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## 

ABSTRACT

# THE EFFECT OF THE COLUMN TO PARTICLE DIAMETER RATIO ON THE CORRELATION OF THE PRESSURE DROP VERSUS FLOW RATE OF FLUIDS THROUGH PACKED BEDS 

## by Devendra Mehta

Ergun has established a correlation between the pressure drop in a packed column and the flow rate of a fluid through it. This correlation is considered valid only when the diameter of the column is much larger than the diameter of the packed particles; the Ergun correlation does not include the wall effect on the pressure drop versus flow rate correlation.

In this project, the Ergun correlation was modified to include the wall effect which eliminated the assumption that the diameter of the column should be much larger than that of the packed particles.

Experiments to obtain data for the pressure drop in packed beds were performed for cases where the wall effect was important. The investigations were performed with an one-half inch diameter column packed with spherical glass beads. Water was used as a fluid flowing through packed beds.

Pressure drop - flow rate data were plotted on a log-log graph in the form of the packed friction factor, $\left(\frac{\Delta g_{c}{ }^{\rho}}{G^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{p}}{L}\right) \times$ $\left(\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{1-\epsilon}\right)$ versus Reynold's number, $\left(\frac{D_{p} G}{\mu(l-\epsilon)}\right)$. It was observed that, when the wall effect was significant, the plot of the above groups deviated from the plot of the Ergun correlation. However;
after the friction factor and Reynold's number, $\left(\frac{\Delta p g c^{\rho}}{G^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D}{L}\right) \times$ $\left(\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{1-\epsilon}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\frac{4 D_{p}}{6 D_{c}(1-\epsilon)}+1}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{D_{p} G}{\mu(1-\epsilon)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\frac{4 D_{p}}{6 D_{c}(1-\epsilon)}+1}\right)$ respectively, were modified to include the wall effect as a parameter, a loglog plot of the above groups coincided with the Ergun correlation.
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Ergun (5) has established a correlation between the pressure drop in a packed column and the flow rate of a fluid through it. The Ergun equation when stated in dimensionless groups is:

$$
\left(\frac{\Delta g_{c^{\rho}} \rho}{G^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{p}}{L}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{1-\epsilon}\right)=\left(\frac{150 \mu(1-\epsilon)}{D_{p}}\right)+1.75
$$

where $\Delta_{p}=$ pressure drop through a column having length $L$,
$g_{c} \quad=\quad$ gravitational constant,
$\rho \quad=\quad$ density of the fluid flowing through the column,
G $\quad=\quad$ mass flow rate per unit area,
$D_{p} \quad=\quad$ diameter of the particles,
L $=$ length of the packed column,
$\epsilon \quad=\quad$ void fraction,
$\mu \quad=\quad$ viscosity of the fluid.

Many experiments have been performed by various investigators $(2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10)$, and it was found that the results from those experiments fit the Ergun equation well. However, Ergun's correlation has the limitation that the effect of the wall on the pressure drop through the bed is neglected. The deviations from the Ergun correlation are negligible if the ratio of the diameter of the column to the diameter of the packing particles is larger than $50: 1$. This assumes that the wall effect on the hydraulic radius -- the characteristic length dimension in the Reynold's number -- of the packed bed is negligible. Several investigators (3, 4, 5)
have stated that the wall effect may be important for column to particle diameter ratios in the range of $8: 1$ to $20: 1$. In fact, it is safe to state that, if the ratio of the column to particle diameter is less than $50: 1$, the wall effect on the hydraulic radius is significant. Investigators failed to establish the magnitude of the wall effect.

The purpose of this investigation was to examine the effect of the wall on the flow characteristics of fluids in packed beds and to determine the validity of the modified Ergun equation which includes the wall effect on the pressure drop through a packed column. Experiments were performed at low flow rates with packed bed Reynold's numbers, ranging from 0.1 to 10.0.

The apparatus was a column packed with spherical glass beads. Nitrogen pressure was used to maintain constant flow in the bed. The pressure drop between two positions in the bed was measured at various liquid flow rates through the packed column.

The column diameter was kept constant, whereas the particle size was varied such that the column to particle diameter ratios ranged from 92:1 to 8:1. The glass beads used in packing the column were uniform and spherical. Water was used as the fluid.

Pressure drop and flow rate data were obtained at various diameter ratios. Values of $\left(\frac{\Delta_{p g_{c}}}{G^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{p}}{L}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{1-\epsilon}\right)$ and $\frac{D_{p} G}{\mu(1-\epsilon)}$ were calculated and a log-log plot of these groups was made in order to compare it with the Ergun plot. It was found that at the smaller diameter ratios, the wall effect on the hydraulic radius or on the flow characteristics of fluids in packed beds cannot
be neglected. This was observed from the deviation of the plot from the Ergun equation for the smaller ratio of column to particle diameter.

If the corrected Ergun equation is used, there is no deviation observed when the data for smaller diameter ratios, as well as for larger diameter ratios, are replotted. Therefore, if corrections are made by including the wall effect in the Ergun equation, it is not necessary to assume that the diameter of the column should be much larger than that of the particles. This correction involves including the effect of the tube wall on the hydraulic radius of the packed bed. Details of this correction are explained in the theory section.

The pressure drop due to the flow of fluids through packed columns has been the subject of experimental investigation by many workers $(2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10)$ to determine the correlation between the pressure drop and the flow rate of fluid through packed columns. Those correlations differ in many respects; some are to $b \equiv$ used for low flow rates, while others are to be used at high flow rates. Previous workers (2, 3, 4, 6 , 9) derived relations using different assumptions and correlated the particular experimental data obtained with or without some of the data published earlier. They agreed that the expressions relating the pressure drop along the length of bed and the flow of fluid through the bed contain the following factors:

1. Pressure drop along the length of bed and the flow rate of the fluid,
2. Density and viscosity of fluid,
3. Void fraction of the bed,
4. Shape and the surface of the particles.

