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The main purpose of the thesis is to consider conditional expectations of $r . v$.'s which take values in a Banach space and to study the limit properties of certain sequences of such $r . V_{0}$ 's. These sequences are called martingale sequences, following the terminology of Doob. We first of all demonstrate that every Bochnerintegrable r.v. has a conditional expectation relative to any Borel-field and establish some of the basic properties of conditional expectations. Then we go on to study the convergence in the mean and convergence almosteverywhere of martingale sequences. This we have done by studying operators on certain generalized Lebesguespaces, discussed in our Chapter ll. We have established the generalizations of most of the theorems of the classical theory of martingales and have shown by a counter-example in Chapter IV that some restrictions on the Banach space in which the r.v.'s take value, are necessary. In the last chapter, we have considered some applications of our theory.
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The notion of a measurable function defined on an arbitrary measurable space and taking values in another measurable space is a fairly well-known one in modern mathematics. When the range space of the functions happens to have a topology also, various special concepts of measurability become important. Much research has been carried out, for instance, in the case when the functions take values in a linear topological space or more restrictedly in a Banach or a Hilbert space. A considerable body of the research is devoted to extending suitably the ordinary theory of Lebesgue integrals for real-valued functions. For functions taking values in a Banach space, there exist at least three different important concepts of measurability and integrability. This sort of extension of the theory of real-valued functions has been carried out in recent years in the study of random variables (r.v.) which after all are measurable functions on a finite measure space. Frechet (18)* considered r.v.'s taking values in a metric space and introduced notions of mean and variance for such r.v.'s. Doss (19) considered r.v.'s taking values in topological

[^0]spaces with uniform structure and proved various generalizations of the classical strong law of large numbers. Many other studies have been made with r.v.'s taking values in locally compact topological groups. But it seems that one can generalize the classical results of probability theory most satisfactorily only when the range space is at least a linear topological space for then much of the usual integration theory remains valid. In this direction, pioneering work was done by Mourier (10) who considered the range space to be a Banach space and not only proved some strong laws but also studies characteristic functionals of r.v.'s taking values in Banach spaces. Since then quite a few papers have been published concerning general strong laws of Banach-valued r.v.'s, e.g. Beck \& Schwartz (13), Beck (20). However, to the best of the author's knowledge, no more than one attempt has been made to define an extension of a basic concept of probability theory, namely, the concept of conditional expectation of a r.v. taking values in a Banach space. Beck \& Schwartz (13) do define a notion of conditional expectation that we have used here, but they did not make any attempt to prove its existence. Dubins (21) defined a conditional expectation of a more general nature than ours but the difficulty with his definition is that it does not yield an exact analogue of the standard theory. There is a basic difficulty in the process of defining conditional expectations for r.v.'s taking values
in spaces like Banach spaces. That difficulty is the nonexistence of a general Radon-Nikodym theorem for set functions taking values in non-compact spaces. The definition that we have used circumvents this by considering Bochnerintegrals, for which although a general Radon-Nikodym type theorem is not valid, much can be done owing to the simple structure of integrable functions.

Our main purpose here is to study this particular notion of conditional expectations for Banach-valued r.v.'s and then use this definition for considering generalizations of martingale theory for Banach-valued r.v.'s. One of the most important considerations in the study of martingale theory of scalar-valued r.v.'s is that of convergence of the martingales. We have studied this for the case of Banach-valued martingales specially from the point of view of treating conditional expectations as operators on suitable Banach spaces. For instance, our mean convergence theorems in Chapter 111 are reminiscen't of the work of Lorch (22) concerning monotone sequences of projections on a reflexive Banach space. Our results on the mean convergence of martingales, specially, have been obtained by simple linear space methods which are different from Doob's (1) approach. For proving almost-everywhere convergence we have used a generalization of a theorem of Banach and thus shown how many of the properties of martingales are simply the properties of a type of sequence of operators
on a Banach space.
In Chapter 1 we define our conditional expectation and prove its existence and general properties.

In Chapter 11 we prove for future work weak compactness properties of certain Lebesgue type Banach spaces, some of which at least (e.g. Th. 2.3.1 and Th. 2.4.2) are not to be found in current literature.

In Chapter 111 we consider the mean convergence of Banach-valued martingales. We prove the most general mean convergence theorem here under the assumption that the Banach space is reflexive. As shown by a counter-example in Chapter IV, it is clear that some such restriction on the Banach space is necessary.

In Chapter IV we consider the almost everywhere convergence of Banach-valued martingales. We prove three different types of theorems, some using a theorem of Banach, one using Doob's idea of optional stopping and one using results from standard martingale theory.

In Chapter $V$ we consider two different applications of the theory, one to the study of the strong law of large numbers for Banach-valued independent identically distributed r.v.'s and the second to the study of derivatives of Banach-valued measures with respect to nets.

An attempt has been made to construct as far as was possible, a theory based only on linear methods. It is hoped that in the future more powerful linear space methods
will make the phenomenon of convergence of martingales of Banach-valued r.v.'s quite transparent to our comprehension.

Notation, some definitions and known theorems

Let $\Omega$ be an abstract set of elements or points $\omega$. Subsets of $\Omega$ will be denoted by upper case Latin letters like A, B, F etc. Given two subsets $A$ and $B$ we shall mean by

| $A \subset B$ | $=A$ contained in $B$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $B C A$ | $=B$ contained in $A$ |
| $A \cup B$ | $=$ union of $A$ and $B$ |
| $A \cap B$ | $=$ intersection of $A$ and $B$ |
| $A^{C}$ | the complement of $A$ |
| $A-B$ | $=A \cap B$ |
| $A \Delta B$ | $=(A-B) \cup(B-A)$ |
| $\phi$ | $=$ the empty set |

If $A \subset B$ and $B \subset A$ then we shall write $A=B$. The symbol " $\epsilon$ " shall denote the relationship of an element belonging to a class. We shall occasionally use the symbols " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ and " $\exists$ " as short-hand for the phrases "such that" and "there exist (s)" respectively.

By a field Ff sets in $\Omega$ we shall mean a class of subsets such that

1) $\phi$ and $\Omega$ are in $\mathcal{F}$
2) If $a \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$, then $a^{c} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$
3) If $A 1 \in \mathcal{F}, 1=1,2, \ldots n$ where
$n$ is a finite positive integer then

$$
\bigcup_{1}^{n} A i \in \mathcal{F}^{F}
$$

By a Borel-field of sets in $\Omega$ we shall mean a class of subsets $\mathcal{F}$ of $\Omega$ such that

1) $\phi$ and $\Omega$ are in $\mathcal{F}$
2) If $A \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$ then $A^{c} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$
3) If $A_{1} \in \mathcal{F} \quad 1=1,2, \ldots($ a denumerable sequence of sets in $\mathcal{F}$ ) then $\bigcup_{1} A i \in \mathcal{F}$

A "probability space" will be a triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}$, P) where $\Omega$ is any abstract set, $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, a Borel-field of sets in $\Omega$, and $P$ is a non-negative function defined on $\mathcal{F}$ such that

1) $P(A) \geqslant 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$
2) If $A_{1} \in \mathcal{F} \quad 1=1,2, \ldots$ then

$$
P\left(\bigcup_{i}^{1} A i\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A i)
$$

$$
\text { 111) } P(\Omega)=1 \text {. }
$$

By a "Banach space" $\mathfrak{X}$ over the complex numbers (for brevity, Banach space) we shall mean a set of elements which is such that

1) It forms a vector space on the field of
complex numbers
2) There is a function $\|x\|$ defined on
$\mathfrak{X}$, called norm such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\lambda \cdot x\|=\|\lambda \mid \cdot\| x \| \quad \lambda \text { any complex number } \\
& \|x+y\| \leqslant \quad\|x\|+\|y\| \\
& \|x\|=0 \quad \text { if and only if } x=0 \text { (the }
\end{aligned}
$$

zero element of the vector space)
111) for any sequence $x_{1}, i=1,2, \ldots$ of elements of $\mathcal{X}$ for which

$$
\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n} \xrightarrow{\lim } \infty\left\|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|=0
$$

there exists an element $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$
n \xrightarrow{\lim } \infty\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|=0
$$

A complex-valued function $x^{*}$ defined on $\notin$ such
that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{*}(x+y)=x^{*}(x)+x^{*}(y) \\
& x^{*}(\lambda \cdot x)=\lambda \cdot x^{*}(x) \quad \lambda \text { any complex number } \\
& \left|x^{*}(x)\right| \leqslant A \cdot\|x\| \text { for some } A \geqslant 0 \text { and all }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ will be called a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{X}$. Occasionally we shall use the notation $\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle$ for $x^{*}(x)$.
with

$$
\left\|x^{*}\right\|=\sup \left\{\left|x^{*}(x)\right| ;\|x\| \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

the set of all bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{X}$ forms a Banach space $\boldsymbol{X}^{*}$ called the "dual" or "conjugate" of $\boldsymbol{X}$. We shall denote by $\boldsymbol{X}^{* *}$ the dual of $\boldsymbol{X}^{*}$ i.e. $\boldsymbol{X}^{* *}=$ $\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{*}\right)^{*}$ 。

If we consider the function $x^{* *}\left(x^{*}\right)$ on $\boldsymbol{X}^{*}$ defined by

$$
x^{* *}\left(x^{*}\right)=x^{*}(x) \quad x \in \mathscr{X}, x \text { fixed. }
$$

then $x^{* *}$ is a bounded linear functional on $X^{*}$ with
$\left\|x^{* *}\right\|=\|x\|$
If all the bounded linear functional on $\boldsymbol{X}^{*}$ are
of this type then we shall write $\boldsymbol{X}=\boldsymbol{X}^{* *}$ and call $\mathcal{X}$ a "reflexive" Banach space.

A sequence of elements $x_{n} \in \mathcal{X}$ is said to be "weakly convergent" to $x \in \mathcal{X}$ if

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{x}^{*}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{x}^{*}(\mathrm{x})
$$

for all $x^{*} \in \boldsymbol{x}^{*}$.
A set of elements $S \subset \mathfrak{X}$ will be said to be "weakly compact" if for any sequence of elements $x_{n} \in S$ there is a subsequence of elements $x_{n j}$ which converges weakly to some element $x$ which may or may not belong to $S$. (Actually, in standard theory, this is called conditionally, sequentially, weakly compact. But because we shall not have occasion to use any other kind of compactness, therefore we prefer this briefer expression. However, the works of Eberlein and Phillips (see Hille \& Phillips (3) pp.37) show that in many cases our definition of weak compactness is the same as the notion of compactness under the weal topology of $\mathcal{X}$ which we do not discuss here.)

The following theorem of Pettis shall be used often: (For proof, see Dunford \& Schwartz (2), pp. 68-59).

A set $S$ in a reflexive Banach space is weakly compact if and only if it is bounded i.e. $\{\|x\|: x \in S\}$ is a bounded set on the real line.

A reflexive space is weakly complete i.e. whenever a sequence $x_{n}$ of elements is such that $n \xrightarrow{\lim } x^{*}\left(x_{n}\right)$
exists for every $x^{*} \in \mathbb{X}^{*}$ there exists an element $x$ such that $X_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ s converge weakly to $x$.

A bounded linear operator $T$ from a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$ to a Banach space $\mathscr{Y}$ is a function on $\mathscr{X}$ taking values in $Y$ such that

1) $T(x+y)=T(x)+T(y)$
ii) $T(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot x)=$ 入. $T(x)$
iii) $\|T x\| \leqslant A .\|x\| \quad A \geqslant 0$, and $x \in \mathcal{X}$. We define $\|T\|=\sup \{\|T x\|: \quad\|x\| \in \|$

The following is sometimes called the BanachSteinhaus theorem: "Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ be Banach-spaces and $\left\{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be a sequence of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{X}$ to $Y$. Then the limit

$$
\mathrm{Tx}=\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Tim}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}
$$

exists for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ if and only if

1) the limit $T x$ exists for every $x$ in $a$ everywhere dense sub-set of $\mathcal{F}$
2) $\sup _{n}\|\operatorname{Tn} x\|<+\infty$ for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$

When the limit $T x$ exists for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the operator $T$ is linear and bounded and

$$
\|T\| \leqslant \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim }\|\operatorname{Tn}\| \leqslant \sup _{n}\|\operatorname{Tn}\|<+\infty \|^{\|} .
$$

(For proof: See (2) Dunford and Schwartz, pp. 60-61.)
If $X(\omega)$ is a function on a probability space
$(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathrm{P})$ taking values in a Banach space $\mathcal{K}$ then $X(\omega)$ is said to be strongly measurable with respect to
$B$ if

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}(\omega)=\mathrm{X}(\omega) \text { a.e. (everywhere except }
$$

on a set of points of $P$ - measure 0 ) where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n}(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{1}(n) \underset{E_{i}^{(n)}}{(\omega)} \\
& a_{i}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{X} \\
& E_{i}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{B}, E_{i}^{(n)} \cap \quad E_{j}^{(n)}=\phi, \underset{i}{X_{E}^{(n)}} \underset{i}{(n)}=\Omega \\
& X_{F}(\omega)=1 \text { if } \omega \in F \quad \text { for any set } F
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\Omega$.

$$
=0 \text { if } \omega \in F^{c}
$$

Define $x_{n}(\omega)$ to be integrable if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\|a\|_{1} n \| P(E(n))$ $<+\infty$ and write

$$
\int x_{n}(\omega) d p=\sum_{1}^{\infty} P\left(E_{1}^{(n)}\right) a_{1}(n)
$$

We say that $X\left(c_{i}\right)$ is Bochner-integrable if there exist a sequence of integrable functions $X_{n}(\omega)$ as above such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}(\omega)=x(\omega) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\left\|x_{n}(\omega)-x_{m}(\omega)\right\| d p=0
$$

Then $1 t$ follows that

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\int \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}(\omega) \mathrm{dp}-\int \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}(\omega) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{p}\right\|=0
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int x_{n}(\omega) d p
$$

exists and this we define to be $\int x(\because) d P$, called the Bochner-integral of $X(\omega)$, occasionally denoted also by us as $E(X(\omega))$ or $E(X)$.

It can be shown that $X(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is Bochner-integrable if and only if

1) $X(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is "almost everywhere separablevalued" ie. $\exists \mathrm{N} \in \mathbb{B}$, $P(N)=0$ such that the set $S \subset \mathfrak{X}$ defined by

$$
s=\left\{X(\omega): \omega \in \mathbb{N}^{c}\right\}
$$

has a denumerable dense sub-set.
11) $E(\|X(\omega)\|)=\int\|X(\omega)\| d P<+\infty$ (If (i) is satisfied then $\|X(\omega)\|$ is automatically a non-negative function measurable with respect to $\mathcal{B}$.)

For a discussion of Bochner-integrals see (3) File \& Phillips, pp. 71-89.

The notion of "uniform integrability" of a family of complex-valued measurable, integrable functions $X_{t}(\omega) t \in T$, on $(\Omega, B, P)$ shall be defined as follows:

For any $\in>0$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that $\int_{t}() d P<\in$ for all $t \in T$

Whenever $P(A)<C$.
In the text a theorem, lemma or equation numbered u.v.w. where u.v.w. are positive integers will be the w th one in $v$ th section of $u$ th chapter.

