



€ + 1.5

LIBRARY Michigan State University

This is to certify that the

thesis entitled

INVESTIGATIONS OF ISOZYMES IN SUGARBEET

presented by

Lauri Diane Aicher

has been accepted towards fulfillment of the requirements for

<u>M.S.</u> degree in <u>Plant Bree</u>ding and Genetics/Crop and Soil Science

W Saunders Major professor

Date 6/2/88

MSU is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Institution

O-7639



INVESTIGATIONS OF ISOZYMES IN

SUGARBEET (BETA VULGARIS L.)

By

Lauri Diane Aicher

A THESIS

Submitted to Michigan State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Crop and Soil Science

ABSTRACT

INVESTIGATIONS OF ISOZYMES IN SUGARBEET

By

Lauri Diane Aicher

In this study, eight new isozyme loci were identified (Mdh-1, Mdh-2, Mdh-3, Mdh-4, Mdh-5, Pgm-1, Pgi-1 and Skdh-1) and the inheritance and linkage relationships between four of these loci and two marker loci was determined. The Pgi-1 locus had normal F_1 , but skewed F_2 segregation ratios. It was hypothesized that linkage of the Pgi locus to a self-incompatibility locus caused these deviations from monogenic segregation. Two additional loci, ME-1 and ME-2, were proposed, however, more segregation data will be necessary to confirm their inheritance. Isozyme electrophoresis was also effective in almost completely distinguishing thirty-two plants using only seven marker enzymes. A new dwarf sugarbeet mutant was also observed in the progeny of the crosses examined for isozyme analysis. This mutant, named spinach leaf appeared to be due to a single recessive gene which could not be overcome by application of gibberellic acid.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my major professor, Dr. Joseph Saunders, for supporting my work and for sharing with me his incredible energy and enthusiasm for science. I would also like to thank my commitee members, Dr. James Hancock and Dr. J. Clair Theurer, for their input, resources and editorial assistance.

I am grateful to my parents for their support and for encouraging me to strive for whatever would make me happy. I would especially like to thank to my partner Sharon for her love and wisdom, and for putting up with me while I completed this project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	of	TABLES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	v
LIST	OF	FIGURES		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	vii

