



This is to certify that the

thesis entitled On Improving Ge Detector Energy Resolution and Peak-to-Compton Ratios by Pulse-Shape Discrimination presented by

Nobuo Matsushita

has been accepted towards fulfillment of the requirements for

M.S. degree in Physical Chemistry

Wm.C. Hanis

Major professor

Date 8 August 1980

**O**-7639



# ON IMPROVING GE DETECTOR ENERGY RESOLUTION AND PEAK-TO-COMPTON RATIOS BY PULSE-SHAPE DISCRIMINATION

By

Nobuo Matsushita

A THESIS

Submitted to

Michigan State University

in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Department of Chemistry

Program in Physical Chemistry

## ABSTRACT

ON IMPROVING GE DETECTOR ENERGY RESOLUTION AND PEAK-TO-COMPTON RATIOS BY PULSE-SHAPE DISCRIMINATION

### By

## Nobuo Matsushita

The rise-time discrimination of pulses from Ge detectors can be used to improve the spectra on two levels: First, by discriminating against slower-rising pulses, both the energy resolution and peak-to-Compton ratios can be improved significantly, especially for detectors that have suffered neutron damage. Second, by adding a pulse-height correction to compensate for effects of varying rise-time, an improved composite spectrum can be obtained without significant loss in detector efficiency.

01.1.119

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank Dr. Wm. C. McHarris for his encouragement and guidance during the preparation of this thesis. I would also like to thank Dr. R. B. Firestone for his valuable advice and helpful time-consuming discussions.

I also wish to extend special thanks to Drs. J. Kasagi and J. A. Nolen, Jr., for their invaluable assistance and encouragement during the data acquisition and analysis of this work. Many of their ideas led to solutions to apparently insurmountable problems.

Thanks to Mr. R. Au, Mr. W. Bentley, Mr. R. Fox and Mr. B. Jeltema for their programing help.

Ms. Rose Manlove aided greatly by typing the final version of this manuscript.

Much of the financial assistance for this research has been provided by the National Science Foundation and Michigan State University.

**ii** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
LIST	of f:	IGURES
Chapt	er	
I.	INT	RODUCTION
II.	TWO	DIMENSIONAL EXPERIMENT
	1.	Experimental Techniques 4
	2.	Results and Discussion
111.	THR	EE DIMENSIONAL EXPERIMENT
	1.	Experimental Techniques
		and Data Processing
	2.	Results and Discussion
IV.	CON	CLUSIONS
BIBLIOGRAPHY		
APPENDICES		
	A.	Event Rec
	B.	Auto Cen
	с.	2D GATE

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page	No.
2-1	Block diagram of the fast-slow coincidence circuit used	•••	6
2–2	Rise-time discriminated spectra with Ge planar detector	•••	7
2-3	Output of the TAC for the spectra shown in fig. 2-2	•••	8
2-4	Summary of the analysis	•••	10
2–5	Plots of the centroid of four energies as a function of the pulse rise-time	•••	12
2-6	The correlation between the rise-time and the centroid of the 1332.5-keV peak	•••	14
2-7	Rise-time discriminated spectrum (top) compared with a raw spectrum (bottom)	•••	15
2-8	Blow up of a portion of fig. 2-7	•••	16
3-1	A Coaxial Detector Structure	•••	18
3–2	An expected correlation of the time difference between the 0.1-0.5 fraction and the 0.1-0.7 fraction		19

Figure		Page	No.
3-3	The block diagram for the three parameter experiment	•••	21
3-4	The correlation between the centroid of the 1332.5-keV peak and the two TAC signals	•••	23
3–5	90° clockwise rotation of fig. 3-4	•••	24
3-6	The relative yield for each 1332.5-keV peak vs the two TAC signals	• • •	25
3–7	90° clockwise rotation of fig. 3-6	•••	26
3–8	The two dimensional plots displaying the gated regions for 2D GATE	•••	28
3-9	Spectrum with the 2D GATE (bottom) compared with the raw data (top)	• • •	29
3-10	Blow up of a portion of fig. 3-9		30

### CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

Until semiconductor detectors were introduced in nuclear science, scintillation counters were used in nuclear spectroscopy. The use of the scintillation counter in its various forms has made possible the investigation of a large number of problems that would otherwise have been extremely tedious if not impossible. As an example we may consider the field of gamma  $(\gamma)$ -ray spectroscopy, whose present state of development is due in large part to the use of scintillators. Prior to about 1949,  $\gamma$ -ray energies were generally measured either by observing the beta spectrum of associated Compton recoils or photoelectron recoils or by interposing absorbers of various materials between the  $\gamma$  source and detector (Geiger counter). The development of scintillation counters, especially the NaI(T1) detector, have provided a convenient, high-efficiency spectrometer such that measurements of Y-ray spectra from radioactive nuclei have become a fairly common laboratory technique. In the best scintillation systems one photoelectron is produced at the photocathode for each 110 eV of energy lost [Co71].