Conditional expectation of Bochner-integrable random variables §1. Let $(\Omega, \beta$,
P) be a probability space i.e. $\Omega$ be an abstract set of elements $\omega, \mathscr{B}$ a Borel-field of sub-sets of $\Omega$, called measurable subsets and $P(\cdot)$ a countably additive, non-negative setfunction defined on $B$ and such that $P(\Omega)=1$. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a Banach-space. We shall denote by $\|x\|$ the norm of an element $x \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$ and by $x^{*}$ the dual of

A function $x(\omega)$ defined on $\Omega$ and taking values in $\mathscr{X}$ which is strongly measurable with respect to the Borel-field $\mathcal{B}$ will be called a $X$-valued strong random variable or when there is no scope for confusion simply a random variable (r.v.).

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Borel-field contained in $\mathcal{B}$ ie. $\mathcal{F} \subset \widetilde{\Omega}$ and let $X(\omega)$ be a r.v. which is Bochnerintegrable. Following Lob (1, pp. 17) we shall define the strong conditional expectation of $X(\omega)$ relative to or given $\mathcal{F}$, in symbols $E(X \mid \mathcal{F})$ as follows:

Def: 1.1.1.
$E(X \mid \mathcal{F})$ is a $\mathcal{X}$-valued Bochner-integrable r.v. strongly measurable with respect to the sub-Borel-
field $\mathcal{F}$ (for brevity a $\mathcal{F}$-meas. r.v.) such that for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ it satisfies the equation

$$
\int_{A} E(X \mid \mathcal{F}) d P=\int_{A} X d P \cdot \cdots(1.1 .1)
$$

where the integrals are taken in the sense of Bochner. We shall now prove the existence and uniqueness of $E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$ for every Bochner-integrable r.v. $X(\omega)$ and Borel-field $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$.

The standard proof for scalar-valued r.v.'s $X(\omega)$ cannot be extended to cover the situation here since the analogues of the Radon-Nikodym theorems for set-functions taking values in a Banach-space are not in general valid. For counter-examples see Bochner, (7) Clarkson (5).

Theorem 1.1.1 $E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$ exists and is unique except for sets of measure 0 for every Bochner-integrable r.v. $x(\omega)$ and any Borel-field $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$. (Notice that no assumptions on the Banach-space $\mathcal{X}$ are made.) Proof:

We shall use the fact that $X(\omega)$ being Bochnerintegrable is almost everywhere (a.e.) separable-valued and $E(\|X(\omega)\|)<+\infty$. (3, Hille pp. 80) Because $X(\omega)$ is almost everywhere separable-valued we might and shall without loss of generality consider $\mathscr{X}$ to be separable; for otherwise, we can carry out the proof by restricting our attention to the separable sub-space in which the values of $X(\omega)$ lie with probability one. $\mathcal{X}$ being separable
there exists a denumerable determining set (3, File pp.34) i.e. linear functional $x_{1}^{*} \in \boldsymbol{X}^{*} \quad 1=1,2, \ldots$ such that for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathscr{X}$ we have

$$
\|x\|=\sup _{1}\left|x_{1}^{*} \quad(x)\right|
$$

Of course it follows that

$$
\left\|x_{1}^{*}\right\| \leq 1
$$

From equation (1.1.1) it follows because of an
elementary property of Bochner-integrals that for every $x^{*} \in X^{*}$

$$
x^{*}\{E(X \mid \mathcal{F})\}=E\left(x^{*}(X(\omega)) \mid \mathcal{F}\right) \text { a.e. }
$$

We shall first show that if $E(X \mid \mathcal{F})$ exists then it must be unique except for sets of measure 0 i.e. if

$$
Y_{1}(\omega), Y_{2}(\omega), \quad \text { are r.v.'s which }
$$

satisfy def. (1.1.1) then

$$
Y_{1}(\omega)=Y_{2}(\omega) \text { ace. }
$$

This follows because

$$
\int_{A} Y_{1}(\omega) d P=\int_{A} Y_{2}(\omega) d P
$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{F} \quad$ and hence
1.e.

$$
x_{1}^{*}\left(\int_{A}^{\text {and hence }} Y_{1} d P\right)=x_{1}^{*}\left(\int_{A} Y_{2} d P\right)
$$

$$
\int_{A} x_{1}^{*}\left(Y_{1}-Y_{2}\right) d P=0
$$

Now $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ being $\mathcal{F}$-meas. so is $Y_{1}-Y_{2}$ and hence $x_{i}^{*}\left(Y_{1}-Y_{2}\right)$ is $\quad \mathcal{F}_{1}$-meas. It follows from a standard theorem in measure theory that

$$
x_{1}^{*}\left(Y_{1}-Y_{2}\right)=0 \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{*}\left(Y_{1}-Y_{2}\right)=0 \text { for all } 1=1,2, \ldots \\
& \text { except for } \omega \in N . \\
& P(N)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

so that if $\omega \notin \mathrm{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left\|Y_{1}(\omega)-Y_{2}(\omega)\right\|=\sup _{1}\left|X_{1}^{*}\left(Y_{1}(\omega)-Y_{2}(\omega)\right)\right|=0 \\
& \text { i.e. } \quad Y_{1}(\omega)=Y_{2}(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the uniqueness of $E(X \mid \mathcal{F})$.
We shall now prove the existence of $E(X \mid F)$ - To
do this we consider two different cases:
(1) $X(\omega)$ is countably-valued i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(\omega)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} X_{E_{n}}(\omega) \text { with } E(\|X(\omega)\|)= \\
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{n}\right\| P\left(E_{n}\right)<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
a_{n} \in \mathcal{X}, \quad E_{n} \in \mathscr{B}, \quad \chi_{E_{n}}(\omega) \begin{cases}=1 & \omega \in E_{n} \quad n=1,2 \\ =0 & \omega \in E_{n}^{c}\end{cases}
$$

and $E_{n}^{\prime \prime s}$ are disjoint.
Consider $Y_{N}(\omega)=\stackrel{N}{n=1} a_{n} f_{n}(\omega)$ where

$$
f_{n}(\omega)=P\left(E_{n} \mid \mathcal{F}\right)=E\left(X_{E_{n}}(\omega) \mid \mathcal{F}\right)
$$

i.e. a conditional probability of $E_{n}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}$, and let

$$
X_{N}(\omega)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} X_{E_{n}}(\omega)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i}^{*}\left(Y_{N}(\omega)\right) & =\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{i}^{*}\left(a_{n}\right) \cdot f_{n}(\omega) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{N} E\left(x_{i}^{*}\left(a_{n}\right) X_{E_{n}}(\omega) \mid \mathcal{F}\right) \\
& =E\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{i}^{*}\left(a_{n}\right) X_{E_{n}}(\omega) \mid \mathcal{J}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for $N>M$, using standard properties of conditional expectations of scalar-valued random variables we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left|x_{1}^{*}\left(Y_{N}-Y_{M}\right)\right|=\mid E\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{i}^{*}\left(a_{n}\right) X_{E_{n}}(\omega) \mid \mathcal{F}\right)- \\
& \quad \leq E\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} x_{1}^{*}\left(a_{n}\right) X_{E_{n}}^{N}(\omega)\right| \mathcal{F}\right) \mid \\
& \left.\quad \leq E\left(x_{n=M+1}^{N}\left\|a_{n}\right\| \cdot X_{E_{n}}^{N} \mid \mathcal{F}\right)=E\left(\left\|X_{N}-X_{M}\right\| \mid \mathcal{F}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|Y_{N}(\omega)-Y_{M}(\omega)\right\|=\sup _{1}\left|x_{1}^{*}\left(Y_{N}-Y_{M}\right)\right| \\
& \leq E\left(\left\|X_{N}-X_{M}\right\| \mid \mathcal{F}\right) \ldots(1.1 .2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\lim _{M, N}\left\|X_{N}-X_{M}\right\|=0 \quad \text { a.e. and }
$$

$$
\left\|x_{N}-x_{M}\right\| \leq 2\|x\| \quad \text { ace. }
$$

From whence

$$
\lim _{M, N \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\left\|X_{N}-X_{M}\right\| \mid \mathcal{F}\right)=0 \quad \text { a.e. } \underset{\text { pp.23) }}{\left(1 \text { Lob } C E_{5}\right.}
$$

Hence the series $\quad Y(\omega)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} f_{n}(\omega)$ converges strongly a.e.

It is also clear that

$$
\int_{A} Y_{N} d P=\int_{A} X_{N} d P \quad \text { for all } A \quad \ldots(1.1 .3)
$$

and hence $Y_{N}=E\left(X_{N} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ according to Def. 1.1.1

Now

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
X_{N}(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega) \quad \text { a.e. } \\
\left\|X_{N}(\omega)\right\| \leq X(\omega) \quad \text { which is integrable } \\
Y_{N}(\omega) \rightarrow Y(\omega) \quad \text { a.e. } \\
\left\|Y_{N}(\omega)\right\| \leq E\left(\left\|X_{N}\right\| \mid F(1.4)\right.
\end{array}\right\}
$$

which is integrable.

Hence applying the dominated convergence theorem for Bochner-integrals (3, Hille pp. 83) we have by passing to limits as $N \longrightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (1.1.3)

$$
\int_{A} Y d P=\int_{A} X d P
$$

Also $Y(\omega)$ being the ace. limit of $Y_{N}(\omega)$ which are $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}-$ measurable r.v.'s is $\mathcal{F}$-meas. Hence we have proved that

$$
Y(\omega)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} P\left(E_{n} \mid \not \mathcal{F}\right)=E(X \mid \mathcal{F}) \text { a.e. }
$$

It is also clear from (1.1.4) that

$$
\|Y(\omega)\| \leq E\left(\|X\| \mid \mathcal{F}_{1}\right) \quad \text { a.e. (1.1.5) }
$$

(ii) Let $X(\omega)$ be an arbitrary Bochner-integrable
r.v. Let $a_{n}$ be a denumerable dense set in $\mathcal{K}$. Then for any $k=1,2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega & =\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\omega: \quad\left\|x(\omega)-a_{n}\right\| \leq 1 / k\right\} \\
& =\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{n, k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $X_{k}(\omega) \quad k=1,2, \ldots$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{k}(\omega) & =a_{1} & & \omega \in S_{1, k} \\
& =a_{2} & & \omega \in S_{2, k} \cap S_{1, k}^{c} \\
& =a_{3} & & \omega \in S_{3, k} \cap S_{1, k}^{c} \cap S_{2, k}^{c} \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously for all $\omega \in \Omega$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{k}(\omega)-x(\omega)\right\| \leq 1 / k \\
& \left\|x_{k}(\omega)\right\| \leq\|x(\omega)\|+1 / k
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $X_{k}(\omega)$ is Bochner-integrable.
Let

$$
Y_{k}(\omega)=E\left(X_{k} \mid \mathcal{F}\right)
$$

which exists according to the proof of case (i) above.
For $n>m$, and any $x_{i}^{*}$ of the determining set we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{i}^{*}\left(Y_{n}-Y_{m}\right)\right| & =\left|x_{i}^{*}\left(E\left(x_{n} \mid F\right)-E\left(x_{m} \mid F\right)\right)\right| \\
& =\mid E\left(x_{i}^{*}\left(x_{n}\right)\left|F(F)-E\left(x_{i}^{*}\left(x_{m}\right) \mid F\right)\right|\right. \text { ae. } \\
& =\left|E\left(x_{i}^{*}\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right) \mid F\right)\right| \text { ace. } \\
& \leq E\left(\left|x_{i}^{*}\left(x_{n}-X_{m}\right)\right| \mid \mathcal{F}\right) \text { a.e. } \\
& \leq E\left(\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\| \mid F_{1}\right) \text { a.e. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|Y_{n}-Y_{m}\right\|=\sup _{1}\left|x_{1}^{*}\left(Y_{n}-Y_{m}\right)\right| \leq E\left(\left\|X_{n}-X_{m}\right\| \mid \mathcal{F}\right) \text { a.e. } \\
& \leq E\left(\left\|X_{n}-X\right\| \mid \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)+E\left(\left\|X_{m}-X\right\| \mid \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \text { a.e. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq 1 / n+1 / m \quad \text { a.e. because of }(1.1 .6)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n} \xrightarrow{\lim } \boldsymbol{l}\left\|Y_{n}-Y_{m}\right\|=0 \quad \text { a.e. so that } \\
& \underset{n}{\lim } Y_{n}(\omega)=Y(\omega) \text { exists } \text { a.e. } \ldots(1.1 .7)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1.1.5), (1.1.6) and (1.1.7) we have now a.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{n}{ }^{\lim } X_{n}(\omega)=X(\omega) \\
& \left\|X_{n}(\omega)\right\| \leq\|X(\omega)\|+1 \\
& { }_{n} \xrightarrow{\lim } Y_{n}(\omega)=Y(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left\|Y_{n}(\omega)\right\| \leq E\left(\left\|X_{n}\right\| \mid \mathcal{F}\right) \leq E(\|X(\omega)\|+I \mid \mathcal{F})
$$

$$
=E(\|X(\omega)\| \mid \text { F })+1
$$

Also because $Y_{n}=E\left(X_{n} \mid \boldsymbol{F}\right)$ we have for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$

$$
\int_{A} x_{n} d P=\int_{A} y_{n} d P \quad \ldots \ldots(1.1 .9)
$$

Because of (1.1.8) we can pass to the limit as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (1.1.9) invoking the bounded convergence theorem of Bochner integrals (3, file pp. 83) thus obtaining

$$
\int_{A} X d P=\int_{A} Y d P
$$

This then proves that $Y(\omega)=E(X \mid \mathcal{F})$ and completes the proof of the theorem.
$\oint_{2}$.
Properties of strong conditional expectations:
Almost all the properties of conditional expectatrons of scalar-valued r.v.'s (1, See Nob pp. 20-26) can be established for the general Banach-valued case and
their proofs can either be obtained by mimicking the usual proof or can be derived from the scalar-valued case. In the following we shall mention a few of the standard properties.
Theorem 1.2.1 If $\mathcal{G}$ is a Borel-field such that $A \in \mathcal{Y}$ implies that there is $B \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $P(A \Delta B)=0 \quad$ then

$$
E\left(X(\omega) \mid F_{1}\right)=E\left(X(\omega) \mid e_{\zeta}\right) \text { a.e. }
$$

Proof: Let $\left\{x_{i}^{*}\right\}$ be a denumerable determining set for the $X(\omega)$ values in $\mathcal{X}$. Because of the validity of the theorem for scalar r.v.'s we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i}^{*}(E(x \mid F)) & =E\left(x_{1}^{*}(x) \mid \mathcal{F}\right) \quad \text { a.e. } \\
& =E\left(x_{1}^{*}(x) \mid \mathcal{F}\right) \text { a.e. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
x_{i}^{*}\left(E\left(X \mid F_{1}\right)-E(X \mid G)\right)=0 \begin{aligned}
& \text { a.e. for } \\
& \text { each }
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)-E(X \mid \boldsymbol{G})\right\| \\
& =\sup _{1}\left|X_{i}^{*}(E(X \mid \mathcal{F})-E(X \mid \boldsymbol{G}))\right|=0 \text { a.e. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that

$$
E(X \mid F)=E(X \mid \boldsymbol{F}) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

Theorem 1.2.2 Suppose $G_{1} \subset G_{2}$ are Borel-fields and that some version (and therefore every) of $E\left(x(\infty) \mid \zeta_{2}\right)$ is measurable $\xi_{1}$.
Then

$$
E\left(x(\omega) \mid \boldsymbol{C}_{1}\right)=E\left(X(\omega) \mid \Theta_{y_{2}}\right)
$$