Page

CHAPTER I

INHERITANCE AND LINKAGE OF SOME ISOZYME LOCI IN SUGARBEET

I	NTRODUC	TION	•	••	•	•	•	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
M	ATERIAI	LS AN	DM	eth	ODS	•	•	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
	PRODUC	CTION	OF	A	DOU	BL	ED	H	PL	OI	D.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
	CROSSI	<u>s.</u> .		e	- A	. A.		e	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
-1-1	STARCE	I GEL	ELI	ECT	ROP	HO	RE:	SIS	5 🕻	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	6
	Samı	ple p	rep	ara	tio	n	•	• •	•	¹ •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	6
	Syst	:e ns .	•	• •	•	•	•	• •	•	٠	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	7
````	🔪 Stai	ining	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	8
	NOMENO	CLATU	RE (	OF 1	enz	YM	ES	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
	STATIS	STICS	•	••	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	10
RI	ESULTS	AND 1	DISC	CUS	<b>SI</b> 0	N	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
	CROSSE	2S	•		•	•	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
	PRELI	MINA	RY	IS	OZY	ME	: :	ST	UD:	IES	s.	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	14
	ISOZYA	E IN	HER:	ITA	NCE	•	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	14
	Mala	ite de	ehyd	dro	gen	88	e	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	14
	Phoe	phog	luco	DEU	tas	e	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	20
	Phoe	sphog	luco	)se	18	0	era	186		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	21
	Mal	.ic e	enz	yme		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	28
	Shil	imat	e de	ehy	dro	gei	na	se a		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	31
	6-Pt	10sph	oglı	1001	nat	ē	del	hyć	lro	ge	na	8e	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	31
	Нурс	coty	1 [°] c	<b>510</b>	r a	nd	81	inu	al	18	m.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	33
	LINKA	JE.	•		•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	33
	SUMMAR	XY	•	••	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•.	•	39

### CHAPTER II

# FINGERPRINTING OF GENOTYPES USING ISOZYMES

INTRODUCTION	4	4]	l
--------------	---	----	---

MATERIALS AND METHODS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	43
Germplasm	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	43
Starch gel electrophoresis	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	43
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	46
Preliminary experiments	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	46
Malate dehydrogenase	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	49
Phosphoglucose isomerase .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	51
Malic enzyme	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	52
Shikimate dehydrogenase	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	52
Glutamate dehydrogenase	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		54
Phosphoglucomutase	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	54
Isocitrate dehydrogenase .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	54
Fingerprinting of lines	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	55
Summary	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	55

# CHAPTER III

# DESCRIPTION OF A SPINACH LEAF MUTANT

INTRODUCTION	• •	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	56
MATERIALS AND METHODS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	58
Crosses	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	58 58
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	59
Description of a dwarf mutant		• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •	59 60 63 70
BIBLIOGRAPHY		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	71

# LIST OF TABLES

Table	2	Page
1.1	Desription of crosses used for isozyme segregation analysis	6
1.2	Summary of electrophoretic materials and methods	9
1.3	Percent seed germination and segregation of the $F_1$ progeny at the hypocotyl color marker locus	12
1.4	The number of F ₁ individuals which set self seed over two consecutive seasons	13
1.5	Chi-square analysis of allelic segregation of Mdh-1 in $F_1$ and $F_2$ progenies of <u>Beta</u> vulgaris.	17
1.6	Chi-square analysis of allelic segregation of Mdh-3 in $F_1$ and $F_2$ progenies of <u>Beta</u> vulgaris.	20
1.7	Chi-square analysis of allelic segregation of Pgm-1 in $F_1$ and $F_2$ progenies of <u>Beta</u> vulgaris.	21
1.8	Chi-square analysis of allelic segregation of Pgi-1 in $F_1$ and $F_2$ progenies of <u>Beta</u> vulgaris.	22
1.9	Frequency of Pgi banding types in segregating F ₁ and F ₂ progeny	23
1.10	Possible F2 genotypes using 17% recombination between the Pgi-1 and self-incompatibility loci	24
1.11	Results of crosses for the tentative locus ME-2	30
1.12	Results of crosses for Skdh-1	32
1.13	Chi-square analysis of allelic segregation of R and B in $F_1$ and $F_2$ progenies of <u>Beta</u> vulgaris	34
1.14	F ₂ segregation ratios of Mdhl, Pgml, R and B, paired for linkage analysis	35
1.15	Analysis of linkage between Pgi-1, B and R using recombination frequencies and adjusted chi-square values	36

**

1.16	Analysis of linkage between Pgi-1, Mdh-1 and Pgm-1 using recombination frequencies and adjusted chi-square values	37
1.17	Analysis of linkage between Pgi and Pgm using F ₁ segregation data	39
1.18	Summary of the identified isozyme loci and their important characteristics	40
2.1	Germplasm used in fingerprinting study	45
2.2	Summary of all the isozymes and systems examined	47
2.3	Isozyme genotypes for clonal fingerprints	48
2.4	Frequencies of genotypes at each isozyme locus	49
3.1	Emergence date difference between normal and spinach leaf progeny of the F ₁ line 4-7	60
3.2	Comparison of the number of spinach leaf seedlings from untreated and GA treated seed balls	63
3.3	Summary of initial $F_1$ crosses which gave spinach progeny	66
3.4	Spinach leaf segregation in three separate sowings of $F_2$ seed.	67
3.5	Summary of spinach ratios from the $F_1$ 's and $F_2$ 's	68
3.6	<b>Possible</b> F ₂ phenotypes given 20% recombination between the spinach leaf and self-incompatibility loci	69

# LIST OF FIGURES

# Figure

1.1	The three zones of activity (left) and five (right) loci of Mdh. This gel was resolved with system II and shows SS, SF, and FF types for both Mdh-1 and Mdh-3. Using this system the Mdh-2 band comigrated with the slow (SS) Mdh-1 band. When system I was used the single band of Mdh-2 comigrated with the fast (FF) Mdh-1 band	15
1.2	Starch gel zymograms showing the banding genotypes at 4 isozyme loci: a) FF, 3-banded SF and SS banding genotypes at Mdh-1, b) Comparison of leaf (A) and pollen (B) samples from an Mdh-1 SF plant, c) FF, 3-banded SF and SS banding genotypes at Mdh-3, d) SS, 2-banded SF and FF banding genotypes at Pgm-1, e) SS, 3-banded SF and FF banding genotypes at Pgm-1, f) Comparison of leaf (A) and pollen (B) samples from a Pgi-1 SF plant	16
1.3	Graph of lines describing the SS, SF and FF banding types from 0% to 50% recombination. Combined data and data from each of the $F_2$ families in Table 1.9 are plotted on the SS and FF lines	26
1.4	a) This Malic enzyme zymogram was resolved using system II and shows the parents (Types I + II) and segregating F2 progeny of a type H, Fl individual. b) diagram showing the structures of the tetramers composing the three banding patterns. a, b and b represent the polypeptides produced by the alleles from the proposed loci ME-1, and ME-2	29
2.1	a) Mdh banding patterns using system I: (L to R) FF; band from EW-53; SS; SF; FF and band from WB 222-1. b) Mdh banding patterns using system II: (L to R) Mdh-1 SS; bands from WB 222-1; and two Mdh-1 SS types. Note Mdh-5 band is missing in WB 222-1. c) Comparison of Pgi zymograms using systems I (bottom) and II (top). d) Pgi zymogram (system II) showing WB 222-1 in lane 2	50
2.2	a) Malic enzyme banding patterns: I, II, III and IV. b) Shikimate dehydrogenase banding patterns: S and F. c) Glutamic dehydrogenase banding patterns: S and F. d) Idh banding patterns: I and II	53

# Figure

•

3.1	a) Germinating normal and spinach leaf seedlings. b) Comparison of spinach leaf (A) and normal (B) seedlings of the same age. c) Comparison of six week old spinach leaf (A) and normal (B) plants	61
3.2	Comparison of the percent germination (averaged over 2 reps) of untreated and gibberelic acid treated seed of the F ₁ spinach carrier EC4-5	64

CHAPTER I

INHERITANCE AND LINKAGE OF SOME ISOZYME LOCI IN SUGARBEET

#### CHAPTER I

#### INHERITANCE AND LINKAGE OF SOME ISOZYME LOCI IN SUGARBEET

#### INTRODUCTION

In the past 200 years, the sugarbeet (Beta vulgaris L.) has been developed from the mangel beet. Over this period great improvements have been made in many important characters such as yield, sugar percentage and disease resistance. As a result of these and other improvements, sugarbeet production in 1980 accounted for 42% of the world's sugar supply (Smith, 1987). Despite the enormous progress in breeding, study of the basic genetics of the sugarbeet has been limited in comparison with other crops.

Smith (1980) described 44 loci in sugarbeet. Although inheritance studies have been conducted on most of these loci, only four of them, hypocotyl color (R), yellow pigment (Y), annual growth habit (B) and monogerm (m), have been studied intensely. Of the loci which have been studied for linkage, ten are associated with the Y-R-B linkage group. Half of these ten loci encode betacyanin pigmentation patterns.

Four other linkage groups have also been proposed, however; three of these have only one marker gene (Theurer, 1968). This accounts for possibly five of the nine possible linkage groups in the diploid (n=18) sugarbeet. None of these linkage groups have been mapped to specific chromosomes. This can be contrasted with other plant species such as maize or tomato, in which hundreds of loci have been identified representing all of their chromosomes (Goodman, Stuber and Newton, 1982; Bernatzky and Tanksley, 1986).

There are many reasons why progress in increasing genetic

information in sugarbeets has been slow. One reason is a long generation time, due to the biennial nature of most sugarbeet lines. Many loci also show variable penetrance or expressivity. The segregation ratios obtained for these loci can be inconsistent from one environment to another. In addition to this, most of the marker loci which have been studied represent pigmentation, chlorophyll deficient or foliar mutants. Due to lethality of some mutants and problems distinguishing phenotypes of some of the foliar mutants, it has been difficult to obtain new information on the linkage of multiple loci.

An increase in genetic and linkage information could be of great value in sugarbeet research. Therefore, it would be highly desirable to identify new loci which are easy to distinguish and represent all of the linkage groups. These loci would also be helpful as markers in breeding and genetic studies. A method whereby this could be accomplished is isozyme electrophoresis.

Isozymes, first defined in 1959, are recognized as multiple molecular forms of enzymes with the same catalytic function (Markert and Moller, 1959). Although isozymes can be distinguished by many techniques, starch gel electrophoresis (SGE) is by far the most common and practical method used to acquire genetic and linkage information in plant species. One of many advantages of SGE is that genotypes can be identified without progeny testing since isozymes are generally codominant. This is valuable in  $F_2$  linkage analysis since much smaller numbers of progeny are necessary when all genotypic classes are identified (Allard, 1956).

Another advantage of SGE is that horizontal slicing of the starch gel permits quantification of the same samples for several different enzymes. This allows the study of many different isozyme loci at one

time. The status of isozyme research in over 24 plant species has been recently reviewed (Tanksley and Orton, 1983).

Although a large number of plant species have been studied using isozymes, very little isozyme research has been conducted in sugarbeets. The research which has been done tends to fall into 4 categories: (1) attempts to correlate a tissue culture trait or an adult plant character, such as ploidy, % sucroae, or fresh weight, with an isozyme pattern (Spettoli, Cacco and Ferrari, 1976; Spettoli, Bottacin and Cacco, 1980; Lehnhardt, Wiedmann and Gunther, 1981; Kevers, Coumans, Degreef, Hoffinger and Gaspar, 1981; Kevers, Coumans, Degreef, Jacobs and Gaspar, 1981), (2) A general description of variability and banding patterns for different enzymes (Van Geyt and Smed, 1984, Monastreva, Reimers and Levites, 1982), (3) Use of banding patterns to distinguish cultivars (Itenov and Kristensen, 1985), interspecific hybrids (Oleo, Van Geyt, Lange and DeBock, 1986), and monosomic addition lines (Jung, Wehling and Loptein, 1986), (4) Inheritance of isozyme loci (Maletskii and Konalov, 1985).

We initiated a research project to assess the potential of increasing the number of marker loci and linkage relationships in sugarbeet using isozymes, and to obtain a general idea of their usefulness in identifying individual clones and lines. Other objectives of this research included an assessment of the genetic diversity of the East Lansing breeding population and the creation of markers for tissue culture and somaclonal variation studies. The present study was conducted to elucidate the inheritance of isozyme variability in six different enzyme systems and to probe possible linkage relationships between the isozymes and with two other marker loci.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### PRODUCTION OF A DOUBLED HAPLOID

A diploid plant, presumably completely homozygous, was produced from a haploid via an in vitro colchicine technique which was adapted from Hussey and Hepher, 1978. The haploid plant, n50 X 34, was detected in  $F_1$  seedlings of a cross between a monogerm biennial plant and an extremely multigerm, annual, male sterile individual from the cytoplasmic male sterile Owens Annual (OA) line. The haploid plant resembled the female parent in every aspect except that it was smaller.

Shoot cultures of n50 X 34 were established from axillary buds on the flower stalk and were multiplied on Murashige-Skoog (MS) medium with 1.1 uM 6-benzyladenine (BA) (Saunders, 1982). Fifty small shoots, 4 mm in length, were soaked in a 1% autoclaved colchicine solution for 50 minutes and another 50 shoots were soaked in the same for 100 minutes. After soaking, the shoots were rinsed in sterile water and returned to the multiplication medium. When the shoots were approximately 3 cm tall they were placed on MS medium supplemented with 16.0 uM 1naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) to be rooted. Rooted shoots were transplanted into peat pots and grown in the greenhouse.