In the early 1960's, semiconductors were introduced in nuclear science. Since then, almost constant effort has been expended to improve both their energy resolution and their peak-to-Compton ratio [Ie71] to some extent, mutually incompatible goals. For example, the larger the detector, the better the peak-to-Compton ratio, but in

general, the poorer its resolution. Such panaceas as very large volume intrinsic Ge detectors [Go74] or successful fabrication of detectors from semiconductors having both a high Z and a small band gap [Pe72], (i.e., InSb) have not yet appeared on the scene. Thus, we often find a necessary compromise: a Ge  $\gamma$ -ray detector with the best energy resolution practicable (and often of medium to small size) used in conjunction with one of the more or less elaborate Compton-suppression spectrometers [Au67,Be77]. The latter are necessarily expensive, cumbersome, and require elaborate coincidence electronics.

Additional concern arises when Ge detectors have been used for in-beam  $\gamma$ -ray experiments where they often suffer from neutron damage. The neutrons can cause lattice defects in the Ge crystal which act as traps for the charge carriers [Go74,Kr68,Ma68]. These trapping centers not only contribute to poor resolution because of incomplete charge collection, but also slow down the rate of charge collection [Ma68]. Often in-beam  $\gamma$ -ray experiments extend over periods of days. In such cases neutron damage may be occurring continuously and the resolution will degenerate accordingly.

One way to repair these neutron damaged detectors is to regenerate the Ge crystal. One can attempt to regenerate the crystal by the Badder Method [Ba74]. This method is not always successful, so the detector is often sent back to the company.

Our original purpose was to investigate the different rise-time signals from Ge detectors, since this information might suggest some ways of improving the energy resolution of the detectors. Also, at the same time, we were interested in how the relative efficiency and the

٠

peak-to-Compton ratios depended on the rise-times. In general, the poor energy resolution is associated with pulses having slower than normal rise-times. By discriminating against slower pulses one should be able to improve the energy resolution of a detector, albeit at some expense in efficiency.

The improvement in the energy resolution has been borne out by my experiments. I found that I can improve the energy resolution of a detector by approximately 50%, the exact amount of depending on the amount of neutron damage the detector has suffered. For a brand new, state-of-the-art, high-resolution detector, the effect is not very great; for a badly damaged detector, the improvement can exceed 50%. Unexpectedly, however, I also found that I can use pulse-height correction techniques to gain these improvements without any significant loss in efficiency.

### CHAPTER II

#### TWO DIMENSIONAL EXPERIMENT

### 1. Experimental Techniques

I have performed experiments using several Ge and Ge(Li) detectors--from different manufacturers, of various sizes, and of both planar and coaxial configurations. In this thesis, however, I present results only for the two detectors studied most extensively: a Ge planar detector manufactured by Princeton Gamma-Tech and a Ge(Li) true coaxial detector manufactured by ORTEC.

The planar detector had an active area of  $1000 \text{ mm}^2$ , giving it an active volume of 13 cm<sup>3</sup>, or an efficiency of 2.5% relative to a 7.6 × 7.6-cm NaI(T1) detector (for the <sup>60</sup>Co 1332.5-keV peak, with a source-to-detector distance of 25 cm). The operational bias was 2500 V, and the original energy resolution (again, for the <sup>60</sup>Co 1332.5-keV) was 1.7 keV full width at half maximum (FWHM), although the resolution had deteriorated slightly to 1.84 keV FWHM at the time these measurements were performed.

The true coaxial detector was 44.4 mm long, 49.6 mm in diameter, and with a drift depth of 19.3 mm, resulting in an active volume of 78.3 cm<sup>3</sup>, or an efficiency of 16%. Its operational bias was 4800 V, and its original warranteed resolution was 2.0 keV FWHM. This detector, however, had been used extensively for in-beam experiments and had suffered extensive neutron damage, resulting in a poorer energy resolution of 4.92 keV.

Standard <sup>60</sup>Co, <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>152</sup>Eu sources were used for my measurements.

In order to perform our rise-time experiments, I used a fastslow "megachannel" [Gi71] circuit with branched signals from the single detector under investigation for the coincidence inputs. A block diagram of the experimental set-up is shown in fig. 2-1. The ORTEC Model 473 Constant Fraction Timing Discriminators (CFTD), together with associated Model 425 Delays, were used to start and stop the Model 467 Time-to-Amplitude Converter (TAC), whose output was recorded on magnetic tape along with the pulse-height information.

Although the rise-time distributions vary from detector to detector, 40 nsec on the external delays for the CFTD's was determined to be an appropriate setting for these experiments (one has to be wary, in particular, of using much shorter delays--these can sometimes cause the CFTD's to act somewhat as lower-level discriminators for the slower-rise pulses, thus artificially eliminating some of the lowerenergy events for slow rise times). The 0.1 fraction CFTD was used to start the TAC; the 0.5 fraction CFTD, to stop the TAC. Thus the risetime is the time difference between the output of 0.1 and 0.5 fraction CFTD. All data were recorded event by event on magnetic tape and later sorted, using the II-Event [Au72] data taking and II-Event Recovery [Au75] programs on the MSU NSCL Sigma-7 computer.

