





## This is to certify that the

#### thesis entitled

Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides on Corn Growth

presented by

Paul Edward Horny

has been accepted towards fulfillment of the requirements for

Master of Sciencedegree in Crop and Soil Science

William F. Meggitt

Date <u>5-17-</u>84

MSU is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Institution

**O**-7639



RETURNING MATERIALS:
Place in book drop to
remove this checkout from
your record. FINES will
be charged if book is
returned after the date
stamped below.

Nam 11105

# EFFECT OF SOIL APPLIED HERBICIDES ON CORN GROWTH

Ву

Paul Edward Horny

A THESIS

Submitted to
Michigan State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Crop and Soil Sciences

© Copyright by PAUL EDWARD HORNY 1984

#### ABSTRACT

#### EFFECT OF SOIL APPLIED HERBICIDES ON CORN GROWTH

Вv

#### Paul Edward Horny

The effect of alachlor (2-chloro-2',6'-diethyl-N-(methoxymethyl)acetanilide, metolachlor (2-chloro-N-(2-ethyl-6-methylphenyl)-N-(2-methoxy-1-methylethyl) acetamide), acetochlor (2-chloro-N(ethoxymethyl)-6'-ethyl-o-acetotoluidide), butylate + R-25788 (S-ethyl diisobutylthiocarbamate + N,N-dially-2,2 dichloro acetamide), EPTC + R-25788 (S-ethyl dipropylthiocarbamate + N,N-dially-2,2 dichloroacetamide), and pendimethalin (N-(1-ethylpropy1)-3,4-dimethyl-2,6 dinitrobenzenamine) on corn (Zea mays) germination, root and shoot fresh and dry weights, plant water stress, potassium and phosphorus content, and yield was studied in 1982 and 1983. Herbicides were applied at normal use (1X), increasing rates (2X, 4X) except EPTC + R-25788 and pendimethalin, and applied preplant incorporated and preemergence with the acetanilides. Most differences in root and shoot weights occurred 4-5 weeks after planting. Acetochlor incorporated caused the greatest reductions in all parameters studied. EPTC + R-25788 caused reductions in root and shoot weights when compared to alachlor, metolachlor, butylate + R-25788 and pendimethalin. Alachlor incorporated showed a significant increase in the phosphorus content over that of plants treated with pendimethalin and preemergence alachlor, but all three were within corn sufficiency ranges.

Though differences were observed with alachlor, metolachlor, butylate + R-25788, EPTC + R-25788, and pendimethalin at all rates and methods of application, none significantly reduced yield.

To Anita, Paul Jr. and Anna for their persistance.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his thanks to Dr. Michael Barrett for his faith and foresight in allowing me to begin this project, which has allowed me to finish a degree in which I never thought I would ever begin. Thanks for the chance Mike.

The author also would like to thank Dr. William F.

Meggitt for allowing me to continue on with and finish this

project. I've learned alot from you Bill.

The author would also like to thank Dr. Dean Krauskopf for his common sense approach to helping solve problems I have encountered during this course of study. Another thanks goes to Dr. James J. Kells for his guidance and friendship through this project. A special thanks to Mary Lauver for her advice and assistance, Jackie Schartzer for typing the tables twice, Frank Roggenbuck for the updated fishing information, and John Pawlak and Dennis Cosgrove for helping with the every day problems encountered. As for the rest of the weed science crew (you know who you are) thanks for your help and support in completing this project.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

																						Page
LIST	OF TA	BLES	S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	viii
LIST	OF FI	GURE	ES .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	х
INTRO	ODUCTI	ON.		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
REVIE	EW OF	THE	LIT	ER <i>F</i>	ΙTU	IRE		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		5
	Plant	por	pula	tic	on	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
	Root	and	sho	ot	fr	es	h	ar	nd	dr	у	we	eig	ght	S	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
	Plant	wat	ter	str	res	s	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
	Corn	leaf	f nu	tri	len	ıt	cc	nt	er	nt	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
	Corn	grai	in y	iel	Ld	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•					•	•	8
	Varie	tal	dif	fer	en	ıc e	s	•	•			•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	8
MATER	RIALS	AND	MET	HOI	S	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
	Gener	al f	info	rma	ati	.on	١.		•		•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	10
	Plant	por	pula	tic	n	me	as	sur	en	ner	nts	5.	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	12
	Root				fr	es	h	ar	nd	dr	у	we	ie	ght								1.0
	deter				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
	Diffu																					13
	Leaf	wate	er p	ote	ent	ia	.1	me	as	sur	en	ıer	nts	3.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	14
	Corn	leaf	f nu	tri	Len	ıt	ar	nal	ys.	sis	3.	•	• ,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15
	Corn	gra	in y	iel	Ld	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	15
RESUI	LTS AN	נס סו	ISCU	SSI	ΙΟN	Ι.	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•	16
	Plant	por	pula	tic	n	•		•	•		•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	16
	Root	fres	sh w	eig	ght		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•				•	•	16
	Shoot	fre	esh '	wei	lgh	ıt				•		•				•			•		•	23

																								Page
	Diff	usi	ve	res	si	sta	an	ce	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•			28
	Leaf	`wa	ter	pq	ote	ent	ti	al	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	28
ı	Corr	lea	af	nut	tr:	ie	nt	a	na]	ys	is	3.		•	•	•	,	•	•	•	•		•	31
,	Corr	gr	ain	у:	ie:	ld	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	34
CONCL	USIC	NS		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	36
SUMMA	RY .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	,	•	•		•			39
LIST	OF F	REFE	REN	CE	S.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	,	•	•	•	•	•		41
APPEN	DICE	S																						
:	1.	The thre	ee	rat	tes	5 (	on	0	orr	ır	00	ot	d:	ry	W	еi	g¦				at			48
	2.	The	ef ee	fec rat	ct tes	01	f on	50:	il orr	ap 1 r	p]	Li	ed d:	he ry	er W	bi ei	.ci .gt	nt	s,		• at	•	•	
	_	thre																		•	•	•	•	49
	3.	The thre	ee	rat	tes	5 (	on	C	orr	r	00	t	dr	'nУ	we	ei	gh	ts	3,			•	•	50
	4.	The	ee	rat	tes	3 (	on	C	orr	r	00	ot	d:	ry	W	еi	gŀ				at			E 1
	_	five													•				•	•	•	•	•	51
	5.	The thre two	ee	rat	tes	5 (	on	C	orr	ıs	hc	001	t (	dry	er 7	we	ie.	ta gh	es ts •	• •		•		52
:	6.	The	ee	rat	tes	3 (	on	C	orr	ıs	hc	001	t (	dry	7	we	ie	ζh			at			<b>5</b> 2
	7	thre						_											•	•	•	•	•	53
	7.	The thre four	ee	rat	tes	3 (	on	C	orr	s	hc	001	t	dry	7	we		gh			et.	•	•	54
	8.	The thre	ee	rat	tes	5 (	on	C	orr	1 S	hc	001	t	dry	,	we	ie				at			55
	9.	Rain	nfa	11	đa	ata	a :	f'oı	r 1	.98	2	aı	nd	19	8	3,	C				•	•	•	
		Scie	enc	e F	Res	sea	ar	ch	Fa	rm	<b>-</b> E	Eas	st	La	n	si	ng	5,	Μ	Ι				56

		Page
10.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on corn grain yield, Voris 2381	57
11.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on corn grain yield, Great Lakes 422	58
12.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on corn grain yield, Voris 2331	59
13.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on corn grain yield, Pioneer 3901	60
14.	Sample procedure for plasma emission spectroscopy	61
15.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on diffusive resistance in corn, May 11 and June 23, 1982	62

# LIST OF TABLES

			Page
RESULTS	AND DISCUSSION		
1.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on corn plant population	•	17
2.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on corn root fresh weights, two leaf stage	•	19
3.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on corn root fresh weights, three leaf stage		20
4.	_		21
5.		•	22
6.	_	•	24
7.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on corn shoot fresh weights, three leaf stage	•	25
8.		•	26
9.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on corn shoot fresh weights, five leaf stage	•	27
10.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on diffusive resistance in corn		29
11.		•	30

		Page
12.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on corn leaf potassium content, sampled at silking	32
13.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on corn leaf phosphorus content, sampled at silking	33
14.	The effect of soil applied herbicides at three rates on corn grain yield	35

# LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
INTRODUCTION	
1. Chemical structures of alachlor, metolachlor, acetochlor, butylate, R-25788, EPTC, and pendimethalin	4
MATERIALS AND METHODS	
2. List of herbicide treatments	11

#### INTRODUCTION

Several effective grass control herbicides are registered for use in corn (Figure 1). Included are alachlor<sup>1</sup> (2-chloro-2',6'-diethyl-N-(methoxymethyl)acet anililde), metolachlor $^2$  (2-chloro-N-(2-ethyl-6-methyl phenyl)-N-(2-methoxy-1-methylethyl)acetamide), acetochlor<sup>3</sup> (2-chloro-N(ethoxymethyl)-6'-ethyl-o-acetotoluidide). butylate + R-257884 (S-ethyl diisobutylthiocarbamate + N.N-dially-2,2-dichloroacetamide), EPTC + R-25788<sup>5</sup> (S-ethyl dipropylthiocarbamate + N, N-dially-2, 2-dichloroacetamide), and pendimethalin<sup>6</sup> (N-(1-ethylpropyl)-3.4 dimethyl-2.6dinitrobenzenamine). Corn is tolerant to these herbicides under conditions of normal use, however data are being generated concerning comparative growth inhibition, corn injury, and stress from these materials. This causes concern over the use by growers who use these herbicides as a basis for grass control in their weed control programs. It is important to generate data that will establish the safety of these herbicides in comparison with each other and to an untreated control under normal field conditions.

The purpose of this study was to: (1) evaluate the effect on corn growth from the different soil applied herbicides; (2) evaluate the effect on corn growth with

increasing rates of herbicides; (3) evaluate the effect on corn growth with different application methods.

 $^{1}$ Registered as Lasso $^{R}$  by Monsanto Company.

 $^{2}$ Registered as Dual  $^{R}$  by Ciba-Geigy Corporation.

 ${}^3\mathrm{Registered}$  as  ${}^\mathrm{Harness}{}^\mathrm{R}$  by Monsanto Company.

 $^4\mathrm{Registered}$  as  $\mathrm{Sutan}+^{\mathrm{R}}$  by  $\mathrm{Stauffer}$  Chemical Company.

5Registered as Eradicane  $^{R}$  by Stauffer Chemical Company.

<sup>6</sup>Registered as Prowl<sup>R</sup> by American Cyanimid.

