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ABSTRACT

## THE THEORY OF CLUSTER SETS

By
Ruth Ann Su

Since Painleve founded the theory of cluster sets in 1895, mathematicians have discovered many significant properties pertaining to the set of limit points of a function at the boundary of its domain of definition. The functions studied may be divided into the following three major classes: Arbitrary Functions, Normal Functions and Class A Functions.

Arbitrary functions have limited patterns of behavior with respect to cluster sets because of the particular topologies of the plane and sphere. For example, according to the Bagemihl Ambiguous Point Theorem, any complex-valued function defined in the unit disk $D$ has at most a countable number of boundary points $e^{i \theta}$ with the property that there exist two curves in $D$ ending at $e^{i \theta}$ along which $f$ has disjoint cluster sets. Also, globally, there are numerous relationships between the cluster set of a function relative to an angle at a point $e^{i \theta}$ and the cluster set of a function relative to a region between two circles each internally tangent to the unit circle at $e^{i \theta}$.
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A function is normal in a simply connected region if its family of arbitrary conformal mappings of the region onto itself has the property that every sequence of this family contains a subsequence which converges uniformly or tends uniformly to infinity on every compact subset of this region. A meromorphic function in D is normal if the function omits at least three points in D. In addition a complex function in D is normal if it is uniformly continuous from the disk with the hyperbolic metric to the sphere with the chordal metric. The sum of two analytic normal functions is not necessarily normal although the special type of normal functions called uniformly normal has the property that the sum of two uniformly normal functions is uniformly normal. The definition of a uniformly normal function is analogous to the definition of a normal function where the sphere with the chordal metric is replaced by the plane with the usual metric.

Suppose $f$ is a holomorphic nonconstant function in D. Then $f$ belongs to Class A if for each point in a dense set of $C, f$ has a path in $D$ ending at $e^{i \theta}$ along which $f$ approaches a limit. $f$ belongs to Class $B$ if and only if the set of points $e^{i \theta}$, for which $f$ has a path in $D$ ending at $e^{i \theta}$ along which either $f$ approaches infinity or the modulus of $f$ is bounded by some finite number, is dense on $C$. For any constant $\lambda$ greater than or equal to zero the level set consists of all points $z$ in $D$ for which the modulus of $f$ is equal to $\lambda$. Then $f$ belongs to Class $L$ if the maximum diameter of the components of each level set intersected with the set of $z$ having modulus greater than $r$ approaches zero as $r$ approaches one. A very important theorem in the study of Class A Functions states that a function is in Class A if and only if the function is in Class B
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## PREFACE

The purpose of this thesis is to bring together recent important developments in the theory of cluster sets. We assume that the reader would have the mathematical training equivalent to that of a graduate course in the theory of complex variables and a cursory knowledge of the works by Collingwood and Lohwater (1), Noshiro (1) and MacLane(1).

Painleve (1) founded the theory of cluster sets in 1895 when he gave the name "domaine d'indetermination" to the set of limit points of a function at a boundary of its domain of definition. Today this set is called the cluster set of a function at a point. Although the theory was first considered for analytic functions, it is applicable to more general functions, and much of the present-day research is largely topological.

Early developments in the theory of cluster sets were mostly concerned with the behavior of an analytic function in the neighborhood of an isolated essential singularity or in a discontinuous set of singularities. The earliest result dealing with cluster sets was the theorem proved in a paper of Weierstrass (1) in 1876 . It states that if $z_{o}$ is an isolated point of a set $E$ in the unit disk $D$ and $f(z)$ is meromorphic in $D-E$, then the set of limit points of $f$ at $z_{o}$ is either a single point or the entire Riemann sphere. In 1905 Painleve proved that this theorem is true for any $z_{o}$ in a set of measure zero.
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at $z_{0}$ may be a proper subset of the Riemann sphere. A lot of research has been done concerning the boundary behavior of functions defined in a simply connected domain whose boundary contains more than one point and which can therefore be mapped conformally onto the open unit disk.

The study of cluster sets at a continuous boundary begins with the Fatou paper (1) of 1906 on the radial limits of functions analytic in the unit disk. Caratheodory (4) studied the boundary correspondence between the unit disk and an arbitrary simply connected domain under a conformal mapping. This led to the notion of a prime end, the correspondence between the points of the unit circle and the prime ends of the domain whose impressions are the cluster sets of the mapping function at the corresponding points.

Since the $1930^{\prime}$ s, cluster sets have been widely studied. The books The Theory of Cluster Sets by Collingwood and Lohwater (1) and Cluster Sets by Noshiro (1) contain most of the important results before 1960. In this thesis we assume the above material to be background information and present some of the more significant developments since then.

We organize our material into three chapters which deal with the three major classes of functions: Arbitrary Functions, Normal Functions, and Class A Functions. We have selected results from The Theory of Cluster Sets by Collingwood and Lohwater (1) for preliminary work in the chapter on arbitrary functions and results from Cluster Sets by Noshiro (1) for introductory material in the chapter on normal functions. The last chapter uses as background the MacLane paper (1), Asymptotic Values of Holomorphic Functions, since this paper is the foundation for the study of Class A functions, which consist of non-constant holomorphic functions in the open unit disk which approach limits on a dense subset
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In the first chapter we primarily consider functions in the unit disk without imposing any restrictions except that they be complexvalued. In spite of the lack of restrictions, these functions have limited patterns of behavior with respect to cluster sets. Much of this is the result of the particular topologies of the plane and the sphere.

Bagemin1's Ambiguous Point Theorem is an outstanding example of the limited patterns of behavior mentioned above. The theorem says that if f is a complex-valued function defined in $D$, then there are at most a countable number of boundary points $\zeta$ with the property that there exist two curves in $D$ ending at $\zeta$ along which $f$ has disjoint cluster sets. Even though this result is true in the plane, it does not apply if the domain of the function is the unit ball in three dimensions (Church, 1). Moreover the addition of some mild restrictions, such as requiring the function to be analytic, does not yield a stronger conclusion (Bagemih1 and Seidel, 4). This theorem has been extended by the theory of prime ends to other domains, such as simply or multiply connected regions, with approximately the same result.

The above theorem has found wide application in the study of cluster sets. For example, let $f$ have the $n$-separated-arc property at a point $p$ if, for any integer $n>1$, there exist $n$ arcs in $D$ ending at $p$ which are mutually disjoint except for $p$ where the intersection of the cluster sets of all $n$ arcs is empty while that of any $n-1$ of them is nonempty. Then if $f$ is a homeomorphism of $D$ onto itself, any point $p$ satisfying the $n$-separated-arc property is an ambiguous point. Consequently these points are at most countable. However, this does not hold
zquar cluster se
glle at a point e
et of a function
Illy tangent to th
the region between
ad an angle have
ween these two ty
there are numerous
at global, not points $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$ on the orocycle such the orccyclic cluste
$r$ is called lection of arcs $\mathbb{R}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{e}^{i \theta_{0}}\right)$ is th rotich end at th
he union of the $e^{i \theta}-e^{i \theta^{0}} \mid<\mu$.
intersection
ontinuous funct
et is equal to
irst category of thords.
in general as there is a continuous function which has the 3-separatedarc property at all but at most a countable number of points (Piranian, 1).

An interesting relationship between different cluster sets is the relationship between the angular and the horocyclic cluster sets. An angular cluster set is the cluster set of a function relative to an angle at a point $e^{i \theta} \in C$ while a horocyclic cluster set is the cluster set of a function relative to a region between two circles each internally tangent to the unit circle at a common point. Since an angle is the region between two chords originating from $e^{i \theta}$, a horocyclic region and an angle have no points in common near $e^{i \theta}$. So a relationship between these two types of cluster sets should not be expected. However, there are numerous relationships between these two kinds of cluster sets on a global, not local, basis. For example, for any function the set of points $e^{i \theta}$ on the unit circle for which there exists an angle and a horocycle such that the angular cluster set is not contained in the horocyclic cluster set is a set of measure zero and of first category.
$\Gamma$ is called a selector of arcs if it associates a nonempty collection of arcs with every point in $C$. The $r$-principal cluster set $\Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta} 0\right)$ is the intersection of the cluster sets of all the arcs in $r$ which end at the point $e^{i \theta_{0}}$. Let $\Pi_{r}{ }^{*}\left(f, e^{i \theta_{0}}, \mu\right)$ denote the closure of the union of the r-principal cluster sets for all points $e^{i \theta}$ for which $\left|e^{i \theta}-e^{i \theta} 0\right|<\mu$. Then the boundary $r$-principal cluster set at $e^{i \theta_{0}}$ is the intersection of the $\Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta_{0}}, \mu\right)$ 's for all positive $\mu$. For any continuous function $f$ in $D$ and all points in $C$, the $r$-principal cluster set is equal to the boundary r-principal cluster set except for a set of first category on $C$ if $\Gamma$ is either the collection of all arcs or all chords.
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For continuous functions defined in $D$, the cluster sets along all Jordan arcs in $D$ ending at a point on $C$ form a topology where the distance between any two closed sets is defined as the greatest distance between a point in one set and a nearest point in the other set. This topology is called the M-topology. If $e^{i \theta}$ is not an ambiguous point, then the set $G\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ consisting of its Jordan-arc cluster sets is compact in the M-topology. So another consequence of the Ambiguous Point Theorem is the fact that the set of points for which $G\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ is not compact is at most countable.

The cluster sets of special classes of functions have been studied extensively. As might be expected the cluster sets of these functions possess properties which are not necessarily true for the cluster sets of arbitrary functions. Some of the functions investigated most frequently are normal functions and Class A functions.

Any normal meromorphic function $f(z)$ in $D$ which approaches a limit $\alpha$ at a point $z_{o}$ in $C$ along a Jordan curve lying in $D$ also has the angular limit $\alpha$ at $z_{o}$. Moreover, if $f(z)$ tends to a limit along a simple continuous curve $z(t)$ for which $|z(t)| \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 1$ and its end contains more than one point, then it is a constant function. Another example where $f(z)$ must be a constant function occurs when it approaches a constant along a sequence of arcs in $D$ which converge to a boundary arc in $C$. Analytic normal functions are not closed under addition although the special type of functions called uniformly normal functions are closed under addition. These functions satisfy the condition sup (1$\left.|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|$ is finite. If, in addition, a uniformly normal function $f$ satisfies the condition $f(0)=0$ then it is called a Bloch function. The collection of all Bloch functions form a Banach space. Each Bloch
function, and thus each uniformly normal function, has the property that it possesses angular limits on ancountably dense subset of $C$.

Any normal holomorphic function in $D$ belongs to the class $I_{p}$, which consists of those holomorphic functions $f$ in $D$ having the property that if there exists a pair of arcs $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ along which $f(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow p \in C$, then along any path between $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ the function $f(z)$ is unbounded. If $f$ is in class $I_{p}$, then the set $G\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ consisting of the set of all cluster sets of all Jordan arcs in $D$ which end at $p$ is compact in the M-topology. Consequently, for any normal holomorphic function the set $G\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ is compact in the M-topology.

In order to study the behavior of normal meromorphic functions near C, D has been compactified into a Hausdorff space $M$ in such a way that any bounded holomorphic function $f$ has a continuous extension $\hat{f}$ and $D$ is dense in $M$. Two points $m_{1}, m_{2} \in M$ are in the same Gleason part if for any bounded holomorphic function of modulus less than or equal to one, its extension $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ has the property that the difference in magnitude of $\hat{f}\left(m_{1}\right)$ and $\hat{f}\left(m_{2}\right)$ is strictly between 0 and 2. This determines an equivalence relation. Each Gleason part consists of either a single point or the image of a one-to-one analytic map of an open disk into $M$. The Gleason parts partition the boundary points of $D$ in such a way that any bounded analytic function has a continuous extension onto the boundary of D. A function is normal in D if and only if it can be continued continuously to the set $G$ consisting of the maximal ideal space $M$ of $H^{\infty}$ minus the trivial Gleason parts lying over the boundary of $D$. So, in this sense, normal functions are a generalization of bounded functions. If $f$ is a normal meromorphic function, then it is so continuous that on every nontrivial Gleason part $f$ is either meromorphic or identically infinite.
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Let $f(z)$ be a holomorphic nonconstant function in $D$. Then $f(z)$ belongs to Class $A$ if and only if for each point in a dense set of $C$, it has a path in $D$ ending at $e^{i \theta}$ along which it approaches a limit. $f(z)$ belongs to Class $B$ if and only if the set of points $e^{i \theta}$ for which it has a path in $D$ ending at $e^{i \theta}$ along which either $f \rightarrow \infty$ or $|f|<a$ where a is finite is dense on $C$. For any constant $\lambda \geq 0$, the level set $\operatorname{LS}(\lambda)$ consists of all points $z$ in $D$ for which $|f(z)|=\lambda$. Then $f(z)$ belongs to Class $L$ if and only if the maximum diameter of the components of each level set intersected with $\{z:|z|>r\} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$. A very important theorem in the study of Class $A$ functions states that a function is in Class A if and only if the function is in Class B if and only if the function is in Class L .

In order to generalize Class A functions, Classes $A_{m}, B_{m}$ and $L_{m}$ are defined by replacing the word "holomorphic" with "meromorphic" in the appropriate definitions. Holomorphic normal functions are in Class A and meromorphic normal functions are in Class $B_{m} . ~ C l a s s A_{m}$ is contained in Class $B_{m}$. Class $L_{m}$ is contained in Class $B_{m}$. However, there are examples of functions in Class $B_{m}$ that are not in Class $A_{m}$ and examples of functions in Class $L_{m}$ that are not contained in Class $B_{m}$.

A tract associated with a constant a is a collection of nonempty domains $D(\epsilon)$ such that each $D(\epsilon)$ is a component of the open set in $D$ which is mapped by $f$ into the open disk about a of radius $\epsilon$ and the intersection of all of the $D(\epsilon)$ 's is called the end of the tract. It is a nonempty, connected closed subset of C. A tract is called global if and only if its end consists of the entire circumference $C$ and for each arc $\gamma$ contained in $C$ there exists a sequence of arcs $\gamma_{n}$ contained in $D(1 / n)$ such that the $\gamma_{n}$ 's approach $\gamma$. If $f$ is in $C l a s s A_{m}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ is a
sequence of disjoi
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sequence of disjoint simple arcs in $D$ which tend to the arc $\gamma$ on $C$ and $\sup (f(z)-a) \rightarrow 0$ where $a$ is a complex number, then $f$ has a tract with end $K$ which contains $\gamma$. In addition for any interior point of $K$, the only asymptotic values come from the tract. If $f$ is in $A$, then $f$ has a global tract if and only if $f$ is unbounded on every curve in $D$ on which $|z| \rightarrow 1$.

If $a$ is a finite asymptotic value along an arc that $f$ maps one-toone onto a linear segment, then this asymptotic value is called linearly accessible. If $f$ is in Class $A$ and omits some finite constant, then the set of linearly accessible points is dense on $C$.

Class A functions are not closed under the operations of addition and multiplication. In fact every nonconstant, holomorphic function in D can be written as the sum or as the product of pairs of functions in Class A. Furthermore, any nonconstant meromorphic function in D may be represented in each of the following ways: (i) the quotient of two holomorphic functions in Class A, (ii) the product of a function in Class $A$ and a function in Class $A_{m} \cap$ Class $L_{m}$, (iii) the sum of two functions in Class $A_{m} \cap$ Class $L_{m}$.

Our bibliography consists primarily of the publications which contain the recent developments in the theory of cluster sets. Older references may be found in the bibliographies of the books of Collingwood and Lohwater, and Noshiro.

CHAPTER I

## ARBITRARY FUNCTIONS

## INTRODUCTION

In this section we will first introduce some of the important definitions which will be used throughout the paper. Then we will summarize some of the major results in the theory of cluster sets which are included in the book by E.P. Collingwood and A.J. Lohwater (1).

We will consistently use the notation $D$ for the open unit disk, $C$ for the unit circle, and $W$ for the Riemann sphere.

Some of the concepts which we will use repeatedly include those of cluster sets, asymptotic values, and range of values. If $z_{o}$ is any point in $\bar{D}$ and $f$ is an arbitrary function defined in $D$, then the cluster set $C\left(f, z_{o}\right)$ of $f(z)$ at $z_{o}$ is defined in one of the following two equivalent ways:
(i) $C\left(f, z_{o}\right)$ is the set of points a on the Riemann sphere $W$ for which there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $D-\left\{z_{o}\right\}$ such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty, \lim z_{n}=z_{o}$ and $\lim f\left(z_{n}\right)=a$ where $D-\left\{z_{o}\right\}$ is $D$ with $z_{o}$ removed.
(ii) For $r>0, C\left(f, z_{o}\right)=\cap \bar{D}_{r}$ where $D_{r}=f\left(d_{r} \cap\left(D-\left\{z_{o}\right\}\right)\right)$ and $d_{r}$ is the disk $\left|z-z_{o}\right|<r$.
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If $G$ is any infinite subset of $D$, then the cluster set $C_{G}\left(f, z_{o}\right)$ of $f(z)$ relative to $G$ is defined by

$$
C_{G}\left(f, z_{o}\right)=\cap \overline{D_{r}(G)} \subset C\left(f, z_{o}\right)
$$

where $D_{r}(G)=f\left(d_{r} \cap\left(D-\left\{z_{o}\right\}\right) \cap G\right)$.
The range of values $R\left(f, i_{0}\right)$ is defined to be the set of values a such that there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $D$ such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $z_{n} \neq z_{o}, \lim z_{n}=z_{o}$ and $f\left(z_{n}\right)=a$. The set of asymptotic values $A\left(f, z_{o}\right)$ at $z_{o}$ consists of those complex numbers a for which there exists a continuous curve $z=z(t), 0<t<1$, such that $z(t) \subset D-\left\{z_{0}\right\}$, $\lim z(t)=z_{o}$ and $\lim f(z(t))=$ a as $t \longrightarrow 1$. We will use the symbol $A(f)$ to denote the union of all of the $A\left(f, z_{o}\right)$ 's for all $z_{o}$ 's in $C$ and the symbol $R(f)$ to denote the union of all of the $R\left(f, z_{o}\right)$ 's.