All of the plants which resulted from this technique were malesterile annuals which bolted under incandescent lights. The bolting plants were exposed to pollen shedding plants nearby to see if any plants or branches set plentiful seed. This tested for chromosome doubling due to the colchicine treatment, since only a doubled haploid,

(functionally a diploid) would have been able to set plentiful seed.

Two functional diploids were detected and then multiplied by in vitro shoot culture from axillary buds as described earlier. These two plants were given the clonal designations doubled haploid A and B (DH-A and DH-B). They were homozygous for the green hypocotyl color (rr), annualism (BB), male fertility maintainer (xx,zz) and self-fertility  $(S^{f}S^{f})$  alleles and also had the sterile (S) cytoplasm.

#### CROSSES

Six crosses were made in Fall, 1984, to produce  $F_1$  seed for an inheritance and linkage study of several isozyme loci (Table 1.1). DH-A and DH-B were the female parents of three of these crosses. The male parents of these crosses, 138C, 138D and 138H, were diploid, biennial plants which were at least partial male fertility restorers. These plants had their female parents in common and were also carriers of a dwarf crinkly leaf mutant character called spinach leaf. The male parents were crossed with the DH-A and DH-B females and were also pair crossed (Table 1.1). These crosses were labeled with the letters E.C. (enzyme cross) followed by a number. In Spring, 1985, seed was sown from each of the crosses and  $F_1$  families were obtained.

In Fall, 1985, plants from three  $F_1$  families were grown in the greenhouse under artificial long days (incandescent lights on a 18:6 LD cycle) where they bolted, were scored for pollen fertility and were then bagged to produce  $F_2$  seed.  $F_2$  progeny from selected self-fertile  $F_1$  individuals and many of the plants from the  $F_1$  families were examined for isozyme segregation ratios via starch gel electrophoresis. Data on segregation at the annualism and hypocotyl color loci was also recorded for all of the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progenies.

EC #	Cross	Genotypes*							
EC1	DH-A X 138D	rrBB X RRbb							
EC4	DH-A X 138C	rrBB X Rrbb							
EC6	138C X 138D	Rrbb X RRbb							
EC8	138D X 138C	RRbb X Rrbb							
EC10	138H X 138C	RRbb X Rrbb							
EC12	DH-B X 138D	rrBB X RRbb							

Table 1.1. Description of crosses used for isozyme segregation analysis

* genotypes were hypocotyl color: RR or Rr-red and rr-green; annualism: BB or Bb-annual and bb-biennial.

#### STARCH GEL ELECTROPHORESIS

#### Sample preparation

Young healthy leaves, approximately 5 cm long, were collected from greenhouse plants immediately before they were used. Three 1 cm diameter leaf discs were excised from each leaf blade, excluding the midvein, using a #5 cork borer. The discs were homogenized using a glass pestle in porcelain spot plates containing 4 drops of extraction buffer. The extraction buffer consisted of 0.1 M tris-HCl, pH 7.0 buffer, containing 18% glycerol, 5% soluble polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP-40T), 0.5% Triton X-100 and 1.0% 2-mercaptoethanol which was added just before use. The spot plates were placed on blocks of ice in trays and kept covered to maintain temperatures near 0°C throughout sample preparation. Pollen from individual plants was also used for samples. The pollen was collected when the plants were in flower and frozen in glass vials until needed. A mound of pollen, approximately 3 mm in diameter, was placed in the spot plate, and ground in three drops of extraction buffer in the same manner as the leaf discs.

#### Systems

The apparatus was a slight modification of that described by O'Malley, Wheeler and Guries (1980). Two buffer systems were used to resolve all of the enzyme systems examined. System I consisted of a 0.065 M L-histidine, 0.02 M citric acid monohydrate, pH 5.7 electrode buffer and a gel buffer that was a 1:6 dilution of the electrode buffer (Cardy, Stuber and Goodman, 1980). System II consisted of a 0.125 M tris-citric acid, pH 7.0 electrode buffer modified from Cheliak and Pitel (1984). The gel buffer was a 0.125 M DL-histidine.HCl, 1.4 mM Na_EDTA buffer adjusted to pH 7.0 with tris.

Gels were prepared with 500 ml gel buffer, 62.5 g potato starch (Sigma Chemical Company) and for system I gels only, 15 g sucrose. The heated and aspirated gel solution was poured into a plexiglass frame which had these dimensions: 21.7 cm long x 14.0 cm wide x 1.5 cm deep. The gels were allowed to cool for 2 hours, and were then covered with 2 layers of plastic wrap and refrigerated overnight.

Twenty paper wicks (Whatman Electrophoresis Paper No. 3MM), 4X15 mm in size, were soaked in leaf extract, blotted on paper toweling, and inserted into a slice in the starch gel either 10 or 6 cm from the cathodal side of the gel, for systems I and II, respectively. After loading the samples, the gel was placed in a refrigerator at 4°C, ice trays were placed on top of the gels and the gels were run at 20 watts constant power. After 25 minutes the wicks were removed and electrophoresis resumed for a total of 4 1/2 hours for system I and 6 hours for system II. The enzymes which were resolvable using system I were malate dehydrogenase (Mdh), phosphoglucomutase (Pgm), phosphoglucose isomerase (Pgi) and 6-phosphogluconic acid dehydrogenase (6-Pgdh). The enzymes which were resolvable using system II were Mdh,

Malic enzyme (ME), Shikimate dehydrogenase (Skdh) and Pgm. A summary of these methods can be found in Table 1.2.

#### Staining

After electrophoresis, gels were sliced into 1/16" thick slices using <u>plastic spacers</u> and a <u>fine steel guitar string</u> drawn taut on a hacksaw. Although 9 or 10 thin slices were obtained from a single gel, the top and bottom slices were discarded because they gave poor band resolution. The remaining 7 or 8 slices were immersed in stain solutions in the order given in Table 1.2, for 1 hour at  $37^{\circ}$ C.

The Pgi, Pgm and ME enzyme stains were adapted from Cardy, Stuber and Goodman (1980). The Pgi assay solution contained 50 mg MgCl₂, 100 mg D-fructose-6-phosphate, 40 units G6PDH (glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase), 10 mg NADP (3-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate), 10 mg NBT (nitro blue tetrazolium) and 1.5 mg PMS (phenazine methosulfate) in 50 ml 0.1 M tris-HCl pH 8.0 buffer. The Pgm stain was made with 50 ml 0.1 M tris-HCl pH 8.5 buffer, 100 mg MgCl₂, 50 mg Na₂EDTA ((ethylenedinitrilo)-tetraacetic acid disodium salt), 250 mg glucose-1-phosphate, 40 units G6PDH, 10 mg NADP, 10 mg NBT and 1 mg PMS. The ME stain contained 60 ml 0.1 M tris-HCl pH 8.5 buffer plus 40 ml 1.2 M DL-malate pH 8.0 solution, 200 mg MgCl₂, 52 mg NADP, 40 mg NBT and 4 mg PMS.

The Mdh, Skdh and 6-Pgdh enzyme stains were adapted from Cheliak and Pitel (1984). Mdh was stained using 50 ml 0.5 M DL-malate pH 7.0 solution, 25 mg NAD (3-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide), 20 mg NBT and 2 mg PMS in 50 ml 0.1 M tris-HCl pH 8.0 buffer. Skdh was stained with 40 mg shikimic acid, 10 mg NADP, 10 mg NBT and 1 mg PMS in 50 ml 0.1 M tris-HCl pH 8.0 buffer. The 6-Pgdh stain was made with 50 mg MgCl₂,

<b></b>	System I ^a	System II ^b	
рН	5.7	7.0	
Electrode Buffer (EB)	0.065 M L-histidine 0.020 M citric acid adjust pH with <u>citrate</u>	0.125 M tris adjusted to pH 7.0 with <u>citric</u> acid anhydrous	
Gel Buffer (GB)	0.009 M L-histidine 0.003 M citric acid (1:6 dilution of EB)	0.05 M DL-histidine.HCl 0.0014 M EDTA to pH 7.0 with tris dilute 3 H ₂ 0: 2 stock)	> for late 34 >
Gel	62.5 g potato starch and 15 g sucrose in 500 ml GB	62.5 g potato starch in 500 ml GB	(mar an wante
Origin	10 cm	6 cm	(11) d. 111, 1135 244 (
Power	20 watts (50 mAmps, 400 V)	20 watts (75 mAmps, 270 V)	and of the
Duration	4.5 hours	6 hours	N
Enzymes ^C	1) Mdh 2) Pgm 3) Pgi 4) 6Pgdh	1) Mdh 2) ME 3) Skdh 4) Pgm	

Table 1.2. Summary of electrophoretic materials and methods

a Cardy, Stuber and Goodman, 1980.

b adapted from Cheliak and Pitel, 1984.

c gel slices stained in this order, starting from bottom slice.

20 mg 6-phosphogluconic acid, 10 mg NADP, 10 mg NBT and 1 mg PMS in 50 ml 0.1 M tris-HC1 pH 8.0 buffer.

Gels were kept in the dark during staining to prevent excess background staining and to protect light sensitive reagents. Mdh, ME and 6-Pgdh stained gels were left overnight at room temperature to darken bands. Zymograms were scored and photographed for later reference.

#### NOMENCLATURE OF ISOZYMES

Loci and alleles, determined by band segregation, were designated using the IUPAC-IUB guidelines (1978). Each locus was named using the enzyme name followed by a number. The loci were numbered consecutively with the lowest number given to the locus which encoded the band with the highest mobility toward the anode. The designations slow (SS) and fast (FF) represented the two major bands or alleles seen at a locus and their mobilities relative to one another. The most anodal band was considered the fast isozyme. Banding patterns which showed both SS and FF bands and sometimes an intermediate band, were designated SF.

#### STATISTICS

Chi-square values were calculated to determine the inheritance of individual loci and linkage between loci. Data from two or more crosses was only combined if it was determined to be homogeneous by the chi-square homogeneity test (Little and Hills, 1978). Independence of loci was also determined by calculating the recombination frequencies and standard errors of  $F_2$  progeny data using the maximum liklihood method (Allard, 1956).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### CROSSES

Crosses were made in Fall, 1984, to produce  $F_1$  seed for genetic analysis of isozyme and marker loci. The seed from six  $F_1$  crosses was sown in Summer, 1985. Where possible, the hypocotyl color locus was used as a marker to determine the fidelity of the  $F_1$  crosses. At this locus, red hypocotyl color (RR, Rr) is dominant to green hypocotyl color (rr) (Kajanus, 1917; Keller, 1936). This marker was chosen because the phenotypes can be characterized a few days after germination.

Since no green progeny were observed, it was determined that no selfing or contamination by (r) pollen had occurred in the crosses labeled EC1, EC6 or EC12 (Table 1.3). An 11:9 segregation ratio of green to red seedlings implied fidelity of the EC4 cross. Selfincompatibility was relied on to control pollination in EC6, EC8 and EC10; since, when 138C and 138D, the respective female parents in the crosses, were individually bagged they did not set self seed. One could not detect contamination of the EC8 and EC10 crosses using the hypocotyl color marker because the female parents were homozygous dominant red. Further generations of EC6, EC8 and EC10 were not produced because all of the progeny were biennial.

Seed germination percentages of the lines ranged from 29-100% (Table 1.3). There were two problems, however, with these calculated values. First, they represented the number of seedlings which arose from multigerm seed balls and were not accurate measurements of the viability

EC 🛔	Parents	% germ	cross*	obse	rved	expe	pected			
				pink	green	pink	green			
EC1	DH-A X 138D	58%	rr X RR	29	0	29	0			
EC4	DH-A X 138C	40%	rr X Rr	11	9	10	10			
EC6	138C X 138D	94 <b>%</b>	Rr X RR	47	0	47	0			
EC8	138D X 138C	>100%	RR X Rr	56	0	56	0			
EC10	138H X 138C	100%	RR X Rr	50	0	50	0			
EC12	DH-B X 138D	>100%	rr X RR	49	0	49	0			

Table 1.3. Percent seed germination and segregation of the F₁ progeny at the hypocotyl color marker locus

* hypocotyl color phenotypes are RR or Rr:red and rr:green.

of all individual embryos. Second, it was impossible to determine the extent to which they were affected by unfavorable environmental conditions during seed set. These conditions included low light intensity levels and an infestation of spider mites and aphids on the plants in the crossing bags.

In Fall, 1985, the  $F_1$  plants which bolted under artificial long days (annuals) were isolated in selfing bags for  $F_2$  seed production. All of the annual  $F_1$ 's had inherited one S^f allele at the selfincompatibility (S) locus from DH-A or DH-B. Pollen which contains this allele is compatible on any stigma, thereby allowing plentiful self seed production (Owen, 1942).

These  $F_1$  plants had also inherited the male sterile cytoplasm from DH-A or DH-B. This cytoplasm causes plants to be male sterile unless they have a dominant allele at either the X or Z fertility restorer locus (Owen, 1945). The number of fertile  $F_1$ 's produced and the degree of fertility restoration were dependent upon the genotype of the male parents at the X and Z restorer loci. Therefore, the ratio of male

sterile to male fertile  $F_1$ 's should have indicated the genotypes of the male parents.

Approximately half of the  $F_1$ 's put in selfing bags in Fall, 1985, appeared to be male sterile. The other half of the  $F_1$ 's set varying amounts of self seed. When the  $F_1$ 's were bagged again in Fall, 1986, some plants which had set self seed in 1985 appeared sterile, and some plants which had not set seed in 1985 set plentiful seed (Table 1.4).

Table 1.4. The number of  $F_1$  individuals which set self seed over two consecutive seasons

	Total	# wh	Total			
EC #	<pre># progeny</pre>	Fall 85+86	Fall 85	Fall 86	Total	% plants setting seed
EC1	20	6	1	2	9	45 <b>%</b>
EC4	19	10	0	1	11	58 <b>%</b>
EC12	21	7	2	4	13	62%

During both growing seasons many plants suffered from a severe insect infestation which interfered with pollen production and seed development. Many of the ECl, EC4 and ECl2  $F_1$ 's died because of the infestation. Due to conflicting results over seasons, severe aphid infestations and the death of many  $F_1$  plants, no conclusions were drawn about the genotypes of the male parents at the X and Z loci.

Segregation data for several isozyme and marker loci were collected from the surviving  $F_1$  progeny and  $F_2$  progeny of ECl, EC4, and ECl2 individuals. Some  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progenies were also analyzed for linkage between loci. It is important to note that the death of many  $F_1$ 's during bagging as well as possible linkage of isozyme loci to recessive alleles at X and Z might have skewed the  $F_1$  segregation ratios.

#### PRELIMINARY ISOZYME STUDIES

Before the isozyme banding patterns were defined for any plant, all of the isozymes were examined under a variety of conditions. Clones of the plants 138C, 138D, 138H and DH-A were examined extensively to insure their banding patterns were the same when different extraction buffers, gel and electrode buffers and enzyme stains were used. Samples from plants grown in the greenhouse over different seasons were also compared for consistency. Leaves of different sizes and ages were sampled over time to insure that banding patterns were not affected by plant growth conditions, plant age or leaf age. Only those enzymes which gave. consistent banding patterns under all of these conditions were considered for inheritance studies.

