

THE EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE GRADIENT ON SOLIDIFICATION IN ALUMINUM-COPPER ALLOYS

Thesis for the Degree of M. S. MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY Dearde G. Triponi 1956 This is to certify that the

thesis entitled

THE EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE CRADIENT ON

SOLIDIFICATION IN ALUMINUM-COPPER ALLOYS

presented by

Doarde G, Triponi

has been accepted towards fulfillment of the requirements for

<u>Master's degree in Metallurg</u>ical Eng.

h i Major professor

Date <u>March 15, 1956</u>

O-169

THES'S

THE EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE GRADIENT ON SOLIDIFICATION IN ALUMINUM-SOFFER ALLOYS

By DCARDE 3. TRIPCNI

A THESIS

Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies of Michigan State University of Agriculture and Applied Science in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MAGINE OF SCIENCE

Department of Metallurgical Engineering

THESIS

ABSIRAUT

A brief review of the methods available for the study of the solidification of metale is presented and discussed, along with a review of the most recent published literature on the solidification process.

The thermal analysis technique was used to study the effect of temperature gradient on the solidification of aluminum-copper alloys cast in green sand molds. Aluminumcopper alloys containing from 1 to 4% copper were melted in a high-frequency induction furnace and cast into horizontal bars, one inch in diameter and twelve inches long. Continuous cooling curves were recorded in the castings with a high-speed recorder.

Studies of the macrostructure of the bare were correlated with the solidification index and pouring temperature. The X-ray analysis technique was used to study the extent of macro-segregration in a number of selected test bars.

It was shown that a large solidification index favors the formation of an equi-axed structure and a small solidification index favors the formation of the columnar structure. λ -ray diffraction analysis did not reveal any gross macro-segregation in any of the cast bars under the conditions used in this experiment. The existence, of nonequilibrium subschip was detected by thermal measurement in all castings containing more than 1.53% cooper in this investigation.

1- 3- 1 0

ACKNUMLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor Austen J. Smith for his technical advice and suidance which made it possible to conduct the research reported in this thesis. The author also wishes to take this opportunity to thank the other members of the faculty of the Metallurgical Engineering Department for their technical advice during the course of this investigation and Mr. Ralph Bacon for the spectrographic analysis reported in this experiment.

Acknowledgemer	nt	•	•	•	•	•	Page	2.
Introduction	•	•	•	•	•	•	Page	4.
History .	•	•	•	•	•	•	Fage	5.
Procedure.	•	•	•	•	•	•	Page	14.
Discussion of	Resul	Lts	•	•	•	•	Pase	19.
Conclusions	•	•	•	•	•	•	Paze	27.
Recommendation	າຣ	•	•	•	•	•	Fare	29.
Appendix								
Data	•	•	•	•	•	•	Page	30.
Cooling C	Curves	з.	•	•	•	•	Page	34.
Diagrams	•	•	•	•	•	•	Page	45.
Calculati	lons	•	•	•	•	•	Page	52.
Bibliography	•	•	•	•	•	•	Pars	53.

TABLE OF CONPENDS

INTRODUCTION

The practical importance of the phenomena of solidification must have been recognized for a very long time. The difference between ice and water, for example, has had a profound influence on the history of mankind and the evolution of society. The possibility of melting a metal and allowing it to freeze in a mold to a desired shape has been an essential ingredient in our mastery of the art of shaping metals for thousands of years.

The importance of melting and freezing as applied to metals and alloys has been so great, in fact, that empirical solutions have been found for the multitude of practical problems that have arisen. This approach has been so successful that relatively little attention has been directed to arriving at an understanding of the fundamentals of the process. In 1924 the British Non-Ferrous Metals Research Association began a long-term study of the solidfication of castings.

The basic problem, to which this thesis is devoted, is this: A mass of metal of known chemical composition, is melted, heated to a given temperature, and allowed to solidify in a green sand mold. What effect will the resulting temperature gradient have on the distribution of the chemical elements and the shape of the grains formed in the casting?

HISTORY

The effect of the structure assumed by most alloy castings at the time of solidification persists throughout it's life. The microstructure may be altered by heattreatment, if the alloy is of a suitable constitution to respond to such treatment, but the macrostructure of a casting is rarely affected by heat-treatment. (1) This feature plays an important part in the behavior of the cast article in service.

Solidification is a process of nucleation and growth. The rate of solidification of a cast article is the resultant of the rate of nucleation and the rate of growth. The rate of growth at high temperature is very high and the rate of nucleation is low; at low temperature the reverse is true. Therefore, at a high temperature few nuclei have a chance to form before the rapid rate growth has completed the freezing process. Paus the final structure is coarse-grained. At low temperatures, many nuclei form before the freezing process is completed and the resulting structure is finegrained. (2,3,4) If the rate of cooling is high, as in a metal mold, freezing will occur at a low temperature, and a fine-grained structure is formed. If the rate of cooling is low, as in a sand mold, freezing occurs at a high temperature and a coarse-grain structure is formed.

Considerable progress has been made in recent years

toward an understanding of the solidification of metals. Chalmers, Wineyard and their co-workers (5,5) have done considerable research regarding supercooling, dendritic freezing and the solid-liquid interface in alloy systems. R.w. Ruddle and his co-workers in England have conducted experiments relating to the solidification of castings.

In 1950 R.W.Ruddle⁽⁷⁾ made a survey of the literature relating to the solidification of castings and to methods of controlling solidification. Rudals's review is divided into two sections. The first covers the general work which has been done towards the application of scientific principles to the production of castings and ingots. The second part is concerned with a more fundamental study of the solidification rates of castings and the methods available for the investigation of solidification rates.

The fundamental principles of solidification of metals in molds can be investigated in four ways: 1. by mathematical analysis; 2. by electrical analogue; 3. by "pourout" methods; 4. through temperature measurements taken in the solidifying casting and in the mold.

The mathematical approach to the problem becomes exceedingly difficult and often insoluble if all the factors affecting the solidification phenomena are considered. Even if simplifying assumptions are made, the equations are only solvable with great difficulty. In addition, the mathematical method requires much data concerning thermal properties at high temperature and especially in the liquid state. The

data are very measur and much of the information which is available is of doubtful reliability at the present time.

1

The electrical-analogue method is the newset method of solving heat-flow problems. This method is not as restricted in its application as the mathematical method. However, it also requires knowledge of much unknown data. The main difficulty with both methods is that they are unable to provide information concerning the behavior of an alloy between the liquidus and the solidus temperature. This information is essential for a complete understanding of the factors involved in the solidification of metals. This is especially true of non-ferrous alloys, where the freezing ranges are large and the pouring temperatures are low.