If $\gamma=z(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, is a simple continuous arc lying in $D$ except for $T=z(1) \in C$, then $\gamma$ is called a boundary arc at $T$.

Theorem 1: If $f(z)$ is an arbitrary function defined in $D$ and if $\zeta$ is an arbitrary point of $C$, then there exists a simple arc $\gamma$, lying in $D$ and terminating at $\zeta$, such that $C_{\gamma}(f, \zeta)=C(f, \zeta)$. (Collingwood, 2)

A set $E$ on $C$ is of first category if $E$ is the union of a countable set of nowhere dense sets; a set which is not of first category is said to be of second category. A set $E$ on $C$ is called residual on $C$ if the complement of $E$ on $C$ is of first category.

For $G$ any subset of $\bar{D}$, a rotation $G$ of $G$ is obtained by mapping each point $z \in G$ to the point $z e^{i \theta}$.
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Theorem 2: If the real or complex function $f(z)$ is continuous in $D$ and if $\left\{G_{\theta}\right\}$ is the family of rotations of a continuum $G_{o}$ such that $G_{0} \cap C$ is the point $z=1$, then $C_{G \theta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ on a residual set of points $e^{i \theta}$ on $C$. (Collingwood, 3)

Let $\Delta(1)$ be an open connected subset of $D$ such that $\overline{\Delta(1)} \cap \mathrm{C}$ is equal to $\{1\}$, and let $\Delta\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ denote the transform of $\Delta(1)$ under the rotation about the origin that sends 1 into $e^{i \theta}$. Dragosh (2, Lemma 1, p.58.) proves that $C_{\Delta\left(e^{i \theta}\right)}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for a residual $G_{\delta}$ subset of $C$.

In order to define boundary cluster sets, we use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(f, 0<\left|\theta-\theta_{0}\right|<n\right)=U C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the union is over $0<\left|\theta-\theta_{0}\right|<n$. Then the boundary cluster set $C_{B}\left(f, e^{i \theta o}\right)$ may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{B_{0}}\left(f, e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)=\bigcap_{n>0} \overline{C\left(f, 0<\left|\theta-\theta_{0}\right|<n\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand and right-hand boundary cluster sets $C_{B 1}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ and $C_{B r}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ are defined by (1) and (2) and the restrictions that $0<\theta-\theta_{0}<n$ and $0<\theta_{0}-\theta<n$ respectively.

Theorem 3: If $f(z)$ is a single-valued (real or complex) function in $D$, then

$$
C_{B r}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C_{B 1}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

except perhaps for a countable set of points $e^{i \theta} \in C$. (Collingwood, 4)

# Grollary: If $\mathrm{f}($ 

rexept perhaps fo
Waxater, 1, Coro
tharen 4 (Bageni
taction defined
wrty that there
is at most count

The poi
Whis.

The right-hand cluster set $C_{R}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is defined to be the set of points $\alpha$ such that as $n \rightarrow \alpha \lim f\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)=\alpha$ where $\lim r_{n}=1$ and $\lim \theta_{n}=\theta$ with $\theta_{n} \leq \theta_{n+1} \leq \ldots$ The left-hand cluster set $C_{L}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is defined in the same way except that $\theta_{n} \geq \theta_{n+1} \geq \ldots$. Actually the right-hand cluster set is the cluster set $C_{G}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right.$ ) where $G$ is the semidisk closed relative to $D$ with diameter from $-e^{i \theta}$ to $e^{i \theta}$ and to the right of it. The left-hand cluster set is defined in a similar way but is to the left of the diameter.

Corollary: If $f(z)$ is single-valued in $D$, then

$$
C_{R}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C_{L}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

except perhaps for a countable set of points $e^{i \theta} \in C$. (Collingwood and Lohwater, 1, Corollary, p.83)

Theorem 4 (Bagemihl Ambiguous-Point Theorem): If $f(z)$ is a complex function defined in $D$, then the set of points $e^{i \theta}$ on $C$ with the property that there exist two boundary arcs $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ at $e^{i \theta}$ such that

$$
C_{r_{1}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \cap C_{r_{2}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=\phi
$$

is at most countable. (Bagemih1, 1)

The points $e^{i \theta}$ defined in Theorem 4 are called ambiguous points.

RESULTS RELATED TO BAGEMIHL'S AMBIGUOUS-POINT THEOREM

Researchers, such as Bagemih1, H. Mathews and McMillan, have proved many theorems related to the Bagemihl Ambiguous-Point Theorem.

Let $\alpha$ be an arc lying in $\bar{D}-\{p\}$ except for one end point at $p$. The extended arc cluster set of $f$ at $p, E C_{\alpha}(f, p)$, is defined to be the set $\cap \overline{U C(f, q)}$ where the intersection is taken over all neighborhoods $N$ of $p$ and the union over all $q$ on $\alpha \cap N$ for $q \neq p$. The point $p$ is called an extended ambiguous point for $f$ if there exist arcs $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $\bar{D}-\{p\}$ such that $E C_{\alpha}(f, p)$ and $E C_{\beta}(f, p)$ are disjoint.

Theorem 5: If $f$ is an arbitrary function defined in $D$ and if a point $p$ on $C$ is an extended ambiguous point for $f$, then $p$ is an ambiguous point for f. (H. Mathews, 1, Theorem 1, p.138)

Since Mathew's proof only holds when $f$ is continuous, Stebbins (1) recently published the following proof. Let $\alpha$ be any arc in $\bar{D}-\{p\}$ such that $\alpha$ tends to $p$. It is sufficient to find an arc $\alpha^{\prime} \subset D$ which tends to $p$ such that $C_{\alpha^{\prime}}(f, p) \subseteq E C_{\alpha}(f, p)$. By using points $q \in \alpha \cap C$ and the method of Gross (1), we construct a "wedge" $Z$ in $D$ such that every sequence of points $\left\{z_{k}\right\}$ in $Z$ tends to $p$ and $\left\{f\left(z_{k}\right)\right\}$ has limit points only in $\cap \overline{U C(f, q)}$ where $q \in \alpha \cap C$ for $q \neq p$ and the intersection is taken over all neighborhoods of $p$.

Corollary: An arbitrary function from $D$ into $W$ can have at most a countable number of extended ambiguous points. (H. Mathews, 1, Theorem 2, p.139)
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This corollary follows immediately from Theorem 5 and the Ambiguous Point Theorem.

If $G$ is a simply connected region in the extended complex plane, then we denote the set of boundary points of $G$ by $F(G)$. If $e^{i \theta} \in F(G)$ and if there exists an arc in $G$ with an end point at $e^{i \theta}$, then $e^{i \theta}$ is called an accessible point of $F(G)$.

A Jordan arc which lies in $G$ except for $i t s$ two endpoints or a Jordan curve which lies in $G$ except for one point is called a crosscut of $G$. A sequence $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}, \ldots$ of crosscuts of $G$ is called a chain if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) No two of them have any point, including their endpoints, in common;
(ii) $q_{n}$ separates $G$ into two domains, one of which contains $q_{n-1}$ and the other $q_{n+1}$. The domain containing $q_{n+1}$ is denoted by $d_{n}$;
(iii) The diameter of $q_{n}$ tends to zero as $n$ tends to infinity. Two chains $Q=\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ and $Q^{\prime}=\left\{q_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $G$ are equivalent if, for all values of $n$, the domain $d_{n}$ contains all but a finite number of the crosscuts $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ and the domain $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ contains all but a finite number of the crosscuts $q_{n}$. The class of all chains equivalent to a given chain is an equivalence class. A prime end of $G$ is an equivalence class of chains in G.

A curve $\Lambda$ in $G$ at the prime end $P$ means a simple continuous curve $z=z(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, such that $z(t) \in G$ and every sequence of points on $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ that approaches $F(G)$ also converges to $P$ in the sense that all but a finite number of the members of the sequence are contained in each $d_{n}$. If $e^{i \theta} \in F(G)$ and there exist distinct prime ends $P_{1}, P_{2} \in G$
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and curves $r$ and $s$ at $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ respectively such that $r$ and $s$ are also arcs at $e^{i \theta}$, then $e^{i \theta}$ is a multiply accessible point of $F(G)$. If an accessible point is not multiply accessible, it is simply accessible.

If $\Lambda$ is an arc at a point $e^{i \theta} \in F(G)$ (or a curve at a prime end $P$ of G), then the cluster set of $f$ at $e^{i \theta}$ (or at $P$ ) on $\Lambda$ will be denoted by $C_{\Lambda}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ [or $\left.C_{\Lambda}(f, P)\right]$. If $P$ is a prime end of $G$ and there exist two curves $r$ and $s$ at $P$ such that $C_{r}(f, P) \cap C_{s}(f, P)=\phi$, then $P$ is called an ambiguous prime end of $f$.

Theorem 6: A necessary and sufficient condition that a simply connected region $G$ must satisfy, in order that every function defined in $G$ have no more than countably many ambiguous points from different prime ends, is that at most countably many accessible points of $F(G)$ be multiply accessible from G. (Bagemih1, 5, Theorem 8, p.203)

Proof: Suppose that the set $M$ of all points of $F(G)$ multiply accessible from $G$ is more than countably many. Let $w=f(z)$ map $G$ in a one-to-one conformal manner onto $D$. This mapping induces a correspondence between $F(G)$ and $C$ under which every point of $M$ corresponds to at least two points of $C$. Thus $f$ has more than countably many ambiguous points

Assume that $F(G)$ contains at least two points. Let $z=\phi(w)$ map $D$ in a one-to-one conformal manner onto $G$. If a function $g(z)$ in $G$ has an ambiguous point $e^{i \theta}$ that is simply accessible from $G$, then the function $h(w) \equiv g(\Phi(w))$ in $D$ has an ambiguous point at the point $w$ on $C$ that corresponds to $e^{i \theta}$ under the mapping $\Phi$. It now follows from the Ambiguous Point Theorem that $g(z)$ has no more than countably many ambiguous points.
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Theorem 7: Let $f(z)$ be an arbitrary function in a simply connected region $G$ with at least two boundary points. Then $f$ has at most enumerably many ambiguous prime ends. (Bagemih1, 5, Theorem 9, p.203)

Proof: Let $z=\Phi(w)$ be a one-to-one conformal mapping of $D$ onto $G$. By Caratheodory's Theorem (Caratheodory, 3 and 4) this mapping induces a one-to-one correspondence between the points of $C$ and the prime ends of $G$ such that, if $P$ is a prime end of $G$ and $\Lambda_{P}$ is a curve at $P$, then the preimage of $\Lambda_{P}$ under the mapping is an arc $\Phi_{T}$ at the point $T$ of $C$ that corresponds to the prime end $P$. If $f$ has more than enumerably many ambiguous prime ends, then the function $h(w) \equiv f(\Phi(w))$ in $D$ would have more than enumerably many ambiguous points, which is impossible.

Theorem 8: Suppose $f$ is continuous, $S$ is a closed subset of $W$ and the set $B(f, S)$ of points $e^{i \theta}$ for which there exists an arc ot at $e^{i \theta}$ such that $C_{j}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \subset S$ is uncountably dense on an arbitrary closed arc $\lambda$ on C. Then the set $B^{*}(f, S)$ of points $e^{i \theta}$ such that for any arc $\vartheta$ at $e^{i \theta}$ $C_{v}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \cap s \neq \phi$ is residual on $\lambda$. (McMillan, 2, Theorem 5, p.188)

The theorem is proved by showing that $B^{*}(f, S) \cap$ Interior ( $\lambda$ ) relative to $C=\bigcap_{n}\left\{e^{i \theta}\right.$ in the interior of $\lambda$ such that there exists a crosscut $T$ at $e^{i \theta}$ with diameter less than $1 / n$ such that $f(T) \subset\left\{\begin{array}{l}f\end{array} \rho(w, S)<\right.$ $1 / n\}$ where $n$ is a positive integer and $\rho(w, S)$ denotes the Euclidean distance between $w$ and $S$.

If $f$ is any function that is defined in $D$ and takes its values in some metric space, then a boundary function for $f$ is a function $\phi$ on $C$ such that for every $x \in C$ there exists a simple arc $\lambda$ having one
endpoint at x for
$\operatorname{lin} f(z)=\phi(x)$.
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Theorem 9: Every function $f$ defined in $D$ has at most $2^{K_{0}}$ boundary functions. (Bagemih1 and Piranian, 1, Theorem 1, p. 201)

Proof: By the Ambiguous Point Theorem, $f$ has at most countably many ambiguous points. At each ambiguous point $£$ has at most $2^{N o}$ asymptotic values. Therefore, $f$ has at most $\left(N_{0}\right)^{N_{0}}=2^{N_{0}}$ boundary functions.

## RESULTS ON BOUNDARY FUNCTIONS

In 1965 Kaczynski published a paper on boundary functions for functions defined in D. It includes descriptions of boundary functions in terms of honorary Baire class functions.

Theorem 10: If $f$ is a homeomorphism of $D$ onto itself and $\phi$ is a boundary function for $f$, then there exists a countable set $N$ such that $\phi_{0}$ is continuous where $\phi_{0}$ is the restriction of the boundary function to C - N. (Kaczynski, 1, Theorem 1, p. 590)

Let $S^{*}$ be a base of open sets in $R^{2}$ and let acc(E) denote the set of all points on $C$ which are accessible by arcs in $E$. Then the above theorem is proved by showing that for any $S \in S^{*}$
(i) $\left.\quad \operatorname{acc}\left(f^{-1}(D \cap S)\right)=\operatorname{acc}\left(f^{-1}(D \cap S)\right) \cap \overline{f^{-1}(D-S}\right) \cup\left(C-\overline{f^{-1}(D-S)}\right)$
(ii) if $U$ is any open set which can be expressed in the form $U=U S_{n}$ where $S_{n} \in S^{*}$ and $\bar{S}_{n} \subseteq U$, then $\phi_{o}^{-1}(U)=U \operatorname{acc}\left(f^{-1}(\right.$ $\left.\left(S_{n} \cap D\right)\right)-N$ where $N$ consists of all of the ambiguous points
accessible by arcs in $f^{-1}(D \cap U)$.

Lemma 1: Let $f$ be a continuous real-valued function in $D$ and $\lambda$ be a finite-valued boundary function for $f$. Let $r$ and $t$ be real numbers with $r<t$. Then
(A) there exists a $G_{\delta}$ set $G$ and a countable set $N$ such that

$$
\lambda^{-1}([r,+\infty)) \supseteq G \supseteq \lambda^{-1}([t,+\infty))-N
$$

where $a G_{\delta}$ set is the intersection of a countable number of open sets and
(B) there exists a $G_{\delta}$ set $H$ and a countable set $M$ such that

$$
\lambda^{-1}((-\infty, \mathrm{t}]) \supseteq \mathrm{H} \supseteq \lambda^{-1}((-\infty, \mathrm{r}])-\mathrm{M}
$$

(Kaczynski, 1, Lemma 3, p.592)