Figure 1. Chemical structures of alachlor, metolachlor, acetochlor, butylate, R-25788, EPTC, and pendimethalin.

alachlor

metolachlor

pendimethalin

#### REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

## Plant Population

Reduced germination of corn has been reported for alachlor (19), metolachlor (26,35,58), butylate+R-25788 and EPTC+R-25788 (49,50,70). All reduced stands with normal and increased rates of herbicides. The reductions in plant population did not result in changes in root development (74), water use and yield (55,69). Acetochlor caused the greatest reduction in germination (38), Lee and Alley (42) reported a 37% reduction in the corn stand.

### Root and Shoot Fresh and Dry Weights

Root and shoot growth of corn at early growth stages is affected by all soil applied herbicides. Alachlor (22, 28,37,40,45), metolachlor (21,22,26,40) and acetochlor (40,68) reduce root and shoot growth early in the growing season. Increased rates of these herbicides produced corresponding reductions in corn growth (21,27,28). Differences between incorporated and preemergence treatments varied over different conditions (32,44).

Butylate+R-25788 and EPTC+R-25788 both caused reductions in the growth of corn. Although both of these herbicides without the added safener cause injury to corn, and with the addition of R-25788 corn injury is reduced

substantially (2,66,67), they both have been reported to inhibit corn growth with the addition of the safener R-25788 (11,12,13,16). Buzio and Burt (14) observed that EPTC and R-25788 separated in the soil columns and cited this as a possible reason for injury from this herbicide (12,13). They also reported that corn was most sensitive to EPTC+R-25788 injury four weeks after planting.

Pendimethalin applied preemergence caused reductions in corn root growth (43), especially after incorporation of the herbicide by rainfall (18,64), or by placing the corn seed at shallow planting depths (57). Pendimethalin incorporated does cause the most injury to corn (43), but is not recommended as a herbicide treatment for corn.

## Plant Water Stress

The growth stage of corn that is most directly affected by water stress is pollination (34). Robins and Domingo (62) reported up to a 50% reduction in yield when the plants were under water stress during pollination.

Denmead and Shaw (23) observed the same yield reduction from water stress at pollination but also found that water stress early in the growing season had an indirect effect on yield by reducing the amount of photoassimilates available for ear filling.

Measuring plant water stress is difficult under field conditions due to the many factors involved (1,20,47,60,65), therefore absolute values cannot be obtained.

Instead, relative values (8) are measured under steady

state conditions which lend themselves to analysis by multiple comparisons.

Alachlor has been shown to increase transpiration of corn (3), oats (46), and potatoes (13), but the plants were not under severe water stress under normal field conditions. EPTC caused increased water loss in corn (9,41), but with addition of R-25788 the formation of epicuticular wax was not changed (31,41), and transpiration is not increased.

## Corn Leaf Nutrient Content

Rehm et. al., (61) found that phosphorus uptake was closely associated with relative yield. Alachlor at higher concentrations increased the phosphorus content of corn plant shoots (59). Alachlor and trifluralin<sup>9</sup> (2,2,2) trifluoro-2,6-dinitro-N-N-dipropyl-p-toluidine) decreased phosphorus uptake (10) due to reduction of the total corn root mass (6). Reductions in phosphorus accumulation in corn caused by metolachlor have been observed by Ellis and Wilson (29). Balke (5) reported that both metolachlor and alachlor inhibited potassium absorption in oat roots. EPTC decreased the phosphorus content (6) in french bean seeds (Phaseolus vugaris var.), while alachlor increased the total phosphorus content in seeds of peanut (Arachis hypogaea).

9Registered as Treflan $^{\rm R}$  by Elanco Co.

### Corn Grain Yield

Alachlor (17, 75), metolachlor (24, 75), acetochlor (17), butylate+R-25788 and EPTC+R-25788 (52,75), and pendimethalin (43,57) at normal use rates have no effect on corn yield. Where yield differences were found plots were not hand weeded so the effect of the herbicide treatments on yield could be compared, not the effect of increased or decreased weed pressure. Weeds left growing have a deliterious effect on crop yield (48). Increasing rates of alachlor and metolachlor did not reduce yields (24). Incorporated acetochlor and alachlor did not show any difference in yield over preemergence treatments at the same rate (17).

## Varietal Differences

Differences in corn varietal response to soil applied herbicides have been observed with alachlor (30,53,75), metolachlor (75), and acetochlor (7). More injury occurred with inbred lines than the hybrids, and injury was seen more often early in the season. Tolerance of different varieties to butylate+R-25788 (16,56,73,75) and EPTC+R-25788 (15,39,63,72,75) also showed most injury early in the growing season, greater injury was seen with the inbreds than the hybrids. Niccum (54) reported that alachlor and butylate were safe to use on commercial varieties but should not be used with the inbreds without knowledge of the possible consequences. Zawierucha and Hartwig (75) observed that injury and stunting in the early season

from the differences in the varieties was not enough to cause a significant impact on yield.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## General Information

The treatments for this experiment are as listed (Figure 2). On May 5, 1982 and May 17, 1983 the preplant incorporated treatments were sprayed, then one pass incorporated with an implement with danish S-tynes and rolling baskets, three inches deep. The preemergence treatments were sprayed on the same day. The preemergence and the control had one pass tillage prior to treatment for uniform seedbed preparation. The corn was planted on May 5 north to south and May 17 east to west with a four row planter. The plots were four rows, 3.04m by 18.24m long, with four different varieties per plot: Voris 2381, Great Lakes 422, Voris 2331, and Pioneer 3901. There were four replications of the treatments each year. All plots were hand weeded to eliminate weed competition. Before planting 505 kg/ha of urea (45-0-0) was incorporated in each year. Two hundred twenty three kg per hectare of 19-19-19 in 1982 and 223 kg/ha of 5-20-20 in 1983 was band applied with the planter. The soil was a loam texture, pH 6.5, with 3.3% organic matter. The soil temperature at planting time was 15.5C in 1982 and 12.7C in 1983.

Insecticide was not applied at planting in 1982 to avoid any possible interactions with the herbicides, but

Treatment (kg/ha)	1X	2 X	4 X
Alachlor, preplant incorporated	2.8	5.6	11.2
Alachlor, preemergence	2.2	4.4	8.8
Metolachlor, preplant incorporated	2.8	5.6	11.2
Metolachlor, preemergence	2.2	4.4	8.8
Acetochlor, preplant incorporated	2.2	4.4	8.8
Acetochlor, preemergence	1.7	3.4	6.8
Butylate+R-25788, preplant incorporated	3.4	6.8	13.6
EPTC+R-25788, preplant incorporated	6.8		
Pendimethalin, preemergence	1.7		

Untreated (hand weeded)

Figure 2. List of herbicide treatments.

rootworms were a problem in the experiment during 1982. Rootworm larvae damage was seen throughout the experiment but was worse in treatments with lower plant populations. The plots were sprayed at silking with carbaryl<sup>7</sup> (1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate) and crop oil to prevent the adult rootworms from damaging the silks. Rootworm insecticide, (0-ethyl S phenyl ethylphosphonodithioate)<sup>8</sup> was band applied above the row in 1983.

The split plot experimental design was employed both years. Data was analyzed with the Duncan's multiple range test at the five percent level. In each measurement, the average of the four varieties in each treatment is presented in the tables, except where noted.

# Plant Population Measurements

On May 17, 1982 and May 31, 1983 the number of plants in 3.04m of row was counted for each of the four different varieties in every treatment.

# Root and Shoot Fresh and Dry Weight Determinations

On May 19, 1982 and June 2, 1983, at the two leaf stage, five plants were dug from the north and east end of the plot. The plants were placed in plastic bags and sealed to reduce moisture loss. The five plants were

 $<sup>^7</sup>$ Registered as Sevin by Union Carbide Agricultural Products Company Incorporated.

 $<sup>^8</sup>$ Registered as Dyfonate $^{\widehat{\mathbb{R}}}$  by Stauffer Chemical Company.

washed and seedcoats were removed. The five plants were then blotted dry with a paper towel, separated at the root-shoot axis, roots and shoots weighed separately, oven dried, and weighed separately again. Plants were also harvested using the same process on May 26, 1982 and June 9, 1983, the three leaf stage, at the north and east end of the plot, June 2 and June 10, 1982 at the four leaf stage, and June 16 and June 23, 1983, the five leaf stage, at the south and west end of the plot. Plants were not removed from the acetochlor incorporated 2X and 4X treatments during 1982 so the few plants that remained could be used for further measurements.

The comparisons of root and shoot dry weights closely paralleled the comparisons of the fresh weights. Root and shoot dry weights will not be included in the text of this paper, but are included in the appendices (1-8).

# Diffusive Resistance Measurements

A porometer was used to measure stomatal diffusive resistance (seconds per centimeter) as an indicator of plant water stress. It is assumed that stomates will close under water stress thus causing a higher resistance value, or the diffusive resistance is inversely proportional to the stomatal aperature under steady state conditions. A LI-COR<sup>R</sup> LI-1600 steady state porometer was used for the measurements. After first setting the aperature (2.0cm), and the pressure for the altitude (98.5 kPa), the diffusive resistance can be measured. Only one variety was

measured (Pioneer 3901) in the interest of time so measurements could be taken between 10 a.m. and 2 p.m., the time of highest light intensity and stress during the day. One plant was chosen in the Pioneer 3901 row for each treatment and was used for measurements on May 11, June 22 and June 23, 1982 and June 14, 1983. The newest emerged leaf (having a visible collar) was used for the porometer measurements. Quantum readings, which measures the amount of photosynthetically active radiation (microeinsteins per square meter per second) were taken before each replication. The diffusive resistance readings were taken in direct sunlight.

# Leaf Water Potential Measurements

On June 22 and June 23, 1982 at the six leaf stage the pressure bomb was used along with the porometer. The pressure bomb was used to measure leaf water potential (bars) of a leaf in the same row as the plant used for the porometer measurements. No measurements were taken in 1983. It was not possible to use the same plant as the porometer measurements because the newest leaf (having a visible collar) was removed for the water potential measurement. The leaf was placed in a plastic bag to reduce moisture loss then cut to approximately 15cm from the tip of the leaf so the leaves would be uniform. The proximal end of the leaf had sections removed from both sides of the midrib, leaving an area approximately 3cm long and 1cm on both sides of the midrib so the leaf would

fit in the narrow latex grommet used to hold the leaf in place in the cylinder cover on the pressure bomb. The cylinder was then pressurized with nitrogen gas. When sap was expressed, the corresponding pressure (converted to bars) was recorded.

