


Place in book drop to remove this checkout from your record. FINES will be charged if book is returned after the date stamped below.



TEMPORAL CHANGES IN RELATIVE ABUNDANCE,

DISTRIBUTION AND FOOD HABITS OF FISH COLLECTED IN

SHORELINE WATERS OF EAST CENTRAL LAKE MICHIGAN,

NEAR LUDINGTON, MICHIGAN

Ву

Robert C. Anderson

A DISSERTATION

Submitted to
Michigan State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Fisheries and Wildlife

1984

TEMPORAL CHANGES IN RELATIVE ABUNDANCE,

DISTRIBUTION AND FOOD HABITS OF FISH COLLECTED IN

SHORELINE WATERS OF EAST CENTRAL LAKE MICHIGAN,

NEAR LUDINGTON, MICHIGAN

By

## Robert C. Anderson

The shoreline waters of east-central Lake Michigan, near Ludington, Michigan, were intensively sampled with gill nets, seines, and sieve nets from April through November during 1976 and 1977. Comparison of catch near the Ludington Pumped Storage Power Plant (LPSPP) and a control site 4.8 km to the south were made. Food habits of adult salmonids, spottail shiner, longnose dace as well as young-of-the-year alewives and spottail shiner were determined. Available food resources were sampled as well.

Thirty-five species of fish were caught in shoreline waters.

Seasonal changes in species composition were mainly related to spawning activity and subsequent hatching of eggs. Rainbow trout were most abundant in May as they moved along shore searching for an appropriate tributary in which to spawn. Spottail shiner and alewives were most abundant in late spring and summer as these species spawned along shore. The major fall spawner was lake trout in October.

Alewife and spottail shiner adults remained nearshore through summer and early fall. Alewife took advantage of abundant zooplankton populations and emerging fish larvae for food. Spottail shiner consumed mainly terrestrial insects blown into the lake and concentrated near

shore. Both species were somewhat protected from predators since the warm water near shore acted as a barrier to large salmonids.

Alewife and spottail shiner YOY remained near shore through the summer and early fall also. Alewife YOY ate mainly pelagic zooplankton such as Bosmina and Cyclops. Spottail shiner YOY ate epibenthic zooplankton such as Chydorus and Alona as well as Chironomidae larvae.

No consistent pattern was seen comparing sampling sites due to the dynamic nature of the fish community. The general patterns of fish movement to and from the shoreline waters derived from this study may, however, be used to modify operating modes of the LPSPP to lessen potential entrainment of fish.

# DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to

Phyllis A. Anderson

and our children Brian, Emily, and Becky

who have shared their time and patiently provided support

throughout this program.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I thank Dr. Charles Liston, my graduate committee chairman, for providing the opportunity, support, and thoughtful guidance for this project.

This research was funded by Consumers Power Company for which I am very grateful.

A special thanks is due to the Project Field Director and fellow graduate student, Dan Brazo, for his enthusiastic assistance and guidance.

Completion of this program would not have been possible without the assistance of the following fellow graduate students and undergrad assistants: Joe Bohr, Steve Caddell, Dan Duffield, Joan Duffy, Bob Grahm, John Gulvas, Dave Hintze, Fred Koehler, Rick Ligman, Greg Peterson, and Fred Serchuck.

Techniques of gill netting in Lake Michigan require years of experience and a great deal of ingenuity. This was provided by Mr.

Leo Yeck whose patience in training a greenhorn is greatly appreciated.

I also thank the members of my graduate committee: Dr. H. E. Johnson, Dr. T. W. Porter, and Dr. E. E. Werner.

Finally, I thank Jo Ann Kiernan for her special efforts in typing this manuscript.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	x
INTRODUCTION	1
DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLING AREA	4
METHODS AND MATERIALS	9
Gill Nets	9
Beach Seine	10
Sieve Nets	10
Dredging	11
Stomach Analysis	12
Statistical Methods	12
RESULTS	14
Gill Net collections	14
Spottail Shiner	28
Alewife	39
Rainbow Trout	41
Lake Trout	44
White Sucker	44
Brown Trout	45
Redhorse	48
Rainbow Smelt	51
Longnose Dace	55
Longnose Sucker	56
Yellow Perch	58
Coho Salmon	61
Chinook Salmon	61
Seine Collections	66
Alewife	66
Spottail Shiner	<b>7</b> 5
Rainbow Smelt	75
Longnose Dace	76
Chinook Salmon	76
Lake Whitefish	76

Sieve Net Collections	77	
Young-Of-The-Year Fish	77	
Macroinvertebrates	77	
Zooplankton	83	
Ekman Dredge Collections	86	
Food Habit Studies	86	
Alewife and Spottail Shiner Young-Of-The-Year	86	
Adult Salmonid	92	
DISCUSSION	103	
SUMMARY	107	
LITERATURE CITED	108	
APPENDIX A	112	
APPENDIX B	129	

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	A list of the common and scientific names of all fish collected in shoreline waters of east-central Lake Michigan, near Ludington, Michigan in order of abundance.	15
2	Total number (TN), catch per effort (CPE), standard error (SE), and total weight (TW) of each fish species captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976 and 1977.	17
3	Monthly gill net catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) from April - November, 1976.	18
4	Monthly gill net catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) from April - November, 1977.	20
5	Gill net catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) at sunrise, midday, sunset, and midnight during April - November, 1976.	22
6	Gill net catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) at sunrise, midday, sunset, and midnight during April - November, 1977.	23
7	Gill net catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) by season during 1976 at stations 1 and 8.	24
8	Gill net catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) by season during 1977 at stations 1, 5, and 8 (control).	25
9	Length-age frequencies of spottail shiner captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.	37
10	Length-age frequencies of spottail shiner captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977.	37
11	Length-age frequencies of alewife captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.	40
12	Length-age frequencies of alewife captured in gill nets	40

Table		Page
13	Length - age frequencies of rainbow trout captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.	42
14	Length - age frequencies of rainbow trout captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977.	43
15	Length frequency of white suckers captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976 and 1977.	46
16	Sex ratio of each fish species captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976 and 1977.	47
17	Length - age frequencies of brown trout captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.	49
18	Length - age frequencies of brown trout captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977.	50
19	Length frequency of redhorse captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976 and 1977.	52
20	Length - age frequencies of rainbow smelt captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.	53
21	Length - age frequencies of rainbow smelt captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977.	54
22	Length frequency of longnose suckers captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976 and 1977.	57
23	Length - age frequencies of gizzard shad captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.	59
24	Length - age frequencies of gizzard shad captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977.	60
25	Length - age frequencies of coho salmon captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.	62
26	Length - age frequencies of coho salmon captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977.	63
27	Length - age frequencies of chinook salmon captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.	64
28	Length - age frequencies of chinook salmon captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977.	65
29	Total number (TN), catch per effort (CPE), standard error (SE), and total weight (TW) of each fish species captured in beach seines during April -	67

Table		Page
<b>3</b> 0	Monthly catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) for beach seines from April - September, 1976.	68
31	Monthly catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) for beach seines from April - October, 1977.	69
32	Diurnal catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) for beach seines from April - November, 1976.	71
33	Diurnal catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) for beach seines from April - November, 1977.	72
34	Catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) for beach seines at station 1 and station 8 (control) from April - October, 1976.	73
35	Catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) for beach seines at station 1, station 5, and station 8 (control) from April - October, 1977.	74
36	Density $(number/m^3)$ of young-of-the-year fish at all stations combined on each sampling date during 1977.	78
37	Mean total length of young-of-the-year fish captured in sieve nets on each sampling date during 1977.	79
38	Density $(nr/m^3)$ of young-of-the-year captured in sieve nets by station during 1977.	80
39	Seasonal abundance (number/1000 m <sup>3</sup> ) of Lake Michigan's shoreline drift invertebrates near Ludington, Michigan during 1977.	82
40	Monthly density $(nr/m^3)$ of the major zooplankton species caught in sieve nets in shoreline waters during May through November, 1977.	84
41	Zooplankton density $(nr/m^3)$ by station and date in shoreline waters during 1977.	85
42	Seasonal abundance (mean number/m <sup>2</sup> ) of Lake Michigan's shoreline benthic invertebrates near Ludington, Michigan, during 1977.	87
43	Monthly frequency of occurrence (FO), percent total number (%TN), and percent total volume (%TV) of food consumed by young-of-the-year alewives during July - October, 1977.	88
44	Monthly frequency of occurrence (FO), percent total number (%TN), and percent total volume (%TV) of food consumed by young-of-the-year spottail shiners during July - October, 1977.	90

[able		Page
45	Diet overlap and breadth, by taxonomic category, for young-of-the-year spottail shiner and alewife in Lake Michigan's shoreline waters.	93
46	Frequency of occurrence (FO), percent total number (%TN), and percent total volume (%TV) of food organisms consumed by large salmonids ( 450 mm) captured in shoreline gill nets during 1976 through 1977.	101

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Generalized Lake Michigan shoreline profile depict- ing four nearshore sandbars (derived from Hands 1970).	5
2	Diagram of the Ludington Pumped Storage Project show- ing shoreline sampling sites 1, 5, and 8 (control) and offshore protective rock jetties and break wall.	8
3	Daily variation in gill net collections of spot- tail shiner and alewife in shoreline waters with respect to variations in water temperature, baro- metric pressure, wave height, and turbidity during June and July, 1976.	29
4	Daily variation in gill net collections of spot- tail shiner and alewife in shoreline waters with respect to variations in water temperature, baro- metric pressure, wave height, and turbidity during June and July, 1977.	33
5	Percent total number of food items arranged by size groups, consumed by young-of-the-year alewife and spottail shiner on July 27, 1977.	94
6	Percent total number of food items consumed by spottail shiner (SS) and alewife (AL) on sample dates in July and August, 1977.	96
7	Percent total number of major zooplankton species collected in shoreline waters on sample dates during July and August, 1977.	98

#### INTRODUCTION

During the past 120 years, the fish community of Lake Michigan has been changed through a series of man-induced perturbations. Formerly, a diverse system comprised of two large piscivores, a number of large plankton and macrobenthos feeders and a wide variety of small forage species, Lake Michigan is now dominated by five large piscivores and one small planktivorous forage species.

This dramatic change occurred due to a series of events associated with man's use of the natural resources in the Great Lakes and their drainage basin. Heavy fishing pressure by a fast growing commercial fishery in concert with the success of invading species such as rainbow smelt (Osmerus mordax), sea lamprey (Petromyzon marinus), and alewife (Alosa pseudoharengus) were major factors contributing to the decline in native species populations. Deterioration of water quality due to rapid industrial development and deforestation in the Lake Michigan drainage basin also contributed to this decline. Populations of the five piscivores which dominate the food chain today are maintained through federal and state stocking programs (Christie 1974, Wells and McLain 1973, Smith 1972).

Rainbow smelt were introduced and became abundant in Lake Michigan in the 1920s and 30s. The diet of young rainbow smelt, mainly invertebrates, placed them in competition with the lake herring (Coregonus artedii), a shallow water planktivore, resulting in a decline in herring stocks during the rainbow smelt population increase (Christie 1974). The parasitic sea lamprey spread to Lake Michigan in 1936 causing drastic reductions in two large piscivores, lake trout (Salvelinus namaycush)

and burbot (Lota lota), and later reduced numbers of lake whitefish (Coregonus clupeaformis), deep-water ciscoe (Coregonus johannae), lake herring, sucker (Catostomus spp.), walleye (Stizostedion vitreum), yellow perch (Perca flavescens), and carp (Ciprinus carpio) (Wells and McLain 1973).

Alewives entered the system in 1949 following this decline in the large native predator populations. The alewife, through competition for food, increased pressure on lake herring and the deep-water ciscoe group (Moffett 1956). A drastic decline in abundance of the emerald shiner (Notropis athernoides), an abundant nearshore cyprinid has also been related to alewife competition (Wells and McLain 1973).

A large scale rehabilitation program for the lake trout population in Lake Michigan coordinated by the Great Lake Fishery Commission, began in 1965. An average of 2 million yearlings have been planted each year, but significant natural reproduction has not been observed. A program for the introduction of pacific salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.) into Lake Michigan began in 1966. Prior to 1970, 10.3 million coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) and 4.1 million chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tschawytscha) young had been released. Since 1970, 2 to 3 million coho and 1 to 2 million chinook have been released annually providing a successful sport fishery. Rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri) and brown trout (Salmo trutta) have been stocked regularly since 1960 and significant natural reproduction has occurred (Wells and McLain 1973). These stocking programs have produced a fish community with five major piscivorous species depending mainly on the alewife as a forage base. Alewife populations, despite heavy predation, have not declined greatly in abundance and are currently suspected of causing fluctuations in the yellow perch populations through displacement from preferred spawning

sites and competition for food, during early development (Wells 1977).

Aspects of the aquatic community of Lake Michigan have been studied through analysis of commercial fish catches, surveys by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and investigations by local universities (Wells and McLain 1973). Recently several Lake Michigan studies have been funded by utilities which own and operate power plants on the shoreline of Lake Michigan. These studies are providing further information about Lake Michigan's aquatic community (CDM Limnetics 1976). Several of these studies focus on the nearshore zone (< 10 m deep) which comprises only 0.4% of the total volume of Lake Michigan yet it is the richest zone of aquatic plankton production (Beeton and Edmonson 1972). This zone also receives the majority of the domestic and industrial wastes introduced into the lake and receives the most recreational use (Smith 1970). The objective of the present study is to provide baseline data on major fish species in the shoreline waters (0-3 m deep) portion of the nearshore zone. Temporal changes in species composition, relative abundance, distribution and food habits of fish in the shoreline waters (0-3 m deep) during 1976 and 1977 are described. This report is supplemental to investigations of the effects of installing and operating a large pumped storage project on the shores of Lake Michigan (Liston and Tack 1975; Brazo and Liston 1979). Catch near the Ludington Pumped Storage Power Plant (LPSPP) is compared to the CPE at a control site in order to detect any consistent patters which may indicate avoidance of or attraction to the LPSPP.


### DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLING AREA

The physical aspects of shoreline waters of large lakes are often extremely dynamic. Energy of wind generated waves released in this zone is partially absorbed by the substrate and partially transferred to turbulent alongshore currents which stir up and transport large quantities of sediment (Duane et al. 1975). Waves and alongshore current have produced a series of four persistent sandbars parallel to shore in Lake Michigan near Ludington (Hands 1970). These sandbars (Figure 1) are transported toward and away from shore as lake level and seasonal weather patterns change. Transport of sand by alongshore currents constantly covers and exposes submerged rock outcroppings, one of the few stable nearshore habitats available for colonization by benthic macroinvertebrates. This zone has high plankton production due to continual recycling of nutrients from the bottom into the water column, light penetration to the substrate and influx of nitrogen and phosphorous from the watershed (Smith 1970).

Sampling for this study was restricted to the trough on the inshore side of the sandbar nearest shore. This trough fluctuated from 1-3 m in depth and usually was located 60 m from shore. Two permanent sampling sites were established for the 1976 sampling season (Figure 2), station 1 located 180 m south of the LPSPP intake channel, and station 8 located 4.8 km south of the LPSPP. During 1977, another site (station 5) located 180 m north of the LPSPP intake channel was added. Substrates at stations 1 and 8 included sand and frequently exposed rock and gravel areas whereas the substrate at station 5 was sandy throughout the study.

Figure 1. Generalized Lake Michigan shoreline profile depicting four nearshore sandbars (derived from Hands 1970).

Figure 2. Diagram of the Ludington Pumped Storage Project showing shoreline sampling sites 1, 5, and 8 (control) and off-shore protective rock jetties and break wall.



#### METHODS AND MATERIALS

Adult and juvenile fish were sampled from April through November during 1976 and 1977. Larval fish, zooplankton, and macroinvertebrates were sampled during 1977 only. Physical parameters including water temperature, turbidity, current direction, and wave height were measured at each station prior to sampling. Climatic parameters including wind direction and barometric pressure were obtained for each sample date and time from the U.S. Coast Guard Station at Ludington.

#### Gill Nets

Variable mesh gill nets comprising seven 7.6 x 1.8 m panels of 25-, 51-, 64-, 76-, 102-, 114-, and 178-mm stretched mesh for a total length of 106.7 m were used to capture adult and juvenile fish. On each sample date, one net was set perpendicular to shore at each station. The net was attached to a stationary object on the beach, pulled out by a swimmer and anchored. Nets were set twice a week for four hours at either sunrise, midday, sunset, or midnight which was randomized using latin squares to prevent a repeating pattern. Nets were retrieved from shore and large fish (particularly catostomids and salmonids) which were still alive were immediately removed, weighed (g), measured (mm), and sexed (based on external characteristics) when possible. Scale samples were also taken and the fish were tagged with a floy tag and released. Each tag had an identification number and the address of the Ludington Laboratory printed on it so that upon recapture the tag could be returned and pertinent data added to the record for that fish. Other fish were taken to the laboratory where total length (mm), weight (g), gonadal condition, and age (scale method) were determined for up to twenty individuals of each species captured at each station. Subsamples of each species were preserved for verification and possible future analysis. Stomachs were removed from the large (> 450 mm) salmonids, wrapped in cheesecloth, and preserved in a 10% formalin solution.

## Beach Seine

Seine samples were taken monthly with a 3.1-mm square mesh, 15.2 x 1.8-m bag seine. On each sample date, 60-m seine hauls were made parallel to shore once every four hours at each station. Fish captured during seining were taken to the lab and processed in the same manner as fish captured in gill nets.

## Sieve Nets

Three 30-m hauls were made shortly after sunset at each station with sieve nets twice each month to sample larval and juvenile fish. Drifting macroinvertebrates and zooplankton were sampled at the same time. Larval fish and macroinvertebrates were collected with a 1-mm mesh meter net with a calibrated Rigosha flow meter attached to the center of the net. A 64 micron mesh plankton net was pulled with the meter net to collect zooplankton. Nets were pulled by hand and 1/4 of the mouth of the meter net was kept above water to collect floating invertebrates. Meter net samples were fixed in 10% formalin and preserved in 70% isopropyl alcohol. Larval fish and macroinvertebrates were later sorted from samples under a lighted magnifying lens,

identified and counted. The number of these organisms per m<sup>3</sup> of water sampled was determined using the following formula:

Volume sampled =  $0.75 \pi r^2 d$ 

where 0.75 is a correction factor for the mouth of the net held out of the water, r is the radius of the mouth of the net, and d is the distance towed. Distance towed was calculated by multiplying the number of revolutions recorded by the flow meter times the number of revolutions per meter. Calibration of the flow meter was done in a current-free indoor swimming pool. For each meter pulled, 7.57 revolutions were recorded.

Larvae were examined with a binocular microscope (20-80 x magnification) and identifications were based on descriptions and keys by Lippson and Moran (1974), Nelson and Cole (1975), Hogue et al. (1976), and Dorr et al. (1976). Macroinvertebrates were examined similarly and identifications were based on keys by Usinger (1956) and Borror and DeLong (1976). Zooplankton were examined with a compound microscope (100 x magnification) and identifications were made based on keys by Pennak (1978) and Torke (1974). Plankton were subsampled until at least 100 individuals of common genera were counted. Zooplankton densities were determined by the same formula used for macroinvertebrate and larval fish density determinations and dividing volumes by 9 to account for the 1/3 meter diameter of the mouth of the plankton net.

## Dredging

Triplicate substrate samples were taken monthly at each station with an Ekman dredge (24 cm<sup>2</sup> area sampled) at the 1-m depth contour.

Each sample was placed directly into a plastic bag, taken to the

laboratory, and gently washed through a 0.6-mm mesh sieve. Organisms were removed from the remaining debris and preserved in 70% isopropyl alcohol. Identifications were made with a binocular microscope (20-80 x magnification) and keys by Pennak (1978), Usinger (1956), and Borror and DeLong (1976). All taxa were counted and the number per m<sup>2</sup> of substrate calculated.

## Stomach Analysis

Stomach contents of large salmonids (>450 mm) were identified, counted, and assigned a volume based on water displacement.