### 2. Results and Discussion

Examples of  ${}^{60}$ Co +  ${}^{152}$ Eu spectra taken with the Ge planar detector are shown in fig. 2-2, and the output of the TAC for these spectra is shown in fig. 2-3. The "all-event" spectrum shown at the bottom of fig. 2-2 in A) was obtained by integrating over all the TAC signals.



Figure 2-1

Block diagram of the fast-slow coincidence circuit used



Rise-time discriminated spectra with Ge planar detector



Figure 2-3

Output of the TAC for the spectra shown in fig. 2-2

Nine separate gates were taken, covering a 45-nsec range. In B) a fast rise-time spectrum is shown (Gate 1), while in C) a considerably slower one is shown (Gate 6). Finally, at the top of fig. 2-2, in D), I show an "all-events" spectrum that has been pulse-height corrected in the manner described below.

In fig. 2-4 I show a summary of my analysis of the nine TAC-gated spectra: The energy resolution versus TAC channel is shown in the middle. The resolution (for the  $^{60}$ Co 1332.5-keV peak) has been improved by approximately 10% in the faster rise-time slices. Correspondingly, the peak-to-Compton ratio is shown as a function of rise-time, where it can be seen there is a ten-fold improvement between the poorest (Gate 9) and the best (Gate 1). At the bottom of the figure I show the fraction of total events occurring in each slice.

As anticipated, better energy resolution and peak-to-Compton ratios can be obtained by discriminating against pulses having slower rise-times, as demonstrated in fig. 2-4. Somewhat more surprising, however, is the fact that, for most of the slices, the individual energy resolution and peak-to-Compton ratios are better than in the raw "all-events" spectrum. Encouraged by this result, I made a more elaborate investigation of each peak shape and centroid position.

The lattice defects contribute to degraded resolution because they can act as traps for the charge carriers, leading to incomplete charge collection. At the same time they slow down the rate of the charge collection. It would not matter if all the charge were not collected, provided a constant percentage of the charge were collected for every event. This would change the normalization, but, in



Summary of the analysis

principle, one could obtain quite good resolution in such a system even without complete charge collection. If there is a direct correlation between the rise-time of a pulse and the percent of charge collected, one has a means with which to work on the problem.

In fig. 2-5 I have plotted the centroid of four different  $\gamma$ -ray peaks versus the TAC channel: The peaks shift linearly as a function of the rise-time, and the slopes for the lines are energy dependent, being greater for the higher-energy peaks. The slope of the line for each peak can be fitted to relation

$$A = \alpha E \tag{1}$$

Here  $\alpha$  is a constant and E is the energy. This indicates that the amount of charge trapped in the damaged portions of a detector is proportional to the energy.

This linear dependence makes it easy to develop computer software to shift each pulse-height value by an amount determined by the risetime and the pulse-height. The amount of each shift is given by

$$E_{shift} = AT$$
 (2)

After this correction, the "all-events" resolution for the 1332.5-keV <sup>60</sup>Co peak improved from 1.84 keV to 1.77 keV FWHM. This is not a remarkable improvement; however, this detector originally had quite good resolution. Had it suffered more extreme neutron damage, I assume that the improvement would have been greater. In principle, the use of more and narrower rise-time slices should make a further improvement up to the theoretical limit of time resolution.





Plots of the centroid of four energies as a function of the pulse rise-time.

The same method was applied for the coaxial Ge(Li) detector which had indeed suffered considerable neutron damage. Fig. 2-6 shows the correlation between the rise-time versus the 1332.5-keV  $\gamma$ -ray line shape. This figure shows that with increasing rise-time (i.e., increasing the gate number), the peaks get broader and split into a doublet. This splitting phenomenon has also been seen in a previous study [Kr68].

The pulse-height correction method is only suitable if there is some relation between the pulse-height and the rise-time. The individual energy resolution of the gated spectra must be better than the raw data energy resolution. Thus, for the coaxial detector case, even if we could correct for the pulse-height, it would not be expected to improve the energy resolution. With 5% efficiency, by choosing the rise-time gate, I could get 2.31 keV FWHM (vs 4.92 keV for the raw data), or an energy resolution improvement of 53%. The "all-events" and fast rise-time spectra (corresponding approximately to Gates 1-2 in fig. 2-3) are shown in fig. 2-7. An expanded comparison of these two spectra is given in fig. 2-8, where the spectra have been normalized to the height of the 1173.2-keV peak.

COUNTS PER CHANNEL



## CHANNEL NUMBER

Figure 2-6

The correlation between the rise-time and the centroid of the 1332.5-keV peak.