It was Reynolds (5), who first formulated the relation between the pressure drop and the flow rate. He stated that the resistance offered by friction to the motion of the fluid was the sum of two terms. He proposed that the first term was proportional to the first power of the velocity of the fluid and the second term was proportional to the product of the density of the fluid and the second power of the velocity.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta \mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{b} \rho \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\Delta \mathrm{p}$ is the pressure drop along the bed of length L , $v_{0}$ is the linear velocity of fluid, $\rho$ is the density of the fluid, and $a$ and $b$ are constants. This relation was tested by Ergun and Orning (6) as well as by Morcom (9). They plotted the values of $\frac{\Delta p}{L v_{o}}$ against $\rho v_{o}$ which were obtained from their investigation, and straight lines were obtained as expected from equation 2. They noted that the values of $a$ and $b$ were different depending on other conditions such as the viscosity of the fluid, the closeness of particles, etc.

Ergun and Orning (6) tried to develop relationships for the constants $a$ and $b$ in order to predict their experimental values. They were partially successful in deriving the mathematical model for those constants for the general case. This will be discussed in detail later.

Morcom (9) used gases such as air, carbon dioxide, etc., as fluids and used different granular materials with various types of packing. He mentioned that pressure drop is also a function of closeness of packing. He showed that the pressure drop is inversely proportional to the cube of the void factor. This is true, but it will be shown later that pressure drop is also proportional to $(1-\epsilon)^{2}$ for low flows and (l- $)$ for high flow rates, where $\epsilon$ is the void fraction.

It can be seen from equation 2 that the velocity approaches zero, the quantity $\frac{\Delta p}{L v}$ approaches a constant value, a. This
is the condition for viscous flow, and it can be seen that the above equation is similar to the Poiseulle equation (1, 4, 5);

$$
\frac{\Delta \mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{Lv}}=\text { constant }
$$

and to Darcy's law (4);

$$
v_{0}=\frac{k \Delta n}{L} \text {, where } k \text { is a constant. }
$$

If the velocity of the fluid is high, then in comparison with the term $b \rho v_{o}$, the constant "a" is negligible. In other words, it is the condition for turbulent flow where the resistance to the flow is constituted by kinetic energy losses. So the resistance to the flow is the sum of the two factors loss of viscous energy and loss of kinetic energy. The loss of viscous energy is due to the friction between two layers of the fluid, and the magnitude of viscous energy depends on local velocity gradient of the layers. The loss of kinetic energy is due to the motion of the fluid as a bulk and the magnitude of it depends on the bulk average velocity.

It can be seen that, if the constant "a" is replaced by $a^{\prime} \mu$ where $a^{\prime}$ is a constant, $a^{\prime}$ depends only on the characteristics of the bed.

Kozeny (1, 4, 5) developed the correlation between the pressure drop and the flow rate as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\mathrm{k}_{1} \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{2} \mu \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\epsilon^{3} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}$ is a constant. Comparing equations 2 and 3 , it can be seen that equation 3 is similar to equation 2 for low flow rates. Then the constant "a" is equal to $k_{1} \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{2} \mu}{\epsilon^{3} D_{p}^{2}}$.
So the Kozeny (4) equation is in partial agreement with equation 2. Carman (4), Lea (7), Nurse (7), and others have verified experimentally that constant "a" in the Reynold's equation is equal to:

$$
k_{1} \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{2} \mu}{\epsilon^{3} D_{p}^{2}}
$$

However, most of their experiments were performed at low flow rates; so they failed to see the effects at high flow rates. Carman (4) did work in the high flow regions and found deviations in his results from those expected from Kozeny equation; however, he neglected it as an experimental error.

Carman (4) did extensive work on the flow of fluids through granular beds. He developed the correlation between pressure drop and flow rate and arrived at the same equation that was mentioned by Kozeny. He used Darcy's law and included the void fraction as a parameter to describe packed bed data;

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{o}=\frac{K \Delta p}{L}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K$ is a constant. Furthermore, he also used the two dimensionless groups, friction factor and Reynold's number for packed beds as: $\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}} \epsilon^{3}}{L \rho v_{o}^{2} \mathrm{~s}}$ and $\frac{\rho \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mu \mathrm{s}}$ where s is the surface area of packed
bed per unit volume of bed. He added further that there is a linear relation between the flow rate and the pressure drop through the bed. From this relation, he concluded that the dimensionless group $\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}{ }_{p}^{2} \epsilon^{3}}{36 \mu \mathrm{Lv}{ }_{0}(1-\epsilon)}$ be designated as a constant $j$. The above group is valid for a bed made up of spherical particles. For other shapes of particles, the dimensionless group should be modified. Carman tried to calculate the values of $j$ from the results of the other investigations on the flow of fluids through packed beds and showed that the values of $j$ for different sets of conditions varied. He argued that the variation was due to the expansion of the fluid when it passed through the bed and the expansion of the fluid resulted in a change of viscosity of the fluid. In addition, the values of $\epsilon$ may also change if the bed is under high pressure. The above variations were the important factors which caused the variation of j. Carman (4) reported in his fapers that wall effect is also a factor in the variation of $j$. He used Coulson's modification which applies to Kozeny equation and which includes the wall effect as a parameter. However, the corrected values of $j$ still varied.

Blake (2) approached the problem of the fluid flow in a packed bed by comparing it with the fluid flow in a circular pipe. It has been established for the flow in pipes that a unique plot is obtained if the dimensionless groups, ( $\left.{ }^{\rho} v_{e} D_{e / \mu}\right)$ and $R / \rho v_{e}^{2}$, are plotted against one another. Here, $R=$ frictional force per unit area, $D_{e}=$ pipe diameter, and $v_{0}=$ actual
velocity in the channel.
Blake showed that the above groups can be modified for a packed bed if dimensional homogenity is used in comparing the flow in a packed bed with that in a circular pipe. He substituted $D_{e}$ by the hydraulic radius $R_{h}$ where $R_{h}=$ crosssectional area per perimeter presented to fluid. For granular beds, $R_{h}=\epsilon / s ; s=s u r f a c e$ area of particle / unit volume of bed. Again, $R$ is defined in terms of $\Delta p$ as:

$$
\mathrm{R}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}} \epsilon}{\mathrm{Ls}}
$$

So the dimensionless groups for packed beds are modified as:

$$
\left(\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}} \epsilon^{3}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2} \mathrm{~s}}\right) \text { and }\left(\frac{\rho \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mu \mathrm{~s}}\right)
$$

These groups are known as the Blake dimensionless groups (4, 5, 6 ), since he was first to recognize the importance of them. These groups can be platted on log-log graphs, and a unique graph is obtained.