Proof: Let $n$ be any positive integer. Let $\epsilon=(t-r) / 2, C n=\left\{z \in R^{2}\right.$ : $|z|=1-1 / n\}, A_{n}=\left\{z \in R^{2}: 1>|z|>1-1 / n\right\}, E_{n}=\{x \in C$ : there exists an arc $\gamma$ at $x$ having one endpoint on $C_{n}$ with $\gamma-\{x\} \subseteq f^{-1}((-\infty, r$ $))\}$, and $K=\left\{x \in C\right.$ : there exists an arc $\gamma$ at $x$ with $\gamma-\{x\} \subseteq f^{-1}((t-\epsilon$, $+\infty)$ ) \}. For a fixed $n$ and any point $x$ in $K$ we can find a simple arc $\gamma_{x}$ at $x$ such that $\gamma_{x}-\{x\} \subseteq A_{n} \cap f^{-1}([t-\epsilon,+\infty))$. Then $\gamma_{x}-\{x\}$ is a connected set. So $\gamma_{x}-\{x\}$ must be contained entirely within one component of the open set $A_{n} \cap f^{-1}((t-\epsilon,+\infty))$. Let $O_{x}$ denote this component and let $T$ be the set of all points of $K$ which are two-sided limit points of $\bar{E}_{n}$.
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We want to show that if $x, y \in T$ and $x \neq y$, then $O_{x} \cap O_{y}$ is the empty set. Suppose on the contrary there exists an element $z$ in $0_{x} \cap O_{y}$. We choose points $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ in $\gamma_{x}-\{x\}$ and $\gamma_{y}-\{y\}$ respectively. Then we join $x$ to $x^{\prime}$ by a subarc of $\gamma_{x}$ and join $x^{\prime}$ to $z$ by an arc in $O_{x}$. Similarly we join $z$ to $y^{\prime}$ by an arc in $O_{y}$ and join $y^{\prime}$ to $y$ by a subarc of $\gamma_{y}$. Putting these arcs together, we obtain an arc $\alpha$ with endpoints at $x$ and $y$ such that $\alpha-\{x, y\} \subseteq A_{n} \cap f^{-1}((t-\in,+\infty))$. If $\alpha$ is not a simple arc, we replace it by a simple arc $\alpha^{\prime}$ contained in $\alpha$ having endpoints at $x$ and $y$ and rename the simple arc $\alpha$. $\alpha$ is a crosscut of $D$. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be the two open arcs of $C$ determined by $x$ and $y$. According to Newman (1, Theorem 11.8, p.119), D - $\alpha$ has two components $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ whose boundaries are $L_{1} \cup \alpha$ and $L_{2} U \alpha$ respectively. Because $C_{n}$ is connected and does not intersect $\alpha$, it is contained entirely within one component of $D-\alpha$. By symmetry we may assume that $C_{n}$ is contained in $V_{2}$. Since $x$ is a two-sided limit point of $\bar{E}_{n}$, $L_{1}$ must contain a point of $\bar{E}_{n}$ and hence a point of $E_{n}$. Suppose $w$ is an element of $L_{1} \cap E_{n}$. There exists a simple arc $\beta$ joining $w$ to some point on $C_{n}$ with $\beta-\{w\} \subseteq f^{-1}((-\infty, r))$. But $\beta-\{w\}$ cannot have a point in common with $\alpha$ because $\alpha-\{x, y\} \subseteq f^{-1}((t-\epsilon$, $+\infty)$ ) and $f^{-1}((-\infty, r)) \cap f^{-1}((t-\epsilon,+\infty))=\varnothing$. Thus $C_{n} \cup(\beta-\{w\})$ is a connected set not meeting $\alpha$ while meeting $V_{2}$, and so is contained in $V_{2}$. Consequently $w$ is in the boundary of $V_{2}$. However, this is a contradiction because $w \in L_{1}$ and the boundary of $V_{2}$ is $L_{2} \cup \alpha$. Consequently if $x, y \in T$ and $x \neq y$, then $0_{x} \cap O_{y}=\phi$.
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countable. Also the set $S$ of all points of $\bar{E}_{n}$ which are not two-sided limit points of $\bar{E}_{n}$ is countable. Again let $n$ be any positive integer. Then $K \cap \bar{E}_{n}=[K \cap S] \cup\left[K \cap\left(\bar{E}_{n}-S\right)\right]=(K \cap S) \cup T$. So for any $n$ the intersection of $K$ and $E_{n}$ is countable. Therefore $N=K \cap u \bar{E}_{n}=U\left(K \cap \bar{E}_{n}\right)$ is countable. Let the $G \delta$ set $G$ be the set $C$ minus the union of all $\bar{E}_{n}^{\prime}$ 's. Since $\lambda^{-1}((-\infty, r))$ is contained in the union of the $E_{n}$ 's and therefore the $\bar{E}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}$ 's, $C-\lambda^{-1}((-\infty, r)) \supseteq C-U \bar{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{G} \supseteq \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N}$. But $\mathrm{C}-\lambda^{-1}((-\infty, r))$ is equal to $\lambda^{-1}([r,+\infty))$ and $K$ contains $\lambda^{-1}((t-\varepsilon,+\infty))$ which contains $\lambda^{-1}([t,+\infty))$; so $\lambda^{-1}([r,+\infty))$ contains $G$ which contains $K-N$ which contains $\lambda^{-1}([t,+\infty))-N$.
(B) follows from (A) by replacing $f$ and $\lambda$ by $-f$ and $-\lambda$.

Let $S$ and $T$ be metric spaces. A function $f$ is of Baire class $1(S, T)$ if and only if
(i) domain $g=S$,
(ii) range $f$ is contained in $T$,
(iii) there exists a sequence of continuous functions $f_{n}$ each mapping $S$ into $T$ such that $f_{n}$ approaches $f$ pointwise on $S$.
A function $g$ is of honorary Baire class $2(S, T)$ if and only if
(i) domain $g=S$,
(ii) range $g$ is contained in $T$,
(iii) there exists a function $f$ of Baire class $1(S, T)$ and a countable set $N$ such that the restriction of $f$ to the set $S-N$ is equal to the restriction of $g$ to $S-N$.
Any function $f$ from $S$ into the reals is of Baire class $\underline{0}$ if and only if it is continuous. For any ordinal number a greater than zero, $f$ is of Baire class $\underline{\alpha}$ if and only if $f$ is the pointwise limit of a
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Theorem 11: If $f$ is a continuous real-valued function in $D$ and $\lambda$ is a finite-valued boundary function for $f$, then $\lambda$ is of honorary Baire class 2(C,R). (Kaczynski, 1, Theorem 2, p.594)

Proof: For each pair of rational numbers $r$ and $t$ with $r<t$, we can choose, from Lemma $1, G_{\delta}$ sets $G(r, t), H(r, t)$ and countable sets $N(r, t)$, $M(r, t)$ such that $\lambda^{-1}([r,+\infty))$ contains $G(r, t)$ which contains $\lambda^{-1}([t,+\infty))-N(r, t)$ and $\lambda^{-1}((-\infty, t])$ contains $H(r, t)$ which contains $\lambda^{-1}((-\infty, r])-M(r, t)$. Let $N$ be the union over $r$ and $t$ of $N(r, t) \cup M(r, t)$ where $r$ is smaller than $t$. Thus $N$ is countable. Let $\lambda_{0}$ be the restriction of $\lambda$ to $C-N$ and $G *(r, t)$ be $G(r, t)$ - N. Since every countable set is an $F_{\sigma}$ set, $G^{*}(r, t)$ is a $G_{\delta}$ set. $\lambda_{0}^{-1}([r,+\infty))=\lambda^{-1}([r,+\infty))$ - N which contains $G *(r, t)$ which contains $\lambda^{-1}([t,+\infty))-N$ which is equal to $\lambda_{0}^{-1}\left([t,+\infty)\right.$ ). If $t$ is a fixed rational number, let $r_{n}$ be elements of a strictly increasing sequence of rational numbers converging to $t$. Then
$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{0}^{-1}\left(\left[r_{n},+\infty\right)\right) \supseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G *\left(r_{n}, t\right) \supseteq \lambda_{0}^{-1}([t,+\infty))=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{0}^{-1}\left(\left[r_{n},+\infty\right)\right)$.
And consequently for every rational $t, \lambda_{0}^{-1}\left([t,+\infty)\right.$ ) is a $G_{\delta}$ set.
If $u$ is any real number, choose a strictly increasing sequence of rational numbers $t_{n}$ converging to $u$. Then $\lambda_{0}^{-1}([u,+\infty)$ ) is equal to the intersection over $n$ of $\lambda_{0}^{-1}\left(\left[t_{n},+\infty\right)\right.$ ). Thus $\lambda_{0}^{-1}([u,+\infty))$ is a $G_{\delta}$ set. Similarly $\lambda_{0}^{-1}((-\infty, u])$ is a $G_{\delta}$ set for each real $u$. Therefore $\lambda_{o}^{-1}((u,+\infty))$ is the intersection of an $F_{\sigma}$ set with $C-N$ where an $F_{\sigma}$ set is any set which is the union of a countable number of closed sets. By a theorem
of Hausdorff ( $1, \mathrm{p} .309$ ),$\lambda_{\mathrm{o}}$ can be extended to a real-valued function $\lambda_{1}$ on $C$ such that for every real number $u, \lambda_{1}^{-1}([u,+\infty))$ is a $G_{\delta}$ set and $\lambda_{1}^{-1}((u,+\infty))$ is an $F_{\sigma}$ set. By Hausdorff (1, Theorem IX) $\lambda_{1}$ is of Baire class $l(C, R)$. Since $\lambda(x)=\lambda_{1}(x)$ except for $x \in N, \lambda$ is of honorary Baire class 2(C,R).

Corollary: Let $f$ be continuous. If $f: D \rightarrow R^{N}$ where $R^{N}$ is the product of the reals with itself $N$ times and $\lambda: C \rightarrow R^{N}$ is a boundary function for $f$, then $\lambda$ is of honorary Baire class 2( $C, \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{N}}$ ). (Kaczynski, 1, Corollary, p.595)

Proof: We express $f$ and $\lambda$ in terms of their components: $f=<f_{1}, f_{2}$, $\left.\ldots, f_{N}\right\rangle$ and $\lambda=\left\langle\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right\rangle . \lambda_{i}$ is a boundary function for $f_{i}$ and so is of honorary Baire class $2(C, R)$. Now we choose a function $g_{i}$ of Baire class $1(C, R)$ that agrees with $\lambda_{i}$ except on a countable set $M_{i}$. Setting $g=\left\langle g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{N}\right\rangle$ we see that $g$ is of Baire class $1\left(C, R^{N}\right)$ and that $g$ agrees with $\lambda$ except on the countable set which is the union of $M_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$. Hence $\lambda$ is of honorary Baire class $2\left(C, R^{N}\right)$.

Lemma 2: Suppose $g$ is a continuous function mapping $C$ into $R^{3}, q$ is a point of $\mathrm{R}^{3}$, and $\epsilon$ is a positive real number. Then there exists a continuous function $g^{*}: C \rightarrow R^{3}$ such that $q$ does not lie in the range of $g^{*}$ and for all $x \in C,|g(x)-q| \geq \epsilon$ implies $g(x)=g *(x)$. (Kaczynski, 1, Lemma 4, p.596)

Proof: Let $S$ be the set of points $y$ in $R^{3}$ for which $|y-q|$ is smaller than $\epsilon$. If the image of $C$ by $g$ is contained in $S$, let $g *: C \rightarrow R^{3}$ be
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any continuous function whose range does not include $q$. Otherwise the preimage of $S, g^{-1}(S)$, is a proper open subset of $C$. Hence it can be expressed in the form $g^{-1}(S)=U I_{k}$ where $I_{k}$ is the set of elements $e^{i t}$ for which $a_{k}<t<b_{k}$ and $k \neq 1$ implies that $I_{k}$ and $I_{1}$ are disjoint. Since $g^{-1}(\{q\})$ is a closed compact subset of $g^{-1}(S)$, it is covered by a finite number of the $I_{k} ' s$, say the union of $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{n}$. The endpoints $e^{i a_{k}}$ and $e^{i b_{k}}$ of $I_{k}$ are not in $g^{-1}(\{q\})$. So there exists, for each $k$, a continuous function $g_{k}$ from $\bar{I}_{k}$ into $R^{3}$ such that $g_{k}\left(e^{i a_{k}}\right)$ $=g\left(e^{i a_{k}}\right), g_{k}\left(e^{i b_{k}}\right)=g\left(e^{i b_{k}}\right)$ and $q$ is not in the range of $g_{k}$. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{*}(x)=g(x) \text { if } x \in C-\left(I_{1} \cup I_{2} \cup \ldots U I_{n}\right) \\
& g^{*}(x)=g_{k}(x) \text { if } x \in I_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $g^{*}: C \rightarrow R^{3}$ as required.

Theorem 12: If $f$ is a continuous function mapping $D$ into the Riemann sphere $W$ and $\lambda$ is a boundary function for $f$, then $\lambda$ is of honorary Baire class 2(C,W). (Kaczynski, 1, Theorem 3, p.596)

Proof: Since $W$ is a subset of $R^{3}$, the Corollary of Theorem 11 shows that $\lambda$ is of honorary Baire class $2\left(C, R^{3}\right)$. Let $g$ be a function of Baire class $1\left(C, R^{3}\right)$ which differs from $\lambda$ only on a countable set $N$. Then $g(C)-W$ is countable. Thus there exists a point $q$ inside of $W$ which is not in the range of $g$. Let $g_{n}$ be an element of a sequence of continuous functions converging to g . By Lemma 2 there exists, for each $n$, a continuous function $g_{n}^{*}: C \rightarrow R^{3}$ such that $q$ does not 1 ie in the range of $g_{n}^{*}$ and, for all $x \in C,\left|g_{n}(x)-q\right| \geq 1 / n$ implies $g_{n}(x)=g_{n}^{*}(x)$.

Then $g_{n}^{*}(x)$ approaches $g$.
We now want to define a function $P$. If $a \in R^{3}-\{q\}$, let 1 be the unique ray with endpoint at $q$ that passes through $a$ and $P(a)$ be the point of intersection of 1 with $W$. $P$ is a continuous mapping of $R^{3}-\{q\}$ onto $W$ and $P$ fixes every point of $W$. Therefore, $P(g(x))=\lambda(x)$ if $x \notin N . \quad P\left(g_{n}^{*}(x)\right)$ is a continuous function from $C$ into $W$ and $P\left(g_{n}^{*}(x)\right)$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}))$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 13: If the function $f$ has a boundary function $\lambda$ that is a Baire function, then every boundary function for $f$ is a Baire function. If $\lambda$ is of Baire class $\alpha \geq 3$, then every boundary function for $f$ is of Baire class $\alpha$. (Bagemih1 and Piranian, 1, Theorem 3, p. 202)

Proof: Let $\lambda$ be of class $\alpha$ and suppose that $\lambda_{1}$ is another boundary function for $f$. By the Ambiguous Point Theorem, $\lambda_{1}$ differs from $\lambda$ at no more than countably many points; therefore, $\lambda_{1}$ is of Baire class $\beta$ where $\beta$ is less than or equal to the maximum of 2 and $\alpha$ according to Hahn (1, Theorem VII, p.352). By a similar argument $\alpha$ is less than or equal to the maximum of 2 and $\beta$.

## SOME SPECIAL TYPES OF CLUSTER SETS

Frequently mathematicians have investigated special cluster sets of $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$. For example, in our introduction of this chapter we mentioned boundary cluster sets and right-hand and left-hand cluster sets. In this section we will consider another type: the outer angular cluster set. In later sections we will consider some others.

A Stolz angle is a domain bounded by an arc of $C$ and two chords of
the unit circle each having $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$ as an endpoint. The outer angular cluster set $C_{A}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is defined to be the union of all of the cluster sets $C_{\Delta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ where $\Delta$ is a Stolz angle with vertex at $e^{i \theta}$.

Lemma 3: Let $f$ be an arbitrary complex-valued function in D. Suppose $a$ and $b$ are two fixed real numbers satisfying the condition $-\pi / 2<a<b$ $<\pi / 2$. For each $e^{i \theta} \in C$ let $\Delta(\theta)$ be the set of $z$ 's in $D$ for which $a<\arg \left[1-\left(z / e^{i \theta}\right)\right]<b$. Then there exists a subset $F(a, b)$ of $C$ such that $F(a, b)$ is a set of linear measure zero and for each $e^{i \theta} \in C-F(a, b)$ $C_{\Delta(\theta)}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C_{A}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$. (Lappan, 8, Lemma, p.1060)

Proof: Let $V_{n}$ be an element in a countable base for the open sets of $W$ and $S_{n}$ be an element in the collection of all finite unions of the sets $V_{n}$. For each positive integer $j$, let $\Delta(\theta, j)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}z \in D:-\pi / 2+1 / j\end{array}\right.$ $\left.<\arg \left[1-\left(z / e^{i \theta}\right)\right]<\pi / 2-1 / j\right\}$ and $E(r, j, n)=\left\{e^{i \theta} \in C: f(\Delta(\theta) \cap\{z:\right.$ $|z|>r\}) \subset s_{n}$ and $C_{\Delta(\theta, j)}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is not contained in $\left.\bar{S}_{n}\right\}$. We want to show that for each pair of positive integers $j$ and $n$ and each real number $r$ in the interval $0<r<1, E(r, j, n)$ is of linear measure zero. So suppose that there exists a triple $r, j, n$ such that $E(r, j, n)$ is not of linear measure zero. Since $E(r, j, n)$ is measurable and not of measure zero, there exists a subset $E^{*}$ of $E(r, j, n)$ such that $E^{*}$ is closed, has no isolated points and has positive measure. Let $G$ be the set $\{z:|z| \leqslant r\} \cup\left\{\Delta(\theta): e^{i \theta} \in E *\right\}$, where $\Delta(\theta)$ is as defined above. By an argument of Noshiro (1, p.71), the boundary of $G$ is a rectifiable Jordan curve. So there exists a subset $E^{\prime}$ of $E *$ such that $E^{\prime}$ has positive measure and the boundary of $G$ has a tangent at each point of $E^{\prime}$ and this tangent is the tangent to $C$ at this
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point. For any point $e^{i \theta} \in E^{\prime}$ and some $\epsilon>0, \Delta(\theta, j) \cap\left\{z:\left|z-e^{i \theta}\right|<\epsilon\right\}$ is contained in $G$. But for each point $G$ for which $|z|>r, f(z) \in S_{n}$. Therefore, $C_{\Delta(\theta, j)}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is contained in $\bar{S}_{n}$ which contradicts the definition of $E(r, j, n)$. Consequently $E(r, j, n)$ must have linear measure zero.

Suppose $C_{\Delta(\theta)}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \neq C_{A}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$. Then there must exist some $j$ such that $C_{\Delta(\theta, j)}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \neq C_{\Delta(\theta)}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$. Since each of these cluster sets is compact, there exists an integer $n$ such that $C_{\Delta(\theta)} \subset S_{n}$ and $C_{\Delta(\theta, j)}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is not contained in $\bar{S}_{n}$. So for some $r, e^{i \theta} \in E(r, j, n)$. Let $F(a, b)$ denote the union of all of the $E(r, j, n)$ 's over all rational numbers $r$ between 0 and 1 and all pairs of positive integers $n$ and $j$. Since $F(a, b)$ is the countable union of sets of linear measure zero, it is of linear measure zero. If $e^{i \theta} \in C-F(a, b)$, then $C_{\Delta(\theta)}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is equal to $C_{A}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$.

