

# A STUDY OF THE EFFECTS OF WAX EMULSIONS ON THE

## KEEPING QUALITIES OF VEGETABLES

#### Thesis

Submitted to the faculty of the Michigan State

College of Agriculture and Applied Science in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

of Master of Science

by Ben Nelson Stuckey 1939

approved February 3, 1939 V.B. Gardner THESIS

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	Pege 1
II.	Review of Literature	1
III.	Materials and Methods	8
	A. Materials	8
	B. Methods	11
	C. Characteristics of Materials	18
	D. Cooking Trials	18
IV.	Results	19
	A. Transpiration Losses	19
	1. Root Crops	19
	2. Pulse Crops	19
	3. Cucurbitaceous Crops	27
	4. Solanaceous Crops	28
	5. Miscellaneous Crops	28
	B. Physical Properties of Emulsions	34
٧.	Discussion	37
VI.	Summa ry	<b>38</b>
VII.	Literature Cited	39
VIII.	Acknowledgments	41

## Introduction

Waxes and wax emulsions have been used successfully in preventing transpiration losses from nursery stock, Christmas trees and certain plant products. Oils and wax-oil mixtures (1, 8, 12, 17, 18) have also been tried with varying success on stored vegetable and fruit products. Various wax emulsions have been recommended for the prevention of desiccation in fruits and vegetables and are now offered on the market by several commercial companies.

The purpose of this investigation was to study the effects of these emulsions on the keeping quality of vegetables and to make comparisons of several of the commercial wax emulsions and other types of materials.

## Review of Literature

Wax materials have been used to prevent desiccation in nursery stock and cuttings during transportation or while in storage for a considerable time. Neilson (11) working on roses and heartnut trees reported that paraffin aided the growth of transplanted trees. He found that the shoots developing from the buds on paraffined areas grew faster and better than those on unparaffined areas. He found also that transplanted apple trees which were dying from desiccation could often be revived by painting a coat of melted paraffin on the trunk and branches.

Tukey and Brase (19), using paraffin wax and two cold miscible paraffin emulsions on roses, obtained results similar to those of Neilson.

<sup>1</sup> References to literature cited are given at the end of this paper.

In contrast to this experiment, however, initiation of growth by cherry trees was delayed by these treatments. The cherry trees painted with paraffin made poor growth. The stock sprayed with paraffin emulsion was delayed two weeks and the trees were severely injured by one of the emulsions.

Miller, Neilson and Bandemer (10) sprayed a paraffin emulsion on a large number of different species of plants. They found that most evergreens benefited by applications of the emulsions at transplanting time. However, they obtained some injury on Larch and Red Spruce. They concluded that wax emulsions would aid transplanted trees only if the moisture supply was inadequate but if it became too low or was normal no benefit could be expected.

In addition to preventing desiccation in nursery stock, paraffin has been used as an aid in winter storage of sugar beets. Kohls (8) used paraffin in place of the usual moist sand in preventing sugar beets from drying in storage. He reported that there is no material decrease in the total sucrose content of beets dipped in paraffin and that a thick coat, applied at 55° - 60° C., was more effective in preventing the loss of moisture than a thin coat applied at higher temperatures. No deterioration was found in either case. He found that removing the paraffin from the sutures of the mother beets before planting significantly increased the percentage of beets producing seed and the average yield of seed per plant.

Paper, waxed paper and other similar materials have been used for some time in preventing desiccation and storage diseases of certain fruits and vegetables. Edmond (5) wrapped tomatoes in standard wrapping

paper to determine change of flavor in wrapped fruits. He concluded that neither bad flavors nor change in color were produced by the treatment.

In contrast to this work, Sando (16) performed a similar experiment with tomatoes, using the sugar-acid ratio as an index to quality. He found that wrappers gave poor quality, especially where two layers of paper were used.

Hawthorn (6), working with cucumbers, wrapped them in moisture-proof cellophane, two intermediate grades of cellophane, and wax paper prior to storage at 80° F. He found that for control of desiccation moisture-proof cellophane gave the best results followed by wax paper. The other two were no better than the checks. However, with the moisture-proof cellophane and wax paper, moisture collected inside the wrapper and a large number of cucumbers decayed. In the checks, transpiration losses decreased rapidly after a few days due to a hardening of the epidermis. This did not occur in the wrapped treatments because the high humidity kept the epidermis soft.

Golden Delicious and Grimes Golden apples were wrapped in moistureproof cellulose sheets before storage by Baker (2). He kept Golden
Delicious in a crisp edible condition until early summer while the checks
were worthless by the first of the year. However, Grimes Golden under
the same conditions scalded badly, had a dull color, and a bad flavor.
Baker made no mention of moisture collecting inside moisture-proof
cellulose wrappers as was found to be the case by Hawthorn (6).

Thus far, there has been a decided lack of uniformity in results from the use of oils, waxes and oil-wax mixtures on fruits and vegetables in storage.

Allen (1), working on the oiling of melons before storage, put them at 38° F. for three days. The oiled melons were found to develop an undesirable flavor due to anaerobic respiration. In the 72° F. storage the untreated melons lost sucrose but this reduction showed a marked decrease in oiled melons. The ratio of reducing sugars to sucrose remained about the same in both cases.

Oil and waxes applied prior to storage were used in the control of apple scald by Brooks (3). He treated a number of varieties with mineral oils of paraffin origin, mixtures of oil and beeswax, and oil and paraffin. He reported that the oiled fruit had lost its natural bloom and developed a high percentage of mold. The fruit treated with oil and paraffin wax mixture was greener and firmer than the checks, but the color and flavor varied directly with the concentration. Those treated with beeswax-paraffin-oil mixture were entirely normal in color, taste and firmness, and showed no stickiness.

Magness (9) worked along the same line by coating apples with a light odorless oil and a solution of paraffin in a volatile solvent. He found that the retardation of ripening varied with the thickness of the coat of either oil or paraffin. He reported that anaerobic respiration will occur at 32° F. if the coating is heavy enough and at 64° F. even if a very light coating is applied. Magness also found that the treated fruit did not increase in red and yellow pigments while in storage.

Neller (12) treated Winesap and Delicious apples by brushing on a mixture of paraffin and mineral oil. After four months storage he found that the untreated Winesap apples respired carbon dioxide 40 per cent faster, and 17 per cent faster after eight months, than the treated fruit.

The untreated Delicious respired 46 per cent faster after four months and 43 per cent faster after eight months in storage. In contrast with some other workers, he reported this oil-paraffin treatment resulted in no significant difference in the dessert quality of the fruit.

Stewart (18) used the Brogdex System for preventing shrinkage in citrus fruit. He waxed fruit, previously soaked in a 5 per cent borax solution, with a solution of paraffin in an odorless and tasteless mineral oil of low viscosity. This mixture was sprayed on in a heavy fog and the fruit then brushed to improve appearance. He reported no anaerobic respiration in any trials and a large decrease in blue mold (Penicillium). This is thought to be brought about by coverage with the wax of the small cracks, through which the blue mold spores gain entrance.

A paraffin-beeswax-mineral oil mixture was found to be useful in delaying the time of ripening in tomatoes by Brooks (4). He found that by covering the stem-end with the above mixture the storage period was more than doubled. He also found that leaving a short stem caused definite delay in the ripening process. The carbon dioxide content of tomatoes so treated increases with maturity, although no bad flavor was reported.

Emulsions of various waxes have been tried as desiccation preventatives in stored fruit and vegetables. A number of these products have been put on the market and are recommended for this purpose. Smock (17) treated pears and apples with two emulsions (546D and 489A). They were treated by dipping in 546D full and half strength and in 489A in full strength only. He reported that in general wax treatments retard respiration in all fruits studied. However, Smock found that in pears, when a

heavy enough coat was applied to retard respiration, poor color developed and a distinct alcoholic flavor was apparent. He reported that waxed Yellow Newtown apples were marketable at the end of 23 days in 67° F. storage while unwaxed apples were full yellow in 17 days and remained marketable for only 20 days.