Burke and Plummer (3) proposed that the pressure drop was due to the loss of kinetic energy. That is to say that $\Delta p$ is proportional to $\mathrm{v}^{2}$. They assumed that the granular bed was equivalent to a group of parallel channels; they regarded the bed to be made up of the sum of the separate resistances of the individual particles in it - as measured from the rate of free fall in the fluid. The force, $F$, acting on an isolated sphere suspended in a fluid stream is equal to $\frac{3 \pi \mu D_{p} v}{g_{c} \epsilon}$. The
number of particles per unit of packing volume is $6(1-\epsilon) / \pi D_{p}^{3}$. Burke and Plummer stated that the rate of work $W$ done due to the flow of the fluid is:

$$
W=\left(\frac{3 \pi \mu D_{p} v_{o}}{g_{c} \epsilon}\right)\left(\frac{v_{o}}{\epsilon}\right)\left(\frac{6(1-\epsilon)}{\pi D_{p}^{3}}\right) .
$$

But $W$ in terms of $\Delta p$ is also equal to $\frac{\Delta p}{\rho L}$. Combining the above equations, they proposed the following proportionality for the flow of fluids through packed beds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}}{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \alpha \frac{(1-\epsilon) \rho \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}}{\epsilon^{3} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proportionality has been verified for high flow rates; however, it fails in low flow regions. This is due to the assumption that the pressure drop is due to only kinetic energy term.

If the above equation is compared with equation 2, it can be shown that if the $11 \%$ rates are high, equation 2 is similar to equation 5, as the constant a in equaticn 2 becomes negligible at high flow rates. The constant $b$ is equal to:
$k \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{\epsilon^{3} D_{p}}$. Using equation 2 and using the values of the constants $a$ and $b$, the following equation is cbtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}}{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~L}=k_{1} \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{2} \mu v_{o}}{\epsilon^{3} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}}+k_{2} \frac{(1-\epsilon) \rho v_{o}^{2}}{\epsilon^{3} D_{p}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is known as the Ergun equation (1,5). The above equation can be rearranged in terms of dimensionless groups:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Delta p g_{c}}{\rho v_{0}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{p}}{L}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{1-\epsilon}\right)=k_{1} \frac{D_{p} \rho v_{o}}{\mu(1-\epsilon)}+k_{2} \tag{6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation shows how the Blake dimensionless groups fit with the Ergun equation (5).

Many workers $(3,4,5)$ have stated that the wall effect is an important factor when considering the flow of fluids through packed beds. The magnitide of the wall effect has not been determined by past investigators. They assumed that the wall effect is negligible if the column to particle diameter ratio is very large. It will be proved in the later section that the Ergun equation can be modified to include the frictional effect due to the wall.

Ergun has developed an empirical relationship between the pressure drop and the flow rate in a packed bed. This relationship is represented in the form of a friction factor and Reynold's number. For a packed bed, the friction factor and the Reynold's number are defined as:

| Friction facter | $=\frac{\Delta\left(\frac{\mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}} \rho}{\mathrm{G}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{6 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}} \epsilon^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)}{\text { and Reynold's number }}=\frac{6 \mathrm{GR} \mathrm{h}^{\prime}}{\mu \in}$. |
| ---: | :--- |

Here, $R_{h}$ is the hydraulic radius which is defined as the ratio of the cross-section available for flow to the wetted perimeter. For packed beds, Ergun used the expression for the hydraulic radius:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{h}=\frac{\epsilon D_{p}}{6(1-\epsilon)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression dces nct include the wall effect. Hence, it shows no dependency the column diameter; since, during the development of equation $\bar{i}$, it was assumed that the packed particle diameter was much smaller in comparison with that of the column diameter. In this project, the diameter of the column is not considered too large when compared with the particle diameter. This results in modification of the Ergun equation. The object of this research is to examine the validity of the modified Ergun equation which includes the wall effect on the hydraulic radius. The following expression is obtained for the hydraulic radius - when the wall effect is included:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{h} \quad=\frac{\epsilon}{\frac{6(1-\epsilon)}{D_{p}}+4 / D_{c}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, fricticn factor $=\left(\frac{\Delta p_{c} \rho^{2}}{G^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{6 \epsilon^{3}}{L}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\frac{6(1-\epsilon)}{D_{p}}+4 / D_{c}}\right)$

$$
\text { and Reynold's number }=\frac{6 G}{\mu\left\{\frac{6(1-\epsilon)}{D_{p}}+4 / D_{c}\right\}}
$$

where $D_{c}=$ column diameter, $D_{p}=$ particle diameter, and $\epsilon=$ void fraction.

The validity of the modified friction factor and Reynold's number was determined by making a $\log -\log$ plot of the above groups calculated from experimental data and comparing that graph with a similar plct of the Ergun equation.

Many chemical frocesses are carried out in packed beds where the wall effect is important. As the design of large scale equipment is based on small laboratory models, it is important to understand the flow characteristics so that large scale equipment can be reliably designed. With the help of the modified Ergun equation, laboratory data which are obtained under conditions where the wall effect is important can be successfully scaled to size where the wall effect is not important.

First, the derivation of the Ergun equation which is a correlation relating the pressure drop to the flow rate in a packed bed will be made with the assumption that the diameter of the packed particles is very small in comparison with that of a column. Then,this equat:ca will be modified to take into account the effect of the colum wall on the hydraulic radius. The problem is confined $s=$ spherical particles in a cylindrical tube having a constant cross-section.

First, consider the flow of an incompressible fluid with density $\rho$ through a pipe.


Figure 1: Velocity distribution for the flow in a cylindrical tube.

Making a force balance on a shell of thickness $\Delta r$, the following differential equation is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \quad \frac{d\left(-r \mu \frac{d v_{z}}{d r}\right)}{d r}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\approx} r}{L} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the above equation, and using the following tcundary conditions: 1 . at $r=R$, the velocity $v_{z}$ is maximan; and

$$
\text { 2. at } r=0 \text {, the velocity } v_{z} \text { is zero, }
$$

the velocity distritution in $z$ direction is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{z}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{R}^{2}}{4 \mu \mathrm{~L}}\left\{1-\frac{\mathrm{r}^{2}}{\mathrm{R}^{2}}\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average velocity $\left\langle\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{z}}\right\rangle$ is calculated by summing up all velocities over the cross-section and then dividing by the cross-sectional area:

$$
\begin{align*}
<v> & =\frac{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{R} v_{z} r d r d \theta}{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{R} r d r d \theta}  \tag{11}\\
\therefore \quad<v> & =\frac{\Delta p R^{2} g_{c}}{8 \mu L} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the well kncwn Eeiseulle equation. Developing the above equation, it was assumed that

1. the fluid was newtonian,
2. the end effects were neglected,
3. the temperatire wias constant,
4. the steady state was established when considering the force balance.