### CHAPTER III

### THREE DIMENSIONAL EXPERIMENT

In general, the pulse shape of a coaxial Ge(Li) detector is determined by the electric field as a function of the position inside the detector's sensitive region. The following equation gives the integrated voltage (V) for a coaxial detector as shown in fig. 3-1.

$$V = \frac{Q}{C} \frac{1}{\log(b/a)} \left[ (\log(X_0^2 + (b^2 - X_0^2) \frac{t}{T_{ion}}) - \log X_0^2) + (\log X_0^2 - \log(X_0^2 - (X_0^2 - a^2) \frac{t}{T_{el}}) \right]$$
(3)

$$(t \leq T_{el} \text{ and/or } T_{ion})$$

Here, T<sub>ion</sub> and T<sub>el</sub> are collection time for ion and electron respectively. Q is the charge, and C is the capacitance. The other terms are referred to in fig. 3-1. The pulses have different rise-times, from 60 nsec to 150 nsec, depending on the interacting positions. This creates a much more complicated relation between the pulse-height and the rise-time. For example, consider the two pulses A and B: A is a slow rise-time pulse, the slow rise-time resulting from neutron damage, and B is a naturally slow rise-time pulse without neutron damage. These pulses, A and B, arrive at the same time at the 0.5 fraction level. Since A is the neutron damaged pulse, its pulseheight is smaller than that of B.

However this complication may be solved by adding another CFTD. Fig. 3-2 shows the expected correlation of the time difference





A Coaxial Detector Structure.



An expected correlation of the time difference between the 0.1-0.5 fraction and the 0.1-0.7 fraction.

between the 0.1-0.5 fraction and the 0.1-0.7 fraction. The solid line indicates the calculated correlation of the output pulse from the timing filter amplifier with a shaping time constant of 50 nsec [Ka80]. For ideal conditions, we expect all events to be distributed on the line in fig. 3-2. For neutron damaged cases, I expect those linear distributions to become area distributions because it takes more time to collect pulses.

### 1. Experimental Techniques and Data Processing

The block diagram for the triple coincidence experimental electronics is shown in fig. 3-3. This diagram is similar to that used previously, except that there is another CFTD and TAC. The detector was the 16% Ge(Li) detector previously used, and I used the same radiation sources.

The 0.1 fraction level of the CFTD was used to start both TAC's; the 0.5 fraction and the 0.7 fraction levels were used to stop them. Therefore, for one ADC the input signal is proportional to the risetime differences between the 0.1 and 0.7 fraction levels of the CFTD, and for the other ADC the input is the time difference between the 0.1 and the 0.5 fraction levels. All data were recorded event by event on magnetic tape using II-event program as before and sorted off-line.

In order to see the centroid movement for short calculation time, it was necessary to develop a new sorting program: Event Rec. This program allows me to accumulate the three dimensional  $(20 \times 25 \times 200$ channels) energy spectrum. I used 200 channels for an energy spectrum, 25 channels for the 0.5 TAC, and 20 channels for the 0.7 TAC. Then,





The block diagram for the three parameter experiment.

after data were sorted by Event Rec, the centroid of all 500 TAC gated spectra were obtained by a program called Auto Cen. This program outline is as follows:

- 1. Find the highest count channel.
- 2. Find the channels of the beginning and the end of the peak.
- 3. Calculate the centroid. The background is determined by averaging the number of counts per channel found in the five channels immediately preceeding the peak and five channels immediately following the peak. This is subtracted from the area under the peak.
- Assume that peaks are a Gaussian distribution. Thus, FWHM = 2.354<sup>o</sup>

After completely analyzing the data, it was necessary to develop another sorting program which allows me to gate in two dimensions: 2D GATE. These three new programs are listed in the appendix.

## 2. Results and Discussion

Figs. 3-4 and 3-5 show the correlation between the centroid of the 1332.5-keV  $\gamma$ -ray peak and two TAC's: the 0.7 and 0.5 fractions. On the 0.5 fraction axis the interval between each line is about 1.5 nsec. On the 0.7 fraction axis, it is 3.3 nsec. The centroid axis is 39 channels from the bottom to the top (on a log scale). Fig. 3-5 was obtained by rotating fig. 3-4 by 90° clockwise about the centroid axis. The relative yield for each 1332.5-keV peak versus the two TAC settings is shown in figs. 3-6 and 3-7. Again, Fig. 3-7 was obtained by a similar rotation of fig. 3-6.

As can be seen in figs. 3-6 and 3-7, the observed events are not



## Figure 3-4

The correlation between the centroid of the 1332.5-keV peak and the two TAC signals.



Figure 3-5

90° clockwise rotation of fig. 3-4.







90° clockwise rotation of fig. 3-6.

distributed on a line, but rather in a broad area. The centroid versus the rise-time, figs. 3-4 and 3-5, show that the higher yield areas of figs. 3-6 and 3-7 also have higher centroids. This result agrees with my predictions: These lower yield and centroid regions result from the neutron-damaged pulses and the higher yield and centroid regions, from undamaged pulses.