## Corn Leaf Nutrient Analysis

The ear leaf was removed from two Voris 2331 plants in each treatment at silking. This variety was chosen because of the higher average population across treatments. The leaves were rinsed in nondistilled water and rinsed again in two successive containers of distilled water to remove any dirt or chemicals on the leaf surface. The distilled water was changed periodically. The leaf samples were dried, and then ground to a 20 mesh particle size.

Potassium and phosphorus was determined by plasma emission spectroscopy. The sample preparation procedure is listed in Appendix 14.

## Corn Grain Yield

Corn was harvested on October 17, 1982 and October 25, 1983 with a one row research corn harvester. The amount of corn in 7.6m of row was weighed. A sample for moisture determinations was taken from each row in each treatment and sealed in a wax lined paper bag to reduce moisture loss. The yield data was then computed to kg/ha of corn at 15.5 percent moisture.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# Plant Population

None of the herbicide treatments at the normal use rates (1X) reduced corn plant population as compared to the control. Incorporated acetochlor at the 2X rate in 1982 and the 4X rate both years significantly reduced the corn stand (Table 1).

Incorporated acetochlor at the 4X rate both years and the 2X rate in 1982 significantly reduced stand compared to preemergence treatment at the same rate. Alachlor incorporated at the 2X rate in 1982 significantly reduced stand compared to the preemergence treatment at the same rate (Table 1), however, the 4X rate did not show the same pattern.

## Root Fresh Weight

At the 1X rates, no treatments were significantly different than the control harvest 1 (two leaf stage) in 1982, and harvest 1, 2 and 4 during 1983 (Table 2, 3, and 5). Acetochlor incorporated (1X) caused the most consistant reductions in corn root weights at harvest 2 and 3 (three and four leaf stage) in 1982, and harvest 3 during 1983 (Table 3-4). All of the 1X herbicide treatments except butylate+R-25788 and alachlor preemergence

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Plant Population.  $^{\rm a}$ Table 1.

Herbicide and Application	lon	1X	H.	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X		Χħ
				10 012		
	1982	1983	plar 1982	1982 1983	1982	1.983
Alachlor, PPI	7.6 a	9.6 ab	4.9 bc	9.9 a	8.9 a	9.5 a
Alachlor, PRE	7.5 a	9.3 b	9.1 a	10.0 a	9.4 a	9.0 a
Metolachlor, PPI	6.7 a	10.4 ab	7.1 ab	9.7 a	6.9 ab	9.7 a
Metolachlor, PRE	8.8 a	9.3 ab	8.6 a	10.3 a	9.1 a	10.6 a
Acetochlor, PPI	7.2 a	9.7 ab	3.2 c	8.8 a	4.0 b	7.4 b
Acetochlor, PRE	8.4 a	10.0 ab	8.7 a	8.8 a	8.0 а	8.9 a
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	6.6 a	9.7 ab	8.3 a	9.9 a	6.6 ab	9.4 a
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	7.8° a	9.9 ab	ı	I	ı	1
Pendimethalin, PRE	8.1 a	11.0 a	ı	I	1	1
Untreated	8.1 a	10.0 ab	8.1 ab	10.0 a	8.1 a	10.0 a

 $^{
m a}{\rm Means}$ , average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bSee figure two.

reduced root growth at the third harvest during 1982.

At the third harvest in 1983, EPTC+R-25788, butylate+
R-25788, acetochlor incorporated and preemergence reduced
corn root fresh weights (Table 4). However, at the fourth
harvest, the five leaf stage, in 1982 (Table 5) only
EPTC+R-25788 and metolachlor preemergence treatments
reduced corn root weights. Root weights of plants treated
with acetochlor preemergence harvest 1, acetochlor
incorporated and metolachlor preemergence harvest 2 were
significantly less than plants treated with butylate+
R-25788 treatment at the 1X rate during 1983 (Table 2-3).

Alachlor incorporated at higher rates reduced corn root fresh weights at harvest 2 during 1982 and harvest 3 and 4 both years. Preemergence alachlor at higher rates caused reductions in root weights the third harvest both years and harvest 4 in 1982 (Table 3-5).

Higher rates of metolachlor resulted in decreased corn root weights at the second and fourth harvests during 1982 and the third harvest both years. The 4X rate of metolachlor especially when incorporated reduced root weights three of the four harvests in 1982 (Table 3-5).

Acetochlor incorporated at higher rates reduced root weights all four harvests in 1982 and harvests 2, 3 and 4 during 1983. Preemergence acetochlor also reduced corn root weights harvest 2 during 1983 and harvest 3 and 4 both years (Table 2-5).

Butylate+R-25788 caused root growth reductions only

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Root Fresh Weights, Two Leaf Stage.<sup>a</sup> Table 2.

Herbicide and Applicat1	tion	1X		Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X		Χı
			G	1		
	1982	1983	gm resn 1982	1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	4.3 a	1.6 ab	4.6 a	1.7 a	3.7 bc	1.6 a
Alachlor, PRE	4.8 a	1.8 ab	4.6 a	1.7 a	4.4 ab	1.9 a
Metolachlor, PPI	4.3 а	1.8 ab	3.9 a	1.7 a	4.1 abc	1.5 a
Metolachlor, PRE	4.6 a	1.9 ab	4.6 a	2.0 a	4.5 ab	1.6 a
Acetochlor, PPI	4.2 a	1.5 ab	ı	1.8 a	3.6 c	1.6 a
Acetochlor, PRE	4.5 a	1.4 b	в 1.1	1.7 a	4.4 ab	1.6 a
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	4.7 a	2.0 a	4.2 a	1.8 a	4.9 а	1.7 a
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	4.5 a	1.8 ab	ı	ı	ı	ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	4.7 a	1.7 ab	ı	ı	ı	ı
Untreated	4.5 a	1.9 ab	4.5 a	1.9 a	4.5 a	1.9 a

<sup>a</sup>Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bsee figure two.

<sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Root Fresh Weights, Three Leaf Stage.  $^{\rm a}$ Table 3.

Herbicide and Applicati	$10n$ $1\overline{X}$	×	Ra	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X		ųχ
			o characteristics	S + ri		
	1982	1983	1982 1983	1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	7.4 ab	2.9 abc	5.8 c	2.7 ab	6.3 ab	2.4 abcde
Alachlor, PRE	7.5 ab	2.5 abc	8.5 a	3.0 a	7.3 а	2.0 de
Metolachlor, PPI	6.7 ab	3.0 ab	6.5 bc	2.9 a	5.5 b	2.5 abcde
Metolachlor, PRE	8.1 a	2.2 c	7.2 abc	2.6 ab	7.6 a	2.3 bcde
Acetochlor, PPI ·	5.9 b	2.4 bc	ı	2.5 ab	3.3 c	2.2 cde
Acetochlor, PRE	8.1 a	2.6 abc	7.5 abc	2.2 b	7.3 а	1.8 e
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	7.4 ab	3.3 a	7.7 ab	2.7 ab	7.9 а	3.1 a
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	7.8 a	2.7 abc	ı	ı	ı	I
Pendimethalin, PRE	8.3 a	2.8 abc	ı	ı	ı	I
Untreated	7.8 a	2.7 abc	7.8 ab	2.7 ab	7.8 а	2.7 abcd
						the second contract of

 $^{
m a}$ Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bSee figure two.

<sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Root Fresh Weights, Four Leaf Stage.<sup>a</sup> Table 4.

Herbicide and Applicat	ion j	1X	Re	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X		<u> и х</u>
			mb	h wt C		
	1982	1983	1982	1983	1982	1.983
Alachlor, PPI	12.6 b	7.7 abc	11.2 bcd	6.6 bc	11.5 b	5.7 de
Alachlor, PRE	13.5 ab	7.3 abc	11.4 bcd	7.7 abc	13.1 b	6.4 bcd
Metolachlor, PPI	12.9 b	7.5 abc	9.4 cd	6.7 bc	12.2 b	7.0 bcd
Metolachlor, PRE	12.8 b	7.5 abc	11.7 bcd	7.2 bc	14.1 ab	6.9 bcd
Acetochlor, PPI	11.7 b	6.2 c	8.6 d	4.3 d	7.0 c	3.7 f
Acetochlor, PRE	12.9 b	7.2 bc	12.8 bc	6.1 c	11.5 b	4.2 ef
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	14.2 ab	7.1 bc	13.0 bc	6.4 bc	13.2 b	6.2 cd
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	12.6 b	6.9 bc	1	l	1	l
Pendimethalin, PRE	13.0 b	8.1 ab	1	1	1	ı
Untreated	16.5 a	9.0 a	16.5 a	9.0 a	16.5 a	9.0 а

 $^{\rm a}$ Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bsee figure two.

cweight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Root Fresh Weights, Five Leaf Stage.<sup>a</sup> Table 5.

Herbicide and Applicati	lon	1X		Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X		<u>X   1</u>
	1982	1983	gm fresh 1982	ssh wtc 1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	25.4 ab	22.9 a	22.4 b	21.2 ab	22.5 b	15.3 bc
Alachlor, PRE	28.1 ab	22.6 a	24.1 b	22.9 ab	26.8 ab	18.5 ab
Metolachlor, PPI	28.2 ab	21.3 a	27.3 ab	18.0 abc	20.8 b	18.0 ab
Metolachlor, PRE	24.1 b	21.8 a	26.8 ab	17.0 abc	25.4 ab	19.4 ab
Acetochlor, PPI	24.9 ab	17.3 a	ı	12.6 c	ı	o 6.6
Acetochlor, PRE	24.2 ab	18.5 a	26.8 ab	15.6 bc	21.1 b	10.0 c
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	26.3 ab	20.5 a	23.2 b	18.5 abc	27.4 ab	19.9 ab
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	20.2 b	21.7 a	ı	1	1	ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	29.6 ab	22.3 a	ı	1	1	ı
Untreated	32.8 a	24.4 a	32.8 a	24.4 а	32.8 a	24.4 а

<sup>a</sup>Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bSee figure two.

<sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

at the 2X and 4X rates the third harvest both years and harvest 4 in 1982 (Table 3-5).

More of the incorporated treatments in 1982 were significantly different from the control than the preemergence treatments at the same rate than in 1983. Only one incorporated treatment, acetochlor at the 4X rate, was less than the preemergence treatment at the same rate in 1983. Acetochlor showed the most differences between incorporated and preemergence at all rates, with differences between application methods observed also with alachlor at the 2X rate and metolachlor at the 4X rate both in 1982 (Table 2-4).

## Shoot Fresh Weight

None of the herbicide treatments at normal use rates (1X) produced significantly lower shoot fresh weights than the control during both years. However, EPTC+R-25788 caused reductions in shoot fresh weights in one instance both years (Table 7 and 9) as compared to other treatments at the same harvest. Acetochlor incorporated and preemergence was also reduced as compared to other treatments at the same harvest at the 1X rate (Table 6-7).