Miller, Neilson and Bandemer (10) treated Golden Delicious, Winesap, and Stayman apples with Dowax (a commercially prepared wax emulsion) and paraffin. The paraffin-treated apples showed distinct breakdown and were a complete loss. The apples treated with Dowax were in fair condition and no off flavors were discernable. However, some injury resulted in the spots where drops of this emulsion had collected.

Wax emulsions are thought to be useful in delaying ripening of tomatoes. Jackson (7) has shown that wax emulsions will reduce the shrinkage of tomatoes by half on trips from California to the East.

Platenius (13) recommends waxing of the fruit in the northern states as a solution for late tomatoes which would otherwise be killed by late frost. He found that the effectiveness of these emulsions was directly proportional to their paraffin content. Platenius found, however, that wax emulsions favor the growth of Penicillium and other molds on fruit. Adding borax, formaldehyde and calcium hypochlorite (13, 14) was found to be ineffective in preventing the growth of these molds. These disinfectants also showed a tendency to increase the transpiration rate of vegetables and the hypochlorite hastened slightly the rate of ripening.

Other vegetables for which Platenius (15) recommends the use of emulsions are cucumbers and egg plants. Topped carrots, turnips and rutabagas can be treated to advantage after storage before going on the

market. He reports no advantage in dipping the pulse crops, leaf crops, pepper and southern grown carrots, beets and turnips.

## Materials and Methods

The objective of this study was to apply wax emulsions to fresh vegetables immediately before storage to determine their effect on desiccation, length of storage, type of coating formed, and injury to vegetable tissues.

Eleven different emulsions and one wax were used. They are, with their concentrations, as follows:

- 1 Dowax<sup>1</sup> a commercial wax emulsion prepared by the Dow Chemical Company.
  - A. Concentrations:
    - 1. Dow Paste (no dilution)
    - 2. 1 part plus 2 parts water
    - 3. 1 part plus 5 parts water
    - 4. 1 part plus 10 parts water
- 2 An emulsion<sup>2</sup> prepared by the Section of Chemistry of the Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station.
  - A. Concentrations:
    - 1. 1 part plus 2 parts water
    - 2. 1 part plus 5 parts water

Dowax was developed by Dr. E. J. Miller of Michigan State College. The patent for its preparation is now held by the Michigan State College and it is manufactured by the Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Michigan. The Dowax used in this experiment was furnished by this concern.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Emulsions Nos. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8 were prepared by Dr. E. J. Miller of the Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station and furnished by him for this experiment.

3 - An emulsion prepared by the section of Chemistry of the Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station.

#### A. Concentrations:

- 1. 1 part plus 2 parts water
- 2. 1 part plus 5 parts water
- 4 An emulsion prepared by the section of Chemistry of the Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station.

## A. Concentrations:

- 1. Undiluted
- 5 An emulsion furnished by Dr. Miller of the Experimental Chemistry Division at Michigan State College.

#### A. Concentrations:

- 1. 1 part plus 1 part water
- 2. 1 part plus 2 parts water
- 6 An emulsion prepared by the section of Chemistry of the Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station.

## A. Concentrations:

- 1. Undiluted
- 7 An emulsion prepared by the section of Chemistry of the Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station.

#### A. Concentrations:

- 1. Undiluted
- 8 An emulsion prepared by the section of Chemistry of the Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station.

#### A. Concentrations:

1. 1 part plus 1 part water

- 489A An emulsion prepared by the Franklin Chemical Company.
  - A. Concentrations:
    - 1. 1 part plus 4 parts water
    - 2. 1 part plus 6 parts water
- 284D An emulsion prepared by the Franklin Chemical Company.
  - A. Concentrations:
    - 1. 1 part plus 5 parts water
    - 2. 1 part plus 6 parts water
    - 3. 1 part plus 7 parts water
- 333B An emulsion prepared by the Franklin Chemical Company.
  - A. Concentrations:
    - 1. 1 part plus 2 parts water
- Paraffin This was commercial paraffin (Parawax) which was purchased on the market.
  - A. Concentrations:
    - 1. Single coat of melted Parawax

In the remaining portion of this paper, the above materials will be designated by their numbers in the preceding outline, i.e.,

Paraffin as 12.

## Vegetables

The preliminary studies were made with vegetables purchased on the open market and followed by vegetables grown locally during the 1938 season. The vegetables used were carrots, turnips, beets, radishes, onions, asparagus, green peas, lima beans, snap beans, wax beans, cucumbers, tomatoes, sweet potatoes, eggplant, summer squash, peppers and sweet corn.

These emulsions are products of the Franklin Chemical Company, Wilber White Division, Philadelphia, Pa. and were furnished by them for this experiment.

## Method of Applying Materials

In all cases where dilutions of the emulsions used were required, the materials were measured to the nearest mille-liter and distilled water was used to prevent possible error due to impurities carried in tap water. Dilutions in all cases are given by volume.

Two general types of applications were used: spraying on the emulsion, and dipping the vegetables in the emulsion. On the vegetables which were sprayed, the following procedure was used:

The vegetables were washed and allowed to dry. They were then sprayed with a small constant pressure hand atomizer, placed on a screen, and allowed to drain and dry.

On the vegetables which were dipped, the following procedure was used:

The vegetables were washed and allowed to dry. They were then immersed in the emulsion, brought out, allowed to drain back into the container for a few seconds, and then put on a drying screen. An electric fan was used in some cases to speed up drying with some of the slower drying emulsions.

After treating, the vegetables were weighed and stored. In some cases such as carrots, cucumbers, and squash, weights were kept on individual vegetables but in other cases such as peas, beans, radishes, etc., when the individuals were small, weights were made of a sample of 20 grs. or more.

The coat left on the vegetables after drying was so thin that in weighing to 0.1 gr. no appreciable difference in weight could be found in treated as compared with the few untreated materials. For this reason, in a few cases the vegetables were weighed before the emulsion was applied.

Weighings were made at different intervals to determine loss by drying out. Notes were kept on each lot of material used as to drying time, general appearance, effect on plant tissue, and coverage. Temperature and humidity records were made on all except five lots of vegetables.

The tables I to V inclusive show the pre-storage treatments of each vegetable used in this experiment.

Table I. Methods of application, sizes of sample, concentrations of materials and storage conditions of Root Crops treated with wax emulsions.

Vegetable	Treat- ment Number	Number of plants used in each treatment	Material	Concentration of Materiels Material Water	of Appli-	Storage Temp. o F.	Results Found Table
CARROTS	1	40	1 2 3 5	1 \( \frac{1}{5} \)	Spray	70	VI
	2	40	2 3	1 \( \frac{1}{5} \)	Spray	34	VI
	3	16	1 333B 2 333B 333B 333B	1 \( \frac{1}{2} \)	Dip entire plant Dip tops Dip roots (4)	Alter- nating temp. (1)	VI
TURNIPS	1	5	(2)	(2)	Spray	34	VII
BEETS	1	10	(2)	(2)	Spray	34	VIII
	1	20	(2)	(2)	Spray	34	IX
RADISHES	2	60	1	$\frac{1}{2} \neq \frac{2(3)}{2}$	Spray	70	IX
	3	30	1 489A 333B	1 ≠ 5 1 ≠ 4 1 ≠ 2	Dipped	Alter- nating temp. (1)	IX

<sup>(1)</sup> Alternating temperatures were 70° F. for 12-hour intervals and 34° F. for 12-hour intervals. This simulated market conditions.