This is the case for the colurn without any packing. All flow systems do not have same shape or sane cross-section available for the flow of fluid. It will be assumed that the same equations which describe flow in a pipe describe flow in a packed bed. It is farther assumed that the hydraulic radius is the characteristic length parameter in the Reyncld's number. Now consider the steady flow of fluid through a cylindrical
tube filled with spherical beads. Assume that the packed bed is a tube made up of very complicated cross-section with a hydraulic radius $R_{h}$. It can be shown that if void fraction is one, four times the Lydraulic radius is equal to the diameter of the tube.

Equation 12 is transformed in terms of the hydraulic radius $R_{h}$ by replacing $R$ with $2 R_{h}$, so that dimensional homogenity can be used to compare the flow properties in packed column with that in an empty cylinder.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \quad<\mathrm{v}>=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pR}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{c}}}{2 \mu \mathrm{~L}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { Now, } \begin{aligned}
R_{h} & =\frac{\text { Cross-section ayailable for flow }}{\text { Wetted perimeter }} \\
& =\frac{\text { Volume available for flow }}{\text { Total wetted surface }} \\
& =\frac{\text { Volume of voids / Volume of bed }}{\text { Wetted surface / Volume of bed }} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the diameter of the column is considered too large when it is compared with that of the particle, the resistance offered by the wetted surface of the column is negligible when it is compared with the wetted surface of the packed bed.

Now, |  | $\frac{\text { Volume of voids }}{\text { Volume of bed }}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $=$ | $\frac{\epsilon X \text { Volume of bed }}{\text { Volume of bed }}$ |

where $\epsilon \quad=\quad$ void fraction.
Also, Volume of bed

$$
=\frac{\text { Eoume of sphere }}{1-\epsilon}
$$

In addition, Wetted surface
Volune of bed
$=\frac{\pi D^{2}}{\frac{\pi n^{3}}{6(--E)}}$
$=\frac{6(1-\epsilon)}{D_{p}}$

Hence, the hydraulic radius $R_{h}$ can be defined as:

$$
R_{h}=\frac{D_{p} \epsilon}{6(1-\epsilon)}
$$

Substituting $R_{h}$ in equation 13;

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle v\rangle & =\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{c} \epsilon^{2} D_{p}^{2}}{36(1-\epsilon)^{2} \cdot 2 \mu \mathrm{~L}} \\
& =\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{c} \epsilon^{2} D_{p}^{2}}{72(1-\epsilon)^{2}{ }_{\mu \mathrm{L}}} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

However, $\left\langle\mathrm{v}>=\frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\epsilon}\right.$
where $\quad v_{o}=$ velocity of fluid if there was no packing in the column.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \quad v_{o}=\frac{\Delta p_{c} \epsilon^{3} D_{p}^{2}}{72(1-\epsilon)^{2}{ }_{\mu L}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is assumed that the path of liquid flowing through ted is

Lft. But, this is not true since - due to the bed - it makes a zigzag path which increases the effective length L. The experimental measurements indicate that the number 72 in the denominator be replaced by $150(1,5)$. Hence, the equation 16 changes as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{o}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{c} \epsilon^{3} D_{p}^{2}}{150(1-\epsilon)^{2} \mu \mathrm{~L}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is known as the Blake - Kozeny (1, 4, 5) equation and is valid for low flcw rates.

For fighly turkulent flow, the friction factor is cnly a function of roughness when Reynald's number is high. The friction factor $f$ is also called as a drag coefficent and it is a dimensionless quantity. It is approximately a constant at higher Reyncld's number. Ncon, for the flow of a fluid through a bed of spheres, the pressure drop $\Delta p$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Ag}_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=$ Force exerted on the solid surfaces and $\quad A=$ cross-sectional area.

Consider the fluid flowing through an empty column. The fluid will exert force $F$ on the solid s:rfaces which is equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=A^{\prime} K f \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{\prime}=$ the surface area of column or wetted surface,

$$
\mathrm{K}=\text { Kinetic energy / unit volume, }
$$

and $f=$ friction factor.
So for circular twbes of radius $R$ and length $L$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.K=\frac{1}{2} \rho\langle i\rangle\right\rangle^{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{\prime}=2 \pi R L \\
& F=\left(2 \pi R L: \frac{1}{2} \rho\left\langle>^{2}\right) f\right. \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

But $\quad \Delta p=F / g_{i} A$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{F}{g_{c} \pi R^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

So substituting and rearranging the above equations, following equation is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}=\frac{\mathrm{R}}{2 \mathrm{~L}}\left\{\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho\langle\mathrm{v}\rangle^{2}}\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, the hydraulic radius

$$
R_{h}=R^{2}
$$

So substituting the value of $R$ in the terms of $R_{h}$ in equation 23;

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{f}= & \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}}{\mathrm{~L}}\left(\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho\langle\mathrm{v}\rangle^{2}}\right) \\
& \therefore \frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}} \frac{1}{2} \rho\langle\mathrm{v}\rangle^{2} \mathrm{f} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

But $\left\langle v>=v_{o / \epsilon}\right.$
and $\quad R_{h}=\frac{{ }_{p}}{6(1-\epsilon)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{3(1-\epsilon) \rho \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2} \mathrm{f}}{\epsilon^{3} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Experimental data (1, 5) indicate that

$$
6 f=3.5
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{1.75 \rho \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}(1-\epsilon)}{\epsilon^{3} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 26 is known as the Burke-Plummer equation (1, 3, 5). When equation 17 and equation 26 are combined, following equation results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{c}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)=\frac{1.0 \mu_{0}}{D_{p}^{2}}\left(\frac{(1-\epsilon)^{2}}{\epsilon^{3}}\right)+\frac{1.75 \rho v_{o}^{2}(1-\epsilon)}{D_{p} \epsilon^{3}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is known as the Ergua equation (1, 5). This can be also written in terms of $G$, the mass flow rate and in the dimensionless groups:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Delta_{p g}{ }_{c} \rho}{G^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D^{p}}{L}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{1-\epsilon}\right)=\frac{150 \mu(1-\epsilon)}{D_{p} G}+1.75 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be seen that in the 10 flow regions where most of the experiments are performed, the plet of $\log \left(\frac{\Delta g_{c} \rho D_{p} \epsilon^{3}}{G^{2} L(1-\epsilon)}\right)$ versus $\log \frac{D_{p} G}{\mu(1-\epsilon)}$ will be a straight line with the slope of -1 .