Theorem 14: Let $f$ be an arbitrary complex-valued function in D. Then there exists a subset $F$ of $C$, where $F$ is a set of linear measure zero, such that for each point $e^{i \theta} \in C-F$ and each Stolz angle $\Delta$ with vertex at $e^{i \theta}, C_{\Delta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C_{A}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$. (Lappan, 8, Theorem 1, p.1060; Brelot and Doob, Theorem 7, p.409)

Proof: Let the elements of two sequences of rational numbers, denoted by $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ respectively, satisfy the conditions $-\pi / 2<a_{n}<b_{n}<\pi / 2$ and for each pair of real numbers $c$ and $d$ satisfying the condition $-\pi / 2<c<d<\pi / 2$ there exists an integer $n$ such that $c<a_{n}<b_{n}<d$. Let $F=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$. If $e^{i \theta} \in C-F$ and if $\Delta$ is any Stolz angle with vertex at $e^{i \theta}$, then there exists a positive integer $n$ such that

$$
\Delta^{\prime}(\theta)=\left\{z \in D: a_{n}<\arg \left[1-\left(z / e^{i \theta}\right)\right]<b_{n}\right\}
$$

and $\Delta^{\prime}(\theta)$ is contained in $\Delta$. Since $e^{i \theta} \notin F\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right), C_{\Delta^{\prime}(\theta)}=C_{A}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ and so $C_{\Delta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C_{A}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$. Furthermore, $F$ is the countable union of sets of linear measure zero. So $F$ is also of linear measure zero.

In the next paragraph we will give an example of a function which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 14 such that $F$ is uncountable and has positive capacity. First we will explain the term capacity. Let $\mu(E)$ be a non-negative additive set function defined on all the Borel sets in the plane. Let $F$ be a closed bounded set in the plane having a connected complement $G$ and $M^{*}$ be the set of all set functions $\mu$ with the property

$$
\int_{\zeta \in \mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~d} \mu(\zeta)=1
$$

We now define the function

$$
u(z)=\int_{\zeta \in F} \log (1 /|z-\zeta|) d \mu(\zeta)
$$

and the quantity

$$
V_{F}=\inf _{\mu \in M^{*}}\left(\sup _{z \in G} u(z)\right) .
$$

Then the capacity of the set $F$ is defined to be cap $F=e^{-V_{F}}$, and the capacity of any Borel set $E$ is

$$
\text { cap } E=\sup _{F \subset E}(\operatorname{cap} F) .
$$

We now give the following example which is found in (Lappan, 8, p.1062). Let $U$ be the upper half plane, $P$ be the Cantor middle third
set on the closed interval $[0,1]$, and $I_{n}$ be an element in the collection of open intervals which are complementary to $P$ in ( 0,1 ). For each $n$ let $T_{n}$ be the triangular region bounded by the equilateral triangle in $\bar{U}$ having $\bar{I}_{n}$ as its base. Let $T=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{n}$ and $V=U-T$. We define the function $f$ in $U$ to be as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(z)=0 & \text { for } \\
f(z)=1 & \text { for } \\
z \in T
\end{array}
$$

If $F$ is the subset of $C$ of linear measure zero mentioned in Theorem 14, then $P C F$; therefore, $F$ is uncountable and has positive capacity.

## HOROCYCLES

In the study of cluster sets of special subsets of $\bar{D}$, one of the most important types of subsets has been the horocycle. A horocycle at a point $e^{i \theta} \in C$ is defined to be a circle internally tangent to $C$ at the point $e^{i \theta}$. The horocycle is denoted by $h_{r}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ or just $h_{r}$ where $r$ $(0<r<1)$ is the radius of the horocycle. The point $e^{i \theta}$ is not considered to be part of $h_{r}$. A point $w \in W$ is a horocyclic cluster value of $f$ at $e^{i \theta}$ if there exists a sequence with elements $z_{n}$ lying between two horocycles at $e^{i \theta}$ such that $\lim z_{n}=e^{i \theta}$ and $\lim f\left(z_{n}\right)=w$.

Given a horocycle $h_{r}$ at a point $e^{i \theta} \in C$, the region interior to $h_{r}$ is denoted by $\Omega_{r}$. The half of $h_{r}$ lying to the right of the radius at $e^{i \theta}$ as viewed from the origin is denoted by $h_{r}^{+}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ and is called the right horocycle at $e^{i \theta}$ with radius $r$. The left horocycle is defined analogously. In addition $\Omega_{r}^{+}$and $\Omega_{r}^{-}$denote the right and left half respectively of $\Omega_{r}$.

Suppose $0<r_{1}<r_{2}<1$ and $r_{3}\left(0<r_{3}<1\right)$ is so large that the
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circle $|z|=r_{3}$ intersects both of the horocycles $h_{r_{1}}$ and $h_{r_{2}}$. Then the right horocyclic angle $H_{r_{1}}^{+}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ at $e^{i \theta}$ with radii $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $r_{3}$ is defined to be

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}, \mathrm{r}_{3}}^{+}=\operatorname{comp} \overline{\left[\Omega_{r_{1}}^{+}\right]} \cap \Omega_{r_{2}}^{+} \cap\left\{z:|z| \geq r_{3}\right\}
$$

where the bar denotes closure and "comp" denotes complement, both with respect to the plane. The corresponding left horocyclic angle is denoted by $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}, \mathrm{r}_{3} .}^{-} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{r}_{1}}, \mathrm{r}_{2}, \mathrm{r}_{3}$ denotes a horocyclic angle at $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$ without specifying whether it is right or left. If we do not wish to specify $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$, then the notation is simplified to $H$.

We now wish to define special types of cluster sets for horocycles. The right outer horocyclic angular cluster set of $f$ at $e^{i \theta}$ is $C_{U}+\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=U C_{H}+\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$, and the right inner horocyclic angular cluster set of $f$ at $e^{i \theta}$ is $C_{I^{\prime}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=\cap C_{H^{+}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$, where the union and the intersection are taken over $\mathrm{H}^{+}$which ranges over all right horocyclic angles at $e^{i \theta} . C_{U^{-}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ and $C_{I^{-}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ are defined analogously. The outer horocyclic angular cluster set of $f$ at $e^{i \theta}$ is defined to be $C_{U}=C_{U^{+}}+U C_{U^{-}}$, and the inner horocyclic angular cluster set of $f$ is defined to be $C_{I}=C_{I^{+}} \cap C_{I^{-}}$. The right principal horocyclic cluster set of $f$ at $e^{i \theta}$ is defined to be $\Pi_{w}^{+}=\cap C_{h_{r}}$ while the left principal horocyclic cluster set is defined by changing the + signs to - signs. The principal horocyclic cluster set is the intersection of the right and the left principal horocyclic cluster sets and is similar to the principal chordal cluster set which is defined as the intersection of $C_{X}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ over $X$ and denoted by $\Pi_{X}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$. $C_{X}$ is the cluster set of $f$ at $e^{i \theta}$ on the chord $X$. The inner horocyclic angular cluster set is similar to the inner angular cluster set $C_{B}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ which is the
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Finally we wish to define special types of points on C. Any point $e^{i \theta} \in C$ is called a right horocyclic Fatou point of $f$ with right horocyclic Fatou value $w \in W$ whenever $C_{U^{+}}$is equal to the set consisting of the single w. A point $e^{i \theta}$ is called a right horocyclic Plessner point of $f$ if $C_{I^{+}}=W$, and $e^{i \theta}$ is called a right horocyclic Meier point of $f$ provided $\Pi_{w}^{+}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is properly contained in $W$. The sets of right horocyclic Fatou points, right horocyclic Plessner points and right horocyclic Meier points of $f$ are denoted by $F_{w}^{+}(f), I_{w}^{+}(f)$ and $M_{W}^{+}(f)$ respectively. The corresponding left horocyclic sets $F_{w}^{-}(f)$, $I_{W}^{-}(f)$ and $M_{W}^{-}(f)$ are defined in an analogous manner. Finally the sets of horocyclic Fatou points, horocyclic Plessner points and horocyclic Meier points of $f$ are denoted by $F_{W}, I_{w}$ and $M_{w}$ respectively and defined as follows: $e^{i \theta} \in F_{W}$ if $C_{U}$ is a singleton; $e^{i \theta} \in I_{w}$ if $C_{I}=W$, that is, $I_{w}=I_{w}^{+} \cap I_{w}^{-} ; e^{i \theta} \in M_{W}$ if $\Pi_{W}=C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ which is properly contained in $W$, that is $M_{w}$ is the intersection of $M_{w}^{+}$and $M_{w}^{-} . \quad F(f), I(f)$ and $M(f)$ denote respectively the sets of Fatou points, Plessner points and Meier points. These points are quite similar to those including "horocyclic" in their names since $e^{i \theta} \in F(f)$ if $C_{A}$ is a singleton and $\lim f(z)$ exists uniformly as $z$ approaches $e^{i \theta}$ in any Stolz angle; $e^{i \theta} \in I(f)$ if $C_{\Delta}=W$ for every angle $\Delta ; e^{i \theta} \in M(f)$ if for any chord $\rho(\phi)$ of $C$ passing through $e^{i \theta}$ and making an angle $\phi$ with the radius to $e^{i \theta},-\pi / 2<\phi<\pi / 2$, $C_{\rho(\phi)}=C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ which is properly contained in $W$.

Lemma 4: Let $f(z)$ be an arbitrary function from $D$ into $W$. Then $C_{B}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C_{I}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for a residual $G_{\delta}$ subset of $C$. (Dragosh, 2, Lemma 2, p.60)

Proof:

cate


Proof: For any $e^{i \theta} \in C$, let $\Delta_{n, r}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ be the Stolz angle at $e^{i \theta}$ with aperture $\pi / 2^{n}$, where the bisector of $\Delta_{n, r}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ at $e^{i \theta}$ makes a rational angle $r$, $-\pi / 2<r<\pi / 2$, with the radius at $e^{i \theta}$. If $a_{m}$ is the annulus $1-1 / m<|z|<1$ where $1-1 / m>\sin \left(|r|+\pi / 2^{n+1}\right)$, then let $\Delta_{n, r, m}=\Delta_{n, r}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \cap a_{m}$. Let $\Sigma\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ be the countable collection of all $\Delta_{n, r, m}$ at $e^{i \theta}$ and $\sum_{w}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ be the countable collection of $\mathrm{H}_{r_{1}}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ at $e^{i \theta}$ with rational radii $r_{i}$.

For each $\Delta \in \Sigma\left(e^{i \theta}\right), C_{\Delta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for a residual $G_{\delta}$ subset of C (remark after Theorem 2). The intersection of countably many of these residual $G_{\delta}$ subsets is again a residual $G_{\delta}$ subset $E_{1}$ of $C$ such that

Also

$$
C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=\cap_{\Delta \in \sum\left(e^{i \theta}\right)} C_{\Delta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C_{B}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \quad \text { for } e^{i \theta} \in E_{1}
$$

$$
C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=\cap_{H \in \sum_{W}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)} C_{H}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C_{I}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \quad \text { for } e^{i \theta} \in E_{2}
$$

where $E_{2}$ is another $G_{\delta}$ subset and $E_{1} \cap E_{2}$ is the required subset of $C$.
$S_{1}, S_{2} \subseteq C$ are topologically equivalent if $S_{1}-S_{2}$ and $S_{2}-S_{1}$ are of first category.

Theorem 15: Let $f: D \rightarrow W$. Then the sets $I(f), I_{w}^{+}(f), I_{w}^{-}(f)$, and $I_{w}(w)$ are topologically equivalent. (Bagemih1, 3, Theorem 4, p.13)

Proof: Since $C_{I^{\prime}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C_{I^{+}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \cap C_{I^{-}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right), e^{i \theta} \in C, C_{B}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C_{I^{+}}(f$, $\left.e^{i \theta}\right)=C_{I^{-}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for a residual set of points $e^{i \theta}$ on $C$.

Theorem 16: If $f$ is an arbitrary function from $D$ into $W$, then the sets $F(f), F_{W}^{+}(f), F_{W}^{-}(f)$ and $F_{W}(f)$ are topologically equivalent. (Bagemih1, 3, Remark 3, p.16)

Proof: By definition we have the following conditions: $C_{B} \subseteq C_{A}$, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}^{+}} \subseteq \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{U}^{+}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}^{-}} \subseteq \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{U}^{-}}$and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}} \subseteq \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{U}}$ for any point on C . Consequently Lemma 4 implies that $C_{A}=C_{U^{+}}=C_{U^{-}}=C_{U}$ for a residual set of points on $C$.

In contrast to Theorems 15 and 16 , the sets $M(f)$ and $M_{w}(f)$ are not necessarily topologically equivalent. (Dragosh, 2, Remark 3, p.61) For example, let $S$ be a countable dense subset of $C$. We define $f(z)$ in $D$ as follows: $f(0)=0, f(z)=1$ for $z \in h_{\frac{1}{2}}^{+}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ for $e^{i \theta} \in S$ and $f(z)=0$ for $z \in h_{\frac{3}{2}}^{+}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ for $e^{i \theta} \in C-S$. Since $S$ and $C-S$ are both dense on $C, \Pi_{w}$ is the element 0 for $e^{i \theta} \in C-S$ and $\Pi_{w}$ is the set with a single element 1 for $e^{i} \theta \in S$. Thus $M(f)=C$, but $M_{w}(f)=\phi$.

Lemma 5: If $f(z)$ is an arbitrary function from $D$ into $W$, then for any set $L\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ for which there exists a Stolz angle at $e^{i \theta}$ containing $L\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ $C_{L}$ is contained in $C_{B}$ except for a set on $C$ which is of measure zero and of first category. (Dragosh, 2, Lemma 3, p.61)

Proof: Let $E$ denote the set of points $e^{i \theta} \in C$ for which $C_{L}$ is not contained in $C_{B}$. Then for each $e^{i \theta} \in E$, there exists a set $L\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ lying inside of a Stolz angle at $e^{i \theta}$ for which $C_{L}$ is not contained in $C_{\Delta}$ for some Stolz angle $\Delta$ at $e^{i \theta}$. So there exists a disk $Q_{p}$ on $W$ such that $C_{L}$ and $Q_{p}$ are not disjoint while $C_{\Delta}$ and $\bar{Q}_{p}$ are. Using the notation in Lemma 4, we can find a Stolz angle $\Delta_{n, r, m, p} \in \Sigma\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ such that $\overline{f\left(\Delta_{n, r, m}\right)}$ and $Q_{p}$ are disjoint. So we can express $E$ as $U E{ }_{n, r, m, p}$ over all subscripts where $e^{i \theta} \in E_{n, r, m, p}$ if there exists at least one set $L\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ lying in a Stolz angle at $e^{i \theta}$ such that $C_{L}$ and $Q_{p}$ are not disjoint
while $\overline{f\left(\Delta_{n, r, m}\right)}$ and $Q_{p}$ are disjoint.
Suppose there exists a set $E_{n, r, m, p}$ which has positive outer mea-


If $G=U \Delta_{n, r, m}$ over points $e^{i \theta} \in \overline{E_{n, r, m, p}}$, then $G$ is composed of finitely many open simply connected subsets $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{N}$ of $D$ because $C-\overline{E_{n, r, m, p}}$ contains only a finite number of arcs with length exceeding a fixed number between 0 and $2 \pi$. Privalow ( $1, \mathrm{p} .220$ ) has shown that each $G_{k}$, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant N$, has a rectifiable Jordan curve $J_{k}$ as its boundary.

Since $E_{n, r, m, p}$ is assumed to have positive outer measure, the intersection of $E_{n, r, m, p}$ and $J_{k}$ must have positive exterior measure for at least one $J_{k}$. The tangent to $J_{k}$ at almost every point of $C \cap J_{k}$ coincides with the tangent to $C$. Consequently there exist points in $E_{n, r, m, p}$ belonging to $C \cap J_{k}$ at which the tangent to $J_{k}$ coincides with the tangent to $C$. At any such point each Stolz angle at that point has its terminal portion contained in $G_{k}$. So there exist points $e^{i \theta}$ in $E_{n, r, m, p}$ such that $C_{L}$ is contained in $\overline{f\left(G_{k}\right)}$ for each set $L\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ at $e^{i \theta}$ which is contained in a Stolz angle at $e^{i \theta}$. Since $\overline{f\left(\Delta_{n, r, m}\right)}$ and $Q_{p}$ are disjoint for any point in $\overline{E_{n, r, m, p}}$ and $G$ is the union over points in $\overline{E_{n, r, m, p}}$ of $\Delta_{n, r, m}, \overline{f\left(G_{k}\right)}$ and $Q_{p}$ are disjoint. However, the definition of $E_{n, r, m, p}$ says that for each point in $E_{n, r, m, p} C_{L} \cap Q_{p} \neq \phi$ for at least one set $L\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ lying in a Stolz angle at $e^{i \theta}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, each set $E_{n, r, m, p}$ has measure zero, and so $E$ also has measure zero.

By a similar argument it can be shown that each $E_{n, r, m, p}$ is of first category, and consequently $E$ is of first category.
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Theorem 17: Let $f(z)$ be an arbitrary function from $D$ into $W$ and let $K(f)$ denote the set of points $e^{i \theta} \in C$ for which $C_{\Delta_{1}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=C_{\Delta_{2}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for any pair of Stolz angles $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ at $e^{i \theta}$. Then $K(f)$ is residual and of measure $2 \pi$ on C. (Dragosh, 2, Theorem 2, p.63)

Proof: At each point $e^{i \theta} \in C-K(f)$, there exists a Stolz angle $\Delta$ such that $C_{\Delta}$ is not contained in $C_{B}$. By Lemma 5, $C-K(f)$ is of measure zero and of first category.

