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Several fertilizer and plant population treatments were evaluated for effects on seed production with inbred lines and single-cross hybrids in 1957 and 1958 on a Conover loam soil, testing high in residual fertility, in Ingham County, Michigan. Corn did not reach full maturity in any of the experiments.

Average increases in yields from fertilizer were not significant for inbreds in either year, nor significant for single-cross hybrids in 1957, but were significant for single-cross hybrids in 1958.

Plant population was the most important factor affecting seed yields.

Inbred 1 ines
Seed yields on WF9 and Oh51 inbreds in 1957 were not affected significantly by complete fertilizer treatments of either 200 or 850 pounds 12-12-12 per acre. Sidedressed nitrogen applications of 29 and 40 pounds per acre did increase yields significantly but there was no difference between the 20 and 40 pound rates. Average yields increased significantly from 17.8 to 38.4 bushels per acre as population was increased from 5,500 to 16,000 . The highest seed yields were 43.1 and 46.9 bushels per acre for these two inbreds.

Fertilizer effects on seed yields of 25 inbreds in 1958 were not significant. Average yields increased significantly from 26.8 to 50.3 bushels per acre as population increased from 7,500 to 18,300 . Good
agreement was obtained between actual yields and predicted yields using Duncan's method (2). Predicted yields continued to increase up to 30,000 plants per acre for most inbreds and a few predicted yields increased to 40,000 population.

As population was increased from 14,600 to 18,300 : (1) yields of Oh51, Oh51A, W64A, Oh43, W22, WF9, MS116, MS211, and MS1334 increased significantly 9.2 to 12.1 bushels, (2) $38-11$, W10, W70, B8, MS132, A73, MS24A, MS131, and MS206 increased 4.7 to 8.2 bushels which were not significant at the $5 \%$ level, and (3) W23, WR3, Hy2, MS130, M14, R53, and C103 showed little or no increase in yield.

## Single-cross hybrids

In 1957 (Experiment 2), average yield and grading of seed from WF9 $x$ Oh51A were not significantly affected by complete fertilizer and nitrogen sidedressing treatments. Yields averaged 43.2, 60.6, 69.4, 88.8, and 91.6 bushels at populations of $6,100,9,200,11,200,15,100$, and 17,200 plants per acre, respectively. Each increase except the last was significant.

In 1958 (Experiments 11 and 12), five single-cross hybrids averaged 11.6 and 9.6 bushels more when fertilized with 550 pounds of $15-15-15$ and 40 pounds sidedressed nitrogen per acre at the highest plant populations.

As population increased from 7,300 to 17,100 in Experiment 11, average yields increased significantly from 58.1 to 89.1 bushels. When population increased from 7,000 to 14,000 in Experiment 12, average yields increased from 46.9 to 74.7 bushels.

In both years, kernel width and length were reduced as population increased. Percent large flats decreased and small flats increased about the same amount while medium flats remained unchanged as population increased. Production per acre of medium flats, small flats, and rounds increased and production of large flats remained unchanged as population increased.
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## INTRODUCTION


#### Abstract

An estimated 400,000 acres of double-cross hybrid seed corn are produced each year to plant the 85 million acres of commercial corn in the United States. Extensive production research has been conducted with double-cross plants on the effects of soil fertility and plant population. Relatively little cultural and production information is available for the inbreds and single crosses used in seed production. The relatively low vigor and yielding ability of corn inbred lines makes production of inbred and single-cross seed much more costly than that of double-cross seed on the more vigorous and productive single-cross plants. One purpose of this research was to investigate the effects of soil fertility and plant population on seed production of inbreds and single crosses.

In the development and evaluation of most corn hybrids, yield trials are conducted at several locations for a number of years under various soil, cultural, and climatic conditions. Hybrids with the best average performance under these conditions are selected for production. All hybrids do not respond alike to increases in fertilizer and plant population. By conducting all phases of inbreeding, selection, and evaluation of inbred lines and yield testing of hybrids under conditions of high soil fertility and plant population, it may be possible to develop hybrids better adapted to take full advantage of these conditions.


In planning an effective and efficient breeding program it would be helpful if existing inbred lines could be classified for response to high level production practices and a similar evaluation made of the hybrids composed of various combinations of these lines. The second objective of this research attempted to classify a group of 25 inbred lines preliminary to evaluation in single- and double-cross hybrids.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Many studies of effects of fertilizer and plant population on grain production have been conducted with double-cross hybrids, but no published information was found relating to effects of fertilizer and population on single-cross and double-cross seed production.

Airy (1) suggests that because of drouth hazards, 12,000 to 14,000 plants per acre in double-cross seed production fields should be adequate, with 16,000 plants recommended only at highest fertility levels. No seed yields were presented.

A method for predicting yield at any plant population from yield at any two actual populations was described by Duncan (2). He proposed that the logarithm of yield per plant bears a linear relationship to plant population. He suggests using reasonable limits between 5,000 and 25,000 plants per acre to predict double-cross yields. However, yields of some drawf and semi-dwarf corn maintained a linear relationship with plant populations up to 78,000 plants per acre.

As ear corn moisture reduced in the field from $50 \%$ to $40 \%$, Rather and Marsten (3) observed yield increases of five to twelve bushels per acre in twelve to sixteen days. Results were consistent between early and late maturing hybrids.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted on Conover Clay loam in 1957 and 1958 to study the effects of soil fertility and plant population on seed production of several inbred lines and single-cross hybrids. Prior to plowing and fitting, soil samples were taken and plow-down fertilizer for the high fertility treatment in Experiments 1 and 2 (Table 1) was broadcast on predetermined areas. The plots, each one row 32 feet long, were planted with a regular corn planter equipped with special cone seeders for small plots. Row fertilizer was placed one inch below and three inches to the side of the seed. Simazin was band-sprayed over the rows at planting in 1958. Weeds were further controlled by cultivation and hoeing. Additional nitrogen treatments were applied by siciedressing with ammonium nitrate at the last cultivation. The same field was used in 1957 and 1958.

Experiment 1 was planted in 1957 in a split-plot design with four replications to determine the effects of various levels of complete fertilizer, nitrogen sidedressing, and plant population on seed production of two inbred lines. Oh51, a midseason line, and WF9, a late maturing line for Michigan, were used. Since the amount of pollen produced and the timing of flowering are important factors in seed production and must be considered when producing a particular hybrid, it was decided to attempt to reduce or eliminate these pollination difficulties by providing extra pollen to assure full pollination if possible. Therefore, two rows of

WF9 x Oh51A single cross were planted between every six rows of inbred plots on two later dates to provide more adequate pollen for inbred Plants. The first single-cross planting was made when inbred seedlings emerged, and the second when the first planting started to emerge. A border row of inbreds was planted on each side of the single-cross rows to reduce competition from the more vigorous single-cross plants.

Experiment 2 was planted in the same field in 1957 as a split-plot design with two replications. The effect of various levels of complete fertilizer, nitrogen sidedressing, and plant population on the production Of various grades of seed of single-cross WF9 $x$ Oh51A plants was studied.

In 1958, 25 inbred lines were classified for response to two fertility and four population treatments. All lines were planted in eight separate triple-lattice experiments--Nos. $3,4,5,6,7,8,9$, and 10--with a different fertilizer and plant population treatment for each experiment. The experiments were arranged so that when combined, they could be analyzed as a $2 \times 4 \times 25$ split-plot experiment with three replications.

Five single-cross hybrids were planted June 2 and June 10, 1958,
in two separate split-plot experiments, 11 and 12 respectively, and replicated three times with the same treatments for each. In addition to providing data on the effect of fertilizer and plant population on double-cross seed production, the two rows of these five single crosses between every five rows of inbred plots also provided additional pollen for the 1958 inbred experiments.

Fertilizer treatments, plant population, pedigrees, experimental designs, and cultural agronomic information are presented in Table 1.
table 1. fertilizer treatments, plant populations, PEDIGREES, EXPERIMENTS 1, Lheninis 1, 2, 3-10, 11, and 12 in 1957 AND 1958

| Plow-down and row fertilizer per acre | Plant population per acre | Nitrogen sidedressing per acre | Entry numbers and inbreds or single crosses | Experimental design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment 1, 1957 |  |  |  |  |
| None | 5,500 | None | 1. Oh51 | $3 \times 5 \times 3 \times 2$ split-plot |
| 200 pounds 12-12-12 in row | 8,700 | 20 pounds | 2. WF9 | 4 replications |
| 650 pounds 12-12-12 plow- | 11,700 | 40 pounds |  |  |
| down, 200 pounds 12-12-12 | 13,800 |  |  |  |
| in row | 16,000 |  |  |  |


| Experiment 2, 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 pounds 12-12-12 in row |  |  |  |  |  |
| 650 pounds 12-12-12 plow- |  |  |  |  |  |
| down, 200 pounds 12-12-12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| in row |  |  |  |  |  |


TABLE 1 (CONTINUED).


Stand, moisture, field weight, and lodging data were taken at harvest on all plots. The relatively small amount of lodging in these experiments was not reported. All corn for each plot was harvested by hand and weighed in the field. Moisture content was reported as ear Corn moisture. Yields were calculated as shelled corn at $15.5 \%$ moisture.

Seed from each plot of Experiments 2, 11, and 12 was separated in to commercial seed corn grades with hand screens mounted on a small C1ipper cleaner. Two 500-gram samples from each plot of Experiment 2 and one 500 -gram sample from each plot of Experiments 11 and 12 were graded for width and thickness of kernels. Two 100 -kernel samples of medium flats from each plot of all three experiments were measured with a 1 ength gauge. Kernels $28 / 64$ to $34 / 64$ of an inch long were classified as 1 ong medium flats. Length specifications were kept constant for all treatments and single crosses to determine the effect of treatment on kernel length. In practice, a seedsman might adjust the length specifications for each lot to yield the most bushels of uniform, long medium flats. The various grades of rounds were not separated. Grading specifications are given in Table 2. Although "grade" (1) often denotes quality, in the hybrid seed corn trade it is more commonly used when referring to kernel size. Therefore, "grade" denotes kernel size classification rather than seed quality in this report.

Yields were adjusted to average stands for each population by covariance analysis. Analyses of variance were computed for all observations.

## TABLE 2. GRADES AND KERNEL DIMENSIONS <br> FOR EXPERIMENTS 2, 11 AND 12

| Grade | Symbol | Sizes in 64 ths of an inch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Round hole | Rectangular hole | Length |
|  |  | Through Over | Through ${ }^{\text {aver }}$ | Through Over |
| Large flats | LF | $26 \quad 22$ | 14 |  |
| Medium flats | MF | 2218 | 13 |  |
| Long medium flats | LMF | 2218 | 13 | $34 \quad 28$ |
| Short medium flats | SMF | 2218 | 13 | 28 |
| Sma11 flats | SF | 1817 | 13 |  |
| Rounds | R | $26 \quad 22$ | 14 |  |
|  |  | $22 \quad 17$ | 13 |  |

Duncan's (2) proposal that the logarithm of yield in pounds per plant bears a linear relationship to plant population was applied to Experiments 3 through 10. Thus, bushels per acre for any variety at any population can be predicted from yield at any two known populations. Predictions from the most widely separated populations are considered most accurate. To apply this method to Experiments 3 through 10, pounds of shelled grain per plant for each of the four actual populations for each inbred were plotted on semi-logarithmic paper. The straight predicting line was drawn through points for the lowest and highest populations regardless of where points for the other two populations fell in relation to the line. From this line, yields of shelled corn per acre were determined for any desired population.

A summary of temperature and rainfall is presented in Table 3. The 1ongest dry period occurred between July 22 and August 23, 1957. There were no prolonged dry periods in 1958.

TABLE 3. WEATHER DATA FOR 1957 AND 1958, INGHAM COUNTY, MICHIGAN*

| Month | Average rainfall |  |  | Average temperature |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Days } \\ \text { with rain } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Dry periods 15 days or more |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1957 | 1958 | Normal | 1957 | 1958 | Normal | 1957 | 1958 | 1957 | 1958 |
| May | 6.12 | . 38 | 3.75 | 54.8 | 55.6 | 56.5 | 10 | 2 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { May } 1 \\ \text { to } \end{array}$ |
| June | 2.42 | 3.28 | 3.37 | 67.0 | 60.8 | 67.4 | 6 | 7 |  | May 22 |
| July | 7.22 | 4.31 | 2.28 | 70.4 | 69.0 | 71.1 | 5 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } 22 \\ \text { to } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| August | 1.55 | 3.24 | 2.68 | 67.1 | 68.3 | 69.0 | 4 | 5 | Aug. 23 |  |
| September | 1.28 | 2.26 | 3.05 | 58.9 | 60.7 | 61.8 | 7 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. } 24 \\ \text { to } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| October | 3.84 | 2.13 | 2.45 | 48.2 | 52.2 | 50.5 | 5 | 5 | Oct. 15 |  |

*From C1imatological Data, U.S.D. Commerce, Volumes LXXII and LXXIII, Numbers 5-10.