Proof: Let $\left\{x_{i}{ }^{*}\right\}$ be as in the previous theorem.
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}^{*}\left(E\left(X \mid G_{2}\right)\right) & =E\left(x_{1}^{*}(x) \mid \Theta_{2}\right) \text { a.e. } \\
& =E\left(x_{1}^{*}(x) \mid G_{1}\right) \text { a.e. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(because of the validity of the theorem for scalar r.v.'s.) Hence

$$
x_{1}^{*}\left(E\left(x \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}_{1}\right)-E\left(x \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}_{2}\right)\right)=0 \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

for each 1 so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|E\left(x \mid \rho_{1}\right)-E\left(x \mid \rho_{2}\right)\right\|=\sup _{1} \mid x_{i}^{*}\left(E\left(x \mid \rho_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-E\left(x \mid \rho_{2}\right)\right) \mid=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the theorem.
Theorem 1.2.3: If $f(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is a scalar function measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}$ and if both $X(\omega)$ and $f(\omega) X(\omega)$ are Bochner-integrable then

$$
E(X(\omega) f(\omega) \mid \mathcal{F})=f(\omega) E(X(\omega) \mid \mathcal{F}) \text { a.e. }
$$

Proof: As before, the proof can be derived from the corresponding theorem for scalar r.v.'s by the use of the determining set $\left\{x_{1}{ }^{*}\right\}$.
Theorem 1.2.4: For any Borel-field $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathscr{B}$

1) $E(a \mid \mathcal{F})=a \quad$ a.e. for any $a \in \mathcal{X}$
ii) $E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} X_{j} \mid F\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} E\left(X_{j} \mid F\right)$ a.e. for any finite number $n$ of scalars $c j$ and Bochner-integrable $\not \subset$-valued r.v.'s $x_{j}(\omega)$.
iii) $\|E(X \mid \mathcal{F})\| \leq E\left(\|X\| \mid \boldsymbol{F}^{\prime}\right)$
iv) If $\underset{n}{\lim } X_{n}(\omega)=X(\omega) \quad$ a.e. and if there
exists $f(\omega) \geqslant 0$ integrable and such that

$$
\left\|x_{n}(\omega)\right\| \leqslant f(\omega)
$$

then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(X_{n} \mid \mathcal{F}\right)=E(X \mid F) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

v) For any bounded linear operator $T$ on $\mathcal{X}$ to another Banach-space $Y$

$$
E(\operatorname{TX}(\omega) \mid F)=T E\left(X(\omega) \mid \mathcal{F}_{1}\right) \text { a.e. }
$$

Proof: i) and ii) follow from the very definition of conditional expectation. iii) Let $\left\{x_{i}^{*}\right\}$ again be a determining set for $X(\omega)$ values in $\mathcal{X}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|E\left(X \mid F_{1}\right)\right\| & =\sup _{1}\left|x_{i}^{*}\left(E\left(X \mid F_{1}\right)\right)\right| \\
& =\sup _{1}\left|E\left(x_{i}^{*}(X) \mid F_{1}\right)\right| \leq \sup _{i} E\left(\left|x_{i}^{*}(X)\right| F_{1}\right) \\
& \leq E\left(\|X\| \mid F_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

iv) $X(\omega)$ is clearly Bochner-integrable as
$\|X(\omega)\| \leq f(\omega)$ are. and so $E(X \mid \nsubseteq)$ exists
(Th. 1.1.1)

$$
\left\|E\left(x_{n} \mid \boldsymbol{F}\right)-E(x \mid \boldsymbol{F})\right\| \leq E\left(\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \mid F\right) \quad \text { by }
$$

(111) above

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { a.e. and } \\
& \left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \leq 2 f(\omega) \text { a.e. which is integrable }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by the corresponding theorem for the scalar r.v.'s (1, Lob pp. 23) $E\left(\left\|X_{n}-x\right\| \mid F\right) \rightarrow 0$ so that we have the desired result from the preceding inequality.
v) $T(X(\omega))$ is Bochner-integrable (3, File pp. 84) and by a standard theorem for Buchner integrals (3, Mile pp. 83)

$$
\int_{A} T X(\boldsymbol{\omega}) d P=T\left(\int_{A} X(\omega) d P\right) \quad A \in \mathcal{F}
$$

Hence $\underset{T X(\boldsymbol{\omega})}{\text { Hence }} d P=T\left(\int_{A} X(\boldsymbol{\omega}) d P\right)=T\left(\int_{A} E(X \mid F) d P\right)$ $=\int_{A} T(E(X \mid F T)) d P$
Also $T E(X \mid \boldsymbol{F})$ is $\mathcal{F}$-measurable so that according to Def 1.1.1 we have

$$
T(E(X \mid \boldsymbol{F}))=E(T(X(\omega)) \mid \boldsymbol{F}) \text { a.e. }
$$

Theorem 1.2.5. If $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ are sub-Borel-fields of $\mathcal{B}$ then

$$
E(E(X(\omega) \mid F) \mid \boldsymbol{\xi})=E(X(\omega) \mid \boldsymbol{G})
$$

Proof: Follows directly from definition 1.1.1.

## Chapter 11

Weak convergence in certain special Banach spaces. $\xi_{1}$. For our later investigations we shall need to know some properties of weak convergence in certain Lebesgue-type Banach spaces, first introduced and systematically studied by Bochner and Taylor in 1938 in (8). We define these Banach-spaces as follows:

Definition 2.1.1 We define $L_{\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \boldsymbol{B}, \mathrm{P}, \boldsymbol{X})$
$1 \leq \mathbf{P}<+\infty$ as the set of all equivalence classes of strontly measurable r.v.'s $\quad X(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathrm{P})$, taking values in the Banach-sapce $\mathcal{F}$ and such that the "norm"

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.[X(\omega)]_{\mathbf{p}}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\|X(\omega)\|^{p} d P\right)^{1 / t}<+\infty\right) \\
& \ldots \ldots(2.1 .1)
\end{aligned}
$$

The equivalence class $\{X(\omega)\}$ is set of all r.v.'s $Y(\omega)$ such that $Y(\omega)=X(\omega)$ a.e.

Definition $2.1 .2 \quad I_{\infty}(\Omega, B, P, \mathcal{K})$ is the
set of all equivalence classes of strongly measurable r.v.'s $X(\omega)$ defined on the probability space $(\Omega, B, P)$ and taking values in the Banach space $\mathcal{F}$ and such that the norm

$$
[x(\omega)]_{\infty}=\underset{\omega \in \Omega}{\text { esp. sup. }\|x(\omega)\|<+\infty \ldots(2.1 .2)}
$$

It can be shown that with the norms defined by (2.1.1) and (2.1.2) the spaces $L_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathrm{P}, \boldsymbol{X})$ $1 \leq \boldsymbol{t} \leq \infty$ are Banach spaces (2, Dunford and Schwarz pp. 146). When there is no danger of any confusion we shall abbreviate $L_{\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P, \mathcal{K})$ as $L_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathcal{X})$. If K is the Banach-space of ordinary complex numbers we shall simply write $L_{P}$. We shall invariably write $X(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ for the equivalence class $\{X(\omega)\}$.

Our main objective is to study the weakly compact subsets of $L_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{K}), 1 \leq t<\infty$ under the further assumption that $\mathcal{K}$ is reflexive. This we do in three steps. In § 2 we settle the problem completely for $p>1$ with the help of known results and give a representation for In ear functional on $L_{1}(\mathcal{K})$. In $\oint 3$ we study weak convergence of sequences of $L_{1}(\mathcal{K})$ to an element in $L_{1}(\xi)$ and in $\oint 4$ we give one necessary condition and one sufficient condition for a set in $L_{1}(\mathcal{X})$ to be weakly compact.
§2. The linear functional of the Banach space $I_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\mathcal{X})$, $1 \leq P<\infty$ have been studied by Bochner and Taylor 1938 (3), Day 1941 (14), Phillips 1943 (9), Dieudonné 1951 (15), Mourier 1952 (10), Forte and Mourier 1951 (11). Bochner and Taylor gave for an arbitrary $\mathcal{F}$ a general representation for the linear finctionals in terms of certain Stieltjes integrals with vector-valued measures.

Under some conditions on the Banach-space $\mathcal{X}$, they and others have also given simpler integral representations. We shall mention one such result due to Phillips for the case $1<p<\infty$.

Theorem 2.2.1 Let $\mathcal{X}$ be reflexive and
$1<\mathrm{p}<\infty$. Then a linear functional $F(\cdot)$ defined on $L_{p}(\Omega, B, P, \mathcal{X})$ has the form

$$
F(X(\omega))=\int\left\langle X(\omega), X^{*}(\omega)\right\rangle d P \ldots(2.2 .1)
$$

where

$$
x^{*}(\infty) \in L_{q}\left(\Omega, \mathscr{B}, P, X^{*}\right), \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1
$$

and $\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle$ denotes the value of the linear functional $x^{*}$ at $x$.

Also

$$
\|F\|=\left(\int\left\|x^{*}(\omega)\right\|^{q} d p\right)^{1 / q}
$$

so that $\left(L_{P}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P, X)\right)^{*}$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Banach space $L_{q}\left(\Omega, \mathscr{B}, P, \mathcal{X}^{*}\right)$. Corollary 2.2.1 If $\mathcal{X}$ is reflexive then the space $L_{p}(\Omega, B, P, X), 1<p<\infty$ is weakly complete and a subset of it is weakly compact if and only if it is bounded in $L_{p}(\boldsymbol{X})$ - norm.
Proof: It follows from theorem 2.2.1 that if $\boldsymbol{X}$ is reflexive then $L_{p}(X)$ is also reflexive (Notice that the converse is true also) and the corollary follows from standard theorems about reflexive spaces (see 2, Dunford \& Schwarz pp. 68-69).

Mourier, 1952 (10), proved essentially the same result and Eochner \& Taylor (8) proved the above under a condition on $\mathcal{X}$ which is more general than reflexivity. Forte \& Mourier 1951 (11) proved a similar result for $\mathrm{p} \geq 1$ under the assumption that $\mathcal{X}$ is separable. We shall need an extension of theorem 2.2.1 to the case $\mathrm{p}=1$ for our future work and shall in the following give a simple proof for it using a theorem of Phillips 1943 (9).

Theorem 2.2.2 If $F(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $L_{1}(\Omega, \boldsymbol{B}, P, \boldsymbol{X})$ and $\boldsymbol{X}$ is reflexive then

$$
F(X)=\int\left\langle X(\omega), \quad Y^{*}(\omega)\right\rangle d P \quad \ldots . \quad \text { (2.2.2) }
$$

where

$$
Y^{*}(\omega) \in L_{\infty}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P, X^{*}\right)
$$

Proof: For fixed $E \in \mathbb{B}, \quad a X_{E}(\omega) \in L_{1}(\mathcal{X})$ for all a $\in \mathcal{X}$. Consider $F\left(a \cdot X_{E}(\omega)\right)$. Because $F$ is a bounded linear functional on $L_{1}(X)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F\left(a X_{E}(\omega)\right)\right| \leq\|F\| \cdot\left[a X_{E}(\omega)\right]_{1} & =\|F\| \cdot \int_{E} a \| d P \\
& =\|F\| \cdot\|a\| \cdot P(E) \\
& \cdots \cdot \cdot(2.2 \cdot 3)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $F\left(a . X_{E}(\omega)\right)$, for a fixed $E \in \mathbb{B}$, is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{X}$ and let us write

$$
F\left(\text { a. } X_{E}(\omega)\right)=x_{E}^{*}(a) \text { where } x_{E}^{*} \in X^{*} /
$$

Also from (2.2.3) we have that

$$
\left\|x_{E}^{*}\right\|=\sup _{\|a\| \leq 1}\left|x_{E}^{*}(a)\right| \leq\|F\| . P(E) \ldots(2.2 .4)
$$

It is also clear that $X_{E N F}^{*}=X_{E}^{*}+X_{F}^{*}, E \cap F=\boldsymbol{\phi}$

$$
\because \quad E, F, \in \mathcal{B}
$$

In other words $x_{E}^{*}$ is an additive $X^{*}$-valued set function on $\mathscr{B}$ having the property (2.2.4). According to a theorem of Phillips (9) there is a function $Y^{*}(\omega) \in L_{\infty}\left(X^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
X_{E}^{*}=\int_{E} Y^{*}(\omega) d P
$$

so that

$$
F\left(X_{E}(\omega) \cdot a\right)=\int_{E}\left\langle a, Y^{*}(\omega)\right\rangle d P
$$

Hence for all simple functions

$$
X(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} X_{E_{1}} \quad(\omega) \quad \begin{aligned}
& a_{1} \in \mathcal{X} \\
& E_{1} \in \mathcal{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
F(X(\omega))=\int\left\langle X(\omega), Y^{*}(\omega)\right\rangle d P . . \cdot(2.2 \cdot 5)
$$

If $X(\omega) \in L_{1}(\mathcal{K})$ is an arbitrary function then we can construct a sequence of simple functions $X_{n}(\omega) \in L_{1}(\mathcal{X})$ such that

$$
n \xrightarrow\left[(2, \text { Dunford \& Schwartz pp. 125) }]{\lim \int\left\|x(\omega)-x_{n}(\omega)\right\| d P=0}\right.
$$

Hence from (2.2.5) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(X(\omega)) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(X_{n}(\omega)\right) \\
& =n \xrightarrow{\lim \infty} \int\left\langle X_{n}(\omega), Y^{*}(\omega)\right\rangle d P \\
& =\int\left\langle X(\omega), Y^{*}(\omega)\right\rangle d P
\end{aligned}
$$

2.8

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\left\langle X_{n}(\omega)\right.\left., Y^{*}(\omega)\right\rangle d P-\int\left\langle X(\omega), Y^{*}(\omega)\right\rangle d P \mid \\
& \leq \int\left\|X(\omega)-X_{n}(\omega)\right\| \cdot\left\|Y^{*}(\omega)\right\| d P \\
& \leq\left[Y^{*}(\omega)\right]_{\infty} \cdot \int\left\|X(\omega)-X_{n}(\omega)\right\| d P \rightarrow 0 \\
& \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem.
Conditions under which a sequence of r.v.'s
$x_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in L_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ converges weakly to a rev. $X(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in \mathrm{L}_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ were given by Buchner \& Taylor (8) when $\mathcal{X}$ is of a special type. Our theorem 2.3.1 is of a different nature although the conditions involved are similar.