The energy resolution in the non-neutron damaged area is about 2.59 keV FWHM and in the neutron damaged area about 3 keV. After accumulating events from the non-neutron area (A in fig. 3-8) by 2D GATE program, we are able to improve the energy resolution to 2.61 keV (at 1332.5 keV) with 10% efficiency, corresponding to a 46% improvement in energy resolution. With 28% efficiency in the gated area A and B in fig. 3-8, we get 2.96 keV energy resolution, 40% improvement in energy resolution. The spectrum resulting from area A in fig. 3-8 is shown in fig. 3-9 with the original spectrum for comparison, and I have blown up a portion of fig. 3-9 in fig. 3-10. Since each individual gated energy resolution is much better than the raw data, in principle it is possible to make the pulse-height correction for a coaxial detector by finding a pulse-height function which is a function of both the rise-time 0.1-0.5 and 0.1-0.7 fractions.



The two dimensional plots displaying the gated regions for 2D GATE.



Spectrum with the 2D GATE (bottom) compared with the raw data (top).



COUNTS PER CHANNEL

Blow up of a portion of fig. 3-9

CHANNEL NUMBER Figure 2-10

### CHAPTER IV

### CONCLUSIONS

It is evident from these results that neutron damage changes the normal pulse-heights, and these different pulse-height signals result in lower overall energy resolution. It is also possible to correct for this effect and improve the overall energy resolution. When the energy resolution of individual rise-time gated spectrum slices is better than the composite "all-events" spectrum, one can significantly improve the resolution of overall spectrum by either employing the pulse-height correction method or collecting data only from nonneutron damaged areas. By using the pulse-height correction method, the improvement in energy resolution can be obtained without an appreciable loss in efficiency. In other words, one can salvage the "degraded" spectrum parts, slice by slice, by shifting them to their undegraded equivalent and then adding them up to obtain an improved spectrum.

For a coaxial detector, it is necessary to use two different rise-time discriminators because of its more complicated structure. Also, it may be possible to apply the pulse-height correction to this type of detector by finding the function,

$$Ch_{shift} = \beta f(t_1, t_2)$$
(4)

Here  $\beta$  is energy dependent constant, and  $f(t_1, t_2)$  is a function of the two rise-times. The energy dependent constant can be obtained by

investigating the peak centroids versus the energy relationship as described in chapter 2.

Finally, this phenomenon should be studied using gaseous proportional counters, where conditions can be controlled more readily. We may ultimately discover that the sequence of events for collection of charge carriers within the detector producing a pulse, particularly a photopeak pulse, from a Ge(Li) detector are considerably more complex than we had realized. In the meantime, using fast pulse rise-time discrimination technique can be a practical way for significantly improving the quality of  $\gamma$ -ray spectra. BIBLIOGRAPHY

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [Au72] II-Event, written for the MSU NSCL Sigma-7 computer by R. Au (1972).
- [Au75] II-Event Recover, written for the MSU NSCL Sigma-7 computer by R. Au (1975).
- [Au67] R. L. Auole, D. B. Berry, G. Berzins, L. M. Beyer,
   R. C. Etherton, W. H. Kelly, and Wm. C. McHarris,,
   Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 51 (1967) 61.
- [Ba74] R. Baader, W. Patzner, and H. Wohlfarth, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. <u>117</u> (1974) 609.
- [Be77] R. Beetz, W. L. Posthumus, F. W. N. Deboer, J. L. Maarleveld, A. Van der Schaaf, and J. Konijn, Nuclr. Instr. and Meth. 144 (1977) 353.
- [Be72] D. Belusa, E. Fretwurst, F. Jaber, and G. Lindstrom, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. <u>101</u> (1972) 171.
- [Co71] B. L. Cohen, "Experimental Methods of Nuclear Physics", Chap. 9 in <u>Concepts of Nuclear Physics</u>, McGraw-Hill, 1971.
- [Gi71] See, e.g., G. C. Giesler, Wm. C. McHarris, R. A. Warner, and W. H. Kelly, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. <u>91</u> (1971) 313.
- [Go74] F. S. Goulding and R. H. Pehl, "Semiconductor Radiation Detectors", Chap. III.A in <u>Nuclear Spectroscopy and</u> <u>Reactions</u>, Ed. by J. Cerny, Academic Press, 1974.

- [Ie71] "An American National IEEE Standard Test Procedure for Germanium Gamma-Ray Detectors", ANSI IEEE N42.8-1972 Std. 325-1971.
- [Ka80] Private communication with J. Kasagi.
- [Kr68] H. W. Kraner, C. Chasman, and K. W. Jones, Nucl. Instr. and Meth 62 (1968) 173.
- [Li74] G. Lindstrom and R. Lisclat, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. <u>116</u> (1974) 181.
- [Ma68] J. W. Mayer, "Search for Semiconductor Materials for Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy", Chap. 5 in <u>Semiconductor</u> <u>Detectors, Ed. G. Bertoleni and A. Coche, Wiley (1968).</u>
- [Pe72] Eg., R. H. Pehl, R. C. Cordi, and F. S. Goulding IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. <u>NS-19</u>, No. 1 (1972) 265.
- [Wh79] A summary of the present state of the art with respect to certain "exotic" detector materials is given by R. C. Whited and M. M. Schieber, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. <u>162</u> (1979) 113.