This theorem is a very important result as it generalizes Theorems 2 and 14.

Theorem 18: Let $f(z)$ be an arbitrary function from $D$ into $W$ and let $K_{W}(f)$ denote the set of points $e^{i \theta} \in C$ for which $C_{H_{1}}=C_{H_{2}}$ for any pair of horocyclic angles $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ at $e^{i \theta}$. Then $K_{w}$ is residual and of measure $2 \pi$ on C. (Dragosh, 2, Theorem 3, p.67)

The theorem can be proved in a manner very similar to that of Lemma 5.

Two sets $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are called metrically equivalent if and only if measure $\left(S_{1}-S_{2}\right)=$ measure $\left(S_{2}-S_{1}\right)=0$. Corollary: If $f(z)$ is an arbitrary function from $D$ into $W$, then the sets $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{w}}^{+}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{w}}^{-}$and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{w}}$ are metrically equivalent and the sets $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}}^{+}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}}^{-}$and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}}$ are metrically equivalent. (Dragosh, 2, Corollary 1, p.68)

Proof: Suppose $e^{i \theta}$ belongs to at least one of the sets $F_{w}^{+}, F_{w}^{-}$and $F_{w}$ but not to all of them. Then there exists a pair of horocyclic angles
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$ such that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}_{1}} \neq \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}$. By Theorem 18 the set of such points $e^{i \theta} \in C$ is of measure zero. So $F_{w}^{+}, F_{w}^{-}$and $F_{w}$ are metrically equivalent. The proof for $I_{w}^{+}, I_{w}^{-}$and $I_{w}$ is identical.
$F(f)$ and $F_{w}(f)$ need not be metrically equivalent. For example (Dragosh; 2, Theorem 5, p.69), we are able to define the Blascke product

$$
B(z)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\zeta_{n}\right)^{2^{n}}+(z)^{2^{n}}}{\left(1+\zeta_{n} z\right)^{2^{n}}} \text { where } \zeta_{n}=1-\left(n^{2} 2^{n}\right)^{-1}
$$

for any positive integer $n$ which has zeros at the points

$$
z_{n, k}=\zeta_{n} e^{i(2 k-1) 2^{-n} \pi}, k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n} \text { and } n>0
$$

For each point $\zeta \in C$ and each horocycle $h_{r}$ for $0<r<1$ at the point, there exist sequences of these zeros lying interior to $\Omega_{r}^{+}$and $\Omega_{r}^{-}$. Thus for each point in $C, 0 \in C_{\Omega_{r}}^{+}$for $0<r<1$ and similarly for $C_{\Omega_{r}^{-}}$. A Blaschke product has a Fatou value of modulus one at any point of $C$ except for a set of measure zero. Let $\zeta$ be a Fatou point of $B$ that has the Fatou value a with $|a|=1$. If $\zeta$ is a right horocyclic Fatou point of $B$, then $C_{\Omega_{r}}^{+}$is the set with the single element 0 for $0<r<1$. Since this contradicts the fact that $C_{\Delta}$ is the set with the single element a for each Stolz angle $\Delta$ at $\zeta$, the set of right horocyclic Fatou points of $B$ is of measure zero. By the corollary following Theorem 18, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{f})$ has measure zero.
$I(f)$ and $I_{w}(f)$ also need not be metrically equivalent. Dragosh (1, Theorem, p.41) constructs a function $f(z)$ holomorphic in $D$ such that every point of $C$ is a horocyclic Plessner point of $f$ and almost every point of $C$ is a Fatou point of $f$.

Lemma 6: If $f(z)$ is an arbitrary function from $D$ into $W$, then for any set $H^{*}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ for which there exists a disk $\boldsymbol{a}_{r}$ at $e^{i \theta}$ containing $H^{*}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ $C_{H \star}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is contained in $C_{I}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ except for a set on $C$ which is of measure zero and of first category. (Dragosh, 2, Lemma 6, p.67)

Proof: Much of the proof of this lemma is analogous to the proof of Lemma 5. We replace Stolz angles by horocyclic angles and the region $G$ by a region $G^{\mathbf{W}}$, which is defined as follows; let $P$ be a perfect nowhere dense subset of $C$ and $H_{r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ be a fixed horocyclic angle, then $G^{W}$ is the union of all of the $H_{r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}}$ 's for $e^{i \theta}$ in P. According to Bagemih1 (3, Lemma 1) $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{W}}$ is composed of finitely many simply. connected subregions $G_{1}^{W}, \ldots, G_{k}^{W}$ having as their respective boundaries the rectifiable Jordan curves $J_{1}^{W}, \ldots, J_{k}^{W}$. So the tangent to $J_{n}^{W}$ for $1 \leq n \leq k$ at almost every point $e^{i} \theta \in C \cap J_{n}^{W}$ coincides with the tangent to $C$. We must now show that except for a set of measure zero contained in the set $C \cap J_{n}^{W}$, each horocyclic angle $H$ at $e^{i \theta}$ has a terminal portion which lies in $G_{n}^{W}$ because the tangent to $H$ at $e^{i \theta}$ also coincides with the tangent to $C$.

In order to verify the last statement, we will first show that if $P$ is a perfect nowhere dense subset of $[0,1]$, then for almost every point $p \in P$ for which a sequence of open intervals $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ in $[0,1]-P$ converges to $p,\left|a_{n}-p\right| /\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)$ tends to positive infinity. If $E$ is any Lebesgue measureable set in $R^{1}$ for which the upper and lower limits of the quotient

$$
\frac{\text { meas }(E \cap(x-\delta, x+\delta))}{2 \delta}
$$

are equal, then their common value is called the metric density of E at x. According to Hobson (p.194), in our case the metric density exists
and is equal to 1 at almost every point $p \in P$. Let $p \in P$ be a point with metric density equal to 1 and suppose that the sequence $\left\{\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges to $p$ from the right. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{meas}\left(P \cap\left(p, b_{n}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{meas}\left(p, b_{n}\right)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{meas}\left(p \cap\left(p, a_{n}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{meas}\left(p, a_{n}\right)}=1
$$

and $\quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{meas}\left(P \cap\left(p, b_{n}\right)\right)}{\left(a_{n}-p\right)+\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)} \longrightarrow 1$.
Since $P \cap\left(p, b_{n}\right)=P \cap\left(p, a_{n}\right), \quad \lim \frac{\operatorname{meas}\left(P \cap\left(p, b_{n}\right)\right)}{a_{n}-p} \longrightarrow 1$.
Also since meas $\left(P \cap\left(p, b_{n}\right)\right), a_{n}-p$ and $b_{n}-a_{n}$ are each greater than zero, these conditions imply that $\lim \left[\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right) /\left(a_{n}-p\right)\right]$ approaches zero. Consequently $\left(a_{n}-p\right) /\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$, and in general $\left|a_{n}-p\right| /\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$.

Now we will show that except for a set of measure zero contained in the set $C \cap J_{n}^{W}$, each horocyclic angle $H\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ for $e^{i \theta} \in J_{n}^{W}$ has a terminal portion which lies in $G_{n}^{W}$. By means of a bilinear transformation $L(z)$, it is possible to map $D$ onto the upper half plane and to prove this result there. Let $P$ be a nowhere dense set on the finite interval $I$ on the real axis and $\left\{\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\right\} C I-P$. We now choose circles $C_{1}$ : $\left(x-a_{n}\right)^{2}+(y-R)^{2}=R^{2}$ and $C_{2}:\left(x-b_{n}\right)^{2}+(y-r)^{2}=r^{2}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<R_{1} \leqslant r \leqslant R_{2}<R_{3} \leqslant R \leqslant R_{4} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $r$ and $R$ in this manner so that the two horocycles $h_{r_{1}}$ and $h_{r_{2}}$ forming part of $\mathrm{H}_{r_{1}}, \mathrm{r}_{2}, \mathrm{r}_{3}$ are mapped by $\mathrm{L}(z)$ onto circles of the form $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ as $e^{i \theta}$ ranges over $P \subset C$. At the left and right endpoints of each interval in $I-P$ we construct the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ respectively. We will now prove that at almost every point $p \in P$ and for any sequence
of arcs with elements $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ in $I-P$ converging to $p$, the point $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ closest to $p$ lies interior to any given circle tangent to the $x$-axis at $p$ for at most a finite number of $n$ 's. Our method of proof will be to show that if the previous condition holds, then $\left|a_{n}-p\right| /\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)$ tends to positive infinity. By the previous paragraph this limit is valid at almost every point of $P$. Suppose there exists a point $p \in P$ for which every sequence of open intervals in $[0,1]$ - $P$ converging to $p$ satisfies the condition $\left|a_{n}-p\right| /\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)$ tends to infinity, but for which there exists a sequence of elements $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ such that the point $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ lies interior to a given circle for an infinite number of n's. Without loss of generality, we assume that $p=0$. So we are assuming that there exists a circle : $x^{2}+(y-\rho)^{2}=\rho^{2}$ such that $x_{n}^{2}+\left(y_{n}-\rho\right)^{2}<\rho^{2}$ for infinitely many $n$. Since $\left|a_{n}\right| /\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)$ tends to infinity and signum $\left(a_{n}\right)=\operatorname{signum}\left(b_{n}\right)$, $\left|b_{n}+a_{n}\right| /\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)$ also tends to infinity.

Let $L_{1}$ denote the line which passes through the points of intersection of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2} . L_{1}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\left(x-a_{n}\right)^{2}+(y-R)^{2}-R^{2}-\left[\left(x-b_{n}\right)^{2}+(y-r)^{2}-r^{2}\right]=0
$$

or

$$
x=\frac{R-r}{b_{n}-a_{n}} y+\left(b_{n}+a_{n}\right) / 2
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\frac{R-r}{b_{n}-a_{n}} y_{n}+\left(b_{n}+a_{n}\right) / 2 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By solving Equation (4) and the equation for $C_{1}$ simultaneously for $y_{n}$, we have

$$
\left(\frac{R-r}{b_{n}-a_{n}} y_{n}+\left(b_{n}+a_{n}\right) / 2-a_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{n}-R\right)^{2}=R^{2}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
y_{n}(R-r)^{2} /\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)^{2} / y_{n}=R+r-y_{n} .
$$

As $y_{n}$ approaches 0 from the right, we have $y_{n}=O\left(\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)^{2}\right)$ where 0 indicates the order of the function. So $y_{n}<K\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)^{2}$ for $0<K$ and n sufficiently large. Substituting Equation (4) into the condition $x_{n}^{2}+\left(y_{n}-\rho\right)^{2}<\rho^{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{R-r}{b_{n}-a_{n}}\right)^{2} y_{n}+(R-r)\left(\frac{b_{n}+a_{n}}{b_{n}-a_{n}}\right)+\left(\frac{b_{n}+a_{n}}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{y_{n}}+y_{n}<2 \rho . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand side of this inequality is greater than

$$
(R-r)\left(\frac{b_{n}+a_{n}}{b_{n}-a_{n}}\right)+\left(\frac{b_{n}+a_{n}}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{y_{n}}
$$

which by Condition (3) and the condition for $y_{n}$ is greater than

$$
\left(R_{3}-R_{2}\right)\left(\frac{b_{n}^{+} a_{n}}{b_{n}-a_{n}}\right)+\left(\frac{b_{n}+a_{n}}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{K\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)^{2}}=\frac{b_{n}+a_{n}}{b_{n}-a_{n}}\left[R_{3}-R_{2}+\frac{b_{n}+a_{n}}{4 K\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)}\right]
$$

Since $\left|b_{n}+a_{n}\right| /\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)$ tends to infinity, the lower bound on Inequality (5) also tends to infinity. Hence $x_{n}^{2}+\left(y_{n}-\rho\right)^{2}<\rho^{2}$ can hold at most for a finite number of $n$ 's.

Theorem 19: If $f(z)$ is an arbitrary function from $D$ into $W$, then for any point $\zeta \in C, C_{\Delta}$ is contained in $C_{H}$ for every Stolz angle $\Delta$ and every horocyclic angle at $\zeta$ except for a set on $C$ which is of measure zero and of first category. (Dragosh, 2, theorem 4, p.68)

Proof: If $\zeta$ is a point where $C_{\Delta}$ is not contained in $C_{H}$, then $C_{\Delta}$ is not contained in $C_{I}$ for some Stolz angle $\Delta$ at $\zeta$. So this theorem follows immediately from Lemma 6.

Theorem 20: If $f$ is a arbitrary function from $D$ into $W$, then almost every horocyclic Fatou point of $f$ is a Fatou point of $f$ and almost every Plessner point of $f$ is a horocyclic Plessner point of $f$. (Dragosh, 2, Coro11ary 2, p.68)

Proof: If $\zeta \in F_{w}(f)$, then there exists a horocyclic angle $H(\zeta)$ at $\zeta$ and a point $w \in W$ such that $C_{H}$ is the set containing only the point $w$. From Theorem 19, it follows that $C_{A}$ also contains only the point $w$ for almost every point $\zeta \in F_{w}(f)$.

If $\zeta \in I(f)$, then $C_{B}=W$. According to Theorem $19 C_{B}$ is contained in $C_{I}$ for almost every point $\zeta \in C$. So $C_{I}=W$ for almost every point in $I(f)$.

## ORICYCLIC CLUSTER SETS

Oricycles are another type of special subsets of $\bar{D}$ which have been studied in the field of cluster sets. Let $\zeta$ be any point on $C$ and $U(\zeta)$ denote the inscribed disk at $\zeta$ such that $U=\{z:|z-\rho \zeta|<1-\rho\}$ where $\rho$ is a constant such that $0<\rho<1$. Then the oricyclic cluster set is defined to be $C_{o}(f, \zeta)=\bigcap_{U} C_{U}(f, \zeta)$. A UU-singular point is any point $\zeta \in C$ such that there exists a pair of inscribed disks $U^{\prime}$ and $U^{\prime \prime}$ for which $C_{U}(f, \zeta) \neq C_{U^{\prime \prime}}(f, \zeta)$. Let $V$ be any open angle with vertex at $\zeta$. Then a VV-singular point is any point of $C$ such that there exists a pair of angles $V^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime \prime}$ for which $C_{V^{\prime}}(f, \zeta) \neq C_{V^{\prime \prime}}(f, \zeta)$. The set of all UU (or VV) -singular points is called the UU (or VV) -singular set and is denoted by $E_{U U}(f)$ (or $E_{V V}(f)$ ). A GU (or GV) -singular point is any point $\zeta \in C$ for which there exists a $U$ (or $V$ ) for which $C_{U} \neq C(f, \zeta)$ (or $C_{V} \neq C(f, \zeta)$ ). The $G U$ (or $G V$ ) -singular set is denoted by $E_{G U}(f)$
(or $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{GV}}(\mathrm{f})$ ). A UV-singularity is defined analogously.
For any $\epsilon>0$ let $N_{\epsilon}(\zeta)$ denote the neighborhood consisting of elements $z$ such that $|z-\zeta|<\epsilon$. Suppose we are given a set $E$ in $C$ and a point $\zeta$ on $C$. Let $r(\zeta, \epsilon)=r(\zeta, \epsilon, E)$ be the largest of the lengths of arcs contained in $N_{\epsilon} \cap C$ and not intersecting $E$. Then for any $a, 0<$ $a \leqslant 1$, the set E is said to have porosity (a) at $\zeta$ if $\overline{\lim (r(\zeta, \epsilon))^{a} / \epsilon>0}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. E is said to have porosity (a) on C if each point $\zeta$ in $E$ has porosity (a). A set which is a countable sum of sets of porosity (a) is called a $\underline{\sigma}$-porosity ( $\underline{\text { a }}$ set.

Yanagihara (1) has shown that $E_{U U}$ and $E_{U V}$ are $G_{\delta \sigma}$ sets and of $\sigma$-porosity for some $a$ (Theorems 22 and 23) while Dolzenko (1) has shown that $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{VV}}$ is a $\mathrm{G}_{\delta \sigma}$ set and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{GV}}$ is an $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma}$ set (Theorems 21 and 24).

Theorem 21: If $f(z)$ is an arbitrary function, not necessarily singlevalued, then $E_{V V}$ is of $G_{\delta \sigma}$ type and of $\sigma$-porosity for some $a$. (Dolženko, 1, Theorem 1, p.3)

Proof: Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ denote a sequence consisting of all rational numbers between $-\pi / 2$ and $\pi / 2$, and let $\left\{\overline{D_{n}}\right\}$ be a sequence consisting of all closed circles in $W-\{\infty\}$ having rational radii $r_{n}$ and centers at the points $a_{n}$ with rational coordinates. If $\zeta \in C$, then $V_{p, q}$ denotes the open angle of size $a_{p}$ with vertex at $\zeta$ and with bisector forming an angle $a_{q}$ with the interior normal to $C$ at $\zeta$. We define $E_{n, p, q}$ to be the set of all points $\zeta \in C$ such that if $z \in D, \rho(z, C)<1 / p$, and for $z$ in $V_{p, q}$, the values of $f(z)$ lieat a distance $\geq r_{n}$ from $\bar{D}_{n}$ where $r_{n}$ is the radius of $\overline{D_{n}}$. For $m, n, s, k$ any positive integers, let $F_{n, m, k, s}$ be the set of all points $\zeta \in C$ for which the set


$$
\left\{f(z): z \text { is in } D \cap v_{m, k} \text { and } 1 /(3 s)<\rho(z, C)<1 / s\right\}
$$

has points in common with the disk $\overline{D_{n}}$. Then each $E_{n, p, q}$ is closed and each $F_{n, m, k, s}$ is open on $C$. We set $F_{n, m, k}=\bigcap_{t=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{s=t}^{\infty} F_{n, m, k, s}$.