Theorem 2.3.1 If $X_{n}(\omega) \in L_{1}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P, \boldsymbol{X})$,
※ reflexive, is weakly convergent and if $\left\|x_{n}\right\|$ is uniformly integrable ie. given $\epsilon>0, \exists \delta>0$ such that

$$
\int_{E}\left\|x_{n}\right\| d P<\epsilon \text { for all } E \ni P(E)<\delta
$$

then there exists $x(\omega) \in L_{1}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P, \mathcal{X})$ such that $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{X}$ 1.e. $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ converges weakly to $\mathrm{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$,

Proof: We use a Radon-Nikodym type theorem die to Dunford and Yetis, 1940 (6) which can be stated as follows in our case:

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the adjoint to a separable Banach space $Y$ and let $x(E)$ be defined from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{X}$. Suppose that
(1) for each y $\in \mathcal{Y}$ the set-function $X_{E}(y)$ is completely additive
(ii) $X_{E}(y)=0$ when $P(E)=0$ for all $y$
(iii) the numerical function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{E}=\sup _{Y} \frac{1}{\left\|^{Y}\right\|}\left|x_{E}(y)\right|=\left\|x_{E}\right\| \\
& \text { has finite total variation on any } \\
& \text { set } E^{1} \in \mathcal{B} \text { then there exists } \\
& X(\omega) \in L_{1}(\nexists) \text { such that } \\
& X_{E}=\int_{E} X(\omega) d P
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is weakly convergent, it follows from a general theorem that

$$
\left[x_{n}\right]_{1}<c
$$

According to the representation theorem of linear functional of $L_{1}(\mathcal{X})(T h .2 .2 .2)$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.n \xrightarrow[Y^{*}(\omega) \in \lim ]{\underset{\sim}{\lim }} \int \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}(\omega), \mathrm{Y}^{*}(\omega)\right\rangle \mathrm{CP} \text { exists for all } \\
& (\omega) \in L_{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $Y^{*}(\boldsymbol{\omega})=X_{E}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \cdot a^{*}, a^{*} \in \boldsymbol{X}^{*}, E \in \mathcal{B}$. Then we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{*}\left(\int_{E} x_{n} d P\right) \text { exists for all } \\
& a^{*} \in X^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence because $\mathcal{X}$ is reflexive the limit is $a^{*}(\lambda(E))$ for some $\lambda(E) \in \mathcal{X}$.

Now $X$ being reflexive we have $X=\left(X^{*}\right)^{*}$ and since we are concerned only with $\left\{x_{n}(\omega)\right\}$ we might as well consider $\mathcal{X}$ to be separable. Then $X^{*}$
would also be separable. We shall now show that under the hypotheses of the theorem $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(E)$ satisfies the conditions of Dunford \& Pettis theorem.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1) Let } E_{i} \in \mathbb{B} \cdot \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{i}=E \text {. Then } \\
& x^{*}\left(\lambda\left(U_{1}^{\infty} E_{i}\right)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{*}\left(\int_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{i} x_{n} d P\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \underset{\rightarrow \infty}{\lim } x^{*}\left(\int_{i=1}^{m_{E_{i}}} x_{n} d P\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now show that

$$
\left.m \xrightarrow{\lim } x^{*}\left(\int_{1 \underline{I}_{1}} E_{i} x_{n} d P\right)=x{\underset{U}{1}}_{\infty}^{\int_{1}} \int_{i} X_{n} d P\right)
$$

uniformly in $n$ because

$$
\left|x x^{*}\left(\int_{\substack{U E_{1} \\ m+1}} x_{n} d P\right)\right| \leq\left\|x^{*}\right\| \int_{\substack{U \\ m+1}}\left\|x_{n}\right\| d P<\epsilon
$$

by choosing $m>M_{\epsilon},{ }^{M} \in$ independent of $n$ because $\left\|X_{n}\right\|$ are uniformly integrable. Hence we can interchanze limits above and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{*}\left(\lambda\left(\begin{array}{c}
\infty \\
U \\
1
\end{array} E_{i}\right)\right)=\stackrel{\lim }{m \xrightarrow{\lim } x^{*}\left(\int_{m} x_{n} d P\right), ~(n)} \\
& { }_{i=1}^{U} E_{i} \\
& =m^{l i m} \xrightarrow{\infty} x^{*}\left(\lambda\left(_{i}^{\mathrm{U}}{\underset{i}{1}}^{m} E_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x^{*}\left(\lambda\left(E_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Verification of (ii) is quite trivial.
iii) We shall show that for any finite number of sets $E_{1} \quad 1=1, \ldots \ldots \ldots . N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1} \text { disjoint } \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{E_{1}}<c \\
& \sum_{1=1}^{N} \sigma_{E_{1}}=\sum_{1=1}^{N} \sup _{x} \lim _{n} \frac{\left|x^{*}\left(\int_{E_{1}} x_{n} d P\right)\right|}{\left\|x^{*}\right\|} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lim _{n} \int_{E_{1}}\left\|x_{n}\right\| d p \\
& =\lim _{n} \int_{n}\left\|_{i=1}\right\| x_{i} \| d P \leq \lim _{n}\left[x_{n}\right]_{1}<c
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, all the conditions in the theorem are satisfied and hence we have a function $X(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in L_{1}(\mathcal{X})$ such that

$$
\boldsymbol{\lambda}(E)=\int_{E} X(\omega) d P
$$

and hence

$$
\left.\int\left\langle x_{n}(\omega), x^{\prime \prime}(\omega)\right\rangle d p \rightarrow \int_{\left\langle x_{1}, r^{*}\right\rangle}\right\rangle d p
$$

for all simple functions $Y^{*}(\omega) \in L_{\infty}\left(X^{*}\right)$
Now if

$$
Y^{*}(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \quad x_{1} * X_{E_{1}}(\omega) \quad\left\|x_{1}^{*}\right\| \leq A
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim } \int\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Y}^{*}\right\rangle \mathrm{dP}=\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\lim } \lim _{\mathrm{m}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{x}_{i}^{*}\left(\int_{\mathrm{E}_{1}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} d P\right) \\
& =\lim _{m} \lim _{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{*}\left(\int x_{n} d P\right) \\
& E_{i} \\
& =\lim _{\mathrm{m}} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{x}_{1}^{*}\left(\int_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{XdP}\right) \\
& =\int\langle X, Y\rangle d P
\end{aligned}
$$

the interchange of limit being permissible because

$$
\underset{\mathrm{m}}{\lim } \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{*}\left(\int_{E_{i}} x_{n} d P\right)
$$

exists uniformly in $n$ as for any $E>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\sum_{M}^{M+p} x_{i}^{*}\left(\int_{E_{i}} x_{n} d P\right)\right| \leq \sum_{M}^{M+p}\left\|x_{1}^{*}\right\| \cdot\left\|\int_{E_{1}} x_{n} d P\right\| \\
& \leq A \cdot \sum_{M}^{M+p} \int_{E_{i}}\left\|x_{n}\right\| d P \\
& \leq A \cdot \int_{M+p}\left\|x_{n}\right\| d P \\
& E E_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n$ and $p$ if $M>M_{\epsilon}$ because $\left\|X_{n}\right\|$ are uniformly integrable.
vow an arbitrary $Y^{*}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in \mathrm{L}_{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{*}\right)$ being a uniform limit of countably-valued functions in $L_{\infty}\left(X^{*}\right)$ we have in general

$$
\int\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}, \quad \mathrm{Y}^{*}\right\rangle \mathrm{dP} \longrightarrow \int\left\langle\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}^{*}\right\rangle \mathrm{dP}
$$

i.e. $\quad X_{n} \xrightarrow{\omega} \quad X$. §4. In this section we study the weakly compact sets of $L_{1}(x)$. The necessary conditions for a set in $L_{1}(X)$ to be weakly compact, given in Th. 2.4.1 in the following, are known to be both necessary and sufficient for $L_{1}$ (2, Dunford \& Schwarz pp. 292). Our sufficient conditions given in Th. 2.4.2 are stronger than the necessary conditions but are equivalent to the latter in the case of complex-valued r.v.'s (2, Dunford \& Schwarz, pp.293).

Theorem 2.4.1. Let $K \subset L_{1}(\Omega, \boldsymbol{B}, P, \boldsymbol{X})$, $\boldsymbol{X}$ any Banach space. If $K$ is weakly sequentially compact then

## 1) it is bounded

> 11) $\int_{E} X(\omega) d P, X \in K$ is weakly uniformly countably additive
1.e.

$$
\text { for any sequence } E_{n} \in \mathscr{B}, E_{n} \downarrow, \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}=\phi
$$

we must have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X^{*}\left(\int_{E_{n}} x(\omega)\right) d P=0 \text { uniformly in }
$$

$$
\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{~K}, \quad \mathrm{X}^{*} \boldsymbol{\in} \boldsymbol{X}^{*}
$$

Proof: We shall use the following generalization for vector-valued measures of a theorem of Nikodym (2, Dunford \& Schwartz, Th. IV. 6. pp. 321) namely, "Let $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of vector-valued measures defined on the Eorel-field $\mathcal{B}$. If $\mu(E)=n_{n} \lim _{\rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}(E)$ (四) exists for each $E \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\mu$ is a vector measure on $B$ and the countable additivity of $\mu_{n}$ is uniform in $n "$.

If K is weakly sequentially compact, then from a general theorem it follows that $K$ is bounded. If (ii) is not satisfied then $\exists \in>0, E_{n} \in \mathcal{B}, \downarrow, \bigcap_{1}^{\infty} E_{n}=\phi$ $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ and $x_{n} \in K$ such that

$$
\left|x^{*}\left(\int_{E_{n}} x_{n} d P\right)\right| \geq \epsilon
$$

We may assume $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to be weakly convergent since $K$ is weakly sequentially compact. Hence

$$
\int_{E} X_{n} d P \quad \text { converges weakly to a limit for }
$$

each $E \in \mathcal{B}$

$$
x^{*}\left(\int x \cdot X_{E} d P\right) \text { is a linear functional on }
$$

$L_{1}(X)$, for any $x^{*} \in X^{*}$
Fut then $\circledast$ is a contradiction to Nikodym's theorem. This proves the theorem.

$$
\text { Theorem 2.4.2 Let } \quad K \subset L_{1}(\Omega, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathrm{P}, \boldsymbol{\not}) \text {, }
$$

K reflexive be such that
i) $[x]_{1} \leq c$ for all $x \in K, \quad$ c independent of $X$
ii) $\{\|x(\omega)\|: x \in K\}$ is an uniformly integrable family then
$K$ is weakly sequentially compact.
Proof: We shall need a lemma due to (2) Dunford \& Schartz, pp. 202.
Lemma: Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Borel-field of sets and $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ a field contained in $\mathcal{\beta}$ which generates $\mathcal{B}$. Let $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of countably additive set functions on $\mathbb{B}$ with values in $\boldsymbol{X}$. Suppose that the countable additivity of $\mu_{n}$ is uniform in $n$ and that ${ }_{n} \xrightarrow{l i m} \mu_{n}(E)$ exists for $E \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$. Then $\underset{n}{ } \lim _{\rightarrow} \mu_{n}(E)$ exists for $\mathrm{E} \in \mathbb{B}$.
corr. If $\mu_{n}(E)$ is weakly convergent for $E \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ then it is so for $E \in \mathcal{B}$.

To prove the sufficiency we now show that if
$x_{n} \in K,\left[x_{n}\right]_{1} \leq c, n \geq 1$ then there is a subsequence which converges weakly.

It is easy to see that there exists a separable subspace $\mathscr{X}_{0}$ of $\mathscr{X}$ and a Borel-field $\mathbb{B}_{0}$ contained in $\mathcal{B}$ generated by a denumerable number of sets $\left\{E_{n}\right\} \quad$ such that

$$
\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in \quad L_{1}\left(\Omega, B_{0}, \quad p, \mathcal{X}_{0}\right)
$$

Let $\sum_{0}$ be the field generated by $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$. $\sum_{0}$ evidently has only a denumerable number of sets. Now for any $E \in \sum_{0}$

$$
\left\|\int_{E} x_{n} d P\right\| \leq \int_{E}\left\|x_{n}\right\| d P \leqslant\left[x_{n}\right]_{1} \leqslant c
$$

and $\mathcal{X}$ being reflexive there exists a subsequence $n_{j}$ such that

$$
\int_{E} x_{n_{j}} d P \text { converges weakly. Since } \sum_{0} \text { has }
$$

only a denumerable number of sets we can choose a subsequence $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ by Cantor's diagonalization process such that

$$
\int_{E} X_{n_{1}} d P \text { converges weakly for every } E \in \sum_{0}
$$

Now because $P(\Omega)<\infty$ the uniform integrability of $\left\|x_{n_{1}}\right\|$ implies the uniform countable additivity of the set functions $\quad \int_{E} x_{n_{1}} d P$ and hence by virtue of the preceding lemma we have the weak convergence of $\int_{E} X_{n_{1}} d P$ for all $E \in B_{0}$. Hence

$$
\int\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}},} \quad \underline{\mathrm{q}}^{*}\right\rangle \mathrm{dP}
$$

converges for all simple functions $Y^{*}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in I_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{X}_{0}^{*}\right)$.

$$
\text { Let } Y^{*}(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i}^{*} X_{E_{i}}(\omega) \quad\left\|x_{1}^{*}\right\| \leq M
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int\left\langle x_{n_{1}}, Y^{*}(\omega)\right\rangle d P \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j}^{*}\left(\int_{E_{j}} x_{n_{i}} d P\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the limit exists uniformly in "i" because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=N}^{N+p} x_{j}^{*}\left(\int_{E_{j}} x_{n_{1}} d P\right) \mid & \leq \sum_{j=N}^{N+p}\left\|x_{j}^{*}\right\| \int_{E_{j}}\left\|x_{n_{i}}\right\| d P \\
& \leq M \int_{\substack{N+p \\
U \\
j=N}}\left\|x_{n_{1}}\right\| d P<\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

for $N>N_{\in}, \boldsymbol{p}>0$ independent of $X_{n_{i}}$ because $\left\{\left\|x_{n_{i}}\right\|\right\}$ is uniformly integrable.

Hence by a standard theorem on interchangeability of repeated limits we have the existence of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \xrightarrow{\lim } \int\left\langle x_{n_{i}}, Y^{*}(\omega)\right\rangle d P \\
& =\lim _{i} \lim _{k} \sum_{j=1}^{K} x_{j}^{*}\left(\int_{E_{j}} x_{n_{k}} d P\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because an arbitrary $Y^{*}(\omega)$ can be uniformly approximated by a countably-valued function in $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{*}{ }_{0}\right)$
this proves that $X_{n_{i}}$ are weakly convergent and by Theorem 2.3.1 it must converge to a function $x_{0}(\omega) \in L_{1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{0}\right)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Strong martingales of Banach-valued r.v.'s and their meanconvergence.

1. Following Lob (1, pp. 294) we define a discrete parameter strong martingale of Banach-valued r.v.'s as follows:

## Definition 3.1.1

Let $(\Omega, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathrm{P})$ be a probability space and $\mathcal{X}_{\text {an arbitrary }}$ Banach space. Let $I$ be a subset of the set of all integers and let $X_{t}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in \quad L_{1}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \boldsymbol{B}, P, \boldsymbol{X})$ for all $t \in I$. For each $t \in I$ let there be a Borel-field $\mathcal{F}_{t} \subset \mathbb{B}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{s} C{f_{t}} \text { whenever } s<t
$$

we shall define $\left\{X_{t}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{t}, t \in I\right\} \quad$ as a martingale or in detail a strong-martingale of $\mathcal{K}$-valued r.v.'s if whenever $s<t, s, t \in I$

$$
X_{s}(\omega)=E\left(x_{t}(\omega) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \quad \text { a.e. } \ldots(3.1 .1)
$$

As in the case of complex-valued r.v.'s it can be shown that if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z(\omega) \in L_{1}(\Omega, B, P, \mathcal{K}) \text { and if } \\
& x_{n}(\omega)=E\left(\left.Z(\omega)\right|_{40} \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \quad n \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\mathcal{F}_{n} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$
then $\left\{x_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is a martingale.
By the same token, if $\mathcal{F}_{-(n+1)} \subset \mathcal{F}_{-n}, n \geq 1$,
and if

$$
\mathrm{X}_{-\mathrm{n}}(\omega)=E\left(Z(\omega) \mid \mathcal{F}_{-\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

$\operatorname{then}\left\{x_{n}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \leq-1\right\} \quad$ is a martingale.
Conversely any martingale $\left\{x_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \leq-1\right\}$ is generated in this manner by $Z(\omega)=X_{-1}(\omega)$.