<sup>(2)</sup> Materials and concentrations were same as treatment No. 2 under carrots.

<sup>(3)</sup> A second coat was applied after the first had dried.

<sup>(4)</sup> Tops cut off at crown - roots then dipped.

Table II. Methods of application, sizes of sample, concentrations of materials and storage conditions of Pulse Crops treated with wax materials.

	Treat-	Quantity		Concentration	Method	Storage	Results
Vegetable	ment	used in	Material	of	of	Temp.	Found
	Number	each	1	Materials	Appli-	0_	
	1	treatment		Material Water	cation	F.	Table
		grams	<del> </del>	7 / 0			<del> </del>
	1		1	1 / 2			
	İ	•		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1
	3			1 7 2			1
	1	75	3	1 7 2	Spray	34	x
	1	1		1 / 5	Opray	04	^
	İ		4	1 7 0			
	İ		5	1 7 2		Ì	}
	į.		6	1 / 0			
	1		7	1 7 0		į	
			1				
GREEN	2	350	5	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Spray	70	X
PEAS	1	1	8	1 / 1(3)		[	ļ
			12	1 / 0	Dipped	İ	
			1	1 / 2		34 /	
	3	675	1	1 / 5	Dipped	dryer	X
		ļ	1	1 / 10		(4)	
	1		1	1 / 2		1	
	4	125	1	1 / 5	Dipped	Alter-	
		1	333B	1 7 2	ļ	nating	Х
	<del></del>	1 3 7 5	489A	1 / 4	1	temp.	+
	$\frac{1}{2}$	135	(2)	(2)	Spray	70	XI
Ø74 D	$\frac{2}{3}$	135	(2)	(2)	Spray	34	XI
SNAP BEANS	3	400	(5)	(5)	Dipped	34 / dryer	XI
			6	1 / 5			
	1		6	1 / 2		Alter-	
	4	350	1	1 / 5	Dipped	nating	XI
	l		489A	1 / 4		temp.	1
		l	333B	1 / 2	1	(1)	
	<del></del>	<del> </del>	2	1 / 2	ļ	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
WAX	1	350	(2)	(2)	Spray	70	XII
BEANS	2	350	(2)	(2)	Spray	34	XII
<b>4 2</b> 00.			11	1 / 2			
LIMA	1	1250	1	1 / 5	Dipped	<b>7</b> 0	XIII
BEANS			333B	1 / 2	1		1
		L	489A	1 / 4	<u></u>	I	<u> </u>

<sup>(1)</sup> Alternating temperatures were 70° F. for 12-hour intervals and 34° F. for 12-hour intervals. This simulated market conditions.

<sup>(2)</sup> Materials and concentrations were same as treatment No. 2 under carrots.

<sup>(3)</sup> A second coat was applied after the first had dried.

<sup>(4)</sup> The dryer was a sealed box containing calcium chloride to increase desiccation.

<sup>(5)</sup> Materials and concentrations were same as treatment No. 3 under green peas.

Table III. Methods of application, sizes of samples, concentrations of materials and storage conditions of Cucurbitaceous Crops treated with wax materials.

Vegetable	Treat- ment Number	Number of fruit used in each treatment	Material	Concentration of Materials Material Water	of Appli-	Storage Temp. o F.	Results Found Table
CUCUMBERS (slicing type)	1	5	1 2 3 4 5 6	1 \( \nu \) 2 1 \( \nu \) 5 1 \( \nu \) 2 1 \( \nu \) 5 1 \( \nu \) 2 1 \( \nu \) 5 1 \( \nu \) 0 1 \( \nu \) 2 1 \( \nu \) 2 1 \( \nu \) 2 1 \( \nu \) 2 1 \( \nu \) 0	Spray	34	XIV
	2	5	(2)	(2)	Spray	70	XIV
CUCUMBERS (National Pickling variety)	1	108	284D	1 \( \nu \) 5 1 \( \nu \) 5 1 \( \nu \) 6 1 \( \nu \) 6	Dipped Spray Dipped Spray	34	xv
SUMMER SQUASH	1	6	1 489A	1 \( \nu \) 0 1 \( \nu \) 2 1 \( \nu \) 5 1 \( \nu \) 4	Rub-Clot	h 70	XVI

<sup>(2)</sup> Materials and concentrations were same as treatment No. 2 under carrots.

Table IV. Methods of application, sizes of sample, concentration of material and storage conditions of Solanaceous Crops treated with wax materials.

	Treat-	Number of		Concentr	ation	Method	Storage	Results
Vegetable		fruit used	Material			of	Temp.	<b>Foun</b> d
	Number	in each		Materi		Appl <b>i-</b>	0	_
		treatment		Material	Water	cation	F.	<sup>T</sup> able
			4	1 /	0			
TOMATOES	2	25	5	1 /	2		į	
(ripe)			6	1 /	0	Spray	70	XVII
			7	1 /	0			
			5	1 /	1(3)		i	
	·		6	1 /	0			
			1	1 /	2(3)			
			12	1 /	0	(6)		
			11	1 /	5		Alter-	
	3	5	489A	1 /	4	Dipped	nating	
			284D	1 /	5		temp.	
						<del></del>	(1)	XVII
				1	0	Rub-clo	•	
TOMATOES	1	25	1		2		70	Page 28
(green)				1 /	5	D <b>i</b> pp <b>ed</b>		
(approx.			489A	1 /	4			
5% color)			1	1 /	2			
	2	20		1 /	5	Dipped	50	Page 28
			489A	1 /	4			
			284D	1 /	5		<u> </u>	
				1 /	0	Rub-clo		
EG <b>G</b>	1	6	1	1 /	2		70	IVX
PLANTS				1 /	5	Dipped		
			489A	1 /	4			
			1	1 /	2			
PEPPERS	1	10		1 /	5			
			_489A	1 /	4	<b>Di</b> pped	70	XIV
			284D	1 /	7			

<sup>(1)</sup> Alternate temperatures were 70° F. for 12-hour intervals and 34° F. for 12-hour intervals. This simulated market conditions.

<sup>(5)</sup> A second coat was applied after the first had dried.

<sup>(6)</sup> No. 12 was melted at 180° F. and poured in the stem-end of the tomato.

Table V. Methods of application, sizes of sample, concentrations of materials and storage conditions of Miscellaneous Crops treated with wax emulsions.

Vegetable	Treat- ment Number	used in	Material	Concentration of Materials Material Water	Method of Appli- cation	Storage Temp. o F.	Results Found Table
ONIONS	1	16 plan <b>ts</b>	1	1	Spray	70	xx
ASPARAGUS	1	40 tips	1	1	Dipped	34 and dryers (4)	XXI
SWEET POTATOES	1	6	1 12	1	Spray Rub-clot Dipped	th <b>7</b> 0	XXII
CORN	1	15 ears	1 333B	1 / 2 1 / 5 1 / 2	Dipped	34	Page 34

<sup>(4)</sup> The dryer was a sealed box containing calcium chloride to increase desiccation.

## Characteristics of the Materials

All of the emulsions were tested as to coverage and run-off on tomatoes as they have a heavy waxy cuticle which causes poor coverage of most spray materials. The drying times stated are an average of all the vegetables used. An emulsion was considered dry when all visible moisture had disappeared.

The drying times given in the tables are those in which a fan was not used. The record of these results will be found in Table XXXII.

Cooking Trial

A cooking test was made on snap beans. Three lots of approximately 400 grs. each were used for this test. One was left as a check, one dipped in No. 1 (1  $\neq$  5), and one dipped in No. 489A (1  $\neq$  4). They were stored at 34° F. for three days and then cooked under the supervision of the Home Economics Department of the Michigan State College. Four judges were used for this test.