The entire alimentary canal of young-of-the-year (YOY) spottail shiners and alewives were removed for gut analysis. Microdissecting needles were used under 40-80 x magnification to open the gut and tease out food organisms. Organisms were identified to genera when possible and enumerated. If the number of items in the gut was large, contents were subsampled by mixing in a known volume of water (usually 10 ml) and removing 1 ml samples. Volumes of small food items such as zooplankton were estimated by entering length, width, and depth measurements into formulas for geometric configurations that approximate the shape of each taxanomic group (Kenega 1975). Length-width measurements were made with an ocular micrometer and depth was calculated as a proportion of the length from ratios derived by Kenega.

## Statistical Methods

A three-way analysis of variance was used to test for significant differences ( $\approx = 0.1$ ) among time (sunrise, midday, sunset, and midnight),

season (spring, summer, and fall) and station (1, 5, and 8) for catch per unit effort (CPE) of major fish species in gill nets.

Prior to applying this test, raw data were tested for normality using a Kolmagorov-Simirnov goodness-of-fit test on the residuals (Sokal and Rohlf 1969). The data were found to be non-normally distributed and log (X + 1) transformation was found best for approaching normality. A priori contrasts were performed to determine where significant differences occurred.

Beach seine catches were not able to be normalized due to large numbers of zeros in the data. Therefore, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis Distribution-free test (Hollander and Wolfe 1973) was used to test for significant differences ( $\simeq = 0.1$ ) in CPE of the major fish species captured between stations 1, 5, and 8.

A partial correlation analysis (Sokal and Rohlf 1969) was performed to assess the relative importance of the climatic and water condition parameters in explaining changes in CPE of the major fish species captured in gill nets.

### RESULTS

Thirty-five species of fish were collected in the shoreline waters during this study (Table 1). Of the commonly occurring nearshore fish species in the Ludington area (Brazo and Liston 1979), only the burbot was not collected in shoreline waters. The burbot, however, does spawn along shore under the ice when sampling was not possible (Scott and Crossman 1973).

### Gill Net Collections

During April - November, 1976 and 1977, 59 and 67 gill net sets, respectively, were made at each station. During both years, spottail shiners and alewives were the most abundant species collected; however, lake trout comprised the greatest biomass (Table 2). Total catch per effort (CPE) was greatest in June and least during April and November (Tables 3 and 4). Increased CPE during late spring and early summer corresponds with reported spawning migrations of alewives, spottail shiners, trout-perch, and yellow perch (Brazo et al. 1975; Wells 1968; Reigle 1969).

On a diel basis, gill net CPE was greatest at sunrise and sunset during both years of the study (Tables 5 and 6).

The effect of the Ludington Pumped Storage Project on shoreline fishes is inferred from catch comparisons between the control site (station 8) and the impact sites (stations 1 and 5) for each major species (Tables 7 and 8).

Table 1. A list of the common and scientific names of all fish collected in shoreline waters of east-central Lake Michigan, near Ludington, Michigan in order of abundance. 1

Common Names Scientific Names

Alewife Alosa pseudoharengus

Spottail shiner Notropis hudsonius

Rainbow smelt Osmerus mordax

Lake trout Salvelinus namaycush

White sucker Catostomus commersoni

Rainbow trout Salmo gairdneri

Longnose dace Rhinichthys cataractae

Brown trout Salmo trutta fario

Lake whitefish Coregonus clupeaformis

Redhorse Moxostoma (spp.)

Yellow perch Perca flavescens

Longnose sucker Catostomus catostomus

Gizzard shad Dorosoma cepedianum

Chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tschawytscha

Coho salmon Oncorhynchus kisutch

Trout-perch Percopsis omiscomaycus

Carp Cyprinus carpio

Johnny darter Etheostoma nigrum

Round whitefish Prosopium cylindraceum

Emerald shiner Notropis atherinoides

Mottled sculpin Cottus bairdii

Lake chub Hybopsis plumbea

Northern pike Esox lucius

Fathead minnow Pimephales promelas

Table 1. (cont'd.).

Common Names Scientific Names

Ninespine stickleback Pungitius pungitius

Sand shiner Notropis stramineus

Pumpkinseed Lepomis gibbosus

Bowfin Amea calva

Bloater Coregonus hoyi

Golden shiner Notemigonus crysoleucas

Longnose gar Lepisosteus osseus

Channel catfish Ictalurus punctatus

Smallmouth bass Micropterus dolomieui

Largemouth bass Micropterus salmoides

Black crappie Pomoxis nigiomaculatus

1. Common and scientific names follow that of Bailey et al. 1970.

Total number (TN), catch per effort (CPE), standard error (SE), and total weight (TW) of each Table 2.

fish species	capture	d in gill	nets	during April - November	, 1976	and 1977.	. WetBill	וא) סו פפרוו
			1976				1977	
Species	IN	CPE	(SE)	TW(g)	NI	CPE	(SE)	TW(g)
Spottail shiner	2672	23.9	(4.8)	34142	2981	16.8	(2.8)	38849
Alewife	779	7.0	(1.9)	19005	504	2.9	(0.7)	20286
Rainbow trout	173	1.5	(0.3)	373580	334	•	(0.2)	742646
Lake trout	319	2.8	(0.0)	1208005	279	1.6	(0.3)	1030308
White sucker	277	2.5	(0.4)	214506	257	1.4	(0.2)	181612
Redhorse	20	9.0	(0.1)	76052	170	0.9	(0.2)	168020
Brown trout	168	1.5	(0.3)	386096	135	0.7	(0.1)	265998
Rainbow smelt	174	1.6	(0.4)	3361	124	0.7	•	3084
Longnose sucker	101	0.9	(0.2)	61039	106	•	(0.2)	67334
Gizzard shad	134	1.2	(0.3)	79269	93	•	•	45770
Yellow perch	148	1.3	(0.4)	17852	83	0.5	(0.2)	9541
Longnose dace	108	1.0	•	1692	65	0.4	•	1120
Coho salmon	98	0.8	(0.2)	138584	63	0.4	(0.1)	103865
Carp	33	0.3	(0.1)	134620	57	0.3	(0.0)	261779
Chinook salmon	74	0.7	(0.1)	93773	51	0.3	•	113332
Trout-perch	51	0.5	•	654	39	0.2	(0.02)	7490
Round whitefish	2	0.04	•	3229	11	-	(0.03)	3431
Lake chub	2	0.04	(0.03)	510	7	0.02	(0.01)	235
Northern pike	2	0.02	•	2500	9	•	•	12230
Pumpkinseed	7	0.02	•	131	0	0.0	•	0
Largemouth bass	-	0.01	(0.01)	514	0	0.0	(0.0)	0
Bowfin	0	0.0	(0.0)	0	2	0.01	•	4820
Longnose gar	0	0.0	(0.0)	0	Н	0.01	(0.01)	2640
Channel catfish	0	0.0	(0.0)	0	-	0.01	•	1520
Bloater	0	0.0	(0.0)	0	7	0.01	•	18
Smallmouth bass	0	0.0	(0.0)	0	1	0.01	(0.01)	1510
Black crappie	1	0.01	(0.01)	320	0	0.0	(0.0)	0
Totals	5383	48.24	(10.6)	2849494	5365	30.04	(5.9)	3080438

Monthly gill net catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) from April - November, 1976. Table 3.

	April	11	Σ	Мау	June	a)	July	$_{1y}$
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Spottall shiner	0.4	(0.2)	12.1	(4.8)	90.1	(24.0)	32.8	(7.5)
Alewife	6.0	(0.5)	2.9	(2.2)	18.2	(0.9)	8.9	(2.7)
Rainbow trout	5.1	(1.2)	1.2	(0.4)	0.4	(0.2)	0.2	(0.1)
Lake trout	0.1	(0.0)	1.3	(0.4)	0.3	(0.3)	1.3	(0.0)
White sucker	1.9	(0.5)	2.2	(0.0)	1.5	(0.0)	5.1	(1.9)
Redhorse	0.05	(0.03)	0.1	(0.0)	0.4	(0.2)	1.0	(0.4)
Brown trout	1.5	(0.0)	3.8	(1.2)	1.3	(0.1)	0.7	(0.3)
Rainbow smelt	2.4	(1.0)	5.3	(2.2)	0.1	(0.1)	90.0	(0.00)
Longnose sucker	0.7	(0.5)	0.9	(0.0)	0.4	(0.2)	2.2	(0.9)
Gizzard shad	1.6	(1.0)	1.1	(0.3)	1.0	(0.4)	8.0	(0.4)
Yellow perch	0.1	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	1.1	(0.4)	<b>0.</b> 9	(2.2)
Longnose dace	0.05	(0.03)	1.5	(1.2)	2.0	(0.1)	1.9	(1.4)
Coho salmon	0.1	(0.0)	7.0	(0.2)	0.2	(0.2)	90.0	(0.00)
Carp	0.2	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)	1.0	(0.4)	0.3	(0.5)
Chinook salmon	0.1	(0.0)	0.2	(0.15)	9.0	(0.3)	2.0	(0.5)
Trout-perch	0.1	(0.0)	0.8	(0.3)	1.6	(0.7)	0.2	(0.1)
Round whitefish	0.05	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Lake chub	0.0	(0.0)	0.3	(0.2)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Northern pike	0.05	(0.03)	0.07	(0.0)	0.0	(0.00)	0.0	(0.0)
Pumpkinseed	0.05	(0.02)	0.0	(0.0)	90.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Largemouth bass	0.05	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Black crappie	0.05	(0.05)	0.0		0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Totals	15,55	(6.4)	34.17	(15.2)	120.26	(35,5)	61 42	(19.6)
Number of samples	19		15		16		18	(0.61)

Table 3. (cont'd.).

	August	ust	Sept	September	October	oer	November	mber
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Spottail shiner	19.8	(10.6)	7.7	(4.1)	3.3	(1.9)	0.0	(0.0)
Alewife	19.3	(11.7)	8.0	(0.7)	0.1	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)
Rainbow trout	0.3	(0.2)	1.4	(0.4)	1.0	(0.3)	10.0	(0.0)
Lake trout	3.9	(1.3)	5.6	(2.2)	7.6	(2.9)	0.0	(0.0)
White sucker	3.9	(1.6)	1.9	(0.4)	9.0	(0.4)	0.0	(0.0)
Redhorse	2.0	(0.8)	0.7	(0.4)	0.2	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)
Brown trout	1.7	(1.0)	1.2	(0.3)	7.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.0)
Rainbow smelt	9.0	(0.4)	1,3	(0.0)	1.1	(1.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Longnose sucker	1.3	(0.7)	0.3	(0.2)	0.3	(0.2)	0.0	(0.0)
Gizzard shad	2.3	(1.2)	1.0	(0.0)	9.0	(0.4)	0.0	(0.0)
Yellow perch	1.3	(0.1)	0.07	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Longnose dace	0.5	(0.2)	0.1	(0.1)	0.5	(0.3)	0.0	(0.0)
Coho salmon	7.0	(0.2)	2.0	(0.0)	2.7	(0.8)	2.0	(0.0)
Carp	0.5	(0.3)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Chinook salmon	0.1	(0.1)	1.4	(0.4)	0.07	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Trout-perch	0.1	(0.1)	7.0	(0.3)	0.07	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Round whitefish	0.07	(0.0)	0.1	(0.1)	0.07	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Lake chub	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Northern pike	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Pumpkinseed	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Largemouth bass	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Black crappie	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Totals	58.07	(31.2)	25.97	(11.8)	21.4	(8.8)	12.0	(0.0)
Number of samples	15		14		14	•		•

Table

Monthly gill net catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) from April - November, 1977. Table 4.

	Apr1	11	May	<b>5</b> .	June	Đ.	Ju	July
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Spottall shiner	0.5	(0.3)	17.6	(8.1)	51.3	(11.6)	32.3	(6.6)
Alewife	0.0	(0.0)	2.5	(1.2)	9,3	(3.9)	2.3	(0.8)
Rainbow trout	5.4	(1.0)	2.1	(0.5)	0.1	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)
Lake trout	0.09	(0.0)	0.7	(0.3)	0.8	(0.3)	0.8	(0.0)
White sucker	1.4	(0.3)	1.5	(0.5)	2.2	(1.1)	2.2	(0.0)
Redhorse	0.1	(0.0)	9.0	(0.3)	0.9	(0.3)	1.3	(0.4)
Brown trout	1.1	(1.8)	1.0	(0.3)	0.7	(0.3)	0.5	(0.2)
Rainbow smelt	6.0	(0.4)	0.2	(0.1)	0.05	(0.02)	0.0	(0.0)
Longnose sucker	1.3	(0.5)	1.3	(0.0)	0.5	(0.2)	0.7	(0.4)
Gizzard shad	0.2	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)	0.1	(0.1)	0.7	(0.3)
Yellow perch	0.0	(0.0)	0.04	(0.04)	0.2	(0.2)	2.0	(1.3)
Longnose dace	0.0	(0.0)	0.7	(0.4)	0.5	(0.3)	0.2	(0.1)
Coho salmon	0.1	(0.0)	0.04	(0.04)	0.04	(0.04)	0.0	(0.0)
Carp	0.2	(0.1)	0.7	(0.03)	6.0	(0.3)	0.3	(0.2)
Chinook salmon	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.7	(0.2)	0.5	(0.3)
Trout-perch	0.05	(0.02)	0.3	(0.1)	9.0	(0.3)	0.2	(0.1)
Round whitefish	0.0	(0.0)	0.1	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Sand shiner	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Lake chub	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Northern pike	0.1	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Bowfin	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.05	(0.03)	0.04	(0.04)
Longnose gar	0.0	(0.0)	0.04	(0.4)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Channel catfish	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.04	(0.04)
Bloater	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.04	(0.04)
Smallmouth bass	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Totals	11.44	(4.8)	29.62	(12.9)	68.94	(19.3)	44.12	(15.6)
Number of samples	22		26	•	21	•	26	

Table 4. (cont'd.).

	Augus	ıst	Sept	September	October	er	November	ıber
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Spottail shiner	13.8	(4.1)	11.1	(6.1)	9.0	(0.2)	7.0	(0.4)
Alevife	2.3	(0.8)	1.5	(0.8)	7.0	(0.2)	0.08	(0.08)
Rainbow trout	0.5	(0.5)	1.5	(0.5)	1.9	(0.5)	2.9	(0.0)
Lake trout	1.0	(0.8)	3.1	(0.8)	5.6	(1.7)	0.5	(0.3)
White sucker	<b>8.</b> 0	(0.2)	1.8	(0.7)	0.5	(0.2)	7.0	(0.3)
Redhorse	1.8	(0.0)	1.9	(0.7)	0.3	(0.2)	0.2	(0.1)
Brown trout	0.4	(0.1)	1.0	(0.2)	9.0	(0.2)	0.7	(0.3)
Rainbow smelt	0.0	(0.0)	4.2	(2.0)	0.2	(0.1)	0.08	(0.08)
Longnose sucker	7.0	(0.3)	0.1	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Gizzard shad	2.2	(1.0)	0.5	(0.3)	0.3	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)
Yellow perch	0.5	(0.2)	0.5	(0.2)	0.1	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)
Longnose dace	0.8	(0.3)	0.5	(0.3)	0.1	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)
Coho salmon	0.2	(0.1)	0.5	(0.2)	1.1	(0.2)	1.5	(0.5)
Carp	0.2	(0.1)	0.1	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)	0.08	(0.08)
Chinook salmon	0.04	(0.04)	0.7	(0.2)	0.3	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)
Trout-perch	0.3	(0.1)	0.2	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Round whitefish	0.04	(0.04)	0.2	(0.1)	0.09	(90.0)	0.08	(0.08)
Sand shiner	0.04	(0.04)	0.09	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.08	(0.08)
Lake chub	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Northern pike	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Bowfin	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Longnose gar	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Channel catfish	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Bloater	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Smallmouth bass	0.04	(0.04)	0.0		0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Totals	25.36	(6.1)	29.49	(13.5)	12.09	(4.0)	7.0	(5.9)
Number of samples	24	, ,	22	•	22	•	13	•

Table 5. Gill net midnight	catch poduring A	Gill net catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) at sunrise, midday, sunset, and midnight during April - November, 1976.	) and stan er, 1976.	ndard error (	SE) at sunri	.se, midday, s	sunset, an	Ħ
	Sunrise	íse	Midday	day	Sunset	jt.	Midnight	ight
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Spottail shiner	23.2	(7.0)	28.8	(14.6)	26.2	(6.4)	17.0	(4.8)
Alewife	11.8	(4.6)	6.7	(2.9)	3,5	(1.6)	<b>6.</b> 0	(2.2)
Rainbow trout	1.6	(0,7)	8.0	(0.3)	1.4	(0.4)	2.3	(0.7)
Lake trout	4.0	(1.5)	0.3	(0.2)	3,3	(1.1)	3.6	(1.2)
White sucker	3.0	(0.0)	0.3	(0.1)	3.4	(1.0)	3.0	(5.2)
Redhorse	0.7	(0.3)	0.04	(0.04)	1.2	(0.4)	0.5	(0.2)
Brown trout	1.1	(0.4)	1.6	(0.7)	1.6	(0.5)	1.7	(9.0)
Rainbow smelt	1.0	(0.5)	0.2	(0.2)	2.3	(1.0)	2.7	(1.2)
Longnose sucker	2.0	(0.7)	0.1	(0.08)	7.0	(0.2)	1.1	(0.4)
Gizzard shad	8.0	(0.4)	0.2	(0.1)	2.3	(0.8)	1.4	(0.4)
Yellow perch	2.1	(1.4)	1.0	(0.5)	1.5	(0.1)	9.0	(0.2)
Longnose dace	1.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	2.0	(0.7)	0.7	(0.3)
Coho salmon	9.0	(0.2)	0.4	(0.2)	1.5	(0.5)	0.5	(0.2)
Carp	0.3	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.1)	0.7	(0.3)
Chinook salmon	9.0	(0.3)	7.0	(0.2)	0.7	(0.2)	6.0	(0.3)
Trout-perch	0.1	(0.0)	0.04	(0.04)	0.7	(0.3)	6.0	(0.4)
Round whitefish	0.1	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)	0.03	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)
Lake chub	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.1	(0.1)	0.07	(0.01)
Northern pike	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.07	(0.05)
Pumpkinseed	0.0	(0.0)	0.04	(0.04)	0.03	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)
Largemouth bass	0.04	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Black crappie	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.03	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)
Totals	54.04	(20.0)	40.92	(23.2)	52.39	(19.09)	43.74	(18.4)

Gill net catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) at sunrise, midday, sunset, and Table 6.

midnigh	midnight during A	April - November,	nber, 1977.					
	Sunri	ise	Midday	lay	Sunset	et	Midnight	ight
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Spottail shiner	23.5	(7.6)	10.9	(5.4)	21.9	(6.1)	11.2	(2.7)
Alewife	1.9	(1.0)	0.1	(0.1)	4.0	(1.9)	4.3	(1.2)
Rainbow trout	1.0	(0.3)	1.0	(0.3)	2.3	(0.5)	2.3	(0.4)
Lake trout	1.9	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	2.3	(0.8)	1.7	(0.0)
White sucker	2.0	(0.7)	0.1	(0.1)	1.9	(0.5)	1.4	(0.3)
Redhorse	6.0	(0.2)	0.2	(0.1)	1.2	(0.3)	1.3	(0.3)
Brown trout	0.5	(0.1)	0.2	(0.1)	1.2	(0.4)	0.8	(0.2)
Rainbow smelt	1.1	(0.8)	0.1	(0.1)	0.3	(0.1)	1.0	(0.0)
Longnose sucker	6.0	(0.3)	0.03	(0.03)	0.5	(0.2)	0.8	(0.3)
Gizzard shad	0.4	(0.2)	0.1	(0.1)	0.2	(0.1)	1.2	(0.4)
Yellow perch	0.3	(0.1)	0.1	(0.0)	1.2	(0.7)	0.2	(0.0)
Longnose dace	7.0	(0.2)	0.2	(0.2)	7.0	(0.2)	7.0	(0.2)
Coho salmon	0.3	(0.1)	7.0	(0.2)	7.0	(0.1)	0.3	(0.03)
Carp	0.2	(0.1)	0.03	(0.03)	0.2	(0.1)	9.0	(0.2)
Chinook salmon	7.0	(0.1)	0.1	(0.02)	7.0	(0.1)	0.3	(0.1)
Trout-perch	0.1	(0.04)	0.0	(0.0)	0.3	(0.1)	0.4	(0.1)
Round whitefish	0.1	(0.04)	0.0	(0.0)	0.04	(0.03)	0.1	(0.05)
Lake chub	0.02	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)	0.02	(0.03)	0.04	(0.03)
Northern pike	0.02	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.04	(0.03)
Bowfin	0.02	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.02	(0.05)
Longnose gar	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.02	(0.02)
Channel catfish	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.02	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)
Bloater	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.02	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)
Smallmouth bass	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.02	(0.02)
Totals	35.96	(12.6)	13.56	(6.9)	38.82	(12.9)	28.48	(8.0)
Number of samples	42		33		97			26

G111 net catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) by season during 1976 at stations 1 and 8. Table 7.