Higher rates of alachlor, metolachlor or butylate+ R-25788 did not reduce shoot fresh weights, except alachlor the second harvest at the 4X rate (Table 7). Acetochlor caused shoot fresh weight reductions all four harvests (two, three, four and five leaf stage) both incorporated and preemergence (Table 6-9).

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Shoot Fresh Weights, Two Leaf Stage.  $^{\rm a}$ Table 6.

			ī	Rate <sup>b</sup>		
Herbicide and Applicati	lon	1X		2X	1	η <u>χ</u>
			0m fre	sh wtc		
	1982	1983	1982	1982 1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	5.1 a	1.8 ab	5.4 a	1.9 a	5.1 bc	1.8 a
Alachlor, PRE	5.8 a	2.0 ab	5.7 a	1.9 a	6.5 a	2.1 a
Metolachlor, PPI	5.1 a	1.9 ab	5.0 a	2.0 a	5.5 abc	1.8 a
Metolachlor, PRE	5.7 a	2.1 ab	6.i a	2.0 a	6.0 abc	1.8 a
Acetochlor, PPI	5.3 a	1.6 b	1	2.1 a	4.8 с	1.8 a
Acetochlor, PRE	5.4 a	1.6 b	6.2 a	1.9 a	5.5 abc	1.6 a
Butyalte+R-25788, PPI	6.0 a	2.2 a	5.6 a	1.9 a	5.7 abc	1.7 a
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	5.8 a	2.0 ab	1	ı	ı	ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	6.2 a	1.9 ab	1	ı	ı	ı
Untreated	5.5 a	2.0 ab	5.5 a	2.0 a	5.5 abc	2.0 a

 $^{\rm a}$ Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bsee figure two.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Shoot Fresh Weights, Three Leaf Stage. Table 7.

Rateb 2X	1	1982 1983 1982 1983	3.6 a 9.2 a 3.2 b	4.1 a 11.0 a 3.2 b	3.8 a 8.6 ab 3.6 ab	3.7 a 10.7 a 3.8 ab	3.7 a 6.6 b 3.0 b	3.6 a 9.9 a 3.1 ab	3.4 a 10.0 a 3.6 ab	1	1	4.0а 9.4а 4.0а
	, m z	1982	7.9 b	10.6 а	9.0 ab	9.2 ab	ı	10.2 ab	9.3 ab	1	•	9.4 ab
1 X		1983	4.1 ab	4.0 ab	3.7 ab	3.5 ab	3.3 b	3.8 ab	4.5 a	3.4 b	3.8 ab	4.0 ab
tion		1982	9.5 a	9.1 a	9.4 a	9.7 a	8.8 a	9.7 a	9.2 a	8.7 a	11.2 а	9.4 a
Herbicide and Application			Alachlor, PPI .	Alachlor, PRE	Metolachlor, PPI	Metolachlor, PRE	Acetochlor, PPI	Acetochlor, PRE	Butylate+R-25788, PPI	EPTC+R-25788, PPI	Pendimethalin, PRE	Untreated

aMeans, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

<sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Threa Rates on Corn Shoot Fresh Weights, Four Leaf Stage. Table 8.

Herbicide and Application	lon	1X		Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X		<u>4 X</u>
			94J #5	sh wtc		
	1982	1983	1982	1982 1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	29.6 a	15.3 a	29.8 a	13.8 ab	30.2 ab	11.4 abc
Alachlor, PRE	36.3 a	15.9 a	30.0 ab	16.9 a	42.1 а	14.1 ab
Metolachlor, PPI	37.5 a	14.3 a	28.2 ab	14.8 ab	36.2 а	14.7 ab
Metolachlor, PRE	29.4 a	16.0 a	32.8 ab	13.9 ab	37.2 a	15.1 а
Acetochlor, PPI	31.4 a	11.4 a	19.5 b	9.3 b	17.9 b	7.7 c
Acetochlor, PRE	33.4 a	15.1 a	40.5 a	13.1 ab	30.3 ab	8.9 bc
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	38.9 a	16.1 a	31.5 ab	13.1 ab	30.3 ab	13.6 ab
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	28.4 a	15.0 a	ı	ı	1	1
Pendimethalin, PRE	33.8 a	17.0 a	1	ı	ı	ı
Untreated	43.6 a	16.4 a	43.6 а	16.4 a	43.6 а	16.4 а

aMeans, averages of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bsee figure two.

<sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Shoot Fresh Weights, Five Leaf Stage.<sup>a</sup> Table 9.

Uontiotés pad Annitort	<u> </u>		8	Rateb		Ĭ.
nerbiciue and Applicat	TOU	1		ζγ	7	٧-
	; ; ; ;	1 1 1 1 1	erd mo	ah et C		
	1982	1983	1982	1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	116.4 ab	85.7 a	103.3 a	80.8 ab	107.0 a	55.0 abc
Alachlor, PRE	127.8 ab	96.3 a	114.8 a	97.4 а	151.2 a	69.6 abc
Metolachlor, PPI	152.3 a	83.9 a	148.8 a	73.0 ab	106.2 a	63.1 abc
Metolachlor, PRE	106.2 ab	76.5 a	131.5 a	54.2 ab	125.6 a	67.8 abc
Acetochlor, PPI	112.0 ab	61.0 a	ı	39.6 b	ı	30.0 be
Acetochlor, PRE	122.3 ab	66.3 a	121.9 a	39.9 b	103.8 a	28.6 c
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	134.7 ab	78.7 a	100.5 a	65.4 ab	126.4 a	83.2 a
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	81.6 b	90.4 a	ı	ı	I	1
Pendimethalin, PRE	158.7 a	70.1 a	1	ı	ı	1
Untreated	148.8 ab	82.2 a	148.8 a	82.2 ab	148.8 a	82.2 a
						والموالية والمرافعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة

 $^{\rm a}$ Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

 $<sup>^{</sup>m b}$ See figure two.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

Differences between incorporated and preemergence treatments occurred only during 1982. Preemergence alachlor harvest 1 and 2, and acetochlor preemergence harvest 2 and 3 showed significant increases in shoot fresh weights compared to the incorporated treatment at the same rate (Table 6-8).

Shoot and root fresh weight values were lower in 1983 than in 1982. The greatest differences were in shoot weights rather than the root weights. The temperatures were lower early in the growing season in 1983, combined with more rainfall (Appendix 9) and later planting date in 1983 could all cause reductions in plant weights.

## Diffusive Resistance

Differences in root and shoot growth with the various herbicide treatments did not translate into differences in diffusive resistance as measured with the porometer (Appendix 15). Herbicide treatments did not alter the diffusive resistance with the exception of acetochlor at the 4X rate preemergence on June 22, 1982 (Table 10). Acetochlor increased the diffusive resistance, indicating increased water stress.

## Leaf Water Potential

None of the herbicide treatments significantly affected the leaf water potential as measured with the pressure chamber from that of the control (Table 11).

However, incorporated acetochlor had a significantly lower

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Diffusive Resistance in Corn.<sup>a</sup> Table 10.

			Re	Rateb		1
Herbicide and Application	.on <u>1X</u>	}		2 X	7	χħ
			00000	1 c/cm		
	6/22/82	6/14/83	6/22/82	6/22/82 6/14/83	6/22/82	6/14/83
Alachlor, PPI	2.45 a	1.68 a	2.64 a	1.74 a	1.84 ab	1.59 a
Alachlor, PRE	1.75 a	1.67 a	1.77 a	1.70 a	1.99 ab	1.39 а
Metolachlor, PPI	2.62 a	1.59 a	2.60 a	1.78 a	1.90 ab	1.59 а
Metolachlor, PRE	2.15 a	1.67 a	2.56 a	1.33 a	2.57 ab	1.67 a
Acetochlor, PPI	2.26 a	1.83 a	2.62 a	2.01 a	2.19 ab	2.20 a
Acetochlor, PRE	1.89 a	1.48 a	1.87 a	1.35 a	2.77 a	1.50 a
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	2.49 a	1.96 a	2.03 a	1.83 a	2.20 ab	1.74 а
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	2.63 a	1.97 a	I	1	1	l
Pendimethalin, PRE	2.12 a	1.77 a	I	1	1	ı
Untreated	1.75 a	1.58 a	1.75 a	1.58 a	1.75 b	1.58 a

 $^{\rm d}{\rm Means}$  within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different using Duncan's multiple range test at the 5% level.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Leaf Water Potential. Table 11.

			Re	Rate <sup>b</sup>		
Herbicide and Application	1X 1X		,	2 X		11 X
			22.00	2		
	6/22/82	6/23/82	6/22/82	6/23/82	6/22/82	6/23/82
Alachlor, PPI	9.8 a	7.5 ab	8.6 a	7.6 a	9.6 a	7.11 a
Alachlor, PRE	10.1 a	7.6 ab	10.4 a	7.8 a	9.3 a	7.6 а
Metolachlor, PPI	9.2 a	7.8 ab	9.1 a	7.8 a	9.4 a	7.5 а
Metolachlor, PRE	9.6 a	7.6 ab	8.7 a	7.8 a	9.3 a	7.7 а
Acetochlor, PPI	9.5 a	8.9 a	9.5 a	8.1 a	9.8 а	8.3 а
Acetochlor, PRE	9.7 a	6.7 b	9.1 a	7.4 a	9.4 a	8.1 a
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	10.3 a	7.3 ab	10.4 a	7.6 a	10.4 a	7.7 а
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	9.3 a	8.1 ab	ı	ı	ı	ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	9.4 a	7.4 ab	1	I	ı	I
Untreated	9.0 a	7.6 ab	9.0 a	7.6 a	9.0 a	7.6 a

<sup>a</sup>Means within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different using Duncan's multiple range test at the 5% level.

water potential at the 1X rate on June 23, 1982.

## Corn Leaf Nutrient Analysis

Potassium content was not significantly affected by the herbicide treatment except for acetochlor preemergence at the 4X rate in 1982 (Table 12). All of the herbicide treatments in 1982 with the exception of acetochlor incorporated at the 4X rate were within the normal (36) range of 1.7 to 2.5 percent potassium. All of the values for 1983 were just at or below the normal range and were considered low in potassium content, 1.26 to 1.70 percent. The percent possible analytical error as determined from the blank sample (Appendix 15) was 0.03 percent both years. Plants treated with acetochlor preemergence in 1982 were significantly higher in potassium concentration than plants in the incorporated treatment at the 4X rate.