We will now show that $E_{V V}=\underset{n, p}{U} \underset{q}{U}, m, k\left(F_{n, m, k} \cap E_{n, p, q}\right)$. Suppose $\zeta \in E_{V V}(f)$. Then there exist angles $V^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime \prime}$ such that $C_{V^{\prime}} \neq C_{V^{\prime \prime}}$. Suppose $C_{V^{\prime}}-C_{V^{\prime \prime}} \neq \phi$. Then we can choose $m$ and $k$ such that $V_{m, k} \supset V^{\prime}$. So $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}} \neq \phi$ and there exists a disk $\overline{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{u}}}$ such that for some p and q

$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{u}}} \cap \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}} \neq \phi \text { and } \rho\left(\overline{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{u}}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)>5 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{q}}
$$

Consequently we can find positive integers $p$ and $q$ such that if $z$ is in $V_{p, q}$ and $\rho(z, C)<1 / p$, then $\rho\left(\overline{D_{u}}, f(z)\right)>4 r_{u}$. Let $n$ denote the index of the disk $\overline{D_{n}}$ which has the same center as $\overline{D_{u}}$ and radius $r_{n}=2 r_{u}$. So $\rho\left(\overline{D_{n}}, f(z)\right)>r_{n}$ if $z$ is in $V_{p, q}$ and $\rho(z, C)<1 / p$. Due to the choice of $\overline{D_{u}}$, there exists a sequence of elements $\hat{z}$ in $V_{m, k}$ which approaches $\zeta$ and a corresponding sequence of elements $f(\hat{z})$ which approaches a point $a \in \overline{D_{n}}$. So for an infinite set of positive numbers, there exist points $\hat{\mathrm{z}}$ in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}$ for $1 /(3 \mathrm{~s})<\rho(\hat{\mathrm{z}}, \mathrm{C})<1 / \mathrm{s}$ such that $\overline{D_{\mathrm{n}}}$ and $\{\mathrm{f}(\hat{\mathrm{z}})\}$ are not disjoint. Thus $\zeta \in \underset{s=t}{\infty} F_{n, m, k, s}$ for all $t$ and is therefore also in $F_{n, m, k}$.

Now suppose $\zeta \in F_{n, m, k}$ and $E_{n, p, q}$. Then by the definition of $E_{n, p, q}, C_{V_{p, q}}$ and $\overline{D_{n}}$ are disjoint. Since $\zeta$ is in $F_{n, m, k}$, it is in $F_{n, m, k, s}$ for an infinite number of $s$ 's. From the definition of $F_{n, m, k, s}$, it follows that $C_{V_{m, k}}$ and $\bar{D}_{n}$ are not disjoint and so $C_{V_{m, k}}$ is not equal to $C_{V_{p, q}}$.

We will now show that $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{VV}}$ is $\sigma$-porous. Suppose on the contrary there exists a point in $F_{n, m, k}$ and $E_{n, p, q}$ which is not $\sigma$-porous with


respect to $C$. Then the angle $V_{m, k}$ close to its vertex is covered by a union of angles $V_{p, q}^{\eta}$ for $\eta$ in $F_{n, m, k}$ and $E_{n, p, q}$. So by the definition of $E_{n, p, q}$ at points $z$ in $V_{m, k}$ which are sufficiently close to the point, the values of $f(z)$ are at a distance $\geq r_{n}$ from $\overline{D_{n}}$. Therefore $C_{V_{m, k}}$ and $\overline{D_{n}}$ are disjoint and the point is not in $F_{n, m, k}$ and $E_{n, p, q}$. Thus $F_{n, m, k} \cap E_{n, p, q}$ is porous on $C$ and $E_{V V}$ is $\sigma$-porous.

This theorem is closely related to the Collingwood Maximality Theorem (Collingwood, 3), which states that for an arbitrary singlevalued function $f(z)$ defined in $D$ and any Stolz angle $\Delta$ with vertex at $\zeta, C_{\Delta}(f, \zeta)=C(f, \zeta)$ except for a set of first category. It is also related to Theorem 14 which states that for an arbitrary single-valued function $f(z)$ defined in $D$ the outer angular cluster set $C_{A}=C_{\Delta}$ except for a set of measure zero.

Theorem 22: If $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ is an arbitrary function, then $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{UU}}$ is of $\mathrm{G}_{\delta \sigma}$ type and of $\sigma$-porosity for some a. (Yanagihara, 1, Theorem 1, p.424)

The proof of this theorem is quite similar to that of Theorem 21.

Theorem 23: If $f(z)$ is an arbitrary function, then $E_{U V}$ is of $G_{\delta \sigma}$ type and of $\sigma$-porosity $(\alpha)$. (Yanagihara, 1, Theorem 2, p.425)

Yanagihara (1, Theorem 4, p.426) has shown that there exists a bounded holomorphic function $f(z)$ for which $E_{U V}$ is of measure $2 \pi$. For example, we pick an inscribed disk $U(1)=\{z:|z-\rho|<1-\rho\}$ for $0<\rho<1$. Then there exists a constant $b$ such that an arc $\lambda=\{z=$ $\left.r^{i} \theta_{\theta}=b \sqrt{1-r}\right\}$ is contained in $U(1)$. In addition we choose $t_{n}$
such that $0<t_{n}<1$, is strictly increasing to 1 and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{1-t_{n}}<\infty$. Let

$$
f(z)=\Pi \frac{z^{k_{n}}-t_{n}^{k_{n}}}{\left(t_{n} z\right)^{k_{n}}-1}
$$

where the integers $k_{n}$ are determined by $k_{n}=\left[3 \pi / b \sqrt{1-t_{n}}\right]+1$. This product converges because $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n}\left(1-t_{n}\right)$ is finite. For every point $\zeta \in C, U(\zeta)$ contains an infinite number of zeros of $f(z)$ and $C_{U}$ contains 0 , but $f(z)$ has angular limits of modulus 1 at almost every point of $C$. Thus $E_{U V}$ has measure $2 \pi$.

Theorem 24: For an arbitrary function, not necessarily single-valued, $E_{G V}(f)$ is of $F_{\sigma}$ type and of first category. (Dolzenko, 1, Theorem 3, p.9)

The proof of this theorem uses much of the notation and style of Theorem 21. As before each $E_{n, p, q}$ is closed. $E_{G V}=\underset{n, p, q}{u} E_{n, p, q}$. If $E_{G V}$ is not of first category, then there would exist a set $E_{n_{0}, P_{0}, q_{0}}$ such that on an open arc $\lambda$ contained in $E_{n_{0}, p_{0}, q_{0}}, C(f, \zeta)$ would be at a distance at least $r_{n_{0}}$ from $D_{n_{0}}$ for any $\zeta$ in $\lambda$, which contradicts the property that $E_{n_{0}, p_{\bullet}, q_{0}} \cap C(f, \zeta) \neq \phi$.

## SELECTOR OF ARCS

$\Gamma$ is called a selector of arcs if it is a correspondence which associates with each point in $C$ a nonempty collection $\Gamma$ of arcs at that point. If $\Gamma$ is a selector of arcs, then the $\Gamma$-principal cluster set of $f$ at a point $e^{i \theta}$ is defined to be the set $\Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)={\underset{a}{e}}_{C}\left(f, e^{i \theta}, a\right)$ where $C\left(f, e^{i \theta}, a\right)$ denotes the arc cluster set of $f$ at $e^{i \theta}$ along $a$ and
the intersection is taken over all $a$ in $\Gamma(\theta)$. If the intersection is taken over all arcs at $e^{i \theta}$, then the notation $\Pi\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is used.

If $\mu$ is any positive number and $e^{i \theta \circ}$ is any point on $C$, then let $C_{\mu}$ denote the set $\left\{e^{i \theta} \in C: 0<\left|e^{i \theta}-e^{i \theta} 0\right|<\mu\right\}$. For any function $f(z)$ defined in $D, \operatorname{let} \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta \rho}, \mu\right)=u \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ where the union is over $e^{i} \theta$ which ranges over all points in $C_{\mu}$ and let $\Pi_{\Gamma}^{*}$ denote the closure of $\Pi_{\Gamma}$ in the Riemann sphere. Then the boundary C -principal cluster set of $f$ at $e^{i \theta 0}$ is defined to be the set

$$
B \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta 0}\right)=\bigcap_{\mu>0} \Pi_{\Gamma}^{*}\left(f, e^{i \theta 0}, \mu\right) .
$$

If $\Gamma$ contains all arcs at $e^{i \theta 0}$, then the notation $B \Pi\left(f, e^{i \theta 0}\right)$ is used. For any subset $S$ contained in $D$, a point $e^{i \theta} \in C$ is called almost [-accessible through $\underline{S}$ if for every open set $G$ with $S \subseteq G \subseteq D$ there exists an arc $a \in \Gamma$ such that $a \subseteq G$. This definition is abbreviated to $e^{i \theta}$ is almost accessible through $\underline{S}$ in the case that $\Gamma$ is the collection of all arcs at $e^{i \theta}$ which is a point of $C$. Let $E$ be contained in $C$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ be a correspondence which associates with each point in $\mathbf{E}$ an arc $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$ in $\Gamma\left(e^{i} \theta\right)$. Let $\bar{S}(\gamma, E)$ denote the relative closure in $D$ of the set $S(\gamma, E)=u \gamma\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ where the union is over all $e^{i \theta}$ in $E$. Then $\Gamma$ is a smooth selector of arcs if for every set $E$ of second category in $C$ and every arc $\gamma$, there exists a subarc $A \subseteq C$ such that $E$ is dense in $A$ and every point of $A$ is almost $\Gamma$-accessible through $\overline{\mathrm{S}}(\gamma, \mathrm{E})$.

If $\Gamma$ is a selector of arcs, then a new selector of arcs $\Gamma^{*}$ called the completion of $\Gamma$ is defined by $\left\{\alpha: \alpha \subseteq \beta \in \mathbb{F}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}$. Finally $\Gamma$ is called an admissible selector of arcs if $\Gamma^{*}$ is a smooth selector of arcs.

The theorems which we prove in this section will lead to the major result stated in Theorem 29 that if $f$ is a continuous function in $D$,
then $\Pi\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=B \Pi\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ and $\Pi_{X}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=B \Pi_{X}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ where $X$ denotes the collection of all chords at $e^{i \theta}$ except for a set of first category.

Theorem 25: If $f$ is an arbitrary complex-valued function defined in $D$ and $\Gamma$ is any selector of arcs, then there exists a selector of arcs $\Gamma_{o}$ such that for each $e^{i \theta} \in C, \Gamma_{0}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ is a finite or countable subset of $\mathbf{r}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)=\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{o}}}\left(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$. (Gresser, 2, Theorem, 7, p.11)

Proof: Let $\gamma$ be any arc of $\Gamma$. Then $B_{\gamma}=W-C_{\gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is open in $W$. So $\underset{Y \in \Gamma}{u} B_{\gamma}=W-\mathbf{m}_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ and by the Lindelof covering property there is a countable subcovering with elements $B_{\gamma_{n}}$ of $W-\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$. Consequently $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{\gamma_{n}}=W-\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{r}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{r}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{\gamma_{n}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\Gamma_{0}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$.

Theorem 26: If $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ is an arbitrary complex-valued function defined in $D$ and $\Gamma$ is any selector of arcs, then $\Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \subseteq B \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for all except for at most a countable number of points $e^{i \theta}$ in $C$. (Gresser,2, Theorem 4, p.6)

Proof: For any positive integer $j$, let $T_{j}$ be a finite collection of compact neighborhoods on $W$ which cover $W$ and such that using the usual metric for $W$, we have diameter $(G)<1 / j$ for $G$ any subset of $T_{j}$. Choosing a finite number of G's for each $j$, we let $T_{j}=U_{n} G_{n, j}$ for each $j$ and define $P$ to be $\left\{e^{i \theta} \in C: \Pi_{\mathbf{r}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \notin B \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\mathbf{r}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}$. Let

$$
P_{n, j}=\left\{e^{i \theta} \in P: G_{n, j} \cap n_{r}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \neq \phi\right\} .
$$

Each $G_{n, j}$ is contained in $W-B \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for each positive integer $j$. If $e^{i \theta} \in P$, then there exists a point $w \in \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ such that
$w \notin B \Pi_{r}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ which is closed in $W$. Thus there exist $n$ and $j$ such that $w \in G_{n, j} \cap B \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\mathbf{r}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=\phi$. Therefore $e^{i \theta} \in P_{n, j}$ and $P=U_{n, j} P_{n, j}$.

Now we wish to show that each $P_{n, j}$ is at most countable. In order to show this, we fix $j$ and $n$, and let $e^{i} \theta \in P_{n, j}$. If $e^{i \theta}$ is not an isolated point of $P_{n, j}$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{\zeta_{k}\right\}$ of points in $P_{n, j}$ that converges to $e^{i \theta}$. Since each $\zeta_{k} \in P_{n, k}, G_{n, j} \cap \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\Gamma}\left(f, \zeta_{k}\right) \neq \phi$ for each positive integer $k$. So for each $\mu>0 G_{n, j} \cap \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{r}}^{*}\left(f, e^{i \theta}, \mu\right) \neq \phi$. Let $\left\{\mu_{k}\right\}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers which converges to zero. Then

$$
G_{n, j} \cap B \Pi_{r}\left(f, e^{i} \theta\right)=\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(G_{n, j} \cap \Pi_{r} *\left(f, e^{i} \theta, \mu_{k}\right)\right) \neq \phi
$$

because $W$ is compact. This contradicts the assumption that $e^{i \theta} \in P_{n, j}$. So each $e^{i \theta} \in P_{n, j}$ is an isolated point and the set $P$ is at most countable.

Theorem 27: Let $f$ be defined in $D$ and $\Gamma$ be a selector of arcs. If $G$ is any open subset of $W$ such that for some $e^{i \theta} \in C, G \cap B \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \neq \phi$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{\zeta_{j}\right\}$ of points in $C$ which converges to $e^{i \theta}$ such that $G \cap \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, \zeta_{j}\right) \neq \phi$ for each $j$. (Gresser, 2, Lemma 5, p.8)

This theorem follows easily from the definition of $\Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$.

Lemma 7: Let $f$ be continuous in $D$ and $\Gamma$ be an admissible selector of arcs. For each point $e^{i \theta} \in C$, let $\beta$ be an arc in $\Gamma\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$. Then $B \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \subseteq C_{\beta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ except for at most a set of first category. (Gresser, 2, Lemma 6, p.8)

Proof: Suppose the lemma is false. Then the set $P$ denotes the set of points $e^{i \theta} \in C$ for which $B \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i} \theta\right) \nsubseteq C_{\beta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is of second category in C. Let $\epsilon$ be an arbitrary positive number and $S\left(e^{i \theta}, \epsilon\right)$ denote the set of all points in $W$ whose spherical distance from $C_{\beta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ does not exceed $\epsilon$. Since $C_{\beta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is closed in $W$, it follows that for each point $e^{i \theta} \in P$ there exists an $\epsilon\left(e^{i \theta}\right)>0$ such that $B \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)-S\left(e^{i \theta}, \epsilon\right) \neq \varnothing$. Let $\left\{\epsilon_{j}\right\}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers which converges to zero and $P_{j}=\left\{e^{i \theta} \in P: B \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)-S\left(e^{i \theta}, \epsilon_{j}\right) \neq \phi\right\}$. Since $P=U P_{j}$ and is of second category, there exists a $J$ such that $P_{J}$ is of second category. We choose a finite collection $\left\{G_{1}, \ldots, G_{m}\right\}$ of open sets each of diameter $<\epsilon_{J} / 4$. For $\mu \leq m$ let $P_{J}(\mu)=\left\{e^{i \theta} \in P_{J}: G \cap\left(B \Pi_{\Gamma}-S\left(e^{i \theta}, \epsilon_{J}\right)\right) \neq \phi\right\}$. Since $P_{J}$ is a union of the $P_{J}(\mu)$ 's and $P_{J}$ is of second category, there exists an $M$ such that $P_{J}(M)$ is of second category.

For two subsets $A$ and $B$ of $W$, let a be any point in $A$ and $b$ be any point in $B$. Then the spherical distance $\underline{X}(A, B)$ between the sets $A$ and $B$ is defined to be the infimum of the spherical distances between points $a$ and $b$. From the definition of $P_{J}(\mu)$ it follows that $X\left(G_{M}, C_{\beta}\right) \geq 3 \epsilon_{J} / 4$ for any point $e^{i \theta}$ in $P_{J}(M)$. According to Theorem 27 every point $e^{i \theta}$ in $P_{J}(M)$ is a limit point of the set $Q=\left\{e^{i \theta} \in C: G_{M} \cap \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \neq \phi\right\}$.