At very low fiog rates or in laminar flow, $\frac{(1-\epsilon) \mu}{D_{p} G}$ factor is dominant in the right side of the equation 28. At very high flow rates or in turbulent flow rates, $\frac{(1-\epsilon) \mu}{D_{p} G}$ becares very negligible comparing to 1.75 . So,

$$
\left.\frac{\Delta p_{c} \rho D_{p} \epsilon^{3}}{G^{2} L(1-\epsilon)}\right)
$$

remains constant at 1.75 .
The above derived relation does not include the wall effect. If the diameter of the column is very large compared to that of the packing particles, the pressure drop and the flow rates are
unaffected by the friction due to the wall.
Assume now that the diameter of the column is not large when compared with that of the packing particles. Then there will be a correction reqaired in the hydraulic radius relation in the final equation.

Again, the ratio if wetted surface to the colume of the bed can be written as:
$=$ Wetted Exface of spheres + Wetted surface of wall
Volume of the bed
$=$ Wetted surface of spheres + Wetted surface of wall
Volume of bed volume of bed
$=\frac{\pi D_{p}^{2}}{\pi D_{p}^{3} / 6(1-\epsilon)}+\frac{\pi D_{r} L}{\pi D_{c}^{2} L / 4}$
where $D_{c}=$ diameter of the column.
So,
wetted sirface
volume of bed
$=\frac{6(1-\epsilon)}{D_{p}}+\frac{4}{D_{c}}$
$\therefore \quad R_{h}=\frac{\epsilon}{\frac{6(1-\epsilon)}{D_{p}}+4 / D_{c}}$
Substituting the values of $R_{h}$ in equation 13 and using the relation of equation 15, the following equation is written for $v_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{o}=\frac{\epsilon^{3} \Delta \mathrm{pg}_{c}}{2 \mu L\left(\frac{6(1-\epsilon)}{D_{p}}+\frac{4}{D_{c}}\right)^{2}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { or } v_{o}=\frac{\epsilon^{3} \Delta_{p g_{c}} D_{F}^{2}}{72 \mu L(1-\epsilon)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{4 / 6 \frac{D_{p}}{D_{c}(1-\epsilon)}+1}\right)^{2}  \tag{33}\\
& \text { Let } M=\frac{4 D_{p}}{6 D_{c}(1-\epsilon)}+1 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

and 72 in the denominater be replaced by 150 as stated previously.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore v_{o}=\frac{\epsilon^{3} \Delta \mathrm{pg}_{c}{ }_{c} r^{2}}{150(1-\epsilon)^{2} \mu_{L} m^{2}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be considered as modified Blake-Kozeny equation. Similarly, for the turbalent flow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{\rho}{2 R_{\mathrm{h}}}<\mathrm{v}>^{2} \mathrm{f} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, substituting $R_{h}$ from equation 31 and using the relation of equation 15, the equation changes to the modified BurkePlummer equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{1.75 \rho \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}(1-\epsilon) \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}} \epsilon^{3}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by adding these two modified relaticns, the correlation becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{150 \mu \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}(1-\epsilon)^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2} \epsilon^{3}}+\frac{1.75 \rho \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}(1-\epsilon) \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}} \epsilon^{3}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in terms of $G$, the mass flow rate and in the dimensionless groups, equation 37 is transferred to as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\rho}}{\mathrm{G}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{1-\epsilon}\right)\left(\frac{1}{M}\right)=\frac{150 \mu(1-\epsilon) M}{D_{p}}+1.75 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equation can be stated as the modified Ergun equation. When $D_{p} \ll D_{c}, M=1$. Therefore, for $D_{p} \ll D_{c}$ equation 38 is same equation as equation 28.

Equation 38 includes as a parameter the effect of the wall. Therefore, data for the pressure drop versus flow rate for the column in whict $t$ e wall effect is important should be represented, as we:l as data for which the wall effect is not important.

The apparatius ustd for this experimentation consisted of an one-half inch glass column, a pressurized flow systems, packing particles for the column, U-tube manometer, and a graduated cylinder. The schematic diagram is shown in figure 2. Nitrogen tank $A$ was $\because$ eed as a pump to pressurize the flow of water from the water tank through the packed column, whereas nitrogen tank $B$ was esed as a controlling device to maintain a constant flow rate. The $U$-tube manometer was connected to two taps of the column which were 1.5 ft . apart. Various flow rates were obtained by adjusting the valves at the top and bottom of the column.

GLASS BEADS

The bed was packed with glass beads which were spherical and uniform in size. Figure 11 is a microphetograph of the glass beads used in tins experimentation. To cbtain the various ratios of the column to packing particle diameter, various sizes of the beads were used. The diameter of the column was kept constant. The beads used in this project were of uniform size, and there was no mixing of different sizes. The diameter of beads was measured using either the micrometer or the microscope.

MANOMETER FLUID

Mercury and tri-chloro ethylene were used as a micnometer fluid to measure the pressure drop in the column. For the high
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pressure drop measurements, mercury was used; whereas for the low pressure drop measurements, tri-chloro ethylene was used as a manometer fluid. The difference between the liquid levels in the two arms of the manometer was measured, and from it the pressure drop in the packed column was calculated.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{p}=\frac{62.4 \mathrm{gh}\left(\rho^{\prime}-1\right)}{g_{c}}
$$

where $h=$ difference in the liquid levels in the manometer in feet.