#### ISOZYME INHERITANCE

#### Malate dehydrogenase

Gels stained for Mdh showed 3 distinct regions of banding activity. (Figure 1.1). The region which contained the fastest migrating bands showed three isozyme banding patterns: SS, FF and a three banded, SF pattern (Figure 1.2a). It was hypothesized that these bands were the products of a single locus, Mdh-1. The inheritance of these bands was determined by examining the segregation ratios of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progeny.

Crosses between two FF individuals resulted in all FF progeny (Table 1.5). Three SS X FF crosses resulted in progeny which uniformly expressed the SF genotype.  $F_2$  progeny from five selfed SF individuals segregated in the 1SS:2SF:1FF expected ratio with a greater than .7 probability (Table 1.5). The segregation data of one  $F_2$  line fit this ratio with a probability of .2-.3. The segregation data confirmed that the bands were the result of two alleles from a single locus, Mdh-1.



Figure 1.1. The three zones of activity (left) and five (right) loci of Mdh. This get was resolved with system II and alows SS, SF, and FF types for both Mdh-1 and Mdh-3. Using this system the Mdh-2 band condigrated with the alow (SS) Mdh-1 band. When system I was used the single band of Mdh-2 condigrated with the fast (FP) Hdh-1. band.



Figure 1-2. Starch gel zymograms showing the banding genotypes at 4 isozyme loc1: a) FF, 3-banded SF and SS banding genotypes at Mdh-1, b) Comparison of leaf (A) and pollen (B) samples from an Mdh-1 SF plant, c) FF, 3-banded SF and SS banding genotypes at Mdh-3, d) SS, 2-banded SF and FF banding genotypes at Pgm-1, e) SS, 3-banded SF and FF banding genotypes at Pgi-1, f) Comparison of leaf (A) and pollen (B) samples from a Pgi-1 SF plant.

EC #	Cross	Gen [@]	SS	SF	FF	N	x ²	Р
EC1&	SS X FF	F1		20		20		
EC12	SS X FF	F1		20		20		
EC4	SS X FF	F1		18		18		
EC6	FF X FF	F1			52	52		
EC10	FF X FF	F1			25	25		
EC4-9*	SF X SF	F2	2	13	4	19	3.000	.23
EC1-22	SF X SF	F2	10	23	14	47	0.702	.78
EC4-5	SF X SF	F2	23	53	25	101	0.326	.89
EC4-18	SF X SF	F2	19	38	15	72	0.670	.78
EC4-19	SF X SF	F2	11	23	14	48	0.458	.78
EC4-20	SF X SF	F2	10	17	7	34	0.529	.78

Table 1.5. Chi-square analysis of allelic segregation of Mdh-1 in  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progenies of Beta vulgaris

Gen: Generation, N: Total progeny, P: Probability, SS: slow homozygote, SF: heterozygote, FF: fast homozygote.
* only spinach leaf mutant segregates were examined
& EC1: DHA X 138D, EC4: DHA X 138C, EC6: 138D X 138C, EC10: 138H X 138C, EC12: DHB X 138D.

The existence of a three banded SF type provided strong evidence that the locus encoded a dimeric enzyme. In addition, the pollen of these SF individuals showed activity for the slow and fast bands, but not for the intermediate band (Figure 1.2b). When gels which contained pollen samples from SF plants were stained for a variety of dimeric enzyme systems, they did not show activity for the intermediate band (Tanksley, Zamir and Rick, 1981). It was determined that Mdh-1 was a single locus with 2 alleles which encoded a dimeric enzyme.

A band was observed which always comigrated with one of the Mdh-1 bands (Figure 1.1). When Mdh was resolved using system I, this band migrated to the same position as the intermediate band of Mdh-1. When system II was used, this band migrated to the same position as the fast band of Mdh-1. This band appeared regardless of the Mdh-1 genotype of the plant from which the sample was taken; however, it was obscured in SF and FF types when system II was used. All parents and progeny of the crosses showed activity for this band, but pollen did not. It was determined that this band is produced by a locus distinct from Mdh-1. This locus was designated Mdh-2 and was represented by only one allele.

The second region of banding activity was only resolvable with system II. This region contained 3 banding types, SS, FF and a three banded, SF type (Figure 1.1). It was hypothesized that these bands were the products of a single locus, Mdh-3. Each of the banding types was often coordinately expressed with several faint, faster migrating bands (Figure 1.1). Before determining if the major bands represented a new locus, it was necessary to examine the nature of the faint bands.

The faint bands showed variability in activity in different samplings of the same individual. In some instances the bands were inactive in individuals which had previously shown activity for these bands. In addition, the bands that were associated with the FF band, never appeared with the SS band, and vice versa. Since they did not associate independently it was unlikely that the faint bands and the major bands were the products of different loci.

It was also unlikely that the faint bands were due to intra- or interlocus heterodimers, since they were not located in a position intermediate to any two bands or loci. The phenomenon of associated Mdh bands was also observed in maize and was assumed to be due to secondary modification (McMillin and Scandalios, 1980). Other researchers were able to eliminate faint, trailing, Mdh bands in Maize by purification of the soluble Mdhs (Goodman and Stuber, 1983). The faint bands were determined to be artifactual, therefore they were ignored in the later collection of data for this study.

A cross between two SS plants resulted in all SS progeny (Table 1.6). Two SS X FF crosses produced progeny which gave a three banded, SF phenotype. This three banded pattern was similar to that observed in the Mdh-1, SF types (Figure 1.2c).  $F_2$  progeny from two, selfed SF types segregated in the expected 1SS:2SF:1FF ratio with a greater than 0.7 probability (Table 1.6). Since pollen was not active at this locus, comparisons of pollen and leaf tissue could not be used to confirm the dimeric nature of this enzyme. Nonetheless, the existence of an intermediate band was adequate to conclude that the Mdh-3 locus encoded a dimeric enzyme for which two alleles existed.

The third region of Mdh activity (observed only when system II was used) showed less activity than the other two regions. This region consisted of two, thin (less active) bands located close to the origin on the gel (Figure 1.1). These isozymes were always active except in pollen samples. When cross progeny were examined the bands never segregated or interacted.

In many species, MDH is known to be compartmentalized in microbodies, mitochondria and the cytosol (Ting, Fuhr, Curry and Zschoche, 1975). The activity of microbody Mdh in maize was shown to be much lower then in the activity of the cytosolic and mitochondrial isozymes (Yang and Scandalios, 1975b). The similarities between the Mdh zymograms in maize and sugarbeet imply that the thin bands are the products of isozymes localized in microbodies. It was determined that these bands were the products of two loci, Mdh-4 and Mdh-5 each of which had only one allele.

ec #	Cross	Gen [@]	SS	SF	FF	N	<b>x</b> ²	P
EC1 ^{&amp;}	SS X SS	F1	16			16		
EC6	SS X FF	F1		17		17		
EC4	SS X FF	F1		7		7		
EC4-20	SF X SF	F2	10	15	9	34	0.529	.78
EC4-9*	SF X SF	F2	6	9	5	20	0.300	.89

Table 1.6. Chi-square analysis of allelic segregation of Mdh-3 in  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progenies of Beta vulgaris

Cen: Generation, N: Total progeny, P: Probability,

SS: slow homozygote, SF: heterozygote, FF: fast homozygote.

* only spinach leaf mutant segregates were examined

& EC1: DHA X 138D, EC4: DHA X 138C, EC6: 138D X 138C.

### Phosphoglucomutase

Gels stained for Pgm showed two regions of activity; however, only the more anodal (faster) region was resolvable. In gels resolved using system I, the slower region virtually disappeared. The bands in this region never varied and also appeared to comigrate with the bands of the faster region. Stuber and Goodman (1983) observed a similar phenomenon and concluded that each allele of the Pgm locus was associated with a pair of isozyme bands. Since system I was primarily used in this study, the slower region of activity was simply ignored. The faster region contained three isozyme banding patterns: SS, FF and a two banded SF pattern (Figure 1.2d). It was hypothesized that these bands were the products of a single locus, Pgm-1.

Three FF X SF crosses segregated in the expected 1SF:1FF segregation ratio (Table 1.7). The data from two crosses between SF types and five selfs of SF individuals fit the 1SS:2SF:1FF expected ratio. The SF individuals showed activity for the S and F bands but did not show activity for an intermediate band (Figure 1.2d). The banding patterns from pollen versus leaf extracts were identical for SS, SF and FF individuals. The lack of an intermediate band in the heterozygotes indicated that the locus encoded a monomeric enzyme. It was determined that the Pgm-1 locus encoded a monomeric enzyme for which two alleles were observed.

EC 🖡	Cross	Gen [@]	SS	SF	FF	N	X2	P
EC1 ^{&amp;}	FF X SF	 F1		6	13	19	2.579	.12
EC12	FF X SF	<b>F</b> 1		9	12	21	0.429	.56
EC4	FF X SF	Fl		11	4	15	3.267	.051
<b>EC</b> 10	SF X SF	F1	9	12	4	25	2.040	.34
EC6	SF X SF	F1	15	27	10	52	1.039	.56
EC4-9*	SF X SF	F2	3	11	4	18	1.000	.67
EC1-22	SF X SF	F2	11	31	5	47	6.319	.051
EC4-5	SF X SF	F2	28	49	24	101	0.406	.89
EC4-18	SF X SF	F2	13	35	24	72	3.420	.12
EC4-20	SF X SF	F2	10	14	10	34	1.059	.56

Table 1.7 Chi-square analysis of allelic segregation of Pgm-1 in  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progenies of Beta vulgaris

Gen: Generation, N: Total progeny, P: Probability, SS: slow homozygote, SF: heterozygote, FF: fast homozygote.
* only spinach leaf mutant segregates were examined
& EC1: DHA X 138D, EC4: DHA X 138C, EC6: 138D X 138C, EC10: 138H X 138C, EC12: DHB X 138D.

### Phosphoglucose isomerase

After separation with system II, gels stained for Pgi showed two distinctly stained regions, neither of which could be clearly resolved into bands. Pollen was active only in the slower of the 2 regions. Gels separated with system I showed only one clearly resolvable region of activity. This region showed pollen activity and contained three isozyme banding patterns: SS, FF and a three banded SF pattern. It was hypothesized that these bands were encoded by a single locus, Pgi-1 (Figure 1.2e).

Three FF X SF crosses resulted in Fl progeny which segregated in the expected 1SF:1FF ratio. Fl progeny, from a SS X SF cross, segregated in the 1SS:1SF expected ratio (Table 1.8). Pollen from SF individuals showed activity for the slow and fast bands but not the intermediate band. (Figure 1.2f). This is the kind of data that one would expect for a locus with two alleles, each encoding a subunit of a dimeric enzyme.

Table 1.8. Chi-square analysis of allelic segregation of Pgi-1 in  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progenies of Beta vulgaris

EC #	Cross	Gen [@]	SS	SF	FF	N	x ²	P
EC1 ^{&amp;}	FF X SF	F1		9	10	19	0.052	.89
EC12	FF X SF	F1		13	8	21	1.190	.23
EC4	FF X SF	F1		10	6	16	1.000	.34
EC10	SS X SF	F1	11	14		25	0.360	.56
EC6	SF X SF	F1	6	28	18	52	5.846	.051
EC1-22	SF X SF	F2	2	22	23	47	18.957	<.05
EC4-5	SF X SF	F2	10	50	41	101	19.039	<.05
EC4-18	SF X SF	F2	5	39	28	72	15.190	<.05
EC4-19	SF X SF	F2	4	21	23	48	15.792	<.05

Gen: Generation, N: Total progeny, P: Probability, SS: slow homozygote, SF: heterozygote, FF: fast homozygote
* only spinach leaf mutant segregates were examined
& EC1: DHA X 138D, EC4: DHA X 138C, EC6: 138D X C, EC10: 138H X C, EC12: DHB X 138D.

In contrast to this data,  $F_1$  progeny from a cross between two SF plants and  $F_2$  progeny from selfs of four SF individuals did not segregate in the expected 1SS:2SF:1FF ratio (Table 1.8). The ratios were consistently skewed such that when the data was averaged, 8.4% of

the progeny were of the SS type, and 41.6% of the progeny were of the FF type (Table 1.9). Only the SF type, found in a total of 50.0% of the progeny, was close to its expected value.

Family	SS	SF	FF	N	
EC4-5	.099	.495	.406	101	
EC4-18	•0 <b>69</b>	•542	.389	72	
EC4-19	.083	.438	.479	48	
EC1-22	.043	.468	.489	47	
EC6	.115	•539	.346	52	
-All data combined	.084	.500	.416	320	
-EC 4 F ₂ data only	.086	.498	-416	221	

Table 1.9. Frequency of Pgi banding types in segregating  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progeny

All of the  $F_1$  plants from ECl, EC4 and ECl2 had received one normal self-incompatibility allele from the original male parent and one S^f allele from the female parent. Pollen which contains the S^f allele is compatible on any stigma; however, pollen containing any other S allele may or may not be compatible. Overall the Beta vulgaris incompatibility system is extremely complex and contains either 2 or 4 loci with multiple alleles (Owen, 1942, Larsen, 1977).

A simplified model was developed to explain the skewed Pgi ratio. This model was based on the premise that the self-compatability system involved was monogenically inherited. Assuming this premise to be true, the following was also true: (1) Pollen which contained the (S) allele contributed by the male parent was self-incompatible in the Fl's, and (2) The segregation ratios of any genes linked to the (S) locus were skewed in favor of the allele contributed by the female parent.
Expected Pgi segregation ratios were calculated for a range of recombination percentages. An example which was calculated following this model, using a value of 17% recombination between the loci, can be found in Table 8.

						Pa	rental	Male types	e Gametes Recom	hinent t	These
Fe	male	Ga	netes	ł	1	F S: •83	E	S S1 incomp	F S1 patible	S	Sf 17
	.415	F	Sf	-	.3444	FF	SfSf			.0706	SF SfS1
P	.415	S	<b>S</b> 1		.3444	SF	SfS1			.0706	SS SfS1
-	•085	F	<b>S</b> 1		.0706	FF	SfS1			.0144	SF SfS1
ĸ	•085	S	Sf		.0706	SF	SfSf			.0144	SS SfSf
	Ex	pe	cted	Pgi	ratio		•085	SS .500	) SF .415	FF	

Table 1.10. Possible  $F_2$  genotypes using 17% recombination between the Pgi and self-incompatibility loci

When the calculated values in Table 1.10 were compared to the combined values in Table 1.9, it was obvious that the frequencies of the banding types were very similar. Equations for lines describing the three banding types at percent recombinations (ZR) from 0 to 50 percent were calculated using the incompatibility/linkage model as a basis. These equations, with Y equal to the frequency of the banding types and X equal to the ZR, are:

> SS: Y = .005X SF: Y = .500 FF: Y = -.005X + .5

Figure 1.3 is a graph of these lines. If the %R was 0%, the ratio

Figure 1.3. Graph of lines describing the SS, SF and FF banding types from 0% to 50% recombination. Combined data and data from each of the  $F_2$  families in Table 1.9 are plotted on the SS and FF lines.