We shall need the following lemma:
Lemma 3.1.1 Given any Borel-field fa $\mathcal{F}$, the conditional expectation $E(X(\omega) \mid F)$ for r.v.'s $X(\omega) \in L_{p}(\Omega, B, P, \mathcal{X}) \boldsymbol{1} \geq 1$ defines a bounded lInear operator on the Banach space $L_{1}(\Omega, B, P, X)$ to the sub-Banach-space $L_{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{~F}, \mathcal{K})$.
Proof: Let $\quad \mathrm{XX}=E(X \mid \mathcal{F}) \quad \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{k}}(\Omega, \mathscr{B}, \mathrm{P}, \mathfrak{X})$; surely $T X \in L_{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{X})$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(X+Y)=T X+T Y \\
& T(\lambda \cdot X)=\lambda \cdot(T X) \quad \lambda \text { any complex number. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
{[T X]_{\boldsymbol{p}} } & =\left(\int_{\Omega}\|T X(\boldsymbol{\omega})\|^{\mathbf{p}} d P\right)^{1 / \mathbf{p}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} E\left(\|X(\omega)\|^{\mathbf{t}} \mid \boldsymbol{F}\right) d P\right)^{1 / \mathbf{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\|x\| \leq E(\|x\| \mid \mathcal{F}) \quad$ a.e. and hence $\|x\|^{\boldsymbol{t}} \leq E\left(\|x\|^{\boldsymbol{p}} \mid \mathcal{F}\right)$ by Jensen's inequality.

$$
=\left(\int_{\Omega}\|x(\omega)\|^{\boldsymbol{p}} \mathrm{dP}\right)^{1 / \mathbf{p}}=[x]_{\boldsymbol{p}}
$$

so that $\|T\| \leq 1$. Actually $\|T\|=1$ as we can show by taking $X(\mathcal{L}) \equiv \mathrm{a}$ where $\|a\|=1$.

According to the above lemma, we can associate with every martingale $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{I}\right\}$, a sequence of operators $T_{t}, t \in I$ defined by $T_{t} X=E\left(X \mid \boldsymbol{F}_{t}\right)$
and hence mean convergence of martingales can be considered from the point of view of convergence of the sequence of operators $T_{t}$. In the following section we shall make this statement precise. In theorems 3.2.1 and 3.2 .2 we show that the operators $T_{t}$ converge to an operator $T$ in the strong topology if ${ }^{t} I=(n \geq 1)$ or ( $n \leqslant-1$ ) respectively.
2. Theorem 3.2.1. $\operatorname{Let}\left\{x_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a martingale such that

$$
x_{n}=E\left(z \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \quad n \geq 1
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{X}_{\text {arbitary. }}^{z(\omega) \in{ }_{\mathrm{L}}(\Omega, \mathscr{B}, \mathrm{p}, \mathfrak{X}) \quad \mathbf{p} \geq 1, \quad-}
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n}}\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{x}_{\infty}\right]_{\boldsymbol{p}}=0 \quad \text { where }
$$

$x_{\infty}=E\left(Z \mid F_{1 \infty}\right)$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}_{\infty}=\text { Bored- } \\
& \ldots \ldots \text { (3.2.1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Let us define $T_{n}{ }^{2}=E\left(Z \mid F_{n}\right)$ for any $z \in L_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P, \boldsymbol{X}) \underset{\perp}{ } \geq 1$. By lemma 3.1.1, $T_{n}$ is a linear bounded operator mapping $L_{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P, \boldsymbol{X})$ into $L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{n}, P, \mathcal{X}\right)$. The conclusion of the theorem then asserts that the sequence of operators $T_{n}$ converges in the strong topology to the operator $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}$ on $L_{\mathbf{t}}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathrm{p}, \boldsymbol{X})$ where
$T_{\infty}(Z)=E\left(Z \mid \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right)$.
ie shall give two different proofs of this. Our first proof applies only to the case when $\mathcal{X}$ is reflexive and is based on an application of a very general mean ergodic theorem, due to Eberlein, 1949, (16). This method of proving mean convergence for real-valued martingales was used by Jerison 1959 (17) in the case of martingales with index set $n \leq-1$. Our second proof is elementary and is based on an application of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem and is valid for an arbitrary Banach-space $\mathcal{X}$. Proof I. K reflexive.

We shall first state the mean ergodic theorem in the form we shall apply it. Eberlein (16) proved it more
generally for linear vector spaces, his theorem being a generalization of similar theorems of Yosida and Kakutani 1941, Birkhoff and Alaogiu 1940 and Day 1942.

EDerlein's theorem: Let $G$ be a semigroup of bounded linear transformations on a Banach space $\mathcal{K}$ A net $\left(T_{\alpha}\right)$ of linear transformations of $\mathcal{X}$ into itself is called a system of almost invariant integrals for $G$ if i) for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and all $\alpha, T_{\alpha} x$ belongs to the closed convex hull of $\{T x: T \in G\}$
ii) $\left\|T_{\alpha}\right\| \leq C, C$ independent of $\alpha$.
iii) for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $T \in G$

$$
\lim _{\alpha}\left(T T_{\alpha} x-T_{\alpha} x\right)=\lim _{\alpha}\left(T_{\alpha} T x-T_{\alpha} x\right)=0
$$

Now, if for a given $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the net $T_{d} x$ has a weak cluster point $Y$ then $Y=\lim _{\alpha} T_{\mathcal{d}} X$ in the strong topology of $\mathcal{K}$.

We shall apply the above theorem to the Banach space

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, \mathrm{p}, \mathfrak{X}\right) \quad \mathbf{p} \geq 1
$$

Define

$$
s_{n} x=x-E\left(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \quad x \in L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, p, \mathcal{K}\right)
$$

$$
n \geq 1
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m} S_{n}=S_{\max (m, n)} \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{m} S_{n} x & =s_{m}\left\{x-E\left(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& =x-E\left(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)-E\left(x-E\left(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =x-E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)-E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{m}\right) \\
& +E\left(E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{m}\right) \\
& =x-E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)-E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{m}\right) \\
& +E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{\min (m, n)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Hence } \quad S_{m} S_{n} X=X-E\left(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{\max (m, n)}^{\text {by } T h .1 .2 .5}\right)=S_{\max .(m, n)}
$$

so that

$$
G=\left(S_{n}, n \geq 1\right) \text { is a semi-group and accord- }
$$ ing to lemma 3.1.1 $S_{n}{ }^{\prime} s$ are bounded linear operators. We shall show that the sequence of operators $\left(S_{n}, n \geq 1\right)$ themselves form a system of almost invariant integrals for $G$. Condition (1) is clearly satisfied. Now $S_{n}=$ $I-T_{n}$ where $T_{n}$ is as defined in 3.2.1.

$$
\therefore \quad\left\|s_{n}\right\| \leq\|I\|+\left\|T_{n}\right\|=1+1=2 \ldots(3.2 .3)
$$

by lemma 3.1.1 so that $S_{n}^{\prime}$ s are uniformly bounded in norm.

Also, for any $m \quad \lim _{n}\left(S_{m} S_{n} S-S_{n} X\right)=\lim _{n}\left(S_{n} S_{m} X-S_{n} X\right)$

$$
=0
$$

because of (3.2.2) .
Thus all the conditions (1) - (iii) in Eberlein's theorem are satisfied and we can therefore conclude that whenever $S_{n} X$ has a weak cluster point $Y, S_{n} X$ actually converges strongly to Y.

$$
\text { Now if } \boldsymbol{t}>1 \text {, and } x \in L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{J}_{\infty}, P, x\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[S_{n} x\right]_{p} } & \leq\left\|s_{n}\right\| \cdot[x]_{p} \\
& \leq 2 \cdot[x]_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

because of (3.2.3).
Hence $\left\{S_{n} x, n \geq 1\right\} \quad$ is a bounded set in $L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, p, \mathcal{X}\right) ; \mathcal{X}$ being reflexive so is $L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \mathcal{X}\right.$ ), (Th. 2.2.1) and hence every bounded set in $L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \mathcal{X}\right)$ is weakly compact. Therefore, $\left\{S_{n} X\right\}$ has a weak cluster point and hence according to Eberlein's theorem $n \xrightarrow{\lim } S_{n} X \quad$ exists in the strong topology of $L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \boldsymbol{X}\right)$. If $p=1,\left\{S_{n} x, n \geq 1\right\}$ is still a weakly compact set in $L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \mathcal{X}\right)$. This is so because $\left\{\left\|S_{n} x\right\|, \quad \mathcal{F}_{n}, 1 \leq n \leq \infty\right\} \quad$ is a semi-martingale of real-valued r.v.'s and hence from Nob (1) Th. 3.1 (iii) pp. 311 we conclude that $\left\|S_{n} X\right\|$ are uniformly integrable. Also $S_{n} X \quad$ is bounded in norm in $L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \mathcal{X}\right)^{n}$. Therefore, $\left\{S_{n} x, n \geq 1\right\}$ is weakly compact by our Th. 2.4.1.

Thus, we have shown that for any $x \in L_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right.$, $p, \boldsymbol{X}) \quad \boldsymbol{P} \geq 1 \quad \mathrm{n} \xrightarrow{\lim } \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}$ exists in the strong topology or in terms of operator theory, the sequence of operators $S_{n}$ converge strongly.

Let $\quad Y_{\infty}=\lim _{n} S_{n} X_{\infty}$
(the limit on the right exists because $x_{\infty} \in L_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Omega$,

$$
\left.\mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, X\right)
$$

( lemma 3.1.1).
Now $E\left(X_{\infty} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)=X_{n} \quad$ a.e.....(3.2.4) and hence for any $E \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$

$$
\int_{E} x_{\infty} d P=\int_{E} x_{n} d P \quad \cdot \cdot \cdot(3.2 .5)
$$

Also by (3.2.4) $Y_{\infty}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(I-T_{n}\right) X=X-{ }_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{\lim } X_{n}$

$$
. .(3.2 .6)
$$

1.e.

$$
\lim _{n}\left[x_{\infty}-X_{n}-Y_{\infty}\right] \boldsymbol{t}=0
$$

Hence for any $E \in \mathcal{J}_{\infty}$

$$
\int_{E}\left(x_{\infty}-x_{n}-Y_{\infty}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \text { strongly in } \mathcal{K}
$$

1.e.
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E}\left(X_{\infty}-x_{n}\right) d P \xrightarrow{s} \int_{E} Y_{\infty} d P \\
& \int_{E}^{E} x_{n} d P \longrightarrow \longrightarrow \int_{E}\left(X_{\infty}-Y_{\infty}\right) d P \quad(3.2 .7)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.2.5) and (3.2.7) we have for every $E \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$

$$
\int_{E} X_{\infty} d P=\int_{E}\left(X_{\infty}-Y_{\infty}\right) d P
$$

$$
\text { i.e. } \quad \int_{E} Y_{\infty} d P=0
$$

Hence $\quad \int_{E} Y_{\infty} d P=0$ for all $E \in \underset{n=1}{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{n}$
Now $\quad{ }_{n-1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{n}$ being the field that generates the Boredfield ${ }_{\substack{n=1}}^{\mathcal{F}_{\infty}}$ we must have

$$
\int_{E} Y_{\infty} d P=0 \text { for all } E \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}
$$

and hence $Y_{\infty}=0$ a.e.
From (3.2.6) then it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x_{\infty}
$$

and this completes the first proof of the theorem.


$$
\mathcal{F}_{n} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{0} \text { is a field. }
$$

We shall need the following lemma:
Lemma 3.2 .1
The class of simple functions measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ (i.e. functions like $x(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} X_{E_{i}}{ }^{(\omega)}$ $\left.\therefore a_{1} \in \mathcal{X}, E_{1} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}\right)$ is dense in $\operatorname{Lp}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, \mathrm{P}, \boldsymbol{X}\right) \geq \geq 1$.
Proof of the lemma: Let $E \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$. Be a theorem in measure theory ((4) Halmos, Th. D, pp. 56), for any $\in>0$, there exists $E_{0} \in \mathcal{F}_{0}$ such that

$$
P\left(E \Delta E_{0}\right)=P\left(E-E_{0}\right)+P\left(E_{0}-E\right)<\epsilon
$$

if $X(\omega)=X_{E}(\omega), a \quad E \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, a \in \boldsymbol{X}$

$$
Y(\omega)=X_{E_{0}}^{(\omega) \cdot a \quad E_{0} \in \mathcal{F}_{0}, \quad P\left(E \Delta E_{0}\right)<\epsilon, ~(E)}
$$

$$
\text { then } \begin{aligned}
{[x-y]_{p} } & =\left(\int_{\Omega}\|x-y\|^{p} d P\right)^{1 / p} \\
& =\|a\| \int_{E \Delta E E_{0}}\left(\int^{d P}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant\|a\| \cdot \epsilon^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\in$ being arbitrary it's clear that we can choose $Y(\omega)$ measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ and as close to $x(\omega)$ in $L_{p}(\boldsymbol{X})$ norm as we please.
Hence any simple function in $L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \mathcal{X}\right)$ can be approximated by simple functions measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{0}$. since the simple functions are dense in $L_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathcal{X})$, ((2), Dunford \& Schwartz, pp. 125), we can approximate an arbitrary function in $I_{p}(\mathcal{F})$ by simple functions measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ as closely as we wish. This proves the lemma.

Consider now the following sequence of mappings from the Banach space $L_{\mathbf{L}^{2}}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \mathcal{K}\right)$ to itself

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{n}: L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \notin\right) \longrightarrow L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \mathcal{X}\right) \\
& T_{n} x=E\left(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{n}\left[T_{n} x\right]_{p}=\sup _{n}\left(\int\left\|T_{n} x\right\|^{p} d P\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq[x]_{\mathrm{p}} \quad \operatorname{arnce} \|_{r_{n} \times \|^{p}} \\
& \leq\left\{E\left(\|\times\| F_{n}\right\}^{q} \leq E\left(\|\times\| \|\left.^{\dagger}\right|_{n}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the set $\left(T_{n} X, n \geq 1\right)$ is bounded for each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{L}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, \mathrm{P}, \boldsymbol{X}\right)$. If $\mathrm{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega})=X_{\mathrm{F}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) . a$ where $a \in \mathscr{X}, \quad F \in \mathcal{J}_{0}$ then since for some $n, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}_{n} n \geqslant N$ we have

$$
T_{n} X=x \quad \text { a.e. for } n \geq N
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[T_{n} x-x\right]_{t}=0 \ldots(3.2 .8)
$$

and so (3.2.8) is true for all simple functions $X(\omega)$ measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{0}$. Since such functions are dense in $L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \mathcal{X}\right)$ according to lemma 3.2.1 we have by the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem (3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\lim } \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{TX} \tag{3.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for all $x \in L_{t}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \mathcal{X}\right)$ and moreover that $T$ is a bounded linear operator.