We will now show that $\chi\left(G_{M}, C_{\beta}\right) \geqslant 3 \epsilon_{J} / 4$ is valid for a subarc of $C$ which violates the definition of $Q$. For each $e^{i \theta} \in P_{J}(M)$ let $\gamma\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ be a terminal subarc of $\beta$ such that $f\left(\gamma\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right) \subseteq S\left(e^{i \theta}, \epsilon_{J} / 4\right)$. If $S$ denotes the set $\cup \gamma\left(e^{i} \theta\right)$ where the union is over $e^{i \theta} \in P_{J}(M)$, then $\chi\left(G_{M}, S\right) \geq \epsilon_{J} / 2$ since $X\left(G_{M}, C_{\beta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)\right) \geq 3 \epsilon_{J} / 4$ for $e^{i \theta} \in P_{J}(M)$. From the continuity of f, $\chi\left(G_{M}, \bar{S}\right) \geq \epsilon_{J} / 2$ where $\bar{S}$ denotes the relative closure in $D$ of $S$. Let $G$ be an open set such that $f(\bar{S}) \subseteq G$ and $\chi\left(G_{M}, G\right) \geq \epsilon_{J} / 4$. By the continuity of $f$, the set $U=f^{-1}(G)$ is open in $D$ and contains $\bar{S}$. Since $\Gamma$ is an
admissible selector of arcs, there exists a subarc $A \subseteq C$ such that each point of $A$ is almost $\Gamma^{*}$-accessible through $\bar{S}$ for $\Gamma^{*}$ the completion of $\Gamma$. So for every point $e^{i \theta} \in A$, there exists an arc $a \in \Gamma^{*}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ such that $a \subseteq U$. Thus by the definition of $U, C(f, a) \subseteq \bar{G}$ for $e^{i} \theta \in A$. Since $a$ is a terminal subarc of $\beta$, an arc in $\Gamma\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$, the two arc-cluster sets are the same. Therefore, $\Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \subseteq \bar{G}$ for $e^{i \theta} \in A$ and $\chi\left(G_{M}, \Pi_{\Gamma}\right) \geqslant \epsilon_{J} / 4$, a contradiction to the definition of $Q$.

Theorer 28: Let $f$ be a continuous function in $D$ and $\Gamma$ be an admissible selector of arcs. Then $\Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=B \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for nearly every point $e^{i \theta} \in C$. (Gresser, 2, Theorem 8, p.11)

Proof: According to Theorem 25 for each $e^{i \theta} \in C$ there is a finite or countable subset of $\Gamma\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$, say $\left\{a_{j}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}$ such that

$$
\Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} C\left(f, e^{i \theta}, a_{j}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right)
$$

If the set $\left\{a_{j}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}$ is finite, we repeat one of the arcs infinitely often. For each $j$, let $P_{j}$ denote the $\operatorname{set}\left\{e^{i \theta} \in C: B \Pi \nsubseteq C_{a_{j}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)\right\}$. By Lemma 7 each of the sets $P_{j}$ is of first category in C. Therefore the set $P=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{j}$ is of first category and $B \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \subseteq \Pi_{\Gamma}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for $e^{i} \theta \in C-P$. The proof is completed by using Theorem 26.

Theorem 29: If $f$ is a continuous function in $D$, then $\Pi\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=B \Pi\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ and $\Pi_{\chi}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=B \Pi_{\chi}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$, where $\chi$ denotes the collection of all chords at $e^{i \theta}$, except for at most a set of first category. (Gresser, 2,

Theorem 9, p.11)

Proof: In order to apply Theorem 28 we must show that $\mathbf{\Lambda}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ the collection of all arcs at $e^{i \theta}$ is an admissible selector of arcs. Let $E$ be a second category subset of $C$ and $\lambda\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ for each $e^{i \theta} \in E$. For any positive integer $j$, we define $E_{j}=\left\{e^{i \theta} \in E: \lambda\right.$ intersects the circle $|z|=1-1 / j\}$. Then $E=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{j}$ and so there exists an $N$ such that $E_{N}$ is of second category on C. Therefore, there is an open subarc $A \subseteq C$ such that $E_{N}$ is dense in $A$. Let $S=U \lambda\left(e^{i} \theta\right)$ where the union is taken over $e^{i \theta} \in E_{N}$. Let $G$ be an open set such that $\bar{S} \subseteq G \subseteq D$. We let $e^{i} \theta_{0}$ be an arbitrary point in $A$ and $D_{0}$ be an open disk centered at $e^{i} \theta_{0}$ having radius $r \leq 1-1 / N$. Let $\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of distinct points in $E \cap D_{o}$ which converges to $e^{i \theta_{0}}$. Then for each $n$, let $\lambda_{n}$ be the component of $\lambda\left(\zeta_{n}\right) \cap D_{0}$ which forms a terminal subarc of $\lambda\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$. We will show that there is a component $G_{o}$ of $G \cap D_{o}$ such that $\lambda_{n} \subseteq G_{o}$ for infinitely many $n$. Let $\lambda_{n_{k}}$ be a subsequence of $\lambda_{n}$ 's which converges to a limit set $L$. If $L \cap D_{o} \cap D \neq \phi$, let $z \in L \cap D_{o} \cap D$. Then $z \in \bar{S} \cap D_{0}$ so that $z$ is contained in some component $G_{o}$ of $G \cap D_{0}$. Since $z \in L \cap G_{0}$ and $G_{o}$ is open, it follows from the definition of limits that there exists an $M$ such that $G{ }_{0} \cap \lambda_{n_{k}} \neq \phi$ for all $k>M$. Thus since $\lambda_{n_{k}}$ is a connected subset of $G \cap D_{o}, \lambda_{n_{k}} \subseteq G$ for all $k>M$. So suppose $L \cap D_{o} \cap D=\phi$. Let $e^{i \theta} \in L \cap E_{N} \cap D_{o}$ and $a$ be the component of $\lambda\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \cap D_{o}$ which forms a terminal subarc of $\lambda\left(e^{i} \theta\right)$. By the definition of convergence, there exists an $M$ such that $a \cap \lambda_{n_{k}} \neq \phi$ for all $k>M$. Since $\alpha$ is a connected subset of $G \cap D_{0}, \alpha$ is contained in a component $G_{o}$ of $G \cap D_{o}$. Furthermore, $\lambda_{n_{k}}$ is a connected subset of $G \cap D_{0}$. So $\lambda_{n_{k}} \subseteq G_{o}$ for $k>M$. Therefore, we have established that there exists a component $G_{o}$ of $G \cap D_{0}$ such that $\lambda_{n} \subseteq G_{o}$ for infinitely many $n$.

For each positive integer $k$ let $D_{k}$ denote the open disk centered at $e^{i \theta_{0}}$ having radius $(1-1 / N) / k$. Now we will construct a sequence $\left\{G_{k}\right\}$ of open connected subsets such that $G \supseteq G_{1} \supseteq G_{2} \ldots$ and each $G_{k} \subseteq D_{k}$. If $e^{i \theta} \in D_{k}$, let $\alpha^{k}$ denote the component of $\alpha \cap D_{k}$ which forms a terminal subarc of $\alpha$. If $e^{i} \theta \notin D_{k}$, we let $\alpha^{k}=\phi$. Let $\left\{\zeta_{m}\right\}$ be a sequence of distinct points in $E_{N}$ which converges to $e^{i \theta_{0}}$. Since there exists a component $G_{0}$ such that $\lambda_{n} \subseteq G_{0}$ for infinitely many $n$, we can select an infinite subset $T_{1}$ of $\left\{\lambda\left(\zeta_{m}\right)\right\}$ and a component $G_{1}$ of $G \cap D_{1}$ such that $\phi \neq \alpha^{1} \subseteq G_{1}$ for each $\alpha \in T_{1}$. Inductively we can define sequences $\left\{G_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{k}\right\}$ for each positive integer $k$ such that $G_{k}$ is a component of $G \cap D_{k},\left\{\lambda\left(\zeta_{m}\right)\right\} \supseteq T_{1} \supseteq T_{2} \ldots, \phi \neq \alpha^{k} \subseteq G_{k}$ for each $\alpha \in T_{k}$. We fix $k$ and let $T_{k+1} \subseteq T_{k}$. Since $\phi \neq \alpha^{k+1} \subseteq \alpha^{k}$, and $\alpha^{k+1} \subseteq G_{k+1}$ and $\alpha^{k} \subseteq G_{k}$, it follows that $G_{k+1} \cap G_{k} \neq \phi$. But $G_{k+1} \subseteq G \cap D_{k+1} \subseteq G \cap D_{k}$. Since $G_{k+1}$ is connected and $G_{k}$ is a component of $G \cap D_{k}$ which intersects $G_{k+1}, G_{k+1} \subseteq G_{k}$. Finally using the $G_{k}$ 's which are arcwise connected, it is possible to construct an arc at $e^{i} \theta_{0}$ which lies in $G$. Consequently $\Lambda\left(e^{i} \theta\right)$, the collection of all arcs at $e^{i \theta}$ is an admissible selector of arcs.

Now we will prove that the theorem is true for $\chi$, the collection of all chords at $e^{i \theta}$. Let $\left\{\Delta_{j}\right\}$ be a countable collection of closed Stolz angles at $e^{i \theta_{0}}=1$ such that each chord at $e^{i \theta_{0}}$ is contained in at least one of the $\Delta_{j}$ 's. For each positive integer $j$, let $\Delta_{j}\left(e^{i} \theta\right)$ be the closed $S t o l z$ angle at $e^{i \theta} \in C$ obtained by rotating $\Delta_{j}$ about the origin. Then for each $j$ and each $e^{i \theta} \in C$, let $\chi_{j}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ be the collection of all chords at $e^{i \theta}$ which are contained in $\Delta_{j}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$. By an argument completely analogous to that for $\Lambda\left(e^{i \theta}\right), \chi_{j}$ is an admissible selector of arcs. Consequently by Theorem 28 for each $j$ there exists a set $E_{j}$ of first category in $C$ such that $B \Pi_{\chi_{j}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=\Pi_{\chi_{j}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for $e^{i \theta} \in C-E{ }_{j}$.

Since $B \Pi_{\chi}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \subseteq B \Pi_{\chi_{j}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for each $j$ and $e^{i \theta} \in C$, we have $B \Pi_{\chi}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \subseteq \Pi_{\chi_{j}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for $e^{i \theta} \in C-E_{j}$. The set $E=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{j}$ is of first category in $C$. So $B \Pi_{\chi}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \subseteq \Pi_{\chi_{j}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ for $e^{i \theta} \in C-E$. Finally by Theorem $26 \Pi_{\chi}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \subseteq B \Pi_{\chi}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ except for at most a countable number of points in $C$, since $B \Pi_{\chi} \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \Pi_{\chi_{j}}=\Pi_{\chi}$ for $e^{i \theta} \in C-E$.

## THEOREMS FOR SPECIAL TYPES OF FUNCTIONS

As one might expect, there are numerous theorems relating to the theory of cluster sets which are only valid for special types of functions. In the remaining sections of this chapter we will consider some of the more important results for various types of functions including those which are continuous, light interior and locally univalent.

## Continuous Functions

The set of curvilinear convergence of a function $\underline{f}$ is defined to be the set $\{x \in C$ : there exists an arc $\gamma$ at $x$ and a point $p$ in some metric space such that $\underset{z \in \gamma}{\underset{\sim}{\lim } \underset{\gamma}{ }} f(z)=p\}$.

Theorem 30: If $f$ is a continuous function from $D$ into $W$, then the set of curvilinear convergence of $f$ is a $F_{\sigma \delta}$ set. (McMi11an, 1, Theorem 5, p.302)

First we wish to define special subsets $F(n, j, k)$ of $D$. For each positive integer $n$ let $\{\Delta(n, j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an enumeration of the open disks each having its center at $b$, a point of $W$ whose stereographic projection has rational real and imaginary parts and such that the set
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$\left\{z \in D:(f(z), b)<4^{-n}\right\}$ contains points arbitrarily close to $C$. For each pair of natural numbers $n$ and $j$, $\operatorname{let}\{D(n, j, k)\}$ be an enumeration of the components of the nonempty open set $f^{-1}(\Delta(j, n)) \cap\{1-1 / n<|z|<1\}$. Then $F(n, j, k)$ is defined to be $\bar{D}(n, j, k) \cap \bar{A}$ where $A$ is the set of curvilinear convergence of $f$. Let $N$ denote the set of points $e^{i \theta} \in C$ for which there exist an $n>1$ and integers $j_{1}, j_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}$ with the following properties. If $e^{i \theta} \in F\left(n, j_{1}, k_{1}\right) \cap F\left(n, j_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, then either $\bar{\Delta}\left(n, j_{1}\right) \cap$ $\bar{\Delta}\left(n, j_{2}\right)=\phi$ or there exist $j_{0}, k^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime \prime}$ with $k^{\prime} \neq k^{\prime \prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\Delta}\left(n, j_{1}\right) \cup \bar{\Delta}\left(n, j_{2}\right) \subset \Delta\left(n-1, j_{0}\right) \\
D\left(n, j_{1}, k_{1}\right) \subset D\left(n-1, j_{o}, k^{\prime}\right) \\
D\left(n, j_{2}, k_{2}\right) \subset D\left(n-1, j_{o}, k^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then Theorem 30 is proved by verifying that $N$ is countable and that
 set of points of $\bar{A}$ that are not two-sided accumulation points of $A$.

An analytic arc is an arc described by parametric equations $x=\gamma(t)$, $y=\psi(t)$ for $0<t<1$ where the functions $\gamma$ and $\psi$ can be represented in some neighborhood of $t$ for $0<t<1$ by a power series with real coefficients and throughout this neighborhood at least one of the derivatives $\gamma^{\prime}$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ is nonzero.

Let $t_{1}, t_{2}$ and $t_{3}$ be Jordan arcs contained in $D U\{p\}$. If there exist Jordan $\operatorname{arcs} t_{4}, t_{5}$ and $t_{6}$ in $D U\{p\}$ such that $t_{1} \subset t_{4}, t_{2} \subset t_{5}$ and $t_{6} \subset t_{3}$, where $t_{4} \cup t_{5}$ is a Jordan curve and $t_{6}-\{p\}$ is contained in the bounded region whose boundary is $t_{4} \mathrm{U}_{5}$, then $t_{3}$ is said to be between $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$.
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Theorem 31: If $f$ is a continuous function from $D$ into $W$ and $p \in C$, then there exist analytic arcs $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ each ending at $p$ such that
(A) $\quad C_{\alpha}(f, p)=C(f, p), C_{\beta}(f, p)=C_{B 1}(f, p)$ and $C_{\gamma}(f, p)=C_{B r}(f, p)$,
(B) $\quad \alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are mutually disjoint except for their common endpoint p and
(C) $\alpha$ lies between $\beta$ and $\gamma$.
(H.T.Mathews, 2, Theorem 1, p.1265)

Proof: We may assume without loss of generality that $p=1$. Let $\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable dense subset of $C(f, 1)$. Then there exist in $D$ sequences $\left\{z_{i j}\right\}$ such that $z_{i j} \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and $f\left(z_{i j}\right) \rightarrow w_{i}$. From the $z_{i j}$ 's we form a sequence $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ such that $z_{j} \rightarrow 1$, Real $\left(z_{j}\right)<$ Real $\left(z_{j+1}\right)$ and $w_{i}$ is the limit of a subsequence of $\left\{f\left(z_{j}\right)\right\}$. We pick open disks $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots$ in $D$ sufficiently small so that $f$ assumes only values close to $f\left(z_{j}\right)$ and with centers $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots$ respectively such that Real (a) < Real (b) for each $a \in E_{j}$ and $b \in E_{j+1}$. In addition if $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ is any sequence with $x_{j} \in E_{j}$, then $x_{j} \rightarrow 1$ and each $w_{j}$ is the limit of a subsequence of $\left\{f\left(x_{j}\right)\right\}$. Let $L_{j}$ denote that part of the vertical line passing through $z_{j}$ that lies in $D-E_{j}$ and $L$ denote the slit disk $D-\underset{j}{U} L_{j}$. Then $L$ is a simply connected domain and so by the Riemann mapping theorem there exists a conformal mapping $\psi$ of $D$ onto $L$. Moreover, it may be assumed that, when extended to the boundary, $\psi$ takes -1 and 1 onto themselves. If $\alpha$ is the image under $\psi$ of the real line segment $[-1,1]$, then $\alpha$ is an analytic arc ending at 1 . Since $\alpha$ must pass through each disk $E_{j}, C_{\alpha}(f, 1)=C(f, 1)$.

Let $A$ denote the set of all points $q$ on $C$ such that $0 \leqslant \arg (q) \leqslant \pi / 4$.

$$
1
$$

According to Gross (1, pp. 248 - 250) there exists an arc $\delta$ ending at 1 such that $\delta$ lies between $\alpha$ and $A$ and if $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ is any sequence of points lying between $\delta$ and $A$ such that $z_{j} \rightarrow 1$ and $f\left(z_{j}\right) \rightarrow w$, then $w \in C_{B 1}$. Let $\lambda$ be an arc in $D$ joining a point on $\delta$ to a point on $A$ so that the domain $\Delta$ bounded by $\delta, \lambda$ and a subarc of $C$ is a Jordan domain containing 1 in its closure. Let $h$ be the restriction of $f$ to $\triangle$. Then $C(h, 1)=$ $=C_{B 1}(f, 1)$. Since the preceding paragraph can be extended to Jordan domains, by conformal mappings there exists in $\Delta$ an analytic arc $\beta$ ending at 1 such that $C_{\beta}(h, 1)=C(h, 1)$. Thus $C_{\beta}(h, 1)=C_{B 1}(f, 1)$. The arc $\gamma$ can be constructed in a similar manner.

If $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are asymptotic paths of an arbitrary function $f: D \rightarrow W$ for the values $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ respectively, then $d\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ denotes the infimum of rational numbers $\delta$ such that some disk $\Delta$, whose diameter is $\delta$ and whose center has a stereographic projection with rational real and imaginary parts, has the properties (i) $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\} \subset \Delta$ and (ii) $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are eventually in the same component of $f^{-1}(\triangle) \cap\{1-\delta<|z|<1\}$. Any path $\beta=z(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ such that $|z(t)| \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 1$ is eventually in the subset $S \subset D$ provided that there exists a $t_{0}$ for $0 \leq t_{0} \leq 1$ such that $z(t) \in S$ whenever $t_{o} \leq t \leq 1$.