No herbicide treatment significantly reduced phosphorus content from that of the control (Table 13). All values were within the normal range of 0.25 to 0.50 percent phosphorus (36). The percent analytical error as determined from the blank sample was 0.02 and 0.003 percent in 1982 and 1983. Plants treated with alachlor incorporated were significantly higher in phosphorus content than plants treated with pendimethalin both years, alachlor and metolachlor preemergence, and EPTC+R-25788 in 1982. Alachlor incorporated at the 2X in 1982 and the 4X rate in 1983 produced higher phosphorus contents than

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Leaf Potassium Content, Sampled at Silking.<sup>a</sup> Table 12.

Herbicide and Application	on $\overline{1}\overline{X}$		Ţ	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X	7	<u> и х</u>
				ЯВ		
	1982	1983	 	198	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	2.1 a	1.3 b	1.9 a	1.5 a	1.8 abc	1.6 a
Alachlor, PRE	2.0 a	1.7 ab	2.0 a	1.6 a	2.0 ab	1.6 a
Metolachlor, PPI	1.9 a	1.4 ab	1.8 a	1.8 a	1.8 abc	1.7 a
Metolachlor, PRE	2.0 a	1.8 a	1.8 a	1.5 a	1.9 abc	1.6 а
Acetochlor, PPI	1.7 a	1.6 ab	1.8 a	1.7 a	1.5 c	1.5 a
Acetochlor, PRE	1.8 a	1.6 ab	1.8 a	1.4 a	2.2 a	1.6 a
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	1.8 a	1.6 ab	1.8 a	1.5 a	1.9 abc	1.7 a
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	1.7 a	1.5 ab	1	1	1	ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	2.0 a	1.6 ab	1	1	ı	ı
Untreated	1.7 a	1.6 ab	1.7 a	1.6 a	1.7 bc	1.6 a

 $^{\rm a}{\rm Means}$  within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Leaf Phosphorus Content, Sampled at Silking.<sup>a</sup> Table 13.

Herbioide and Annlication	1 <u>v</u>		Re	Rateb		Ĭ.
nerbicine and Applicat.			9	<		V .
				76 P		
	1982	1983	82	1	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	0.40 a	0.31 a	0.37 a	0.29 a	0.34 a	0.30 a
Alachlor, PRE	0.30 bc	0.30 ab	0.28 b	0.27 a	0.34 a	0.25 c
Metolachlor, PPI	0.35 ab	0.28 ab	0.33 ab	0.30 a	0.32 a	0.30 а
Metolachlor, PRE	0.29 bc	0.28 a	0.30 ab	0.28 a	0.30 a	0.27 abc
Acetochlor, PPI	0.32 abc	0.28 ab	0.28 b	0.30 a	0.33 a	0.29 ab
Acetochlor, PRE	0.33 abc	0.28 ab	0.30 ab	0.27 a	0.33 a	0.26 bc
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	0.33 abc	0.28 ab	0.31 ab	0.27 a	0.28 a	0.29 ab
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	0.29 bc	0.28 ab	ı	ı	1	ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	0.25 c	0.27 b	1	ı	1	ı
Untreated	0.33 abc	0.28 ab	0.33 ab	0.27 a	0.33 a	0.27 abc

 $^{\rm a}{\rm Means}$  within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

other treatments at the same 4X rate during 1983 (Table 13).

## Corn Grain Yield

At normal use rates, no herbicide treatment reduced corn grain yield. At higher rates, acetochlor incorporated and preemergence was the only herbicide treatment to significantly reduce corn yields (Table 14). Preemergence acetochlor treatments had higher yields than the incorporated treatment at the same rate during 1982 and 1983. The yields of the four varieties are listed in the appendix (10-13).

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Grain Yield.<sup>a</sup> Table 14.

			Re	Rate <sup>b</sup>		
Herbicide and Application	on 1X	X	2	2X		ηX
	! ! ! ! !	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	kg/ha	'ha	 	                 
	1982	1983	1982	1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	6832 a	5814 a	5010 ab	5540 a	7727 a	5437 ab
Alachlor, PRE	6820 a	5512 a	7599 a	5578 a	8853 a	5771 ab
Metolachlor, PPI	5631 a	5727 a	6585 a	5629 a	5960 a	5564 ab
Metolachlor, PRE	6954 a	5726 a	7355 a	5498 a	7502 a	6063 a
Acetochlor, PPI	4424 a	5537 a	2786 b	4996 а	2671 b	3317 c
Acetochlor, PRE	6866 a	5395 a	7849 a	5375 a	6914 a	4 99 <i>L</i> H
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	5709 a	5526 a	7150 a	5406 a	6126 a	5434 ab
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	6154 a	5767 a	ı	ı	ı	ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	7435 a	5606 a	1	ı	1	ı
Untreated	7230 a	5360 a	7230 a	5360 a	7230 a	5360 ab

ameans, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Herbicide treatments produced reductions in germination, growth, water stress and nutrient uptake that affected corn plants during the growing season, which is in agreement with the authors cited. The reductions in root and shoot weights were more evident at the third harvest, which is similar to the results obtained by Buzio and Burt (13) who found that the greatest injury to corn from EPTC+R-25788 occurred four weeks after planting. However, corn grain yield was not significantly changed as a direct result of any of the parameters studied at normal use rates under normal field conditions. Alachlor, metolachlor, butylate+R-25788, EPTC+R-25788 and pendimethalin at normal use rates are all comparatively safe and the differences observed do not have a direct effect on yield.

Denmead and Shaw found that early season stress could indirectly affect corn yield (23). Yield of corn could be indirectly affected by any one or combination of the parameters studied. Several questions concerning the comparative effects of the soil applied herbicides in corn are still unanswered. Some comparisons that should be given consideration for further study are:

1. Effects of the soil applied herbicides under

increasing/decreasing water stress.

- 2. Effects of the soil applied herbicides on plant nutrient uptake under high and low fertility levels.
- 3. Effects of the persistance or injury caused by the soil applied herbicides should be measured in the plant and soil and expressed as a function of the parameters involved in corn growth i.e. a certain plant process is affected by a herbicide up through a certain growth stage.
- 4. Effects of extenders or lay by applications which could possibly allow the active herbicide to be in the soil and plant through pollination which could affect yield.
- 5. Effects on the biomass of corn for silage or the effect of the soil applied herbicides on the maturity of corn.

Greater differences were observed during corn growth with increased rates of herbicides (2X, 4X) as compared to the normal use rates (1X). Though the herbicides are not recommended at these rates, these data present a good indication of the margin of safety of these herbicides. From the overall observations of the author, the herbicide that showed the least reduction at higher rates in growth and stress in the corn plants earlier in the growing season was butylate+R-25788. However, the butylate+R-25788 treatment did not show any increases in yield as compared to the alachlor or metolachlor treatments. Acetochlor produced the greatest reductions in growth and stress as compared

to the other herbicides at the higher rates.

The differences between incorporated and preemergence treatments may have occurred in the drier year, 1982, (Appendix 9) because the preemergence herbicides were not placed in the root zone of the corn as well as the incorporated treatments. Since the root growth is affected more than shoot growth by the soil applied herbicides as seen in this study, if a herbicide were not in the root zone of a corn plant, the plant would not be affected as much by a preemergence treatment. Differences between incorporated and preemergence treatments did not result in significant changes in yield, with the exception of acetochlor at higher rates. Yield was most directly affected by the stand loss caused by the acetochlor treatment.

#### SUMMARY

The effect of alachlor (2-chloro-2',6'-diethyl-N-(methoxymethyl)acetanilide), metolachlor (2-chloro-N-(2-ethyl-6-methylphenyl)-N-(2-methoxy-1-methylethyl) acetamide), acetochlor (2-chloro-N(ethoxymethyl)-6'-ethylo-acetotoluidide), butylate + R-25788 (S-ethyl diisobutyl thiocarbamate + N, N-dially-2, 2-dichloroacetamide), EPTC + R-25788 (S-ethyl dipropylthiocarbamate + N,N-dially-2,2dichloroacetamide), and pendimethalin (N-(1-ethylpropyl)-3,4 dimethyl-2,6 dinitrobenzenamine) on corn plant population, root and shoot fresh and dry weights, diffusive resistance and leaf water potential, leaf nutrient content at silking, and yield was studied in 1982 and 1983. Herbicides were evaluated for their effect on corn growth with herbicide treatments at normal use rates (1X), increasing rates of herbicides (2X, 4X) excluding EPTC + R-25788 and pendimethalin, and both preplant incorporated and preemergence with alachlor, metolachlor, and acetochlor.

Root weights were affected more than the shoot weights. Root and shoot weights were less in 1983 than in 1982 at the same harvest, with the shoot weights showing greater differences between the two years. Differences in root and shoot weights were observed more

the third harvest.

Acetochlor preplant incorporated showed the greatest reduction in all of the parameters studied.

EPTC + R-25788 showed greater reduction in root and shoot weights than alachlor, metolachlor, butylate + R-25788 and pendimethalin. Alachlor preplant incorporated was significantly different in phosphorus content as compared to pendimethalin and preemergence alachlor treatments, but all three were within the sufficiency ranges for corn.

Though differences were observed with alachlor, metolachlor, butylate + R-25788, EPTC + R-25788 and pendimethalin at all rates and methods of application, yield was not significantly reduced by any treatment.

In conclusion, alachlor, metolachlor, butylate + R-25788, EPTC + R-25788 and pendimethalin should be considered comparatively safe in their effect on corn growth under normal conditions and at recomended rates. In reporting data on corn growth one should consider the total picture portrayed on the growth of corn as it is affected by soil applied herbicides.

#### LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Acevedo, E., T. C. Hsiao and D. W. Henderson. 1971. Immediate and subsequent growth responses of maize leaves to changes in water status. Plant Physiology 48:631-636.
- 2. Akinsorotan, A. 1976. The Influence of temperature and soil moisture on thiocarbamate injury to corn, with and without protectant. Weed Abstracts 28:1438.
- 3. Ashley, R. A. 1974. Effect of alachlor on water loss by sweet corn (Zea mays var. Rugosa L.). Proc. Northeast. Weed Sci. Soc. 28:107-110.
- 4. Ashley, R. A., and C. S. Vavrina. 1976. Effect of alachlor on water loss by potatoes. Proc. Northeast. Weed Sci. Soc. 30:118-121.
- 5. Balke, N. E. 1979. Inhibition of ion absorption by acetanilide herbicides. Abstr., Weed Sci. Soc. Am. pp. 93-94.
- 6. Baker, D. E. and C. M. Woodruff. 1962. Influence of volume of soil per plant upon growth and uptake of phosphorus by corn from soils treated with different amounts of phosphorus. Soil Sci. 94:409-412.
- 7. Barrett, M. 1981. Annual grass control in corn seed production. Proc. North Cent. Weed Control Conf. 36:137.
- 8. Boyer, J. S. 1967. Leaf water potentials measured with a pressure chamber. Plant Physiology 42:133-137.
- 9. Bucholtz, D. L. 1979. Effects of pesticides on the biochemistry and physiology of plants. Dissertation Abstr. Int. 39:3084.
- 10. Bucholtz, D. L. and T. L. Lavy. 1979. Alachlor and trifluralin effects on nutrient uptake in oats and soybeans. Agron. J. 71:24-26.
- 11. Burt, G. W. 1976. Factors affecting thiocarbamate injury to corn. II. Soil incorporation, seed placement, cultivar, leaching, and breakdown. Weed Sci. 24:327-329.