For $\quad X(\omega)$ 's which are simple functions measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ we have $T X=X$. Such functions being dense in $L_{\boldsymbol{p}}\left(\Omega, \boldsymbol{J}_{\infty}, P, \boldsymbol{X}\right)$ we can obtain, given an arbitrary $x$, a sequence $x_{n}$ of them such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[x_{n}-x\right]_{\mathbf{t}}=0
$$

$T$ being continuous we have

$$
\mathrm{TX}=\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\lim \infty} \mathrm{TX}=\mathrm{n} \xrightarrow{\lim } \infty \quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{X} \ldots(3.2 .10)
$$

Hence, we have proved $(3.2 .9)$ and (3.2.10)) that $E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)$ converges in $L_{ \pm}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \boldsymbol{X}\right)$ norm to $X$ for every $X \in L_{\underline{p}}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{b}, P, \mathcal{X}\right)$.
The theorem then follows by taking

$$
x=E\left(Z \mid \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right)
$$

Theorem 3.2.2
Let $\quad\left(x_{n}(\omega), \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \leq-1\right)$ be a martingale
with $\mathcal{F}_{-n} \supset \mathcal{F}_{-(n+1)}, x_{-1}(\omega) \in L_{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P, \mathcal{X})$ $\boldsymbol{P} \geq 1$. Then $X_{-n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ converges in $L_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{X})$ norm to X $-\infty$ ( $\omega$ ) i.e.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[x_{-\infty}-x_{-n}\right]_{p}=0
$$

where

$$
x_{-\infty}(\omega)=E\left(X_{-1}(\omega) \mid \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}\right) \text { a.e. }
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{f}_{-n}
$$

Proof: We shall again present two different proofs; the first proof uses Eberlein's mean ergodic theorem with the additional assumption that $\mathcal{X}$ is reflexive and the second prof is based on an application of Banach-Steinhaus theorem.
Proof (1) K reflexive:
Define the bounded linear operators $T_{n}$ on $L_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Omega$, $\mathcal{H}_{1}, \mathrm{P}, \mathcal{X}$, to itself as follows:
$T_{n} x=E\left(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{-n}\right) \quad x \in L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{-1}, p, \mathcal{X}\right), n \geq 1$.
Then

$$
\left\|T_{n} x\right\| \leq E\left(\|x\| \mid \mathcal{F}_{-n}\right) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

and by Jensen's inequality

$$
\left\|T_{n} x\right\|^{\boldsymbol{p}} \leqslant E\left(\|x\|^{\boldsymbol{p}} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal { F }}_{-n}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\left[T_{n} x\right]_{p}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left\|T_{n} x\right\|^{p} d P\right)^{1 / p} \leq[x]_{\underline{p}}
$$

so that $T_{n} x \in L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{1}, p, \boldsymbol{X}\right)\left(\because \mathcal{F}_{-n} \subset \mathcal{F}_{-1}\right)$ and $\quad\left\|T_{n}\right\|=1$ for all $n$
Also $\quad T_{m}: T_{n}=T_{\text {max. }}(m, n)$
Hence $G=\left(T_{n}, n \geq 1\right)$ is a semigroup of bounded linear operators for which ( $T_{n}, n \geq 1$ ) itself is a system of almost invariant integrals for $G$. Hence by Eberlein's mean ergodic theorem (see Th. 3.2.1) $T_{n} X_{-1}=X_{-n}(\omega)$ goes to a limit in the norm of $L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{-1}, p, \mathcal{X}\right)$ whenever it has a weak cluster point.

Now $L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{J}_{-1}, p, \mathcal{X}\right)$ for $p>1$ is reflexive (Th. 2.2.1) and hence every bounded set in it has a weak cluster point.

But $\left[x_{-n}\right]_{\boldsymbol{p}} \leq\left[x_{-1}\right]_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ so that the set
$\left\{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{-1}\right\}$ or $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{-\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is bounded and this, in conjunction with the previous comment, proves the assertion of the theorem when $p>1$.

When $p=1$ we notice that $\left\{\left\|x_{-n}\right\|, \mathcal{F}_{-n}, n \geq 1\right\}$
is a semi-martingale and hence by Th. 3.1 (iii), pp. 311, Nob (1) we conclude that $\left\|x_{-n}\right\|$ are uniformly integrable. Also $\left\{x_{-n}\right\}$ is a bounded set in $L_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ and so by our theorem 2.4.2 $\left\{x_{-n}\right\}$ is weakly compact. Hence $\quad X_{-n}$ converges strongly in $L_{1}(X)$. We shall now show that $\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\lim } \mathrm{X}_{-\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{X}_{-\infty}$.
Let $n \xrightarrow{\lim } X_{-n}=Y$. Then $E\left(Y \mid \mathcal{F}_{-m}\right)=Y$ for all $m \geq 1$. i.e. $Y$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{-m}$ for any $m \geq 1$ and hence measurable with respect to

$$
\mathcal{J}_{-\infty} .
$$

Also for any

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \in \mathcal{F}_{-\infty} \text {. } \\
& \int_{A} y d P=n \xrightarrow{\lim } \int_{A} X_{-n} d P=\int_{A} X_{-1} d P
\end{aligned}
$$

because $X_{-n}=E\left(X_{-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{-n}\right)$.
This proves that $Y=X_{-\infty}$ ace.
Proof (11) Define $T_{n}: L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{J}_{-1}, p, \mathcal{X}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \longrightarrow L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{-1}, P, X\right) \\
& T_{n} X=E\left(x \quad \mathcal{F}_{-n}\right) \quad n \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$T_{n}$ are bounded linear operators (lemma 3.1.1) such that

$$
\sup _{n}\left[T_{n} x\right]_{p} \leqslant[x]_{p} \cdots \cdots(3.2 .11)
$$

Also if $X(\omega)=\chi_{E}(\omega) . a, \quad a \in \mathcal{X}, \quad E \in \mathcal{J}_{-1}$ then $T_{n} X=P\left(E \mid \mathcal{F}_{-n}\right) \cdot a$
Now $P\left(E \mid \mathcal{F}_{-n}\right)$ converges to $P\left(E \mid \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}\right)$ in $L_{P}$ 1.e.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int\left|P\left(E \mid \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}\right)-P\left(E \mid \mathcal{F}_{-n}\right)\right|^{p} d P\right)^{1 / p}=0 \\
\cdots \cdot(3.2 .12)
\end{array}
$$

This follows either by applying Lob's Th. 4.2, pp. 328, (1), or by considering $P\left(E \mid F_{-n}\right)$ as a real-valued martingale and then applying Proof (1) which is applicable since the real numbers form a reflexive Banach-space.

It follows from (3.2.12) that if $X(\omega)=X_{E}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$.a then $T_{n} X$ converges in $L_{p}(\mathcal{X})$. Hence $T_{n} X$ converges for all simple functions $X(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ which form an everywhere dense set in $L_{p}\left(S_{6}\right)$. This and (3.2.11) enables us to conclude by virtue of Banach-Steinhaus theorem (3) that
 linear operator.

This proves Th. 3.2 .2 for a general Banach-space $\mathfrak{X}$. $\oint$. In this section we shall prove mean convergence theorems for arbitrary martingales of r.v.'s taking values in a reflexive Banach-space. We shall need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3.1
Let $T_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$ and $T$ be bounded linear operators mapping the Banach space $\mathcal{\nexists}$ into itself and such that

1) $\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim } T_{n} x=T x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and 11) $T_{m} \cdot T_{n}=T_{\min } .(m, n)$

Let $x_{n} \in \mathscr{F}$ such that there is a subsequence $x_{n_{k}}$ converging weakly to $x_{\infty}$ and also that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

Then $\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\lim } \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{x}_{\infty}$ strongly.
Proof: From the conditions of the lemma we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m} \ln (\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n})} \quad \cdots \cdots(3.2 .13) \\
& \text { Al so } \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{x}_{\infty} \text { as } \mathrm{k} \longrightarrow \infty \text { for }
\end{aligned}
$$

any m .
By (3.2.13) $\quad T_{m} x_{n}=x_{m}$ for large $k$ so that

$$
T_{m} x_{\infty}=x_{m}
$$

Now by condition
(i) of the lemma
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{X}_{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tx}_{\infty}$ so that it follows that

$$
\underset{\mathrm{m}}{\lim \infty} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{x}_{\infty} \text { strongly. }
$$

Theorem 3.3.1 Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a reflexive Banach space and let $\left\{x_{n}, \mathscr{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a $\mathscr{F}$-valued martingale such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{n} \in L_{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, p, \ngtr) \quad n \geq 1, p>1 \text { and } \\
{\left[x_{n}\right]_{p}<c}
\end{gathered}
$$

$C$ independent of $n$. Then there exists $x_{\infty} \in L_{p}(\Omega$, $\mathfrak{B}, \mathrm{P}, \mathfrak{X}$ ) such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[x_{n}-x_{\infty}\right]_{p}=0
$$

Proof: Define $T_{n}: L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, p, \not \subset\right)$

$$
\longrightarrow L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, p, \boldsymbol{\not}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n} x & =E\left(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \\
F_{\infty} & =\text { Borel-field generated by }
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
T_{m} \cdot T_{n}=T_{\min .}(m, n)
$$

and by Th. 3.2.1 $\quad \underset{\sim}{\text { lima }} T_{n} X=X \quad$ for all

$$
x \in L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, p, \notin\right)
$$

Also $\quad T_{n} X_{n+1}=X_{n}$ because $\left\{x_{n}, \boldsymbol{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$
is a martingale.
Hence

$$
\left[x_{n}\right]_{p} \leq\left\|T_{n}\right\| \cdot\left[x_{n+1}\right]_{p}=\left[x_{n+1}\right]_{p}<c
$$

so that $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \quad$ is a bounded set in $L_{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right.$, P, ※) which being reflexive ( $\because \mathcal{K}$ is reflexive)
(Th. 2.2.1) the set $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is weakly compact i.e. there is a subsequence $\left\{\cdot x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ converging weakly to some element, say $\left.X_{\infty} \in{\underset{L}{L}}^{L_{p}}, \Omega, F_{\infty}, P, \notin\right)$.

Thus $T_{n}{ }^{\prime} s$ and $X_{n}^{\prime} s$ satisfy all the conditions of lemma 3.3 .1 which therefore guarantees the assertion in Th. 3.3.1.
Theorem 3.3.2 Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a reflexive Banach space and let $\left\{x_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a $\mathcal{X}$-valued martingale such that

$$
x_{n} \in L_{1}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, p, \boldsymbol{X})
$$

Suppose that $\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{\prime s} \quad$ are uniformly integrable.

Then there exists $x_{\infty} \in L_{1}(\Omega, \boldsymbol{B}, \mathrm{P}, \boldsymbol{X})$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[x_{n}-x_{\infty}\right]_{1}=0
$$

Proof: We define $T_{n}$ 's as in the previous proof and then the previous arguments would prove the assertion in this theorem if we could show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is weakly compact in $L_{1}(\mathscr{X})$. This we do by first showing that $\left[x_{n}\right]_{1}<K, K$ independent of $n$.

Because

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left(x_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)=x_{n} \\
\int_{E} x_{n+1} d P=\int_{E} x_{n} d P \text { for all } \quad \text { e. } \in \mathcal{F}_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $\int_{E} x_{n} d P$ converges strongly to a limit for every

$\mu_{n(E)}$ is uniformly countably additive on $\quad \mathcal{F}_{\infty} \quad$ i.e. if $E_{n} \subset E_{n-1}$ and

$$
E_{n} \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, \quad \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}=\phi
$$

then $\underset{n}{ } \xrightarrow{\lim } \mu_{\mathrm{m}}\left(E_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=0$ uniformly in $m$
This is so because

$$
\left\|\mu_{m}\left(E_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \int_{E_{n}}\left\|x_{m}\right\| d P
$$

and because $\left\|x_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\|$ are uniformly integrable.
Since

$$
\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_{n} \text { generates the Borel-field } \mathcal{F}_{\infty},
$$

it follows from Lemma 8 pp. 292 (2, Dunford \& Schwartz)
that $\mu_{n}(E)$ converges strongly for all $E \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$. Hence, also for any $\quad x^{*} \in \mathcal{X}^{*} \quad \lim _{n} x^{*}\left(\int_{E} x_{n} d P\right)$ exists so that

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \int\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \mathrm{Y}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\rangle \mathrm{dP}
$$

converges for all $Y^{*}(\infty) \in L_{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{*}\right)$ which are simple. Hence, it can be shown that

$$
\int\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}(\omega), \mathrm{Y}^{*}(\omega)\right\rangle \mathrm{dP}
$$

converges for all $\mathrm{Y}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathrm{L}_{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{*}}\right)$. In other words, $X_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is weakly convergent in $L_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ and hence bounded ((3), pp. 36).
$\left\{x_{n}(\omega)\right\}$ being a bounded set such that
$\left\|x_{n}(\omega)\right\|$ 's are uniformly integrable it follows from Th. 2.4.1 that $\left\{x_{n}(\omega)\right\}$ is weakly compact. This terminates the proof of the theorem.

## Chapter IV

Almost everywhere convergence of Banach-valued strong martingales.
§1. In this chapter we study the almost everywhere convergence of certain special types of martingales, namely the ones generated by taking repeated conditional expectations of a fixed rev., and other cases which can be reduced to this case. Our proofs are quite different from the ones used by Lob (1) in the classical real or complex-valued cases. We use a theorem originally due to Banach (1926, 12) and a generalized version of which is in (2, Dunford \& Schwarz, pp. 332, Th. 3). As pointed out in the foot-note of a paper by (Schwarz \& Beck, 1957, 13), the theorem can be extended to Banach-valued functions without any change in proof. We shall state the theorem in a slightly restricted form in which we shall apply it here:

Let $T_{n}$ be a sequence of continuous linear operators on a Banach space $\boldsymbol{M}$ to $L_{1}(\Omega, \boldsymbol{B}, \mathrm{P}, \boldsymbol{X})$ such that

1) $\sup _{n}\left\|T_{n} Y(\omega)\right\|<\infty$ a.e. for each $Y \in \mathscr{Y}$
ii) $\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim } T_{n} Y(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ exists ace. for
$y \in y_{0} c y, y_{0}$ dense in $y_{0}$

Then $\quad \mathrm{n} \underset{\mathrm{Y}}{\lim } \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Y}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \quad$ exists ace. for any
Here $T_{n} Y(\mathcal{\omega})$ stands for that functional element in $L_{1}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P, \mathcal{X})$ which corresponds to the element $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ under the mapping $T_{n}$.
§2. Theorem 4.2.1 Let $Z(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in L_{1}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, p, \mathcal{X})$ where $\mathscr{X}$ is arbitrary and let $X_{n}=E\left(Z \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) n \geq 1$ where $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ are Borel-fields such that $\mathcal{F}_{n} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{B}$.
Let

$$
F_{\infty} \text { be the Borel-field generated by the field }
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{0}={\underset{U=1}{\infty} \quad \mathcal{F}_{n}, ~}_{n=1}
$$

and let

$$
x_{\infty}(\omega)=E\left(z \mid F_{b}\right) .
$$

Then $\quad X_{n}(\omega)$ converges strongly in $\mathcal{X}$ to $X_{\infty}(\omega)$ for ace. $\omega$.
Proof: Define $T_{n} Z=E\left(Z \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \quad$ where $z \in L_{1}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P, \mathcal{X})$. Then by lemma 3.1.1, $T_{n}$ is a bounded linear operator from the Banach space $L_{1}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathrm{P}, \mathfrak{X})$ into $L_{1}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, p, \mathcal{X})$. We have

$$
\left\|T_{n} Z(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\| \leq E\left(\|Z\| \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \quad \text { a.e. ..(4.2.1) }
$$

We shall first demonstrate that

$$
\sup _{n} E\left(\|z\| \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)<+\infty \quad \text { a.e. ...(4.2.2) }
$$

This we could do by appealing to Th. 4.3., pp. 331 in Nob (1). However, we prefer to give a simple independent
proof of (4.2.2) in the following lemma:
Lemma 4.2. Let $\left\{x_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be any martingale taking values in any Banach space $\mathcal{X}$. Then $\quad P\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}: \sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\|=+\infty\right)=0$ if $E\left(\left\|X_{n}\right\|\right)<c$, independent of $n$.