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{c} & =\text { gravitational constant, } \frac{1 b m-f t .}{1 b f-s e c^{2}} \\
g & =\text { gravitational acceleration, } f t / \sec ^{2} \\
\rho & =\text { density of the fluid, } 1 \mathrm{bm} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

MEASUREMENT OF VOID FRACTION

The void factor $\in$ was determined by the following procedure. First, the graduated cylinder was filled with water. The height of water level was $x \mathrm{cms}$. Then, dry glass beads were poured in the cylinder. The cylinder was vibrated till the beads settled down uniformly. The water level in the cylinder rose because the beads were added. The height of the beads in the cylinder was $z \mathrm{cms}$, whereas the new height of the water level was $y$ cms. These heights are proportional to the corresponding volumes.

Apparent volume of bed $=\mathbf{z c m s}$.
Volume of beads $=(y-x) \mathrm{cms}$.
Void fraction $\quad=\left(1-\frac{y-x}{z}\right)$

As $x, y$, and $z$ were known, $\in$ was calculated accordingly.

## PACKING PROCEDURE

Packing procedure was important as there was a possibility of air entrapment in the bed during packing. It was required that there be no air trapped in the column when the experiments were performed.

The glass spherical beads were soaked for a day or more in a beaker filled with water. The wet packing particles were transferred to the column which was filled with water, and care was taken to see that no air bubbles were in the system. Afterwards, the column was vitrated to let the packing particles be settled in the bed uniformly. After obtaining the data for one size beads, the beads were removed; and the column was thoroughly washed and dried. The same procedure was used for beads of different diameters.

## RUN PROCEDURE

To obtain a steady flow, constant liquid level above the bed was required. Water was forced through the packed column using nitrogen pressure from the tank $A$ as shown in figure 2. The constant liquid level above the bed was achieved by applying the gas pressure to the top of the liquid level in the column from the gas tank B. Constant flow rates, constant water level at the top of the column, and the constant manometer reading were indicators of the steady flow. The valve on gas tank B
was adjusted until the above three observations remained constant. Sometimes, the valve connected at the bottom of the column was also adjusted.

After achieving the steady state conditions, the flow rate was measured by collecting the water which flowed through the column in a graduated cyinder for a specific time. Simultaneously, the corresfoading pressure drop in the column was measured on the manometer. This was repeated for three or more runs, and the arithmetic average of the above readings was used to calculate the two dimensionless groups. The same procedure was applied for the different flow rates.

For each set of data, the temperature of the fluid was also noted. Corresponding to the temperature of the water, the viscosity of the water was obtained from Perry's (11) handbook. The temperatare measurement of water was necessary, since the variaticn of the viscosity of water is significant if the small change in the temperature occurs. e.g., viscosity at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}=0.98 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{P}$. and viscosity at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{F}=0.922 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{P}$.

## RESULTS

The values cf flcw rates and the corresponding values for pressure drofs for various ratios of the column diameter to packed particle diameter are shown in the Appendix I. From these values of the pressure drop and the flow rate, the values of the tro dimensionless groups: the friction factor, $\frac{\Delta p_{c} \rho D_{E} \epsilon^{3}}{G^{2} L(1-\epsilon)}$, and the Reynold's number for the packed bed, $\frac{D_{p} G}{\mu(1-\epsilon)}$ were calcalated. These groups are designated as y and x respectively.

Ratios of the column to the particle diameter used for the experiments were

$$
91: 1,50: 1,36: 1,25: 1,18: 1, \text { and } 7.7: 1
$$

The first three ratics are high; so $D_{p / D}$ is almost zero, and the correction factor $M$ becomes cne. The plots of the $\log y$ versus $\log \mathrm{x}$ should ccincide with the Ergen plot. It can be seen from the Figures 3, 4, and 5 that these plots do coincide with the plct from the Ergun equation. Furthermore, it can be seen that the Ergin equation can be extended to the lower range of $x=0.1$ to 1.0 . Previous data did not extend into this lower range.

The last three ratics of the column to particle diameter are small. Hence, $D_{p / D}$ is not negligible when it is compared with 1. So the factor M will be greater than 1 . The modified dimensionless groups, $\frac{\Delta g_{c} \rho D_{p} \epsilon^{3}}{G^{2} L(1-\epsilon) M}$ and $\frac{D_{p} G}{\mu(1-\epsilon) M}$ will be different than the uncorrected values of $y$ and $x$. Let the modified
groups be designated as Y and X .

$$
\therefore Y=y / M \text { and } X=x / M
$$

It can be seen trat, if the value of $M$ is considered as 1 instead of the real value, the corresponding values of $Y$ and $X$ will be greater than the real values of $Y$ and $X$. This will result in the shift of t'e graph of $\log Y$ versus $\log X$ to the right side of the plot. from the Ergun equation (5). The plots are shown in Figures 6, 7, 8, and 9. It can be seen that these plots do not coincide with the plot from the Ergun equation. Furthermore, the magnitude of the deviation of the plots depends on the magnitude of the ratio $D_{p / D}$. The larger the ratio of $D_{p / D}$, the greater the deviation of the plot of $\log \boldsymbol{y}$ versus $\log$ from the Ergun plot. In addition, it can be seen from the Figures 6, 7, 8, and 10 that, if the values of $M$ are used to correct the data, plots of $\log \mathrm{Y}$ versus $\log \mathrm{X}$ coincide with the Ergun plot.

A plot of $\log \mathrm{Y}$ versus $\log \mathrm{X}$ in the set having ratio 18:1 does not exactly coincide with the Ergun plot. However, the above plot can be considered as coinciding with the Ergun plot within the experimental error. The deviation in that plot may be due to the small error in measuring the void fraction $\epsilon$. $Y$ contains the factor $\epsilon^{3}$, a small error in the value of $\epsilon$ will change appreciably the value of $Y$. This may expiain the deviation in the above plot.

Thus, the modified Ergun equation which includes the wall
effect is valid and it does not require the assumption that the column diameter should be much larger than that of the particle diameter.

## CONCLUS IONS

The equation which relates the pressure drop with the flow rate of fluids through packed beds is:

$$
\left(\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pg}_{c}{ }^{\rho}}{G^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{D_{p}}{L}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{1-\epsilon}\right)\left(\frac{1}{4 D_{p}}\right)=\frac{150(1-\epsilon) \mu}{D_{p} G}\left(\frac{4 D_{p}}{6 D_{c}(1-\epsilon)}+1\right)+1.75
$$

This equation includes $t_{i} \in$ effect of the wall on the hydraulic radius as a parameter. Data for pressure drop versus flow rate through packed beds are correlated more accurately when the friction due to the wall surface area or the effect of the wall on the hydraulic radius is taken into account. This correction is particularly important when the column to particle diameter ratio is less than $50: 1$.