APPENDICES

.

#### APPENDIX

#### A. Event Rec

DIMENSION IDATA1(200,25,10),IDATA2(200,25,10),IDI1(50000),ISEQ(4) +.IDI2(50000),ITITLE(20),IARRY(4),JTITLE(20) EQUIVALENCE(IDATA1(1,1,1),IDI1(1)) EQUIVALENCE(IDATA2(1,1),IDI2(1)) READ(105,10)ITC1,MAX1,ICF1 READ(105,10)ITC2,MAX2,ICF2 READ(105,10)IENG,MAX3,ICF3 READ(105,100)JTITLE FORMAT(31) FORMAT(31) FORMAT(31) READ(105,20)ITYPE,NUM FORMAT(21) ISEQ(1)=0 ISEQ(2)=1 ISEQ(3)=3 1. 2. 3. 4.5. 6.7.8.9. 10. 10 11. 12. 13. 20 14. ISEQ(1):0 ISEQ(2):1 ISEQ(2):1 ISEQ(2):3 WRITE(108,25)ITC2,MAX1,ICF1 WRITE(108,25)ITC2,MAX2,ICF2 WRITE(108,25)ITC2,MAX3,ICF3 FORMAT(1H0,'TAC',IS,SX,'MAX',IS,SX,'ICF',IS) OUTPUT ITYPE,NUM,ISEQ FORMAT(1H0,'ENG',IS,SX,'MAX',IS,SX,'ICF',IS) DO 17 J:1,50000 IDI2(J):0 IDI1(J):0 CALL EVSET(110,ITYPE,NUM,ITITLE,M,ISEQ) IF(M.EQ.1)GO TO 450 IF(M.EQ.2)GO TO 451 IF(M.EQ.2)GO TO 451 IF(M.EQ.2)GO TO 451 IF(M.EQ.2)GO TO 452 WRITE(108,150) GO TO 999 WRITE(108,151) FORMAT(1H0,'TAPE READ ERROR') GO TO 999 WRITE(108,152) FORMAT(1H0,'ILLEGL REQUEST AT SET') GO TO 999 WRITE(108,500)ITITLE FORMAT(1H0,'TITLE',SX,20A4) ICOIN1:0 IEVENT:0 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 23. 23. 25 26 17 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 450 150 34. 35. 451 36. 37. 38. 39. 151 452 152 40. 41. 42. 43. 50 500 44. ICOIN2=0 IEVENT=0 46. 47. 48. 49. JRE0:0 JADCE:0 JADCE:0 ILEG:0 IF(MTSTOP.LE.@)GO TO 310 IF(IEUENT.EQ.MTSTOP) GO TO 400 IEUENT:IEUENT.EQ IEUENT:IEUENT.I CALL EUGET(IARRY,N) IF(N.EQ.0)GO TO 200 IF(N.EQ.1)GO TO 400 IF(N.EQ.1)GO TO 420 IF(N.EQ.3)GO TO 420 IF(IARRY(1).LT.ITC1.OR.IARRY(1).GT.MAX1)GO TO 301 IF(IARRY(3).LT.ITC2.OR.IARRY(3).GT.MAX2)GO TO 301 IF(IARRY(3).LT.ITC2.OR.IARRY(2).GT.MAX3) GO TO 301 K:(IARRY(1)-ITC1)/ICF1+1 I:(IARRY(3)-ITC2)/ICF2+1 I:(IARRY(3)-ITC2)/ICF3+1 I:(IARRY(3)-ITC2)/ICF3+1 IF(I.GT.20) GO TO 301 IF(I.GT.20) GO TO 301 IF(I.GT.20) GO TO 301 IF(K.GE.11) GO TO 250 IDATA1(I,J,K):IDATA1(I,J,K)+1 ICOIN1:ICOIN1+1 GO TO 301 K=K-10 IDATA2(I,J,K):IDATA2(I,J,K)+1 ICOIN2:ICOIN2+1 GO TO 301 JRE0:JRE0+1 GO TO 301 JADCE:JADCE+1 JREO:0 JADCE:0 301 50. 51. 52. 53. 53. 55. 55. 55. 55. 310 56. 57. 58. 59. 200 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 71. 72. 73. 73. 75. 250 260 76. 77. 78. GO TO 301 JADCE: JADCE+1 410 GO TO 301