## Inbred Lines

## Experiment 1

Analysis of variance and average yields of inbreds Oh51 and WF9 are presented in Tables 4 and 5. Plow-down and starter fertilizer treatments increased seed yields slightly but these differences were not statistically significant. Average yields were $28.8,31.0$, and 31.3 bushels per acre for 0,200 , and 850 pounds of $12-12-12$ per acre, respectively.

Yields for each plant population were $17.8,26.6,32.4,36.6$, and 33.4 bushels per acre for average populations of $5,500,8,700,11,700$, 13,800 , and 16,000 plants per acre. All yield differences were highly significant except that from 13,800 to 16,000 plants per acre. Components of variance (Table 4) show that plant population was by far the most important factor determining seed yield.

Nitrogen sidedressings of 20 and 40 pounds per acre averaged 2.0 and 1.6 bushels more than no nitrogen. Both increases were significant but 40 pounds of nitrogen was no better than 20 pounds. Interactions involving fertility levels, nitrogen, and population were not significant, showing that these treatment effects were generally consistent.

The highest seed yield for Oh51 was 46.9 bushels per acre with no plow-down or starter fertilizer, 20 pounds nitrogen sidedressing and 16,000 plants per acre. For WF9, the highest yield was 43.1 bushels with

TABLE 4. ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR YIELDS OF TWO INBRED LINES AT three fertilizer levels, five plant populations, and three NITROGEN SIDEDRESSING LEVELS - EXPERIMENT 1, 1957

| Source of variation | Degrees of freedom | Sum of squares | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { square } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | F | Components of variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 359 | 35492.5 |  |  |  |
| Replication | 3 | 4260.0 | 1420.0 |  | 14.8 |
| Fertilizer (F) | 2 | 490.5 | 245.2 | 2.7 | 0.4 |
| Exror a | 6 | 551.3 | 91.9 |  | 3.0 |
| Population (P) | 4 | 20092.3 | 5023.1 | 89.0** | 68.1 |
| F $\times$ P | 8 | 974.0 | 121.8 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| Erior b | 36 | 2031.0 | 56.4 |  | 5.3 |
| Nitrogen (N) | 2 | 222.7 | 111.4 | 4.6* | 0.9 |
| F $\times \mathrm{N}$ | 4 | 210.4 | 52.6 | 2.2 | 0.3 |
| $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ | 8 | 209.7 | 26.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| F x $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ | 16 | 353.4 | 22.1 | 0.9 | -1.3 |
| Error c | 90 | 2204.5 | 24.5 |  | 4.3 |
| Inbred (I) | 1 | 34.9 | 34.97 | 1.8 | -0.4 |
| $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{I}$ | 2 | 186.6 | 93.3 , | 4.7* | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{I}$ | 4 | 237.1 | 59.5 | 3.0* | -0.1 |
| $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{I}$ | 2 | 33.8 | $16.9)$ | 0.9 | -0.4 |
| F $\times$ P x I | 8 | 504.0 | $63.0)$ | 3.8** | 3.3 |
| F $\times$ Nx I | 4 | 171.9 | 43.088 | 2.6* | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Nx}$ I | 8 | 196.0 | 24.5 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| F x PxNxI | 16 | 383.8 | 24.05 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| Error d | 135 | 2144.6 | 15.9 |  |  |
| Error d plus fourth order interaction | 151 | 2528.4 | $16.7$ |  |  |
| Error d plus all <br> third and fourth <br> Order interactions | 171 | 3400.3 | $19.9$ |  |  |

* 

Significant at the 5\% level of probability
** Significant at the $1 \%$ level of probability

TABLE 5. AVERAGE YIELDS FOR THREE FERTILITY LEVELS, FIVE PLANT POPULATIONS, AND THREE NITROGEN SIDEDRESSINGS WITH TWO INBREDS, Oh51 AND WF9 - EXPERIMENT 1, 1957

|  | Inbred | Plant population - plants per acre |  |  |  |  | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pounds per acre |  | 5,500 | 8,700 | 11,700 | 13,800 | 16,000 |  |
| No plow-down or starter fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | Oh51 | 19.1 | 25.3 | 21.6 | 33.8 | 36.9 | 27.3 |
|  | WF9 | 12.6 | 27.8 | 25.9 | 29.4 | 32.7 | 25.7 |
|  | Average | 15.9 | 26.6 | 23.8 | 31.6 | 34.8 | 26.5 |
| 20 | Oh51 | 20.0 | 27.3 | 26.8 | 37.9 | 46.9 | 31.8 |
|  | WF9 | 18.3 | 28.2 | 33.1 | 33.0 | 31.3 | 28.8 |
|  | Average | 19.2 | 27.8 | 30.0 | 35.5 | 39.1 | 30.3 |
| 40 | Oh51 | 16.8 | 25.9 | 27.3 | 35.4 | 44.2 | 30.0 |
|  | WF9 | 17.8 | 25.0 | 31.6 | 34.2 | 37.0 | 29.1 |
|  | Average | 17.3 | 25.5 | 29.5 | 34.8 | 40.6 | 29.6 |
| F $\times$ P | Average | 17.5 | 26.6 | 27.8 | 34.0 | 38.2 | 28.8 |
| 200 pounds starter fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | Oh51 | 17.0 | 30.3 | 36.4 | 40.2 | 37.2 | 32.2 |
|  | WF9 | 19.0 | 21.4 | 34.1 | 38.9 | 34.9 | 29.7 |
|  | Average | 18.0 | 25.9 | 35.3 | 39.6 | 36.1 | 31.0 |
| 20 | Oh51 | 20.9 | 28.4 | 37.1 | 37.1 | 42.4 | 33.2 |
|  | WF9 | 18.9 | 28.0 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 34.5 | 29.3 |
|  | Average | 19.9 | 28.2 | 33.4 | 36.4 | 38.5 | 31.3 |
| 40 | Oh51 | 18.8 | 29.3 | 33.7 | 37.1 | 31.4 | 30.1 |
|  | WF9 | 20.2 | 27.2 | 34.7 | 38.3 | 35.9 | 31.3 |
|  | Average | 19.5 | 28.3 | 34.2 | 37.7 | 33.7 | 30.7 |
| F x P | Average | 19.1 | 27.5 | 34.3 | 37.9 | 36.1 | 31.0 |

650 pounds plow-down and 200 pounds starter fertilizer

| 0 | Oh51 | 13.1 | 25.3 | 32.2 | 38.0 | 36.3 | 29.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WF9 | 15.2 | 23.3 | 32.4 | 41.6 | 41.8 | 30.9 |
|  | Average | 14.2 | 24.3 | 32.3 | 39.8 | 39.1 | 30.0 |
| 20 | Oh51 | 17.8 | 26.1 | 32.0 | 35.8 | 41.0 | 30.5 |
|  | WF9 | 18.7 | 28.5 | 36.6 | 39.1 | 43.1 | 33.2 |
|  | Average | 18.3 | 27.3 | 34.3 | 37.5 | 42.1 | 31.9 |
| 40 | Oh51 | 17.7 | 24.8 | 35.4 | 40.4 | 42.9 | 32.2 |
|  | WF9 | 17.9 | 27.0 | 41.4 | 32.5 | 40.6 | 31.9 |
|  | Average | 17.8 | 25.9 | 38.4 | 36.5 | 41.8 | 32.1 |
| $F \times P$ | Average | 16.8 | 25.8 | 35.0 | 37.9 | 41.0 | 31.3 |


| Popu1ation | Average | 17.8 | 26.6 | 32.4 | 36.6 | 38.4 | 30.4 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | Nitrogen | 16.0 | 25.6 | 30.5 | 37.0 | 36.7 | 29.2 |
| 20 | x | 19.1 | 27.8 | 32.6 | 36.5 | 39.9 | 31.2 |
| 40 | Population | 18.2 | 26.6 | 34.0 | 36.3 | 38.7 | 30.8 |
| Inbrect x | Oh51 | 17.9 | 27.0 | 31.4 | 37.3 | 39.9 | 30.7 |
| population | WF9 | 17.6 | 26.3 | 33.3 | 35.8 | 36.9 | 30.0 |

Least significant differences:
Fer tility means $=3.0$ bu. @ $5 \%, 4.6$ bu. @ $1 \%$
POPulation means $=2.5 \mathrm{bu}$. @ $5 \%, 3.4 \mathrm{bu}$. @ $1 \%$


650 pounds plow-down and 200 pounds starter fertilizer, 20 pounds nitrogen sidedressing, and 16,000 plants per acre. With no plow-down or starter fertilizer, the best yield for WF9 was 37.0 bushels for 40 pounds nitrogen sidedressing, and 16,000 population.

There was no significant difference in average effects between the two inbreds. In general, Oh51 yielded more at low fertility and WF9 yielded more at high fertility. The inbred $x$ fertility, inbred $x$ population, and inbred $x$ fertility $x$ population interactions were significant. At low population, the two inbreds yielded about the same but Oh51 yielded more than WF9 at the high populations. At the highest fertility level, however, WF9 outyielded Oh51.

Average ear moisture contents at harvest were 43.2 percent and 53.2 percent for 0 O 51 and WF9, respectively. Neither inbred was fully mature, using 40 percent ear moisture to indicate maturity. Killing frost occurred September 27 and the plots were harvested October 10. Maturity as measured by ear corn moisture was not affected by soil fertility, nitrogen sidedressings, or plant population.

Experiments 3-10
Tables 6 through 10 present average yield, average percent moisture, and analyses of variance for 25 inbred lines. The average increase of only nine-tenths bushel per acre when fertilizer was applied was not statistically significant. Average yields were 39.3 and 40.2 bushels per acre for 0 and 550 pounds per acre of $15-15-15$, respectively.

Yield increased significantly with each plant population increase. Averages were $26.8,37.5,44.3$, and 50.3 bushels per acre for plant

TABLE 6. ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR YIELD OF 25 INBRED LINES WITH AND WITHOUT FERTILIZER AT FOUR PLANT POPULATIONS EXPERIMENTS 3-10 COMBINED, 1958

| Source of variance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Degrees } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { freedom } \end{aligned}$ | Sum of squares | Mean square | F | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Components } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { variance } \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 599 | 105879.5 |  |  |  |
| Replication | 2 | 80.3 | 40.1 |  | -0.1 |
| Fertilizer (F) | 1 | 112.2 | 112.2 | 1.0 | -0.1 |
| Error a | 2 | 228.3 | 114.2 |  | 0.7 |
| Population (P) | 3 | 45750.5 | 15250.2 | 354.4** | 101.5 |
| $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 3 | 374.4 | 124.8 | 2.9 | -1.3 |
| Error b | 12 | 516.3 | 43.0 |  | 1.1 |
| Inbred (I) | 24 | 29320.4 | 1221.7 | 27.9** | 47.6 |
| F $\times 1$ | 24 | 2590.1 | 107.9 | 2.4** | 1.4 |
| $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{I}$ | 72 | 6958.3 | 96.6 | 2.2** | -16.6 |
| F $\times$ P $\times \mathrm{I}$ | 72 | 14118.9 | 196.1 | 12.9** | 60.3 |
| Error c | 384 | 5829.8 | 15.2 |  | 15.2 |
| Error c + F $\times$ P $\times$ I | 456 | 19948.7 | 43.7 |  |  |