		Spring	ing			Summer	ıer			Fal	_	
		<del></del> 1		8	-	1	<b>∞</b>		-		<b>∞</b>	
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Spottail shiner	20.2	(6.4)	_	(14.7)	4.6	(5.0)	36.8	(13.1)	2.1	(1.1)	8.7	(4.3)
Alewife	4.1	(2.3)		(3.2)	0.2	(0.1)	27.1	(14.2)	0.3	(0.3)	9.0	(0.2)
Rainbow trout	2.8	(0.0)	1.3	(0.3)	7.0	(0.2)	0.08	(0.08)	1.9	(0.7)	1.1	(0.4)
Lake trout	9.0	(0.5)		(0.5)	2.3	(1.3)	2.8	(1.3)	6.4	(1.5)	8.6	(3.2)
White sucker	0.9	(0.3)		(1.2)	1.5	(9.0)	5.8,	(2.1)	1.1	(0.4)	1.4,	(0.5)
Redhorse	0.07	(0.05)		(0.1)	1.3	(0.7)	2.4	(0.0)	0.2	(0.1)	$0.7^{L}$	(0.4)
Brown trout	1.0	(0.3)		(0.7)	0.5	(0.2)	2.3	(1.3)	0.7	(0.3)	0.9	(0.3)
Rainbow smelt	2.0	(1.1)		(0.8)	0.09	(0.0)	0.8	(0.5)	0.07	(0.01)	2.4	(1.3)
Longnose sucker	0.1	(0.00)		(0.0)	0.5	(0.3)	2.4,	(1.1)	0.0	(0.0)	0.6	(0.2)
Gizzard shad	9.0	(0.2)		(0.0)	0.8	(0.5)	3,3,	(1.4)	90.0	(0.0)	$1.5^{1}_{1}$	(0.0)
Yellow perch	0.3	(0.1)		(0.9)	1.0,	(0.5)	5.6	(5.9)	0.0	(0.0)	$0.3^{1}$	(0.1)
Longnose dace	1.4	(0.7)		(0.8)	1.4	(0.4)	0.08	(0.08)	0.5	(0.3)	0.1	(0.1)
Coho salmon	0.1	(0.08)		(0.1)	0.3	(0.1)	0.3	(0.2)	2.0	(0.4)	2.7	(0.9)
Carp	0.5	(0.0)		(0.2)	0.3	(0.2)	0.8	(0.4)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Chinook salmon	9.0	(0.3)		(0.3)	0.5	(0.4)	0.3	(0.2)	0.9	(0.4)	9.0	(0.2)
Totals	34.97	34.97 (13.1)	78.8	(25.0)	15.69	(7.6)	90.86	(39.76)	14.73	(5.63)	31.4	(12.7)
Number of samples	30	•	Ř	0	11		12		15		14	

l. Indicates significantly greater CPE than other station.

Gill net catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) by season during 1977 at stations 1, 5, and 8 (control). 8 Table

			Spring	80		
Stations	1		5		∞	
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Spottail shiner Alowife	21.2	(5.9)	15.5	(6.4)	5.11	(2.4)
Rainbow trout		(1.0)	1.5	(0.4)	2.0	(0.5)
Lake trout	0.3	(0.2)	0.4	(0.2)	0.7,	(0.3)
White sucker	9.0	(0.2)	1.2	(0.4)	$3.6_{1}^{1}$	(1.0)
Redhorse	0.2	(0.1)	0.7	(0.3)	$1.4^{+}_{1}$	(1.4)
Brown trout	0.2	(0.1)	7.0	(0.2)	2.0	(0.1)
Rainbow smelt	0.3	(0.2)	0.3	(0.2)	0.4	(0.3)
Longnose sucker	0.2	(0.1)	0.9	(0.4)	2.2	(0.0)
Gizzard shad	0.1	(0.08)	0.1	(0.08)	0.2	(0.0)
Yellow perch	0.2	(0.1)	0.07	(0.05)	1.3	(1.2)
Longnose dace	0.3	(0.2)	0.04	(0.04)	0.8	(0.4)
Coho salmon	0.04	(0.04)	0.08	(0.05)	0.07	(0.05)
Carp	0.4	(0.2)	0.7	(0.2)	8.0	(0.3)
Chinook salmon	0.1	(90.0)	0.5	(0.3)	7.0	
Totals Number of samples	29.54	(6.7)	26.49 (3	12.3)	26.17 (	(11.1)

1. Indicates significantly greater CPE than other stations.

Table 8. (cont'd.).

Summer

Station			S		<b>∞</b>	
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Spottail shiner	5.1	(2.5)	11.5,	(3.2)	16.2,	(6.4)
Alewife	6.0	(0.3)	7.6	(4.6)	$3.6^{+}$	(1.2)
Rainbow trout	7.0	(0.2)	7.0	(0.2)	0.1	(0.1)
Lake trout	0.7	(0.5)	0.0	(0.0)	2.5,	(1.7)
White sucker	7.0	(0.2)	1.8	(1.5)	$1.3^{1}_{1}$	(0.0)
Redhorse	0.3	(0.1)	1.1	(0.5)	$2.6^{1}$	(1.0)
Brown trout	0.3	(0.2)	9.0	(0.2)	7.0	(0.2)
Rainbow smelt	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Longnose sucker	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.2)	0.8	(0.5)
Gizzard shad	6.0	(0.5)	0.8	(0.4)	$3.0^{1}$	(1.6)
Yellow perch	9.0	(0.3)	1.2	(0.0)	0.4	(0.2)
Longnose dace	6.0	(0.4)	0.5	(0.3)	0.1	(0.1)
Coho salmon	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.1)	0.1	(0.1)
Carp	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	7.0	(0.2)
Chinook salmon	0.1	(90.0)	0.5	(0.3)	7.0	(0.2)
Totals	10.4	(5.3)	26.4	(12.4)	31.9	(14.1)
Number of samples	14		<b>T</b>		14	

1. Indicates significantly greater CPE than other stations

Table 8. (cont'd.).

			Fall			
Station	-		5		80	
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Spottail shiner	1.2	(0.6)	1.4	(0.5)	11.2,	(7.0)
Alewife	o.3	(0.2)	7.0	(0.2)	1.5	6.0
Rainbow trout	2.0	(0.5)	1.1 3.0	(0.3)	2.7	(0.6)
white sucker	9.0	(0.3)	0.4	(0.2)	$\frac{1.91}{1.91}$	(0.7)
Redhorse	0.4	(0.3)	0.4	(0.2)	2.01	(0.7)
Brown trout	6.0	(0.2)	0.7	(0.3)	0.6,	(0.2)
Rainbow smelt	7.0	(0.2)	7.0	(0.2)	4.4	(2.4)
Longnose sucker	0.05	(0.05)	0.1	(60.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Gizzard shad	0.09	(0.09)	0.1	(60.0)	0.6 <sup>±</sup>	(0.3)
Yellow perch	0.05	(0.05)	0.3	(0.2)	7.0	(0.2)
Longnose dace	0.5	(0.3)	90.0	(0.06)	0.05	(0.02)
Coho salmon	1.3	(0.3)	0.7	(0.2)	8.0	(0.2)
Carp	0.05	(0.05)	0.0	(0.0)	0.1	(0.0)
Chinook salmon	0.4	(0.2)	0.3	(0.1)	0.5	(0.2)
Totals Number of samples	10.34	(4.0)	10.26	(4.3)	31.35	(15.1)

1. Indicates significantly greater CPE than other stations.

	ŝ
	,
	,
	נ
	à
	I
	2
	â
	S
	C
	S
	ï
	t
	l
	\$
	8
	1
	į
	t
	a
	t
	C
	d

Spottail shiners were the most frequently caught fish species in the shoreline zone. They were most abundant in late spring with peak CPE occurring during June, 1976 and 1977 (Tables 3 and 4). This monthly pattern of abundance was similar to the pattern of monthly abundance of spawning spottail shiners (Appendix A, Tables 1 and 2). Thus, the seasonal pattern of gill net CPE reflects a shoreward spawning migration. A few individuals spawning in August and September indicated an extended spawning period.

ANOVA across season, time of day, and sample site indicated no significant differences in diel CPE (Table 5 and 6); however, significant differences in monthly CPE (the pattern noted above) and among sampling sites (Tables 7 and 8) were noted. During 1976 and 1977, CPE was significantly greater at the control site than near the LPSPP.

Spottail shiners were collected exclusively in the 25-mm mesh of the net. Since no smaller mesh was used, the age-length analysis (Tables 9 and 10) is truncated at the low end (age I, 90 mm). Largest spottail shiners were age IV and 149 mm total length. During 1976, most spottail shiners were 120-129 mm while in 1977, the majority were 110-119 mm.

Potential relationships between changes in CPE and physical parameters such as water temperature, barometric pressure, wave height, and turbidity were addressed with a partial correlation analysis (Figures 3 and 4). This analysis can detect concurrent patterns of variation between the dependent variable (CPE and one of the independent variables while the others are held constant. A significant positive or negative correlation does not by itself indicate a cause and effect relationship

Figure 3. Daily variation in gill net collections of spottail shiner and alewife in shoreline waters with respect to variations in water temperature, barometric pressure, wave height, and turbidity during June and July, 1976.




Figure 3. (cont'd.).




Figure 4. Daily variation in gill net collections of spottail shiner and alewife in shoreline waters with respect to variations in water temperature, barometric pressure, wave height, and turbidity during June and July, 1977.




Figure 4. (cont'd.).




Table 9. Length-age frequencies of spottail shiner captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.

Total length (mm)	I	II	III	IV	Total
90 - 99 100 - 109		11 155	1 36		12 191
110 - 119		201	252	1	454
120 - 129 130 - 139			138 7	35 9	173 16
Totals		367	434	45	846
Percent		43.4	51.3	5.3	

Table 10. Length-age frequencies of spottail shiner captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977.

	4				
90 - 99 100 - 109 110 - 119 120 - 129 130 - 139	5	10 239 392 2	18 234 172 13	8 5	14 262 626 182 18
Totals	9	643 58.3	437 39.7	13 1.2	1102

however, it does indicate which physical parameters are associated with changes in CPE. Spottail shiner CPE had a significant positive correlation to turbidity in 1976 and 1977 ( $\propto = 0.05$ , r = 0.67 and 0.55, respectively). This may indicate spottail shiners detected the nets more readily in clear water and avoided them or may have been attracted to the shoreline waters when wave action churned up benthic material.

A positive, nearly significant relationship between temperature and catch (r = 0.46) was noted in 1976. Shoreline water temperature occasionally cooled rapidly when strong east winds pushed warm surface waters away from shore and cool hypolimnetic waters upwelled. CPE during upwellings dropped substantially, indicating spottail shiners may move offshore with warmer water masses. A particularly striking example of this phenomenon was observed on July 2, 1976 (Figure 3) when shoreline water temperature was 7°C and spottail shiner total catch was 100 individuals while 300 individuals were caught on June 28 when the water temperature was 20°C. Temperature and catch were again positively correlated in 1977 but not as strongly as in 1976 (r = 0.12) (Figure 4). No major upwellings occurred in 1977 during peak spottail shiner abundance; thus, the relationship between spottail shiner CPE and temperature was not as striking as in 1976. A strong inverse relationship between wave height and catch was noted in 1977 but not seen in 1976. Collections in 1976 were not made when wave heights exceeded 12 cm. In 1977, however, several collections were made when wave heights were over 15 cm. The inverse relationship between catch and wave height indicate spottail shiners may move offshore when wave heights are over 12-15 cm or that the gear fished less efficiently.

#### Alewife

Alewives were the second most abundant species collected in shoreline gill nets. Catches were low in April and May; highest in June,
July, and August; and decreased in September, October, and November
(Tables 3 and 4). Increased alewife CPE in June and July appear to
reflect a shoreward spawning migration since they coincide with peak
spawning period (Appendix A, Tables 1 and 2). Diel collections (Tables
5 and 6) revealed low midday CPE except for one collection in August,
1976, when 161 alewives were collected in one net at midday. Most
alewives were collected during sunset and midnight in 1977 but in 1976
sunrise collections were also high. An ANOVA of alewife gill net
collections across season, time of day, and sample site revealed significant differences in all variables through 1976 and 1977.

In 1976 CPE was much higher at the control site (station 8) than near the LPSPP (Tables 7 and 8). In 1977, CPE at station 5 and station 8 (control site) was higher than station 1.

Alewives collected in shoreline gill nets ranged in age from I to V and from 80 to 225 mm in total length. Age I individuals (120-129 mm) were most abundant while age II alewives were nearly absent from shoreline collections (Tables 11 and 12). Second peaks were also noted for age III and IV individuals in the 140-189 mm and 200-209 mm length range.

To investigate causes for daily variation, alewife catches were compared to water temperature, barometric pressure, wave height, and turbidity (Figures 3 and 4). A partial correlation analysis between catch and these physical parameters indicated wave height (r = 0.58) was the only physical factor judged significant and then only in 1976.

Table 11. Length-age frequencies of alewife captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.

Total length (mm)	I	II	III	IV	V	Total
80 - 89 90 - 99 100 - 109 110 - 119 120 - 129 130 - 139 140 - 149 150 - 159 160 - 169 170 - 179 180 - 189 190 - 199 200 - 219 220 - 229 230 - 239 240 - 249 250 - 259	1 2 40 39 15	4 8 4 3	1 7 9 13 6 8 1	7 23 16 9 4 3	3 11 4 4 2 1	1 2 40 43 23 4 4 7 9 20 29 27 21 8 7
Totals	97	19	45	63	25	249
Percent	39.0	7.6	18.1	25.3	10.0	

Table 12. Length-age frequencies of alewife captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977.

Total length (mm)	I	II	III	IV	v	Total
70 – 79	1					1
80 – 89	8					8
90 - 99	51	1				52
100 - 109	37	1				38
110 - 119	10	5				15
120 - 129	1	3	1			5
130 - 139		3	1			4
140 - 149		1	15			16
150 - 159			21			21
160 - 169			21	2		23
170 - 179			5	10		15
180 - 189				29		29
190 - 199				61	10	71
200 - 219				37	6	43
220 - 229				8		8
Totals	108	14	64	147	16	349
Percent	30.9	4.0	18.3	42.1	4.6	

Rainbow Trout

In shoreline waters near Ludington, rainbow trout were caught in gill nets throughout the sample season. Rainbow trout were the sixth most abundant species in gill net collections in 1976 and third in 1977. Over 70% of the rainbow trout caught in 1977 were ages III to V, and 450-649 mm in total length (Table 13). During 1976, 56% were in the same category (III-V) while 23.4% were age II (Table 14). CPE was highest in April, decreased somewhat in May, was very low during June-August, increased again in September and October and reached a second peak in November during 1977 (Table 4). A similar pattern was seen in 1976; however, the greatest CPE occurred in November rather than April (Table 3).

Gonadal condition of rainbow trout caught in gill nets (Appendix A, Tables 1 and 2) during periods of peak CPE indicated that a high percentage of these fish were either spawning or about to spawn. Thus, the seasonal pattern seen in rainbow trout catch in the gill nets reflects their spawning migrations along the shoreline. No significant difference in gill net collections of rainbow trout was found among stations during 1976 and 1977 (Tables 7 and 8); however, catch near an outfall stream at station 1 was somewhat higher during spring 1976.

Rainbow trout captured in gill nets did not demonstrate strong diel trends. A slightly lower CPE did occur at sunrise and midday than sunset and midnight in 1976 (Table 5). In 1977 (Table 6) midday CPE was again lowest while midnight was highest.

Table 13.	Length - 1976.	เ 8 9		98 OI	rainbow	rrout	captured	in 811.	nets	<pre>irequencies of rainbow trout captured in gill nets during April - November,</pre>	11 - Nover
								Age			
Total length (mm)	:h (mm)		•	н	11	111	IV	>	IV	VII	Total
1	67;		- •	ω.	2						7
250 - 2	299		-	7	6						13
1	349				7	1					∞
ı	399										
1	671					2	H				က
ı	661					7	-				٣
ı	549					7	2				7
ı	599					2	9	7			13
1	549						က	2			<b>∞</b>
1	599						2	3	2		7
1	674							7	2		4
1	662							2			2
1	349									2	2
Totals				6	18	12	18	14	4	2	7.7
Percent			-	11.7	23.4	15.6	23.4	18.2		5.2 2.6	

Table 14. Le	ngth – ag 77.	Length - age frequencies of rainbow trout captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977.	ss of	rainbo	w trout	captur	so in se	ill net	s during	April -	November,
							Age				
Total length (mm)	(n		H	11	111	ΛI	Λ	VI	VII	VIII	Total
1		• •	~								3
200 - 249			5	9							11
ı				က							ო
ı				7							7
ı				က	2	-					6
ı				7	12	7					18
1				က	2	7					11
ı				7	41	œ	က				54
ı				7	36	6	18				65
1					2	12	28	7			47
1						2	œ	5			18
1							7	15	2		19
ı								6			6
1									2	1	3
Totals		-	<b>&amp;</b>	27	104	39	09	31	7	-	274
Percent			2.9	6.6	38.0	14.2	21.9	11.3	1.5	0.4	

Lake Trout

Annual CPE of lake trout in shoreline gill nets at Ludington ranked third in 1976 and fourth in 1977 (Table 2). Lake trout catch was very low in April through July during 1976 and 1977. 1976 lake trout collections increased in August and reached peak abundances in September and October. During 1977, the increase was seen in September and October only (Tables 3 and 4). Lake trout moved into shoreline waters during the summer only when upwellings cooled water temperatures to near 10°C. Water temperature during the early part of their spawning migration in September ranged from 18.5 to 8.5°C in 1976 and 19.0 to 14.0°C in 1977. But in October, during peak spawning activity, shoreline temperatures ranged from 16.0 to 6.5°C in 1976 and 12.0 to 8.0°C in 1977 (Appendix B, Tables 1 and 2).

The major diel trend noted for lake trout was their near absence in midday collections (Tables 5 and 6). CPE at sunrise, sunset, and midnight were nearly equal during both years. Station differences were not found in shoreline gill net collections of lake trout during spring, summer, or fall (Tables 7 and 8).

## White Sucker

In shoreline waters near Ludington, white suckers (Catostomus commersoni) ranked fourth and fifth in CPE in gill nets during 1976 and 1977, respectively. Seasonal trends were not evident based on monthly gill net CPE (Tables 3 and 4) though summer CPE tended to be higher, especially in 1976. Diel analysis (Tables 5 and 6) revealed a notable decline in catch during midday collections. Gill net catches were

significantly greater at the control station than the impact station (Tables 7 and 8) possibly indicating a preference for the slightly more heterogenous substrate at the control site or perhaps avoidance of LPSPP generated currents in the impact area.

The predominant size class in shoreline nets was 420-439 mm (Table 15). Sex ratios (Table 16) in the shoreline waters favored female white suckers to a small degree in 1976 and 1977. Monthly gonadal condition (Appendix A, Tables 1 and 2) indicated spawning activity occurred, possibly along the shoreline, in April during both years when water temperatures ranged from 2.0 to 9.0°C. Both ripe and spent white suckers were collected during April but only green and spent fish were collected in May indicating termination of spawning.