of the banding frequencies would be .5SF:.5FF and there would be no SS types. If the XR was 50%, the loci would be independent and the ratio of the banding frequencies would be .25SS:.50SF:.25FF. When the SS and FF data from each of the crosses were plotted on these lines the data tended to cluster between 15 and 25% recombination. When the SS and FF equations were calculated using the banding frequency values from the combined data, the resulting XR for both equations was 16.8%.

It was also possible that the skewed Pgi-1 ratio observed in this study was entirely or partially due to linkage between Pgi-1 and an embryonic lethal locus. A similar situation was observed in Beta vulgaris in conjuction with the alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) locus (Maletskii and Konovalov, 1986). Segregating progeny from the selfpollinations of 13 sister lines were examined. Some lines segregated in the expected 1:2:1 ratio while others deviated from this ratio. They concluded that the ADH locus was linked to an incompatibility locus and a locus which behaved like an embryonic lethal.

In our study, 138C and 138D were carriers of a dwarf crinkly leafed mutant phenotype named spinach leaf. Approximately 9% of the progeny from crosses between 138C and 138D are of the spinach leaf phenotype. Spinach leaf seedlings have shortened hypocotyls, are slow to germinate and are often deformed and die (see Chapter 3). Assuming that this mutant is caused by a single gene, there may have been lethality of some of the embryos which would have been spinach leaf types. If this were the case, any locus linked to the spinach leaf allele would have a skewed segregation ratio. Partial lethality of pollen carrying the mutant allele would have had a similar effect, even in the  $F_1$  progeny.

The evidence does not support this theory for the following

reasons: 1)  $F_1$  progeny from the original EC1, 4, 10 and 12 crosses did not segregate in the skewed ratios that they should have if there was gametophytic lethality involved (Table 1.8) and 2) Lines which did not produce  $F_2$  spinach segregates still gave skewed  $F_2$  Pgi ratios. Although the spinach mutant may have had an effect, the skewed Pgi ratio was probably due to linkage between the Pgi-1 and self-incompatibility loci.

The map distance between the two loci was estimated to be 16.8 map units. It was determined that the Pgi-1 locus encoded a dimeric enzyme for which two alleles were observed. Nonetheless, some additional crosses should be analyzed to confirm these conclusions and to insure that any lethal affects of the mutant did not confound the segregation data. More information about the spinach leaf mutant can be found in Chapter 3.

### Malic enzyme

Two distinct ME banding types were observed in the parental lines, each composed of 5 equally spaced bands. When the two types were compared, the most anodal bands of both were located at the same migration distance on the gel. The other four bands, however, were in different positions (Figure 1.4). These banding types were identified using the Roman numerals I and II. Pollen was active for only the most anodal band.

A cross between between two type I individuals produced progeny which exhibited only banding type I (Table 1.11). All of the progeny from a type II X type I cross produced progeny which showed activity of both of the banding types plus some additional bands for a total of 15 bands. Individuals showing this pattern were labeled a hybrid (H) banding type (Figure 1.4a). A self of a hybrid type gave progeny which



the parents (Types I + II) and segregating  $\mathbb{F}_2$  progeny of a type H, Fl individual. b) diagram showing the structures of the tetramers composing the three banding Figure 1.4. a) This Malic enzyme zymogram was resolved using system II and shows patterns. a, b and b'represent the polypeptides produced by the alleles from the proposed loci ME-1, and ME-2.

segregated in a 1:2:1 (type I : hybrid : type II) ratio with a greater than 0.8 probability (Table 1.11).

EC #	Cross*	Gen [@]	11	H	I	N	<b>x</b> ²	P
EC1 ^{&amp;}	IIXI	Fl		16		16		
EC6	ΙΧΙ	F1			17	17		
EC4-20	нхн	F2	8	16	10	34	0.353	.89

Table 1.11. Results of crosses for the tentative locus ME-2

* Type I and II represent banding patterns and the fast and slow alleles respectively of the hypothesized ME-2 locus.
@ Gen: Generation, N: Total progeny, P: Probability,

SS: slow homozygote, SF: heterozygote, FF: fast homozygote.

& EC1: DHA X 138D, EC4: DHA X 138C, EC6: 138D X 138C.

From this data it was hypothesized that malic enzyme was controlled by two loci which interacted to form a five banded pattern, the result of a tetrameric enzyme. Based on this hypothesis the observed data could be explained by the following: 1) The fastest band of both type I and II patterns was the result of the same allele at the invariant ME-1 locus, 2) The slowest band of both type I and II patterns represented two different alleles at the ME-2 locus, slow (type II) and fast (type I), 3) The three intermediate bands of both patterns were a result of interlocus heterotetramers between ME-1 and ME-2, 4) The hybrid banding pattern was caused by inter- and intralocus heterotetramers between the ME-1 locus and both alleles of the ME-2 locus. The result of all of these bands was a 15 banded pattern, and 5) The 1:2:1 (type I : hybrid : type II) ratio was actually a 1SS:2SF:1FF segregation of the two alleles of the ME-2 locus. Figure 1.4b is a diagram of this hypothesis.

Evidence in support of this hypothesis is provided by many other

species (maize for example) which have tetrameric malic enzyme isozymes (Goodman and Stuber, 1983). Researchers working with many other species have chosen not to study ME because of its complex banding patterns. In sugarbeets, Van Geyt and Smed (1984) described an article by Levites (1979) which proposed that the five ME isozymes observed in his study were the result of a tetrameric enzyme, controlled by one gene. Van Geyt and Smed felt that the starch gel electrophoresis method used by Levites did not adequately resolve the bands, therefore they disagreed with his proposal. Since neither author examined segregation data they could not establish the genetic basis of the observed zymograms. Although the segregation data (in our study) seemed to fit our hypothesis, more progeny should be analyzed before a definitive statement of ME inheritance is made.

## Shikimate dehydrogenase

Only one Skdh band was observed in the parents of all the crosses. All of the progeny of four different  $F_1$  crosses had activity for this band (Table 1.12). Pollen was not active for the Skdh enzyme. The band was tentatively designated as a product of the Skdh-1 locus. A slightly faster band was discovered in a genotype which was not used in the crosses. This will be discussed in Chapter 2.

# 6-Phosphogluconate dehydrogenase

When parents of the crosses were stained for 6-Pgdh, they all exhibited the same four banded pattern. This pattern was composed of a single fast band followed by three evenly spaced, slower bands. All cross progeny showed the same four banded pattern.

EC #	Cross*	Gen [@]	I	N	x ²	P
EC6 ^{&amp;}	IXI	Fl	17	17		
EC8	IXI	F1	2	2		
EC10	IXI	F1	1	1		
EC1	IXI	Fl	2	2		

Table 1.12. Results of crosses for Skdh-1

* Type I represents the single band of the hypothesized Skdh-1 locus.

@ Gen: Generation, N: Total progeny, P: Probability.

& EC1: DHA X 138D, EC6: 138D X 138C, EC 8: 138C X 138D, EC10: 138H X 138C.

There are several possible explanations for this banding pattern. 1) Each band was caused by a different locus. 2) Some of the bands were caused by different loci and some were artifactual. 3) The pattern was caused by the three invariant loci, two of which interacted to form an interlocus heterodimer. In many plant species 6-Pgdh isozymes have been shown to be dimers encoded by two loci, one cytoplasmic and one associated with plastids (Gottlieb, 1981). Maize 6-Pgdh isozymes are encoded by two cytosolic loci which interact to form heterodimers (Goodman, Stuber, Newton and Weissinger, 1980b). Heterodimers are not formed between enzymes located in different cellular compartments.

Since most species have been shown to have only two 6-Pgdh loci it is unlikely, but not impossible, that there are four different loci in sugarbeet. To confirm any of these explanations, however, it will be necessary to find an individual which has a different 6-Pgdh banding pattern and use it as a parent in crosses. Analysis of the ensuing segregating progeny can then be used to determine the correct explanation.

## Hypocotyl color and annualism

The hypocotyl color and annualism loci were used as marker loci in crosses and were tested with the isozyme loci for linkage. These loci encode monogenically inherited traits and are known to be found on the same linkage group (Kajanus, 1917; Keller, 1936; Munerati, 1931; Abegg, 1936). Red hypocotyl color is dominant to green, and annual flowering habit is dominant to biennial. The expected segregation of the alleles of one or both of these loci was observed in most of the lines which were also examined for isozyme segregation (Table 1.13). The data did not fit segregation ratios for one line (Table 1.13). This was probably due to poor penetrance of the (B) allele under adverse environmental conditions.

# LINKACE

Mdh-1 was found to be unlinked to the Pgm-1, B and R loci when chi-square goodness of fit and recombination values were calculated (Table 1.14). Pgm-1 was also found to be independent of the R and B loci. Mdh-3, the proposed loci and all of the non-segregating loci were not included in the linkage tests.

The data from the EC 6 and  $F_2$  progeny (see Table 1.8) which segregated for Pgi-l gave highly significant chi-square values when linkage of Pgi-l to the the Mdh-l, Pgm-l, hypocotyl color and annualism loci was tested (Tables 1.15 and 1.16). When the recombination percentages were calculated using Allard's maximum likelihood formulas, however, they were all very close to 50%, which indicated independence. These conflicting results were most likely a result of the skewed segregation of the alleles at the Pgi-l locus. The calculations done using Allard's formulas were not affected by the skewed Pgi-l ratios, whereas the chi-

EC #	Cross	Gen [@]	A	88	N	<b>x</b> ²	P
R: Hypoc	otyl colo	r					
EC1	rr X RR	<b>F</b> 1	29	0	29		
EC12	-	F1	49	0	49		~~
EC4	rr X Rr	F1	11	9	20	0.200	.67
EC6	Rr X RR	F1	52	0	52		
EC8	RR X Rr	F1	56	0	56		
EC10	-	F1	50	0	50		
EC4-5	rr X rr	F2	0	101	101		
EC4-18	Rr X Rr	F2	56	16	72	0.296	.56
EC4-19	-	F2	36	12	48	0.000	1.00
COMBINE	F2's 4-14	8,4-19	92	28	120	0.178	.67
EC4-9*	rr X rr	F2	0	32	32		
EC4-20	•	F2	0	34	34		
EC1-22	Rr X Rr	F2	31	16	47	2.050	.12
B: Annua	lism						
EC1	BB X bb	F1	29	0	29		
EC4	•	F1	20	0	20		
EC12	-	<b>F1</b>	49	0	49		
EC6	ьр х рр	F1	0	52	52		
EC8	•	F1	0	56	56		
EC10	-	<b>F1</b>	0	50	50		
EC4-5	вь х вь	F2	72	29	101	0.740	.34
EC4-9*	-	F2	22	10	32	0.667	.45
EC1-22	••	F2	26	21	47	9.709	<.05

Table 1.13. Chi-square analysis of allelic segregation of R and B in  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progenies of Beta vulgaris

@ Gen: Generation, N: Total progeny, P: Probability, A : dominant phenotype, aa: recessive phenotype. * Only spinach leaf mutant progeny were examined.

Table 1.14.	r ₂ segreg	ation r	atios of	Mdhl, F	'gml, Ran	d B, pa	ired	for 11	nkage aı	alysis	
Loci	z	S, S, S	е S, в S, H S, F	H,A H,S	, Н, а Н, Н, F	F,A F,S	, F,, F, H ]		x ²	¢.	۵.
Mdhl-B EC 4-5	101	17*	7*	37	15	18	7		0.86	.995	50+/- 6.1%
<u>Pgml-B</u> EC 4-5	101	21	7	31	18	20	4		4.75	.45	46+/- 6.1%
<u>Mdhl-R</u> EC 1-22 EC 4-18	47 72	7	7 3	15 30	∞ ∞	99	ο n		2.99 4.74	.78 .45	
EC 4-19 EC 4*	48 120	22	000	20	3 11	11 20			6.94 2.24	.23 .89	52+/- 5.6%
<u>Pgml-R</u> EC 1-22 EC 4-18	47 72	10 8	5 1	18 30	13 5	3 18	Q 7		14.22 3.30	<.05 .67	45+/- 7.2%
<u>Mdh1-Pgm1</u> EC 1-22 EC 4-5 EC 4-18	47 101 72	107	8 14 12 6	14 14 8	12 4 24 15 16 14	0 80 47	11	4 Q L	10.87 3.24 7.89	.23 .995 .45	
EC 4-20 EC 4*	34 207	<b>10</b>	4 3 30 12	5 27	6 6 46 35	2 14	22	11	2.94 6.26	.995 .67	48+/- 3.5%

a: recessive locus phenotypes, P: probability of independence, p: recombination percent +/-@ S: slow homozygote, H: heterozygote, F: fast homozygote, A: dominant locus phenotype,

* pooled data was shown to be homogeneous using homogeneity chi-square test. standard error determined using Allard's maximum likelihood formulas.

U	n Juo Lea		TBA 3100	9						
Loci	Z	s,A ^e	S, a	Н,А	H,a	F,A	F,a	x ²	<u>е</u> ,	đ
<u>Pgil-B</u> EC 4-5	101	4,3	,	33 37 <b>0</b>	17 12 6	32 31 5	9 3 01	21.60 2 80	<.05 7	47+/- 6.12
aujust cap			1.7		0.21		C•01	00.1		
<u>Pg11-R</u> EC 1-22	47	7	0	17	ŝ	12	11	31.64	<b>&lt;.</b> 05	
EC 4-18	72	ς.	7	31	œ	22	6	16.11	< <b>.</b> 05	
EC 4-19	48	e	l	18	'n	15	80	19.16	<.05	
EC 4*	110	9	ŝ	49	11	37	14*	34.88	<.05	49+/- 5.8%
adjust exp		6.93	2.31	41.25	13.75	34.32	11.44	3.12	.67	

Table 1.15. Analysis of linkage between Pgi-1, B and R using recombination frequencies and ad fusted chi-square values

a: recessive locus phenotypes, P: probability of independence, p: recombination +/- standard @ S: slow homozygote, H: heterozygote, F: fast homozygote, A: dominant locus phenotype, error determined using Allard's maximum likelihood formulas.

* pooled data was shown to be homogeneous using homogeneity chi-square test.

[°] expected chi-square values adjusted for skewed Pgi-1 segregation ratios.

	frequer	ncies a	nd ad	juste	d ch1	-squa	re va	lues					
Loci	z	s, se	S,H	S,F	H,S	Н,Н	H,F	F,S	F,H	F, F	x ²	P4	<b>a</b>
Pgil-Mdhl													
EC 1-22	47	0	0	7	9	œ	œ	4	15	4	24.02	<.05	
EC 4-5	101	7	9	7	15	24	11	9	23	12	23.36	<.05	
EC 4-18	72	٦	ŝ	7	12	22	ŝ	9	13	6	19.89	<.05	
EC 4-19	48	0	4	0	'n	6	6	80	10	5	24.25	<.05	
EC 4*	221	e	13	ო	30	55	25	20	46	26	50.92	<.05	53+/- 3.4%
adjexp [°]		4.6	9.3	4.6	27.6	55.2	27.6	23.0	46.0	23.0	3.83	.89	
Pg11-Pgml													
EC 6	52	ς Γ	٦	7	6	14	Ś	ς.	12	ę	11.23	.12	
EC 1-22	47	0	7	0	4	16	2	7	13	e	27.38	<.05	
EC 4-5	101	n	4	ŝ	16	25	6	6	20	12	21.89	<.05	
EC 4-18	72	0	7	Ś	7	21	11	9	12	10	20.06	<.05	
EC 4*	173	m	9	9	23	46	20	15	32	22	37.68	<.05	52+/- 3.8%
adj exp		3.6	7.3	3.6	21.6	43.2	21.6	18.0	36.0	18.0	4.15	.89	

Table 1.16. Analysis of linkage between Pgi-1, Mdh-1 and Pgm-1 using recombination

@ S: slow homozygote, H: heterozygote, F: fast homozygote, P: probability of independence, p: recombination percent +/- standard error determined using Allard's maximum likelihood formulas.

* pooled data was shown to be homogeneous using homogeneity chi-square test.

^ respected chi-square values adjusted for skewed Pgi-l segregation ratios.

square calculations were.