**Significant at the $1 \%$ level of probability

TABLE 7. AVERAGE PERCENT MOISTURE AND YIELD RANKED FOR 25 INBREDS, EXPERIMENTS 3-10, 1958

| Yield <br> rank | ```Experiments 3-10 All fertilizer and populations``` |  |  | Experiments 3-6 <br> Fertilizer-550 lbs/a. <br> All populations |  |  | Experiments 7-10 <br> No fertilizer <br> All populations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inbred | Bu.per acre | Percent moisture | Inbred | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Bu.per } \\ \text { acre } \end{array}$ | Percent moisture | Inbred | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bu. per } \\ \text { acre } \end{gathered}$ | Percent moisture |
| 1 | W23 | 50.7 | 36.8 | W23 | 53.2 | 36.3 | W70 | 51.2 | 52.6 |
| 2 | W64A | 49.1 | 47.0 | Oh51 | 48.8 | 46.0 | W64A | 49.6 | 47.7 |
| 3 | W70 | 48.4 | 53.1 | W64A | 48.5 | 45.2 | Oh43 | 48.5 | 52.6 |
| 4 | Oh51A | 47.6 | 47.0 | Oh51A | 47.9 | 48.0 | W23 | 48.3 | 37.4 |
| 5 | Oh51 | 46.5 | 44.9 | W70 | 45.5 | 53.7 | Oh51A | 47.4 | 46.0 |
| 6 | Oh43 | 45.0 | 53.4 | WR3 | 44.0 | 46.0 | WR3 | 45.6 | 48.2 |
| 7 | WR3 | 44.8 | 48.1 | B8 | 43.7 | 41.0 | Oh51 | 44.2 | 43.9 |
| 8 | B8 | 43.2 | 40.8 | Hy2 | 42.8 | 52.3 | W22 | 43.7 | 53.6 |
| 9 | W22 | 42.6 | 53.6 | MS132 | 42.1 | 47.9 | M14 | 43.2 | 50.1 |
| 10 | MS132 | 42.4 | 46.7 | A73 | 42.0 | 50.5 | B8 | 42.7 | 40.6 |
| 11 | M14 | 40.8 | 50.0 | W10 | 41.8 | 50.2 | MS132 | 42.7 | 45.5 |
| 12 | W10 | 40.6 | 51.0 | Oh43 | 41.4 | 54.1 | MS116 | 40.4 | 47.3 |
| 13 | WF9 | 40.3 | 56.3 | W22 | 41.4 | 53.6 | WF9 | 40.1 | 56.4 |
| 14 | MS116 | 39.8 | 52.5 | MS130 | 41.1 | 45.9 | MS211 | 39.9 | 47.0 |
| 15 | A73 | 39.8 | 51.6 | WF9 | 40.4 | 56.1 | W10 | 39.3 | 51.8 |
| 16 | Hy2 | 39.4 | 53.4 | MS116 | 39.1 | 46.4 | A73 | 37.6 | 52.6 |
| 17 | MS130 | 39.3 | 45.3 | M14 | 38.4 | 50.0 | MS130 | 37.5 | 44.6 |
| 18 | MS211 | 38.0 | 48.2 | MS24A | 36.4 | 43.1 | MS24A | 36.8 | 41.3 |
| 19 | MS24A | 36.6 | 42.2 | MS211 | 36.1 | 49.5 | MS1334 | 36.4 | 38.5 |
| 20 | MS1334 | 35.8 | 40.2 | R53 | 35.3 | 38.4 | Hy2 | 35.9 | 54.6 |
| 21 | R53 | 34.0 | 37.7 | MS1334 | 35.2 | 41.8 | R53 | 32.8 | 37.0 |
| 22 | MS206 | 32.7 | 33.3 | MS206 | 32.6 | 32.7 | MS206 | 32.7 | 34.0 |
| 23 | MS131 | 30.6 | 57.8 | MS131 | 31.5 | 59.5 | MS131 | 29.7 | 56.0 |
| 24 | 38-11 | 23.5 | 59.9 | 38-11 | 28.1 | 55.9 | 38-11 | 18.8 | 64.0 |
| 25 | C103 | 22.7 | 58.3 | C103 | 27.5 | 53.0 | C103 | 17.9 | 63.6 |
| Aver ag | es | 39.8 | 48.1 |  | 40.2 | 47.9 |  | 39.3 | 48.3 |

Least significant differences(LSD) for average yields:
(a) over all fertilizer and plant population treatments LSD $=2.2$ bu. @ $5 \%, 2.9$ bu. @ $1 \%$
(b) within fertilizer treatments LSD $=3.1 \mathrm{bu}$. @ $5 \%, 4.1 \mathrm{bu}$. @ $1 \%$
(c) between fertilizer treatments for the same inbred LSD $=8.9 \mathrm{bu}$. @ $5 \%, 11.7 \mathrm{bu}$. @ $1 \%$

TABLE 8. AVERAGE YIELD RANKED FOR 25 INBREDS AT FOUR PLANT POPULATIONS - EXPERIMENTS 3-10, 1958


Least significant differences (LSD) for average yields:
(a) within populations LSD = 4.4 bu. @ 5\%, 5.8 bu. @ $1 \%$
(b) between populations, any inbred LSD $=8.5$ bu. @ $5 \%, 11.1$ bu. @ $1 \%$

TABLE 9. AVERAGE YIELD RANKED FOR 25 INBREDS WITH AND WITHOUT FERTILIZER AT 7,500 AND 11,400 PLANTS PER ACRE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { Yank } \end{aligned}$ | 7,500 plants per acre |  |  |  | 11,400 plants per acre |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Experiment 3 Fertilized |  | Experiment 7 <br> Unfertilized |  | Experiment 4 Fertilized |  | Experiment 8 Unfertilized |  |
|  | Inbred | Bushels <br> per acre | Inbred | Bushels <br> per acre | Inbred | Bushels <br> per acre | Inbred | Bushels <br> per acre |
| 1 | W64A | 35.6 | W22 | 35.0 | W23 | 48.4 | W64A | 53.7 |
| 2 | Oh51A | 34.7 | W70 | 34.0 | W64A | 44.3 | W23 | 51.9 |
| 3 | M14 | 33.3 | W64A | 32.8 | Oh51 | 43.7 | W70 | 51.6 |
| 4 | W23 | 32.9 | M14 | 32.1 | WR3 | 42.2 | Oh51A | 50.1 |
| 5 | W70 | 32.5 | Oh43 | 32.1 | Oh43 | 41.6 | WR3 | 50.0 |
| 6 | WR3 | 32.2 | W23 | 31.7 | MS130 | 39.1 | M14 | 46.9 |
| 7 | A73 | 31.3 | WR3 | 29.7 | WF9 | 38.6 | Oh43 | 44.7 |
| 8 | Oh51 | 31.0 | WF9 | 29.1 | M14 | 38.5 | MS132 | 43.1 |
| 9 | Hy 2 | 30.1 | Oh51A | 29.1 | W70 | 37.6 | MS116 | 43.0 |
| 10 | W22 | 29.4 | Oh51 | 28.2 | MS132 | 37.3 | Oh51 | 39.5 |
| 11 | Oh43 | 28.2 | MS24A | 27.9 | A73 | 37.2 | B8 | 39.5 |
| 12 | B8 | 27.8 | B8 | 27.0 | C103 | 36.6 | MS211 | 39.3 |
| 13 | MS132 | 27.2 | MS130 | 26.3 | MS24A | 35.5 | A73 | 38.0 |
| 14 | MS116 | 26.3 | MS132 | 26.1 | W22 | 34.8 | R53 | 37.3 |
| 15 | WF9 | 26.2 | MS211 | 25.5 | W10 | 34.7 | W22 | 36.2 |
| 16 | W10 | 25.8 | MS116 | 24.5 | Oh51A | 34.7 | MS1334 | 36.1 |
| 17 | MS130 | 25.4 | W10 | 24.3 | R53 | 33.0 | Hy2 | 35.9 |
| 18 | MS206 | 24.1 | 38-11 | 23.3 | 38-11 | 32.6 | WF9 | 35.8 |
| 19 | MS24A | 23.7 | C103 | 22.0 | MS116 | 32.3 | MS206 | 35.6 |
| 20 | MS1334 | 23.6 | MS1334 | 20.9 | Hy2 | 32.3 | W10 | 35.3 |
| 21 | R53 | 23.4 | Hy2 | 20.6 | MS211 | 32.2 | MS24A | 32.6 |
| 22 | MS211 | 22.9 | MS131 | 19.8 | MS131 | 30.9 | MS130 | 32.4 |
| 23 | C103 | 21.8 | R53 | 18.7 | MS1334 | 30.5 | MS131 | 30.5 |
| 24 | 38-11 | 19.8 | A73 | 18.6 | B8 | 27.8 | 38-11 | 10.6 |
| 25 | MS131 | 16.1 | MS206 | 17.2 | MS206 | 26.7 | C103 | 9.3 |
| Averages |  | 27.4 |  | 26.3 |  | 36.7 |  | 38.4 |

Analyses of variance

| Source | $\begin{gathered} \text { Degr } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { freed } \end{gathered}$ | Mean squares |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Repli- | 2 | 101.8 | 22.0 | 269.6 | 2.5 |
| Inbreds | 24 | 71.8** | 80.7** | 74.4** | 352.4** |
| Erior | 48 | 26.0 | 18.1 | 21.1 | 32.9 |

Least significant differences

| LSD | $5 \%$ | 8.4 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 9.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LSD | $1 \%$ | 11.1 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 12.5 |

**Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability

TABLE 10. AVERAGE YIELD RANKED FOR 25 INBREDS WITH AND WITHOUT FERTILIZER AT 14,600 AND 18,300 PLANTS PER ACRE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yineld } \\ & \text { Yank } \end{aligned}$ | 14,600 plants per acre |  |  |  | 18,300 plants per acre |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Experiment 5 <br> Fertilized |  | Experiment 9 Unfertilized |  | Experiment 6 Fertilized |  | Experiment 10 Unfertilized |  |
|  | Inbred | Bushels <br> per acre | Inbred | Bushe1s per acre | Inbred | Bushels per acre | Inbred | Bushe1s per acre |
| 1 | W23 | 61.8 | W70 | 60.7 | W23 | 69.6 | Oh43 | 63.7 |
| 2 | Oh51 | 57.3 | W23 | 57.4 | Oh51 | 63.0 | W64A | 61.4 |
| 3 | Hy2 | 54.1 | Oh43 | 53.5 | Oh51A | 62.9 | Oh51 | 60.4 |
| 4 | Oh51A | 53.5 | B8 | 52.2 | W70 | 62.0 | Oh51A | 59.7 |
| 5 | W64A | 53.3 | WR3 | 51.3 | W10 | 61.5 | W10 | 59.5 |
| 6 | WR3 | 50.6 | Oh51A | 50.6 | B8 | 61.1 | W70 | 58.6 |
| 7 | W70 | 50.0 | W64A | 50.4 | W64A | 60.9 | WF9 | 55.7 |
| 8 | MS130 | 49.8 | W22 | 49.4 | W22 | 58.1 | W22 | 54.3 |
| 9 | B8 | 49.4 | Oh51 | 48.6 | MS132 | 55.1 | MS132 | 53.5 |
| 10 | MS132 | 48.8 | MS132 | 48.1 | Oh43 | 55.0 | A73 | 53.3 |
| 11 | A73 | 48.4 | M14 | 47.8 | Hy2 | 54.7 | MS116 | 53.2 |
| 12 | WF9 | 46.5 | MS211 | 45.5 | MS211 | 53.5 | B8 | 52.3 |
| 13 | MS116 | 45.9 | MS130 | 44.5 | MS116 | 51.9 | W23 | 52.1 |
| 14 | W10 | 45.2 | Hy2 | 43.2 | A73 | 51.1 | WR3 | 51.4 |
| 15 | W22 | 43.4 | MS24A | 41.8 | WR3 | 51.0 | MS1334 | 50.0 |
| 16 | MS206 | 42.7 | MS116 | 40.9 | WF9 | 50.3 | MS211 | 49.7 |
| 17 | R53 | 42.0 | A73 | 40.5 | MS130 | 50.1 | MS130 | 46.7 |
| 18 | M14 | 42.0 | WF9 | 39.9 | MS1334 | 47.1 | M14 | 46.2 |
| 19 | Oh43 | 40.9 | MS206 | 39.1 | MS24A | 46.4 | MS24A | 45.0 |
| 20 | MS24A | 40.2 | MS1334 | 38.8 | R53 | 42.7 | Hy2 | 44.2 |
| 21 | MS1334 | 39.9 | R53 | 38.5 | MS131 | 42.7 | MS206 | 39.1 |
| 22 | MS131 | 36.2 | W10 | 38.3 | M14 | 39.9 | R53 | 36.6 |
| 23 | MS211 | 35.7 | MS131 | 31.8 | 38-11 | 38.7 | MS131 | 36.5 |
| 24 | C103 | 25.5 | 38-11 | 21.2 | MS206 | 36.8 | C103 | 21.8 |
| 25 | 38-11 | 21.3 | C103 | 18.6 | C103 | 26.0 | 38-11 | 20.3 |
| Averag | ges | 45.0 |  | 43.7 |  | 51.7 |  | 49.0 |

Analyses of variance

| Source | $\begin{gathered} \text { Degr } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { free } \end{gathered}$ | Mean squares |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Repli- } \\ & \text { cation } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 255.0 | 1.0 | 54.6 | 13.0 |
| Inbreds | 24 | 261.9** | 288.5** | 304.2** | 374.6** |
| Error | 48 | 57.1 | 45.6 | 73.4 | 34.3 |

Least significant differences

| Least significant differences |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LSD | $5 \%$ | 12.4 | 10.8 | 14.1 | 9.6 |
| LSD | 1\% | 16.5 | 14.4 | 18.8 | 12.8 |

[^0]populations of $7,500,11,400,14,600$, and 18,300 plants per acre, respectively.