- 12. Burt, G. W. and C. A. Buzio. 1978. EPTC+R-25788 injury to corn: the influence of soil movement and stage of growth. Proc. Northeast. Weed Sci. Soc. 32:62.
- 13. Burt, G. W. and C. A. Buzio. 1979. EPTC plus R-25788 injury to corn (Zea mays) as affected by plant age at treatment. Weed Sci. 27:460-462.
- 14. Buzio, C. A. and G. W. Burt. 1980. Leaching of EPTC and R-25788 in soil. Weed Sci. 28:241-245.
- 15. Canada, Agriculture Canada, Research Station, Harrow. 1979. Report. Research branch report, 1979. Agriculture Canada pp. 81-91.
- 16. Carringer, R. D., C. E. Rieck, and C. G. Poneleit. 1974. Corn inbred response to EPTC, butylate, vernolate and two protectants. Proc. North Cent. Weed Control Conf. 29:32.
- 17. Chitapong, P., R. D. Ilnicki and J. J. Baumley. 1981. Effects of incorporation depth on alachlor, aceto-chlor, and cyanazine in corn. Proc. Northeast. Weed Sci. Soc. 35:2-5.
- 18. Coultas, J. S. and R. G. Harvey. 1978. Using a rainfall simulator to study the influence of environment on corn injury from preemergence herbicides. Proc. North Cent. Weed Control Conf. 33:33-34.
- 19. Das, K., B. D. Singh, J. Singh and R. M. Singh. 1978. Cytological abberations induced by Lasso, Machete and Stam F-34 in barley (Hordeum vulgare). Indian Jour. Exp. Bot. 16:446-449.
- 20. Davies, W. J. 1977. Stomatal responses to water stress and light in plants grown in controlled environments and in the field. Crop Sci. 17:735-740.
- 21. Davis, D. E., P. Pillai and B. Truelove. 1979. Selectivity and mode of action of metolachlor. Abstr., Weed Sci. Soc. Am. p. 99.
- 22. Deal, L. M. and F. D. Hess. 1980. An analysis of the growth inhibitory characteristics of alachlor and metolachlor. Weed Sci. 28:168-175.
- 23. Denmead, O. T. and R. H. Shaw. 1960. The effects of soil moisture stress at different stages of growth on the development and yield of corn. Agron J. 52:272-274.

- 24. Detlefsen, I. M., R. D. Ilnicki and P. Chitapong. 1983. Alachlor and metolachlor alone and in combination with atrazine in corn. Proc. Northeast. Weed Sci. Soc. 37:53-55.
- 25. Devi, L. S. 1975. Studies on the effect of herbicides on mineral nutrition and biochemical changes in plants. Field Crop Abstr. 32:1995.
- 26. Dixon, G. A. and E. W. Stoller. 1982. Differential toxicity, absorption, translocation and metabolism of metolachlor in corn (Zea mays) and yellow nutsedge (Cyperus esculentus). Weed Sci. 30:225-230.
- 27. Dixon, G. A., E. W. Stoller and M. D. McGlamery. 1980. Acetanilide herbicides for yellow nutsedge (Cyperus esculentus) control in corn (Zea mays). Weed Sci. 28:593-598.
- 28. Donald, W. W. 1982. Comparative effects of several thiocarbamate and chloroacetanilide herbicides on corn (Zea mays). Proc. North Cent. Weed Control Conf. 37:55.
- 29. Ellis, T. W., H. P. Wilson, M. P. Mascianica and K. A. Jannsen. 1983. Influence of metolachlor on sweet corn (Zea mays saccharata) growth and nutrient accumulation. Weed Sci. 31:342-347.
- 30. Francis, T. R. and A. S. Hamill. 1980. Inheritance of maize seedling tolerance to alachlor. Canada Jour. Plant Sci. 60:1045-1047.
- 31. Gorag, K., G. Muschinek, L. A. Mustardy and A. Faludi-Daniel. 1982. Comparative studies of safeners for the prevention of EPTC injury to maize. Weed Res. 22:27-33.
- 32. Habetz, R., T. R. Harger and P. R. Nester. 1980. Soybean herbicide experiment (a preliminary report). Annual Progress Rep., Rice Expt. Sta., Crowley Louisiana 1979 pp. 256-261.
- 33. Hagood, E. S., J. L. Williams and T. T. Bauman. 1980. Influence of herbicide injury on the yield potential of soybeans (Glycine max). Weed Sci. 28:40-45.
- 34. Holt, R. F. 1968. Water use by corn. 23rd Corn and Sorghum Res. Conf. pp. 7-13.
- 35. Johnson, R. R. and L. M. Wax. 1981. Stand establishment and yield of corn as affected by herbicides and seed vigor. Agron. J. 73:859-863.

- 36. Jones, J. B. 1968. Diagnosing nutrient element need by plant analysis. 23rd Corn and Sorghum Res. Conf. pp. 15-22.
- 37. Kataria, O. P. and N Abdul. 1983. Effect of alachlor on seed germination and seedling growth. Abstr., Weed Sci, Soc. Am. pp. 94-95.
- 38. Kempen, H. M. 1972. Subsurface layering of herbicides for band weed control in corn. Res. Progress Rep., Western Weed Sci. Soc. pp. 75-77.
- 39. Kennedy, J. M. and W. A. Kreuger. 1978. Thiocarbamate herbicides and antidote effects on corn hybrids. Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc. p. 66.
- 40. Leavitt, J. R. C. and D. Penner. 1978. Protection of corn (Zea mays) from acetanilide herbicidal injury with the antidote R-25788. Weed Sci. 26:653-659.
- 41. Leavitt, J. R. C. and D. Penner. 1979. Prevention of EPTC-induced epicuticular wax aggregation on corn (Zea mays) with R-25788. Weed Sci. 27:47-50.
- 42. Lee, G. A. and H. P. Alley. 1972. Preplant weed control in corn. Res. Progress Rep., Western Weed Sci. Soc. pp. 70, 73, 74.
- 43. Lueschen, W. E. and R. Benrens. 1978. Corn hybrid response to preplant and preemergence pendimethalin application. Proc. North Cent. Weed Control Conf. 33:94.
- 44. Lynn, L. B. 1980. Performance of acetochlor in corn and potatoes in the northeastern United States. Proc. Northeast. Weed Sci. Soc. 34:43-50.
- 45. Marsh, H. V., J. Bates and S. Downs. 1976. Effects of alachlor on corn seedlings. Proc. Northeast. Weed Sci. Soc. 30:158-164.
- 46. Marsh, H. V., K. Woodard and J. Bates. 1975. Effect of alachlor on water utilization by oat (Avena sativa L.) seedlings. Proc. Northeast. Weed Sci. Soc. 29:175.
- 47. Meidner, H. and T. A. Mansfield. 1968. Physiology of Stomata. McGraw Hill Book Co. pp. 69-101, 156.
- 48. Meggitt, W. F. 1970. Weed control methods, losses and costs due to weeds and benefits of weed control in maize. 1st FAO Int. Conf. Weed Control, Univ. of Calif. Davis p. 15.

- 49. Michieka, R. W., C. N. Somody and R. D. Ilnicki. 1978. Weed control in field corn with thiocarbamate herbicides with antidotes. Proc. Northeast. Weed Sci. Soc. 32:4-6.
- 50. Miesner, H. and J. Enz. 1981. Testing the tolerance of the herbicide Eradicane and others in various maize varieties. Gesunde Pflanzen 33:62-65.
- 51. Miller, J. H. and C. H. Carter. 1980. Performance of six substituted dinitrobenzamine herbicides applied layby of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum). Weed Sci. 28:212-215.
- 52. Moyer, J. R. and R. D. Dryden. 1979. Wild oats, green foxtail, and broad-leaved weeds: control and effect on corn yield at Brandon, Manitoba. Canada Jour. Plant Sci. 59:383-389.
- 53. Narsaiah, D. B. and R. G. Harvey. 1977. Differential responses of corn inbreds and hybrids to alachlor. Crop Sci. 17:657-659.
- 54. Niccum, C. E. 1970. Variations in inbred and varietal tolerance of corn to butylate, alachlor, and propachlor. Proc. North Cent. Weed Control Conf. 25:33-35.
- 55. Norden, A. J. 1963. Responses of corn (Zea mays L.) to population, bed height, and genotype on poorly drained sandy soil. I. Root development. Agron. J. 56:269-273.
- 56. Orr, J. P., D. Martella and L. Lorentzen. 1978. Antidotes plus thiocarbamates; do they make a good herbicide? Proc. 30th Anniv. Calif. Weed Conf. pp. 133-147.
- 57. Pavlista, A. D. 1983. Tolerance of corn to pendimethalin as affected by planting depth. Proc. Northeast. Weed Sci. Soc. 37:7-9.
- 58. Pillai, P., D. E. Davis and B. Truelove. 1979. Effects of metolachlor on germination, growth, leucine uptake and protein synthesis. Weed Sci. 27:634-637.
- 59. Rahman, A. 1978. Influence of phosphorus on the phytotoxicity of alachlor. Jour. Agr. Res. 21:687-690.
- 60. Raschke, K. 1975. Stomatal action. Annual Rev. Plant Physiology 26:309-340.