### Brown Trout

Brown trout ranked sixth in CPE in shoreline gill nets during 1976 and seventh in 1977. Monthly CPE during 1976 (Table 3) was highest in May when water temperatures ranged from 4.0 to 10.2°C and lowest catches occurred during spawning in October and November, primarily because brown trout had entered rivers and tributaries to spawn. During 1977, CPE was lower and seasonal trends were not evident (Table 4).

Diel analysis of the gill net catch of brown trout revealed no pattern in 1976 (Table 5) but in 1977 (Table 6) CPE at sunset was high while midday CPE was low. Brown trout feeding activity generally reaches a peak at sunset (Scott and Crossman 1973) which may explain the peaks.

CPE was significantly greater at the control site than the impact

Table 15. Length frequency of white suckers captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976 and 1977.

	Number	Captured
Total length (mm)	1976	1977
180 - 199 200 - 219 220 - 239 240 - 259 260 - 279 280 - 299 300 - 319 320 - 339 340 - 359 360 - 379 380 - 399 400 - 419 420 - 439 440 - 459 460 - 479	2 5 8 11 10 11 11 8 6 17 7	1 16 15 6 9 10 20 12 7 7 7 10 20 33 32 22
480 - 499 500 - 519	7 4	16 9
520 - 539	1	3
Totals	117	248

Sex ratio of each fish species captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976 and 1977. Table 16.

		1976			1977	
Species	Male	Female	Unknown	Male	Female	Unknown
Spottail shiner	418	426	3	529	568	œ
Alewife	89	81	103	59	186	118
Rainbow trout	65	09	48	144	132	33
Lake trout	61	51	207	65	31	183
White sucker	45	55	178	87	99	134
Redhorse	80	10	52	42	31	96
Brown trout	40	78	50	50	53	29
Rainbow smelt	89	99	2	09	38	-
Longnose sucker	12	14	75	22	33	67
Gizzard shad	87	59	27	24	28	38
Yellow perch	26	73	36	22	41	10
Longnose dace	9	86	2	2	58	2
Coho salmon	40	22	24	24	21	18
Carp	18	2	13	37	7	12
Chinook salmon	14	က	57	<b>∞</b>	٣	40
Trout-perch	22	29	0	12	27	0
Round whitefish	2	ო	0	5	2	7
Lake chub	1	7	0	က	H	0
Northern pike	-1	-	0	0	2	-
Pumpkinseed	1	-	0	0	0	0
Largemouth bass	1	0	0	0	0	0
Bowfin	0	0	0	2	0	0
Longnose gar	0	0	0	0	0	-
Channel catfish	0	0	0	1	0	0
Bloater	0	0	0	0	0	1
Smallmouth bass	0	0	0	0	0	1

area only during spring of 1977 (Tables 7 and 8).

Age-length frequency analysis of brown trout caught in shoreline gill nets in 1976 indicated age classes III and IV and length class 550-599 mm were predominant (Table 17). This is probably a result of high stocking rates in 1973 in Lake Michigan overall and local stocking in 1973 and 1974 (Brazo and Liston 1979). In 1977 (Table 18), length class 550-599 mm was again predominant, but age classes II and III occurred most frequently. Lengths ranged from 124-749 mm and ages from I to VII during 1976 and from 200-749 mm (ages II-VI) in 1977. During 1976, 15.1% of the fish collected were age I.

The sex ratio was nearly 1:2, males to females in 1976 and 1:1 in 1977 (Table 16). Approximately 20% of brown trout caught in shoreline gill nets were immature. During July and August, 1976, juveniles comprised the majority of brown trout captured. Ripe brown trout were caught in October and November, 1977; however, during 1976 all mature individuals collected were green during the fall (Appendix A, Tables 1 and 2).

#### Redhorse

During 1976 and 1977, 240 redhorse (moxostoma spp.) were collected in shoreline gill nets (Table 2). Shoreline CPE was 0.6 in 1976 and 0.9 in 1977. This compares to a CPE of 0.07 over a six year period, 1972-1977, at the 6-12 m depth (Brazo and Liston 1979). Thus, redhorse were most abundant in shoreline waters of the nearshore area. Monthly catch analyses (Table 3 and 4) indicate increased abundance during late summer and early fall when shoreline water temperatures ranged from 8.0 - 19.0°C. Diel activity was greatest at sunset and midnight in 1977 and sunset and

Length - age frequencies of brown trout captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976. Table 17.

Length - age frequencies of brown trout captured in gill nets during April - November, Table 18.

1977.							
				Age			
Total length (mm)	н	11	111	ΛI	>	VI	Total
100 - 149							
ı		7					7
ı		7					7
1		5	7				6
ı		c	٣				9
ı		-	12	-			14
ı		H	13	-			15
ı			10	5			15
1			15	2	1	1	22
1				က	ო		9
ı					Ŋ		5
1						2	2
Totals		21	57	15	6	3	105
Percent		20.0	54.2	14.3	9.8	2.9	

•

sunrise in 1976 (Tables 5 and 6).

Significantly greater numbers of redhorse were collected at the control site than near the LPSPP during all seasons in both years, possibly indicating avoidance of increased currents near the plant (Tables 7 and 8).

Redhorse collected in gill nets along shore ranged from 222-755 mm in length (Table 19). The upper end of this range was 84 mm greater than the largest of either golden or shorthead redhorse reported by Trautman (1957) from Lake Erie. Sex ratios were nearly even during both years and nearly 88% of the redhorse examined were mature.

### Rainbow Smelt

Monthly summaries of rainbow smelt gill net collections (Tables 3 and 4) indicated that adults were more abundant along the shoreline in April and May and during September and October. The spring CPE was much higher in 1976 and 1977. Since high monthly collections were mainly due to a single high catch, it is possible that the shoreward migration of rainbow smelt was missed in 1977. Rainbow smelt are spring spawners which accounts for high CPE in April and May. During summer, elevated shoreline water temperatures presented a barrier to rainbow smelt since their preferred temperature is about 7.2°C (Scott and Crossman 1973). In September and October, water temperature ranged from 8.0 - 19.0°C and rainbow smelt again utilized shoreline waters. Diel analysis of the gill net catch indicated lowest shoreline CPE of rainbow smelt occurred at midday and highest CPE was at midnight (Tables 5 and 6). Jude et al. (1975) also found a tendency for onshore

Table 19. Length frequency of redhorse captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976 and 1977.

	Number	Captured
Total length (mm)	1976	1977
220 - 239		3
240 - 259		1
260 - 279		0
280 - 299		3
300 - 319		5
320 - 339		11
340 - 359	1	3
260 - 379	1	7
380 - 399	3	14
400 - 419	0	17
420 - 439	1	19
440 - 459	2	29
460 - 479	4	17
480 - 499	1	12
500 - 519	1	5
520 - 539	0	2
540 - 559	0	0
560 - 579	0	0
580 - 599	1	0
600 - 619	0	1
620 - 639	1	
Totals	16	149

Table 20. Length - age frequencies of rainbow smelt captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.

			Age		
Total length (mm)	I	II	III	IV	Total
110 - 119 120 - 129	1				1
130 - 139	4	6			10
140 - 149	9	25	2		36
150 - 159		49	1		50
160 - 169		12	14		26
170 - 179		3	4	1	8
180 - 189			3		3
190 - 199					
200 - 219				1	1
Totals	14	95	24	2	135
Percent	10.4	70.4	17.8	1.5	

Length - age frequencies of rainbow smelt captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977. Table 21.

iabie 21. deugen - age frequencies of rainbow smert captured in giri nets during april - november, 1977.	TILDOM SIMETE	captureu	וו לאדד ווברא	uuring aprir	- NOVELIDEL	12//.
			A	Age		
Total length (mm)	I	11	111	ΙV	۸	Total
1						
110 - 119	1					-
ŧ	-					1
ı	1	7				2
140 - 149	7	21				28
ı		35	2			40
1		11	2			13
1		2	2			7
1			1			1
1						
ı				-		-
•						
ı						
ı						
ı					1	-
ı				-		1
1					1	-
Totals	10	73	10	2	2	97
Percent	10.3	75.3	10.3	2.1	2.1	

movement of adult rainbow smelt at night. Rainbow smelt CPE differed significantly only in the fall (Tables 7 and 8) when CPE at the control station was greater than at impact stations.

Age-length frequencies of rainbow smelt in gill net collections (Tables 20 and 21) indicated that over 70% were age II and the dominant size class was 150-159 mm during 1976 and 1977. Ages ranged from I to V and lengths from 111-276 mm. Brazo and Liston (1979) reported similar dominant age-length classes for rainbow smelt in gill nets fished at 6 to 24-m depth during 1972 through 1977. The sex ratio during 1976 was nearly 1:1 in gill net catches; however, in 1977, males outnumbered females 2:1 (Table 16).

# Longnose Dace

Longnose dace (Rhinichthys cataractae) were also collected in gill nets with peak CPE occurring during May through July in 1976 and in August during 1977 (Tables 3 and 4). Catch was greatest at sunrise and sunset (Tables 5 and 6) and was significantly less at the control area than the impact site during the summer of 1976 (Tables 7 and 8).

Nearly all longnose dace caught in gill nets were females, indicating greater activity by females. Monthly gonadal condition of longnose dace caught in gill nets and seines (Appendix A, Tables 1 and 2) indicated an extended spawning season from May through September.

Investigations of longnose dace by Brazo et al. (1978) revealed extended spawning, however, only through July.

	:
	,
	·
	• • •
	, 1
	; ;
	:
	; ;
	:

## Longnose Sucker

Longnose suckers (<u>Catostomus</u> <u>catostomus</u>) were collected in low numbers during 1976 and 1977. Catch was lowest during September through November in both years and except for a peak in July, 1976, greatest numbers were caught during the spring (Tables 3 and 4). This is the reverse of patterns found by Koehler (1979) and Brazo and Liston (1979) at 6-12-m contours. This disparity was probably due to onshore movement of spawning adults in early spring and a preference for deeper and cooler waters (6-12 m) during the remainder of the year. Gonadal data (Appendix A, Tables 1 and 2) provided evidence for this since during April nearly all longnose suckers collected were mature and many were in spawning condition.

The greatest numbers of longnose suckers were collected during midnight and sunrise along the shoreline (Tables 5 and 6) and very few were captured during midday. Catch per unit effort was significantly greater at the control site than the impact area during spring and summer of both years (Tables 7 and 8).

The majority of longnose suckers caught in 1976 were length class 220-239 mm while in 1977, length class 380-399 mm dominated the sample (lengths ranged from 115-527 mm) for both years (Table 22 ).

### Gizzard Shad

Shoreline collections of gizzard shad (<u>Dorosoma cepedianum</u>) were restricted to gill nets. A total of 134 gizzard shad was collected in 1976 and 93 in 1977 (Table 2). These fish ranged from age 0 to VI and 80-559 mm in length. Age III and IV and length class 300-459 mm

Table 22. Length frequency of longnose suckers captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976 and 1977.

	Number Ca	aptured
Total length (mm)	1976	1977
100 - 119 120 - 139 140 - 159 160 - 179 180 - 199		1 1
200 - 219 220 - 239 240 - 259 260 - 279 280 - 299 300 - 319 320 - 339 340 - 359 360 - 379	2 10 5 3 2 1 2 4 7	4 10 3 1 3 7 5 5 5
380 - 399 400 - 419 420 - 439 440 - 459 460 - 479 480 - 499	5 2 1 1 1	17 12 10 10 9 2
Totals	46	103

dominated samples (Tables 23 and 24) except for a pulse of YOY caught in August, 1977. The age III through VI gizzard shad in these collections tended to be larger than those reported by Bodola (1966) for Lake Erie. Gizzard shad were most abundant in July and August (Tables 3 and 4) along the shoreline which coincides with seasonal abundances at the 6-m depth contour reported by Brazo and Liston (1979). Greatest numbers were caught at sunset and midnight in 1976 and at midnight and sunrise in 1977 (Tables 5 and 6), indicating increased nocturnal activity. CPE at the control site was greater than at the impact area during both years and all seasons except spring, 1977 (Tables 7 and 8). This may indicate avoidance of the power plant and associated water currents.

Nearly equal numbers of males and females were collected and, based on gonadal conditions (Appendix A, Tables 1 and 2), spawning may have taken place along the shoreline in June of 1976 when two partially spent males, and six spent females were collected. Each year one ripe male specimen was captured in April.

# Yellow Perch

Shoreline collections of yellow perch were sparse compared to those at the 6-24-m depths reported by Brazo and Liston (1979). Only 231 specimens were collected in gill nets and nine in seines during 1976 and 1977. Greatest numbers were taken in July (Tables 3 and 4) during both years. Greater numbers of yellow perch were collected at sunrise and sunset than midday and midnight (Tables 5 and 6) reflecting their crepuscular feeding activity (Scott and Crossman 1973). During 1976, CPE was significantly higher at the control area for all seasons while in 1977 no significant differences were found (Tables 7 and 8). Most of the yellow

Length - age frequencies of gizzard shad captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976. Table 23.

				Age			
Total length (mm)	ı	II	111	IV	>	ΛΙ	Total
1	1						Н
180 - 199	_						٦
ı	က	2					2
1	2	œ					13
ı	1	5					9
ı		3					٣
ı							
1				T	2		က
ı			2	2			7
ı			7	2	-		10
ı			12	9	-		19
ı			11	10	3		54
ı			7	6			13
ı			2	11	2		15
1			-		-		7
ı					2	2	7
ı				2	7		3
1					1		-
1					-		-
1					7		1
Totals	11	18	42	43	16	2	132
Percent	8.3	13.6	31.8	32.6	12.1	1.5	

Length - age frequencies of gizzard shad captured in gill nets during April - November, Table 24.

iaute 24. bengun – age irequencies of gizza	gizzaru silau captureu ili Biii liets uurilig Aprii	annde	118 III 1	יד וופרא ח	de Sur in	l	NOVember,
				Age			
Total length (mm)	0	ı	11	111	ΙΛ	^	Total
66 - 08	29						29
100 - 119	2						7
ı			2				7
220 – 239			-				-
1							
1							
1							
1							1
320 - 339							
ı				6	2		11
1				1	က		7
ı					က		٣
1				2	13		18
420 - 439					7	-	œ
1					2	4	9
ı						3	က
Totals	31		က	16	30	œ	88
Percent	35.2		3.4	18.2	34.1	9.1	

perch taken in July during 1976 were spent (Appendix A, Table 1), indicating spawning was over. In 1977, however, most yellow perch were partially spent in July, indicating spawning was still in progress (Appendix A, Table 2). Female yellow perch were over twice as abundant as males in these samples (Table 16).

### Coho Salmon

Only 149 coho salmon were collected in gill nets during 1976 and 1977 along the shoreline. Most were taken during fall (Tables 3 and 4) and little difference in diel collections was noted (Tables 9 and 10). CPE was nearly equal at all stations for all seasons (Tables 7 and 8). Sex ratio was 2:1 males to females in 1976 and 1:1 in 1977. Ripe coho salmon were first noted in September during 1976 (Appendix A, Table 1) and October in 1977 (Appendix A, Table 2). The predominant age-length class along shore was age III and length class 600-649 mm in 1976 and age II and length class 550-599 mm in 1977 (Tables 25 and 26). Ages ranged from I to IV and lengths from 129-755 mm.

### Chinook Salmon

Shoreline gill net collections of chinook salmon were dominated by age I, 75% and 69% in 1976 and 1977, respectively (Tables 27 and 28). Yearlings were mainly collected in June and July in gill nets and adults in September. No diel pattern was noted (Tables 5 and 6) and similar numbers were collected at each station (Tables 7 and 8). Most mature adults were males during both years though few were in spawning condition (Appendix A, Tables 1 and 2). Chinook salmon

Table 25. Length - age frequencies of coho salmon captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.

			Age		
Total length (mm)	I	II	III	IV	Total
200 - 249 250 - 299 300 - 349 350 - 399 400 - 449 450 - 499 500 - 549 550 - 599 600 - 649 650 - 699 700 - 749	1 1 11 7	1 3 1 2 5 4 3 2	4 3 2 10 8 2	1	1 2 14 8 2 9 7 5 12 9
Totals	20	21	29	1	71
Percent	27.1	30.0	41.4	1.4	

Table 26. Length - age frequencies of coho salmon captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977.

			Age		
Total length (mm)	I	II	III	IV	Total
100 - 149 150 - 199 200 - 249 250 - 299 300 - 349 350 - 399 400 - 449 450 - 499 500 - 549 550 - 599 600 - 649 650 - 699 700 - 749 750 - 799	1 1 2 2 4 2	3 2 3 2 4 9 5	7 3 1	1	1 1 2 5 6 5 2 4 9 13 5
Totals	13	30	11	1	55
Percents	23.6	54.5	20.0	1.8	

Table 27. Length - age frequencies of chinook salmon captured in gill nets during April - November, 1976.

			Age		
Total length (mm)	I	II	III	IV	Total
100 - 149	47				47
150 - 199	1 1				1
200 - 249	1				1
250 - 299					
300 - 349		2			2
350 - 399					
400 - 449					
450 - 499		4			4
500 - 549		3			3
550 - 599					
600 - 649					
650 - 699			1		1
700 - 749			1		1 1 2
750 - 799			1		1
800 - 849				2	2
850 - 899					
900 - 949				_	
950 - 999				1	1
1000 -1049				1	1
1050 -1099					
1100 -1149					
Totals	49	9	3	4	65
Percent	75.4	13.8	4.6	6.1	

Table 28. Length - age frequencies of chinook salmon captured in gill nets during April - November, 1977.

			Age		
Total length (mm)	I	II	III	IV	Total
80 - 99	1				1
100 - 149	26				26
150 - 199					
200 - 249					
250 - 299					
300 - 349					
350 - 399					
400 - 449					
450 - 499					
500 - 549		5			5
550 - 599					
600 - 649					
<b>650 - 699</b>		1			1
700 - 749					
750 - 799			3		3 1 2
800 - 849				1 1	1
850 - 899			1	1	2
Totals	27	6	4	2	39
Percent	69.2	15.3	10.3	5.1	

collected in gill nets ranged up to age IV and 1,030 mm in length.

### Seine Collections

During April through October, 1976 and 1977, 42 seine hauls were made at each station. Alewives and spottail shiners, mainly YOY, were the most abundant species collected (Table 29). Total catch per effort (CPE) was much higher in August and September than other months during both years (Tables 30 and 31). The high numbers in August and September mainly represent recruitment of YOY alewives and spottail shiners to seine collections. Diel collections (Tables 32 and 33) fluctuated greatly but generally catches were lower during darkness. CPE was greatest at the control site in 1976 but in 1977 larger catches occurred near the LPSPP (Tables 34 and 35).

The following comprises brief descriptions of seasonal and diel patterns, station differences, and biological characteristics for commonly occurring species.