Differences among inbred yields were highly significant in each experiment and in the combined analysis. Average yields ranged from 22.7 bushels for C103 to 50.7 bushels for W23. Highly significant 프 $n$ eractions--fertilizer $x$ inbred and population $x$ inbred--indicated Chat some of the inbreds did not respond alike to fertilizer and plant population. These interactions are illustrated in Figure I. However, When the error effects were removed from these interaction terms, the Components of variance were either very small or negative (Table 6). Thus relatively little importance can be attached to these interactions.

Moisture content was not affected by fertilizer or population. The averages are presented in Table 7 to indicate relative maturity of Che inbreds. Correlations of yield and moisture content (Table 11) were 1ow, indicating that only a small portion of the difference in yield was associated with maturity of the inbreds. The significant negative Correlations in Experiments 5, 8, 9, and 10 indicate that early maturing inbreds tended to be higher yielding than late inbreds in these cases.

Table 12 gives $r$ values for yield for all possible combinations O E Experiments 3-10. Correlations were nearly all highly significant, indicating the inbreds tended to respond alike to fertilizer and pOP41ation. Low and negative components of variance for inbred $x$ fertilizer and inbred $x$ population interactions (Table 6) and similarity of ranking (Tables $7,8,9$, and 10) further substantiate the tendency for the inbreds to respond in a similar manner.

FIGURE I. YIELDS OF 25 INBREDS WITH AND WITHOUT FERTILIZER at four plant populations


## TABLE 11. CORRELATION OF PER CENT MOISTURE WITH YIELD FOR EXPERIMENTS 3-10

| Experiment no. | r | Plants per acre | Fertilizer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 3 | -.0905 | 7,500 | Fertilized |
| 4 | -.1105 | 11,400 | Fertilized |
| 5 | $-.5669 *$ | 14,600 | Fertilized |
| 6 | -.2033 | 18,300 | Fertilized |
| 7 | -.0491 | 7,500 | Unfertilized |
| 8 | $-.4671 *$ | 11,400 | Unfertilized |
| 9 | $-.4964 *$ | 14,600 | Unfertilized |
| 10 | $-.4088 *$ | 18,300 | Unfertilized |

* Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability

TABLE 12. CORRELATION OF YIELD FOR ALL POSSIBLE COMBINATIONS OF EXPERIMENTS 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, AND 10

| Experiment number | Plants per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fertilized |  |  | Unfertilized |  |  |  |
|  | 11,400 | 14,600 | 18,300 | 7,500 | 11,400 | 14,600 | 18,300 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 3 | .6898** | .7569** | .6328** | . 6079 ** | .7630** | . $7523 * *$ | . 6750 ** |
| 4 |  | . 5762** | . $5502 * *$ | .6849** | .4965* | . 5472** | .4910* |
| 5 |  |  | .7851** | . 3530 | . $7864 * *$ | .7826** | . $7327 * *$ |
| 6 |  |  |  | . $5431 * *$ | . $7030 * *$ | . $7901 * *$ | . 8225** |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | . $5257 * *$ | .6740** | . $5609 * *$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  | .9041** | .8089** |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 8095 ** |

[^1]Duncan's (2) proposal that the logarithm of yield in pounds per plant bears a linear relationship to plant population was applied to 23 of the inbred lines tested. Inbreds C103 and 38-11 have been omitted from these predictions because stands were poor and pollination was not complete. Yields for the four actual populations fell close to a straight line for some inbreds, but not for others. In general, yields predicted by this method for the four populations agreed closely with yields adjusted by covariance analysis (Table 13).

Yields predicted by Duncan's method for 23 inbreds at 5,000, $10,000,15,000,20,000,25,000$ and 30,000 plants per acre are given in Table 14. Predicted yields for most of the inbreds continued to increase up to 30,000 plants per acre. Some inbreds--B8, Hy2, W70, Oh43, MS132, MS116, MS1334, MS211, W10, Oh51, A73, MS130, W64A--continued to increase up to 40,000 plants per acre before yields leveled off. Predicted yields for MS24A, MS206, R53, M14, W22, and WR3 reached a peak at about 25,000 plants. These predictions for 20,000 to 40,000 plants were not evaluated by actual field experiments.

Figure II illustrates the relationship of pounds per plant, logarithms of pounds per plant, and predicted yields for four of the inbreds. Pounds per plant and logarithms of pounds per plant were plotted for the four actual populations. Actual values for W 70 and $0 h 51$ fit a straight line very closely. Highest predicted yields were for about 35,000 plants per acre. Logarithms of yield per plant for MS206 and M14 did not fit as closely the predicting line drawn through the highest and lowest points. Since yield per plant decreased more rapidly

FIGURE II. POUNDS PER PLANT AND LOGARITYMS FOR FOUR ACTUAL PLANT POPULATIONS, AND YIELDS PER ACRE PREDICTED FROM LOGARITHMS FOR POPULATIONS OF 5,000 TO 40,000 FOR FOUR INBREDS


TABLE 13. BUSHELS PER ACRE FOR 23 INBRED LINES ADJUSTED TO FOUR AVERAGE PLANT POPULATIONS BY COVARIANCE ANALYSIS AND BY DUNCAN'S (2) LOGARITHM METHOD - EXPERIMENTS 3-10

| Inbred | Plants per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7,500 |  | 11,400 |  | 14, 600 |  | 18,300 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Covari- } \\ & \text { ance } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Duncan's } \\ \text { log. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Covariance | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Duncan's } \\ \text { log. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Covariance | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Duncan's } \\ \text { log. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Covariance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Duncan's } \\ \text { log. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| B8 | 27.4 | 28.9 | 39.7 | 40.5 | 50.8 | 48.8 | 56.7 | 56.5 |
| Oh5 $\mathbf{1}$ | 31.9 | 34.4 | 45.3 | 46.6 | 52.0 | 54.0 | 61.3 | 60.1 |
| Hy 2 | 25.3 | 26.4 | 34.1 | 36.6 | 48.6 | 43.5 | 49.4 | 50.0 |
| W70 | 33.2 | 32.4 | 44.6 | 44.6 | 55.4 | 52.9 | 60.3 | 60.1 |
| Oh43 | 30.1 | 31.1 | 43.1 | 42.7 | 47.2 | 50.6 | 59.3 | 57.8 |
| MS132 | 26.6 | 28.1 | 40.2 | 39.1 | 48.4 | 46.4 | 54.3 | 52.9 |
| W23 | 32.3 | 35.4 | 50.1 | 47.2 | 59.6 | 54.7 | 60.9 | 61.1 |
| MS116 | 25.4 | 22.9 | 37.7 | 32.2 | 43.4 | 38.6 | 52.5 | 45.1 |
| MS24A | 25.8 | 24.1 | 34.0 | 31.8 | 41.0 | 36.2 | 45.7 | 39.9 |
| MS1334 | 22.2 | 24.0 | 33.3 | 34.0 | 39.3 | 41.2 | 48.5 | 48.4 |
| MS211 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 35.7 | 34.8 | 40.6 | 42.5 | 51.5 | 50.3 |
| W10 | 25.1 | 26.2 | 35.0 | 37.5 | 41.7 | 45.4 | 60.5 | 53.9 |
| Oh51 | 29.6 | 29.7 | 41.6 | 42.5 | 52.9 | 51.4 | 61.7 | 60.8 |
| A73 | 25.0 | 26.0 | 37.6 | 36.2 | 44.4 | 43.5 | 52.2 | 50.7 |
| WF9 | 27.7 | 28.1 | 37.2 | 38.9 | 43.2 | 45.9 | 53.0 | 52.6 |
| MS206 | 20.6 | 24.1 | 31.1 | 32.2 | 40.9 | 37.3 | 37.9 | 41.5 |
| R53 | 21.0 | 22.1 | 35.2 | 30.5 | 40.3 | 36.0 | 39.6 | 41.5 |
| M14 | 32.7 | 34.0 | 42.7 | 42.3 | 44.9 | 45.9 | 43.1 | 47.4 |
| MS130 | 25.9 | 25.2 | 35.7 | 35.8 | 47.2 | 43.0 | 48.4 | 50.3 |
| W64A | 34.2 | 34.6 | 49.0 | 47.2 | 51.9 | 55.3 | 61.2 | 62.7 |
| W22 | 32.2 | 32.4 | 35.5 | 43.2 | 46.4 | 49.8 | 56.2 | 54.9 |
| WR3 | 30.9 | 31.1 | 46.1 | 40.9 | 50.9 | 46.4 | 51.2 | 51.3 |
| MS131 | 18.0 | 20.1 | 30.7 | 28.3 | 34.0 | 34.4 | 39.6 | 40.2 |

TABLE 14. YIELDS FOR 23 INBREDS PREDICTED FOR SIX PLANT POPULATIONS BY DUNCAN'S (2) LOGARITHM METHOD

| Inbred | 5,000 | 10,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Plants } \\ & 15,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { acre } \\ & 20,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 25,000 | 30,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels per acre |  |  |  |  |  |
| B8 | 22.1 | 36.6 | 49.8 | 60.0 | 68.3 | 73.9 |
| Oh51A | 25.0 | 42.5 | 54.4 | 61.8 | 66.1 | 67.5 |
| Hy 2 | 18.6 | 33.2 | 44.5 | 52.9 | 59.4 | 63.2 |
| W70 | 23.4 | 40.7 | 54.1 | 63.2 | 69.6 | 73.4 |
| Oh4 3 | 22.1 | 42.5 | 49.0 | 60.7 | 66.9 | 71.2 |
| MS132 | 19.9 | 35.2 | 46.9 | 55.7 | 61.6 | 65.9 |
| W23 | 25.4 | 43.4 | 55.4 | 62.9 | 67.0 | 68.6 |
| MS 116 | 16.1 | 29.1 | 39.6 | 47.5 | 54.5 | 58.9 |
| MS24A | 17.5 | 29.3 | 36.7 | 40.4 | 42.4 | 41.8 |
| MS 1334 | 16.7 | 30.7 | 42.1 | 51.1 | 58.9 | 64.3 |
| MS211 | 16.7 | 31.1 | 43.4 | 53.6 | 62.5 | 69.6 |
| W10 | 18.1 | 33.4 | 46.6 | 57.9 | 66.1 | 73.4 |
| Oh51 | 20.8 | 38.2 | 52.5 | 63.9 | 73.2 | 80.9 |
| A73 | 18.2 | 32.7 | 43.7 | 52.1 | 58.5 | 62.7 |
| WF9 | 19.8 | 35.4 | 47.4 | 56.4 | 62.5 | 67.0 |
| MS206 | 17.4 | 29.6 | 37.8 | 42.9 | 45.5 | 42.9 |
| R53 | 14.8 | 28.2 | 37.0 | 43.6 | 48.2 | 48.2 |
| M14 | 25.5 | 43.0 | 45.8 | 47.5 | 46.0 | 42.9 |
| MS130 | 17.7 | 32.1 | 44.2 | 53.6 | 61.2 | 67.5 |
| W64A | 24.8 | 43.0 | 56.3 | 65.4 | 70.5 | 73.9 |
| W22 | 23.4 | 43.2 | 50.4 | 56.8 | 60.3 | 61.1 |
| WR3 | 22.6 | 37.7 | 47.1 | 52.5 | 54.5 | 54.6 |
| MS131 | 14.0 | 25.5 | 34.8 | 42.1 | 48.2 | 52.0 |

as population increased for these two inbreds, predicted yields reached a peak at 25,000 and 20,000 plants per acre and then declined.

The 25 inbreds could be classified into three groups based on their response to increasing population from 14,600 to 18,300 . (1) Oh51, Oh51A, W64A, Oh43, W22, WF9, MS116, MS211, and MS1334 increased yields significantly, 9.2 to 12.1 bushels per acre. (2) 38-11, W10, W70, B8, MS132, A73, MS24A, MS131, and MS206 increased 4.7 to 8.2 bushels which was not significant at the $5 \%$ level. (3) W23, WR3, Hy2, MS130, M14, R53, and C103 showed very little or no increase in yield.

The six highest yielding inbreds, averaged for all treatments, were W23, W64A, W70, Oh52A, Oh43, and RW3. The six lowest yielding inbreds were MS211, MS24A, MS1334, R53, MS206, and MS131, excluding C103 and 38-11 since they were very late and stands were poor.

## Single-cross Hybrids

## Experiment 2

A summary of average yields adjusted for stand by covariance, and the analysis of variance are presented in Table 15 for seed produced on single-cross hybrid WF9 $x$ Oh51A grown in 1957 with three levels of complete fertilizer, four nitrogen sidedressing levels, and five plant populations. Since pollination was not controlled as it would be in a double-cross seed production field, the seed produced by open pollination can be considered as pseudo "double-cross" seed.