A good deal of practical work on the solidification of steel and cast iron has been carried out in recent years using the "pour-out" technique. This method is of limited value because it enables one quantity, the rate of skin formation, to be measured. Its application to non-ferrous alloys having long freezing ranges would yield little information, since the formation of a solid skin takes place at some unknown point between the liquidus and the solidus. The results of such investigations throw no light on the beginning or end of solidification. Bochwar and Kuzina (\mathcal{E}) have shown experimentally that the solid skin left in the mold will contain considerable liquid in aluminum-copper alloys. A further objection to this method, is that in trany alloys it may not work at all. hunsicker (9) examined a number of aluminum alloys, but was unable to obtain satisinctory solid shells. The "pour-out" method is a crude method of investigation and it may yield misleading results.

The measurement of the perature in the motal and in the mold is a much more versatile sethed and offers a number of advantages over the nethods discribed above. This method requires a minimum of high-temperature data, and enables the progress of both the beginning and one and of solidification to be followed. It also above the behavior in the zone where solidification is taking place. Temperature at various points in the casting and the mold can be reasured at the same time, thus, permitting the calculation of the temperature gradient existing in both the casting and the mold. The temperature measurement sethed is the best technique for the investigation of solidification is the baset technique for the investigation of solidification in non-ferrous alleys. This method had not been used extensively prior to 1950. Some results have been published by Brizgs and Bezelius, (10) Baker, (11) Chvorinov, (12) and others.

In 1950 Ruddle⁽¹³⁾ made a preliminary study of the solidification of super-pure aluminum and aluminum alloys containing 4, 8 and 30% copper using the temperature measurement technique. He reported that super-purity aluminum solidified by skin formation and 4% copper alloy solidified in a "pasty" manner. He was unable to determine the method of solidification of the 30% copper alloy. Ruddle calculleted the solidification times for aluminum alloys using Onvorinoy's formula and found that they compared favorably with the

 $\overline{}$

experimental data. Unvortinov's formula is expressed as: $T = (V/SA)^2/L^2$

 Γ = freezing time, hours; V = volume; $\Im A$ = surface area; and M = constant.

A correlation of tensile properties of aluminum alloy plate castings with temperature gradients during solidification was also published by Ruddle in 1950. (3) He reported that plates having the steepest temperature gradient had considerably superior tensile properties. This was the case obtained in his $\frac{1}{2}$ inch plate. He also concluded that plates thicker than $\frac{1}{2}$ inch could not be soundly cast when rapidly poured, regardless of the size of the feeder employed. Ruddle did not discuss the affect that might be due to variation of grain size.

Cibula and Ruddle (4) made a study of the effect of grain size on the tensile properties of cast aluminum alloys. The grain size was varied in a $4\frac{1}{2}$ aluminum-copper alloy by varying the pouring temperature of the casting. The tensile properties of this alloy were found to increase markely with decrease in grain-size.

kondic and Shutt⁽¹⁴⁾ investigated the macrostructure of Super-pure and condercially pure aluminum using the temperature assurement method. They found that with super-pure aluminum, the number of grains in the cast structure was independent of the degree of superheating. With commercially pure aluminum, a greater number of crystals of different Shapes in the same casting were obtained over a narrow range

of superheating temperature. Iney also showed that on superheating the commercially pure aluminum to 950° C, and holding the melted metal at a temperature close to the liquidus temperature, caused a fine-grain structure to appear in the costing. They concluded that an increased rate of cooling refines the columnar structure of pure metals and modifies the equi-axed structure of impure metal, first, into a partly columnar and partly equi-axed structure, and secondly, at still bither rates of cooling, into a wholly columnar structure. Measurements of undercooling showed that any grain refinament of a pure metal with increased rates of cooling is accompanied by an increased degree of undercooling. The formation of equi-axed structure was found to be primarily due to the present of alloying elements.

Bisnop, Brandt, and Fellini⁽¹⁵⁾ investigated the solidification of steel ingots using thermal analysis. They were able to determine that solidification in a steel ingot proceeds in a wave-like fashion at rates which were determined by the carbon level, superheat, and mold thickness. They showed that increasing superheat produces a general coarsening of the macrostructure. They concluded that the classic relationship, thickness = a constant times the square root of the time, applies only to the first stage of solidification.

Winegard and Chalgers (16) discussed the mechanism of super-cooling and dendritic freezing in alloy systems in a

TO

publication in 1953. They were able to show that supercooling may result from the enrichment in the solute of the liquid immediately ahead of the advancing solid-liquid interface. They proposed that dendrites in ingot structure are produced as a result of constitutional supercooling and that dendritic equi-axed zone in the center of ingots is a result of nucleation in a large constitutionally supercooled region.

Morris, Tiller, Rutter, and Winegard (6) investigated the conditions for dendritic growth in alloys of lead containing from 0.25% to 5% tin, using the thermal analysis technique. It was shown that dendrite formation is favored by a rapid rate of solidification and a low temperature gradient. The results indicated that the factor controlling the beginning of dendritic growth is the ratio of the rate of solidification to the temperature gradient.

When an alloy solidifies differences in chemical composition may occur on either a small or large scale. If within the individual crystals or prains, it is called micro-segregation. Segregation may be observed on quite a large scale within the mass of solid metal, the composition on the outside, for instance, being quite different from the center of the mass. In this case, the difference in composition is referred to as macro-segregation.

Segregation can occur only in cast alloys that solidify Over a range of temperature, with a difference in the Composition of the liquid and the solid within this range

under non-equilibrium thermal conditions. Ideal conditions are difficult to produce in a laboratory and are never attained in a metallurvical casting operation. In practice, this means the central portion of the crystal contains a different proportion of the solute atoms than is present in the outer parts of the crystals. If diffusion had taken place- as it would under strictly equilibrium conditions of cooling- this gradient would not exist. All castings exhibit one or more forms of micro-segregation. (4) Michael and Bever(17) have recently shown that the copper content varies within the individual dendrites formed in alloys of aluminum containing from 2% to 5% copper using the radiographic technique.

Macro-segregation may be so gross that it is readily visible to the naked eye. This form of segregation can be readily observed in large ingots. Very little information has been reported concerning this type of segregation in Castings.

Notable among the mathematical studies of segregation, is the recent work of Pfann on zone-melting. (18) In a (19)publication in 1954, Pfann confirmed his mathematical analysis using radioactive antimony. He showed that there was a sharp decrease in concentration at each remelt boundary and an increase in concentration in the last region to freeze.

Killably, Taylor, and winegard (20) investigated segregation during casting of lead-antigony alloys, using

radioactive antimony. They were able to show that gravity segregation is minimized by fast cooling rates.