Furthermore, the range over which the above equation was verified was from a facked bed Reynold's number lower limit of 0.1 to a higher limit of 10.0 .

In this project: water was used as a fluid for experimentation. To confirm that the above correlation is valid for other newtonian fluids, it is suggested that the further experiments be performed using different newtonian fluids.

In addition, it is recommended that the above equation be verified in the regions above higher limit 10.0 of packed bed Reynold's number. This will confirm that the above correlation is valid for very high flow rates.

## AFPENDIX A

SETS OF TABIES CONTAINING DATA

| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $=91: 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diameter of the Beads | $=0.0055$ inches |
| Diameter of the Column | $=\frac{1}{2}$ inches |
| Void Fraction | $=0.36$ |
| Length of the Facked Column | $=1.5 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Density of Ene Manometer Fluid | $=13.6 \mathrm{gms} . / \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{cms}$ |
| Temperatare of Water | $=75^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| Viscosity cf Water | $=0.922 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{P}$. |
| Density of Water | $=62.3 \mathrm{lbm} / \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{ft}$. |


| Pressure | Flow | $x$ | $y$ | $X$ | Y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| drop in | Rate |  |  |  |  |
| h inches | $V^{\text {cce }} /$ sec. |  |  |  |  |


| 22.8 | 0.333 | 0.620 | 228 | 0.615 | 226 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17.9 | 0.246 | 0.458 | 330 | 0.453 | 326 |
| 23.8 | 0.342 | 0.636 | 226 | 0.628 | 224 |
| 11.9 | 0.167 | 0.310 | 478 | 0.306 | 472 |
| 7.2 | 0.100 | 0.186 | 800 | 0.184 | 792 |
| 9.0 | 0.130 | 0.242 | 590 | 0.239 | 584 |
| 14.6 | 0.217 | 0.402 | 345 | 0.398 | 341 |
| 15.9 | 0.233 | 0.434 | 324 | 0.428 | 321 |
| 38.2 | 0.567 | 1.060 | 132 | 1.050 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $=45: 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diameter of the Beads | $=0.011$ inches |
| Diameter of the Column | $=\frac{1}{2}$ inches |
| Void Fraction | $=0.40$ |
| Length of the Packed Column | $=1.5 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Density of the Manometer Fluid | $=13.6 \mathrm{gms} . / \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{cms}$. |
| Temperature of Water | $=76^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| Viscosity of Water | $=0.918 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{P}$. |
| Density of Water | $=62.4 \mathrm{lbm} / \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{ft}$. |


| Pressure | Flow | x | y | X | Y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| drop in | Rate |  |  |  |  |
| h inches | $\mathrm{V}^{\text {CC }} /$ sec. |  |  |  |  |


| 4.8 | 0.383 | 1.53 | 107.0 | 1.49 | 104.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 13.0 | 1.133 | 4.52 | 33.0 | 4.42 | 32.2 |
| 21.0 | 1.783 | 7.12 | 21.6 | 6.96 | 21.1 |
| 27.2 | 2.083 | 8.22 | 20.2 | 8.04 | 19.7 |
| 14.9 | 1.268 | 5.07 | 30.4 | 4.95 | 29.6 |
| 28.4 | 2.265 | 9.05 | 18.0 | 8.84 | 17.5 |
| 2.4 | 0.195 | 0.78 | 206.0 | 0.76 | 201.0 |
| 10.0 | 0.808 | 3.20 | 50.0 | 3.10 | 48.9 |
|  | TABLE 2: Data for $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $=45.1$ |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $=36: 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diameter of the Beads | $=0.014$ inches |
| Diameter of the Column | $=0.5$ inches |
| Void Fraction | $=0.36$ |
| Length of the Packed Column | $=1.5 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Density of the Manometer Fluid | $=13.6 \mathrm{gms} . / \mathrm{c.cms}$. |
| Temperat re cf Water | $=76^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| Viscosity of Water | $=0.918 \mathrm{C.P}$. |
| Density of Water | $=62.3 \mathrm{lbm} / \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{ft}$. |


| Pressure <br> drop in <br> h inches | Flow <br> Rate <br> $\mathrm{V}^{\text {č }} / \mathrm{sec}$. | x | y | X | Y |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10.5 | 1.008 | 4.970 | 29.4 | 4.820 | 28.5 |
| 16.3 | 1.458 | 7.180 | 21.8 | 6.980 | 20.2 |
| 1.3 | 0.117 | 0.575 | 270.0 | 0.560 | 262.0 |
| 2.8 | 0.250 | 1.230 | 127.0 | 1.200 | 123.0 |
| 12.4 | 1.108 | 5.450 | 28.6 | 5.280 | 27.8 |
| 8.7 | 0.783 | 3.850 | 40.2 | 3.730 | 39.0 |
| 6.9 | 0.608 | 2.990 | 53.1 | 2.900 | 51.5 |
| 5.2 | 0.467 | 2.300 | 69.0 | 2.230 | 67.0 |
|  | TABLE $3:$ | Data for $D_{c} / D_{p}=36: 1$ |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{D} \mathrm{F}$ | $=25: 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diameter of the Beads | $=0.020$ inches |
| Diameter cf the Column | $=0.5$ inches |
| Void Fraction | $=0.40$ |
| Length of the Facked Column | $=1.5 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Density of the Manometer | $=13.6 \mathrm{gms} . / \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{cms}$. |
| Temperarure of Water | $=70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| Viscosity of Water | $=0.98 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{P}$. |
| Density of Water | $=62.4 \mathrm{lbm} . / \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{ft}$. |