- 61. Rehm, G. W., R. C. Sorensen and R. A. Wiese. 1983. Application of phosphorus, potassium, and zinc to corn grown for grain silage: nutrient concentration and uptake. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. 47:697-700.
  - 62. Robins, J. S. and C. E. Domingo. 1953. Some effects of severe soil moisture deficits at specific growth stages in corn. Agron. J. 45:618-621.
  - 63. Sagaral, E. G. and C. L. Foy. 1978. Response of several corn cultivators to EPTC with and without the antidote R-25788 (N,N,-dially-dichloroacetamide). Abstr., Weed Sci. Soc. Am. pp. 23-24.
  - 64. Schwartz, T. K. and H. P. Alley. 1978. Factors affecting the activity and movement of pendimethalin. Proc. Western Weed Sci. Soc. 31:146-148.
  - 65. Shinn, J. H. and E. R. Lemon. 1968. Photosynthesis under field conditions. XI. Soil-plant-water relations during drought stress in corn. Agron. J. 60:337-343.
  - 66. Somody, C. N., R. W. Michieka, R. D. Ilnicki and J. Somody. 1978. The response of some sweet corn varieties to thiocarbamate herbicides with and without safeners. Proc. Northeast. Weed Sci. Soc. 32:129-133.
  - 67. Stephenson, G. R., N. J. Bunce, R. I. Makowski and J. C. Curry. 1978. Antidotes for thiocarbamate herbicides in corn: structure/activity relationships. Abstr., Weed Sci. Soc. Am. p. 68.
  - 68. Stryckers, J. and M. Himme. 1972. Review of the results obtained for the cropping year 1970-71. Mededeling 17, 158 pp.
  - 69. Timmons, D. R., R. F. Holt and J. T. Moraghan. 1966. Effect of corn population on yield, evapotranspiration, and water-use efficiency in the northwest corn belt. Agron. J. 58:429-432.
  - 70. Waldrep, T. W. and J. F. Freeman. 1964. EPTC injury to corn as affected by depth of incorporation in the soil. Weed Sci. 12:315-317.
  - 71. Weed Science Society of America. 1983. Herbicide Handbook, 8th Ed. Champaign IL: Weed Science Society of America.
  - 72. Wiese. A. F., J. W. Collier, D. E. Lavake, E. W. Chenault and J. L. Davis. 1979. Effect of EPTC on maize lines. Proc. Southern Weed Sci. Soc. p. 121.

- 73. Wright, T. H. and C. E. Rieck. 1973. Differential butylate injury to corn hybrids. Weed Sci. 21:194-196.
- 74. Yao, Augustine Y. M. and R. H. Shaw. 1963. Effect of plant population and planting pattern of corn on water use and yield. Agron. J. 56:147-152.
- 75. Zawierucha, J. E. and N. L. Hartwig. 1983. Differential response of corn hybrids to selected herbicide applications. Proc. Northeast. Weed Sci. Soc. 37:5-6.



The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Root Dry Weights, Two Leaf Stage.  $\!\!\!^{\rm a}$ Appendix 1.

Herbicide and Application	.on <u>1X</u>		R	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X		ı X
			1 2	, t.		
	1982	1983		1.9 Wt 1.983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	0.59 ab	0.17 bc	0.55 a	0.18 a	0.60 a	0.19 ab
Alachlor, PRE	0.71 ab	0.17 bc	0.63 a	0.18 a	0.79 a	0.19 ab
Metolachlor, PPI	0.68 ab	0.20 ab	0.45 a	0.20 a	0.64 a	0.19 ab
Metolachlor, PRE	0.55 ab	0.19 abc	0.62 a	0.19 a	0.58 a	0.17 b
Acetochlor, PPI	0.78 a	0.18 abc	ı	0.19 a	ı	0.19 ab
Acetochlor, PRE	0.60 ab	0.16 c	0.72 a	0.19 a	0.53 a	0.19 ab
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	0.72 ab	0.20 ab	0.64 a	0.19 a	0.71 a	0.18 ab
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	0.65 ab	0.18 abc	ı	ı	ı	ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	0.46 b	0.18 abc	1	1	ı	ı
Untreated	0.52 ab	0.21 a	0.52 a	0.21 a	0.52 a	0.21 a

<sup>a</sup>Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bsee figure two.

<sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Root Dry Weights, Three Leaf Stage.<sup>a</sup> Appendix 2.

Herbicide and Application	on <u>1X</u>		R	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X	1	ηχ
			E 5	dry wtc		
	1982	1983	1982	1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	0.65 ab	0.31 ab	0.56 a	0.31 a	0.54 ab	0.21 d
Alachlor, PRE	0.64 ab	0.26 bc	0.69 a	0.30 ab	0.70 ab	0.24 cd
Metolachlor, PPI	0.58 ab	0.30 abc	0.62 a	0.30 ab	0.50 bc	0.30 ab
Metolachlor, PRE	0.72 ab	0.27 abc	0.69 a	0.30 ab	0.71 a	0.24 cd
Acetochlor, PPI	0.54 b	0.26 bc	ı	0.26 bc	0.33 c	0.27 bc
Acetochlor, PRE	0.69 ab	0.25 c	0.71 a	0.23 c	0.61 ab	0.21 d
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	0.77 a	0.32 a	0.75 a	0.30 ab	0.57 ab	0.32 a
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	0.67 ab	0.26 bc	ı	I		ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	0.75 a	0.27 abc	ı	ı	1	ı
Untreated	0.69 ab	0.29 abc	0.69 a	0.29 ab	0.69 ab	0.29 ab

 $^{\rm a}$ Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>See figure two.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Root Dry Welghts, Four Leaf Stage. Appendix 3.

Herbicide and Application	on 1X		Ra 2	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X		XII
			E B B	C		
	1982	1983	1982 1983	1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	1.10 ab	0.98 ab	0.98 abc	0.84 bc	1.15 ab	0.72 ef
Alachlor, PRE	1.13 ab	0.87 b	0.90 bc	0.94 abc	0.99 ab	0.79 de
Metolachlor, PPI	1.14 ab	0.98 ab	0.90 bc	0.88 bc	0.91 bc	1.01 abc
Metolachlor, PRE	1.25 ab	0.92 b	0.92 bc	0.87 bc	1.04 ab	0.92 bede
Acetochlor, PPI	0.88 b	0.86 b	0.78 c	0.63 d	0.56 c	0.55 f
Acetochlor, PRE	1.00 ab	0.93 ab	1.13 abc	0.80 cd	0.88 bc	0.52 f
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	1.04 ab	0.97 ab	1.06 abc	0.84 bc	0.96 bc	0.87 cde
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	0.89 b	0.95 ab	ì	ı	I	I
Pendimethalin, PRE	1.08 ab	1.05 ab	I	1	1	ı
Untreated	1.38 a	1.14 а	1.38 a	1.14 a	1.38 а	1.14 я

<sup>a</sup>Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bSee figure two.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Root Dry Weights, Five Leaf Stage. Appendix 4.

Herbicide and Application	on <u>IX</u>	×	æ	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X		<u>X</u> ħ_
			i i	0 411 1111		
	1982	1983		1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	2.58 ab	2.96 a	2.91 a	2.62 ab	2.56 а	1.94 bed
Alachlor, PRE	2.92 ab	2.77 a	2.74 a	2.84 a	3.17 a	2.23 abc
Metolachlor, PPI	3.21 ab	2.67 a	3.16 a	2.45 ab	2.113 a	2.29 abc
Metolachlor, PRE	2.60 ab	2.76 a	2.90 a	2.15 ab	2.85 a	2.45 ab
Acetochlor, PPI	2.98 ab	2.12 a	ı	1.69 b	t	1.35 cd
Acetochlor, PRE	2.71 ab	2.49 a	2.99 a	2.06 ab	2.58 а	1.26 d
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	2.77 ab	2.77 a	2.50 a	2.29 ab	2.79 a	2.58 ab
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	2.18 b	2.69 a	ı	ı	ı	ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	3.60 a	2.65 a	I	ı	i	1
Untreated	3.23 ab	3.12 a	3.23 a	3.02 a	3.23 а	3.02 a

 $^{\rm a}$ Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>See figure two.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Shoot Dry Weights, Two Leaf Stage. Appendix 5.

Herbicide and Application		1X	Rat 2X	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X	ηх
	1982	1983	gm dry wt <sup>c</sup>	/ wt <sup>c</sup>	1982 1983
Alachlor, PPI	0.67 a	0.19 ab	0.64 bcd	0.20 a	0.75 bcd 0.19 a
Alachlor, PRE	0.87 a	0.20 ab	0.82 abcd	0.19 a	1.05 a 0.21 a
Metolachlor, PPI	0.83 a	0.19 ab	0.59 d	0.21 a	0.79 bcd 0.20 a
Metolachlor, PRE	0.67 a	0.21 ab	0.90 ab	0.21 a	0.80 abcd 0.19 a
Acetochlor, PPI	0.74 a	0.18 ab	0.62 cd	0.21 a	0.58 d 0.19 a
Acetochlor, PRE	0.74 a	0.17 b	0.95 a	0.19 a	0.74 bcd 0.18 a
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	0.84 a	0.22 a	0.79 abcd	0.19 a	0.80 abcd 0.18 a
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	0.78 a	0.21 ab	I	1	1
Pendimethalin, PRE	0.69 a	0.19 ab	1	1	1
Untreated	0.66 a	0.21 ab	0.66 bcd	0.21 a	0.66 cd 0.21 a

<sup>a</sup>Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bsee figure two.

cWeight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Shoot Dry Weights, Three Leaf Stage.  $^{\rm A}$ Appendix 6.

Herbicide and Applicatio	on $\overline{1\overline{X}}$		Re	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X	17	II X
	1982	1983	gm dı 1982	dry wt~ 1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	2.15 ab	0.45 ab	1.51 b	0.46 a	1.78 bcd	0.37 bc
Alachlor, PRE	1.99 ab	0.46 ab	2.35 a	0.49 a	2.58 a	0.37 bc
Metolachlor, PPI	2.10 ab	0.43 ab	1.91 ab	0.44 a	1.72 cd	0.46 ab
Metolachlor, PRE	2.16 ab	0.44 ab	2.02 ab	0.46 a	2.54 ab	0.42 abc
Acetochlor, PPI	1.84 b	0.39 b	1	0.39 a	1.08 d	0.37 bc
Acetochlor, PRE	2.19 ab	0.43 ab	2.43 a	0.40 a	2.03 abc	0.32 c
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	2.14 ab	0.52 a	2.15 ab	0.43 a	2.24 abc	0.47 ab
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	1.90 ab	0.40 b	I	ı	ı	ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	2.65 a	0.42 ab	I	ı	ı	1
Untreated	2.11 ab	0.49 ab	2.11 ab	0.49 a	2.11 abc	0.49 а

<sup>a</sup>Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bsee figure two.

cWeight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Shoot Dry Weights, Four Leaf Stage.<sup>a</sup> Appendix 7.