Wineward investigated segregation in bronze using radicactive technique and found that the outside of small castings were high in tin when made to solidify from outside inward.

PROCEDURE

The Aluminum-Copper Alloys used in this experiment were made from high-purity aluminum of 99.9995 % purity, and electrolytic copper of 99.99% purity. The alloys were prepared from a 57:33 aluminum-copper hardener made from the above materials. Spectrographic analysis showed a very slight trace of manyanese, a trace of silicon, a trace of iron, and more than a trace of magnesium. Spectrographic analysis on two of the cast bars showed that the amount of the above elements did not increase in the alloys under the casting conditions used in this experiment.

A 20 K.M. Ajax high-frequency induction furnace was used to melt all of the beats poured in this investigation. The alloys were melted in a 12 pound magnesia crucible and cast into horizontal bars, 1 inch in diameter and 12 inches long. Risers 1 inch in diameter were placed at each end of the bar. The castings were poured directly into one of these risers.

The green sand molds were made from Tennessee bank and blended with 41% Ottawa silica sund. The curves shown in the appendix wha constructed by measuring the physical Properties of various percentages of Lennessee sand and Bilica sand. The 59:41 mixture was selected because it gave the maximum strength with relatively high permeability. The Sigve analysis of the Connessee sand and the blended sand is Shown in Table 1. A moisture determination was made prior

to the preparation of each mold and maintained between 5 and 6%

The thermocouples were placed with the hot-junctions in the center of the mold cavity at the parting line, as shown in figure 1. These thermocouples were constructed from 22



Figure 1. Mold and thermocouple

Tage chromel-alurel wire and were calibrated with pure zinc, tin, high-purity aluminum, and electrolytic copper. The results are shown in Table 2. A test bar of high-purity aluminum cast in a green sand mold showed the temperature reading to be 5° F. high. All thermocouples were used without protection except heats T-125A, T-127B, and T-128B. Heats T-125A was coated with a wash of alundum and heats T-127B and T-126B had a thin silica tube covering all the couple, except a bout 1/16 of an inch at the hot junction. These heats showed that the couples gave readings with unprotected thermocouples. The unprotected couples gave a more rapid reading and (21) were used for this reason. A.B. Michael has also shown this to be true in aluminum-copper alloys of the composition used in the experiment.

Continues cooling curves were recorded in the cast test bars with a Brown High Speed Electronik Recorder. The casting temperature was measured in the crucible just prior to pouring with a chromel-alumel thermocouple and a portable potention ster.

Samples 1 inch long were taken from each bar, adjacent to the thermocouple and the beginning and end of freezing temperatures measured for comparison with the measurements taken in the casting. These measurements were made with the previous described recorder, and a 2 K.W. Lepel high-freq= uency furnace using glazed porcelain crucibles. These results are shown in Table 4.

Samples § inch long were taken from the casting adjacent to the thermocouple for macro-examination, and X ray diffraction analysis. Each sample was then marked on the top side so that its position in the mold could be identified. Turnings were taken from the face of the bar adjacent to this sample for charical analysis.

The samples were ground on a belt sander and 1/0 paper, then polished with levigated alugina powder. A mixture of 10 ml. of HC1, 5 ml. of HF., and 95 ml. of distilled water was used to etch all samples for macroexamination, and Keller's etch was used for macro-examination. Photomacrographs were taken of selected samples and are shown in the discussion.

Fine drillings were taken with a 1/16 inch drill perpendicular to the face of selected specinens at the following positions: 1/16 inch from the mold-metal interface at the bottom, top, side, and at the center of the test specinens. A few samples were taken from the half-radius position between the top and center of the samples. The drillings were placed in a 5 mm. pyrex tube, evacuated and sealed. All X-ray samples were given a 2 hour solution treatment at 1000°F, and quenched in a beaker of water upon removing from the furnace. The drillings were removed from the pyrex tube, and dried in an erlenneyer: flash, using vacuum and heat, being careful not to heat the flash above head-touching temperature.

X-Ray diffraction patterns were obtained of the filings Using a Van Arkel Camera and unfiltered copper radiation. The position of the diffraction lines for the 422, 511-333 reflecting planes were measured with a Fnillips Comparator for K_{st} and K_{st}radiation. The lattice constants were Calculated for each plane and corrected by extrapolation. An example of the extrapolation curves is shown in the appendix. The copper content of the sample was determined from the curve shown in the appendix. This curve was Constructed from lattice parameter measurements as described above for high-purity aluminum, test bar T-115 containing C.90% copper, test bar T-1212 containing 1.53% copper, test bar T-135 containing 2.50% copper, test bar T-45 containing 3.01% copper; and a high-purity aluminum copper alloy containing 95.09% dluminum and 4.89% copper supplied by the Aluminum Company of America.

Chemical analysis was performed using the following electrolytic method. A 2 to 5 gram sample was dissolved in a mixture of 200 C.C. of distilled water, 10 C.C. of ECI, 20 C.C. of HNO₃. Approximately 2 C.C. of H₂ SO₄ per gram of sample was added, and the solution evaporated to fumes of SO_3 . The residue was then dissolved in distilled water with 3% HNO₃ and 3% H₂ SO₄, and electrolyzed. The method was checked with an aluminum-copper alloy supplied by the U.S. Buresu of Standards and found to be within 2% error.

DISCUSSION

High-purity aluminum and electrolytic copper was used in this experiment to minimize the affect of residual elements. Kondic and Shutt⁽¹⁴⁾ have demonstrated that minor impurities may completely outweight the thermal factors in controlling nucleation during solidification. The possibility of broducing fine-grained aluminum alloy castings, by additions of small quantities of certain elements to the melt has long been known. The results of the spectrographic analysis show t that there was no pick-up of undesirable elements under the casting conditions used in this investigation.

The test bars used in this work were designed so that a Zone in the center of the casting would represent a "seciinfinite" casting. In the "semi-infinite" zone, all of the (22) heat is lost in the direction of the side surfaces. Fellini has shown this to be the case in similar bars cast in steel. All thermal measurements, macro-specimens, X-ray samples, and chemical analyses were taken from this zone.

Table V gives the compositions of the cast bars and the times of solidification. The cooling curves are shown in the abrendix. A close observation of the cooling curves reveal that the recorded liquidus and solidus temperatures are inconsistent and in some cases higher than the solidification temperature of pure aluminum. Frior calibration of the thermocouple wire and recorder, indicated that the maximum temperature deviation should not be more than 5° F. The recorder was a continuous balancing instrument and was balanced manually prior to each operation.