# Alewife

The alewife catch in shoreline seines was comprised mainly of YOY in 1976 and 1977. In 1976, adults dominated collections during June and July while exclusively YOY were collected during August and September (Table 30). A similar pattern was seen in 1977, however, some YOY were recruited to the seines as early as July (Table 31). The high number of YOY alewife collected in October, 1977, indicated they stay near shore even after the lake cools down in the fall. YOY alewives were most abundant in shoreline waters early in the morning and late in

Total number (TN), catch per effort (CPE), standard error (SE), and total weight (TW) of each Table 29.

rante 29.	fish species	captur	carcn be	ach seines	iotai number (in), catch per ellort (crb), standard effor (sb), and total weight (iw) of each fish species captured in beach seines during April - November, 1976 and 1977.	November, 1976	1976 an	car weigne d 1977.	(IW) OI EACH
				1976				1977	
Species		NI	CPE	(SE)	TW(g)	TN	CPE	(SE)	TW(g)
Alewife		4654	9.79	(21.6)	6286	90209	478.6	(150.4)	43209
Spottail shiner	ner	398	5.5	(2.2)	3339	9852	9.42		3821
Rainbow smelt	ı	358	5.0	(2.2)	1025	376	2.8		1771
Longnose dace	a	99	6.0	(0.5)	234	224	1.7		1320
Chinook salmon	uo	83	1:1	(0.5)	615	87	0.7		1202
Steelhead		14	0.2	(0.2)	3325	16	0.1		6128
Emerald shiner	er	7	90.0	(0.04)	30	6	0.07		114
Trout-perch		က	0.04	(0.03)	33	24	0.2		244
Yellow perch		7	0.03	(0.03)	13	7	0.05		95
Brown trout		7	90.0	(0.04)	222	0	0.0		0
Johnny darter	H	Н	0.01	(0.01)	1	65	0.5		70
9-spine stickleback	kleback	7	0.01	(0.01)	က	e	0.02		9
Longnose sucker	ker	0	0.0	(0.0)	0	1	0.08		951
Lake trout		-	0.01	(0.01)	11	0	0.0		0
Mottled sculpin	niq	က	0.04	(0.03)	7	7	0.05		55
Lake whitefish	sh	0	0.0	(0.0)	0	246	1.9		149
Fathead minnow	мо	0	0.0	(0.0)	0	S	0.04		7
White sucker		-	0.01	(0.01)	5	3	0.02		131
Sand shiner		0	0.0	(0.0)	0	7	0.03		2
Golden shiner	H	0	0.0	(0.0)	0	7	0.08		7
Coho salmon		0	0.0	(0.0)	0	5	0.04		194
Totals		5603	77.57	(27.4)	15131	71241	561.58	(190.2)	59473

Monthly catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) for beach seines from April - September, 1976. Table 30.

	Apr11	11	Ma	lay	J	June	Ju	July	Aug	August	Sept	September
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Alewife	0.0	(0.0)	0.4	(0.3)	2.3	(1.3)	2.4	(1.2)	139.4	(86.0)	266.5	(81.5)
Spottail shiner	0.08	(0.08)	1.8	(1.4)	23.3	(11.3)	1.6	(1.1)	0.8	(0.0)	5.4	(3.7)
Rainbow smelt	2.0	(1.2)	3.2	(1.7)	0.0	(0.0)	0.7	(0.1)	7.0	(4.6)	2.4	(1.1)
Longnose dace	0.0	(0.0)	0.5	(0.3)	3.4	(2.7)	0.9	(0.4)	0.08	(0.08)	0.5	(0.2)
Chinook salmon	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.9	(2.3)	0.8	(0.4)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Rainbow trout	0.2	(0.1)	9.0	(0.4)	0.3	(0.3)	0.08	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)	0.08	(0.08)
Emerald shiner	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.08	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.3	(0.3)
Trout-perch	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.08	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.2)
Yellow perch	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Brown trout	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.1)	0.2	(0.2)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Johnny darter	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.08	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
9-spine												
stickleback	0.0	(0.0)	0.08	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Mottled sculpin	0.0	(0.0)	0.08	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.1)
White sucker	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.08	(0.08)
Totals	2.28	(1.4)	98.9	(4.4)	35.66	(18.3)	92.9	(3.5)	147.28	(91.3)	275.66	(87.3)

1. All young-of-the-year.

Table 31

Table 31. Month 1977.	nly catch pe	Monthly catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) for beach seines from April - October, 1977.	and stand	dard error	(SE) for bea	ich seines fro	om April - C	ctober,
	April	11	May	<b>5</b> .	ń	June	Ju	July
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Alewife	0.04	(0.04)	0.0	(0.0)	3.6	(1.8)	45.8	(21.8)
Spottail shiner	0.3	(0.1)	90.0	(0.00)	5.3	(3.6)	7.1	(3.5)
Rainbow smelt	1.9	(1.4)	2.4	(1.2)	14.2	(13.8)	0.3	(0.2)
Longnose dace	0.5	(0.5)	3.6	(2.9)	3.2	(2.3)	1.1	(0.5)
Chinook salmon	0.0	(0.0)	7.0	(0.3)	4.2	(2.5)	0.2	(0.1)
Rainbow trout	0.08	(0.0)	0.1	(0.08)	0.3	(0.2)	0.1	(0.08)
Emerald shiner	0.04	(0.04)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.3	(0.2)
Trout-perch	0.04	(0.04)	0.1	(0.08)	0.1	(0.1)	7.0	(0.3)
Yellow perch	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.2)
Johnny darter	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.1)	7.0	(0.4)
9-spine stickleback		(0.0)	90.0	(0.00)	90.0	(0.09)	0.0	(0.0)
Longnose sucker		(0.04)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Mottled sculpin	0.0	(0.0)	0.1	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Lake whitefish	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	11.8	(6.6)	1.8	(1.7)
Fathead minnow	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	90.0	(0.09)
White sucker	0.04	(0.04)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	90.0	(0.06)
Sand shiner	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Golden shiner	0.04	(0.04)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Coho salmon	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	90.0	(0.06)	0.2	(0.2)
Totals	3.02	(2.3)	6.82	(4.8)	43.02	(34.0)	58.02	(29.3)

Table 31. (cont'd.).

	Augus	ust	Sept	September	0ct	October
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Alewife	$695.0^{1}$	(167.8)	$217.9^{1}$	(865.0)	$685.4^{1}$	(302,6)
Spottail shiner	490.3 <sup>1</sup>	(201.5)	$28.1^{1}$	(13.2)	$2.1^{L}$	(1.2)
Rainbow smelt	0.9	(0.5)	0.4	(0.2)	90.0	(0.00)
Longnose dace	2.9	(1.1)	0.4	(0.2)	0.4	(0.2)
Chinook salmon	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Steelhead	0.1	(0.1)	90.0	(0.0)	0.06	(0.00)
Emerald shiner	90.0	90.0)	0.1	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)
Trout-perch	7.0	(0.4)	0.3	(0.3)	0.0	(0.0)
Yellow perch	0.2	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Johnny darter	2.6	(1.5)	7.0	(0.2)	0.0	(0.0)
9-spine stickleback	90.0	(0.00)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Longnose sucker	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Mottled sculpin	0.1	(0.1)	0.2	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)
Lake whitefish	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Fathead minnow	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.1)
White sucker	0.0	(0.0)	90.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Sand shiner	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.2)
Golden shiner	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Coho salmon	90.0	(90.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Totals	1192.68	(373.2)	2209.02	(879.4)	688.42	(304.4)

1. All young-of-the-year.

Diurnal catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) for beach seines from April - November, 1976.Table 32.

Hours

	0000	0000 - 0400	0400	- 0800	- 0080	- 1200	1200 -	- 1600	1600 -	- 2000	2000	- 2400
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Alewife	59.2	(30.7)			127.1	103.2)		(7.7)	44.8	(40.4)	39.5	(26.3)
Spottail shiner	9.3	(7.6)			4.4	(3.7)		(1.5)	7.0	(0.2)	12.1	(9.5)
Rainbow smelt	9.5	(1.0)			0.2	(0.2)		(0.2)	3.0	(1.6)	11.4	(10.4)
Longnose dace	4.0	(2.7)	0.7	(0.5)	0.3	(0.2)	0.08	(0.08)	0.08	(0.08)	7.0	(0.3)
Chinook salmon	2.1	(1.8)			1.3	(1.3)		(0.3)	0.8	(0.0)	9.0	(0.4)
Rainbow trout	0.4	(0.2)			80°0	(0.08)		(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.4	(0.3)
Emerald shiner	0.0	(0.0)			0.0	(0.0)		(0.08)	0.3	(0.3)	0.0	(0.0)
Trout-perch	0.08	(0.08)			0.0	(0.0)		(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.2	(0.2)
Yellow perch	0.2	(0.2)			0.0	(0.0)		(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Brown trout	0.3	(0.2)			0.0	(0.0)		(0.0)	0.08	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)
Johnny darter	0.0	(0.0)			0.0	(0.0)		(0.0)	0.08	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)
9-spine stickleback	0.08	(0.08)			0.0	(0.0)		(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Lake trout	0.0	(0.0)			0.0	(0.0)		(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Mottled sculpin	0.08	(0.08)			0.2	(0.2)		(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
White sucker	0.0	(0.0)			0.08	(0.08)		(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Totals	84.94	84.94 (50.64)	122.08	(66.18)		133.66(108.96)	11.76	(10.16)	49.54	(43.3)	9.49	(47.1)

Diurnal catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) for beach seines from April - November, 1977. Table 33.

	0000	0000 - 0400	0400	- 0800	0800	- 1200	1200	- 1600	1600	- 2000	2000	- 2400
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Alewife	6.94	(19.3)	1886.8	(805.0)	2710.8	(2108.7)	840.7	(392.7)	13206.0	(8408.8)2	210.0	(92.1)
Spottail shiner	4.2	(2.8)	1.0	(0.00)	380.2	(276.3)	1010.8	(288.7)	23	(151.3)	0.9	(0.0)
Rainbow smelt	3.7	(1.8)	0.5	(0.2)	0.5	(0.2)	0.5	(0.3)		(0.1)	11.7	(11.3)
Longnose dace	4.5	(1.9)	9.0	(0.3)	0.8	(0.4)	1.5	(0.8)		(0.05)	2.7	(2.3)
Chinook salmon	0.4	(0.2)	0.3	(0,3)	0.0	(0.0)	0.5	(0.3)		(0.5)	2.3	(2.1)
Rainbow trout	0.1	(0.1)	0.05	(0.05)	0.1	(0.1)	0.3	(0.1)	0.2	(0.1)	0.05	(0.03)
Emerald shiner	0.2	(0.1)	0.05	(0.05)	0.1	(0.06)	0.0	(0.0)		(0.05)	0.05	(0.02)
Trout-perch	1.0	(0.4)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.1	(0.1)
Yellow perch	0.1	(0.1)	0.05	(0.05)	0.1	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)		(0.0)	0.05	7 (50.0)
Johnny darter	1.3	(1.2)	0.5	(0.3)	0.8	(0.4)	0.2	(0.2)	0.2	(0.2)	0.0	2 (0.0)
9-spine												
stickleback	0.05	(0.05)	0.0	(0.0)				(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.05	(0.03)
Longnose sucker	0.05	(0.05)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Mottled sculpin	0.3	(0.1)	0.0	(0.0)				(0.05)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Lake whitefish	0.5	(0.4)	0.0	(0.0)				(7.8)	1.0	(1.0)	0.3	(0.3)
Fathead minnow	0.1	(0.1)	0.05	(0.02)				(0.0)	0.05	(0.05)	0.0	(0.0)
White sucker	0.05	(0.02)	0.05	(0.05)				(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Sand shiner	0.2	(0.2)	0.0	(0.0)				(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Golden shiner	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)				(0.05)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Coho salmon	0.1	(0.1)	0.05	(0.02)			0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.05	(0.05)
Totals	63.75	63.75 (28.95)	1884.0	(806.46)	3095.05	(806.46)3095.05(2387.81)1862.5(1391.0)	1862.5(		13438.45(8562.15)228.25	(8562.15)	228.25	(109.05)

Catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) for beach seines at station 1 and station 8 Table 34.

(control) from Apri	re, and standard error 1 - October, 1976.	catch per elloit (off) and standard elloi (of) for beach selhes at station i and station o (control) from April - October, 1976.	מר פרמרוטוו ד מווח פ	ינמרדמו ס
	Stat	Station 1	Station 8	lon 8
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Alewife	9.05	(22.5)	78.6	(37.2)
Spottail shiner	3.8	(3.1)	7.2	(3.0)
Rainbow smelt	0.7	(0.4)	9.5	(4.2)
Longnose dace	0.4	(0.1)	1.5	(0.0)
Chinook salmon	1.3	(0.8)	1.1	(0.5)
Rainbow trout	0.3	(0.1)	0.08	(0.04)
Emerald shiner	0.0	(0.0)	0.1	(0.09)
Trout-perch	0.03	(0.03)	90.0	(0.06)
Yellow perch	0.03	(0.03)	0.03	(0.03)
Brown trout	90.0	(0.06)	90.0	(0.04)
Johnny darter	0.0	(0.0)	0.03	(0.03)
9-spine stickleback	0.03	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)
Longnose sucker				
Lake trout	0.03	(0.03)	0.0	(0.0)
Mottled sculpin	0.03	(0.03)	90.0	(0.04)
Lake whitefish				
Fathead minnow				
White sucker	0.0	(0.0)	0.03	(0.03)
Totals	57,31	(27.21)	98.05	(46.13)

and Catch per effort (CPE) and standard error (SE) for beach seines at station 1, station 5, Table 35.

table 33. Catch per el station 8 (c	ontrol) from A	caton per ellort (CFE) and standard error (SE) lor beach selhes at station 1, station 5, and station 8 (control) from April - October, 1977.	(5£) ior bea 1977.	ich seines at s	cation I, stat	ion 5, and
	Sta	Station l	Stat	Station 5	Stat	Station 8
Species	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)	CPE	(SE)
Alewife	6708.2	(3241.1)	1708.5	(778.4)	2001.7	(820.8)
Spottail shiner	730.2	(390.4)	9.05	(15.2)	805.2	(280.5)
Rainbow smelt	6.0	(0.5)	6.8	(5.9)	1.2	(0.8)
Longnose dace	1.0	(0.5)	0.7	(0.3)	3.6	(1.6)
Chinook salmon	0.05	(0.03)	1.4	(1.1)	0.7	(0.3)
Rainbow trout	0.1	(0.05)	0.0	(0.0)	0.3	(0.1)
Emerald shiner	0.05	(0.03)	0.05	(0.03)	0.1	(0.08)
Trout-perch	0.02	(0.03)	0.02	(0.03)	0.5	(0.2)
Yellow perch	0.02	(0.03)	0.1	(0.0)	0.02	(0.03)
Brown trout						
Johnny darter	0.1	(0.1)	1.2	(0.7)	0.2	(0.1)
9-spine stickleback	0.07	(0.04)	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)
Longnose sucker	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.03	(0.03)
Lake trout						
Mottled sculpin	0.02	(0.03)	0.1	(0.06)	0.02	(0.03)
Lake whitefish	9.0	(0.5)	5.2	(4.1)	0.02	(0.05)
Fathead minnow	0.0	(0.0)	0.1	(0.06)	0.02	(0.02)
White sucker	0.0	(0.0)	0.05	(0.03)	0.02	(0.03)
Sand shiner	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.1	(0.06)
Golden shiner	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.02	(0.05)
Coho salmon	0.0	(0.0)	0.1	(0.08)	0.0	(0.0)
Totals	7441.33	(3633.3)	1774.92	(806.0)	2813.75	(1104.7)

the afternoon (Tables 32 and 33) prior to sunset. No significant difference between sites was noted in 1976 and 1977 (Tables 34 and 35).

Spottail Shiner

Seine collections of spottail shiners were much lower during 1976 than 1977 (Table 29). During 1976, the majority of spottail shiners collected were yearlings and older with peak numbers occurring in June (Table 30). In 1977, the number of yearling and older spottail shiners collected was similar to 1976; however, large numbers of YOY were collected in August and September (Table 31).

In 1976, adult spottail shiners were collected mainly after dark (Table 32), while in 1977, YOY were collected close to shore primarily during daylight hours (Table 33). Spottail shiners did not demonstrate a significant preference for the control site or the impact sites (Tables 34 and 35).

### Rainbow Smelt

Rainbow smelt were the third most abundant species in seine collections. Adults were collected mainly in the spring during their spawning run while YOY were recruited to the seine in August and remained near shore through October (Tables 30 and 31). Rainbow smelt were caught mainly after dark with greatest numbers collected near midnight (Tables 32 and 33). During 1976 and 1977, significantly more rainbow smelt were collected at the control site than the impact site just south of the plant (Tables 34 and 35). During 1977, however, the impact station north of the plant yielded more rainbow smelt than the

control site (Table 36). Nearly equal numbers of males and females were collected each year, however, the majority of the rainbow smelt collected were YOY.

## Longnose Dace

Longnose dace was the fifth most abundant species collected in shoreline seine in 1976 and 1977. Peak numbers occurred in the spring during spawning (Tables 30 and 31). As with rainbow smelt, longnose dace were collected mainly after dark and were most abundant around midnight (Tables 32 and 33). A similar pattern of abundance between collections at the control site was significantly greater than the southern impact site (Tables 34 and 35). However, in 1977, more longnose dace were collected at the northern impact site than the other sites combined.

# Chinook Salmon

Juvenile chinook salmon, the next most abundant species in shoreline seine collections, were collected in June shortly after being planted (Tables 30 and 31). Collections were greatest at night (Tables 32 and 33) and little difference between sites was noted (Tables 34 and 35).

### Lake Whitefish

In 1977, a large number of YOY lake whitefish was collected in June and July at the impact site just north of the plant (Table 35)

possibly indicating this area is a spawning site for this species.

#### Sieve Net Collections

Young-Of-The-Year Fish

Utilization of shoreline waters as a nursery area for larval and post larval stages of several fish species is demonstrated by sieve net collections (Table 36). Lake whitefish, the first species collected were seen exclusively in May. Yellow perch followed in mid-May through mid-June. Rainbow smelt were first noted in mid-May but were not seen again until mid-June and were collected in sieve nets until late August. Longnose dace were seen in one collection in August while spottail shiners and alewives were collected from mid-July through September.

Sufficiently consistent samples of alewife, spottail shiner, and rainbow smelt were collected to demonstrate mean growth of these YOY fish (Table 37). Each of these species reached nearly the same size (alewives 27 mm and spottail shiners 34.3 mm) by late August.

Greater numbers of alewife and spottail shiner were collected at the control site (station 8) than either of the impact sites (Table 38). Lake whitefish and yellow perch, however, were most abundant at the north impact site.

#### Macroinvertebrates

In an offshoot of this thesis research, the food habits of spottail shiner and longnose dace collected in Lake Michigan shoreline during 1975 were assessed (Anderson and Brazo 1978). The major food of both

Density (number/m $^3$ ) of young-of-the-year fish at all stations combined on each sampling date during 1977. Table 36.

Dates	Alewife Density (N)	Spottail Shiner Density (N) I	Rainbow Smelt Density (N)	Lake Whitefish Density (N)	Yellow Longnose Perch Dace Density (N) Density (N)	Longnose Dace Density (N)	Unid. Catostomidae Density (N)	Unid. Larvae Density (N)
05/02	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.26 (23)	0.0	0.0	0.25 (18)	0.1 (2)
05/18	0.0	0.0	0.07 (1)	0.16 (10)	0.02 (1)	0.0	0.0	0.04 (4)
05/25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.03 (3)	0.6 (56)	0.0	0.0	0.16 (10)
06/15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.03 (1)
06/20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01 (1)	0.0	0.0	0.0
07/13	0.88 (125)	5) 0.22 (33)	0.01 (1)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
07/27	0.48 (83)	) 0.68 (112)	0.01 (1)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
08/13	0.34 (65)	) 0.02 (3)	0.02 (4)	0.0	0.0	0.01 (2)	0.0	0.0
08/24	0.34 (59)	(188) (188)	0.11 (19)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
09/14	0.16 (29)	0.01 (1)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
09/28	1.33 (226)	0.0 (9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Mean total length of young-of-the-year fish captured in sieve nets on each sampling date during 1977. Table 37.

		Alewife	e)	S S	Spottail shiner			Rainbow smelt	<b>3</b>	Lake whitefish	Yellow	Yellow perch		Longnose dace
Dates	(n)	Std. (n) Length Dev.	Std. Dev.	(n) L	Std. (n) Length Dev.	Std. Dev.		Lengt	Std. (n) Length Dev.	Std. (n) Length Dev.	Std. (n) Length Dev.	St ıgth De		Std. (n) Length Dev.
05/25										(51) 20.5 2.4 (50) 8.5 1.4	(05)	3.5 1.	7	
06/15														
06/20											( 1) 7.9	- 6.7		
07/13	(123)	07/13 (123) 13.8 5.4 (30) 7.	5.4	(08)	7.0	1.9	( 1	1.9 ( 1) 19.9 4.5	4.5					
07/27	( 82)	07/27 (82) 19.6 3.4 (112)	3.4	(112)	8.2	2.9	( 1	2.9 (1) 20.2	ı					
08/13	( 52)	08/13 (52) 17.5 4.9 (3) 9.4	6.4	(3)	9.6	0.8	7	0.8 ( 4) 25.3 6.5	6.5		(2) 4.0 .28	4.0 .2	ا د	19.9 -
08/24	( 31)	08/24 (31) 27.6 4.0 (150) 34.3	4.0	(150)	34.3	6.1	( 19	6.1 (19) 31.3 1.8	1.8					
09/14	( 24)	09/14 ( 24) 32.3 9.0 ( 1) 48.3	0.6	( 1)	48.3	1								
09/28	( 26)	09/28 ( 26) 31.3 4.6	9.4											

Table 38. Density  $(nr/m^3)$  of young-of-the-year captured in sieve nets by station during 1977.