## Presentation of Data

I. Root crops show slight reduction in transpiration losses.
The data on the effects of the emulsions on root crops are given in Tables VI, VIII, VIII, and IX.

In most cases the emulsions applied to these root crops decreased transpiration. However, this decrease was not enough to result in any material or marked difference in the length of the storage period. The reduction of transpiration was irregular in this group of crops. Carrots with tops removed were the only root crops which showed any appreciable difference in the length of storage. In general it can be said that No. 2 in Concentrations of  $(1 \neq 2)$  and  $(1 \neq 5)$  gave the poorest results, with the remaining emulsions all being about the same.

Dowax caused injury in all concentrations on both carrots and radishes. The carrots showed cell injury on the roots caused by drops of the emulsion forming a heavy coating over a small area which turned a deep red color. The injury increased with the concentration of the emulsion. The tops of the radishes became shriveled and curled. This was noticeable about two hours after the emulsion had been applied.

None of the other emulsions showed injury on any of these root crops.

II. Emulsions lengthen the storage period of peas and beans.

From Tables X, XI, XII, and XIII it may be seen that the transpiration losses were reduced from 40 to 60 per cent with the best emulsion tried. The treated peas and beans were in a salable condition from one to two days longer than were the checks.

In this case No. 1 reduced transpiration more than any other product although Nos. 3, 333B, 489A and 284D reduced it almost as much.

. And the second of the second o

Table VI. Transpiration Losses of Carrots as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

	1	Methods	Ave.	Ave.	Transpir	ration Lo	88-
	Trial	of	Hum.	Temp.		by Weigh	
Treatment	No.	Application	%	°F.			emperature
DAYS					3rd	8th	llth
CHECK	1		50	68	20.2		
	2		78	72	23.4	40.7	
	3		80	75	6.7	20.8	26.00
Av.					16.8	30.7	
1 (1+2)	11	Spray	50	68	12.9		
	2	11	78	72	15.3	28.0	
Av.	1				14.1	3	
1 (1+5)	1	14	50	68	14.6		
	2	11	78	72	22.3	34.9	
	3	11			4.6	20.3	24.2
Av.	1				13.8	27.5	
2 (1+2)	1	11	50	68	17.0		
	2	11	78	72	22.3	26.0	
Av.	1				19.6		
2 (1+5)	1	11	50	68	19.3		
	2	11	78	72	36.7	38.5	
Av.	1			1	28.0		
3 (1+2)	1	11	50	68	14.7		
alexander in a relation and the	2	11	78	72	17.8	28.3	
Av.				1	15.3		
3 (1+5)	1	11	50	68	11.9		
	2	"	78	72	11.1	18.9	
Av.				1	11.5		
5 (1+2)	3	11	80	75	4.6	7.0	20.2
	1			1		at alter	
					temper		
DAYS	1			1	2nd	4th	6th
CHECK	1		80	73	26.7	35.4	
	-		86	35		550.	
***************************************	2		68	78	33.9	40.3	
			85	35	33.5	1000	
Av.	+			1	30.3	37.8	
1 (1+2)	1	Dip	80	73	1 2 2	71.0	
Entire plant			86	35	17.1	24.9	
2 (1+2)	+	<del> </del>	80	73	<del> </del>		
Entire plant	1	ti ti	86	35	19.6	29.7	
333B (H2)	<del> </del>		80	73	1		
Entire plant	1	н	86	35	17.1	24.5	
333B (1+2)	+		68	78	<del> </del>		
Tops only	2	"	85	35	23.2	32.0	1
333B (1+2)	+	<del> </del>	68	78	1	- J U	<del></del>
Roots only	2	n	85	35	27.0	34.7	
333B (1+2)	+		68	78	1-1.0		
Roots only	2	н	85		10.7	14.2	23.1 Tons cu
one outh	-		37	35	1 10.7	14.6	
		<del></del>		<del></del>	L	L	Roots dippe

Table VI. Continued.

	1	Methods Ave. Ave. Transpiration Loss- of Hum. Temp. Percent by Weight						
	Trial	of	Hum.	Temp.	1	•	_	
Treatment	No.	Application	90	OF.	Stored	l in Co	1d Stor	age
DAYS								15th
CHECK	1		80	35	8.5	13.2	16.6	20.5
	2		86	35		22.4	31.5	33.7
Av.						17.8	24.0	27.1
1 (1+2)	1	Spray	80	35	4.6	6.9	11.7	14.5
	2	11	86	35		8.5	14.8	17.8
Av.						7.7	13.2	16.2
1 (1+5)	1	11	80	35	5.6	8.7	11.3	14.7
	2	11	86	35		8.4	14.2	15.1
Av.						8.5	13.0	14.9
2 (1+2)	1	H	80	35	7.3	11.4	13.9	17.4
	2	11	86	35		13.0	16.5	18.8
Av.						12.2	15.2	18.1
2 (1+5)	1	11	80	35	8.5	14.5	19.1	21.9
	2	H	86	35		12.5	17.8	21.8
Av.						13.5	18.5	21.9
3 (1+2)	1	11	80	35	6.5	10.4	12.5	15.6
	2	11	86	35		9.5	14.1	16.5
Av.						9.9	13.3	16.1
3 (1+5)	1	N	80	35	5.8	11.3	15.1	18.5
	2	11	86	35		10.1	15.8	18.4
Av.						10.7	15.5	18.5

Table VII. Transpiration Losses in Turnips as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

Trial of Hum. Temp. Per Cent by Weight Treatment No. Application % OF. Stored at Room Tempe									
DA	rs					4th	8th	12th	14th
CHI	ECK	1	Spray	80	35	2.9	5.5	10.3	15.1
1	(1+2)	1	11	H	10	5.4	6.9	11.5	13.9
1	(1+5)	1	11	11	11	4.2	6.7	12.1	14.0
2	(1+2)	1	11	11	11	3.3	5.8	9.4	11.3
2	(1+5)	1	11	И	H	7.9	11.7	15.6	18.5
3	(1+2)	1	11	11	11	7.1	9.2	14.3	15.9
3	(1+5)	1	11	H	11	5.1	7.3	11.1	12.6

Table VIII. Transpiration Losses in Beets as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

Method Ave. Ave. Transpiration Loss- Trial of Hum. Temp. Per Cent by Weight Treatment No. Application % OF. Stored in Cold Storage									
	eatment	No.	Application	1 %	OF.	Stored	in Co	ld Stor	age
DA'						4th	8th	12th	14th
CHI	ECK	1 Spray 80 35 10.4 13				13.7	12.2	27.1	
1	(1+2)	1	11	111	11	8.8	11.9	24.1	27.4
1	(1+5)	1	11	11	11	6.9	9.8	14.0	17.0
2	(1+2)	1	11	11	11	7.0	11.0	15.4	16.6
2	(1+5)	1	H	11	Н	5.9	10.5	14.6	16.7
3	(1+2)	1	н	Н.	11	6.5	10.0	12.3	14.4
3	(1+5)	1	11	H	11	6.0	13.5	18.8	22.2

Table IX. Transpiration Losses in Radish as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

	Trial	Method of	Ave. Hum.	Ave. Temp.	Transp Per Ce		n Loss- Weight	-
Treatment	No.	Application	%	oF.	Stored	in Co	ld Sto	rage
DAYS					4th	8th	12th	15th
CHECK	1		80	35	26.8	33.1	42.5	49.3
1 (1+2)	1	Spray	11	Н	21.8	27.6	42.0	46.3
1 (1+5)	1	11	11	H	20.9	25.4	33.9	37.4
2 (1+2)	1	11	11	11	21.5	23.9	27.6	31.4
2 (1+2) 2 (1+5)	1	11	11	11	29.2	35.4	46.4	49.3
3 (1+2)	1	11	11	11	24.2	30.1	36.4	39.6
3 (1+5)	1	11	11	11	22.7	33.5	43.6	50.7
					Stored	at Ro	om Tem	perature
DAYS					3rd	5th		
CHECK	1		71	75	19.7	38.0		
1 (1+2) 2 coats)	1	Spray	н	11	10.2	31.5		
					Stored	at Al	ternati	ng Temp
DAYS					2nd			
CHECK	1		55 80	80 35	46.8			
1 (1+5)	1	Dip	55 80	80 35	52.1			
489A (1+4)	1	П	55 80	80 35	34.0			
333B (1+2)	1	II	55 80	80 35	32.3			

Table X. Transpiration Losses in Green Peas as Affected by Wax Materials.