The interpretation of the data was based on the solid-1 fication index to eliminate the above mentioned variation 1 m temperature measurements in all the alloys, except the 1% COpper alloys. The solidification index is defined as the difference between the observed liquidus and solidus temperatures, divided by the total time of solidification. This 1 mdex has the dimensions of a cooling rate, but also reflects a ny isothermal part of the solidification process. Many of the other variables in the casting process will exert an i ufluence on the solidification index. An increase in pouring temperature will cause a decrease in the solidification 1 ndex due to an increase in the solidification time. Any C hanges in the mold material that affect its thermal prop-Services will be reflected in the solidification index. An increase in the thermal conductivity of the sand will cause an increase in the solidification index. The structure of test bar T-137 is an example of the influence of some unknown variable on the solidification index. This bar Contains equi-axed and columnar grains, whereas bar T-133 poured from 1300° F. contains all columnar grains. If the Structures are interpreted on the basis of solidification index instead of pouring temperature, the duplexed structure would be predicted for this bar.

Cooling curves were determined from a remelted sample Of each bar to see if the temperature measurements would be more consistent under different conditions. Camples of nighpurity aluminum were run at various intervals, so that corrections could be made to the recorded values. The corrected values and the measurements recorded in the mold are shown in Table IV. Cooling curve determinations for a luminum indicated that the characteristics of the thermocouple did not change in any way that could explain the above conditions. The inconsistent temperature measurements apreared to be due to instrumental difficulties, but could not be verified.

The horizontal portion of the cooling curve at the liquidue, was observed in the alloys cast under the conditions used in this experiment. Michael and Eaver (17) also Observed this horizontal in their investigation of aluminum-Comparalloy. They suggested the observation might be due to a transient balance at the thermocouple bead between the evolution of the heat of solidification and heat losses to the surroundings. Ruddle (13) investigated the solidification of aluminum alloys containing 4% copper and concluded that 50% of the metal solidifies in the first 10° G.of the insecing range in a 5 inch cylinder.

The cooling curves for all alloys containing more than 1.53% copper, have an arrest at approximately 1010° F, which is the temperature of the eutecuic reaction. The subscript Halt increased with copper content. The photosicrograph in Figure 2 shows subscript in the grain boundries of the 2.53% cooper alloy. Michael and Bever, (17) reported

Observing the subscript halt in 2% copper alloys under similar cooling rates. Mondolfo⁽²³⁾ reports that the maximum amount of copper that can be held in solution in sand cast aluminum-copper alloys is 2.5% at the subscript temperature. These data suggest that even less copper might be held in solution in casting.

Figure 2 Test Bar 137 2.52% copper 500X

The presence of the eutschie due to non-equilbrium conditions during subsequence heat treatment, may cause partial melting in the boundries of an alloy, which according to the phase diagram, should be a solid solution having a higher solidus temperature. In the case of the homogenization for age-hardening, the time required for solution treatment would be much longer and at a lower temperature.

The presence of the eutectic in the grain boundries,

might possibly have some subtle affects on the physical properties, and the feeding of casting during solidification. A non-equilibrium disgram is constructed from these data and shown in the appendix.

The influence exerted by copper on the nucleation rate can be observed from the photomacrograph in Figure 3. The 1% and 2% copper bars show a trace of columnar structure and the 3% and 4% copper bars are all equi-axed when cast from 1250°F. It is evident that a smaller solidification index is required to produce a columnar structure with increasing copper content. Table V sides the relation between copper content, the solidification index, temperature, sind the mercestructure.

The effect that eaching rate exerts on the structure of consting can also be seen from the photomacrograph as shown in Figure 3. Test bars that contain soul-axed and columnar Frains always have the equi-axed structure in the cope side of the casting. This part of the rold has absorbed heat from the first metal entering the nold and has a lower colling rate. This lower cooling rate in the top of the Fold, accounts for the observed duplex structure in these bars.

Table V summarizes the solidification index measurements. I he results indicate that a large solidification index favors in equi-axed structure and a small solidification index favors the columnar structure. There appears to be a transition solidification index, which must be exceeded to

produce the equi-axed structure. This relation is shown in the curve in the appendix. This is in agreement with the conclusions of kondic and Shutt,⁽¹⁴⁾ that an increased rate of cooling modifies the equi-axed structure of impure metals into a partly columnar and partly equi-axed structure, and at still higher rates of cooling into a wholly columnar structure.



1250°F 1275°F 1300°F 1325°F 1350°F $rac{1}{3}$ Figure 3. 3/4 actual size, cope on the left side.

The determination of the compositions of samples by lattice constants is a precise method. The method offers an additional advantage in that it requires a very small sample, On the order of a few silligrams. The method of elimination of systematic errors by extrapolation is simple and effective

.

in back-reflecting caneras, such as used in this investigation. The values of 6 obtained in this experiment, were between 50 and 90 degrees. This is the range where extrapolations are best. The results of lattice measurements on high-purity aluminum compared fevorably with the values presented by Barrett. (24)

Table VI summarizes the results of the X-ray diffraction analysis taken for studies of macro-magnetation. Examination of the data shows evidences of segretation, but does not reveal any particular pattern in relation to alloy content, pouring temperature, position, or the structure. The maximum variation was 0.40% copper in the 1 and 2% copper alloys cast from 1300°F. and 1350°F. respectively. Test bar T-140 poured from 1540°F. and having a columnar structure showed 0.31% maximum variation, whereas test bar T-143 cast from 1300°F had 0.25% maximum variation.

The photomicrograph shown in Figure 2, reveals that large amounts of segregation did take place on a micro-scale not susceptible to X-ray analysis. The dark area adjacent to the grain boundries and the existence of the subscript in the boundries, are evidence that the liquid near the advancing interface is enriched in solute, in this case copper. The last netal to solidify lies in a region between the solidifying dendrites and has the composition of the aluminum-copper subscript.

The existence of the sutsctic in all regions of the cast bar, explains the scall abount of macro-segregation

detected by X-ray analysis. The drillings taken for X-ray analysis contain both high and low concentrations of copper and the lattice determination is the average of both the high and low concentration. The X-ray samples were homogenized at $1000^{\circ}F$ for 2 hours to eliminate segregation within the individual sample.

Calculations of the solidification constant* used in Chvorinov's⁽¹²⁾ method of calculating freezing times of castings were made for the two percent copper alloys poured from various temperatures. The relation between the solidification constant and the bouring temperature is shown in the apcendix. Spot checks of selected bars of higher copper content indicate that these constants can be used to calculate the freezing times for all the castings poured in this experiment. The maximum difference between the calculated times and the observed times was on the order of 6%. Pellini⁽²⁵⁾ reported that the freezing time of steel castings can be calculated with a bigh degree of precision using Chvorinov's formula. Pellini's maximum deviation was 15% at high pouring temperatures. The results of this experiment suggest that Covorinov's formula can be used to calculate the freezing times of aluminum-copper alloys.