		Station	
Species	8	1	5
Alewife	0.50	0.17	0.29
Spottail shiner	0.45	0.05	0.02
Rainbow smelt	0.02	0.01	0.02
Lake whitefish	0.01	0.02	0.04
Yellow perch	0.02	0.02	0.13
Longnose dace	0.002	0.0	0.0
Unid sucker	0.0	0.0	0.01
Unid larvae	<b>0.</b> 05	0.03	0.11

species was terrestrial insects which had probably been blown into the lake and been entrained in the alongshore current. The diet overlap of these fish decreased significantly from spring to fall as did the diet breadth of the longnose dace. Anderson and Brazo (1978) attributed these results to decreased availability of common food types in the fall. In 1977, seasonal changes in composition and density of shoreline macro-invertebrates in the alongshore drift and benthos were assessed to determine if macroinvertebrate density decreased in the fall as predicted from but analysis.

Invertebrate species from nineteen orders were collected in shore-line waters. Terrestrial insects comprised nearly 90% of invertebrate drift (Table 39). Major taxa collected were terrestrial Diptera (24.0%), terrestrial Coleoptera (9.0%), and Chironomidae adults (7.6%).

Terrestrial insect parts were partially decomposed and could not be further identified. Density of drifting invertebrates was similar during spring (2706/1000 m³) and summer (2815/1000 m³), but declined in fall (630/1000 m³). Composition of drift fauna was similar throughout the year. During spring, terrestrial insect parts, Diptera, Hymenoptera, and Chironomidae comprised 96.3% of invertebrate drift. During summer, Coleoptera became important in the drift. Fall drift samples contained mainly terrestrial insect parts, Diptera, Homoptera, and Hymenoptera.

Assuming that 1977 macroinvertebrate densities and composition were representative of conditions in 1975, the prediction based on food habit analysis was correct, shoreline macroinvertebrates were less abundant during fall.

The source of terrestrial insects in drift in diets of shoreline fish was not directly traced. However, a phenomenon described for Swedish lakes by Norlin (1967) may apply to Lake Michigan. He found some

Table 39. Seasonal abundance (number/1000 m<sup>3</sup>) of Lake Michigan's shoreline drift invertebrates near Ludington, Michigan during 1977.

uul Ing	5 177	•						
	5/2	ing (%) 2-6/15 n=36	6/2	mer (%) 0-8/24 n=45	9/1	1 (%) 4-11/8 1=36		n (%) tal
Aquatic Invertebrat	tes							
*Chironomidae		(5.4)	303	•	-	-		(7.6)
*Trichoptera	13	(0.5)	85	(3.1)	-	-	37	(1.7)
Ephemeroptera								
larvae	-	-		(1.0)	3	(0.5)		(0.6)
Hydracarina		(0.3)	3	(0.1)	-	-		(0.2)
<b>A</b> mph <b>i</b> poda		(0.3)	53	(1.9)	21	(3.3)		(1.4)
Pelecypoda		(0.1)	1	( .1)	-	-		(0.1)
**Diptera larvae		(0.1)	-	-	2	(0.3)		(0.1)
Coleoptera larvae	2 4	(0.2)	-	-	-	-	1	(0.1)
Odonata	-	-	1	( .1)	-	-	1	( .1)
Terrestrial Inverte	ebrate	es						
Terrestrial								
insect parts	1293	(47.8)		-	407	(64.6)		(49.1)
Diptera	1005	(37.1)	467	(16.6)	47	<b>\_</b> ,	509	
Coleoptera		(1.4)		(14.8)		(3.8)		(9.0)
Hymenoptera		(6.0)		(0.9)		(7.5)		(3.5)
Homoptera	12	(0.4)	39	(1.4)	54	(8.6)	35	(1.7)
Hemiptera	5	(0.2)	5	(0.2)	-	-		(0.2)
Psocoptera	-	-	6	( 0.2)	-	-	2	(0.1)
Neuroptera	_	_	27	(1.0)	-	-	10	(0.5)
Siphonoptera	4	(0.2)	-	-	-	-	1	(0.1)
Araneae	5	(0.2)	4	(0.1)	3	(0.5)	4	(0.2)
Orthoptera	-	-	-	-	2	(0.3)	1	(0.1)

**2**815

630

2123

2706

Totals

<sup>\*</sup> Includes immature and mature life stages.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Excluding Chironomidae

terrestrial insects in the alongshore drift were washed in from shore by waves; however, the majority were blown in from upland areas. These insects were entrained in warm air currents rising from fields then released into nearby lakes as the warm air cooled near the lake creating a downdraft. Insects were then concentrated along the windward shore and entrained in the alongshore current. Thus, when lake water temperature is significantly lower than the surrounding air temperature, the lake may act as a collecting basin for airborn insects. The "collection basin" phenomenon also explains the decrease in terrestrial insects in fall compared to spring and summer. In spring, air temperature increases faster than water temperature and the lake water is colder than surrounding air, resulting in downdrafts and insect deposition. This phenomenon is generally true in summer, but not to as great a degree. During fall, however, the reverse situation occurs and lake water is warmer than the surrounding air creating updrafts rather than downdrafts. In these situations, no insect deposition may occur. Terrestrial insect density in Lake Michigan shoreline waters was much lower in the fall than in spring and summer.

# Zooplankton

Zooplankton catch was dominated by <u>Bosmina</u> and <u>Cyclops</u> (Table 40).

<u>Bosmina</u> were most abundant in May, July, and November. <u>Cyclops</u> reached its highest density in November. <u>Diaptomus</u> density was greatest during September through November while <u>Daphnia</u> density peaked in May. <u>Chydorus</u>, <u>Alona</u>, and <u>Ceriodaphnia</u> were most abundant during July. Comparison of total zooplankton density among stations (Table 41) showed that similar densities occurred at each station.

Table 40.

Monthly density (nr/m) of the maj during May through November, 1977.	or	zooplankton species caught in sieve nets in shoreline water	species	caught	in sieve	nets in	shoreline	water
Species	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Total
Bosmina	1004	422	2552	335	21	85	1655	6074
Cyclops	220	87	125	85	52	62	1030	1621
Diaptomus	87	20	67	21	107	82	123	450
Daphnia	61	ю	9	18	10	5	47	150
Chydorus	14	11	141	41	Э	2	10	222
Alona	0	ю	32	7	0	0	0	39
Ceriodaphnia	0	1	10	Н	0	0	0	12
Nauplii	297	232	147	06	28	57	59	940
Totals	1644	740	3062	594	251	293	2924	9508

Table 41. Zooplankton density  $(nr/m^3)$  by station and date in shoreline waters during 1977.

Date	Station 8	Station 1	Station 5
05/18	267	636	190
05/25	374	953	970
06/15	250	156	192
06/20	296	196	410
07/13	1356	1788	1497
07/27	332	399	839
08/13	374	307	175
08/24	66	108	127
09/14	22	47	13
09/28	827	146	193
10/22	70	108	116
11/08	2058	302	566
Totals	5548	5146	5287

## Ekman Dredge Collections

The major categories of invertebrates found in dredge samples (Table 42) were Oligocheata (37.8%), Chironomidae (33.4%), and terrestrial insect parts (13.5%). Invertebrate density decreased steadily from a spring high of  $480.4/m^2$  to a low of  $99.4/m^2$  in the fall. The composition of the benthic fauna changed from predominantly aquatic species in spring to terrestrial in the fall. Chironomidae and Oligocheata, the major aquatic invertebrates throughout the year, comprised 98.6% of spring, 42.9% of summer, and 16.3% of fall benthic invertebrates. The major terrestrial invertebrate groups, insect parts, and homoptera reached their greatest densities in the summer.

### Food Habit Studies

# Alewife and Spottail Shiner Young-Of-The-Year

Since young-of-the-year alewife and spottail shiner were the most abundant shoreline inhabitants, their food habits were studied to enhance our understanding of this zone. During 1977, in Lake Michigan shoreline waters near Ludington, Michigan, alewife YOY fed mainly on Cyclops in July, Bosmina, Cyclops, and Diaptomus in August and September. In October, Cyclops, Bosmina, and Daphnia were the major food items (Table 43). Spottail shiner consumed mainly Chydorus, Alona, and Bosmina in July, included Chironimid larvae in August, and fed almost exclusively on Bosmina during September and October (Table 44).

The degree to which alewife and spottail shiner ate similar food was determined through calculation of diet overlap and breadth indices

Table 42. Seasonal abundance (mean number/m<sup>2</sup>) of Lake Michigan's shoreline benthic invertebrates near Ludington, Michigan, during 1977.

during	1977.							
Aquatic Invertebrate	Spring 4/29, 5 n=18	5/19	6/24,	er (%) 8/12 =18	8/31, 10	l (%) , 9/30, 0/38 =27		
*Chironomidae Oligocheata Hydracarina Trichoptera larvae Coleoptera larvae Amphipoda Ostracoda Pelecypoda Isopoda	241.5 ( - -	(50.3) - -	61.7 4.6 1.5 - 1.5	(24.1) (26.0) ( 2.0) ( 0.7) - ( 0.7)	16.2 - - - - 9.3 2.3	(16.3) - - -	93.6 1.3 0.4 0.7 0.4 4.0 1.0	(33.4) (37.8) (0.5) (0.2) (0.3) (0.2) (1.6) (0.4) (0.2)
Terrestrial Inverteb	rates							
Terrestrial insect parts  **Diptera Coleoptera Homoptera Hymenoptera Araneae Thysanoptera Neuroptera	- - - 2.3 ( 2.3 (	0.5)	15.4 1.5 4.6 1.5 1.5	(34.4) (6.5) (0.7) (2.0) (0.7) (0.7) (0.7)	11.6 4.6 23.1 6.9 - 2.3	(11.6) (4.7) (23.3) (7.0) - (2.3)	9.4 2.4 11.2 4.0 1.1 1.4	(13.5) (3.8) (1.0) (4.5) (1.6) (0.4) (0.6) (0.2)
Totals	480.3		237.2		99.4		247.6	

<sup>\*</sup> Includes immature and mature life stages

<sup>\*\*</sup> Excluding Chironomidae

			July			August	
Food organism		FO	%TN	$^{ m \chi}$	FO	%IN	%TV
Bosmina		11.7	7.1	4.1	63.0	46.5	30.4
Chydorus		8.3	2.8	1.8	11.1	0.9	9.0
Alona		3.3	8.0	9.0	11.1	7.0	0.3
Cyclops	Ξ,	58.3	75.6	79.6	7.77	36.3	41.9
Chironomid lar.		0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	7.0	1.2
Unid. Copepoda	i es	11.7	9.1	8.9	11.1	2.7	2.9
Daphnia	ſ	1.7	8.0	2.1	18.5	1.2	3.5
Eubosmina		0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	0.3	0.2
Polyphemus		5.0	1.2	9.0	7.4	0.2	0.1
Diaptomus		5.0	2.4	2.1	40.7	9.4	9.3
Unid. ter. insects	sects	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Herpacticoid		1.7	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Copepoda naupli1	111	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	1.7	0.9
Leptodora	Į	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.2	8.7
Total sample size	size	09	254	3.38mm <sup>3</sup>	27	1026	12.4mm <sup>3</sup>
Number of empty stomachs	ty stomachs		17			5	

Table 43. (cont'd.).

		Septemher			October	
Food organism	FO	%TN	%TV	FO	%TN	%TV
Bosmina	83,3	40.3	27.7	70.0	72.2	54.6
Chydorus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Alona	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	3,5	3.7
Cyclops	63.3	30.9	37.7	80.0	14.4	19.3
Chironomid lar.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unid. Copepoda	13.3	1.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Daphnia	10.0	2.3	7.3	40.0	6.9	17.3
Eubosmina	10.0	2.0	1.4	10.0	1.5	1.2
Polyphemus	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.2	0.1
Diaptomus	46.7	22.9	24.0	10.0	1.6	1.9
Unid. ter. insects	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Herpacticoid	0.1	0.7	0.7	20.0	1.7	1.9
Copepoda naupli1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Leptodora	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total sample size	30	2305	26.43mm <sup>3</sup>	10	1291	13.47mm
Number of empty stomachs		0			0	

Monthly frequency of occurrence (FO), percent total number (%TN), and percent total volume (%TV) of food consumed by young-of-the-year spottail shiners during July - October, 1977. Table 44.

		July			August	
Food organism	FO	%TN	%TV	FO	%TN	$^{\rm \chi}$
Bosmina	30.4	13,3	10.4	58.3	28.4	14.7
Chydorus	66.7	43.3	38.3	33,3	23.0	12.9
Alona	39.1	40.0	43.2	33.3	16.2	11.2
Cyclops	1.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chironomid lar.	10.1	1.4	5.4	50.0	24.3	58.6
Unid. Copepoda	7.2	1.3	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Daphnia	1.5	0.2	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Eubosmina	1.5	0.2	0.2	8.3	5.4	2.6
Polyphemus	1.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diaptomus	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unid. ter. insects	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	2.7	tr
Herpacticoid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Copepoda nauplii	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Leptodora	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total sample size	69	623	6.34mm <sup>3</sup>	12	7.4	1.16mm <sup>3</sup>
Number of empty stomachs		0			က	

Table 44. (cont'd.).

		September			October	
Food organism	FO	%TN	$\Lambda$ L $\%$	FO	%LX	%TV
Bosmina	100.0	94.1	89.5	100.0	80.0	75.0
Chydorus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Alona	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cyclops	100.0	1.0	1.2	100.0	20.0	25.0
Chironomid lar.	100.0	1.9	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unid. Copepoda	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Daphnía	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Eubosmina	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Polyphemus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diaptomus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unid. ter. insects	100.0	2.9	tr	0.0	0.0	0.0
Herpacticoid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Copepoda nauplii	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Leptodora	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total sample size	1	103	0.86mm <sup>3</sup>	1	5	0.04mm <sup>3</sup>
Number of empty stomachs	sų.	0			0	

(Colwell and Futuyma 1971). Two overlap indices were calculated, one based on taxonomic categories of food consumed (Table 45) and another based on size of food items (Figure 5). Taxonomic overlap indices were relatively low, 0.1 through 0.34, (total possible range is 0 through 1) with higher values in August than July. Low overlap of food resulted from spottail shiners and alewife mainly consuming cladocerans and copepods, respectively (Figure 6). During August alewives ate more Bosmina than in July resulting in a greater degree of overlap. Diet overlap based on food size (0.61) was higher than diet overlap based on taxonomic category (0.1 through 0.34). There was still a marked degree of difference in food size consumed with alewives using a greater proportion of larger food items (Figure 6). Diet breadth of the spottail shiner increased faster than for alewives (Table 44) due to incorporation of benthic food items into their diet (Figure 6).

Changes in composition of major zooplankton species in the near-shore waters of Lake Michigan (Figure 7) seemed to have no impact on the composition of zooplankton species consumed by alewives or spottail shiners suggesting some degree of food selection. It is probable, however, that the decline in density of plankton late in August was partly responsible for increased overlap and diet breadth.

## Adult Salmonid

Many fish which occur along shore are potential forage for large salmonids which move into shore mainly during spring and fall. Stomach contents of brown trout, lake trout, rainbow trout, and coho salmon collected in shoreline gill nets were examined seasonally to determine diet in this zone of Lake Michigan.

Table 45. Diet overlap and breadth, by taxonomic category, for young-of-the-year spottail shiner and alewife in Lake Michigan's shoreline waters.

	July 13	July 27	Aug 13	Aug 24
Diet overlap*	0.18	0.11	0.34	0.28
Diet breadth**				
Spottail shiner Alewife	1.58 1.75	2.36 1.70	3.70 1.79	4.81 2.40
Mean length (mm)				
Spottail shiner Alewife	8.80 13.60	10.20 20.90	12.50 20.80	34.60 26.80

\* Diet overlap = 1 - 1/2 
$$\left(\frac{%TN_{AL} - %TN_{SS}}{100}\right)$$

\*\* Diet breadth = 
$$1/\left(\frac{\%TN}{100}\right)^2$$

Figure 5. Percent total number of food items arranged by size groups, consumed by young-of-the-year alewife and spottail shiner on July 27, 1977.




Figure 6. Percent total number of food items consumed by spottail shiner (SS) and alewife (AL) on sample dates in July and August, 1977.





Figure 7. Percent total number of major zooplankton species collected in shoreline waters on sample dates during July and August, 1977.



From the perspective of volume of food consumed, alewives represented the largest percentage of the identifiable food items found for all adult salmonids examined (Table 46). Rainbow smelt were found in brown trout and rainbow trout stomachs during spring and in lake trout stomachs during the fall. Sculpin appeared during spring in brown trout and rainbow trout stomachs and during summer in brown trout stomachs only. Terrestrial insects were noted mainly in brown trout and rainbow trout stomachs along with several aquatic invertebrates. It is surprising that no spottail shiners were found in the large salmonid stomachs examined since spottail shiner appear to be nearly as abundant as alewives near shore.

Frequency of occurrence (FO), percent total number (%TN), and percent total volume (%TV) of food organisms consumed by large salmonids (>450 mm) captured in shoreline gill nets during 1976 through 1977. Table 46.

						Sp	Spring					
	-	Brown trout	out	Rê	Rainbow trout	trout		Lake trout	out		Coho salmon	mom.
Food organism	FO	%TN	ΛΙ%	FO	%TN	%TV	FO	%TN	ΛL%	FO	%TN	%TV
Alewife	42.9	56.5	84.7	15.4	74.2	79.2	28.5	70.0	95.0	66.7	100.0	100.0
Sculpin Smelt	& & 6.0	9. v. 8. 4.	2.1 7.5	ლ ლ ლ	16.1 3.2	1.9 9.7						
Unid. fish Terr. insects	28.6	18.5 9.8	5.6 tr	7.7	6.4	1.9	28.5	30.0	5.0			
Number of stomachs Number of empty st	stomachs empty stomachs	35 ths 8			26 18			3			3	
						Su	Summer					
Food organism	FO	% IN	%TV	FO	%TN	%TV	FO	%TN	ΛL%	FO	%TN	%TV
Alewife	11.5	52.6	74.8	5.9	16.9	61.5	50.0	100.0	100.0			
Unid. fish Terr. insects	3.8	21.0 21.0 10.5	12.2	5.9	1.5	15.4 23.0						
Number of stomachs 26 Number of empty stomachs 19	achs y stomae	26 chs 19			17			9 8				

Table 46. (cont'd.).

Fa11

		Brown trout	out	Ra	Rainbow trout	rout		Lake trout	ī.	J	Coho salmon	nou
Food organism	FO	NI%	%TV	FO	%TN	$\Lambda$ L%	FO	%TN	$^{\rm \chi LV}$	FO	%TN	%TV
Alewives Smelt	12.5	50.0	55.4	3.7	14.4	86.3	7.7	36.3		7.8	82.3	58.0
Unid, fish				6.5	0.2	9.0	19.2	54.5		2.6	5.9	2.0
Fish eggs				2.8	12.8	8.1				5.6	5.9	40.0
Decapoda				0.9	0.2	9.0						
Amphipoda				1.9	2.0	tr						
Terr. insects	18.7	50.0	44.6	10.2	66.7	4.1				5.6	5.9	tr
Chironamid lar.				2.8	0.7	tr						
Trichoptera lar.	•			2.8	2.9	tr						
Number of stomachs	chs	16			108			26			38	
Number of empty stomachs 10	, stoma	chs 10			27			18			31	

## DISCUSSION

The shoreline waters zone of Lake Michigan does not represent an isolated portion of Lake Michigan that harbors a unique community of fish. This zone is open to emigration and immigration of many fish species.