Yields were not affected significantly by complete fertilizer or nitrogen sidedressing treatments. Plant population was the only factor affecting yield significantly in this experiment. Average yields were $43.2,60.6,69.4,88.8$, and 91.6 bushels per acre for populations of $6,100,9,200,11,200,15,100$ and 17,200 plants per acre. Each increase in population, except 15,100 to 17,200 , produced a significant yield increase.

There were no significant effects of complete fertilizer, nitrogen sided ressing, or population on moisture content which averaged $50.3 \%$. The experiment was planted June 2, harvested October 10, and the first killing frost occurred September 27. Seed produced in this experiment was not fully mature when harvested and yields should have been higher

TABLE 15. AVERAGE YIELDS ADJUSTED FOR STAND AND ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR SEED PRODUCED ON WF9 $x$ Oh51A SINGLE-CROSS HYBRID AT THREE LEVELS OF COMPLETE FERTILIZER, FOUR NITROGEN SIDEDRESSING LEVELS, AND FIVE PLANT POPULATIONS - EXPERIMENT 2

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12-12-12 } \\ & \text { fertilizer } \end{aligned}$ | Nitrogen sidedressing | Plants per acre |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pounds per acre | pounds per acre | 6,100 | 9,200 | 11,200 | 115,100 | 17,200 | Averages |
| 0 | 0 | 48.5 | 53.2 | 62.2 | 81.7 | 92.4 | 67.6 |
|  | 20 | 54.2 | 64.8 | 65.7 | 91.4 | 72.6 | 69.8 |
|  | 40 | 41.4 | 62.3 | 80.9 | 91.9 | 92.8 | 75.7 |
|  | 60 | 53.0 | 61.4 | 75.4 | 84.6 | 100.4 | 75.0 |
|  | Average | 49.3 | 60.4 | 73.3 | 87.4 | 89.6 | 72.0 |
| 200 | 0 | 43.5 | 56.2 | 61.1 | 84.0 | 86.4 | 66.2 |
|  | 20 | 31.9 | 59.1 | 71.2 | 81.5 | 84.7 | 65.7 |
|  | 40 | 38.1 | 64.7 | 66.5 | 84.8 | 94.7 | 69.7 |
|  | 60 | 44.8 | 59.0 | 69.1 | 94.7 | 88.5 | 71.2 |
|  | Average | 39.6 | 59.8 | 67.0 | 86.3 | 88.6 | 68.2 |
| 850 | 0 | 43.7 | 72.4 | 69.1 | 103.5 | 102.2 | 78.2 |
|  | 20 | 39.4 | 54.0 | 66.2 | 88.6 | 99.6 | 69.6 |
|  | 40 | 38.3 | 58.2 | 69.4 | 92.1 | 97.5 | 71.1 |
|  | 60 | 41.0 | 61.4 | 67.2 | 86.7 | 86.7 | 68.6 |
|  | Average | 40.6 | 61.5 | 68.0 | 92.7 | 96.5 | 71.9 |
| Nitrogen | 0 | 45.2 | 60.6 | 64.1 | 89.7 | 93.7 | 70.7 |
| x | 20 | 41.8 | 59.3 | 67.7 | 87.2 | 85.6 | 68.4 |
| Population | 40 | 39.3 | 61.7 | 72.3 | 89.6 | 95.0 | 72.2 |
|  | 60 | 46.3 | 60.6 | 70.6 | 88.7 | 91.9 | 71.6 |
|  | Average | 43.2 | 60.6 | 69.4 | 88.8 | 91.6 | 70.7 |
|  | Analy | ysis of | vari | ance |  |  |  |
| Source | Degrees of freedom |  | Sum squa |  | Mean square |  | F |
| Replication | 1 |  |  | . 4 |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (F) | 2 |  |  | . 6 | 164.3 |  | 18.7 |
| Error a | 2 |  |  | . 5 | 8.8 |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N) | 3 |  |  | . 1 | 70.1 |  | 0.8 |
| $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{N}$ | 6 |  |  | . 1 | 154.8 |  | 1.7 |
| Error b | 9 |  |  | . 2 | 90.2 |  |  |
| Population (P) | 4 |  | 3908 | . 5 | 9770.7 |  | 178.2** |
| $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 8 |  |  | . 9 | 87.7 |  | 1.6 |
| $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 12 |  |  | . 0 | 46.3 |  | 0.8 |
| $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{NXP}$ | 24 |  | 185 | . 8 | 77.1 |  | 1.4 |
| Error c | 48 |  | 263 | . 6 | 54.8 |  |  |
| Total | 119 |  | 4760 | . 7 |  |  |  |

Least significant differences--bushels per acre

| Treatment | Level of probability |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5\% | 1\% |
| 12-12-12 fertilizer | 2.9 | 6.6 |
| Nitrogen sidedressing | 5.5 | 8.0 |
| Population | 4.3 | 5.7 |
| Any two treatment means | 24.8 | 33.0 |

if the crop had reached full maturity. Since no measurable effects of treatments on maturity had occurred by harvest, it is likely that yields would have increased proportionately for all treatments with a longer growing season.

Specifications used for grading seed samples are given in Table 2. Grading percents and bushels per acre of each grade are summarized in Tables 16 and 17 , respectively. Yields were not discounted for pollen parent rows necessary in commercial double-cross seed production. Long medium flats are separately listed to show effect of treatment on kernel length, but are included in total medium flats.

Complete fertilizer and nitrogen sidedressing treatments did not affect seed grades significantly. Plant population significantly affected grading expressed as either percent or bushels per acre.

As population increased, the percent of large flats and long medium flats decreased significantly and small flats increased significantly. The decrease in percent large flats was accompanied by a corresponding increase in percent small flats. Percent of medium flats and rounds were not affected by population. These data indicated that kernel width and length were reduced as population was increased.

Since total yield increased with population, bushels per acre of each grade were not affected the same as grading percent. Yield of large flats was not affected while yield of medium flats, long medium flats, small flats, and rounds increased significantly as population increased. Yields of medium flats, the most desirable grade in the seed trade, were $28.5,40.1,46.7,59.8$, and 61.2 bushels per acre for the five populations, respectively. All except the last increase were highly significant.
table 16. average grading percent and analyses of variance FOR SEED PRODUCED ON SINGLE-CROSS HYBRID WF9 x Oh51A AT three levels of complete fertilizer, four Nitrogen Sidedressing levels, and five plant POPULATIONS - EXPERIMENT 2


12-12-12 fertilizer--pounds per acre

| 0 |  | 8.4 | 67.3 | 35.4 | 10.6 | 5.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 |  | 8.5 | 66.0 | 37.0 | 11.6 | 5.6 |
| 850 | 7.9 | 67.0 | 40.2 | 10.8 | 5.9 |  |
| Least significant difference | $5 \%$ | 1.3 | 3.4 | 19.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Least significant difference | $1 \%$ | 3.1 | 7.7 | 45.0 | 3.8 | 3.7 |


| Nitrogen sidedressing--pounds per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 6.8 | 67.2 | 39.6 | 11.5 | 5.7 |
| 20 |  | 7.7 | 67.7 | 37.8 | 10.8 | 5.6 |
| 40 | 9.4 | 66.0 | 37.0 | 11.0 | 5.7 |  |
| 60 |  | 9.2 | 66.0 | 35.7 | 10.7 | 5.6 |
| Least significant difference | $5 \%$ | 2.3 | 3.8 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| Least significant difference | $1 \%$ | 3.2 | 5.4 | 10.7 | 2.0 | 1.0 |


| Plants per acre |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6,100 |  | 10.9 | 65.8 | 41.0 | 9.2 |
| 9,200 |  | 9.6 | 66.2 | 39.5 | 10.3 |
| 11,200 |  | 7.5 | 67.7 | 37.2 | 11.2 |
| 15,100 |  | 6.2 | 67.3 | 36.8 | 11.6 |
| 17,200 |  | 6.2 | 66.7 | 33.1 | 12.8 |
| Least significant difference | $5 \%$ | 2.0 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 1.3 |
| Least significant difference | $1 \%$ | 2.6 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 1.7 |

Analyses of variance

| Source | DF | Mean squares |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replication | 1 | 20.9 | 9.1 | 49.4 | 2.4 | 4.9 |
| Fertilizer (F) | 2 | 4.3 | 17.6 | 233.5 | 12.0 | 1.0 |
| Error a | 2 | 1.9 | 12.1 | 416.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Nitrogen (N) | 3 | 45.2 | 22.2 | 75.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{N}$ | 6 | 3.5 | 22.6 | 74.0 | 17.3 | 0.3 |
| Error b | 9 | 14.8 | 41.8 | 161.4 | 5.8 | 1.2 |
| Population (P) | 4 | 88.6** | 14.0 | 217.2** | 42.7** | 1.0 |
| F x P | 8 | 23.4 | 2.7 | 20.5 | 9.7 | 1.2 |
| $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 12 | 4.3 | 22.0 | 26.9 | 4.7 | 1.0 |
| F $\times \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 24 | 8.2 | 14.4 | 87.3* | 5.4 | 1.1 |
| Error c | 48 | 11.3 | 12.6 | 47.7 | 5.0 | 0.9 |

$\underline{1}$ /Long medium flats were separately listed, but included with total medium flats.

* Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability
** Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability

TABLE 17. AVERAGE BUSHELS PER ACRE BY GRADE AND ANALYSES OF VARIANCE FOR SEED PRODUCED ON SINGLE-CROSS HYBRID WF9 x Oh51A AT THREE LEVELS OF COMPLETE FERTILIZER, FOUR NITROGEN SIDEDRESSING LEVELS, AND EIVE PLANT POPULATIONS - EXPERIMENT 2

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large } \\ & \text { flats } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total medium flats | Long medium flats- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sma11 } \\ & \text { flats } \end{aligned}$ | Rounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12-12-12 fertilizer--pounds per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 5.9 | 50.1 | 26.2 | 8.0 | 4.0 |
| 200 |  | 5.3 | 44.3 | 24.8 | 7.9 | 3.8 |
| 850 |  | 5.4 | 47.5 | 28.1 | 7.7 | 4.2 |
| Least significant difference |  | 0.9 | 7.2 | 15.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| Least significant difference | 1\% | 2.2 | 16.6 | 35.3 | 1.4 | 2.5 |
| Nitrogen sidedressing--pounds per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 4.8 | 50.0 | 29.8 | 8.5 | 4.2 |
| 20 |  | 3.7 | 45.9 | 25.3 | 7.6 | 3.8 |
| 40 |  | 5.9 | 45.4 | 25.2 | 7.7 | 3.9 |
| 60 |  | 6.2 | 47.7 | 25.2 | 7.7 | 4.0 |
| Least significant difference | 5\% | 1.2 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Least significant difference | 1\% | 1.7 | 8.5 | 10.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Plants per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6,100 |  | 4.7 | 28.5 | 17.6 | 3.8 | 2.6 |
| 9,200 |  | 5.9 | 40.1 | 24.1 | 6.2 | 3.6 |
| 11,200 |  | 5.2 | 46.7 | 26.2 | 7.6 | 3.7 |
| 15,100 |  | 6.3 | 59.8 | 33.2 | 10.2 | 4.9 |
| 17,200 |  | 5.7 | 61.2 | 30.5 | 11.6 | 5.1 |
| Least significant difference | 5\% | 1.2 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| Least significant difference | 1\% | 1.6 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 1.7 | 0.7 |
| Analyses of variance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | DF |  | Mea | n square |  |  |
| Replication | 1 | 10.1 | 3.3 | 86.7 | 4.4 | 2.1 |
| Fertilizer (F) | 2 | 4.0 | 341.5 | 121.2 | 0.6 | 1.7 |
| Error a | 2 | 0.9 | 56.0 | 253.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 |
| Nitrogen (N) | 3 | 14.3 | 129.4 | 147.2 | 5.3 | 0.1 |
| F $\times$ N | 6 | 6.4 | 305.4 | 181.5 | 4.4 | 1.4 |
| Error b | 9 | 3.9 | 102.3 | 146.8 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| Population (P) | 4 | 9.6 | 4533.3** | *878.4** | 236.8** | 25.6** |
| F x P | 8 | 8.6 | 64.0 | 49.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| $N \times P$ | 12 | 1.8 | 67.0 | 44.8 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| F $\times$ N $\times$ P | 24 | 5.4 | 78.1 | 71.1 | 3.7 | 0.8 |
| Error c | 48 | 4.4 | 72.6 | 62.0 | 4.6 | 0.9 |

$\underline{1}$ Long medium flats were separately listed, but included with total medium flats.

* Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability ** Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability

Experiments 11 and 12
Experiment 11 was planted on June 2, 1958 and Experiment 12 on June 10, 1958. The same treatments were applied to both of these experiments grown in the same field as Experiment 2 in 1957. Since stands were poorer in Experiment 12, resulting populations were lower than Experiment 11. Soil test results were slightly lower in 1958 but still indicated that the field was relatively high in fertility. Plots were arranged in two-row strips between five-row lands of inbred plots of Experiments 3-10 to provide additional pollen for the inbreds. Thus, plant competition was reduced to some extent.

Moisture contents of corn averaged 48.5 and $46.5 \%$ in Experiment 11 and 53.1 and $51.0 \%$ in Experiment 12 for the 0 and the 550 pound fertilizer treatments, respectively. Significant differences of 1.7 to 2. $3 \%$ higher moisture contents for the three highest populations occurred in Experiment 11. The effect of population on moisture content was not significant in Experiment 12. Relative maturity (earliest to latest) of the five single crosses is normally Oh51 x R53, MS116 x MS211, W23 x MS24, WF9 x Oh51A, and WF9 x M14. The first three hybrids are early maturing while the last two are midseason and late maturing. This order was not followed in these experiments since moisture contents of WF9 $x$ Oh51A and WF9 x M14 averaged slightly less than those for the earlier hybrids. No explanation was apparent. Differences in shelling percent were not significant in Experiment 11 for any factor. Differences between shelling percents for the hybrids were significant in Experiment 12. Since the effects on stand, moisture content, and shelling percent were either small, or inconsistent, the summarized data are not presented.

Fertilizer increased yields significantly, 8.7 and 7.3 bushels per acre for Experiments 11 and 12 (Tables 18 and 19). Yields also increased significantly as population increased. Average yields were 58.1, $71.4,76.6$, and 89.1 bushels per acre for populations of 7,300 , 10, $400,12,800$, and 17,100 plants per acre in Experiment 11 (Table 18), and $46.9,59.2,63.8$, and 74.7 bushels per acre for average stands of $7,000,9,400,11,200$, and 14,000 plants per acre in Experiment 12 (Tab1e 19).

Grading percent was unaffected by fertilizer treatments except for the long medium flat grade in Experiment 11 where fertilizer treatment produced a significant increase in kernel length (Tables 20 and 21). Although the differences were not all significant, the percent of large flats, long medium flats, and rounds tended to decrease as population increased. Percent of medium flats was not changed significantly while percent of small flats increased significantly with increased population. There were no significant differences in grading percent among the five single-cross hybrids.

Yields of large flats were not affected by fertilizer or plant population (Tables 22 and 23). Yields of all other grades tended to increase with fertilizer and higher plant populations although not all of the differences were significant. There were no significant differences among hybrids for any of the grades in Experiment 11. Hybrid differences in Experiment 12 were due to lower yields of medium flats and rounds for MS116 x MS211.

TABLE 18. AVERAGE YIELDS ADJUSTED FOR STAND AND ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR SEED PRODUCED ON FIVE SINGLE-CROSS HYbRIDS WITH AND WITHOUT fertilizer at four plant populations - Experiment 11

| Fertilizer | Plants per acre | Single-cross hybrid |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { WF9 } x \\ & \text { Oh51A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oh51 x } \\ \text { R53 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W23 } x \\ & \text { MS24 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS116 } \\ \text { MS211 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WF9 } \mathrm{x} \\ \text { M14 } \end{gathered}$ | Averages |
| Unfertilized | 7,300 | 55.7 | 58.9 | 53.0 | 54.1 | 54.7 | 55.3 |
|  | 10,400 | 69.5 | 63.9 | 67.8 | 65.1 | 74.3 | 68.1 |
|  | 12,800 | 69.4 | 71.0 | 73.6 | 73.5 | 68.0 | 71.1 |
|  | 17,100 | 84.7 | 80.9 | 80.4 | 95.5 | 74.9 | 83.3 |
| Averages |  | 69.8 | 68.7 | 68.7 | 72.0 | 68.0 | 69.5 |
| Fertilized | 7,300 | 59.7 | 58.6 | 57.3 | 68.4 | 60.4 | 60.9 |
|  | 10.400 | 84.1 | 77.4 | 76.1 | 67.7 | 68.3 | 74.7 |
|  | 12,800 | 77.6 | 84.6 | 92.6 | 76.5 | 79.1 | 82.1 |
|  | 17,100 | 93.7 | 103.2 | 90.2 | 93.2 | 94.4 | 94.9 |
| Averages |  | 78.8 | 81.0 | 79.1 | 76.5 | 75.6 | 78.2 |
| Population x Hybrid | 7,300 | 57.7 | 58.8 | 55.2 | 61.3 | 57.6 | 58.1 |
|  | 10,400 | 76.8 | 70.7 | 72.0 | 66.4 | 71.3 | 71.4 |
|  | 12,800 | 73.5 | 77.8 | 83.1 | 75.0 | 73.6 | 76.6 |
|  | 17,100 | 89.2 | 92.1 | 85.3 | 94.4 | 84.7 | 89.1 |
| Hybrid averag |  | 74.3 | 74.9 | 73.9 | 74.3 | 71.8 | 73.9 |

Analysis of variance

| Analysis of variance |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | Degrees <br> of freedom | Sum of <br> squares | Mean <br> square | F |
| Replication | 2 | 316.1 |  |  |
| Fertilizer (F) | 1 | 2267.2 | 2267.2 | $56.8 *$ |
| Error a | 2 | 79.8 | 39.9 |  |
| Population (P) | 3 | 14859.5 | 4953.2 | $34.1 * *$ |
| F x P | 3 | 210.3 | 70.1 | 0.5 |
| Error b | 12 | 1742.2 | 145.2 |  |
| Hybrid (H) | 4 | 135.9 | 34.0 | 0.3 |
| F x H | 4 | 213.9 | 53.5 | 0.5 |
| P x H | 12 | 1128.9 | 94.1 | 1.0 |
| F x P H | 12 | 1179.4 | 98.3 | 1.0 |
| Error c | 64 | 6231.1 | 97.4 |  |
| Total | 119 | 28364.5 |  |  |

Least significant differences--bushels per acre

| Treatment | Level of probability |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | $1 \%$ |  |
| Fertilizer | 5.0 | 11.4 |
| Population | 6.8 | 9.5 |
| Hybrid | 5.7 | 7.6 |
| Any two treatment means | 27.1 | 35.8 |

[^2]TABLE 19. AVERAGE YIELDS ADJUSTED FOR STAND AND ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR SEED PRODUCED ON FIVE SINGLE-CROSS HYBRIDS WITH AND WITHOUT FERTILIZER AT FOUR PLANT POPULATIONS - EXPERIMENT 12

| Fertilizer | Plants per acre | Single-cross hybrid |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF9 x Oh51A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oh51 x } \\ \text { R53 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | W23 x MS24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS116 } \\ & \text { MS211 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | WF9 x M14 | Averages |
| Unfertilized | 7,000 | 45.5 | 44.0 | 41.5 | 39.3 | 46.4 | 43.4 |
|  | 9,400 | 59.3 | 54.5 | 50.2 | 54.5 | 58.9 | 55.5 |
|  | 11,200 | 58.2 | 60.4 | 60.4 | 61.6 | 65.6 | 61.2 |
|  | 14,000 | 80.5 | 69.8 | 83.2 | 39.3 | 76.5 | 69.9 |
| Averages |  | 60.9 | 57.2 | 58.8 | 48.7 | 61.9 | 57.5 |
| Fertilized | 7,000 | 51.6 | 54.8 | 51.1 | 48.1 | 46.7 | 50.4 |
|  | 9,400 | 65.1 | 64.7 | 62.3 | 63.2 | 59.2 | 62.9 |
|  | 11,200 | 66.3 | 67.7 | 73.3 | 56.4 | 68.1 | 66.4 |
|  | 14,000 | 79.5 | 73.6 | 89.2 | 70.2 | 85.0 | 79.5 |
| Averages |  | 65.7 | 65.2 | 69.0 | 59.5 | 64.8 | 64.8 |
| Population x | 7,000 | 48.6 | 49.4 | 46.3 | 43.7 | 46.6 | 46.9 |
|  | 9,400 | 62.2 | 59.6 | 56.3 | 58.9 | 59.1 | 59.2 |
| Hybrid | 11,200 | 62.3 | 64.1 | 66.9 | 59.0 | 66.9 | 63.8 |
|  | 14,000 | 80.0 | 71.7 | 86.2 | 54.8 | 80.8 | 74.7 |
| Hybrid averages |  | 63.3 | 61.2 | 63.9 | 54.1 | 63.3 | 61.2 |

Analysis of variance

| Source | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Degrees } \\ & \text { of freedom } \end{aligned}$ | Sum of squares | Mean square | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replication | 2 | 40.1 |  |  |
| Fertilizer (F) | 1 | 1608.2 | 1608.2 | 28.0* |
| Error a | 2 | 114.9 | 57.5 |  |
| Population (P) | 3 | 11909.2 | 3969.7 | 141.2** |
| F $\times$ P | 3 | 77.3 | 25.8 | 0.9 |
| Error b | 12 | 337.0 | 28.1 |  |
| Hybrid (H) | 4 | 1600.5 | 400.2 | 6.5** |
| F $\times$ H | 4 | 277.7 | 69.4 | 1.1 |
| P $\times \mathrm{H}$ | 12 | 2509.0 | 209.1 | 3.4** |
| $\boldsymbol{F} \times \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}$ | 12 | 1154.0 | 96.2 | 1.6 |
| Error c | 64 | 3935.9 | 61.5 |  |
| Total | 119 | 23563.9 |  |  |

Least significant differences--bushels per acre

| Treatment | Level of probability |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5\% | 1\% |
| Fertilizer | 6.0 | 13.7 |
| Population | 3.0 | 4.2 |
| Hybrid | 4.5 | 6.0 |
| Any two treatment means | 19.7 | 26.1 |

* Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability
** Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability

TABLE 20. AVERAGE GRADING PERCENT AND ANALYSES OF VARIANCE FOR SEED PRODUCED ON FIVE SINGLE-CROSS HYBRIDS WITH AND WITHOUT fertilizer at four plant populations - Experiment 11

|  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Large } \\ \text { flats } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \% \text { Total } \\ \text { medium } \\ \text { flats } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Long } \\ & \text { mediup// } \\ & \text { flats } \end{aligned}$ | Small <br> flats | \% <br> Rounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unfertilized | 16.4 | 59.0 | 54.4 | 9.2 | 11.5 |
| Fertilized | 15.2 | 58.6 | 61.7 | 11.3 | 11.9 |
| LSD 5\% | 3.6 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 0.3 |
| LSD 1\% | 8.4 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 5.2 | 0.7 |
| Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7,300 | 17.6 | 59.1 | 63.7 | 7.5 | 13.2 |
| 10,400 | 16.6 | 58.1 | 59.4 | 10.5 | 11.7 |
| 12,800 | 15.8 | 59.0 | 58.3 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| 17,100 | 13.2 | 58.9 | 50.8 | 12.1 | 11.1 |
| LSD 5\% | 3.2 | 3.0 | 10.6 | 2.9 | 1.8 |
| LSD 1\% | 4.5 | 4.1 | 14.8 | 4.1 | 2.5 |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |  |  |
| WF9 x Oh51A | 18.8 | 57.9 | 52.8 | 9.1 | 11.3 |
| Oh51 x R53 | 14.5 | 57.8 | 57.2 | 12.8 | 11.5 |
| W23 x MS24 | 17.8 | 59.3 | 64.7 | 7.4 | 12.5 |
| MS116 x MS211 | 11.7 | 61.1 | 58.7 | 11.0 | 11.8 |
| WF9 x M14 | 16.3 | 57.7 | 57.1 | 11.0 | 10.6 |
| LSD 5\% | 9.3 | 6.4 | 9.2 | 5.8 | 1.9 |
| LSD 1\% | 12.4 | 8.5 | 12.2 | 7.7 | 2.5 |

Analyses of variance

| Source | DF |  |  |  |  |  | Mean squares |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replication | 2 | 5.8 | 56.4 | 61.3 | 62.8 | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (F) | 1 | 41.1 | 5.9 | $1562.4 *$ | 132.9 | $4.9 *$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Error a | 2 | 21.5 | 38.6 | 37.1 | 8.1 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population (P) | 3 | 103.1 | 5.7 | 862.8 | $118.4 *$ | $30.7 * *$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| F x P | 3 | 6.9 | 18.0 | 95.7 | 18.6 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Error b | 12 | 32.9 | 27.6 | 373.7 | 27.0 | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hybrid (H) | 4 | 191.9 | 50.8 | 443.6 | 103.2 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F x H | 4 | 33.2 | 21.8 | 221.6 | 10.1 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P x H | 12 | 300.0 | 125.6 | $549.9 *$ | 101.0 | $20.5 *$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| F X P X H | 12 | 290.2 | 120.5 | $698.6 * *$ | 100.4 | $27.3 * *$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Error c | 64 | 261.6 | 121.7 | 253.3 | 101.6 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |

## LSD - Least significant difference

$\underline{1 /}$ Percent long medium flats is the percent of total medium flats which were long. Long medium flats were separately listed, but included with total medium flats.

```
* Significant at 5% level of probability
** Significant at 1% level of probability
```

TABLE 21. AVERAGE GRADING PERCENT AND ANALYSES OF VARIANCE FOR SEED PRODUCED ON FIVE SINGLE-CROSS HYBRIDS WITH AND WITHOUT FERTILIZER AT FOUR PLANT POPULATIONS - EXPERIMENT 12

|  | \% Large <br> flats | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { Total } \\ \text { medium } \\ \text { flats } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { Long } \\ & \text { medium } \\ & \text { flats } 1 / \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | \% <br> Rounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unfertilized | 13.8 | 59.7 | 33.6 | 12.6 | 9.6 |
| Fertilized | 14.4 | 59.3 | 39.3 | 11.8 | 10.8 |
| LSD 5\% | 3.0 | 2.1 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| LSD 1\% | 6.9 | 5.0 | 13.5 | 5.3 | 6.7 |
| Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7,000 | 17.9 | 57.3 | 42.0 | 9.5 | 11.7 |
| 9,400 | 15.3 | 59.7 | 35.9 | 11.1 | 10.3 |
| 11,200 | 12.3 | 60.0 | 34.3 | 13.7 | 9.9 |
| 14,000 | 10.9 | 61.0 | 33.6 | 14.7 | 9.0 |
| LSD 5\% | 2.1 | 2.9 | 9.8 | 2.9 | 1.8 |
| LSD 1\% | 2.9 | 4.1 | 13.7 | 4.1 | 2.5 |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |  |  |
| WF9 x Oh51A | 19.2 | 59.4 | 34.8 | 8.0 | 11.0 |
| Oh51 x R53 | 15.0 | 58.4 | 30.8 | 12.3 | 10.0 |
| W23 x MS24 | 10.9 | 61.5 | 39.8 | 13.6 | 9.5 |
| MS116 x MS211 | 13.1 | 59.3 | 32.1 | 13.8 | 8.0 |
| WF9 x M14 | 12.5 | 59.0 | 44.8 | 13.5 | 11.6 |
| LSD 5\% | 9.1 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 |
| LSD 1\% | 12.1 | 8.0 | 17.3 | 7.9 | 2.6 |

Analyses of variance

| Source | DF | Mean squares |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replication | 2 | 3.4 | 36.5 | 22.5 | 93.7 | 26.3 |
| Fertilizer (F) | 1 | 11.0 | 7.8 | 991.9 | 17.3 | 41.2 |
| Error a | 2 | 14.6 | 7.5 | 55.6 | 8.5 | 13.6 |
| Population (P) | 3 | 291.1** | 73.0 | 431.3 | 165.1** | 38.4* |
| F x P | 3 | 31.2 | 30.2 | 528.4 | 7.1 | 8.2 |
| Error b | 12 | 13.3 | 26.8 | 303.7 | 27.2 | 10.1 |
| Hybrid (H) | 4 | 246.5 | 33.9 | 808.3 | 145.9 | 26.4 |
| $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{H}$ | 4 | 295.5 | 80.3 | 794.9 | 230.7 | 17.6 |
| $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{H}$ | 12 | 152.2 | 98.6 | 377.7 | 96.4 | 22.6* |
| $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{H}$ | 12 | 220.6 | 140.6 | 553.3 | 67.4 | 9.4 |
| Error c | 64 | 250.9 | 108.7 | 508.8 | 106.6 | 11.4 |

LSD - Least significant difference
1/Percent long medium flats is the percent of total medium flats which were long. Long medium flats were separately listed, but included with total medium flats.

* Significant at the $5 \%$ level of probability
** Significant at the $1 \%$ level of probability

TABLE 22. AVERAGE BUSHELS PER ACRE BY GRADE AND ANALYSES OF VARIANCE FOR SEED PRODUCED ON FIVE SINGLE-CROSS HYBRIDS WITH AND WITHOUT fertilizer at four plant populations - experiment 11

|  | Large <br> flats | Total medium flats | Long medium flats $1 /$ | Small <br> flats | Rounds | Discard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unfertilized | 11.1 | 41.0 | 22.1 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 2.5 |
| Fertilized | 11.8 | 45.6 | 28.3 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 2.4 |
| LSD 5\% | 3.9 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| LSD 1\% | 9.0 | 13.9 | 13.0 | 7.4 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7,300 | 10.4 | 34.3 | 22.2 | 4.5 | 7.7 | 1.3 |
| 10,400 | 12.1 | 41.2 | 24.7 | 7.2 | 8.5 | 2.5 |
| 12,800 | 12.2 | 45.2 | 27.0 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 2.5 |
| 17,100 | 11.0 | 52.5 | 26.9 | 12.1 | 10.0 | 3.7 |
| LSD 5\% | 2.3 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| LSD 1\% | 3.3 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WF9 x Oh51A | 14.0 | 42.9 | 23.6 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 2.5 |
| Oh51 x R53 | 9.6 | 43.4 | 24.0 | 9.5 | 8.5 | 2.9 |
| W23 x MS24 | 13.5 | 43.6 | 28.4 | 6.6 | 9.3 | 1.9 |
| MS116 x MS211 | 8.4 | 45.4 | 26.8 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 2.7 |
| WF9 x M14 | 11.7 | 41.2 | 23.2 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 2.5 |
| LSD 5\% | 6.7 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| LSD 1\% | 8.9 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 2.4 | 1.5 |

Analyses of variance

| Source | DF | Mean squares |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replication | 2 | 8.1 | 33.4 | 42.2 | 34.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Fertilizer (F) | 1 | 13.9 | 18.8 | 1161.3* | 38.1 | 56.6* | 0.3 |
| Error a | 2 | 24.7 | 58.7 | 51.5 | 16.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 |
| Population (P) | 3 | 22.3 | 1754.4** | 156.3 | 299.3** | 25.1** | 27.4** |
| F x P | 3 | 9.8 | 43.0 | 67.0 | 33.6 | 6.4 | 1.5 |
| Error b | 12 | 17.3 | 92.5 | 127.3 | 25.0 | 2.9 | 1.6 |
| Hybrid (H) | 4 | 141.5 | 55.8 | 126.4 | 37.6 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| F x H | 4 | 25.8 | 28.8 | 16.3 | 13.8 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
| $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{H}$ | 12 | 172.1 | 32.3 | 108.0 | 54.8 | 15.8 | 3.5 |
| $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{H}$ | 12 | 146.3 | 48.7 | 116.5 | 57.4 | 16.7 | 2.3 |
| Error ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 64 | 133.8 | 98.4 | 101.5 | 74.6 | 9.7 | 3.9 |

## LSD - Least significant difference

$\underline{1}$ Long medium flats were separately listed but included with total medium flats.

```
* Significant at 5% level of probability
** Significant at 1% level of probability
```

TABLE 23. AVERAGE BUSHELS PER ACRE BY GRADE AND ANALYSES OF VARIANCE FOR SEED PRODUCED ON FIVE SINGLE-CROSS HYBRIDS WITH AND WITHOUT FERTILIZER AT FOUR PLANT POPULATIONS - EXPERIMENT 12

|  | Large <br> f1ats | Total medium flats | $\begin{gathered} \text { Long } \\ \text { medium } \\ \text { flats } / \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | Smal1 <br> flats | Rounds | Discard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unfertilized | 7.9 | 34.6 | 12.5 | 7.4 | 5.6 | 2.4 |
| Fertilized | 9.0 | 38.6 | 15.0 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 2.5 |
| LSD 5\% | 1.4 | 8.0 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| LSD 1\% | 3.2 | 18.3 | 1.7 | 10.1 | 3.0 | 0.3 |
| Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7,000 | 8.5 | 26.8 | 11.6 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 1.7 |
| 9,400 | 9.2 | 35.4 | 13.3 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 2.2 |
| 11,200 | 8.0 | 38.2 | 14.1 | 8.6 | 6.5 | 2.7 |
| 14,000 | 8.1 | 46.0 | 16.1 | 10.8 | 6.9 | 3.2 |
| LSD 5\% | 1.5 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| LSD 1\% | 2.1 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WF9 x Oh51A | 12.2 | 37.5 | 13.2 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 1.6 |
| Oh51 x R53 | 8.8 | 36.1 | 11.0 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 2.7 |
| W23 x MS24 | 6.6 | 39.6 | 16.2 | 8.8 | 6.0 | 2.9 |
| MS116 x MS211 | 7.0 | 32.4 | 11.3 | 7.3 | 5.1 | 2.5 |
| WF9 x M14 | 7.5 | 37.4 | 17.2 | 9.0 | 7.3 | 2.4 |
| LSD 5\% | 5.4 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| LSD 1\% | 7.2 | 5.7 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 1.2 |

Analyses of variance

| Source | DF | Analyses of variance |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replication | 2 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 19.3 | 38.6 | 12.5 | 0.7 |
| Eertilizer (F) | 1 | 37.5 | 469.3 | $184.5 * *$ | 1.4 | $53.1 *$ | 0.3 |
| Error a | 2 | 3.2 | 102.9 | 0.9 | 31.3 | 2.7 | 0.1 |
| Population (P) | 3 | 8.5 | $1882.3 * *$ | 105.2 | $228.9 * *$ | 8.9 | $13.3 * *$ |
| F x P | 3 | 13.6 | 23.7 | 98.8 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| Error b | 12 | 7.1 | 43.6 | 61.9 | 11.6 | 4.5 | 0.9 |
| Hybrid (H) | 4 | 122.9 | $171.0 *$ | 190.2 | 60.8 | $19.0 *$ | $5.5 *$ |
| F x H | 4 | 95.2 | 45.9 | 80.4 | 28.7 | 6.6 | 3.1 |
| P x H | 12 | 62.9 | 92.7 | 91.2 | 56.2 | 10.2 | 2.5 |
| F x P X H | 12 | 63.2 | 60.0 | 88.8 | 26.4 | 4.2 | 3.2 |
| Error c | 64 | 87.3 | 55.5 | 98.9 | 42.7 | 6.5 | 2.3 |

LSD - Least significant difference
1/Long medium flats were separately listed but included with total medium flats.

* Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability
** Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability

The $4.6 \%$ higher moisture and $3.3 \%$ lower shelling percent of Experiment 12 probably resulted from planting eight days later than Experiment 11. While plant population averaged 11,900 and 10,400 for the two experiments, at least part of the 12.7 bushel yield difference was due to the difference in planting dates. Average grading percents were 15.8 and $14.1 \%$ large flats, 58.8 and $59.5 \%$ total medium f1ats, 58.1 and $36.5 \%$ long medium flats, 10.3 and $12.2 \%$ small flats, and 11.7 and $10.2 \%$ rounds for Experiments 11 and 12, respectively (Tables 20 and 21).

Average income per acre (Table 24) for Experiment 11 was $\$ 316$, $\$ 380$, $\$ 404$ and $\$ 463$ for unfertilized plots and $\$ 345, \$ 416, \$ 458$ and $\$ 524$ for fertilized plots at populations of $7,300,10,400,12,800$, and 17,100 plants per acre, respectively. Estimated wholesale prices for Michigan certified seed were used in these calculations. Yields were reduced $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ to compensate for pollen parent rows not usually harvested for seed. The usual planting pattern for double-cross seed production is six seed parent rows and two pollen parent rows.