Proof of the lemma:

$$
\text { Let } \quad \begin{aligned}
A & =\left(\omega: \sup _{n}\left\|X_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\|=+\infty\right) \\
A_{M} & =\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}: \sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\|>M\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

Now

$$
A=\bigcap_{M=1}^{\infty} A_{M} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{M} \quad>\quad A_{M+1}
$$

$$
A_{M}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \quad B_{1} \quad \text { where }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1}= & \left(\omega:\left\|x_{1}(\omega)\right\|>m,\left\|X_{1}(\omega)\right\| \leq M\right. \\
& \left.\left\|x_{2}(\omega)\right\| \leq M, \cdots x_{1-1}(\omega) \| \leq M\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$B_{i}^{\prime} s$ are disjoint and

$$
B_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{1} .
$$

since $\quad B_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$ and $\left\|x_{1}(\omega)\right\| \leq E\left(\left\|x_{N}\right\| \mid \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$
for $N \geq 1$,
we have

$$
\int_{B_{i}}\left\|x_{N}\right\| d P \geq \int_{B_{1}}\left\|x_{i}\right\| d P>M P\left(B_{1}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\substack{N \\ i=1 \\ J=B_{1}}}\left\|x_{N}\right\| d P=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{B_{i}}\left\|x_{N}\right\| d P>M \sum_{1=1}^{N} P\left(B_{i}\right)
$$

so that

$$
P\left({\underset{U}{\mathrm{U}}=1}_{\mathrm{N}}^{B_{i}}\right)<\frac{1}{M} \int_{\substack{\mathrm{N} \\ i=1}}\left\|\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}\right\| d P \leq \frac{1}{M} \int\left\|\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}\right\| \mathrm{dP} \leq \frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{M}}
$$

Hence taking $N \longrightarrow \infty$

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)<\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{M}}
$$

Hence

$$
M \xrightarrow{\lim } P\left(A_{M}\right)=0
$$

But

$$
M \xrightarrow{\lim } \infty P\left(A_{M}\right)=P(A)
$$

and so

$$
P(A)=0
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

$$
\text { If } z(\omega)=X_{E}(\omega) \cdot a \quad \text { where } \quad a \in \mathcal{X}, \quad E \in \mathscr{F}_{0}
$$

then we have $T_{n} Z(\omega)=Z(\omega)$ for $n \geq N$. Hence

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}} Z(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \text { exists for such } \mathrm{Z}(\boldsymbol{\omega})
$$

and hence for simple $Z(\omega)$ measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{0}$. Now let us apply the theorem mentioned in $\mathcal{\rho}$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y & =L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \mathcal{X}\right) \\
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}} Z(\omega) & =E\left(Z \mid \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

According to lemma 3.2.1, the simple $Z(\omega)$ measurable with respect to $\mathscr{J}_{0}$ are dense in $L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{6}, p, \mathcal{X}\right)$ and hence, all the conditions stipulated in the theorem in $\oint_{1}$ are valid. Thus, we can conclude that $\mathrm{n} \xrightarrow{\lim } \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X} \mid \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ exists a.e. strongly for every

$$
z(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in L_{1}\left(\Omega, \boldsymbol{F}_{\infty}, p, \mathcal{X}\right) .
$$

For any $z(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in \mathrm{L}_{1}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathrm{p}, \boldsymbol{X})$ we consider

$$
x_{\infty}(\omega)=E\left(Z \mid \mathcal{F}_{0}\right) \in L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \notin\right)
$$

and as

$$
X_{n}=E\left(Z \mid \mathscr{F}_{n}\right)=E\left(X_{\infty} \mid \mathscr{F}_{n}\right)
$$

it follows that

$$
n \xrightarrow{\lim } \infty X_{n}(\omega)
$$

exists abe. for any $Z \in L_{1}(\Omega, 8, \mathcal{X})$.
To show that the limit is indeed $X_{\infty}(\omega)$ we simply
observe that $X_{n}(\omega)$ converges in mean to $X_{\infty}(\omega)$ by Th. 3.2.1 and that a.e. convergence and converfence in mean are compatible.

This concludes the proof of the theorem.
Theorem 4.2.2 Let $\left\{x_{n}(\omega), \boldsymbol{y}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be any $\mathcal{H}$-valued martingale where $\mathcal{C}$ is reflexive Banach space. Let $\left\|X_{n}(\omega)\right\|, n \geq 1$ be uniformly integrable. Then there exists a $\mathcal{J}$-valued rev. $X_{\infty}(\omega)$ such that

$$
\mathrm{nim}_{n} \underset{\infty}{ } \quad X_{n}(\omega)=X_{\infty}(\omega) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

Proof: According to Th. 3.3.2, there exists
$X_{\infty}(\omega) \in L_{1}\left(\Omega, J_{\infty}, P, \mathcal{K}\right)$ such that


As $E\left(X_{n+k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)=X_{n}$ a.e. $k \geq 0$ we have for any $B \in \boldsymbol{F}_{n}$

$$
\int_{B} x_{n} d p=\int_{B} x_{n+k} d p
$$

Making

$$
\int_{B}^{k} X_{n} d P=\int_{B}^{\infty} x_{\infty} d P \text { for have because of (4.2.3) } \quad B \in \mathcal{F}_{n}
$$

This means that

$$
E\left(x_{\infty} \mid \mathscr{F}_{n}\right)=x_{n} \quad \text { a.e. } \quad n \geq 1
$$

From the preceding theorem, then, we can conclude that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}(\omega)=X_{\infty}(\omega) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

Our next theorem is about the almost everywhere convergence of martingales with decreasing index set. Theorem 4.2.3 Let $\left\{x_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \leq-1\right\}$ be any $\mathcal{K}$-valued martingale where the Banach space $\mathcal{K}$ arbitrary. Then

$$
n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\lim _{n}} X_{n}(\omega)=X_{-\infty}(\omega) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

where

$$
X_{-\infty}(\omega)=E\left(X_{-1}(\omega) \mid f_{-\infty}\right), \mathcal{F}_{\infty}=\prod_{-1} J_{n}
$$

Proof: We notice firstly that $X_{-n}=E\left(X_{-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{-n}\right)$ abe. Define $T_{n}$ as the continuous linear operator from $L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{-1}, P, \mathcal{K}\right)$ to itself, given by $T_{n} X=$ $E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{-n}\right)$. The proof will be completed by showing that for every $\quad x \in L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{-1}, P, \mathcal{X}\right), T_{n} x$ converges a.e. That the limit is the prescribed one follows by
noticing that it is also the limit we get from the mean convergence of $T_{n} X$ (Th. 3.2.2).

$$
\text { If } X(\omega)=X_{E}(\omega) \cdot a, \quad a \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \in \in \mathcal{F}_{-1}
$$

Because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \xrightarrow{\lim _{n}} P\left(E \mid \mathcal{F}_{-n}\right)=P\left(E \mid \mathcal{F}_{\infty}\right) \text { ale. } \\
& \text { (see ob, 1) } \\
& \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim _{n}} T_{n} X=P\left(E \mid \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}\right) \cdot a=E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}\right) \text { ale. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
function

$$
n \xrightarrow{\lim } T_{n} X \text { exists a.e. for any simple }
$$

$$
X(\infty) \in L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{J}_{-1}, P, \mathcal{X}\right)
$$

Al so

$$
\sup _{n}\left\|T_{n} x(\omega)\right\|=\sup _{n}\left\|E\left(x \mid \mathcal{J}_{-n}\right)\right\|<\infty \text { a.e. }
$$

as one can show by a proof similar to lemma 4.2.1.
Now by an application of the theorem mentioned
in $\mathcal{Y}_{1}$ we can conclude that
$\xrightarrow{\lim \infty} T_{n} X$ exists ace. for every
$x \in \quad L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{-1}, P, \mathcal{K}\right)$.

This finishes our proof.
Ss.
In this section we shall prove an almost-everywhere convergence theorem by using the idea of optional stopping (Lob, pp. 300, 1).

Let $m(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ be a random-variable whose finite values
are positive integers and which may be $+\infty$ with positive probability. Let $\left(x_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right)$ be a $\mathcal{X}$-valued martingale and let

$$
\left\{\omega: \mathbb{m}_{\mathrm{m}}(\omega)=k\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{k}
$$

We shall define the random variables $\hat{X}_{n}(\omega) \quad n \geq 1$
as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{j}(\omega) & =x_{j}(\omega) & & \omega \in\{\omega: j \leq m(\omega)\} \\
& =X_{m(\omega)}(\omega) & & \omega \in\{\omega: j>m(\omega)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.3.1

$$
\left\{\dot{x}_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\} \text { is a } \mathfrak{X} \text {-valued }
$$

martingale.
Proof:

$$
\text { Because } \begin{aligned}
& \{\omega: m(\omega)=k\} \in \mathcal{F}_{k} \quad \text { we have } \\
& \{\omega: m(\omega) \geq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \quad \text { and } \\
& \{\omega: m(\omega)<n\} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left.{\underset{X}{n}}_{U}^{U_{n}}=x_{n}(\omega) \underset{\{m(\omega) \geq n\}}{X(\omega)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_{k}(\omega) \underset{\{m(\omega)}{X(\omega)}=k\right\}
$$

is $\quad F_{n}$-measurable.
We shall now prove that $E\left(\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \mid \boldsymbol{F}_{n}\right)=\mathbf{X}_{n} \quad$ a.e.
Let $\quad A \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Now on }\{m(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \leq n\}, \quad{\underset{X}{x+1}}={\underset{X}{X}} \quad \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\{m(\omega)>n\} \in \mathcal{F}_{n} ; \text { also on the latter set }{\underset{X}{n}}^{u_{n}} x_{n}
$$ and hence by martingale property

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{A}^{u}{\underset{x}{n+1}}^{d P} & =\int_{A n\{m(\omega) \leq n\}}^{u_{n}} d P \\
& =\int_{A} u_{n} d P
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the lemma.
Theorem 4.3.1 Let $\left\{x_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a $\mathcal{X}$-valued martingale where $\mathcal{X}$ is a reflexive Banach space.

$$
\text { Let } E\left(\sup _{n \geqq 0}\left\|x_{n}^{(\omega)}-x_{n-1}^{(\omega)}\right\|\right)<+\infty \cdot x_{0}(\omega) \equiv 0
$$

Then

$$
\mathrm{n} \xrightarrow[\rightarrow \infty]{\lim _{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \quad \text { exists whenever }
$$

$$
\omega \in\left\{\omega: \sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}(\omega)\right\|<+\infty\right\}
$$

Proof: Let $\quad M>0$ be any positive integer and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(\omega)= & n \quad \omega \in\left\{\left\|x_{1}(\omega)\right\| \leq M, \ldots\right. \\
& \left.\left\|x_{n-1}(\omega)\right\| \leq M,\left\|x_{n}(\omega)\right\|>M\right\} \\
= & \infty \quad \omega \in\left\{\sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}(\omega)\right\|<+\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Of course $\{m(\omega)=n\} \in F_{n}$.
Let $\quad X_{n}, M^{(\omega)}$ be defined as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\underset{X}{X, M}}^{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) & =X_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) & \omega \in\{\mathrm{m}(\boldsymbol{\omega})>\mathrm{n}\} \\
& =X_{\mathrm{m}(\boldsymbol{\omega})}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) & \omega \in\{\mathrm{m}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \leq \mathrm{n}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Y(\boldsymbol{\omega})=\operatorname{sip}_{n \leq 0}\left\|X_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})-X_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\|$.
Then $\left\|{\underset{X}{n, M}}_{U}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\| \leq M+Y(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \quad, \quad E(Y)<+\infty$ and so

$$
\left\|\stackrel{u}{x}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~N}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\| \mathrm{n} \geq 1
$$

are uniformly integrable. According to lemma 4.3.1

$$
\left\{{\underset{X}{n}}_{n, M}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}
$$

is a martingale and so Th. 4.2.2 allows us to conclude that

$$
\mathrm{n} \xrightarrow[\longrightarrow \infty]{\lim _{n, M}} \stackrel{U}{X}_{n}(\omega)
$$

exists almost everywhere.
Since $\quad X_{n, M}(\omega)=X_{n}(\omega) \quad$ if $\sup _{j \geq 1}\left\|X_{j}(\omega)\right\| \leq M$
the theorem is proved.
corollary. Let $\left\{x_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a $\mathcal{K}$-valued martingale, $\mathcal{K}$ reflexive, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)<c \quad \text { (independent of } n \text { ) } \\
& E\left(\sup _{n}^{2} 0\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|\right)<+\infty \\
& n \xrightarrow{l i m} \infty x_{n}(\omega) \quad \text { exists a.e. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

Proof:
By lemma 4.2.1 $P\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}: \sup _{n}\left\|X_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\|<+\infty\right)=1$
and this fact combined with Th. 4.3.1 implies the statement in the corollary.

Analogues of other theorems for real or complexvalued martingales can be proved as in Th. 4.3.1 for reflexive Banach spaces. We omit them here for brevity.
§4. In this section we should like to point out what can be done by direct applications of convergence theorems about scalar valued martingales (see Lob, 1). The fundamental idea here is simply that if $\left\{X_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is any $\mathcal{X}$-valued martingale then

$$
\left\{x x^{*}\left(x_{n}(\omega)\right), \boldsymbol{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}
$$

is a complex-valued martingale for any

$$
x^{*} \in \underbrace{*}
$$ Theorem 4.4.1 Let $\left\{x_{n}, \mathscr{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a $\mathcal{X}$-valued martingale where $\mathcal{X}$ is a reflexive Banach space. If $E\left(\left\|X_{n}\right\|\right)<C$ independent of $n$, then there exists a Bochner-integrable r.v.

$X_{\omega}(\omega)$ such that $X_{n}(\omega)$ converges to $X_{\infty}(\omega)$ ace. weakly. Proof: Because each $X_{n}(\omega)$ is Bochner integrable, there is a separable subspace $\mathcal{X}_{0} \mathcal{X}$ such that $x_{n}(\omega) \in \mathcal{K}_{0}, n \geq 1$ except for $\omega \in N, P(N)=0$. $\mathcal{H}$ being reflexive so is $\mathcal{K}_{0}$. Also, $\mathcal{K}_{0}=\mathcal{X}_{0}=$ ( $\left.X_{0}^{*}\right)^{*}$ and hence $\mathcal{X}_{0}^{*}$ is also separable. Let
 dense in $X_{0}^{*}$. Now for each $x_{i}^{*}$

$$
\left\{x_{1}^{*}\left(x_{n}\right), \quad f_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}
$$

is a complex-valued martingale such that

$$
E\left(\left|x_{i}^{*}\left(x_{n}\right)\right|\right) \leq\left\|x_{i}^{*}\right\| \cdot E\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right) \leq c \cdot\left\|x_{i}^{*}\right\|
$$

Hence

$$
n \xrightarrow[n]{\lim _{\infty}} x_{1}^{*}\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

exists for all 1 except for $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M})=0$. Let $x^{*} \in \boldsymbol{X}_{0}^{*}$ be an arbitrary element and let
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{x}^{*}$.
Then if $\boldsymbol{\omega} \notin \mathrm{M}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|x^{*}\left(x_{n}(\omega)\right)-x^{*}\left(x_{m}(\omega)\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left|x^{*}\left(x_{n}(\omega)\right)-x_{i_{k}}^{*}\left(x_{n}(\omega)\right)\right| \\
& +\left|x^{*}\left(x_{m}(\omega)\right)-x_{i_{k}}^{*}\left(x_{m}(\omega)\right)\right| \\
& \\
& +\left|x_{i_{k}}^{*}\left(x_{n}(\omega)\right)-x_{1_{k}}^{*}\left(x_{m}(\omega)\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left\|x^{*}-x_{i_{k}}^{*}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{n}(\omega)\right\|+\left\|x^{*}-x_{i_{k}}^{*}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{m}(\omega)\right\| \\
& \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

As we can choose $M$ such that for $\omega \notin M . \sup _{n}\left\|X_{n}(\omega)\right\|$.

$$
\langle+\infty \quad \text { also }
$$

hence it follows from above that
for any $x^{*} \in \boldsymbol{K}^{*}$.
$\mathcal{K}_{0}$ being reflexive is weakly complete and
hence there is a r.v. $X_{\infty}(\omega)$ such that

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \longrightarrow \infty} \mathrm{x}^{*}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right)=\mathrm{x}^{*}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

and the exceptional set of measuregis independent of $x^{*}$. It is also clear that $X_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is Bochnerintegrable.