Therefore, a sampling of this zone to determine fish species composition provides merely a snapshot of a constantly changing community. The results of this study provide a series of snapshots in time and space that reveal changes in the shoreline waters fish community by season, time of day, and sample site. Concurrent monitoring of physical parameters, investigation of food habits, sampling available food resources, and determination of age structure, maturity, sex ratios and gonadal condition together allow formulation of theories to explain major changes in shoreline waters fish species composition.

Seasonal changes in shoreline fish species composition seem to be mainly associated with spawning activity and subsequent hatching of the eggs. Most species moved near shore to spawn in a serial manner, i.e., rainbow trout and rainbow smelt in early spring, longnose dace in mid-spring, spottail shiner and alewife in late spring-summer, and lake trout, brown trout, and salmon during fall. Of these species, rainbow smelt, longnose dace, spottail shiner, alewife, and lake trout actually spawn in shoreline waters. The remaining species spawn in tributaries to the lake. Of the shoreline spawners, alewife and spottail shiner spawn at nearly the same time and their young coexist in this zone through late summer and early fall.

In some lakes the fish community has evolved such that YOY of each species using shoreline waters are at different developmental stages (Keast 1978). Since YOY fish tend to change food habits frequently as they grow, diet overlap is reduced. Thus, diet overlap and

competition for food is reduced via temporal segregation. Spottail shiner and alewife caught in this study, however, seemed to have reduced competition for food through spatial segregation. Spottail shiner YOY tended to consume more benthic zooplankton and aquatic insect larvae while alewife YOY ate zooplankton found higher in the water column. A previously abundant shoreline species, emerald shiner, which spawned in the same time period but was more palagic in nature than spottail shiners, has declined drastically since alewives entered Lake Michigan. Thus, alewife and emerald shiner were probably in direct competition for food during early development stages. Alewives were probably able to outcompete emerald shiners because they are capable of filter feeding as well as making individual strikes (Janssen 1976). Predation by adult alewife on YOY emerald shiners and on the pelagic emerald shiner eggs (Crowder 1980) probably contributed to emerald shiner decline also.

Despite free access to deeper waters, the YOY alewives and spottail shiners remained in shoreline waters through summer and into fall.

Particle size of the food resource may be a factor in YOY remaining near shore. Smaller zooplankton such as Bosmina and nauplii are predominant near shore in Lake Michigan while larger zooplankton such as Diaptomus predominate offshore (Evans and Hawkins 1977; Roth and Steward 1973).

Zooplankton sampled during the present study were consistent with these findings as was zooplankton found in YOY alewife and spottail shiner gut analysis. It is possible, the mouth size of YOY alewife and spottail shiner prevent efficient feeding on the larger zooplankton. A second factor which may also cause most YOY to remain near shore is the warm shoreline water temperature during August and early September. This factor was substantiated by declines in YOY abundance when upwellings

caused warm water masses to move away from shore.

Alewife and spottail shiner YOY fish did not, however, remain in shoreline waters after dark to the extent they did during daylight hours. Low CPE after dark indicated that many YOY moved to deeper water. Janssen (1976 and 1978) reported that adult alewives dispersed after dark in nearshore waters to feed. It is possible that their young followed the same pattern and dispersed throughout the nearshore zone at night resulting in a lower CPE in shoreline waters.

Keast (1978), Helfman (1978), and Werner et al. (1977) also found that YOY fish tend to remain in shallow water in temperate freshwater lakes. They hypothesized this behavior functioned in reducing predation and intraspecific competition. In Lake Michigan, as is discussed in the next paragraph, adult alewife and spottail shiner do not move offshore until fall; thus, the potential for intraspecific competition and predation still exists. Alewife age structure, however, did indicate that few age II individuals used shallow waters; thus, possibly reducing intraspecific competition somewhat.

Mid and late spring spawning adults remained abundant in shoreline waters through the summer probably for similar reasons as the YOY (food availability and preferred water temperature). Wells (1968) reported that spottail shiner and alewife moved shoreward in spring as water temperature near shore increased and remained near shore until nearshore water temperature declined in fall. Alewife adults were found to feed heavily on YOY fish in August and September (Webb and McComish 1974) while spottail shiner and longnose dace adults fed extensively on terrestrial insects which accummulated along shore during summer. The warm waters nearshore also may have provided a barrier to major shoreward movements of piscivores; thus, to some degree, protecting adult

alewives, spottail shiners, and longnose dace from predation.

Lake trout was the only abundant fall shoreline spawner. These fish moved shoreward in September and October after the majority of alewives and spottail shiners had moved offshore. Most individuals were caught after sunset when wave action was minimal.

No consistent pattern was seen in comparing the control station to the impact stations. The dynamic nature of the fish community nearshore makes assessment of the impact of a facility such as the LPSPP by comparing stations very difficult. A better approach may be to use the general patterns of fish movements into the shoreline waters derived from this study to alter water intake periods. For example, fewer lake trout may be entrained in the LPSPP reservoir if water intake were curtailed on calm nights in September and October. Pumping could also be curtailed during peak rainbow trout spawning times in the spring to decrease entrainment of this species. During August, daytime pumping should be avoided to decrease entrainment of large numbers of YOY that are concentrated nearshore.

Further studies of the shoreline waters would allow better definition of behavior patterns and their relation to physical parameters.

Predictive models could then be formulated to allow shoreline facilities such as the LPSPP to reduce entrainment of fish with minimal reduction in operating time.

## SUMMARY

The shoreline waters (0-3 m) of Lake Michigan near Ludington, Michigan, were sampled with variable mesh gill nets, seines, and sieve nets to obtain a representation of the fish species composition during spring, summer, and fall. Food resources were sampled and gut analysis performed to understand how fish use this zone.

Thirty-five species of fish were caught during April through November, 1976 and 1977. Spottail shiner and alewife dominated the catch from late May through early September. Rainbow trout were the most abundant species caught in April and November while lake trout were the most abundant in October. Gonadal condition of these fish revealed that many adults of each species were in spawning condition. Alewife and spottail shiner young-of-the-year (YOY) were initially caught in sieve nets in mid-July and dominated seine collections in August and September.

Food habit studies of YOY alewife and spottail shiner indicated that spottail shiner ate epibenthic foods such as Chydorus, Alona, and Chironomidae larvae. Alewife ate more pelagic foods such as Bosmina and Cyclops. Adult alewife food habits were not studied; however, spottail shiner and longnose dace adults were both found to eat terrestrial insects which accummulate in the shoreline waters. Adult salmonid stomaches were examined to determine which shoreline species were most susceptible to predation. Alewives were by far the most frequently consumed prey by salmonids.

Comparison of fish catch near the Ludington Pumped Storage Power

Plant and a control site 4.8 km to the south did not reveal any consistent patterns.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Anderson, R. C. and D. Brazo. 1978. Abundance, feeding habits and degree of segregation of the spottail shiner (Notropis hudsonius) and longnose dace (Rhinichthys cataractae) in a Lake Michigan surge-zone near Ludington, Michigan. Mich. Academician 10:337-346.
- Baily, R. M., Je. E. Fitch, E. S. Herald, E. A. Lachner, C. C. Lindsey, C. R. Robins and W. B. Scott. 1970. A list of common and scientific names of fishes from the United States and Canada. (3rd ed.) Amer. Fish. Soc., Spec. Pub. No. 6.
- Beeton, A. M. and W. T. Edmonson. 1972. The eutrophication problem. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 29:673-682.
- Bodola A. 1966. Life history of the gizzard shad, <u>Dorosoma cepedianum</u> (LeSueur), in western Lake Erie. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv. Fish. Bull. 69:391-425.
- Borror, D. J. and D. M. DeLong. 1976. An introduction to the study of insects. Revised edition. Holt, Rinehart and Winston. New York. 819 pp.
- Brazo, D. C., P. I. Tack and C. R. Liston. 1975. Age growth and fecundity of yellow perch, <u>Perca flavescens</u> (Mitchill), in Lake Michigan near Ludington, Michigan. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 104(4):726-730.
- Brazo, D. C., C. R. Liston and R. C. Anderson. 1978. Life history of the longnose dace, <u>Rhinichthys cataractae</u>, in the surge zone of eastern Lake Michigan near Ludington, Michigan. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 107(4):550-556.
- and C. R. Liston. 1979. A study of the effects of installing and operating a large pumped storage project on the shores of Lake Michigan, near Ludington, Michigan. The effects of five years of operation of the Ludington Pumped Storage Power Plant on the fishery resources of Lake Michigan (1972-1977). 1977 Annual Rep., Ludington Proj. Vol. II, No. 1, Fisheries Res. Submitted to Consumers Power Co. Michigan State Univ. Dept. Fish. & Wildl. Ludington Research Lab. 406 pp.
- CDM/Limnetics. 1976. Review of the literature on Lake Michigan fish. Camp Dresser and McKee, Environmental Sciences Div.

- Christie, W. J. 1974. Changes in the fish species composition of the Great Lakes. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 31:827-854.
- Colwell, R. K. and D. J. Futuyma. 1971. On the measurement of niche breadth and overlap. Ecology 52(4):567-576.
- Crowder, L. B. 1980. Alewife, rainbow smelt and native fishes in Lake Michigan: competition or predation? Env. Biol. Fish. 5(3):225-233.
- Dorr, J. A. III, D. J. Jude, F. J. Tesar, and N. J. Thurber. 1976.

  Identification of larval fishes taken from the inshore
  waters of southeastern Lake Michigan near the Donald C. Cook
  Nuclear Plant, 1973-1975. 61-82 In J. Boreman ed. Great
  Lakes fish egg and larvae identification. Nat. Power Plant
  Team. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Ann Arbor, Mich.
- Duane, D. B., H. D. Lee, R. O. Bruno, and E. B. Hands. 1975. A primer of basic concepts of lakeshore processes. U. S. Army Corps on Engineers. Coastal Eng. Res. Cent. Fort Belvoir, Va.
- Evans, M. S. and B. E. Hawkins. 1977. A multi-year comparison of summer zooplankton distributions in Southeastern Lake Michigan. Presentation to the 1977 International Meeting of the Association for Great Lakes Research, Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- Hands, E. B. 1970. A geomorphic map of Lake Michigan shoreline. Proceedings of the 13th Conference of Great Lakes Research, Vol. I, 1970, pp. 250-265.
- Helfman, G. S. 1978. Patterns of community structure in fishes: Summary and overview. Env. Biol. Fish. 3(1):129-148.
- Hollander, M. and D. A. Wolfe. 1973. Nonparametric statistical methods. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, New York. 503 pp.
- Hogue, J. J., Jr., R. Wallus, and L. K. Kay. 1976. Preliminary guide to the identification of larval fishes in the Tennessee River. TVA Div. of Forestry, Fisheries and Wildl. Development. 66 pp.
- Janssen, J. 1976. Feeding modes and pey size selection in the alewife (Alosa pseudoherangus). J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 33:1972-1975.
- 1978. Will alewives (Alosa pseudoherangus) feed in the dark? Env. Biol. Fish. 3(2):239-240.
- Jude, D. J., F. J. Tesar, J. A. Dorr III, T. J. Miller, P.J. Rago and D. J. Stewart. 1975. Inshore Lake Michigan fish populations near the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, 1973. Special Report No. 52 of the Great Lakes Research Div., Univ. of Michigan. Ann Arbor. 267 pp.

- Keast, A. 1978. Trophic and spatial interrelationships in the fish species of an Ontario temperate lake. Env. Biol. Fish. 3 (1):7-31.
- Kenega, D. E. 1975. Food selection and feeding relationships of yellow perch <a href="Perca flavescens">Perca flavescens</a> (mitchill), white bass <a href="Morone chrysops">Morone chrysops</a> (Rafinesque), fresh water drum <a href="Aplodinotus grunniens">Aplodinotus grunniens</a> (Rafinesque), and goldfish <a href="Carassius auratus">Carassius auratus</a> (Linneaus) in western Lake Erie. M. S. Thesis, Mich. State Univ. 50 pp.
- Koehler, F. E. 1979. Life history studies of the longnose sucker,

  Catostomus catostomus and the white sucker, Catostomus

  commersoni, in nearshore eastern Lake Michigan. M. S.

  Thesis. Michigan State Univ. 56 pp.
- Lippson, A. J. and R. L. Moran. 1974. Manual for identification of early developmental stages of fishes of the Potomac River estuary. Maryland DNR. PPSP-MP-13:282 pp.
- Liston, C. R. and P. I. Tack. 1975. A study of the effects of installing and operating a large pumped storage project on the shores of Lake Michigan. 1973. Annl. Rep. to Consumers Power Co. Dept. Fish. and Wildl., Mich. State Univ. 113 pp.
- Moffett, J. W. 1956. Recent changes in the deep-water fish populations of Lake Michigan. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 86:393-408.
- Nelson, D. D. and R. A. Cole. 1975. The distribution of larval fishes along the western shore of Lake Erie at Monroe, Michigan.

  Mich. State Univ. Institute of Water Research Technical Rep.

  No. 32.4:66 pp.
- Norlin, A. 1967. Terrestrial insects in lake surfaces. Their availability and importance as fish food. Rep. Inst. Freshw. Res. Drottningholm 47:39-55.
- Pennak, R. W. 1978. Fresh-water invertebrates of the United States, 2nd Ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York, New York, 803 pp.
- Reigle, N. J., Jr. 1969. Bottom trawl explorations in Green Bay of Lake Michigan, 1963-1965. U. S. Dept. Int. Bur. Com. Fish. Circ. No. 297. 14 pp.
- Roth, J. C. and J. A. Stewart. 1973. Nearshore zooplankton of southeastern Lake Michigan, 1972. Proc. 16th Conf. Great Lakes Res., Int. Assoc. Great Lakes Res., 1973:132-142.
- Scott, W. B. and E. J. Crossman. 1973. Freshwater fishes of Canada. Fisheries Res. Bd. of Canada. Ottawa. Bull. 184. 966 pp.
- Smith, S. H. 1970. Species interactions of the alewife in the Great Lakes. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 99:754-765.

- 1972. Factors of ecological succession in oligotrophic fish communities of the Laurentian Great Lakes. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 29:717-730.
- Sokal, R. R. and F. J. Rohlf. 1969. Biometry. The principles and practice of statistics in biological research. W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, California. 776 pp.
- Torke, B. G. 1974. An illustrated guide to the identification of the plankton crustacea of Lake Michigan with notes on their ecology. Center for Great Lakes Studies, The University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee Press. 65 pp.
- Trautman, M. B. 1957. The fishes of Ohio. Ohio State Univ. Press, Columbus. 683 pp.
- Usinger, R. L. 1956. Aquatic insects of California. University of California Press. Los Angeles. 508 pp.
- Webb, D. A. and T. S. McComish. 1974. Food habits of adult alewives in Lake Michigan near Michigan City, Indiana in 1971 and 1972. Ind. Acad. Sci. 33:179-184.
- Wells, L. 1968. Seasonal depth distribution of fish in southeastern Lake Michigan. Fish. Bull. 67(1):1-15.
- 1977. Changes in yellow perch populations of Lake Michigan, 1954-1975. Great Lakes Fish. Lab., U. S. Fish. and Wildl. Serv. Unpublished manuscript. 27 pp.
- and A. L. McLain. 1973. Lake Michigan. Man's effects on native fish stocks and other biota. Great Lakes Fishery Comm. Tech. Rep. No. 20. 55 pp.
- Werner, E. E. 1977. Species packing and niche complementarity in three sunfishes. Amer. Natur. 111:553-578.

APPENDIX A

Monthly maturity and gonadal condition of each fish species captured in gill nets during 1976. Table 1.

Apr11

	Maturi	.ty		ŭ	Gonadal Condition	ition	
Species	Immature	Mature	Green	Ripe	Partly Spent	Spent	Abnormal
Spottail shiner	0	7	7	0	0	0	0
Alewife	2	16	18	0	0	0	0
Rainbow trout	20	77	04	20	3	7	0
Lake trout	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
White sucker	2	31	20	10	0	2	1
Redhorse	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Brown trout	5	24	29	0	0	0	0
Rainbow smelt	0	37	က	33	0	П	0
Longnose sucker	-	13	7	1	0	9	0
Gizzard shad	0	31	30	1	0	0	0
Yellow perch	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Longnose dace	0		1	0	0	0	0
Coho salmon	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Carp	0	7	က	1	0	0	0
Chinook salmon	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Trout-perch	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Round whitefish	0	2	-1	0	0	0	0
Lake chub	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern pike	0		0	1	0	0	0
Pumpkinseed	0	7	1	0	0	0	0
Largemouth bass	0	-	1	0	0	0	0
Black crappie	0	1	1	0	0	0	0

Table 1. (cont'd.).

Gonadal Condition

May

Maturity

Species	Immature	Mature	Green	Ripe	Partly Spent	Spent	Abnormal
Spottail shiner	0	75	67	7	0	H	0
Alewife	14	10	24	0	0	0	0
Rainbow trout	9	12	6	1	0	80	0
Lake trout	0	19	19	0	0	0	0
White sucker	16	17	23	0	0	10	0
Redhorse	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Brown trout	7	53	57	0	0	0	0
Rainbow smelt	0	51	0	22	1	28	0
Longnose sucker	9	&	တ	0	0	9	0
Gizzard shad	0	16	16	0	0	0	0
Yellow perch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Longnose dace	0	15	15	0	0	0	0
Goho salmon	. 1	5	9	0	0	0	0
Carp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chinook salmon	m	0	e	0	0	0	0
Trout-perch	0	12	7	S	0	0	0
Round whitefish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake chub	0	5	3	2	0	0	0
Northern pike	0	7	0	1	0	0	0
Pumpkinseed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Largemouth bass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black crappie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 1. (cont'd.).

June

Species Spottail shiner Alewife Rainbow trout Lake trout White sucker Redhorse Brown trout	Maturi Immature 0 25 4 0 12 1	tty  Mature 270 75 3 3 5 12 5	Green 94 70 7 5 5 16 5	a)	Gonadal Condition Partly Spent Sp 43 7 1 0 0 0 0 0	Spent 76 2 0 0 0 8 8 8 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Abnorma1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Rainbow smelt Longnose sucker Gizzard shad Yellow perch Longnose dace Coho salmon Carp Chinook salmon Trout-perch Round whitefish Lake chub Northern pike Pumpkinseed Largemouth bass Black crappie	1090100,0010000	2 2 16 16 2 2 2 3 3 3 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 0 1 3 3 3 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	112 00 00 00 00 00 00	

Table 1. (cont'd.).

	Maturit	lty		35	Gonadal Condition	ition	
Species	Immature	Mature	Green	Ripe	Partly Spent	Spent	Abnormal
Spottail shiner	0	250	24	30	38	158	0
Alewife	34	28	37	17	က	5	0
Rainbow trout	2	-	2	0	0	0	0
Lake trout	0	24	24	0	0	0	0
White sucker	39	52	84	0	0	7	0
Redhorse	2	16	18	0	0	0	0
Brown trout	∞	5	20	0	0	0	0
Rainbow smelt	0		7	0	0	0	0
Longnose sucker	17	23	37	0	0	٣	0
Gizzard shad	2	12	14	0	0	0	0
Yellow perch	33	62	38	0	2	52	0
Longnose dace	0	29	7	က	12	10	0
Coho salmon	0	-	-	0	0	0	0
Carp	0	9	5	П	0	0	0
Chinook salmon	36	0	36	0	0	0	0
Trout-perch	0	7	0	2	-	-	0
Round whitefish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake chub	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern pike	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pumpkinseed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Largemouth bass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black crappie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 1. (cont'd.).

	u.
	$\equiv$
	6
	Ħ
•	⋖

	Maturit	lty		ÿ	Gonadal Condition	ition	
Species	Immature	Mature	Green	Ripe	Partly Spent	Spent	Abnorma1
Spottail shiner	0	234	18	0	15	101	0
Alewife	33	2	33	0	0	2	0
Rainbow trout	7	0	7	0	0	0	0
Lake trout	0	59	59	0	0	0	0
White sucker	15	77	59	0	0	0	0
Redhorse	-	29	30	0	0	0	0
Brown trout	15	11	26	0	0	0	0
Rainbow smelt	0	10	10	0	0	0	0
Longnose sucker	12	7	19	0	0	0	0
Gizzard shad	17	18	35	0	0	0	0
Yellow perch	14	9	18	0	0	2	0
Longnose dace	0	<b>&amp;</b>	0	0	7	7	0
Coho salmon	2	7	9	0	0	0	0
Carp	0	7	9	0	0	H	0
Chinook salmon	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Trout-perch	0	2	0	0	2	0	0
Round whitefish	0		-7	0	0	0	0
Lake chub	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern pike	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pumpkinseed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Largemouth bass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black crappie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 1. (cont'd.).