79.	420	ILEG=ILEG+1
80.		GO TO 301
81.	400	WRITE(200,100)JTITLE
82		
85.		
03.		
84.		WRIIE(202,100)JIIIE
85.		WKI1E(203,100)JIIIE
86.		WRITE(204,100)JTITLE
87.		WRITE(205,100)JTITLE
88.		WRITE(206,100)JTITLE
89.		WRITE(207,100)JTITLE
90.	100	FORMAT(20A4)
91.		CALL CPUNCH(IDI1(1), 16280, 1, NERR, 8, 200)
92		CALL CPUNCH (IDI1 (16201) 16200 2. NEPP. 0.201)
á5'		CALL CPUNCH(INTI(32481),16288,3,NEPP.8,282)
94		
<b>34</b> . OE		CALL CFUNCH(IDII(40001))I = 000 (4) NERK(0)(200)
<b>33</b> .		
20.		CHLL CPUNCH(IDI2(16201),16200,6,NERK,0,203)
31.		CHLL CPUNCH(IDI2(32401),16200,7,NERR,0,206)
98.		CALL_CPUNCH(IDI2(48601),1400,8,NERR,0,207)
99.		WRITE(108,700) JREO
100.	700	FORMAT(1H0,'ERROR ON READ OPERATION',2X,I8)
101.		WRITE(108,701) JADCE
102.	701	FORMAT(1H0,'ADC GROUP ERROR',2X,IB)
103.	-	OUTPUT IEVENT
104.	999	STOP
105		ĔŇĎ

B. Auto Cen

1.		DIMENSION ITIT(16), IFNAM(10), IDT(16384), Y(16384), ATIT(20)
ζ.		INTEGER Y Peoduly (198-89) atti
4.	50	
5.	ĩŠ	READ(105,21,END=900),(IFNAM(I),I=2,10)
<u>ç</u> .		D0_5_I=1,16384
é.		
<u>9</u> :	5	ĊŎŇŤĪŇIJĘ
10.	21	FORMAT (9A4)
11.	~~	READ(105,25)ISTART, ISTOP, KCHAN
14.	25	FURTHI(31) PFAD(195.26) INTER
14.	26	FORMAT(11)
15.		WRITE(108,580),(IFNAM(I),I=2,10)
16.	500	FORMAT(1H0,16A4)
16.		$\begin{array}{c} 1 & \text{Int} \\ \text{Call} & \text{Int} \\ \end{array}$
19.		CALL ASSIGN(110,1,IFNAM,8)
20.		READ(110,30,END=15)ITIT
21.	30	FORMAI(16X,1644) Format(16X,1644) Format(164,25407,0176,1.76,104,25400,04,16,1.76,104,27415,16,4,05
23.	202	+AK STARTING AT THE ', IS)
24.		CALL CREAD(IDT, NCHAN, NRUN, NREE, NSTART, 110)
25.		HRITE(108,505)ISTART,ISTOP,KCHAN
25.	27	HRITE(108,27)INTER Format(148,7TNTERUAL IS 7.15)
že.	<b>E</b> 1	IF(NREE.NE.8) GO TO BOO
29.		ČÁLL SMÓOTH(Y, ÍĎI, ÍSTÁRT, ISTOP)
30.		WRITE(106,50)ATIT
32	C	CHLL CFUNCH(1,18286,881)NERK,8,186)
33.	č	FIND PEAK
34.	С	
35.		IK-INTER
37.		
38.		ISTART=ISTART+48
39.		DO 100 I=ISTART, ISTOP
40.		ICHAN=8 THICOUNT1
42.		DO 118 JEISTART, IA
43.		IF(IHICOUNT.GT.Y(J)) GO TO 110
44.		IHICOUNT=Y(J)
46	110	
47.		IF(IHICOUNT.LT.1) GO TO 150
48.		KEICHAN,
49.		IPL=K-IK TDD-V-IV
51.		\$LB=(10T(K-1K-1)+10T(K-1K-2)+10T(K-1K-3)+10T(K-1K-4)+10T(K-1K-5))/
52.		+5.
53.		SRB:(IDT(K+IK+1)+IDT(K+IK+2)+IDT(K+IK+3)+IDT(K+IK+4)+IDT(K+IK+5))/
55.	с	*3.
56.	č	FIND CENTROID
57.	с	
59		JRIIFR SLIPL
60.		BACKGEØ
61.		CEN:0.
62.		SBACK-0.
64.		
65.		N=-1
66.		FK=(SKB-SLB)/(SR-SL)
68.		Di 160 II-IFL/IFR N:N+1
69.		BACKG=SLB+FK*(FLOAT(N))
70.		A=IDT(II)-BACKG
72		NKLHINKLHIM (FN-akit+CFN
73.		ŠBAČK-ŜBAČK+BACKG
74.	160	CONTINUE
75.		LKK=SUK!(2. #SBRCK+ARER)
77		DO 170 JK-IPL, IPR
78.	170	DCEN=DCEN+(FLOAT(JK)-CEN)**2*(IDT(JK)+BACKG)