* See Appendix

CCNCLLLICNS

The X-Ray diffraction studies reveal. evidence that macro-segregation does take place in sand cast aluminumcopper alloys. The evidence indicates that the segregation does not follow any particular pattern in relation to the alloy content, pouring temperature, or structure.

Examination of some of the alloys reveals that segregation did take place on a micro-scale. Micro-examination also revealed the presence of the aluminum-copper sutsctic in the grain boundries of the samples examined.

The thermal errest associated with the eluminuscopper eutectic was also observed in all alloys having a copper content greater than 1.53%. The existence of the non-equilibrium eutectic might possibly alter industrial heat-treating practices of sand cast aluginum-copper alloys.

It was concluded that a large solidification index favors an equi-axed structure, and a smaller solidification index favors a columnar structure. The observations suggest that a transition cooling rate exists for the formation of the equi-axed and columnar structures. It also varies with copper content.

Macro-examination of the aluminum-copper alloys investigated in this experiment, showed that an increase in copper content increased the nucleation rate in these alloys.

Calculations of Chvorinov's solidification constants

were made for the two percent alloys from various pouring temperatures. The solidification constant decreases with increasing pouring temperature.

•

•

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES

The crystal structure is important with respect to the physical properties of metals, but present knowledge of this subject is in a rudimentary state. The nucleation of solidification in alloys has received only scant attention and requires further experimental and theoritical treatment. Studies should be made on the doctorate level concerning the nucleation rates, and the rate of growth in alloys by a thermodynamical treatment or some other scientific method.

The existence of the non-equilibrium eutectic in the sand cast aluminum-copper alloys could possibly exert some profound effects on the heat-treatment of these alloys. It is recommended that future research to be conducted to determine what effect it might have on the temperature, and time required to solution treat these alloys for age-hardening and the effect it might possibly exert on their physical properties.

Additional experiments are also required before any definite conclusions can be made as to the existence of a transition solidification index for the formation of the equi-axed and columnar structure.

The calculation of the freezing time could be very valuable to industrial operations. This type of information could be used to determine shake-out cycles for sand castings, permanent molds, and die-cesting. This information might be useful in the new continuous casting process. For these reasons, additional research would be desirable, concerning the variation of the solidification constant with various cooling rates.

APPENDIX

.

