA)+COSF(BETA)
   PD=A1+COSF(BETA)-SINF(BETA)
   FF=((1+A1++2)+72++2)+(2+A1+B1+72)+B1++2
   GG=((1+A1 **2) *71**2)+(2*A1*B1*71)+B1**2
   H_1 = (1 - x^2) / (772 - 771)
   SN=((H2+72)/P1)+A1 8 SF=((H2+21)/B1)+A1
   HH=(2*72*H2)+(2**1*B1)+(2*A1*22*H1)+(2*B1*H1)
   SI = (2 + 71 + H2) + (2 + A1 + B1) + (2 + A1 + 21 + H1) + (2 + B1 + H1)
   SJ=2*(1+2+(2+1)+1+1+2)
   SL=1+5***2 4 S*=1+5P**2
   \Box Q = (\Box G + FF) + (((G G + SJ) + (HH + SI)) - ((FF + SJ) + (SI + HH)))
   PR=((GG*+H)+(FF*SI))*((GG*HH)+(FF*SI))
   SS=.5+RB+(00-RR)/(GG+FF)++2
   100=-1-72+H1 4 VVV=B1-71+H1
   UU=1/SL*(-2*H1*H2*UUU)/B1**3
   WW=1/SM*(-2*H1*H2*VVV)/61**3
   VV=((H2+1011))/E1++2)+(-2+SN+(H2+UUU)/B1++2)/SL++2
   XX=((H2+VVV)/H1++2)+(-2+SP+(H2+VVV)/H1++2)/SM++2
   YY=DI + ((UU+VV) - (WW+XX))
   DERIV1 = AA + (SS - YY)
   DERIVS=DERIV1+DEPIVS
14 CONTINUE
   THEOUEP(1)=2.1527E2+SUS+ZINDUCED+BBB+DERIV2
15 CONTINUE
   END
```

	SUBREUTINE LSTSGPOL (DERIV)
	CO[M(N/1/DUMMY1(2106), M.N. DUMMY2(3)]
	$CO^{MEN/2} A(30, 30), B(30), ME1, X(30), Y(30), C(30), DUMMYZ(100).$
	DO 31 1=1.N
30	C(1,1)=1, P
-	MP1='+1
	DO 35 J=2.MP1
	DO 35 I=1.N
35	C(I, J) = C(I, J-1) + Y(I)
	DO 46 I=1.MP1
	NO 41 J=1. MP1
	$A(I_{\bullet}\cup)=0$
	DO 40 K=1.N
4 n	A(I, J) = A(I, J) + C(F, I) + C(K, J)
	DO 45 I=1, MP1
	R(1)=0.0
	NO 45 K=1,N
45	B(I) = B(I) + C(K)
	CALL MATRIX
	PRINT 600, N, M
60n	FORMAT (* NUMBER OF GIVEN DATA PUINTS=+.12.10X.+DEGREE POLYNOMIAL+
1	1+,12)
	DO 54 I=1,MP1
	II=I-1
5 n	PRINT ROF, II, R(I)
0 <b>0</b> 8	FORMAT (5X,12,* TEGREE COEFFICIENT=*,E13.6)
	DERIV = R(4)
	END

. . . . . . .

TN5.3B

01/06/67

```
SURREUTINE MATRIX
            COMMEN/1/ DUMMY1(2111)
            COMMON/2/A(30,30),B(30),N , CUMMY2(960),IPVOT(30),INDEX(30,2),
           1PIVOT(30)
            EQUINALER OF (IROW, JROW), (ICUL, JCOL)
            DET=1.0
            DO 17 J=1,N
                                 ----
         17 TP⊻OT(J)=0
            DO 135 J=1,N % T=0
            DO 9 J=1,N
            IF(IHVOT(J)-1)13,9,13
         13 DO 23 K=1,N
            IF(IFVOT(K)-1)43,23,81
         43 IF (AFSF(T) - APSF(A(J,K)))83,23,23
         AR IROWED & ICOLEM & TEA(J,K)
         23 CONTINUE
          9 CONTINUE
            IPVOT(IC/L)=IPVOT(ICOL)+1
            IF(IFOM-1COL)73,109,73
         73 DET==DFT
            DO 12 L=1,N
            T = A(IR \cap W, L)
            A(IR(W,L)=A(ICOL,L))
         12 A(ICOL,L)=T
            T=d(JROW) S B(IROW)=B(ICOL) S B(ICOL)=T
        109 INDEx(I,1)=IROW $ INDEX(I,2)=ICOL
            PIVOT(I)=A(ICOL,ICOL) _S_ DET=DE!+PIVOT(I) - $ A(ICOL,ICOL)=1.0
            00.205 L=1,N
        205 A(IC(L,L)=A(ICOL,L)/PIVOT(I)
            B(ICUL)=F(ICOL)/PIVOT(I)
            DO 135 LI=1,N
            JF(LI-IC(L) 21,135,21
         21 T=A(LI,ICOL)
            A(LI, ICOL) = 0.0
            00 89 L=1,N
         89 \quad A(LI,L) = A(LI,L) - A(ICOL,L) + T
            B(LI)=B(L1)-B(ICOL)+T
        135 CONTINUE
        222 DO 3 I=1,N
            L= V-1+1
            IF(INDFx(L,1)-IN)EX(L,2))19,3,19
         19 JROW=INDFX(L,1)
            UCUL=INDEX(L.2)
            00 549 K=1, N
            T = A(K_{1}, JR\cap W)  R = A(K_{1}, JR\cap W) = A(K_{1}, JC\cap U)  R = A(K_{1}, JC\cap U) = T
        547 CONTINUE
          3 CONTINUE
         81 CONTINUE
            END
N, 1.59,65n0,7,
ECUTION STARTED AT 0322 -56
```