TABLE 24. AVERAGE YIELDS ${ }^{1 /}$ AND GROSS INCOME FOR GRADES OF SEED AT ALL LEVELS OF SOIL FERTILITY AND PLANT POPULATION FOR ALL HYBRIDS IN EXPERIMENT 11

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Plants } \\ \text { per acre } \end{gathered}$ | Fertilizer <br> Pounds <br> per acre | Bushels per acre for each grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large } \\ & \text { flats } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { medium, } \\ & \text { flats } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Small } \\ & \text { flats } \end{aligned}$ | Rounds | Discard | Pollen parent | Total |
| 7,300 | None | 6.3 | 24.1 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 13.8 | 55.3 |
|  | 550 | 7.7 | 25.6 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 15.1 | 60.9 |
| 10,400 | None | 8.3 | 28.2 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 17.0 | 68.5 |
|  | 550 | 8.1 | 31.1 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 18.6 | 74.2 |
| 12,800 | None | 8.3 | 29.7 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 18.0 | 72.7 |
|  | 550 | 8.2 | 35.5 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 20.0 | 80.4 |
| 17,100 | None | 7.1 | 35.6 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 20.8 | 83.4 |
|  | 550 | 7.7 | 39.3 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 23.8 | 94.9 |


| Plants per acre | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Fertilizer } \\ \hline \text { Pounds } \\ \text { per acre } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Grade and wholesale price per bushel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Large <br> flats <br> \$6. 50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { medium } \\ \text { flats } / \\ \$ 8.90 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Small <br> flats <br> \$5. 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Round s } \\ & \$ 5.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Discard } \\ \$ 1.00 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Pollen parent $\$ 1.00$ | Total <br> (\$) |
| 7,300 | None | 41 | 215 | 14 | 28 | 4 | 14 | 316 |
|  | 550 | 50 | 228 | 19 | 29 | 4 | 15 | 345 |
| 10,400 | None | 54 | 251 | 23 | 30 | 5 | 17 | 380 |
|  | 550 | 53 | 277 | 30 | 32 | 5 | 19 | 416 |
| 12,800 | None | 54 | 264 | 34 | 28 | 6 | 18 | 404 |
|  | 550 | 53 | 316 | 28 | 36 | 5 | 20 | 458 |
| 17,100 | None | 46 | 317 | 39 | 33 | 7 | 21 | 463 |
|  | 550 | 50 | 350 | 51 | 41 | 8 | 24 | 524 |

1/Yields of graded seed were discounted $25 \%$, to compensate for pollen parent rows not usually harvested for seed, and an additional $10 \%$ (included in discard) to adjust these yields for short and damaged kernels which would normally be graded out.
2/Since long and short medium flats normally sell for the same price, only total medium flats were reported for income computing purposes.

## Inbred Lines

Seed yields on inbred plants were as high as 46.9 bushels per acre for Oh51 in 1957 and 69.6 for $W 23$ in 1958. These yields were considerably higher than those usually reported for foundation inbred and single-cross seed in Michigan. In commercial foundation single-cross seed production fields, one row of the pollinator inbred usually provides pollen for two to four rows of the detasseled or male-sterile seed parent inbred depending on amount of pollen produced by the pollinator. Adequate pollen at the right time is frequently a limiting factor in single-cross seed production. The objective in these experiments was to investigate the effects of fertilizer and plant population on single-cross seed yields without the variation due to pollination. Therefore, two rows of single-cross hybrids were planted later between every five or six rows of inbreds to provide pollen in addition to that of the inbreds. Thus, pollination was not a limiting factor except for the two late inbreds C103 and 38-11 which also had poor stands.

In commercial single-cross seed production, $20 \%$ to $331 / 3 \%$ of the seed is discarded as sib-pollinated from the pollinator parent. Acre yields reported from these experiments have not been discounted for pollinator rows.

Obtaining a satisfactory stand from inbred seed is a major factor in commercial single-cross seed production. Cold-test germination and seed treating will aid in calculating the seeding rate to more nearly assure a given plant population. Starter fertilizer placed to the side and below the seed in the row may promote faster seeding growth and thereby aid in weed control. Variations in stand also occurred in these experiments. These effects were adjusted by covariance analyses which adjusts the yield of each plot for its deviation from the average stand of the particular treatment involved.

Neither WF9 nor Oh51 were fully matured in Experiment 1 and only three (W23, MS206, and R53) of the 25 inbreds were mature--below 40\% moisture at harvest--in Experiments 3-10. These experiments were planted on June 2 and May 24. Since fertilizer and population treatments did not affect maturity, it is likely that yields would have increased proportionately for all treatments with full maturity and that main effects and interactions of treatments would change very little. Inbreds develop and mature more slowly than hybrids. Early planting and a favorable growing season are necessary to reach full maturity and obtain maximum yields. Since germination and seedling vigor of inbred seed are lower than for hybrid seed, planting is usually delayed until soil temperatures have warmed to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ or above.

High residual soil fertility as indicated by soil tests may have limited the response to fertilizer. Also, plant populations may not have been high enough to effectively utilize the added fertilizer. All inbreds probably would not respond to further increases in population since some did not show much increase at the highest population.

When Duncan's method (2) of predicting yields at various populations was applied to the data in Experiments 3-10, the predictions indicated that population for some inbreds could be increased to 35,000 plants per acre before yields failed to increase. The highest predicted yield at 30,000 plants per acre was 82.0 bushels per acre for $0 h 51$ compared to the actual yield of 61.7 bushels with 18,300 plants. Duncan (2) reported that yields of dwarf and semi-dwarf corn maintained a linear relationship to 78,000 plants per acre. Since competition by inbred plants for plant nutrients and moisture would be less than that of hybrid plants, inbred plant populations might be increased more than hybrid populations for maximum production.

Only one year's data are provided by these experiments to classify the 25 inbreds for predicting hybrid combinations that might be adapted to high levels of fertility and plant population. Since interactions of inbreds with environment are usually greater than interactions of hybrids, further testing of these lines would be helpful and necessary for more precise classification. Correlations of inbred and hybrid performance for many characteristics including combining ability are usually low so that prediction of hybrid performance from inbred data is difficult. Since relatively little information is available on the correlation of inbred with hybrid response to fertility and population stress, it seemed desirable to attempt to classify a group of inbreds and then evaluate their hybrid progeny.

Single-cross and double-cross hybrids from inbreds in groups 1 and 3 should be compared for their ability to respond under high
population pressure. Using the letters $H$ and $L$ to indicate inbreds in groups 1 and 3 , respectively, and numeral subscripts to indicate inbreds within a group, the following pedigrees are examples of some comparisons that should be evaluated.

| ) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ( $\mathrm{L}_{1} \times \mathrm{L}_{2}$ ) | ( $L_{3} \times L_{4}$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1} \times \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ ) | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1} \times \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ ) | ( $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ |
| ( $\mathrm{H}_{1} \times$ | ( $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1} \times \mathrm{L}_{1}\right.$ ) | $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ |

If ability to respond to population pressure proves to be dominant in its inheritance, then one or two lines with low ability in the double-cross pedigree may not greatly alter the performance contributed by the other two or three inbreds possessing high abilities. If this ability proves to be largely recessive, then probably all four inbreds in the pedigree will need to be capable of responding to population pressure. Information concerning dominance relationships can be obtained from the single-cross data when it is available. Double-cross predictions from the single-cross data will also aid in determining the double-cross pedigrees to be evaluated.

## Single-cross Hybrids

Experiments with single-cross hybrids to study double-cross seed production were conducted during two seasons, 1957 and 1958, in the same field. The Conover loam soil was in relatively high state of fertility as indicated by soil tests. In 1957, following alfalfa, there was no significant effect from 12-12-12 fertilizer applied either as 200 pounds of 12-12-12 in the row or 650 pounds plowed down and 200 pounds in the row.

Sidedressed nitrogen at 20,40 , and 60 pounds per acre did not affect yields. Soil tests for the 1958 crop were a little lower than 1957 but still indicated relatively high fertility. There were no consistent differences in soil tests on 1958 samples taken from the three 1957 fertility levels.

Significant average increases of 8.7 and 7.3 bushels were obtained in 1958 from 550 pounds of $15-15-15$ applied in the row and 40 pounds sidedressed nitrogen for the two dates of planting, June 2 (Experiment 11) and June 10 (Experiment 12). The average increases from fertilizer in Experiment 11 were $5.6,6.6,11.1$, and 11.6 bushels per acre for populations of $7,300,10,400,12,800$, and 17,100 , respectively. In Experiment 12, fertilizer increased average yields $7.0,7.4,5.2$, and 9.6 bushels per acre for populations of $7,000,9,400,11,200$, and 14,000 . Benefits from fertilizer were increased at the higher populations. These results illustrate the importance of maintaining high populations to utilize the added fertilizer. While significant increases from fertilizer may not always occur, the possibilities for appreciable gain warrant judicious fertilizer applications based on soil test, previous cropping history, soil type, and plant population.

All three experiments were planted late (June 2 and 10) and none of the seed had reached full maturity at harvest. Since there were no consistent fertilizer effects on maturity, it does not seem likely that a longer growing season would have changed the relative differences between yields from fertilized and unfertilized plots.

Immaturity, $50.3 \%, 47.5 \%$, and $52.1 \%$ average moisture at harvest for the three experiments planted June 2 and 10 , demonstrates the importance of earlier planting to obtain maximum seed yields and quality. Killing frosts occurred on September 27, 1957 and October 6, 1958 and the experiments were harvested October 10, 1957 and October 15, 1958.

Plant population was the most important factor affecting doublecross seed yields. In 1957 (Experiment 2), average seed yields increased progressively from 43.2 to 91.6 bushels per acre as population increased from 6,100 to 17,200 . In 1953, average yields increased from 58.1 to 89.1 bushels as population increased from 7,300 to 17,100 in Experiment 11 and from 46.9 to 74.7 with population increases from 7,000 to 14,000 in Experiment 12.

In double-cross seed fields, plant populations are usually less-about 10,000 to 12,000 --than those used for grain production. Seed producers have felt that the production of the preferred medium flat grade would be reduced at higher populations. In some cases with seed parents that grade a high percent large flats, population has been increased in order to increase the production of medium flats. Detasseling can be more accurate and easier at lower populations. Increasing use of male sterile seed parents to eliminate detasseling would seem to remove the latter objection of seed producers toward increased population in seed fields.

Kernel width and length were reduced as population increased. These changes in kernel dimensions were reflected in a decrease in percent large flats and a corresponding increase in small flats. The percent medium flats remained relatively unchanged with increased population.

Percent long medium flats decreased at higher population but this is of relatively little consequence since the long and the shorter medium flats are both marketed as medium flats. When expressed as bushels of graded seed per acre, the production of large flats remained the same, and the production of medium flats, small flats, and rounds increased.

The consistent results obtained from increased plant population for the seed parents used in these experiments seem to warrant more serious consideration by seed producers toward increasing plant population in double-cross seed production.

## SUMMARY

Several fertilizer and plant population treatments were evaluated for effects on seed production with inbred lines and single-cross hybrids in 1957 and 1958 on a Conover loam soil, testing high in residual fertility, in Ingham County, Michigan. Corn did not reach full maturity in any of the experiments.

Average increases in yields from fertilizer were not significant for inbreds in either year, nor significant for single-cross hybrids in 1957, but were significant for single-cross hybrids in 1958.

Plant population was the most important factor affecting seed yields.

Inbred 1ines
Seed yields on WF9 and Oh51 inbreds in 1957 were not affected significantly by complete fertilizer treatments of either 200 or 850 pounds 12-12-12 per acre. Sidedressed nitrogen applications of 20 and 40 pounds per acre did increase yields significantly but there was no difference between the 20 and 40 pound rates. Average yields increased significantly from 17.8 to 38.4 bushels per acre as population was increased from 5,500 to 16,000 . The highest seed yields were 43.1 and 46.9 bushels per acre for these two inbreds.

Fertilizer effects on seed yields of 25 inbreds in 1958 were not significant. Average yields increased significantly from 26.8 to 50.3 bushels per acre as population increased from 7,500 to 18,300 . Good
agreement was obtained between actual yields and predicted yields using Duncan's method (2). Predicted yields continued to increase up to 30,000 plants per acre for most inbreds and a few predicted yields increased to 40,000 population.

As population was increased from 14,600 to 18,300: (1) yields of Oh51, Oh51A, W64A, Oh43, W22, WF9, MS116, MS211, and MS1334 increased significantly 9.2 to 12.1 bushels, (2) 38-11, W10, W70, B8, MS132, A73, MS24A, MS131, and MS206 increased 4.7 to 8.2 bushels which were not significant at the $5 \%$ level, and (3) W23, WR3, Hy2, MS130, M14, R53, and C103 showed little or no increase in yield.

## Single-cross hybrids

In 1957 (Experiment 2), average yield and grading of seed from WF9 $x$ Oh5lA were not significantly affected by complete fertilizer and nitrogen sidedressing treatments. Yields averaged 43.2, 60.6, 69.4, 88.8, and 91.6 bushels at populations of $6,100,9,200,11,200,15,100$, and 17,200 plants per acre, respectively. Each increase except the last was significant.

In 1958 (Experiments 11 and 12), five single-cross hybrids averaged 11.6 and 9.6 bushels more when fertilized with 550 pounds of $15-15-15$ and 40 pounds sidedressed nitrogen per acre at the highest plant populations.

As population increased from 7,300 to 17,100 in Experiment 11 , average yields increased significantly from 58.1 to 89.1 bushels. When population increased from 7,000 to 14,000 in Experiment 12 , average yields increased from 46.9 to 74.7 bushels.

In both years, kernel width and length were reduced as population increased. Percent large flats decreased and small flats increased about the same amount while medium flats remained unchanged as population increased. Production per acre of medium flats, small flats, and rounds increased and production of large flats remained unchanged as population increased.
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