		1 16-43-3	1 1	1 A	. m	• • • • • •	7		
		Method	Ave.	Ave.	Transp				
	Trial	of	Hum.	Temp.	Per Ce				
Treatment	No.	Application	1 %	°F.	Stored				re
DAYS	<u> </u>	<b></b>	<del> </del>	<u> </u>	2nd	14th	6th	8th	<del>,</del>
CHECK	1	<b></b>	70	75	18.2	42.1	50.4	<u> </u>	-
1 (1+2)	1 .							000	
(2 coats)	1 1	Spray	<del>  "</del>	<del>  "</del>	10.3	20.0	25.8	29.6	<del> </del>
5 (1+1)	1 .	,	н	1 "	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	1.0-	120 0		
(2 coats)	$\frac{1}{1}$	L	<del>  "-</del>	<del>  "</del>	6.5	16.5	19.6	27.9	<del> </del>
12	<del>↓</del> -	Dip	<del>  "</del>	<del>  "</del>	3.2	5.9			
8 (1+0)	1.		111	1 "		30 1	00.3	1	
(2 coats)	<del>  1</del>	Spray	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	3.0		20.1	1	<del>'</del>
2430	<del> </del>	<del></del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	Stored				12(1)
DAYS	<del> </del>	ļ	100	75	2nd	4th	8th	13th	16th
CHECK	1 2	ļ	86	35		31: 0	12.8	19.3	24.1
	1 2	<del> </del>	85	36	8.2	14.9	27.4	42.4	
Av.	<del> </del>	Spray	1	<del>                                     </del>			20.1	30.8	
1 (1+2)	1-1-	<del> </del>	86	35	<del> </del>	<b> </b>	5.3	9.3	11.6
1 (1+5)	<del>  1</del>	11	+ "	1 - "	ļ	<b> </b>	5.3	9.9	10.6
2 (1+2)	1	<del> </del>	+ "	1	ļ	<b>}</b>	7.5	11.5	14.2
2 (1+5)	1	"	<del>                                     </del>	"	<del> </del>		8.1	12.9	17.1
3 (1+2)	1	<del>"</del>	+ "	<del>  "</del>	<b> </b>	<b></b>	5.2	10.0	11.6
3 (1+5)	1	<del></del>			<del></del>	-	5.1	8.7	9.9
4 (1+0)	2	"	85	36	1.3	2.2	6.8	11.4	13.6
5 (1+2) 6 (1+0)	2	<del>"</del>	+ "	- " H	1.9	3.5	9.8	16.5	21.7
	2	<del>                                     </del>	<del>  "</del>	<del>                                     </del>	6.5	12.1	24.1		
7 (1+0)	2	<del> </del>	<del>  "</del>	ļ <del>''</del>	2.1	4.1		16.1	
DAVO	<del> </del>		<del> </del>	<del> </del>	Stored			ing Te	mo.
DAYS	<del> </del>	ļ	<del> </del>	70	2nd	4th	6th	<b></b>	
CHECK	1	Dip	53	80	25.4	33.8		Ì	
7 /745	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	80	35	177	211 0	01: 7		
1 (1+5)	1	"	53	80	11.6	14.8	24.7		1
<del></del>	<del></del> -	<del></del>	80	35	ļ			ļ	
7777 (3.0)	١,	н	53	80	١			l	1
333B (1+2)	1	<del></del>	80	35	7.1	8.9	13.1	ļ	
11904 (3+11)	1 .	н	53	80				1	
489 <b>A (1+4)</b>	11	"	80	35	7.9	9.8	15.7		
0012 (212)	1.	11	53	80	- N			1	
284D (1+7)	1_1	"	80	35	8.4	10.8	16.8	<u> </u>	<u></u>
DAVO	<del></del>		<del> </del>	ļ		at Co	ld Sto		Dryer
DAYS CHECK	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	3rd	5th	7th	9th	
	1	Dip	<del> </del>	35	14.5	19.3			<b> </b>
1 (1+2)	1	L		<u> </u>	2.3	4.1	5.0	6.3	
1 (1+5)	1	11	<del> </del> -	11	2.5	5.3	6.7	8.2	
1 (1+10)	1	<del>  "</del>		11	2.7	4.9	8.9	9.9	<u></u>

Table XI. Transpiration Losses of Snap Beans as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

	- <del></del>				<u> </u>			
	1_	Method	Ave.	Ave.	Transpi			
	Trial	of	Hum.	Temp.	Per Cen			
Treatment	No.	Application	%	°F.			Temper	ature
DAYS					3rd	8th		
CHECK	1		78	72	21.0	50.7		
1 (1+2)		Spray	11	11	15.2	33.3		
1 (1+5)	1	Н	11	H	19.2	36.4		
2 (1+2)	1	II	H	и	23.6	43.9		
2 (1+5)	1	il il	17	H	22.7	41.6		
3 (1+2)	1	11	н	11	12.5	26.4		
3 (1+5)	1	H	#	II .	8.7	20.6		
					Stored	in Cold	Storag	ze
DAYS					8th	13th	16th	22nd
CHECK	1		86	35	19.4	27.5	51.8	T
1 (1+2)	1	Spray	Ħ	11	6.2	11.7	16.4	22.1
1 (1+5)	1	II	11	11	6.8	11.8	14.2	21.8
2 (1+2)	1	11	H	11	12.8	19.8	24.3	40.8
2 (1+5)	1	"	H	11	10.4	16.4	21.5	29.1
3 (1+2)	1	11	11	H	4.3	9.8	11.6	20.1
3 (1+5)	1	11	Н	11	6.9	11.2	13.9	32.0
							rnating	
DAYS	<del>                                     </del>	1			2nd	4th	6th	8th
			55	80			T	
CHECK	1		55 86	35	17.6	22.5		
1 (1+5)	1	Dip	86	35	17.6 7.3	13.3	17.6	22.9
- 1-17/	1 -		55.	80	1	-505		,
	<del> </del>	<b>†</b>	55	80	1			<del></del>
489A (1+4)	1	"	55 86	35	9.0	12.5	16.4	21.1
10)20 (21)	<del> </del>			80	1			
333B (1+2)	1	11	55 86	35	13.7	18.0	22.8	26.7
1))2 (1+2/	<del> </del>			80	1-20	10.0		120.1
2 (1+2)	1 1	н	55 86	35	13.1	19.8	27 <b>.7</b>	35.1
2 (1+2) 6 (1+2)	1	11	55	80	13.1	22.1	33.8	122.
0 (2.2)	-		55 86	35	1 -110			
	<del> </del>	<del></del>		80	<del> </del>			<del> </del>
6 (1+5)	1		55 86	45	14.6	21.2	29.4	
0 (1+)/	<del> </del>	<del></del>	- 00	+2			Storag	12-
					•	III COTO	Lotora	ge and
DAYS	<del> </del>	<del> </del>			Dryer	E+b	744	LOAD
CHECK	1			75	3rd	5th	7th	9th
1 (1+2)		D1-		36	9.0	11.3	14.5	18.9
	1	Dip		1 11	2.6	5.1	7.8	9.2
$\frac{1}{2}$ (1+5)	<u> </u>	<del>  "</del>		<del>  "</del>	2.8	4.5	6.8	8.1 9.2
1 (1+10)	1 1	1		<u> </u>	2.8	4.5	5.9	1 9.2