Herbicide and Application	lon <u>IX</u>		Re	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X		I X
			A C E E	o and the contract of the cont	AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE P	
	1982	1983	1982	1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	6.01 ab	2.04 a	5.13 ab	1.77 ab	5.62 ab	1.43 ab
Alachlor, PRE	6.83 ab	1.99 a	5.55 ab	2.11 a	8.13 a	1.72 a
Metolachlor, PPI	7.60 ab	1.90 a	5.13 ab	1.91 a	6.62 a	2.09 а
Metolachlor, PRE	5.15 ab	2.07 a	5.93 ab	1.81 ab	6.28 ab	1.96 a
Acetochlor, PPI	5.95 ab	1.54 a	3.70 b	1.21 b	2.73 b	1.01 b
Acetochlor, PRE	6.44 ab	1.92 a	7.82 a	1.57 ab	5.48 ab	1.04 b
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	7.58 ab	2.14 a	6.02 ab	1.69 ab	5.21 ab	1.77 a
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	4.93 b	2.07 a	ı	1	ı	ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	6.29 ab	2.16 a	ı	1	1	ı
Untreated	8.80 a	2.11 a	8.80 a	2.11 a	8.80 я	2.11 a

<sup>a</sup>Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bsee figure two.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Shoot Dry Weights, Five Leaf Stage.  $^{\rm a}$ Appendix 8.

Herbicide and Application	1on <u>1X</u>	X	Œ	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X		X II
				o,	; ;	
	1982	1983	gm d 1982	dry wt 1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	11.42 ab	9.42 a	10.39 a	8.68 a	10.47 а	6.21 abc
Alachlor, PRE	12.49 ab	10.63 a	11.15 a	10.44 a	14.52 a	7.09 abc
Metolachlor, PPI	14.69 a	8.64 a	13.71 a	8.41 a	10.75 а	7.12 abc
Metolachlor, PRE	10.38 ab	8.20 a	12.26 a	6.14 a	12.41 a	7.44 abc
Acetochlor, PPI	11.32 ab	6.71 a	1	5.03	ı	3.66 bc
Acetochlor, PRE	11.50 ab	7.43 a	12.80 a	4.98 a	10.83 a	3.47 c
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	12.81 ab	9.03 a	9.93 a	8.28 a	12.39 а	9.19 a
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	7.56 b	10.08 a	ı	1	ı	I
Pendimethalin, PRE	15.50 a	7.83 a	ı	ı	ı	ĭ
Untreated	13.92 ab	8.84 a	13.92 a	8.84 a	13.92 a	8.84 abc

<sup>a</sup>Means, average of four varieties, within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>See figure two.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Weight of five plants.

Appendix 9. Rainfall Data for 1982 and 1983, Crop Science Research Farm - East Lansing, Michigan.

	Ap	ril	M	lay	Ju	ne
Day	1982	1983	1982	1983	1982	1983
1 2 2	.30			.41 1.16 .11	1.41	.02
54 54		.79 .04		.02	.12	.65
1234567890	.50	.13	.31	.06 .94	.12	.22
10 11 12		.40			.20	
13 14	.14	.20	.12	<b>.</b>		
15 16 17 18	.04	.11 .15 .05	.19 .06	.08	.10 .72	.18
19 20 21	.16	• • • •	.14 .57	.83	.73	
22 23 24			.42 .09	1.00		
25 26 27			.04	.04 .32	.14	.32
28 29 30 31		.38 .63 .06	. 44	.39 .07 .16	.35	.32 3.28 .16
TOTAL	1.14	4.15	2.38	5.59	3.97	5.03

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Grain Yield, Voris 2381. Appendix 10.

Herbicide and Application	lon <u>1X</u>	}	Re	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X		<u>X</u> h
			•	,		
	1982	1983	1982 1982	кg/na 82 1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	6147 ab	4957 а	6973 a	4541 a	7958 a	4880 а
Alachlor, PRE	6598 ab	4721 a	8362 a	4456 a	8123 a	5265 a
Metolachlor, PPI	5525 ab	4508 a	7346 a	5168 a	5497 a	3633 a
Metolachlor, PRE	6576 ab	4778 a	6973 a	5077 a	7673 a	4680 а
Acetochlor, PPI	3661 b	4471 a	3677 b	4175 а	2041 b	2174 b
Acetochlor, PRE	6310 ab	4829 a	8073 a	3848 a	7756 a	4086 а
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	4820 ab	4432 a	6291 ab	4749 a	5357 a	4538 а
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	6285 ab	5014 a	ı	I	1	I
Pendimethalin, PRE	7569 a	5154 a	1	1	ı	ı
Untreated	7017 a	4135 a	7017 a	4135 а	7017 a	И135 а

 $^{\mathrm{a}}$ Means within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bsee figure two.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Grain Yield, Great Lakes 422. Appendix 11.

Herbicide and Application	on	1X		Rat.	Rate <sup>b</sup> 2X	1	7 <sub>1</sub> X
				-	7		
	1982		1983	kg 1982	-кg/ na 1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	4886	ಡ	6740 a	3689 bc	6142 a	5754 abc	6388 a
Alachlor, PRE	5503	ಡ	5323 a	6033 ab	6418 a	8334 a	6426 а
Metolachlor, PPI	5204	ಹ	6814 a	5542 abc	6018 a	4989 bcd	6997 a
Metolachlor, PRE	4655	ಹ	6068 a	6216 ab	5696 a	6471 abc	6619 a
Acetochlor, PPI	3348	ત્ય	6448 a	2606 c	5656 a	2176 d	4297 с
Acetochlor, PRE	6289	ಡ	5472 a	7645 a	5566 a	7002 abc	4479 bc
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	4800	ಡ	6018 a	6698 ab	5390 а	11267 cd	5634 ab
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	6039	ಹ	6062 a	ı	1	ı	ı
Pendimethalin, PRE	5557	ಹ	5836 a	ı	I	ı	ı
Untreated	6413	ಡ	5799 a	6413 ab	5799 a	6413 abe	5799 а

<sup>a</sup>Means within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbigldes at Three Rates on Corn Grain Yield, Voris 2331. Appendix 12.

			Ra	Rate <sup>b</sup>		
Herbicide and Application	on <u>1X</u>		2	2X	h	ηх
			2 J			
		1983	1982	1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	8004 ab	6300 a	4570 bc	5939 a	9066 ab	601.5 abc
Alachlor, PRE	7599 abc	5787 a	6976 ab	5850 a	9455 a	5587 abc
Metolachlor, PPI	5066 bc	5753 a.	6974 ab	5804 a	6770 abcd	5993 abc
Metolachlor, PRE	8710 a	5848 a	8246 a	5649 a	8516 ab	6683 a
Acetochlor, PPI	4580 с	6499 а	3229 c	5672 a	3561 d	o 9014
Acetochlor, PRE	7471 abc	5311 a	7400 ab	5434 а	4920 cd	4886 bc
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	6231 abc	6302 a	8026 a	5364 а	5953 bcd	6044 abc
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	5710 abc	5938 a	ı	ı	i	I
Pendimethalin, PRE	8224 ab	5783 a	ı	1	I	ı
Untreated	7314 abc	5962 a	7314 ab	5962 a	7314 abc	5962 abc

 $^{
m a}{\rm Means}$  within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bsee figure two.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Corn Grain Yield, Pioneer 3901.<sup>a</sup> Appendix 13.

			Re	Rate <sup>b</sup>		
Herbicide and Application	on 1X			2 X		) X
	1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 X	kg/ha	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	1982	1983	1982	1983	1982	1983
Alachlor, PPI	8292 a	5260 a	4815 bc	5539 ab	8130 a	q 6911
Alachlor, PRE	7579 a	6219 a	9026 a	5590 ab	9501 a	5812 ab
Metolachlor, PPI	6730 a	5835 a	6481 ab	5528 ab	6584 a	5635 ab
Metolachlor, PRE	7873 a	6215 a	7985 ab	5574 ab	7347 a	6272 a
Acetochlor, PPI	6109 a	4732 a	1631 с	4482 b	2907 b	2092 c
Acetochlor, PRE	7095 a	5969 a	8279 a	6651 a	7977 a	5614 ab
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	6983 a	5354 a	7585 ab	6121 ah	8926 a	5521 ab
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	5584 a	6056 a	ı	1	I	1
Pendimethalin, PRE	8389 a	5647 a	ı	ľ	ı	1
Untreated	8174 a	5544 a	8174 a	5544 ab	8174 a	5544 ab

 $^{\mathrm{a}}\text{Means}$  within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bsee figure two.

# Appendix 14. Sample Procedure for Plasma Emission Spectroscopy.

- 1. Weigh 1.000 g of ground plant tissue (20 mesh) in numbered crucible.
- 2. Dry ash @ 500 C for 5 hrs. in a muffle furnace (include 2 blanks for every batch of 20-25).
- 3. Cool. Add 5 ml  $6NHNO_3$ . Swirl.
- 4. Let stand for 1 hour.
- 5. Pour through small glass funnel into 10 ml volumetric flask.
- 6. Adjust volume to 10 ml mark with 1000ppm LiCl (6.08 g LiCl/1).
- 7. Filter through #2 filter paper into labled vials.
- 8. This is 10X dilution for micro nutrients.
- 9. Pipette 0.4 ml of 10% solution to another vial.
- 10. Add 19.6 ml of 1000 ppm LiCl. This is 500X dilution for macro nutrients.

The Effect of Soil Applied Herbicides at Three Rates on Diffusive Resistance in Corn, May 11 and June 23, 1982. Appendix 15.

			Ra	Rate		
Herbicide and Application	.on IX	<b>&gt;</b>		2X		X I <sub>I</sub>
	5/11/82	6/23/82	seconds/cm 5/11/82 6/23/82	ids/cm 6/23/82	5/11/82	6/23/82
Alachlor, PPI	2.07 a	1.85 a	2.24 a	2.39 a	2.44 a	1.97 a
Alachlor, PRE	2.14 a	1.95 a	1.80 a	1.80 a	1.81 å	1.93 а
Metolachlor, PPI	2.14 a	2.14 a	2.24 a	2.82 a	2.10 a	1.83 а
Metolachlor, PRE	2.37 a	1.93 a	2.17 a	2.22 a	1.70 a	2.11 a
Acetochlor, PPI	2.24 a	1.99 a	2.62 a	2.26 a	2.32 a	2.23 a
Acetochlor, PRE	1.92 a	1.89 a	1.88 a	2.15 a	1.67 a	1.91 a
Butylate+R-25788, PPI	1.97 a	2.30 a	2.23 a	2.37 a	2.25 a	1.81 а
EPTC+R-25788, PPI	2.12 a	1.86 a	1	l	1	I
Pendimethalin, PRE	1.99 a	1.93 a	ı	ı	ι	ı
Untreated	1.76 a	2.10 a	1.76 a	2.10 a	1.76'a	2.10 a

 $^{
m A}$ Means within one column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using Duncan's multiple range test.

bsee figure two.