This completes the proof of our theorem.
§5. In this section we present an example of a martingale taking values in a non-reflexive Banach space which is uniformly integrable and yet converges to no rev., either in the mean or ace. (neither strongly nor weakly). This then shows that some restrictions on the Banach space $\mathcal{X}$ in which the martingales considered take their values, are necessary for ensuring any kind of convergence.

We consider the probability space ( $\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathrm{P}$ ) where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega=(0,1)=\text { the open unit interval } \\
& B=\text { the Bored subsets of the open unit }
\end{aligned}
$$ interval

$P=$ Lebesgue measure
Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the Banach space of all Lebesgue integrable functions on ( 0,1 ) with the usual norm. Let $\epsilon_{\lambda}(t)$ be the following element of $\mathscr{X},(0<\boldsymbol{\lambda}<1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\lambda}(t) & =1 & & 0<t \leq \lambda \\
& =0 & & \lambda<t<1
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ be the Borel-field generated by the
intervals $\left(\frac{m_{n}}{2^{n}}, \frac{m+1}{2^{n}}\right) 0 \leq m \leq 2^{n}-1$ for $n \geq 1$. Define

$$
x_{n}(\omega) \text { as follows }
$$

$$
x_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})=2^{n}\left\{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\frac{m+1}{}}^{2^{n}}-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\frac{m}{2^{n}}}\right\} \quad \omega \in\left(\frac{m}{2^{n}}, \frac{m+1}{2^{n}}\right)
$$

$$
=0 \quad \text { elsewhere. }
$$

It can be easily seen that $\left\{x_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is a martingale and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{n}(\omega)\right\| \equiv 1 \text { a.e. } \\
& E\left(\left\|x_{n}(\omega)\right\|\right)=1 n \geq 1 \\
& E\left(\sup _{n \geq 0}\left\|x_{n}(\omega)-x_{n-1}(\omega)\right\|\right)=1 \quad x_{0}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

But if $\boldsymbol{\omega} \neq \mathrm{p} / 2^{q}$ then $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ does not go to any limit either weakly or strongly. Actually no subsequence $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ converges weakly or strongly if $\boldsymbol{\omega} \neq \mathrm{p} / 2^{\mathrm{q}}$ Hence $X_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ does not converge in $L_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ - mean either.
N. B. The function $\epsilon_{\lambda}(t)$ from the unit interval to $L_{1}$ was given by Clarkson (5) as an example of a Banach-valued absolutely continuous function having derivative almost nowhere. Our construction of $X_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is patterned after Lob's (1) method of applying martingale theory to the theory of derivatives.

## Chapter V

Some applications of the general theory.
§1. In this chapter we shall show how martingale theory can be brought to bear upon some classical problems. We shall not aim at exhausting all possible applications of our theory of Banach-valued martingales, but shall rather indicate how our theorems can tackle the extensions to Banach spaces of some of the problems which Doob (1) has considered in the complex-valued case.

§2. In this section we consider two different types of strong law of large numbers for a sequence $Y_{n}(\omega), n=1,2$, .... of independent, identically distributed r.v.'s taking values in an arbitrary Banach space $\mathcal{K}$. The results are stated in theorems 5.2.1 and 5.2.2; they generalize Mourier's (10) results which were proved for separable and reflexive spaces. However, Mourier's results are more general in the sense that they concern arbitrary stationary sequences.

Theorem 5.2.1 Let $Y_{n}(\omega), n=1,2$, . . . be a sequence of independent, identically distributed r.v.'s taking values in an arbitrary Banach space
$\mathcal{H}$ and let $Y_{1}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ be Bochner-integrable.

If

$$
S_{n}(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}(\omega)
$$

then $\quad n \xrightarrow[n]{\lim } \frac{S_{n}(\omega)}{n}=E\left(Y_{1}(\omega)\right) \quad$ a.e.
N. B. Two $\boldsymbol{X}$-valued r.v.'s $Z_{1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), Z_{2}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ will be said to independent if for any two Bored sets $B_{1}, B_{2}$ of $\mathcal{X}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}: Z_{1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in B_{1}, Z_{2}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in B_{2}\right) \\
& =P\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}: Z_{1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in B_{1}\right) P\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}: Z_{2}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in B_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

They will be said to be identically distributed if for any Bored set $B$ of $\mathcal{Z}$

$$
P\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}: Z_{1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in B\right)=P\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}: Z_{2}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in B\right)
$$

Proof: Because the $Y_{i}^{\prime} s$ are identically distributeed and one of them, namely $Y_{1}$ is Bochner-intezrable, so are the rest. Hence we can consider their conditional expectations relative to any Borel-fields.

According to Theorem 4.2.3

$$
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim } E\left(Y_{1} \mid S_{n}, S_{n+1}, \ldots\right)=X_{-\infty}
$$

exists a.e. (in the following, the symbol

$$
E\left(Z(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mid Z_{t}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), t \in T\right)
$$

shall stand for a conditional expectation of the Bochnerintegrable rev. $Z(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ relative to the smallest Boredfield with respect to winch the family of r.v.'s
$Z_{t}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), t \in T$ are measurable.)
Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(Y_{1} \mid S_{n}, S_{n+1}, \ldots\right) & =E\left(Y_{1} \mid S_{n}, Y_{n+1}, Y_{n+2}, \ldots\right) \\
& =E\left(Y_{1} \mid S_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since the $Y_{i}$ 's are mutually independent. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(Y_{1} \mid S_{n}\right) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1} \mid S_{n}, S_{n+1}, \ldots\right) \\
& =X-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

exists a.e.
Also, as $Y_{i} ' s$ are identically distributed

$$
E\left(Y_{1} \mid S_{n}\right)=E\left(Y_{j} \mid S_{n}\right) \quad \text { a.e. } \quad 1 \leq j \leq n
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(Y_{1} \mid S_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left(Y_{j} \mid S_{n}\right) \quad \text { a.e. } \\
&=\frac{1}{n} E\left(S_{n} \mid S_{n}\right)=\frac{S_{n}}{n} \quad \text { a.e. } \\
& n \xrightarrow{\lim } \frac{S_{n}(\infty)}{n}=l_{n} \xrightarrow{l i m} E\left(Y_{1} \mid S_{n}\right)=X_{-\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
a.e. exists.

That $X_{-\infty}(\boldsymbol{\omega})=E\left(Y_{1}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right)$ a.e. follows from the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{-\infty} & =\text { constant } \\
& =E\left(X_{-\infty}\right) \quad \text { a.e. }
\end{aligned}
$$

because of the Zero-one law and that

$$
X_{-\infty}, \ldots E\left(Y_{1} S_{2}, S_{3}, \ldots\right), E\left(Y_{1} S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots\right)
$$

is a martingale.
This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2.1.
Theorem 5.2.2 Let $Y_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), S_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ be as in Th. 5.2.1. If

$$
Y_{1}(\omega) \in L_{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P, \notin) \quad 1 \leqslant p<\infty
$$

then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{S_{n}}{n}-E\left(Y_{1}\right)\right]_{\mathbf{p}}=0
$$

Froof:
According to Theorem 3.2.2, there is
$x_{-\infty} \in L_{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, p, \boldsymbol{X})$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[E\left(Y_{1} \mid S_{n}, S_{n+1}, \ldots\right)-X_{-\infty}\right]_{\boldsymbol{p}}=0
$$

The conclusion of this theorem then follows by proceeding exactly as in the previous proof.
§ 3. In this section we shall consider the problem of existence of derivatives with respect to nets of a countably-additive Banach-valued set function defined on an arbitrary probability space. It would be clear from our considerations that similar results can be proved for $\sigma$-finite measure spaces. We limit ourselves only to the case when the set functions take values in a reflexive Banach space.

Examples due to Bochner (7) and Clarkson (5) clearly
indicate that some restrictions on the Banach space are necessary.

We shall first state a lemma:
Lemma 5.8.1 Let $(\Omega, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathrm{P})$ be a probability space and let $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}, n \geq 1$ be a sequence of Borel-fields such that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{n} \subset \mathscr{B} \text { for } n \geq 1
$$

and each $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ is generated by a finite or denumerable number of disjoint sets $\left\{M_{j}^{(n)}, j \geq 1\right\}$ ie. $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ is the smallest Borel-field containing $\left\{M_{j}(n), \dot{y} \geq 1\right\}$. Furthermore, for any $n$ and $j$ let there be a $k \geq 1$ such that

$$
M_{\gamma}^{(n+1)} \subset M_{k}^{(n)}
$$

Let $\varphi(\cdot)$ be a countably-additive $\mathcal{X}$-valued set function defined for sets in

$$
{\underset{U=1}{\infty} \mathscr{F}_{n}}^{\mathcal{F}_{n=1}}
$$

and let $\mathscr{X}$ be a reflexive Banach space.
Let $X_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ be a sequence of $\mathcal{X}$-valued r.v.'s defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n}(\omega)=\frac{\varphi\left(M_{j}^{(n)}\right)}{P\left(M_{j}^{(n)}\right)} \quad \text { if } \quad \omega \in M_{j}^{(n)}, P\left(M_{j}^{(n)}\right)>0 \\
&=0 \quad \text { otherwise. } \\
& \text { there is a rev. } \quad X(\omega) \in L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \notin\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there is a riv. such that

$$
\boldsymbol{\varphi}(A)=\int_{A} x(\omega) d P \quad \text { (where } \quad \mathcal{F}_{\infty} \text { is }
$$

the Borel-field generated by
for all

$$
A \in{\underset{U}{U}=1}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{F}_{n}} \text { if and only if the real- }
$$

valued r.v.'s $\left\|X_{n}(\omega)\right\|$ are uniformly integrable. Proof: It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_{n} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 1$ and $X_{n}(\omega)$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{n}$. If $\left\|X_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\|$ 's are uniformly integrable, then $X_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ 's individually are Bochner-integrable and so it is meaningful to talk about their conditional expectations. Also

$$
\left\{x_{n}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}
$$

forms a martingale. According to our Theorems 4.2.2 and 3.3.2, there exists a rev. $\quad X(\omega) \in L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P, \mathcal{X}\right)$ such that

$$
\underset{n}{\lim } \infty \quad X_{n}(\omega)=X(\omega) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[x_{n}-x\right]_{1}=0
$$

and

$$
E\left(x \mid \boldsymbol{f}_{n}\right)=x_{n} \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{A} x d P=\int_{A} x_{n} d P=\varphi(A) \text { for } A \in \mathcal{f}_{n}
$$

This proves that

$$
\varphi(A)=\int_{A} x d P \quad \text { for } A \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{n}
$$

Conversely, if

$$
\varphi(A)=\int_{A} x d P \quad \text { for } A \in \underset{n=1}{\infty} \quad \mathcal{F}_{n}
$$

then

$$
E\left(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n}\right)=x_{n} \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

and hence $\left\|X_{n}\right\|$ 's are uniformly integrable.
N. B.

$$
\text { A sequence of partitions } \quad\left\{M_{j}^{(n)}\right\} \quad \text { of }
$$

$\Omega$ as in the lemma is called a net. The function $\mathrm{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is said to be the derivative of $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ with respect to the probability measure $P$, relative to the net $\left\{m_{j}^{(n)}\right\}$.

For the formulation of our next theorem we need the concept of "total variation" of a $\mathcal{X}$-valued set function $\boldsymbol{Q}$ defined on a field $\mathcal{F}$. We define the set function $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{g}}(A), a \in \mathcal{F}$, which we shall call the total variation of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ on $A$ as follows:

$$
v_{\varphi}(A)=\left\{\sup \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\varphi\left(A_{1}\right)\right\|\right\}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite disjoint sequence $A_{i}$ of sets in $\mathcal{F}$ such that $A_{1} \subset$. clearly $\|\varphi(A)\| \leq v_{9}(A)$
If $\Theta$ is countably additive on $\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{V}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(A)$
is also countably additive on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { F }}$. Theorem 5.8.1 Let $(\Omega, \boldsymbol{B}, \mathrm{P})$ be a probability space and let $\mathcal{F}_{n}, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, \boldsymbol{Q}$, and $\mathcal{X}$ be as in lemma 5.3.1. Then

$$
\varphi(A)=\int_{A} x(\omega) d P \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_{0}={\underset{n=1}{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{n}, ~}_{n=1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
x(\omega) \in L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, p, \notin\right) \\
\text { if and only if } v_{\varphi}(A) & \text { on } \mathcal{F}_{0}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{n}
\end{array}
$$

is finite and absolutely continuous with respect to P i.e. for any $\in>0$ there is $\delta>0$ such that

$$
v_{\varphi}(A)<\epsilon
$$

whenever

$$
P(A)<\delta, \quad \text { and } \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_{0}
$$

## Sufficiency:

Proof: If $V_{\rho}(A)$ is a finite, non-negative, countably additive measure on $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ which is a field, then it has an unique extension $\mathcal{V}_{9}$ to the Borelfield $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ generated by $\mathcal{F}_{0}$. It follows from simple considerations that $\bar{v}_{\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $P$ if $\mathcal{V}_{g}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $P$ on $\mathcal{F}_{0}$. According to the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there is a nonnegative function $Y(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ such that

$$
\bar{v}_{\Phi}(A)=\int_{A} Y(\omega) d P \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}
$$

Define $\quad X_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), Y_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ as in lemma 5.2 .1 by means of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ and $\bar{v}_{g}$ respectively.

Clearly $\quad\left\|x_{n}(\omega)\right\| \leq Y_{n}(\omega) \quad$ ace. $n \geq 1$
$\bar{v}_{\rho}$ being an integral, it follows from lemma 5.2.1 that $Y_{n}$ 's are uniformly integrable. Hence, $\left\|X_{n}\right\|$ 's are uniformly integrable and this implies according to lemma 5.2.1 that $\varphi$ has the integral form as stated in the theorem.
Necessity: If $\quad \varphi(A)=\int_{A} X(\boldsymbol{\omega}) d P$ then

$$
v_{\phi}(A) \leq \int_{A}\|x(\boldsymbol{\theta})\| d P
$$

and this immediately proves that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathrm{A})$ is finite and absolutely continuous with respect to $P$ on

$$
\boldsymbol{f}_{0}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{f}_{n}
$$
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