Table XII. Transpiration Losses of Wax Beans as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

		1	Method	Ave.	I Ave.	Transp	iration	Loss	
		Trial	of	Hum.	Temp.		nt by We		
Tre	atment	No.	Application	%	°F.	Stored	at Room	n Temper	ature
DAY	\$					3rd	8th	1	L
CHE	CK	1		78	72	24.0	43.0		
ī	(1+2)	1	Spray	Н	11	17.1	34.3		
ī	(1+5)	1	11	H	11	16.5	31.0		
2	(1+2)	1	11	11	H	25.9	48.3		
2	(1+5)	1	II II	11	11	21.9	45.1		
3	(1+2)	1	11	H	11	13.8	28.8		
3	(1+5)	1	ii ii	11	11	13.4	29.2		
<del></del>						Stored	in Cold	Storag	ge :
DAY	S					8th	13th	16th	22nd
CHE	CK	1		86	35	15.1	22.1	26.9	39.0
1	(1+2)	1	Spray	Н	11	8.2	15.0	19.3	29.9
1	(1+5)	1	11	11	11	8.5	14.7	16.9	25.3
2	(1+2)	1	11	11	11	13.5	21.4	25.4	38.0
2	(1+5)	1	11	11	11	10.9	18.9	23.2	31.9
3	(1+2)	1	11	11	11	9.4	16.1	17.8	26.14
3	(1+5)	1	11	11	11	9.0	13.8	16.3	24.3

Table XIII. Transpiration Losses of Lima Beans as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

	atment	Trial	Method of Application	Ave. Hum.	Ave. Temp.	Per Ce	iration nt by We at Room	eigh <b>t</b>	rature
DAY						lst	2nd	3rd	4th
CHE	CK	1				9.8	16.9	32.2	43.6
1	(1+2)	1	Dip			3.0	6.8	14.1	21.1
1	(1+5)	1	H			3.2	7.6	17.1	26.1
3331	B (1+2)	1	11			4.5	9.2	17.8	25.7
4891	A (1+4)	1	Н			4.5	8.9	18.4	27.4

A slight browning was noticed on snap beans treated with No. 1, although there is no certainty that this was caused by the emulsion as a very slight case of browning was found on the checks. No injury was found with any of the other materials except No. 12 on green peas. Here anaerobic respiration started two days after the material was applied and by the fourth day the peas were completely decayed.

In the cooking trials with snap beans no off-teste was found by the judges. They could detect no waxy flavor in any treated lot nor any other difference in their cooking qualities.

III. Emulsions preserve the color and crispness of cucumbers.

On slicing cucumbers, as may be seen in Table XV, No. 1 gave the best results followed by No. 3. Eighteen days after treatment at 70° F. storage the treated cucumbers showed no pithiness in the walls and little shrinkage was apparent in the flesh. In the check treatments the cucumbers were yellow, pithy and the flesh had a crystalline and very shrunken appearance. Very little difference could be seen in the treated groups, except those treated with No. 2. This emulsion showed very poor results.

On the National Pickling cucumbers (XV) Nos. 1, 333B, 284D, and 489A gave about the same results. The storage period was increased by these treatments approximately two days. No appreciable difference in transpiration losses could be found between the dipped and sprayed cucumbers. The chief difference found here was in the amount of material used. The dipping method did not require nearly as much material or time as did the spraying method. In the dipping method the same material can be used repeatedly and complete coverage is very simple.

No. 1 (1  $\neq$  2) gave the best result on summer squash, as may be seen in Table XIV, followed closely by No. 1 concentrated. No injury was produced by any treatment on any of these cucurbitaceous crops.

IV. Emulsions gave varied results with Solanaceous fruits.

Transpiration losses were reduced by the emulsions on all the solanaceous crops except pepper, as may be seen in Tables XVI, XVII, XVIII. On green tomatoes No. 1 (1  $\neq$  2) retarded ripening the most, followed closely by Nos. 489A and 284D. Some injury was caused by No. 1 concentrated where it penetrated cracked areas around the stem. The ripening process was retarded from 2 - 5 days by the application of the emulsions.

The results obtained from treating ripe tomatoes were almost negative. No appreciable difference could be seen in the length of storage time. No. 1 (1  $\neq$  2) showed a small amount of cell injury where heavy spotting occurred on cracks at the stem-end. No. 12, applied to the stem-end only, reduced transpiration as much as the emulsions and caused no injury.

On egg plant (Table XVIII) No. 1 (1  $\neq$  2) showed the best results. The treated fruits were in salable condition 3 - 5 days longer than were the checks. No injury was obtained from any of the treatments.

The transpiration losses of pepper were not decreased any appreciable amount (Table XIX). No lengthening of the time in storage was produced by application of any of these emulsions.

V. Results with asparagus, green onions and sweet potatoes were found to be very variable.

Although the transpiration of onions was reduced by treating with the emulsions (Table XX), the reduction was so small that no lengthening

Table XIV. Transpiration Losses of Cucumbers as Affected by Wax Emulsions. (Slicing).

	<del></del>	Method	Ave.	Ave.	Trans	pirati	on Los	8		·
	Trial	of	Hum.	Temp.			Weigh			
Treatment	No.	Application	1 %	°F.	Stored in Cold Storage					
DAYS					2nd	4th	8th	12th	14th	16th
CHECK	1		80	35		1.2	1.7	2.7	3.5	
	2		85	36	•7	1.1	2.2	3.6	4.3	4.9
Av.						1.2	1.9	3.2	3.9	
1 (1+2)	1	Spray	80	35		.34	•53	1.1	1.4	
1 (1+5)	1	H	11	- 11		•35	.51	.81	1.1	
2 (1+2)	1	11	H	Н		.83	1.5	2.2	2.6	
2 (1+5)	1	11	. 11	11		.8	1.6	2.4	2.8	
3 (1+2)	1	11	11	11		•59	1.1	1.6	2.0	
3 (1+5)	1	11	11	11		•5	•95	1.4	1.9	
4 (1+0)	2	11	85	36	.42	.98	2.4	3.4	3.9	4.4
5 (1+2)	2	11	Н	11	.41	.58	1.3	2.1	2.5	2.9
6 (1+2)	2	11	11	11	1.2	1.8	4.2	6.1	7.0	7.6
7 (1+0)	2	11	H	u	.47	•9	2.2	3.1	3.7	4.0
					Store	d at R	oom Te	mmerati	ire	
DAYS					2nd	7th	llth			
CHECK	1		50	68	4.0	8.5	12.3			
1 (1+2)	1	Spray	11	11	•59	2.6	5.3			
1 (1+5)	1	11	11	11	1.6	4.1	4.8			
2 (1+2)	1	16	11	11	1.2	3.3	6.4			T
2 (1+5)	1	Н	18	H	2.6	4.4	8.0			
3 (1+2)	1	H	11	11	1.5	3.2	5.3			
3 (1+5)	1	11	11	11	1.5	3.5	6.4			I

Table XV. Transpiration Losses of Cucumbers as Affected by Wax Emulsions. (National Pickling).