DATA

Sieve No. Tennessee Sand Grame Blended with 41% Cttawa band Grams 6 0.000 0.000 0.000 12 0.37 0.74 20 0.16 0.32 30 0.028 0.15 0.03 40 0.08 0.15 0.03 0.08 50 0.07 0.14 0.25 0.23 70 0.19 0.38 21.29 57.40 100 1.99 3.98 1.93 5.57 140 16.47 32.94 3.12 8.42 200 11.07 22.14 8.460 22.62 270 3.91 7.62 1.45 3.94 Pan 2.77 5.54 0.81 0.22 Table 2. Calibration of Thermocouple wire and Recorder. Material Recorded Freezing True Freezing' Difference Katerial Recorded Freezing True, Fr. Deg. F. 0.6 High-purity 1220 1220.4 5.0 <t< th=""><th>Table 1.</th><th>Sand</th><th>Analysis.</th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Table 1.	Sand	Analysis.		
6 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 12 0.37 0.74 20 0.16 0.32 30 0.06 0.15 0.03 0.08 50 0.07 0.14 0.85 0.23 70 0.19 0.38 21.29 57.40 100 1.99 3.98 1.93 5.57 140 15.47 32.94 3.12 8.42 200 1.07 22.14 8.40 22.62 270 3.91 7.62 1.45 3.94 Pan 2.77 5.54 0.81 0.22 Table 2. Calibration of Thermocouple wire and Recorder. Material Recorded Freezing True Freezing' Difference Temp. Deg. F. Temp. Deg. F. Deg. F. Zinc 787.3 -0.3 High-purity 1220 1220.4 -0.4 Aluminum 1225* 1220.4 5.0 Gopper 1977 1981.4 -4.6 * A.S. K. Handbock. * Green Sand Mold. Freezin	Sieve No.	Tennessee Grams	Sand %	Blended with Grais	41% Ottawa Sand %
30 0.16 0.15 0.02 0.05 40 0.08 0.15 0.03 0.08 50 0.07 0.14 0.15 0.23 70 0.19 0.38 21.29 57.40 100 1.99 3.98 1.93 5.57 140 16.47 32.94 3.12 8.42 200 11.07 22.14 8.40 22.62 270 3.91 7.62 1.45 3.94 Fan 2.77 5.54 0.81 0.22 Table 2. Calibration of Thermocouple wire and Recorder. Material Recorded Freezing True Freezing' Difference Temp. Deg. F. Temp. Deg. F. Deg. F. 0.6 High-purity 1220 1220.4 -0.3 Tin 450 449.4 0.6 High-purity 1220 1220.4 5.0 Gopper 1977 1981.4 -4.6 * A.S. M. Handbock. * Green Face * Gooder. 1220 1228 <t< td=""><td>6 12 20</td><td>0.000 0.37 0.16</td><td>0.00 0.74 0.32</td><td>0.000</td><td>C.00</td></t<>	6 12 20	0.000 0.37 0.16	0.00 0.74 0.32	0.000	C.00
40 0.08 0.15 0.03 0.08 50 0.07 0.14 0.65 0.23 70 0.19 0.38 21.29 57.40 100 1.99 3.98 1.93 5.57 140 16.47 32.94 3.12 8.42 200 11.07 22.14 8.40 22.62 270 3.91 7.62 1.45 3.94 Pan 2.77 5.54 0.81 0.22 Table 2. Calibration of Thermocouple wire and Recorder. Material Recorded Freezing Temp. Deg. F. True Freezing' Difference Zinc 787.0 787.3 -0.3 70 Tin 450 449.4 0.6 6 High-purity 1220 1220.4 5.0 6 Copper 1977 1981.4 -4.6 5.0 Copper 1977 1981.4 -4.6 5.0 A.S. M. Handbock. * Green Sand Mold. Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Time of the Recorder.	30	0.08	0.16	0.02	0.05
50 0.07 0.14 0.65 0.25 70 0.19 0.36 21.29 57.40 100 1.99 3.98 1.93 5.57 140 16.47 32.94 3.12 8.42 200 11.07 22.14 8.40 22.62 270 3.91 7.62 1.45 3.94 Fan 2.77 5.54 0.81 0.22 Table 2. Calibration of Thermocouple wire and Recorder. Material Recorded Freezing True Freezing' Difference Temp. Deg. F. Temp. Deg. F. Deg. F. Deg. F. Zinc 787.0 787.3 -0.3 Tin 450 449.4 0.6 High-purity 1220 1220.4 5.0 Copper 1977 1981.4 -4.6 * A.S. K. Handbock. * 3reen Sand Mold. Table 3. Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High-Purity Aluminum with the Operating Fine of the Recorder. Hours of Operation Freezing Iensperature Degrees F. 0.50 1228	40	0.08	0.15	0.03	0.08
70 0.19 0.96 21.29 57.40 100 1.647 32.94 3.12 8.42 200 11.07 22.14 8.40 22.62 270 3.91 7.82 1.45 3.94 Pan 2.77 5.54 0.81 0.22 Table 2. Calibration of Thermocouple wire and Recorder. Material Recorded Freezing Temp. Deg. F. Difference Deg. F. Zinc 787.0 787.3 -0.3 Tin 450 449.4 0.6 High-purity 1220 1220.4 -0.4 Aluminum 1225* 1220.4 5.0 Copper 1977 1981.4 -4.6 * A.S. K. Handbock. * Green Sand Mold. Table 3. Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Time of the Recorder. Hours of Operation Freezing Iencerature Degrees F. 0.00 1220 1228 1.00 1233*	50	0.07	0.14	0.85	0.23
140 16.47 32.94 3.12 8.42 200 11.07 22.14 8.40 22.62 270 3.91 7.82 1.45 3.94 Pan 2.77 5.54 0.81 0.22 Table 2. Calibration of Thermocouple wire and Recorder. Material Recorded Freezing Temp. Deg. F. Difference Temp. Deg. F. Zinc 787.0 787.3 -0.3 Tin 450 449.4 0.6 High-purity 1220 1220.4 -0.4 Aluminum 1225* 1220.4 5.0 Copper 1977 1981.4 -4.6 * A.S. K. Handbock. * Green Sand Mold. Pable 3. Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Time of the Recorder. Hours of Operation Freezing Iencerature Degrees F. 0.00 1220 0.50 1228 1.00 1233*	100	1 00		21.29	51.40
200 11.07 22.14 8.40 22.62 270 3.91 7.82 1.45 3.94 Pan 2.77 5.54 0.81 0.22 Table 2. Calibration of Thermocouple wire and Recorder. Material Recorded Freezing Temp. Deg. F. True Freezing' Difference Temp. Deg. F. Deg. F. Zinc 787.0 787.3 -0.3 Tin 450 449.4 0.6 High-purity 1220 1220.4 -0.4 Aluminum 1225* 1220.4 5.0 Copper 1977 1981.4 -4.5 * A.S. K. Handbock. * 3reen Sand Mold. Pable 3. Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Time of the Recorder. Hours of Operation Freezing Ienperature Degrees F. 0.00 1220 1.00 1233*	140	15.47	32.94	3,12	8.42
2703.917.821.453.94Pan2.775.540.810.22Table 2. Galibration of Thermocouple wire and Recorder.MaterialRecorded Freezing Temp. Deg. F.True Freezing' Difference Temp. Deg. F.Difference Deg. F.Zinc787.0787.3-0.3Tin450449.40.6High-purity12201220.4-0.4Aluminum1225*1220.45.0Copper19771981.4-4.6* A.S. K. Handbock.**Green Sand Nold.Table 3. Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Fine of the Recorder.Hours of OperationFreezing Temperature Degrees F.0.0012200.5012281.001233*	200	11.07	22.14	8.40	22.62
Pan2.775.540.810.22Table 2. Calibration of Thermocouple wire and Recorder.MaterialRecorded Freezing Temp. Deg. F.True Freezing' Difference Deg. F.Zinc787.0787.3-0.3Tin450449.40.6High-purity12201220.45.0Copper19771961.4-4.6* A.S. K. Handbock.*Green Sand Mold.Table 3.Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Fine of the Recorder.Hours of OperationFreezing Temperature Degrees F.0.001220 1233*	2 70	3.91	7.82	1.45	3.94
Table 2.Calibration of Thermocouple wire and Recorder.MaterialRecorded Freezing Temp. Deg. F.True Freezing' Difference Deg. F.Zinc787.0787.3-0.3Tin450449.40.6High-purity12201220.4-0.4Aluminum1225*1220.45.0Copper19771981.4-4.6* A.S. M. Handbock.*Green Sand Mold.Fable 3.Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Furity Aluminum with the Operating Time of the Recorder.Hours of OperationFreezing Temperature Degrees F.0.601220 1228 1.001.001233*	Pan	2.77	5.54	0.81	0.22
MaterialRecorded Freezing Temp. Deg. F.True Freezing' Temp. Deg. F.Difference Deg. F.Zinc787.0787.3-0.3Tin450449.40.6High-purity12201220.4-0.4Aluminum1225*1220.45.0Copper19771981.4-4.6* A.S. N. Handbock.**Green Sand Mold.Pable 3.Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Time of the Recorder.Hours of OperationFreezing Temperature Degrees F.0.0012200.5012281.001233*	Table 2.	Calibratic	on of Thern	ocouple wire a	and Recorder.
Zinc 787.0 787.3 -0.3 Tin 450 449.4 0.6 High-purity 1220 1220.4 -0.4 Aluminum 1225* 1220.4 5.0 Copper 1977 1981.4 -4.6 * A.S. M. Handbock. * Green Sand Mold. Table 3. Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Fine of the Recorder. Freezing Temperature of High- Degrees F. 0.60 1220 1228 1.00 1233* 1233*	Material	Recorded Temp. De	Freezing	Trus Freezin Temp. Deg. 1	ng' Difference F. Deg. F.
Tin 450 449.4 0.6 High-purity 1220 1220.4 -0.4 Aluminum 1225* 1220.4 5.0 Copper 1977 1981.4 -4.6 * A.S. N. Handbock. * Green Sand Mold. Table 3. Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Time of the Recorder. Hours of Operation Freezing Temperature Degrees F. 0.00 1220 1.00 1.00 1233*	Zinc	787.0)	787.3	-0, 3
High-purity12201220.4-0.4Aluminum1225**1220.45.0Copper19771981.4-4.6* A.S. M. Handbock.***** Green Sand Mold.**Table 3.Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High-Purity Aluminum with the Operating Fine of the Recorder.Hours of OperationFreezing Temperature Degrees F.0.0012200.5012281.001233*	Tin	450		449.4	0.6
Aluminum1225"1220.45.0Copper19771981.4-4.6A.S. M. Handbock4.6* Green Sand Mold.Table 3. Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Time of the Recorder.Hours of OperationFreezing Temperature Degrees F.0.001220 1228 1.001.001233*	Algn-purl	ty 1220	÷		~ ∪.4
 A.S. K. Handbock. Green Sand Mold. Table 3. Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Time of the Recorder. Hours of Operation Freezing Temperature Degrees F. 0.00 1220 1.00 1233# 		1225	•	1021 4	5.0 -4 6
 Green Sand Mold. Table 3. Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Time of the Recorder. Hours of Operation Freezing Temperature Degrees F. 0.00 1220 1220 1220 1233 1.00 	• A.S. M.	Handbock.			
Table 3.Variation in the Freezing Temperature of High- Purity Aluminum with the Operating Time of the Recorder.Hours of OperationFreezing Temperature Degrees F.0.001220 1228 1233 1.00	🍽 Green S	and Mold.			
Hours of Operation Freezing Temperature 0.00 1220 0.50 1228 1.00 1233*	Table 3.	Variation Purity Alu Recorder.	in the Frank Aminum with	eczing Tempera n the Operating	ture of High- g fime of the
0.0012200.5012281.001233*	Hours of	Operation		Freezi D	ng Temperature egrees F.
0.50 1228 1.00 1233 1.00 1233*	0.00			:	1220
1.00 1233 1.00 1233*	0.50				1228
1.00 1255	1.00				1255 10 77#
	1.00				1622.