To confirm if skewed Pgi-1 ratio was the cause of the high chisquare values in all of the pairs of loci which were tested, expected values were calculated which accounted for the skewed Pgi ratio. These adjusted expected values were calculated by multiplying the observed Pgi class frequency (0) by the expected class frequency of the non-skewed locus (E), times the number of progeny (n). Formulas used in these calculations, using the combined data values from Table 1.9 (as an example) are as follows:

> expected ?,? = 0 ( E ) X n expected S,S = .084 (.25) X n expected H,S = .500 (.25) X n expected F,H = .416 (.50) X n

When the adjusted chi-square values were used to calculate the independence between the Pgi-1 and Mdh-1, Pgm-1, R and B loci, the probability of independence was above 0.60 in every case (Tables 1.15 and 1.16). These values were in agreement with the recombination percentages determined using Allard's equations on unadjusted data.

Chi-square values for independence between the Pgi-1 and Pgm-1 loci were also calculated for three  $F_1$  lines (Table 1.17). These lines were significant since they did not have a skewed Pgi-1 ratio. The chisquare values for all three lines confirmed the independence of the Pgi-1 and Pgm-1 loci. It can be implied that the high chi-square values which were observed in the EC6 and  $F_2$  data in Tables 1.15 and 1.16 were a result of the skewed Pgi ratio. It was determined that there was no linkage between Pgi-1 and any of the loci examined. As discussed earlier these crosses should be reproduced using parents which do not carry the spinach leaf mutant.

Line	N	H S,S	;н [@] н, s	H;F S,H	F;H H,H	F;F S,F	H,F	x ²	P
EC1 ^{&amp;}	19		7	6	3	3		2.68	.45
EC12	21	4	4	8	4	5		2.05	•5-•6
BC1+12 [#]	40	13	1	14	7	8		3.00	.34
EC10	25	3	6	7	5	1	3	4.44	.45

Table 1.17. Analysis of linkage between Pgi and Pgm using F₁ segregation data

S: slow homozygote, H: heterozygote, F: fast homozygote,
 P: probability of independence.

* pooled data was shown to be homogeneous.

& EC1: DHA X 138D, EC10: 138H X 138C, EC12: DHB X 138D.

# SUPPLARY

In summary, eight new loci were identified, four of which had more than one allele and segregated as expected (Table 1.18). None of these loci were found to be linked to one another or to the annualism or hypocotyl color loci. Two additional loci were proposed, however, more progeny must be analyzed to determine their inheritance. Pollen samples showed activity at only three of the eight loci. Of the segregating loci, three encoded dimeric enzymes and one encoded a monomeric enzyme.

Locus	# subunits ^{&amp;}	# alleles	pollen	system
Mdh-1	2	2	active	I or II
Mdh-2	-	1	inactive	I or II
Mdh-3	2	2	inactive	II
Mdh-4	-	1	inactive	II
Mdh-5	-	1	inactive	II
Pgm-1	1	2	active	I or II
Pgi-1	2	2	active	I
Skdh-1	-	1	inactive	II
ME-1*	5	1	active	II
ME-2*	5	2	inactive	II

Table 1.18. Summary of the identified isozyme loci and their important characteristics

& - means # of subunits not known
* these loci are only proposed

CHAPTER II

FINGERPRINTING OF GENOTYPES USING ISOZYMES

## CHAPTER II

### FINGERPRINTING OF GENOTYPES USING ISOZYMES

# INTRODUCTION

Isozyme electrophoresis has been used to distinguish cultivars and assess the genetic variation in a number of crops (Ignart and Weeden, 1984; Wu, Harivandi, Harding and Davis, 1984). Horizontal starch gel electrophoresis is the most common method used since a large number of samples and enzymes can be assayed quickly and inexpensively. Even heterozygous lines can be distinguished using allelic frequencies of isozyme loci.

Breeding lines and hybrids of many crops (maize for example) are highly inbred and homogeneous and have been readily distinguished using genotypic differences at isozyme loci (Cardy and Kannenberg, 1982). The relative ease by which cultivars of any species can be identified depends upon the isozyme uniformity within each line. Cultivars of species which have variable alleles at isozyme loci have been distinguished by comparing the allozyme frequencies of the cultivars (Nielsen, Ostergaard and Johansen, 1983).

Of the few papers written about sugarbeet isozymes, only one dealt specifically with the use of isozymes for distinguishing cultivars (Itenov and Kristensen, 1985). There have also been papers about using isozymes to distinguish interspecific hybrids and monosomic addition lines (Oleo, Van Geyt, Lange and DeBock, 1986; Jung, Wehling and Loptein, 1986).

Most sugarbeet breeding lines and cultivars are heterogeneous due to their modes of pollination and hybrid seed production. This

heterogeneity should permit a group of unrelated plants to be easily distinguished if enough marker loci are examined. The ability to distinguish individuals could have a variety of uses in a breeding program. Some examples of these uses are: detecting pollen contamination in crosses, checking seed lot integrity, detecting mislabeling of seed, plants or tissue culture plates, and assessing the genetic diversity of a breeding population. The objective of this research was to determine if individual plants from seed or tissue culture could be distinguished by isozyme phenotypes or "fingerprinting".

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Germplasm

A germplasm collection of individual plants was chosen which represented a diverse range of Beta vulgaris germplasm. This collection included plants sampled from sugarbeet breeding lines, mutant stocks, hybrid parents and a variety of Beta cultivars (Table 2.1). Plants were obtained from tissue culture stocks, or from random selections of single seedlings from a line. These plants were grown and maintained in the greenhouse where leaves were always available for sampling.

A total of 32 different lines and 39 individuals were examined by starch gel electrophoresis. Only 1 individual was sampled for 30 of the lines, whereas, several individuals were sampled from the remaining 2 lines (EL 44 and FC 701/5). Isozyme phenotypes, for 5 loci and 2 enzyme systems, were recorded for almost every individual.

# Starch gel electrophoresis

The banding patterns of all of the individuals were determined by gel electrophoresis using the procedures and stains described in Chapter 1. In addition to the enzyme stains which were previously described, two enzyme systems, isocitrate dehydrogenase (Idh) and glutamic dehydrogenase (Gdh), were also used. The stain for isocitrate dehydrogenase contained 100 ml 0.1 M tris-HCl pH 8.0 buffer, 100 mg MgCl₂, 600 mg DL-isocitrate acid, 40 mg NADP, 40 mg NBT and 2 mg PMS. Glutamic dehydrogenase was stained with 2 g L-glutamic acid, 20 mg NAD,

20 mg NBT and 1 mg PMS in 50 ml 0.1 M tris-HCl pH 8.0.

Clone or Population Characteristics Source T4E57-4 trout leaf mutant J.C. Theurer, E. Lansing, MI 84MS-20 trout leaf mutant J.C. Theurer, E. Lansing, MI 4C22-3 J.C. Theurer, E. Lansing, MI chlorina mutant M816-1 vellow root mutant J.C. Theurer, E. Lansing, MI 4E25-3 semi-dwarf mutant J.C. Theurer, E. Lansing, MI M078-48 J.C. Theurer, E. Lansing, MI plaintain leaf mutant J-3 stigmoid mutant T. Kinoshita, Japan EL 36 Type 0, monogerm USDA stock, E. Lansing, MI **EL 40** USDA stock, E. Lansing, MI multigerm EL 44 Type 0, monogerm USDA stock, E. Lansing, MI EL 45 Type 0, monogerm USDA stock, E. Lansing, MI EL 48 monogerm USDA stock, E. Lansing, MI FC 506 R. Zielke, Carrollton, MI Type 0, monogerm FC 607 Type 0, monogerm G.A. Smith, Ft. Collins, CO FC 708 Type O, monogerm R. Hecker, Ft. Collins, CO L53 some mangel background J.C. Theurer, E. Lansing, MI SP6822 multigerm USDA stock, E. Lansing, MI SP6926 Type 0 G. Coe, Beltsville, MD CMS B male sterile J.W. Saunders, E. Lansing, MI 80-111 Type 0 J.W. Saunders, E. Lansing, MI 80-60 Type 0 J.W. Saunders, E. Lansing, MI 138 spinach leaf source J.W. Saunders, E. Lansing, MI I436-3 J.W. Saunders, E. Lansing, MI Type 0 04-1 **Owens** Annual Tester J.W. Saunders, E. Lansing, MI FC 701/5 rhizoctonia resistance R. Hecker, Ft. Collins, CO F1003 low respiration D. Cole, Fargo, ND GWK Gartons White Knight mangel CHARD chard Fordhook Giant (Northrup King Seeds) EW table beet Early Wonder (Northrup King Seeds) **WB** 222 M.H.Yu, Salinas, CA B. lomatogona

Table 2.1. Germplasm used in fingerprinting study

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# **Preliminary experiments**

A variety of isozyme stains and electrophoresis buffer systems were examined to determine which ones fulfilled the criteria necessary for fingerprinting of individual plants. The criteria were: (1) the system and stain provided adequate resolution of the observed banding patterns, (2) the patterns contained enough variability to distinguish at least 2 individuals, and (3) the banding pattern of an individual was the same over a range of environmental conditions, leaf sizes and plant ages. The systems and enzyme stains which were examined are summarized in Table 2.2. Of all the systems and enzymes examined, only seven enzymes and two buffer systems fulfilled the outlined criteria.

A summary of the results of an isozyme survey of 32 diverse Beta genotypes is found in Table 2.3. As discussed in Chapter 1, crosses between a few of these genotypes were analyzed and loci were identified for four of the seven enzyme systems. These loci were Mdh-1, Mdh-3, Pgm-1, Pgi-1 and Skdh-1. In addition to these loci, the enzymes ME, Idh and Gdh gave consistent, repeatable patterns which were used to distinguish several individuals.

The frequencies of the banding genotypes among the 32 individuals were calculated for the four loci with more than one allele (Table 2.4). When these frequencies were calculated only one individual was randomly chosen from EL 44 and FC 701/5 to avoid possible skewing of the data. Since the 32 plants were not randomly selected, the frequencies in Table

examined
systems
and
1 sozymes
the
all
of
Summary
2.2.
Table

dh Pgm Pgi Me Idh	XXXXXX	XXXXXX	XXXXXX	X X X X	<b>v v</b>
M 8d	X	×			
32d 6		X	X		
G6p (		×	×	X	
Lap		×			
Glr			×		
HK			X		
Got		×	X		
Acp	×	×	×	×	
Cdh		×	×		
Est			X	×	
Per	×	×		×	
Sdh					
Adh					
kdh .	X	X			
Akp S					
-61u					
de B					
GP					
μd	5.7	7.0	5.0	6.5	
System [‡]	CS-B	СРН	CS-A	cs-c	

* CS-A,-B,-C and -D: Cardy, Stuber and Goodman, 1980. CPH: Cheliak and Pitel,1984. Ash: Ashton and Braden, 1961.

# d Abbreviations of enzymes

	TOLLAUD VI CHEYMCO		
bde	glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	НĶ	hexokinase
B-Glu	Beta glucosidase	Glr	glutathione reductase
Akp	alkaline phosphatase	Lap	leucine amino peptidase
Skdh	shikimate dehydrogenase	G6P	glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase
Adh	alcohol dehydrogenase	G2d	glycerate dehydrogenase
Sdh	sorbitol dehydrogenase	6pg	6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase
Per	peroxidase	Mdh	malate dehydrogenase
Est	esterase	Pgm	phosphog1ucomutase
Cdh	glutamate dehydrogenase	Pg1	phosphoglucose isomerase
Acp	acid phosphatase	Me	malic enzyme
Got	glutamate oxalate transaminase	ЧРI	isocitrate dehydrogenase

Clone	Source [@]	Isozyme system							
		MDH1	PGM1	PGI1	ME	IDH	MDH3	SKDH1	GDH
T4E57-4	MU-trout leaf	S*	S	F	II&	II	S	F	S
84MS-20-93	MU-trout leaf	S	S	F	I	II	S	S	-
4C22-3-1	MU-chlorina	S	SF	SF	I	II	S	S	S
EL 44-405	HP	S	F	S	II	I	S	S	S
EL 44-93	HP	S	F	S	II	I	S	-	-
EL 44-76	HP	S	-	S	II	I	S	S	-
EL 44-92	HP	S	-	S	II	I	S	S	-
EL 45-206	HP	S	F	S	II	I	S	-	S
M816-1-1	MU-yellow root	S	F	S	I	I	S	S	S
<b>EL 48-91</b>	HP	S	F	SF	H	I	S	-	-
EL 36-93	HP	S	F	F	U	I	F	-	-
0A-1	Owens annual	S	F	F	II	II	S	S	S
l53 <b>-9</b> 0	HP	S	F	F	II	I	S	-	-
EL 40 <b>-9</b> 2	HP	SF	SF	SF	U	I.	SF	S	-
J-3	MU-stigmoid	SF	F	SF	I	I	S	S	F
4E25-3-1	MU-semi-dwarf	F	S	SF	I	II	S	S	S
6822-33	HP	F	S	F	H	I	F	S	S
FC 708-96	HP	F	S	F	I	I	S	-	-
FC 701/5-90	GR	F	S	F	I	I	S	-	-
FC 701/5-99	GR	F	SF	F	U	I	S	S	-
FC 701/5-98	GR	F	SF	F	U	I	S	S	-
FC 701/5-95	GR	F	S	F	I	I	S	S	-
FC 701/5-94	GR	SF	-	-	I	I	S	S	-
138H	BP	F	SF	S	I	I	SF	S	S
138C	BP	F	SF	SF	I	I	F	S	S
138D	BP	F	SF	SF	I	I	S	S	S
1436-3	BP	F	SF	SF	I	I	S	S	S
GWK-2	CV-mangel	F	SF	SF	I	II	S	S	S
F1003-7	GR-USSR origin	F	SF	F	II	I	S	S	S
CMS B	BP-male sterile	F	SF	F	I	I	S	S	S
FC 506-5	HP	F	F	S	I	I	S	S	S
FC 607-0-20	HP	F	F	S	U	I	S	-	S
6926-0-3	HP	F	F	SF	U	I	S	-	S
80-111	BP	F	F	SF	I	I	S	-	S
80-60	BP	F	F	F	I	I	S	S	S
CHARD-2	CV-chard	F	F	F	III	I	S	S	S
M078-48-1	MU-plaintain lf	F	F	F	II	I	S	S	S
EW-53	CV-table beet	U	F	SF	U	I	S	S	S
WB 222	B. lomotogona	U	SF	U	IV	I	S	S	-

Table 2.3. Isozyme genotypes for clonal fingerprints

- C The following are abbreviations used for the source: MU-mutant, HP-hybrid parent, CV-cultivar, GR-germplasm release and BP-breeding population.
- * S, F and SF represent the homozygous slow, homozygous fast and heterozygous banding genotypes, means undetermined.
- & The following represent banding patterns: U-unique and H-both I+II.

2.4 may not be representative of a random survey of the Beta vulgaris germplasm population. Nonetheless, these values are presented to provide an estimate of the possible genotypic frequencies.

Locus	Banding genotype						
	SS	SF	FF	other			
Mdh-1	.31	.06	• 56	.06			
Mdh-3	.84	•06	•09	0			
Pgm-1	.19	.31	• 50	0			
Pgi-1	.19	•38	.41	.03			

Table 2.4. Frequencies of genotypes at each isozyme locus

### Malate dehydrogenase

Most genotypes exhibited one of the SS, SF or FF, Mdh-1 banding genotypes which were discussed in Chapter 1 (Table 2.4). It is interesting to note that only 2 out of 32 individuals were of the SF, or heterozygous type. It is difficult, however, to speculate as to why this frequency is so low because the sample was not random.

Two individuals, EW-53 and WB 222-1, did not show any of the three previously mentioned Mdh-1 banding genotypes. EW-53 was a selection from the commercial table beet cultivar, Early Wonder. The band produced by this individual was slightly slower than the fast band of Mdh-1 and slightly faster than the Mdh-2 band (Figure 2.1a). These results were observed when system I was used; however, when system II was used the EW-53 band could not be distinguished from the Mdh-1 fast band.

WB 222 was the accession number for the seed lot of a Beta



Figure 2.1. a) Mdh banding patterns using system I: (L to R) FF; band from EW-53; SS; SF; FF and band from WB 222-1. b) Mdh banding patterns using system II: (L to R) Mdh-1 SS; bands from WB 222-1; and two Mdh-1 SS types. Note Mdh-5 band is missing in WB 222-1. c) Comparison of Pgi zymograms using systems I (bottom) and II (top). d) Pgi zymogram (system II) showing WB 222-1 in lane 2. <u>lomatogona</u> wild individual. The individual that was examined in this study was a seedling from that seed lot. WB 222-1 gave two bands which were active in the region of Mdh-1. When system I was used, there was a faint band which comigrated with the fast band of Mdh-1 as well as an even faster migrating dark band (Figure 2.1a). When system II was used, the faster migrating band was in the same position, but the slower migrating band appeared to have become two faint bands (Figure 2.1b). It was not determined whether the alleles which encoded the unique Mdh isozymes of these two individuals were from any of the previously described loci, or if they represented alleles of new Mdh loci.