Treatment	Trial	Method of Application	Ave. Hum.	Ave. Temp.	Per (	spirat Cent b	y Wei	ght	age	
DAYS					5th	gth				
CHECK	1		85	35	12.9	17.4			1	
1 (1+5)	1	Dip	11	11	6.3	9.9				
284D (1+6)	1	II	11	11	5.0	7.7				
1 (1+5)	1	Spray	11	11	6.3	9.1				
284D (1+6)	1	II	11	11	5.9	9.1				
1 Conc.	1	Rub	11	11	5.2	8.9		0.00	011	

Table XVI. Transpiration Losses of Summer Squash as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

Tr	eatment	Trial	Method of Application	Ave. Hum.	Ave. Temp. °F.	Per	Cent	tion l by We: Room	ight	eratur	e
DA	YS					4th	9th	17th	21st	31st	41st
CH	ECK					3.5	5.5	8.5	9.5	10.4	14.1
1	(1+2)		Dip			1.2	2.0	3.6	4.4	5.8	6.9
1	(1+5)		11			2.7	4.2	6.4	8.1	10.8	12.6
1	Conc.		Rub			1.9	2.6	3.9	4.7	5.9	6.8
48	9A (1+4)		Dip			2.5	3.6	5.6	6.5	8.6	10.5

Table XVII. Transpiration Losses of Tomatoes as Affected by Wax Materials.

		Method	. A		. M.						
	m	of	Ave.	Ave.		pirati					
_	Trial		Hum.	Temp.		ent by					
Treatment	No.	Application	1 %	· ·		d in (					
DAYS					8th					26 <b>t</b> h	
CHECK	1		86	35	.76	1.16	1.3	1.7	2.4	3.3	
1 (1+2)	1	Spray	11	11	.093	.191	.328	•55	.821	1.2	
1 (1+5)	1	u	11	11	.20	.3	.348	.48		1.4	
3 (1+2)	1	11	11	11	.26	.33	.36			11.1	
					Store					perati	
DAYS					2nd	4th	6th	8th	10th	12th	L
CHECK	1				•2	.89	1.5	1.7	2.1	2.5	
			55	80							
1 (1+5)	1	Dip	80	35	.4	.96	1.3	1.8	2.0		
			55	80							
489A (1+4)	1	N	80	35	.37	.92	1.4	1.8	1.8	2.0	
	1		55	80					_		
284D (1+2)	1	11	80	35	.43					11.9	1
	<u> </u>				Store	d at I	Room ?	l'empe:	retur	<u> </u>	
DAYS					3rd	5th	9th	llth	13th	15th	17th
CHECK	1		71	75	.63	1.7	2.9	3.9	4.8	5.3	6.3
	2		70	H	.94	1.9	3.2	4.1	5.2	5.6	
Av.					•79	1.8	3.1	4.C	5.0	5.5	
1 (1+2)	2	(2 coats)	70	75	.83	1.2	2.1	2.6	3.1	3.5	
5 (1+1)	2		11	H	.83	1.7	3.0	3.6	4.2		
(2 coats)	l	Dip	İ		_	·	-	-		1	1
8 (1+0)	2		71	11	1.9	2.5	3.2	3.8	4.4	4.7	
(2 coats)		Spray	'				-	-	l		l
4 (1+0)	1	11	"	11	.65	1.3	2.9	3.0	3.4		
5 (1+2)	1	n	11	11	.72	1.8	3.0	3.9	4.6	5.1	5.5
6 (1+0)	1	11	11	11	.71	1.8	3.1	4.1	5.6	6.1	1
7 (1+0)	1	11	11	11	.42	1.5	2.8	3.5	3.8	4.2	4.7
12 (stem en	d) 2	T	70	11	.79	1.4				4.1	

Table XVIII. Transpiration Losses of Egg Plant as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

Treatment	Trial	Method of Application	Ave.	Ave. Temp.	Transpiration Loss- Per Cent by Weight Stored at Room Temperature			
DAYS					4th	8th	16 <b>t</b> h	
CHECK					7.6	11.4		
1 (1+2)	1	Dip			4.5	7.5	11.6	
1 (1+5)	1	Н			2.6	5.0	10.5	
1 Concen.	1	Rub			3.9	6.6	11.6	
284D (1+7)	1	Dip			6.6	10.8		

Table XIX. Transpiration Losses of Pepper as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

	Trial	Method of	Ave.	Ave.	Per Cent	ation Los by Weigh	t
Treatment	No.	Application	Hum.	Temp.	Stored at	Room Tem	perature
DAYS					5th	10th	14th
CHECK	1				6.7	9.4	
1 (1+2)	1	Dip			5.0	9.4	11.0
1 (1+5)	1	11			4.5	9.5	12.2
489A (1+4)	1	11			5.2	10.2	14.4
284D (1+7)	1	4			8.3	15.2	20.14

Table XX. Transpiration Losses of Green Onions as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

Treatment	Trial   No.	Method of Application	Ave. Hum.	Ave. Temp. OF.	Transpiration Loss Per Cent by Weight Stored at Room Temperature
DAYS					3rd  5th  7th  9th  11th
CHECK	1		70	75	11.1 21.1 26.8 30.5 35.2
1 (1+2)	1	Spray	H	H	10.3 20.0 25.8 29.6 35.9
1 (1+5)	1	11	11	H	7.2 14.6 20.7 25.8 32.0

Table XXI. Transpiration Losses of Asparagus as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

Tre	eatment	Trial	Method of Application	Ave. Hum.	Ave. Temp.	Transpiration Loss Per Cent by Weight Stored in Cold Storage & Dryer					Dryer
DAY						3rd	5th	7th	9th		
CHE	CK	1			35	4.3	6.9	8.3			
1	(1+2)	1	Dip		Ħ	1.7	2.8	5.1	6.5		
1	(1+5)	1	11		11	2.5	5.0	6.5	8.7		
ī	(1+10)	1	И		11	2.5	4.0	6.4	8.1		

Table XXII. Transpiration Losses of Sweet Potatoes as Affected by Wax Emulsions.

Treatment	Trial	Method of Application	Ave. Hum.	Ave. Temp. OF.	Transpiration Loss Per Cent by Weight Stored at Room Temperature					
DAYS					3rd	5th	7th	9th	llth	13th
CHECK	1		70	75	.98	2.2	3.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
1 (.+2)	1	Dip	11	11	.24	.57	.98	1.2	2.0	2.0
1 Concen.	1	Rub	11	18	.43	1.C	1.6	2.0	2.7	2.7
12	1	Dip	11	11	.25	.59	.93	1.1	1.9	2.4

of the time in storage could be observed. No injury was apparent in any of the treatments.

On asparagus (Table XXI) better results were obtained. No. 1  $(1 \neq 2)$  reduced transpiration approximately 40 per cent and lower concentrations gave lower reduction of transpiration. As in onions, no injury was apparent in any of the treatments.

On sweet potatoes (Table XXII) No. 12 gave the best reduction of transpiration followed by No. 1 (1  $\neq$  2). Sweet potatoes were the only vegetable in which complete coverage by No. 12 did not cause anserobic respiration. No. 12 caused a slight retardation of bud development which was not noticeable with the other emulsions.

No advantage was found in applying emulsions to sweet corn. The emulsion which penetrated the husk did not dry within 48 hours. The treated corn after 48 hours had a strong emulsion odor although no injury to husk or grain was apparent.

VI. Emulsions differ widely in their physical properties.

The physical properties of the emulsions are given in Table XXIII.

In general No. 1 reduced transpiration more than any of those tried. However, it dries very slowly, the coat is very dull and it adheres poorly to tomatoes, egg plants and cucumbers. No. 1 dries clear at 70° F. but when parts of the vegetable were still wet when put at 34° F. this area dried white. No. 1 was also found to cause injury to some of the more tender vegetables. The best results with No. 1 were those obtained on cucumbers, squash, green peas, etc.

Nos. 2, 6, 7, and 8 were ineffective in reducing transpiration.

The chief objection to these emulsions is that the white colored residue

of wax left on the surface renders the product less attractive.