* New Sample of High-Furity Aluminum.

Table 4.	Determination of in green sand of some casting.	of Liquitus s wolds and rem	and Solidus t reltod sample	emperatures s from the
Sample	Casting Te	enperature	Renalt T	emperature
No.	Liquidus	Solidus	Liquidus	Solidue
	Deg.E.	Deg.F.	Deg.F.	Deg.F.
T-115	1230		1 2 19	
T-114	1218		1216	
T-113	1228		1215	
T-112	1237		1213	
T-110	1222		1215	
T-111	1222		1216	
T- 1212	1213	1010	1215	1015
T-1210	1221	1018	1218	1010
T-1211	1221	1018	1215	1012
T-129	1219	1018	1215	1012
T-125	1218	1018	1211	1010
1-124	1220	1018	1217	1015
T-123	1217	1020	1215	1015
T-121	1222	1020	1209	1010
Г-128	1217	1012	1212	1013
T-120	1222	1028	1208	1008
T-1 22	1219	1021	1207	1007
T- 127	1212	1015	1210	1015
T-136	1205	1015	1209	1014
T-137	1208	1009	1208	1010
T-135	1219	1028	1202	1010
T -134	1219	1033	1200	1010
T -13 3	1223	1031	1200	1013
T -13 2	1215	1028	1200	1010
T-147	1205	1020	1199	1010
T –145	1220	1028	1207	1010
T -144	1206	1022	1195	1010
T -143	1209	1022	1198	1012
T -1 42	1213	1033	1197	1012
T -141	1218	1032	1191	1009
T -1 40	1213	1033	1199	1008

i.

Ta	bl	8	5.
----	----	---	----

Summary of Data.

Sample	Checical Analysis	Moisture of Mold	Pour. Temp.	Solid. Index	Structure
No.	K	5/0	Degrees F.	Deg/Sec	
T-115	0.90	5.7	1325		Columnar
T-114	0.94	5.8	1275		Duplex
1-113	1. 08	5.5	1400		Columnar
T-112	1.09	5.8	1300		Duplex
T-110	1.12	5.3	1250		Duplex
T-111	1.13	5.8	1500		Columnsr
T-1212	1.53	5.3	1325	1.15	Columnar
T-121 0	1.63	5.5	1275	1.19	Duplex
T- 1211	1.58	5.3	1300	1.13	Columnar
T - 129	1.76	5.6	1300	1.18	Columnar
T - 125	1.78	5.9	1450	0.95	Columnar
T-1 24	1.99	5.9	1600	0.82	Columnar
T-123	2.00	5.4	1400	0.89	Colurnar
T-1 21	2.00	5.5	1300	1.08	Duplex
T-1 28	2.00	5.8	1650	0.76	Colurnar
T-1 20	2.01	5.2	1250	1.13	Duplex
T -1 22	2.03	5.5	1350	1.00	Columnar
T - 127	2.14	5.9	1400	1.00	Columnar
T-13 6	2.50	5.7	127.5	1.25	Duplex
T -1 37	2.52	5.7	13 25	1.09	Duplex
T -1 45	3.01	5.4	1275	1.05	Duplex
T -1 35	3.09	5.0	1400	0.93	Columnar
T - 147	3.18	5.8	1325	1.04	Columnar
T -134	3.27	5.8	1350	0.90	Columnar
<u> </u>	3.32	5.8	1250	1.14	Equi-axed
T -132	3.35	5.7	1300	0.98	Columnar
T - 140	3.81	6.0	1540	0.70	Columnar
1-143	3.93	5.5	1300	0.98	Duplex
L' - 1 44	3.97	5.3	1450	0.83	Columnar
1-142	3.98	5.0	1360	0.84	Columnar
-141	4.26	5.0	1250	0.98	Equi-axed

Table 6. X-Ray Analysis.

Sarple	Chemical	Copp	er Conte	nt by X-	Ray An	alysis
No.	Analysis	Tcp	Bottom	Center	Side	à Fosition
	%Cu.	%Gu.	%Cu.	%Cu.	%Cu.	%Cu.
T-112	1.09	1.20	1.05	1.27	1.45	
T-121	2.00	1.94	1.94	1.89	1.98	
T-122	2.03	2.08	2.25	1.85	1.98	
T-1 29	1.76	1.75	1.70	1.72	1.80	
T-132	3.35	3.55	3.40	3.22	3.45	
T-140	3.81	3.94	3.88	3.85	3.94	3.63
T-143	3.93	3.95	4.00	4.10	4.05	3.85

Table 7.	Lattice	Constants

Sample No.	Chemical Analysis	Lattice Constant Kx Unit <u>a</u>
Liigh-Purity Aluminum	0.000% Cu.	4.0422
T - 115 T - 1212 T - 136 T - 145	0.87% Cu. 1.53 %Cu. 2.50 %Cu. 3.01 %Cu. 4.89 %Cu.	4.0407 4.0392 4.0370 4.0361 4.0318

High-purity Aluminum Alloy supplied by the research Laborat-Ory of the Aluminum Company of America.