September

	Matur	lty		3	Gonadal Condition	ition	
Species	Immature	Mature	Green	Ripe	Partly Spent	Spent	Abnormal
Spottail shiner	0	72	54	0	0	18	0
Alewife	0	11	7	0	0	4	0
Rainbow trout	9	14	19	0	7	0	0
Lake trout	0	79	79	0	0	0	0
White sucker	9	20	26	0	0	0	0
Redhorse	0	10	10	0	0	0	0
Brown trout	-	16	17	0	0	0	0
Rainbow smelt	0	19	19	0	0	0	0
Longnose sucker	e	1	7	0	0	0	0
Gizzard shad	7	7	14	0	0	0	0
Yellow perch	0	-	-	0	0	0	0
Longnose dace	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Coho salmon	10	18	26	2	0	0	0
Carp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chinook salmon	7	19	19	-	0	0	0
Trout-perch	0	5	m	2	0	0	0
Round whitefish	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Lake chub	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern pike	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pumpkinseed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Largemouth bass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black crappie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 1. (cont'd.).

October

	Maturi	rity		Ğ	Gonadal Condition	ition	
					Partly		
Species	Immature	Mature	Green	Ripe	Spent	Spent	Abnormal
Spottail shiner	0	39	39	0	0	0	0
Alewife	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Rainbow trout	П	13	14	0	0	0	0
Lake trout	0	131	96	35	0	0	0
White sucker	0	6	6	0	0	0	0
Redhorse	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Brown trout	0	9	9	0	0	0	0
Rainbow smelt	0	16	16	0	0	0	0
Longnose sucker	0	7	7	0	0	0	0
Gizzard shad	2	9	80	0	0	0	0
Yellow perch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Longnose dace	0	7	7	0	0	0	0
Coho salmon	œ	30	36	2	0	0	0
Carp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chinook salmon	0		1	0	0	0	0
Trout-perch	0	-	1	0	0	0	0
Round whitefish	0	7	-	0	0	0	0
Lake chub	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern pike	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pumpkinseed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Largemouth bass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black crappie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 1. (cont'd.).

November

	Matur	lty		ชั	Gonadal Condition	ition	
Species	Immature	Mature	Green	Ripe	Partly Spent	Spent	Abnormal
Spottail shiner	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alewife	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rainbow trout	0	10	∞	2	0	0	0
Lake trout	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
White sucker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Redhorse	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brown trout	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rainbow smelt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Longnose sucker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gizzard shad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yellow perch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Longnose dace	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coho salmon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chinook salmon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trout-perch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Round whitefish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake chub	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern pike	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pumpkinseed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Largemouth bass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black crappie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Monthly maturity and gonadal condition of each fish species captured in gill nets during 1977. Table 2.

	Gonadal Condition	Partly Spent Spent				0 0																			0 0	
	<b>ი</b> ე	Ripe	1	0	74	0	3	0	0	18	9	-	0	0	0	2	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Apr11		Green	10	0	30	2	20	3	25	1	13	e	0	0	3	m	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	.ty	Mature	11	0	118	2	30	٣	20	19	27	7	0	0	-	5	0	-	0	0	က	0	0	0	0	0
	τ																									
	Matur	Immature	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	-	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2. (cont'd.).

May

Gonadal Condition	Partly Ripe Spent Abnormal	71 0 2 0	3 (	0 0	0 15	000	0 0	9 15	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Green	108	13	17	24 9	25	2	18	0		15	1	2	0	7	က	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
rity	Mature	181 50	87	17	26 17	16	9	22	0	0	17	0	18	0	œ		က	0	0		0	0	0
Matur	Immature	0 5	7	0 ;	13	1 0	0	12	0		0	Т	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Species	Spottail shiner Alewife	Rainbow trout	Lake trout	White sucker	Brown trout	Rainbow smelt	Longnose sucker	Gizzard shad	Yellow perch	Longnose dace	Coho salmon	Carp	Chinook salmon	Trout-perch	Round whitefish	Lake chub	Northern pike	Bowfin	Longnose gar	Channel catfish	Bloater	Smallmouth bass

Table 2. (cont'd.).

Gonadal Condition

Maturity

		;	,		Part1y		
Species	Immature	Mature	Green	Ripe	Spent	Spent	Abnorma1
Spottail shiner	0	306	187	100	0	19	0
Alewife	11	81	87	2	0	0	0
Rainbow trout	0	3	2	H	0	0	0
Lake trout	0	17	17	0	0	0	0
White sucker	14	33	41	0	0	9	0
Redhorse	e	16	17	1	0	H	0
Brown trout	2	13	15	0	0	0	0
Rainbow smelt	0	7	7	0	0	0	0
Longnose sucker	9	7	10	0	0	0	0
Gizzard shad	0	3	c	0	0	0	0
Yellow perch	0	7	7	0	0	0	0
Longnose dace	0	11	7	က	0	-	0
Coho salmon	0	1	7	0	0	0	0
Carp	0	19	5	14	0	0	0
Chinook salmon	15	0	15	0	0	0	0
Trout-perch	0	13	7	9	0	0	0
Round whitefish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake chub	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern pike	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bowfin	0	-		0	0	0	0
Longnose gar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Channel catfish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bloater	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smallmouth bass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2. (cont'd.).

July

	Maturi	lty		ŏ	Gonadal Condition	ition	
Species	Immature	Mature	Green	Ripe	Partly Spent	Spent	Abnormal
Spottail shiner	1	274	95	97	22	112	0
Alewife	24	85	70	35	2	2	0
Rainbow trout	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Lake trout	0	20	20	0	0	0	· C
White sucker	36	22	58	0	0	0	· c
Redhorse					,	)	<b>)</b>
Brown trout							
Rainbow smelt	0	0	0	0	0	С	C
Longnose sucker	19	-	20	0	0	0	o C
Gizzard shad	0	17	17	0	0	0	o C
Yellow perch	9	36	6	7	32	0	o C
Longnose dace	0	5	2	0	1	7	0
Coho salmon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carp	0	7	-	9	0	0	. С
Chinook salmon	13	0	13	0	0	0	o C
Trout-perch	0	2		٣	Н	0	0
Round whitefish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake chub	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern pike	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bowfin	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Longnose gar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Channel catfish	0		1	0	0	0	0
Bloater	0			0	0	0	· C
Smallmouth bass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2. (cont'd.).

	1		J
	c	ı	
	•		į
	i	2	
	:		١
•	ċ	ĺ	

Maturity

Gonadal Condition

					Partly		
Species	Immature	Mature	Green	Ripe	Spent	Spent	Abnormal
Spottail shiner	0	214	13	2	67	150	0
Alewife	38	18	42	1	0	13	0
Rainbow trout	9	9	12	0	0	0	0
Lake trout	0	25	25	0	0	0	0
White sucker	6	6	17	0	0	н	0
Redhorse	6	33	42	0	0	0	0
Brown trout	က	7	10	0	0	0	0
Rainbow smelt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Longnose sucker	-	<b>&amp;</b>	6	0	0	0	0
Gizzard shad	35	15	20	0	0	0	0
Yellow perch	3	10	11	0	0	2	0
Longnose dace	0	19	-	0	7	11	0
Coho salmon	2	2	7	0	0	0	0
Carp	0	7	7	0	0	0	0
Chinook salmon		0	1	0	0	0	0
Trout-perch	0	<b>&amp;</b>	1	7	0	0	0
Round whitefish	0		-	0	0	0	0
Lake chub	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern pike	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bowfin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Longnose gar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Channel catfish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bloater	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smallmouth bass	0		7	0	0	0	0

Table 2. (cont'd.).

September

	Maturi	.ty		ŏ	Gonadal Condition	lition	
Species	Immature	Mature	Green	Ripe	Partly Spent	Spent	Abnormal
Spottail shiner	0	100	93	0	2	5	0
Alewife	53	7	32	0	0	-	0
Rainbow trout	2	32	34	0	0	0	0
Lake trout	0	89	89	0	0	0	0
White sucker	17	22	39	0	0	0	0
Redhorse	2	41	43	0	0	0	0
Brown trout	2	19	21	0	0	0	0
Rainbow smelt	0	89	89	0	0	0	0
Longnose sucker	က	0	c	0	0	0	0
Gizzard shad	0	10	10	0	0	0	0
Yellow perch	က	7	10	0	0	0	0
Longnose dace	0	11	5	0	5	-	0
Coho salmon	က	7	10	0	0	0	0
Carp	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Chinook salmon	0	16	16	0	0	0	0
Trout-perch	0	7	2	2	0	0	0
Round whitefish	-4	٣	7	0	0	0	0
Lake chub	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern pike	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bowf in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Longnose gar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Channel catfish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bloater	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smallmouth bass	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

000000000000000000000

Table 2. (cont'd.).

October


Abnorma1 Spent 0000000000000000000000 Gonadal Condition Partly Spent Ripe 00000000000000000 Green Mature 38 234 Maturity Immature Round whitefish Spottail shiner Longnose sucker Channel catfish Smallmouth bass Chinook salmon Rainbow trout Rainbow smelt Longnose dace Northern pike Yellow perch Gizzard shad White sucker Longnose gar Trout-perch Brown trout Coho salmon Lake trout Lake chub Redhorse Alewife Bloater Species Bowfin Carp

Table 2. (cont'd.).

November

Maturity

Gonadal Condition



Water condition parameters at stations 1 and 8 (control) and climatic parameters for the sample Table 1.

		area on (	n each samp		le date from A	April - 1	- November	•	1976.			מונה כזדייים בדר למומייינים	ייי בווכ פש
		Water Temp.		H	Turb.	OA	Crnt. Dir.		Wave Ht.		Wind	24 Hr.	Baro.
Station	н	(C)	œ	П	<b>∞</b>	П	<b>∞</b>	-	(cm)	<b>∞</b>	Dir.	W Dir.	Pres.
Date													
04/01	3.0		•	7.	12.0	1	ı	7		15	Z	MS	29.60
04/06	6.4		8.9	57.0	25.0	z	Z	15		15	SW	SW	29.79
04/07	6.1		•	4.0		S	S	7		15	Z	SW	30.09
04/09	7.0		5.3	•	12.0	S	S	7		7	SW	MS	30.28
04/13	7.9		0.9	5.	19.0	z	Z	7		7	S	S	29.94
04/19	13.9		13.9	•	12.0	z	Z	15		15	S	S	30.02
04/21	0.2		8.6	œ.	4.0	S	လ	7		7	ш	ធា	29.48
04/24	9.5		8.1	2	7.0	z	Z	-		1	ធា	Z	29.86
04/28	7.8		6.9	•	37.0	Z	z	7		22	Z	Z	29.70
04/29	6.0		7.8	•	22.0	z	Z	œ		œ	MN	MN	30.20
90/50	7.1		8.1	3.4	58.0	z	Z	2		15	z	Z	30.23
05/11	8.9		6.6	•	0.66	z	Z	œ		14	z	Z	29.94
05/12	10.2		11.5	•	14.0	လ	S	2		2	МS	Z	29.98
05/14	9.0		0.6	•	_	လ	S	13		15	SW	S	29.85
05/19	5.0		5.0	•	_	Z	Z	7		<b>∞</b>	z	Z	29.95
05/24	4.0		7.5	•	72.0	z	Z	5		14	Z	Z	30.02
05/26	7.0		8.0	ı	1		Z	٣		က	3	Z	30.08
05/31	9.0		0.6	2.7	2.1		0	m		7	ជា	ធា	29.80
06/02	ö		8.6	2.5	26.0		S	7		9	z	NE	30.16
60/90	Š		δ.	74.0	15.0		Z	12		18	MS	တ	29.96
06/12	6		19.5	0	3.2		Z	7		7	SE	တ	29.84
06/20	18.7		9.	9.6	70.0		Z	<b>∞</b>		10	SW	SW	30.04
06/21	7		9	1	1		တ	7		-	S	MN	30.00
06/22	_		o.	2.2	14.0	S	လ	2		9	SW	NE	30.02
06/23	6		19.5	7.5	4.0	Z	Z	2		7	MS	SE	30.08
06/28	6		6	ı	ı	S	S	2		6	S	S	29.80
07/01	7.0		6.5	4.5	16.0	လ	S	က		9	Z	z	30.00

29.96 29.98 29.98 29.98 29.98 30.06 30.01 30.02 30.00

Baro. Pres.

24 Hr. W Dir. Wind Dir.  $\infty$ Wave Ht. Crnt. Dir.  $\mathbf{z}$   $\mathbf{v}$   $\mathbf{z}$   $\mathbf{v}$   $\mathbf{v}$  ω Turb. 54.0 --113.0 118.0 18.0 18.0 11.2 111.2 113.2 113.2 113.2 113.2 113.2 113.2 113.2 113.2 113.2 113.2 113.2 113.0 19.0 14.0 19.0 18.0 18.0 118.0 119.0  $\infty$ Water Temp. (C) Fable 1. (cont'd.). 18.5 13.0 117.5 117.5 117.5 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 113.0 station 07/06 07/15 07/15 07/15 07/21 07/22 07/26 07/26 08/06 08/14 08/16 08/16 08/16 09/08 09/08 09/06 10/07 10/07 11/10 Date

saı																														
the	0	· S		.17	80	.03	.10	.92	.92	.81	.18	•	.90	.64	• 04	.92	00.	.01	86.	.70	69.	.78	.11	•	•	0.	9	.39	φ.	.84
for	Baı	Pres		30	29	$\circ$	$\circ$	9	9	6	0	0	9	9	0	9	0	0	9	9	9	9	0	6	6	0	6	6	29	29
parameters	24 Hr.	ш		z	Z	S	ы	Z	S	Z	Z	S	Z	Z	Z	S	S	S	Z	ធា	z	Z	Z	S	S	Z	S	S	S	တ
climatic	Wind	Dir.		ပ	NE	Z	ы	ပ	တ	3	Ħ	Z	ы	S	SW	S	S	SW	ы	ធា	Z	S	MN	S	MN	z	S	S	S	ធ
and		<b>∞</b>		0	٣	7	3	က	3	2	3	0	_	<b>∞</b>	2	2	0	٣	2	3	9	3	3	_	Ŋ		2	0	9	2
control) 1977.	Wave Ht.	(cm)				-						-					1								-			2		
<u> </u>		7		0	7	12	7	7	7	7	7	က	٣	7	7	5	œ	7	7	4	7	7	7	2	5	7	m	13	9	-
ns land 8 - November	Crnt. Dir.	<b>&amp;</b>		0	Z	S	Z	လ	လ	Z	z	လ	Z	လ	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	S	Z	S	Z	လ	S	Z	Z	Z	S
atio ril	O D	-		0	z	S	z	လ	လ	z	z	လ	Z	လ	z	z	Z	Z	z	z	လ	z	S	z	S	လ	z	Z	z	တ
atrom	Turb.	<b>∞</b>			35.0		•	•			38.0		4.0	37.0						•			•		•	•	•		4.2	•
parameters ple date f	Tu			_		_			_	_	_	_	_		_		_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_	_	_	_	
8		1		6.0	2.8	•	10.0	1.6	•	•	12.0	16.0	4.0	5.2	2.0	4.6	74.0	13.0	7.7	5.	7.7	1.7	2.2	7	13.	•	1.3	œ.	54.0	3,
onditi each		<b>∞</b>		1.0	3.0	10.0	2.0	8.5	12.0	5.5	5.0	10.0	9.0	7.0	10.0	15.0	13.0	16.0	17.0	11.5	13.5	11.0	8.0	20.0	15.0	13.0	18.0	15.0	25.0	21.0
Water co area on	Water Temp.	(C)																												
. E9 . ₹3		1		2.0	•	9.0	1.0	7.0	9.0	0.9	4.0	10.0	8.0	7.0	11.0	15.0	15.0	16.0	14.0	10.0	12.0	13.0	8.0	20.0	16.0	13.0	19.0	17.0	21.0	21.0
Table 2.		Station	Date	04/01	04/03	04/13	04/15	04/18	04/20	04/26	04/29	05/02	05/04	05/09	05/10	05/15	05/16	05/24	05/25	05/31	90/90	20/90	06/13	06/17	06/20	06/22	06/27	06/30	01/05	01/02

Table 2. (cont'd.).

		Water					Crnt.		wave		•	;	1
		Temp.			Turb.		Dir.		Ht.		Wind	24 Hr.	Baro.
Station	1	(3)	œ	-	<b>∞</b>	•	1 8	1	(cm)	<b>∞</b>	Dir.	W Dir.	Pres.
Date													
07/13		• •	17.0	3.2				e		2	3	A	30.05
07/17	20.0	. •	20.0	26.0				က		2	MS	S	29.93
07/18	20.0	•	19.0	44.0				12	2	0:	MS	တ	29.88
07/21	24.0	. •	23.0	8.1				3		2	Z	တ	30.07
07/26	12.0	. •	10.0	3.1				က		5	NW	z	30.17
07/31	18.0	. •	17.0	94.0	5.5	5	z	20		12	3	တ	29.64
08/07	19.0	- •	20.0	9.0				2		7	S	S	29.82
08/10	19.0	•	19.0					9		<b>∞</b>	MN	3	29.86
08/13	18.0	•	18.0					10		8	3	MS	29.78
08/16	17.0	•	19.0	45.0				10		7	S	3	29.80
08/18	18.0	•	18.0					2		7	MS	MN	30.00
08/23	16.0	•	16.0	•				-1		2	NE	z	29.90
08/25	8.0		8.0	•				1		2	ഥ	Z	30.18
08/29	17.0	٠	18.0	•				-		4	SE	S	20.16
90/60	19.0	-	18.0	•				15		17	Z	S	30.02
80/60	16.0	•	15.0					7		2	SE	Z	30.02
09/13	15.0	-	16.0	•				7	_	77	NE	ជា	29.82
09/22	14.0		14.0	•				5		4	Z	ы	30.04
09/23	14.0	-	15.0	5.2				12		7	ы	ជា	29.92
09/27	15.0		15.0	•				7	_	12	3	3	29.78
10/03	8.0		0.6	•				10		25	Z	Z	30.23
10/05	10.0	-	10.0	5.9	•			7		<b>&amp;</b>	Z	3	29.96
10/13	9.0		0.6	36.0	30.			13		<b>∞</b>	3	3	30.02
10/17	9.0		0.6	54.0	-			∞		9	Z	Z	30.04
10/19	9.0		0.6	16.0	-			9	.,	22	M	Z	29.96
10/20	9.0		9.0	17.0	-			12		œ	S	MN	30.11
10/25	12.0		12.0	15.0	S			13	•	L5	တ	S	29.96
10/26	11.0		11.0	6.6	66	2		6		50	SW	S	30.00

Table 2. (cont'd.).

		Water					Crr	ıt.		Wave				
		Temp.			Turb.		Diı	Dir.		Ht.		Wind	24 Hr.	Barc
Station	-	(၁)	œ	1		<b>&amp;</b>	-	<b>∞</b>	-	(cm)	<b>∞</b>	Dir.	W Dir.	Pres
Date														
11/01	12.0		12.0	52.0		4.2	z	Z	10		12	NE	NE	29.7
11/02	12.0		12.0	47.0		7.9	z	z	10		12	NE	NE	30.0
11/07	10.0		10.0	8.0		5.5	S	S	7		5	z	ធា	30.3
11/08	11.0		11.0	3.0		3.1	z	z	က		7	3	S	29.3
11/30	3.0		3.0	30.0		7.9	z	Z	n		2	S	S	30.1