Nos. 3 and 4 dried clear and caused no injury. No. 3 reduced transpiration almost as much as No. 1. The chief objection to these two products is the length of time required to dry.

Nos. 333B, 284D, 489A and No. 5 dried in a short time compared to the others. They dried clear both at 70° F. and at lower temperatures. These emulsions increased the glossiness of the surfaces, increasing attractiveness. However, they did not reduce transpiration as much as No. 1.

No. 12 on peas. No. 12, however, resulted in no pronounced anaerobic respiration in sweet potatoes or tomatoes. The chief objection to applying this material to sweet potatoes and to the stem-end of tomatoes is the cracking of the material on handling, which results in an opening in the protective film.

Table XXIII. Coverage and Drying Time of Emulsions.

		Drying				T
Material	Conc.	Time	Coat	Coverage	General Appearance	Remarks
1	1+2	1-6 hr.	Clear	Medium	Poor - Finish - Dull and Unattractive	Spots of collected material dried white
	1+5	$\frac{1}{2}$ -4 hr.	11	11	11	11
	1+10	$\frac{1}{2}$ -2 hr.	Ħ	14	II	Very few white spots
2	1+2	3/4-2 hr.	White	11	Very Poor	
	1+5	$\frac{1}{2}$ -1 hr.	Grey	11	11 11	
3	1+2	$\frac{1}{2}$ 1 hr.	Clear	16	Poor - Finish - Dull and Unattractive	Very little spotting
	1+5	$\frac{1}{2}$ -1 hr.	H	Н	Н	No spotting
14	1+0	6-8 hr.	н	Н	11	Heavy spotting
5	1+1	30 min.	11	H	Shiny - Good	No spotting
	1+2	11	11	11	Н	11
6	1+0	$\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$ hr.	Grey	11	Very Poor	11
7	1+0	$3/4-1\frac{1}{2}hr.$	White	11	11	Heavy spotting
8	1+0	3/4-1 hr.	Slightly Grey	Good	11	Slight Spotting
439 <b>A</b>	1+4	20 min.	Clear	11	Shiny - Very Attractive	No spotting
	1+6	H	11	11	11	11
28HD	1+5	tl	Н	11	11	11
	1+6	Ħ	H	11	11	11
	1+7	18	11	11	11	11
333B	1+2	11	11	11	11	11

<sup>\*</sup> Drying time reduced approximately 25% by use of fan.

#### Discussion

Platenius (15) recommended the use of emulsions on late green tomatoes, cucumbers and egg plant. He also recommended them on topped carrots, turnips and rutabagas. He reported no benefit on pulse crops. The writer found that on green tomatoes, egg plants and cucumbers an apparent lengthening of the time of storage was produced by the application of the emulsions. However, contrary to Platenius, treated lots of peas and beans were in a salable condition from one to two days longer than were the checks. The green peas treated with No. 1 (1  $\neq$  2) gave the most marked reduction of transpiration of any of the vegetables tried.

In general wax emulsions can be applied to advantage on the pulse crops, green tomatoes, cucumbers, squash and similar vegetables. No benefit was found on root crops having leafy tops although good results are obtained when the tops are removed and the roots alone are treated.

Dipping vegetables is much faster, more economical and just as effective as spraying. No difference was found in drying time or injury between the two methods. The chief objection to the wax emulsions used in these investigations was the length of drying time required. Many perishable vegetables will wilt if kept out of cold storage this length of time. However, the time can be shortened approximately 25 per cent by a fan or other type of air circulating equipment.

It is evident that new or different types of emulsions or materials of other types must be brought out before they can be used to aid commercial vegetable storage.

#### Summary

- I. Twelve wax materials were applied to various types of vegetables.

  Reduction of transpiration by each material was determined by measuring loss of weight while in storage. The vegetables were kept under four different storage conditions.
- II. The application of certain of the emulsions were found to be advantageous on the pulse crops, some of the solanaceous crops, cucurbits and topped carrots.
- III. No marked reduction of transpiration was produced by the emulsions on the root crops with tops, certain solanaceous crops and corn.
- IV. Injury was caused by Dowax on carrots and snap beans and by paraffin on green peas.
- V. The Franklin emulsions were found to dry much faster, give a similar coverage and a much better appearance than any of the other emulsions tried. However, their reduction of transpiration was less than that given by Dowax.
- VI. Before emulsions can be used on the commercial scale in vegetable storage, improved materials must be found which have better drying and coverage properties than those used in this experiment.

### Literature Cited

- (1) Allen, F. W. Changes in Composition During Ripening and Storage of Melons. Cal. Exp. Sta. Hilgardia. 3:15, 1928.
- (2) Baker, C. E. Wrapping Golden Delicious Apples in Moisture Proof

  Cellulose Sheets to Prevent Shriveling in Cold

  Storage. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc. 33:218. 1935.
- (3) Brooks, Charles Oiled Wrappers, Oils and Waxes in the Control of Apple Scald. Jour. Agr.Res. 24:11:513-536. 1924.
- (4) ----- Some Effects of Vaxing Tomatoes. Am. Soc. Hort.

  Sci. Proc. 35:720. 1937.
- (5) Edmond, J. B. Tomato Wrapping and Quality. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc. 30:518. 1933.
- (6) Hawthorn, L. R. Cellophane and Waxed Paper Wrappers for Storing

  Cucumbers. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc. 32:578-582.

  1934.
- (7) Jackson, G. B. Will Try Waxed Tomatoes. Market Growers Jour.
  No. 61, Oct. 15, 1937.
- (8) Kohls, H. L. Use of Paraffin for Over-winter Storage of Sugar
  Beets. Jour. Am. Soc. Agron. 26:846-851. 1934.
- (9) Magness, J. R. and Diehl, H. C. Physiological Studies on Apples in Storage. Jour. Agr. Res. 27:1-38. 1924.
- (10) Miller, E. J., Neilson, J. A. and Bandemer, S. L. Wax Emulsions

  for Spraying Nursery Stock and Other Plant Materials. 
  Mich. Agr. Exp. Sta. Spec. Bull. 282. 1937.
- (11) Neilson, J. A. Paraffin Wax An Aid to Growth in Transplanted

  Trees and Shrubs. Garden Chron. (Lond.) 84:333. 1927.

- (12) Neller, J. R. Changes Produced in Apples by the Use of Cleaning and Oiling Coating Processes. Jour. Agr. Res. 36:429-436. 1928.
- (13) Platenius, H. and Hartman, J. D. Cornell Univ. Agr. Fxp. Sta.
  48th Annual Report. 143. 1935.
- (14) ----- Cornell Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta.

  49th Annual Report. 143. 1936.
- (15) Platenius, H. Cited in Personal Correspondence from W. C. Dutton,
  Aug. 3, 1938.
- (16) Sando, C. E. Process of Ripening in Tomatoes, Considered Especially from a Commercial Standpoint. U.S.D.A. Bull. 859.
- (17) Smock, R. M. Certain Effects of Wax Treatments on Various Varieties of Apples and Pears. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc. 33:284-289. 1935.
- (18) Steart, E. D. Preventing Decay and Shrinkage in Citrus Fruits. Food Industries. 3:58-61. 1931.
- (19) Tukey, H. B. and Brase, K. The Effect of Paraffining, Pruning, and Other Storage Treatments Upon the Growth of Roses and Cherry Trees. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc. 28:489-495. 1931.

## Acknowledgments

The writer wishes to acknowledge the helpful assistance of Dr. E. J. Miller in preparation of the materials used in this experiment and to express his appreciation of helpful suggestions and criticisms offered by H. L. Seaton and W. C. Dutton.

# ROOM USE ONLY

Oec 9 47 No 18 52

ROOM USE ONLY