TIME (SEC)

с -1

•

The second second second





TIME (SEC.)

,

36

COOLING CURVES

COOLING CURVES TIME - TEMPERATURE

TIME (SEC.)

TIME (SEC.)

COOLING CURVES TIME - TEMPERATURE

TIME (SEC.)

COOLING CURVES TIME - TEMPERATURE



TIME (SEC)





TIME (SEC)

42

COOLING CURVES TIME - TEMPERATURE



TIME (SEC)



TIME (SEC)



TIME (SEC)

VARIATION OF STRENGTH & PERMEABILITY WITH ADDITION OF

SILICON SAND













RELATION OF COMPOSITION, STRUCTURE AND SOLIDIFICATION INDEX







SOLIDIFICATION CONSTANT(M)

Solidification Index = $\frac{(\text{Liquidus Temp.-Solidus Ismp.})^{\circ}F}{\text{Solidification Time (Sec.)}}$ Sample Calculation for T-140: Solid. Index = $\frac{(1213-1033)^{\circ}F}{258\cdot1} = 0.70^{\circ}F/Sec.$ Calculation of Lattice Constants: 2ϕ = Distance between like lines. $\theta = \pi - \phi/2$ Example: 20 = LINE 8 - LINE I = 360.35 - 277.50 = 82.85 ° 0=180-41.425/2 = 69.285° Lattice Farameter (a): $SIN^2 \Theta = \frac{\lambda^2}{4\mu^2} (h^2 + \kappa^2 + 1)$ $SIN \theta = \frac{\lambda}{2 \cdot a} \sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + 1^2}$ $a = \frac{\lambda}{2 \sin 0} \sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}$ Example: (Karadistion, 422 plane) $a = 1.53795/2 \times 0.93538 \sqrt{4^2+2^2+2^2} = 4.02600 \text{ Kx UNITS}.$ Calculation of Chvorinov's Constant (M): $T = (V/SA)^2/N^2$ $M \sqrt{(V/SA)^2/T}$

Example of a long cylinder:

$$T = \left(\frac{D^2 L/4}{DL}\right)^2 / N^2 = (D/4)^2 / N^2$$
Example : (T-121)

$$N = \sqrt{(1/4) / 185/350} = 1.102$$

BIBLICG: APHY

- Aiterison, Leslie, and huodic, Voya, "<u>The Casting of Non-Ferrous Ingots</u>", London, England, MacDoneld and Evans Ltd., 1953, Fg.1-95.
- (2) Mehl, Robert F., "The Growth of Metal Crystals", <u>Ine</u> <u>Solidification of Metals and Alloys</u>, New York, The American Institute of Mining and Metallurgical Engineers, 1951.
- (3) Ruddle, R.K., "Correlation of Tensile Properties of Aluminum Alloy Flate Castings with temperature Gradients During Solidification", <u>Journal of</u> <u>Institute of Metals</u>, 77, 37, March 1950.
- (4) Cibula, A., and Ruddle, R.w. "The Effect of Grain Dize on the Iensile Properties of High-Strength Cast Aluminum Alloys", Journal of Institute of Metals, 75, 351, December 1949.
- (5) Chalasse, Bruce, "Melting and Freezing", Journal of <u>Metals</u>, 5, 519, Nay 1954.
- (5) Morris, W., Filler, W.A., Rutter, J.W., and Winegard, W.C., "Conditions for Dentritic Growth in Alloys", <u>American Society for Metals</u>, Preprint #17, 1954.
- (7) Ruddle, R.W., "The Dolidification of Castings", London, England, The Institute of Metals, 1950.
- (8) Bochvar, A.A. and Kuzina, V.V., "<u>Izvest. Akad. Neuk</u> <u>5.5.5.R.</u>", 1946, (Fakhn), 10, 1459.
- Hunsicker, H.Y., "Solidification Rates of Aluminum in Dry Sand Molds", <u>Transaction American Foundry</u> <u>Association</u>, 55, 58, 1947.
- (10) Briggs, C.W. and Bezeluis, R.A., "Studies on Solidfication and Contraction in Steel Castings", <u>Trans-</u> <u>action American Foundry Association</u>, 5, 449, 1935.
- (11) Baker, W.A., "Microporosity in Magnesium Alloy Castings", Journal of Institute of Metals, 71, 165, 1945.
- (12) Chvorinov, N., "Control of the Solidification of Castings by Galculation", <u>Foundry Trade Journal</u>, 61, 95, 1939.
- (13) Ruddle, R.M., "A Preliminary Study of the Solidification of Castings", Journal of Institute of Metals, 77, 1, March 1950.

- (14) Mondie, V. and Soutt, D. "Cast Structures in Super-Fure Aluminum and Connercially Fure Aluminus", Journal of the Institute of Metals, 78, 105, 1950-51.
- (15) Bishop, H.F. Brandt, F.A., and Fellini, M.S., "Solidification Mechanism of Steel ingots", <u>Journal of</u> <u>Metale</u>, 4, 44, January 1952.
- (15) Minegard, M.C. and Chalmers, B., "Supercooling and Destric Freezing in Alloys", <u>American Society</u> for Metals, Freprint #16, 1953.
- (17) Michael, A.-. and Bever, M.C., "Solidification of Aluminum-Rich Aluminum-Copper Alloys", <u>Journal of</u> <u>Metals</u>, 7, 47, January 1954.
- (18) Pfann, W.G., "Principles of Zone-Melting", Journal of <u>Motals</u>, 4, 747, July 1952.
- (19) Pfann, W.G., "Redistributation of Solutes by Formation and Solidification of a Nolten Zone", <u>Journal of</u> <u>Netals</u>, 6, 294, February 1954.
- (20) Killaby, J. Taylor, B.J., and Ainegard, N.C., "Segregation During Casting Shown by Radioactive Antinony", <u>American Foundrymen</u>, 22, 59, November 1952.
- (21) sinegard, S.C., "Segregation Study in Bronze Using Radioactive Lechnique", <u>American Foundrymen</u>, 23, 140, April 1953.
- (22) Fellini, W.S., "About Fractical Heat Transfer", <u>American</u> Foundrymen, 23, 69, February 1953.
- (23) Nondolfo, L.F., "<u>Metallography of Aluminum Alloys</u>", New York, John wiley and Dons, Inc., 1943.
- (24) Barrett, Charles S., "<u>Structure of Metals</u>", New York, McGraw-hill Book Company, Inc., 1952.
- (25, Pellini, M.S., "About Fractical Heat Transfer", Past 2, <u>American Foundryman</u> 23, 59, March 1953.

*

1

- -----

IN USE ONLY

Feb 20 '57