79.		DCEN=SART(DCEN)/AREA
80. 81.	ç	FIND RESULTION FWHM
82.	č	
84.		N=-1 SA=0
85.		SB=0
87.		SUMB=0
88.		BACK=0
90.		DO 200 MM=IPL, IPR
91.		
93.		BACKISLB+FK#(FLUAI(N)) ASIIDT(MN)-BACK
94.		AA=((MM-CEN)**2)*AS
95.		BB=((MM-CEN+DCEN)**2)*AS Slima-Slima-Ad
97.		SUMB = SUMB + BB
98. 99.	200	CONTINUE Output Sima, Sima, APFA
100.		SA:2.354*SQRT(SUMA/(AREA-1))
101.		SB:2.354*SQRT(SUMB/(AREA-1))
103.		FEJDEJN Schan:KChan+(CEN-I <b>B#200</b> )
104.		YCHAN=KCHAN+((CEN-DCEN)-IB+200)
105.		2(HHN=K(HHN+((LEN+)(EN)-18=200) WRITE(108,605)
107.	605	FORMAT(140, 3%, 'PL', 6%, 'PR', 6%, 'SLB', 6%, 'SRB', 6%, 'AREA', 6%, 'ERR', 6%
108.		+ SBACK', 5X, 'CEN', 5X, 'DCEN', 5X, 'FWHM', 5X, 'DFW', 5X, 'CHAN', 5X, 'LCHAN' + 5X, 'MCHAN')
110.		WRITE (100,610) IPL, IPR, SLB, SLR, AREA, ERR, SBACK, CEN, DCEN, SA, FE, SCHAN,
111.	610	+YCHAN, ZCHAN Foomat(1)4 v te sv te sv fe s sv fe s sv fig s sv fe 4 sv fig s sv
113.	010	+, F10, 4, 12, F7, 4, 22, F7, 3, 22, F6, 4, 22, F8, 3, 22, F9, 4, 22, F9, 4)
114.	150	CONTINUE TRAITENT
116.		ISTART = IB+200
117.		IA: ISTART+200
119.		ISINKIISINKITSU IF(ISTART.GE,ISTOP) GO TO 15
120.	100	CONTINUE
121.	550	WKIIE(108,530)WKEE Format(140,12)
123.		
124.	900	STOP END
1.	С	
2.	c	SUBROUTINE SMOOTH(Y,IDT,ISTART,ISTOP)
4.	C	DIMENSION Y(1), IDT(1)
5.		INTEGER Y
7.		IST ISTOP-1
8.		IS-0
10.	99	
11.		WRITE(108,100)IS
12.	100	DO 10 ISTART.IST
14.	10	Y(I)=(IDT(I-1)+2.0*IDT(I)+IDT(I+1))/4.0
15.		KE I UKN END

C. 2D GATE



79.		IF(M.EQ.2)GO TO 451
80.		IF (M.EQ.3)GO_TO 452
81.	450	WRI[[[[[]]88
83.	150	FORMAT(1H0, TAPE READ ERROR')
84.		GO TO 999
85.	451	WRITE(108,151)
86.	151	FORMAT(1H0, PARAMETER ERROR')
87.	452	UD 10 333 UD TTF(108.152)
89.	152	FORMAT (IHO, ILLEGL REQUEST AT SET )
90.		GO TO 999
91.	51	WRITE(108,500)ITITLE
92.	200	FORMAI(100, 111LE, 3X, 2004)
94.		
95.		ĨĊŎĨŇĴ=Ø
96.		IËNENI=0
97.		JREC=0
99.		
100.	301	IF (MTSTOP.LE.0)GO TO 310
101.		IF(IEVENT.EQ.MTSTOP) GO TO 400
102.	310	IEVENT = IEVENT +1
103.		CALL EVGET(IARRY,N)
104.		ICH(=IARRY(2)
106.		ICH5=IARRY(3)
107.		IF(N.E9.8)GO TO 200
108.		IF(N, EG, -1)GO TO 400
109.		IF (N. EW. 1) GO TO 200
111.		IF (N.EG. 3) GO TO 420
112.	200	IF (ICH7.LT. IGMI1(ICH5).OR. ICH7.GT. IGMX1(ICH5))GO TO 350
113.		IDATA1(ICHE)=IDATA1(ICHE)+1
114.	250	ICOINI-ICOINI+1
115.	370	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$
117.		
118.	351	IF(ICH7.LT.IGMI3(ICH5).OR.ICH7.GT.IGMX3(ICH5))G0 T0 301
119.		IDATA3(ICHE)=IDATA3(ICHE)+1
120.		1C01N3=1C01N3+1 60 10 301
122.	268	JREO=JREO+1
123.		GO TO 301
124.	410	JADCE=JADCE+1
125.	470	
127	420	
128.	498	WRITE (201, 105) JTITLE
129.		WRITE(202,105)JTITLE
130.	105	WRITE(203,105)JTITLE
131.	105	- FURTHIL(2014) Cali (2010) (1 104761, 2192, 1, NEPP. 2, 2011)
133.		CALL CPUNCH (IDATA2, 8192, 2, NERR, 0, 202)
134.		CALL CPUNCH (IDATA3, 8192, 3, NERR, 8, 203)
135.		WRITE(108,700) JREO
136.	700	FORMAT(1H0, 'ERROR ON READ OPERATION', 2X, 18)
138.	781	FORMAT(1H0,'ADC GROUP ERROR',2X,IB)
139.		OUTPUT IEVENT
140.		OUTPUT ICOIN1, ICOIN2, ICOIN3
141.	999	STOP
142.		