Of the remaining Mdh loci examined, only Mdh-3 had more than one active allele. The only bands observed were the SS, SF and FF types which were described in Chapter 1. Eighty-four percent of the 32 individuals examined were of the slow, or SS banding type (Table 2.4). The only other notable occurance was the absence of the Mdh-5 band in the WB 222-1 individual (Figure 2.1b).

# Phosphoglucose isomerase

When system I was used, most plants surveyed exhibited one of the SS, SF or FF, Pgi-1 banding genotypes which were described in Chapter 1. None of the banding genotypes were rare; however, there were considerably more individuals with the SF and FF banding types than there were with the SS banding type (Table 2.4). System II did not adequately resolve Pgi-1 into its individual bands, however, two darkly stained regions were observed (Fig 2.1c). The slower of these two regions was shown to be Pgi-1 (see Chapter 1).

One individual (WB 222-1) did not exhibit an SS, SF or FF banding type when system I was used. In fact, WB 222-1 did not show any

activity in the region of the gel occupied by Pgi-1. When system II was used to resolve group of genotypes for Pgi, WB 222-1 showed activity for a unique, slow migrating band (Figure 1d). All of the other plants were active for the Pgi-1 region and for a faster unidentified region. WB 222-1 also showed activity for a band which was faster than this unidentified region. Interspecific crosses and improved gel resolution will be needed to determine if the WB 222-1 band is allelic to Pgi-1, or if it is a different locus.

# Malic enzyme

When stained for ME, the majority of the genotypes gave banding patterns I and II (Figure 2.2a). Patterns I, II, and the hybrid (H) pattern which showed both the I + II banding patterns, were described in Chapter 1. Patterns I, II and III consisted of 5 regularly spaced bands. The fastest migrating band of all three patterns was in the same position, however, the slowest bands were in different positions. The remaining bands were evenly spaced between the fastest and slowest bands.

WB 222-1 also gave a pattern (IV) which consisted of five evenly spaced bands; however, the fastest band was slower migrating than the fastest band of the other patterns. A few other individuals exhibited consistent, repeatable banding patterns which were different from each other and the other types (Figure 2.2a). These 7 unique (U) types differed from I, II, H and III by having either missing or additional bands.

## Shikimate dehydrogenase

When 26 surveyed plants were stained for Skdh, 25 of these individuals showed the same single band. This band was defined in



Figure 2.2. a) Malic enzyme banding patterns: I, II, III and IV. b) Shikimate dehydrogenase banding patterns: S and F. c) Glutamic dehydrogenase banding patterns: S and F. d) Idh banding patterns: I and II. Chapter 1 as belonging to Skdh-1. One individual, a trout leaf mutant, showed a different band which was faster migrating than the band shown by the others (Figure 2.2b). Additional crosses involving this individual will be needed to determine whether or not this band was allelic to the Skdh-1 band.

### Glutamate dehydrogenase

When 24 plants were stained for Gdh, 23 of these individuals showed the same single band which was labeled slow (S). One individual, a stigmoid mutant, showed a different band which was faster migrating than the band shown by the others (Figure 2.2c). Additional crosses involving this individual will be necessary to determine whether or not this band is allelic to the slow Gdh band.

# Phosphoglucomutase

All individuals exhibited one of the SS, SF or FF Pgm-1 types which were described in Chapter 1. Only 19% of the individuals were SS types and 50% were FF types (Table 2.4).

### Isocitrate dehydrogenase

The isocitrate dehydrogenase system could not be resolved adequately enough to identify loci, however, repeatable banding patterns were observed. In particular, several individuals exhibited a three banded pattern which was labeled pattern II. All other banding patterns were labeled pattern I. Although some of the pattern I individuals appeared different from each other, they were not consistently distinguishable and were therefore combined into one group. (Figure 2.2d).

# Fingerprinting of lines

To obtain an estimate of the isozyme variability within sugarbeet lines, several plants from two of the lines were examined. There was no variability among the 4 plants examined from the line EL-44; however, there was variability for two of the enzymes among the 5 plants examined from FC-701/5 (Table 2.3). This variability indicated that comparisons of allelic frequencies would probably be necessary to distinguish sugarbeet cultivars. Allelic frquencies were recently used to distinguish 18 cultivars of monogerm, triploid sugarbeet (Itenov and Kristensen, 1985).

## Summery

The main objective of this study was to determine if individual plants from seed or tissue cultures could be distinguished by fingerprinting using isozyme loci. This was shown to be very effective since very few marker enzymes were necessary to almost completely distinguish 32 different plants. Of the 32 different clones examined, 28 clones (87.5%) could be distinguished using isozymes alone. Only two pairs of clones (one pair closely related) could not be distinguished. These pairs of lines were EL 44/EL 45-206 and 138D/I436-3 (Table 2.3)

CHAPTER III

DESCRIPTION OF A SPINACH LEAF MUTANT

#### CHAPTER III

# DESCRIPTION OF A SPINACH LEAF MUTANT

# INTRODUCTION

Dwarf mutants are very common in many plant species and have provided a valuable contribution to breeding and the study of genetics and physiology (Pelton, 1964; Liu and Loy, 1972). Several dwarf sugarbeet mutants have been previously described. Abegg (1940) described 4 dwarf mutants. Crinkled foliage (Cr) had a reduced plant size and was linked to the R linkage group. The flaccid leaf mutant (f) had reduced vigor and was encoded by a locus which appeared to be independent of the R linkage group. A lethal mutant, miniature (m), had a greatly reduced plant size and was probably independant of the R linkage group. There was no linkage data available for the nana (n) mutant which had thich leathery leaves. All of these dwarf phenotypes were encoded by single recessive genes.

In addition, Theurer recently described a sugarbeet mutant called dwarf (d) which was also a single gene recessive (Theurer, 1968). The seedlings of this mutant had shortened hypocotyls and mature plants produced 10 cm tall seedstalks which could be elongated by GA treatment for improved seed production. It is impossible to determine if the dwarf (d) mutant is unique, since the mutants described by Abegg are no longer available.

A potentially new dwarf sugarbeet mutant was discovered in progeny of a cross between two half-sibs (138C and 138D). These half-sibs had a common female parentage. The leaves of the dwarf mutant plant were dark green and crinkled like those of a spinach plant, thus the mutant was

named spinach leaf (s1). The purpose of this study was to describe the spinach leaf mutant and to elucidate its mode of inheritance.
#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Crosses

The parentage used to study the spinach leaf mutant was described in detail in Chapter 1. Reciprocal crosses were made in the greenhouse between the self-incompatible plants, 138C and 138D.  $F_1$  crosses between male-sterile plants DH-A or DH-B and 138C or D were selfed and  $F_2$  lines were produced. All of the above crosses were examined for segregation of spinach leaf types.

## Gibberellic acid experiments

Experiment I - Eight week old, non-flowering, annual and biennial spinach leaf plants, which were full sib progeny of the  $F_1$  plant EC4-9, were tested for "normalization" by gibberelic acid (GA₃) treatment. As controls, non-spinach leaf progeny of EC4-9 were also treated with GA. Three treatment levels of 200ug, 800ug and 1500ug GA₃ in/50ul 50Z(v/v) acetone:water were used. 50ul of solution was applied to the apex every five days for a total of four applications.

Experiment II - Self seed of the  $F_1$  plant EC4-5 were treated with  $GA_3$  to see if it would improve the germination of spinach leaf seedlings. In two replications of 50 seed balls, seed was soaked for 30 minutes in either lmg  $GA_3/150$  ml water or 150 ml water. The seed was placed on moistened cotton wadding at room temperature for two days until they germinated. They were then transplanted into potting soil and placed in the greenhouse. Data was collected on percent seed balls showing emergence from the soil after 2, 3, 4 and 5 days.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Description of a dwarf mutant

The spinach leaf mutant had a phenotype which was distinctly different from a normal sugar beet plant. Emergence of the spinach leaf seedlings took a mean of 4.6 days longer than normal seedlings from the same seed ball (Table 3.1). The cotyledons of the mutant seedlings opened at the soil surface compared to a height of 3-4 cm above the soil surface for a normal seedling (Figure 3.1a). On many occasions, the cotyledons even opened below the soil surface and were only observed when the surface of the soil was probed. The cotyledons of the mutant seedling were also much smaller than the normal seedlings. When the seedlings were removed from the soil, the difference in seedling height was due to the shorter hypocotyl of the spinach leaf seedling (Figure 3.1b).

The mature plant phenotypes were also very different. At every stage of the growth cycle the overall size of the mutant plant was about one third of the size of a normal plant. The leaf blade was also about one third the size of a normal leaf and was darker green, thicker, crinkled and brittle (Figure 3.1c). This description of the spinach leaf mutant is very similar to that of many single gene recessive dwarf mutants in other species (Pelton, 1964).

When  $F_2$  progeny of an  $F_1$  spinach carrier were exposed to artificial long days, the annual mutant and normal plants both produced flower stalks. The normal type segregated for male-fertile and male-sterile plants, however, all of the spinach leaf mutants appeared male-sterile.

The male-sterile anthers were creamy-white in color and showed no dehiscence. When the flower stalks of several mutant plants and a control DH-A plant were dusted regularly with pollen, the control plant set prolific seed but the mutant plants set no seed. Unfortunately the spinach leaf plant could not be selfed or backcrossed because it was both male and female sterile.

seed ball #	normal days to emerge	spinach days to emerge	difference
1	3	8	5
2	4	7	3
3	4	8	4
4	4	19	15
5	4	8	4
6	4	7	3
7	4	7	3
8	4	7	3
9	4	8	4
10	5	7	2
Mean	4	8.6	4.6

Table 3.1. Emergence date differences between normal and spinach leaf progeny of the F₁ line 4-7

## Gibberellic acid experiments

In many plant species, the phenotype of single-gene dwarf plants has been altered to that of a normal plant by exogenous application of hormones. One of the most commonly used hormones is gibberellic acid (GA), especially  $GA_3$  (Phinney, 1956a). Two experiments were conducted to determine if the spinach leaf mutant would respond to treatment with GA.

In the first experiment, the apices of spinach leaf and normal



Figure 3.1. a) Cerminating normal and spinach leaf seedlings. b) Comparison of spinach leaf (A) and normal (B) seedlings of the same age. c) Comparison of six week old spinach leaf (A) and normal (B) plants.

plants were treated with GA₃ to see the new growth response. New leaves which emerged on the mutant plant after GA treatment were somewhat yellowish and damaged, however, they were still of the spinach phenotype. New leaves of the GA-treated normal plants were also damaged and yellowish and were somewhat narrower than normal. Bolting of the annual segregates occured, however, this was probably due to the long day conditions, not the GA treatment. The flowers on the seed stalks of the spinach leaf mutant still appeared male and female sterile by visual inspection and lack of seed set. After four applications, the treatments were stopped because there was no apparent treatment effect except toxicity to the leaves. The damage to the leaves was probably due to the acetone in the GA treatment. None of the GA treatment levels caused any normalization of the spinach leaf phenotype, however, seed stalk height appeared to increase.

Other dwarf mutants have been found which were not responsive to GA. In tomatoes, the height of certain dwarfs was increased, but their phenotypes were not normalized (Soost, 1959). GA treatment also increased the seedstalk height in the sugarbeet dwarf (d) mutant, however, it did not normalize the mutant phenotype (Theurer, 1983). It is possible that a different form of GA might be effective on this mutant or it may be simply a GA insensitve dwarf.

In the second experiment,  $F_2$  seed from an  $F_1$  plant (known to produce spinach leaf progeny) was treated with  $GA_3$  to determine if GA would improve germination and recovery of spinach leaf progeny. This was attempted because the recovery of some tomato dwarf mutants from seed has been vastly improved by application of GA solution to the seed (J. Zeevaart, personal communication). The rate of emergence of the beet seed treated with GA appeared faster than that of the untreated

seed (Fig 3.2). Germination of the spinach types may also have improved. In the 2 replications of the GA treatment, 1/110 and 2/136 seedlings were spinach leaf types. In the 2 replications which had no GA treatment there were no spinach leaf seedlings out of a total of 104 and 120 seedlings (Table 3.2).

In a similar experiment,  $F_2$  seed from eight  $F_1$ 's which were Pgi SF were treated with GA to attempt to improve spinach type germination. Only one of the eight lines produced any spinach progeny. The ratio of normal:spinach progeny from the treated seed of this line was 21:1 as compared to 47:1 for an untreated control. Although the GA treatment appeared to improve recovery of the spinach leaf mutant, the number of mutant progeny was not increased to a frequency of one quarter of the progeny. This is the frequency that would be expected if the mutant is controlled by a single recessive gene.

treatment	# seed sown	# seedlings	<pre># spinach leaf</pre>		
Control I	50	104	0		
Control II	49	120	0		
GA I	50	110	1		
GA II	50	136	2		

Table 3.2. Comparison of the number of spinach leaf seedlings from untreated and GA treated seed balls

# Inheritance of the spinach leaf mutant

Under ideal circumstances, the inheritance of the spinach leaf mutant would have been determined by selfing the mutant or backcrossing it to one of its carrier parents. Unfortunately, female sterility of the mutant precluded this possibility. Examination of segregation