| Pressure <br> drop in <br> h inches | Flow <br> Rate <br> $\mathrm{V}^{\text {CC }} / \mathrm{sec}$. | x | y | X | Y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 5.000 | 1.167 | 8.00 | 20.6 | 7.67 | 19.7 |
| 4.000 | 1.000 | 6.85 | 23.8 | 6.56 | 22.8 |
| 0.425 | 0.108 | 0.74 | 236.0 | 0.71 | 226.0 |
| 1.200 | 0.287 | 1.97 | 86.8 | 1.88 | 83.0 |
| 2.600 | 0.633 | 4.33 | 38.5 | 4.15 | 36.8 |
| 3.200 | 0.750 | 5.14 | 34.0 | 4.92 | 32.6 |
| 4.700 | 1.116 | 7.66 | 22.3 | 7.34 | 21.3 |
| 6.000 | 1.367 | 9.38 | 19.1 | 8.99 | 18.3 |
|  |  |  | TABLE $4:$ | Data for | $D_{c} / D_{p}=25: 1$ |


| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $=18: 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diameter of the Beads | $=0.028$ inches |
| Diameter of the Column | $=0.5$ inches |
| Void Fraction | $=0.39$ |
| Length cf the Packed Column | $=1.5 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Density cf tre Manometer Fluid | $=1.466 \mathrm{gms} . / \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{cms}$. |
| Temperature of Water | $=72^{0} \mathrm{~F}$ |
| Viscosity of Water | $=0.9579 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{P}$. |
| Density cf Water | $=62.4 \mathrm{lbm} . / \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{ft}$. |


| Pressure drop in $h$ inches | Flow <br> Rate <br> $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{cc}} / \mathrm{sec}$. | x | y | X | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46.0 | 0.600 | 5.78 | 36.0 | 5.42 | 33.8 |
| 34.0 | 0.450 | 4.32 | 47.8 | 4.06 | 44.9 |
| 30.0 | 0.390 | 3.75 | 55.8 | 3.53 | 52.0 |
| 40.5 | 0.540 | 5.10 | 39.2 | 4.90 | 34.8 |
| 26.0 | 0.340 | 3.27 | 63.5 | 3.08 | 59.7 |
| 6.4 | 0.087 | 0.83 | 242.0 | 0.78 | 228.0 |
| 3.6 | 0.047 | 0.45 | 468.0 | 0.42 | 444.0 |
| 16.3 | 0.220 | 2.11 | 95.5 | 1.98 | 90.0 |
| 10.4 | 0.130 | 1.25 | 174.0 | 1.18 | 164.0 |
|  | table |  | $r \quad D_{c / D}$ |  |  |


| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $=7.7: 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diameter of the Beads | $=0.065$ inches |
| Diameter of the Column | $=0.5$ inches |
| Void Fraction | $=0.415$ |
| Length of the Facked Column | $=1.5 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Density of the Manometer Fluid | $=1.466 \mathrm{gms} . / \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{cms}$. |
| Temperat:re of Water | $=72^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| Viscosity of Water | $=0.9579 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{P}$. |
| Density of Water | $=62.4 \mathrm{lbm} . / \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{ft}$. |


| Pressure drop in $h$ inches | Flow <br> Rate <br> $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{cc}} / \mathrm{sec}$. | x | y | x | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.90 | 0.420 | 9.70 | 22.1 | 8.45 | 19.3 |
| 2.60 | 0.230 | 5.31 | 39.4 | 4.63 | 34.3 |
| 3.00 | 0.250 | 5.77 | 38.0 | 5.02 | 33.0 |
| 1.80 | 0.160 | 3,69 | 56.0 | 3.21 | 48.7 |
| 3.60 | 0.250 | 8.08 | 23.4 | 7.05 | 20.4 |
| 0.90 | 0.065 | 1.50 | 167.0 | 1.31 | 148.0 |
| 1.40 | 0.105 | 2.42 | 97.0 | 2.11 | 84.5 |
| 1.50 | 0.130 | 3.00 | 70.5 | 2.62 | 61.5 |
| 0.65 | 0.440 | 1.02 | 266.0 | 0.89 | 232.0 |
| TABLE 6: Data for $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}}=7.7$ : |  |  |  |  |  |

## AFPENDIX B

PLOTS OF THE FRICTION FACTOR VERSUS

REYNOTD'S NUMBER CF THE PACKED BED


Figure 3: Plot of the friction factor versus
Reynold's number of the packed bed
for $D_{c / D_{p}}=91: 1$.


Figure 5: Plot of the friction factor versus Reynold's number of the packed bed for $D_{c / D_{p}}=36: 1$


Figure 6: Plot of the friction factor versus
Reynold's number of the packed bed
for $D_{c / D_{p}}=25: 1$

## y vs $x$ (without wall effect)

## $X$ <br> Y vs X (with wall effect)

Figure 8: Plot of the friction factor versus
Reynold's number of the packed bed
for $D_{c / D_{p}}=7.7: 1$.


APPENDIX C


FIGURE 11: MICROPHOTOGRAPH OF THE GIASS BEADS

## NOMENCIATURE

| A | $=$ | Cross-seutional area of the column. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A^{\prime}$ | = | Surfoce ása. |
| a | $=$ | Constant in Reynold's equation. |
| b | = | Constaxt in Reynold's equation. |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | = | Diameter cf tie column. |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {e }}$ | = | Diameter 0 Ėe container. |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | = | Diameter $C E$ E glass beads. |
| f | = | Friction factor. |
| F | = | Force exerted by the fluid. |
| G | = | Mass flow rate. |
| g | $=$ | Gravity acceleration. |
| $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $=$ | Gravitatioral constant. |
| h | $=$ | Differerce in the liquid levels in the manometer. |
| j,k | = | Proportionality constants. |
| L | = | Length of the bed. |
| M | = | Correction factor. |
| R | = | Radius of the cylinder. |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $=$ | Hydraulic radius. |
| s | = | Surface area of bed / Unit volume of bed. |
| <v> | = | Average velocity of the fluid in a cylinder. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | = | Velocity of the fluid in channels. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ | $=$ | Apparent flow rate of the fluid. |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | = | Velocity of the fluid in z direction. |
| x | = | Modified Reynold's number, wall effect neglected. |
| X | = | Modified Reynold's number, wall effect included. |

```
y = Modified friction factor, wall effect neglected.
Y = Modified friction factor, wall effect included.
\Deltap = Pressure drop.
\epsilon = Void fraction.
\mu = Viscosity of the fluid.
\rho = Density of the fluid.
\rho' = Density of ťe ranometer fluid.
```
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