Figure 3.2. Comparison of the percent germination (averaged over 2 reps) of untreated and gibberelic acid treated seed of the  $F_1$  spinach carrier EC4-5.



ratios of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progenies from spinach carriers was the only way by which the inheritance could be hypothesized.

Progeny from reciprocal 138C and 138D crosses segregated for normal and spinach seedlings. In two separate sowings of EC6  $F_1$  seed, the normal to spinach ratio was 15:1 and 6:1 (Table 3.3). Progeny from EC8 segregated in a 10:1 normal:spinach ratio. There may have been more spinach progeny from this cross except for the fact that only the first 56 seedlings to germinate were transplanted. This happened before it was known that the spinach leaf types germinate slowly. The 6:1, 10:1 and 15:1  $F_1$  segregation ratios might have actually been 3:1 ratios which were skewed by poor germination and viability of the spinach leaf mutant seeds. More progeny were examined to determine if this explanation was correct.

EC #	Cross	# sown	# germ	% germ	Sp	Sp ratio	
EC6	138C X 138D	50	47	94%	3	15:1	
EC8	138D X 138C	50	59@	>100%	5	10:1	
EC6*	138C X 138D	49	47	96%	7	6:1	

Table 3.3. Summary of initial  $F_1$  crosses which gave spinach progeny

• Only 56 were transplanted. of the last 5 EC8 seedlings transplanted, 4 were spinach.

* second sowing

 $F_2$  Progeny from 27  $F_1$  individuals were also examined for spinach leaf segregation (Table 3.4). Only 7 out of 27 lines produced spinach leaf progeny. If the spinach leaf mutant is controlled by a single recessive gene, half of the  $F_1$  lines should have been carriers of the spinach leaf allele. For five of the 27 lines, however, less than 80

	sown on 4.29.86			sown	on 6.29	•86	total # of
ec #	# sown	# germ	# вр	# sown	# germ	# sp	progeny
1-1	50	43	0	70	*	0	43*
1-6	50	43	0	0	-	-	43
1-7	50	60	0	160	*	0	60*
1-20	50	51	0	0		-	65
1-20@	54	14	0	-	-	-	-
1-22	50	51	0	155	116	0	252
1-220	105	85	0	-	-	-	-
1-24	50	71	1	244	266	1	337
1-28	50	58	0	124	120	0	178
4-5	50	52	0	54	*	1	52*
4-6	50	63	0	87	126	0	189
4-7	50	79	0	136	184	4	486
4-7@	104	223	10	0	-	-	-
4-70	50	96	2	0	-	-	-
4-8	50	62	0	79	108	1	170
4-9	50	59	0	220	326	32	385
4-10	50	57	Ō	230	519	14	576
4-14	50	74	Ō	97	*	0	74*
4-16	50	47	0	168	*	Ō	47*
4-18@	110	255	Ō	0	-	_	255
4-19	50	33	Ō	144	191	0	382
4-190	103	158	Ō	-		-	-
4-20	50	24	Õ	0	-	-	192
4-20@	108	168	Ō	-	-	-	
12-9	50	55	Ō	86	131	0	317
12-90	109	131	Ō	-		-	
12-15	50	60	0	133	*	0	60*
12-16@	100	128	Ō	0	-	-	128
12-17	50	50	Ō	259	*	0	50*
12-21	50	51	Ō	96	*	Õ	51*
12-22	50	40	Ō	0	-	-	40
12-25	50	75	Ō	Ō	-	-	75
12-36	50	53	Õ	Ő	-	-	53
12-37	50	46	2	53	184	6	230

Table 3.4. Spinach leaf segregation in three separate sowings of  $F_2$  seed

e seed from these lines were treated with GA₃ before they were sown on 12.26.86

* complete data not available

seedlings were available for spinach leaf segregation counts. This was not an adequate number to determine if the parent  $F_1$  was a mutant carrier. In addition, many of the  $F_2$  seedlings were deformed, died and could not be classified. This evidence indicated that although half of the lines may have been spinach carriers, underrepresentation of the spinach leaf seedlings caused misclassification of some lines.

For all of the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progeny which segregated for spinach leaf, the normal to spinach ratio ranged from 6:1 to 264:1 (Table 3.5). It is difficult to explain why there was such a wide range of segregation ratios. Although the spinach leaf seedlings were definately weak and often inviable, this does not explain how one line could give an 8:1 ratio and another give a 264:1 ratio.

Line	spinach	no <b>rma</b> l	germ %	ratio	fraction	
EC6*	3	44	94%	15:1	.0638	
EC6	7	41	96%	6:1	.1429	
EC8	5	51	118%	10:1	.0893	
EC1-24	1	70	142%	69:1	.0143	
EC1-24	1	265	10 <b>9%</b>	264:1	.0038	
EC4-5	1	53		52:1	.0189	
EC4-5@	3	243	246 <b>%</b>	81:1	.0123	
EC4-7	4	180	135%	44:1	.0222	
EC4-7	2	94	98 <b>%</b>	47:1	.0208	
EC4-7@	10	213	96%	21:1	.0448	
EC4-8	1	107	137%	106:1	.0093	
EC4-9	32	294	148%	8:1	.1111	
<b>EC4-10</b>	15	504	226%	33:1	.0294	
EC12-37	2	44	92 <b>%</b>	21:1	.0455	
EC12-37	8	176	120%	21:1	.0455	
Total	92	2136		23:1	.0413	

Table 3.5. Summary of spinach ratios from the  $F_1$ 's and  $F_2$ 's

* lines listed more than once indicate different sowings @ treated with GA The percent germination of all the lines was greater than 90%, however, this was not a reliable indicator since all of the seed was multigerm. The health of the plant may have contributed to the variability of the segregation ratios. Some plants were infested with aphids and spider mites during seed set, therefore weak seeds may have been aborted in an effort to conserve energy for the healthier seed. If this were the case, the spinach leaf embryos may have been preferentially aborted causing skewed progeny ratios.

The skewed ratio might also be a result of linkage of the spinach leaf locus to the self-incompatibility locus. A 20% recombination frequency between the loci would result in a 9:1, normal to spinach leaf ratio (Table 3.6). This coupled with poor germination and variable health of the  $F_1$ 's during seed set might explain the observed range of skewed ratios.

					Male gametes						
					Pare	ental	types	Recombi	nant	type	3
Female Gametes			SI Sf .8		sl Sl Sl Sl Sl incompatible		sl Sf .2				
	.4	<b>S1</b>	Sf*	.32	<b>S</b> 1S1	SfSf		****	.08	Slsl	SfSf
P	.4	<b>s</b> 1	<b>S</b> 1	.32	Slsl	SfS1			.08	slsl	SfS1
	•1	<b>S1</b>	<b>S</b> 1	.08	<b>S1S1</b>	SfS1			•02	<b>Slsl</b>	SfS1
ĸ	.1	<b>s</b> 1	Sf	•08	Slsl	SfSf			.02	slsl	SfSf

Table 3.6. Possible F₂ phenotypes given 20% recombination between the spinach leaf and self-incompatibility loci

* Sl,sl: spinach leaf types; Sf,Sl: self-incompatibility types Expected spinach ratio: .9 normal leaf : .1 spinach leaf

## Summary

Although the results were inconclusive, the spinach leaf dwarf mutant was probably caused by a recessive allele at one locus. This is the mode of inheritance of the five known sugarbeet dwarf mutants as well as most of the dwarf mutants in other species (Abegg, 1940; Owen and Ryser, 1942; Theurer, 1983; Pelton, 1964). None of the evidence argues against this possibility and much of it supports a recessive single locus mode of inheritance. The spinach leaf mutant also appears to be GA insensitive although GA treatment may slightly improve seed germination. BIBLIOGRAPHY

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

Abegg, F.A. 1936. A genetic factor for the annual habit in beets and linkage relationship. J. Agric. Res. 53:493-511.

Abegg, F.A. 1940. List of characters and gene symbols reported for the species Beta vulgaris L. Proc. Am. Soc. Sugar Beet Technol. 2:109-113.

Allard, R.W. 1956. Formulas and tables to facilitate the calculaton of recombination values in heredity. Hilgardia 24:235-279.

Ashton, G.C. and A.W.H. Braden. 1961. Serum beta-blobulin polymorphism in mice. Aust. J. Bio. Sci. 14:248-253.

Bernatzky, R., and S.D. Tanksley. 1986. Toward a saturated linkage map in tomato based on isozymes and random cDNA sequences. Genetics 112:887-898.

Cardy, B.J., C.W. Stuber, and M.M. Goodman. 1980. Techniques for starch gel electrophoresis of enzymes from maize (Zea mays L.). Institute of Statistics Mimeo Series No. 1317, North Carolina State University, Raleigh.

Cardy, B.J. and L.W. Kannenberg. 1982. Allozymic variability among maize inbred lines and hybrids: Applications for cultivar identification. Crop Sci. 22:1016-1020.

Cheliak, W.M., and J.A. Pitel. 1984. Genetic control of allozyme variants in mature tissues of white spruce trees. J. Hered. 75:34-40.

Goodman, M.M., Stuber, C.W., Newton K. and H.H. Weissinger. 1980b. Linkage relationships of 19 enzyme loci in maize. Genetics 96:697-710.

Goodman, M.M., Stuber, C.W. and K.J. Newton. 1982. p. 53-60. Isozyme loci in Maize. In, W.F. Sheridan (ed.) Maize for Biological Research. Plant Molecular Biology Association, Charlottesville, Virginia.

Goodman, M.M. and C.W. Stuber. 1983. Maize p. 1-33. In, S.D. Tanksley and T.J. Orton (eds.), Isozymes in plant genetics and breeding, part B. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam.

Gottlieb, L.D. 1981. Electrophoretic evidence and plant populations. Progress in Phytochemistry. 7:1-46.

Hussey, G., and A. Hepher. 1978. Clonal propagation of sugar beet plants and the formation of polyploids by tissue culture. Ann. Bot. 42:477-479.

Ignart, F. and N. F. Weeden. 1984. Allozyme variation in cultivars of Cucurbita pepo L. Euphytica 33:779-785.

Itenov, K., and K. Kristensen. 1985. Cultivar distinction in sugar beet (<u>Beta vulgaris</u> L.) by electrophorectic genotype determination. Tidsskr. Planteav1 89:1-10.

IUPAC-IUB Commission on Biochemical Nomenclature (CBN) Recommendations. 1976. Nomenclature of multiple forms of enzymes. Eur. J. Biochem. 82:1-3.

Jung, C., P. Wehling, and H. Loptien. 1986. Electrophoretic investigation on nematode resistant sugar beets. Plant Breeding 97:39-45.

Kajanus, B. 1917. On the color variation of Beta. [In German]. Z. Pflanzenzucht. 5:357-372.

Keller, W. 1936. Inheritance of some major color types in beets. J. Agric. Res. 52:27-38.

Kevers, C., M. Coumans. W. De Greef, M. Hoffinger and Th. Gaspar. 1981. Habituation in sugarbeet callus: auxin content, auxin protectors, peroxidase pattern and inhibitors. Physiol. Plant. 51:281-286.

Kevers, C., M. Coumans. W. De Greef, M. Jacobs and Th. Gaspar. 1981. Organogenesis in habituated sugarbeet callus: auxin content and protectors, peroxidase pattern and inhibitors. Z. Pflanzenphysiol. Bd. 101:79-87.

Larsen, K. 1977. Self-incompatibility in <u>Beta</u> vulgaris L. I. Four gametophytic complementary S-loci in sugar beet. Hereditas 85:227-248.

Lehnhardt, L., M. Wiedmann, and G.Gunther. 1981. Activity and multiple forms of hudrolytic enzymes in germinating sugar beets (<u>Beta vulgaris</u> L.). Biochem. Physiol. Pflanzen 176:638-658.

Levites, E.V. 1979. Genetic control of NADP dependant malic enzyme in the sugarbeet (Beta vulgaris L.). Dokl. Acad. Nauk. SSr. 249:215-217.

Little, T.M., and F.J. Hills. 1978. Agricultural experimentation. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.

Liu, P.B.W. and J.B. Loy. 1972. Inheritance and morphology of two dwarf mutants in watermelon. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 97:745-749.

Markert, C.L. and F. Moller. 1959. Multiple forms of enzymes: tissue, ontogenetic and species specific patterns. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 45:753-763.

Maletskii, S.I., and A.A. Konovalov. 1986. Inheritance of alcohol dehydrogenase in sugar beet. I. Analysis of deviations from monogenic segregation. Genetics 1205-1211. translated from Genetika 21:1527-1534, 1985. McMillin, D.E., and J.G. Scandalios. 1980. Duplicated cytosolic malate dehydrogenase genes in Zea mays. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77:4866-4870.

Monastreva, L.E., Reimers, F.E., and E.V. Levites. 1982. Enzyme variability in the inbred lines of sugar beet. translated from Doklady Akademic Nauk SSR., 1982. 264:722-724.

Munerati, O. 1931. Inheritance of the annual tendency in the common cultivated beet. [In Italian]. Z.Zuchtung, Reike, A., Pflanzenzuchtung 17:84-89.

Nielsen, G., Ostergaard, H. and H. Johansen. 1983. Cultivar identification by means of isoenzymes. Z. Pflanzenzuchtng. 94:74-86.

Oleo, M., J.P.C. Van Geyt, W. Lange and Th.S.M. DeBock. 1986. Investigations on an interspecific hybrid involving three species of the genus <u>Beta</u>, with special reference to isozyme polymorphisms. Theor. Appl. Genet. 73:261-266.

Owen, F.V. 1942. Inheritence of cross and self-sterility and selffertility in Beta vulgaris. J. of Agric. Res. 64(12):679-698.

Owen, F.V. 1945. Cytoplasmically inherited male-sterility in sugar beets. J. Agric. Res. 71:423-440.

Owen, F.V. and G.K. Ryser. 1942. Some Mendelian characters in <u>Beta</u> vulgaris and linkages observed in the Y-R-B group. J. Agr. Res. 65:155-171.

O'Malley, D.M., N.C. Wheeler, and R.P. Guries. 1980. A manual for starch gel electrophoresis. Univ. of Wiscosin Madison staff paper no. 11. Dept. of Natural Resources.

Pelton, J.S. 1964. Genetic and morphogenetic studies of angiosperm single-gene dwarfs. Bot. Rev. 30:479-512.

Phinney, B.O. 1956a. Growth response of single-gene dwarf mutants in maize to gibberellic acid. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 42:185-189.

Saunders, J.W. 1982. A flexible in vitro shoot culture propagation system for sugarbeet that includes rapid floral induction of ramets. Crop Science 22:1102-1105.

Smith, G. 1980. Sugarbeet. p.601-616. In W.R. Fehr and H.H Hadley (eds.) Hybridization of crop plants. Am. Soc. of Agron. Inc. Madison, Wis.

Smith, G. A. 1987. Sugarbeet. p.577-625. In W.R Fehr, (ed.) Principles of cultivar development, Vol. 2, Crop species. Macmillan Publishing Col, N.Y.

Soost, R.K. 1959. Effects of gibberellic acid on genetic characters in two tomato lines. Bot. Gaz. 121: 114-118.

Spettoli, P., Cacco, G., and G. Ferrari. 1976. Comparitive evaluation of the enzyme multiplicity in a diploid, a triploid and a tetraploid suger beet variety. J. Sci. Fd Agric. 27:341-344.

Spettoli, P., Bottacin, A. and G. Cacco. 1980. Correlazione tra polimorfismo isoenzimatico e parametri techologici in barbabietole da zucchero a diverso stato di plodial. a L'Industria Saccarifera Italiana. 73:13-17.

Stuber, C.W., and M.M. Goodman. 1983. Inheritance, intracellular localization, and genetic variation of phosphoglucomutase isozymes in maize (Zea mays L.). Bioch. Genet. 21:667-689.

Tanksley, S.D., D. Zamir, and C.M. Rick. 1981. Evidence for extensive overlap of sporophytic and gametophytic gene expression in Lycopersicon esculentum. Science. 213:453-455.

Tanksley, S.D. and T.J. Orton. (eds.) 1983. Isozymes in Plant Genetics and Breeding, part B. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam.

Theurer, J.C. 1968. Linkage tests for mendelian male sterility and other genetic characters in sugarbeets, Beta vulgaris L. Crop Sci. 8:698-701.

Ting, I.P., Fuhr, I., Curry, R. and W.C. Zschoche. 1975. Malate dehydrogenase isozymes in plants: preparation, properties and biological significance. p. 369-384. In C.L. Markert (ed.) Isozymes, Vol. 2.

Van Geyt, J.P.C.F., and E. Smed. 1984. Polymorphism of some marker enzymes of the sugarbeet (<u>Beta vulgaris</u> L.) investigated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and starch gel electrophoresis. Z. Pflanzenzuchtg. 92:295-308.

Wu, L., Harivandi, A.H., Harding, J.A. and W.B. Davis. 1984. Identification of Kentucky bluegrass cultivars with esterase and phosphoglucomutase isoenzyme markers. Crop Sci. 24:763-768.

Yang, N.S. and J.G. Scandalios. 1975b. <u>De novo</u>, synthesis and developmental control of the multiple gene-controlled malate dehydrogenase isozymes in maize scuttella. Biochem. Biophys. Acta. 384: 293-306.



.

۰.

·