If $f$ is a continuous function from $D$ into $W$, then two asymptotic paths $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are equivalent, denoted by $\alpha_{1} \sim \alpha_{2}$ if and only if $d\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=0$. Let $C^{*}$ denote the set of equivalence classes of asymptotic paths determined by the relation $\sim$ and $[\alpha]$ denote the element of C* to which the asymptotic path $\alpha$ belongs. For $\left[\alpha_{1}\right],\left[\alpha_{2}\right]$ in $C *$, set $\rho\left(\left[\alpha_{1}\right],\left[\alpha_{2}\right]\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$. For each $[\alpha] \in C^{*}$, let $\nu[\alpha]$ denote the limit value of $f$ on $\alpha$. Then both $\nu[\alpha]$ and $\rho$ are well-defined.

Theorem 32: The metric space ( $C^{*}, \rho$ ) is separable and complete.
(McMillan, 1, Theorem 1, p.300)

Proof: In order to show separability we need to define a countable dense set $D^{*}$. This can be done in the following manner. We choose a disk $\Delta$ whose center has a stereographic projection with rational real and imaginary parts and whose diameter is a rational number $\delta$. If for some point in $\Delta$ there exists an asymptotic path which is eventually in the component $U$ of the set $f^{-1}(\Delta) \cap\{1-\delta<|z|<1\}$, then we pick one such asymptotic path and denote it by $\alpha(\mathrm{U})$. Then $\mathrm{D}^{*}$ is defined to be the set of all $[\alpha(\mathrm{U})]$ where $\alpha(\mathrm{U})$ is defined. So $D^{*}$ is countable and dense.

Suppose $\left\{\left[\alpha_{n}\right]\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence of elements in $C^{*}$. By condition (i) in the preceding definitions, $\left\{\nu\left[\alpha_{n}\right]\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in W. So $\left\{\nu\left[\alpha_{n}\right]\right\}$ must converge to some point $a \in W$. Let $\left\{\Delta_{j}\right\}$ be a sequence of disks such that each one has a rational radius and a center whose stereographic projection has rational real and imaginary parts, and the $\Delta_{j}$ 's satisfy the conditions $\Delta_{j} \supset \Delta_{j+1}$ for $j \geqslant 1$ and $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{j}=\{a\}$. Let $\delta_{j}$ denote the diameter of $\Delta_{j}$. Then for each $j$ there exists a component $U_{j}$ of $f^{-1}\left(\Delta_{j}\right) \cap\left\{1-\delta_{j}<|z|<1\right\}$ and a positive integer $n_{j}$ such that if $n \geqslant n_{j}$, then $\alpha_{n}$ is eventually in each $U_{j}$. Since $U_{j} \supseteq U_{j+1}$ for $j \geqslant 1$, there exists a boundary path $\alpha$ that is eventually in each $U_{j}$. Since $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{j}=\{a\}, \alpha$ is an asymptotic path of $f$ for the value a and $\rho\left(\left[\alpha_{n}\right],[\alpha]\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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## M-Topology for Continuous Functions

Suppose $f$ is a continuous complex-valued function defined in D. Then we let $T(p)$ denote the set of all Jordan arcs contained in $D U\{p\}$ and having one endpoint at $p$, and $\operatorname{let} G_{f}(p)=\left\{C_{t}(f, p): t \in T(p)\right\}$. In order to define the metric $M$, we choose two nonempty closed sets $A$ and $B$ in $W$ and set $M(A, B)=\max \left(\sup _{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup _{b \in B} d(A, b)\right)$ where $d\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ is the chordal distance between $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$. Then this metric $M$ topologizes the set $G_{f}(p)$ with what is called the $\underline{M}$-topology.

Any sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ of Jordan arcs in $T(p)$ is said to be a directed sequence if for each positive integer $n$, the arc $t_{n+1}$ lies between $t_{n}$ and $t_{n+2}$.

In this section we will include some of the results of Belna and Lappan related to the $M$-topology. For example, if $f$ is a continuous function in $D$ and $p \in C$ is not an ambiguous point of $f$, then $G_{f}(p)$ is compact in the M-topology (Theorem 33, below). Additional results for normal functions will be included in Chapter II.

Theorem 33: Suppose $f$ is a continuous function in $D$ and $p$ is a point in $C$ which is at the same time not an ambiguous point of $f$. Then $G_{f}(p)$ is a compact set in the M-topology. (Be1na and Lappan, 1, Theorem 1, p. 211)

Proof: Suppose $G_{f}(p)$ is not compact in the M-topology. Then there exist a sequence of continua $\left\{K_{n}\right\}$ and a continuum $K$ such that $K_{n} \in G_{f}(p)$ for each positive integer $n, K \notin G_{f}(p)$ and $M\left(K_{n}, K\right) \rightarrow 0$. For each positive integer $n$, let $H_{n}=\left\{z \in D: d\left(f(z), K_{n}\right)<1 / n\right.$ and $\left.|z-p|<1 / n\right\}$. Since $K_{n} \in G_{f}(p)$, there exist a component $G_{n}$ of $H_{n}$ and an arc $t_{n} \in T(p)$
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such that $C_{t_{n}}(f, p)=K_{n}$ and $t_{n} \subset G_{n} \cup\{p\}$.
Suppose $G_{n} \cap G_{n+1} \neq \phi$ for each $n$. There exists a Jordan curve $t_{0} \in T(p)$ such that $t_{0}$ passes through the consecutive $G_{n}$ and such that $M\left(\overline{f\left(t_{0} \cap G_{n}\right)}, K_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. But then $C_{t_{0}}(f, p)=K$ in violation of the assumption $K \notin G_{f}(p)$. Therefore, there exists an integer $n$ such that $G_{n} \cap G_{n+1}=\phi$. For this integer $n$ the boundary of the component $G_{n}$ contains a set $L$ such that $L U\{p\}$ is a closed connected set. Since $f$ is uniformly continuous on each compact subset of $D$, there exists a sequence $\left\{s_{j}\right\}$ of points on $L$ such that $s_{j} \rightarrow p$ and such that for each point $z$ on any rectilinear segment $\left[s_{j}, s_{j+1}\right]$ the condition $d\left(f(z), K_{n}\right)>$ $1 / 2 n$ is satisfied. Some subset of the union of segments $\left[s_{j}, s_{j+1}\right]$ constitutes an element of $T(p)$. Since $C_{s}(f, p) \cap C_{t_{n}}(f, p)=C_{s}(f, p) \cap K_{n}=\phi$, $p$ is an ambiguous point of $f$.

Corollary: Let $f$ be a continuous function in $D$ and $E$ be the set of points $p$ for which $G_{f}(p)$ is not compact in the M-topology. Then E is a countable set. (Belna and Lappan, 1, Corollary 1, p.212)

This corollary follows immediately from Theorem 33 and the Bagemihl Ambiguous Point Theorem (Theorem 4).

Theorem 34: Suppose $f$ is a continuous function in $D$ and $p \in C$. If $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is a directed sequence of arcs in $T(p)$ such that $C_{t_{n}}(f, p)=K_{n}$ and if $K$ is a continuum such that $M\left(K_{n}, K\right) \rightarrow 0$ but $K \notin G_{f}(p)$, then there exists a directed sequence of $\operatorname{arcs}\left\{s_{k}\right\}$ in $T(p)$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that for each integer $k>0$ there exists an integer $n_{k}>0$ such that $s_{k}$ is between $t_{n_{k}}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}+1}}$ and $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}}(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{p}), \mathrm{K}\right)>\epsilon$. (Lappan, 11, Lemma 1, p.88)

Proof: We will prove this theorem by assuming that it is false and then showing that we obtain a contradiction. If this theorem is false, then for each positive integer $k$ there exists an integer $N_{k}$ such that for each $\delta>0$ which is sufficiently small, $n>N_{k}$ implies that all of the sets $t_{n} \cap\{z \in D:|z-p|<\delta\}$ lie in the same component of $\{z \in D$ : $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}), \mathrm{K})<1 / \mathrm{k},|\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{p}|<\delta\}$. Therefore, for each $\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and each $\delta>0$ there exists a Jordan arc $q_{n}$ leading from a point of $t_{n}$ to a point of ${ }^{t_{n+1}}$ such that $q_{n} \subset\{z \in D:|z-p|<\delta$ and $d(f(z), K)<1 / k\}$. So we may choose a subsequence $\left\{t_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ such that $n_{k}>N_{k}$ for each positive integer $k$. Then for each $k$ there exists a Jordan arc $p_{k}$ leading from a point on $t_{n_{k}}$ to a point on $t_{n_{k+1}}$ such that $p_{k} \subset\{z \in D:|z-p|<1 / k$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}), \mathrm{K})<1 / \mathrm{k}\}$, and the portion $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ between the terminal point of $p_{k-1}$ and the starting point of $p_{k}$ satisfies the relationship $M\left(f\left(t_{k}^{\prime}, K\right)<1 / k\right.$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $p_{k}$ meets $t_{n_{k}}$ and $t_{n_{k+1}}$ in exactly one point each. Then letting $t$ be the Jordan arc obtained by splicing together all of the arcs $t_{k}^{\prime}$ and $p_{k}$, we have $C_{t}(f, p)=K$ contradicting the hypothesis $K \notin G_{f}(p)$.

Theorem 35: Suppose $f$ is a continuous function in $D$ and $p$ is a point in $C$ such that $G_{f}(p)$ is not compact in the M-topology. Then there exist directed sequences $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ of arcs in $T(p), \epsilon>0$ and a continuum $K$ such that if $K_{n}=C_{t_{n}}(f, p)$ and $L_{n}=C_{s_{n}}(f, p)$, then for each $n>0$ $M\left(K_{n}, K\right)<1 / n, d\left(L_{n}, K\right)>\epsilon$ and the arc $s_{n}$ is between $t_{n}$ and $t_{n+1}$. (Lappan, 11, Lemma 2, p.89)

Proof: Let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of arcs in $T(p)$ satisfying the conditions $C_{t_{n}}(f, p)=K_{n}$ and $M\left(K_{n}, K\right)<1 / n$ where $K \notin G_{f}(p)$. If the arcs are not
mutually disjoint, they can be shortened individually so that an infinite subset of the shortened arcs are mutually disjoint. If this was not true, there would exist an arc $t \in T(p)$ where $t$ is contained in the union of the $t_{n}$ 's and $C_{t}(f, p)=K$ which contradicts the assumption on $K$. Now we can choose a directed subsequence of the $t_{n}$ 's. In addition we can select an appropriate continuum $K$ since $G_{f}(p)$ is not compact. So the conclusion of this theorem follows from Theorem 34.

## Light Interior Functions

A function $f$ from $D$ into $W$ is called a light interior function if $f$ is a continuous open map which does not take any continuum into a single point. It has been shown that $f$ has a factorization $f=g \circ h$ where $h$ is a homeomorphism of the unit disk onto itself or onto the finite complex $p l a n e$ and $g$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function.

Let $A(f)$ denote the set of all $e^{i \theta}$ for which there exists an asymptotic path of $f$ in $D$ which includes $e^{i \theta}$ in its end and let $A_{p}(f)$ denote the set of all $e^{i \theta}$ for which there exists an asymptotic path of $f$ in $D$ which ends at the point $e^{i \theta}$. For any homeomorphism $h$ of $D$ onto $D$, we define $B(h)$ to be the set of all $e^{i \theta}$ for which there exists an as ymptotic path of $h$ in $D$ with end $E$ and $e^{i \theta}$ is contained in the interior of E .

Theorem 36: Suppose $f$ is a light interior function with factorization $f=g \circ h$. If $A(g)$ is dense on $C$, then $A(f) \cup B(h)$ is dense on $C$. Furthermore, if $A_{p}(g)$ and $A_{p}(h)$ are dense on $C$, then $A_{p}(f) \cup B(h)$ is dense on C. (J. Mathews, 1, Theorem, p.79)

Proof: We will prove this theorem by assuming that it is false and show that we have a contradiction. Let the arc $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \subset C-A(f)$ be arbitrary and $\left[\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right] \subset\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ with $0<\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}<2 \pi$. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ be Jordan arcs in Dending at $e^{i \theta_{1}}$ and $e^{i \theta 2}$ respectively with $\Gamma_{1} \cap \Gamma_{2}=\{0\}$. Then $h$ maps the domain $\Delta$ bounded by $\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$ and the $\operatorname{arc}\left[\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right]$ onto a domain $\Delta_{1}$ in $D$.

Case (i) $\left[\bar{\Delta}_{1} \cap c\right]=C_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(h, \theta_{1}\right) \cup C_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(h, \theta_{2}\right)$.
Then there exist a point $e^{i \alpha} \in C_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(h, \theta_{1}\right) \cap C_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(h, \theta_{2}\right)$ and sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ respectively with $h\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow e^{i \alpha}$ and $h\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $e^{i \alpha}$. Let $\Lambda$ be a Jordan arc at $e^{i \theta}$ which passes consecutively through the points $h\left(z_{1}\right), h\left(z_{1}^{\prime}\right), h\left(z_{2}\right), h\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right), \ldots$ According to Collingwood and Cartwright (Lemma 1, p.93), either $\left[\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right] \subset c_{\Lambda}\left(h^{-1}, \alpha\right)$ or $\left[\theta_{2}, \theta_{1}+2 \pi\right]$ $\subset c_{\Lambda}\left(h^{-1}, \alpha\right)$. Therefore, either $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \subset B(h)$ or $\left(\theta_{2}, \theta_{1}+2 \pi\right) \subset B(h)$ and $\left(\Psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \cap B(h) \neq \phi$.

Case (ii) $\left[\bar{\Delta}_{1} \cap C\right] \supset C_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(h, \theta_{1}\right) \cup C \Gamma_{2}\left(h, \theta_{2}\right)$, with a proper inclusion.
Then $E=\left[\bar{\Delta}_{1} \cap C\right]-\left[C_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(h, \theta_{1}\right) \cup C_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(h, \theta_{2}\right)\right]$ is a nonempty open subarc of $C$. Let $e^{i \alpha}$ be in both $E$ and $A(g)$. Then $e^{i \alpha}$ is in the end of an asymptotic path $\Lambda$ of $g$. But $C\left(h^{-1}, \alpha\right) \subset\left[\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right]$ so that $h^{-1}(\Lambda)$ is an asymptotic path of f whose end intersects $\left[\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right]$. Therefore, $\left[\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right] \cap$ $A(f) \neq \phi$, a contradiction.

Consequently both cases lead to contradictions. Since ( $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ ) was arbitrary $A(f) \cup B(h)$ is dense on $C$. The second part of the theorem is proved similarly.

## Locally Univalent Functions

Any function $f(z)$ meromorphic in $D$ is called locally univalent if $f(z)$ has at most simple poles and $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$. The function has Koebe arcs if there exist curves $J_{n} \subset D$ such that for some $\alpha<\beta<\alpha+2 \pi$ and some constant $c$ which is possibly $\infty$
(i) $J_{n}$ intersects the radii $\arg \mathrm{z}=\alpha$ and $\arg \mathrm{z}=\beta$ for each n ,
(ii) $|z| \rightarrow 1$ for $z \in J_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
(iii) $|f(z)-c|<\in$ for $z \in J_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For any set $G$, the boundary of $G$ is denoted by $\partial G$.

Theorem 37: Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic locally univalent function without Koebe arcs. Then $f(z)$ has three distinct asymptotic values on each arc of C. (McMillan and Pommerenke, Theorem, p.31)

Proof: Suppose that there exists an arc A of $C$ on which there is at most one asymptotic value. So we may assume without loss of generality that $f(z)$ has no finite asymptotic value on A. Let $d(z)$ denote the radius of the largest disk around $f(z)$ having no branch points on the Riemann image surface $F$. Since $f$ is locally univalent there is a boundary point on the periphery of this disk. Seidel and Walsh (p.133) have shown that $d(z) \leqslant\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|<1$. There exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ converging to some interior point $\zeta$ of $A$ such that $f^{\prime}(z)$ is bounded. Consequently $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Assume $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{c}$ where c is possibly $\infty$. Let $P_{n}$ be the pre-image of the segment on $F$ from $f\left(z_{n}\right)$ to the nearest boundary point $b_{n}$. Thus $f(z) \rightarrow b_{n}$ for $z \in P_{n}$ as $|z| \rightarrow 1$. Since there are no Koebe arcs, $P_{\mathrm{n}}$ ends at a point, say $\zeta_{\mathrm{n}}$. $\left.\right|_{\mathrm{f}}(z)-$ $f\left(z_{n}\right) \mid<d\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0, f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $z_{n} \rightarrow \zeta$ for $z \in P_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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Then $\zeta_{n} \rightarrow \zeta$ because there are no Koebe arcs on which $f(z) \rightarrow c$. Therefore, $f(z)$ has the finite asymptotic value $b_{n}$ at $\zeta_{n} \in A$. Now suppose there are no asymptotic values on the arc $A$ except 0 and $\infty$. From the preceding paragraph it follows that 0 and $\infty$ are asymptotic values on a dense subset of $A$. Let $a \in A$ be a point at which there is the asymptotic value 0 . Hence there is a path $P$ ending at a such that $f(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow$ a for $z \in P$. Let $G(\lambda)$ denote the component of $\{z:|f(z)|<\lambda, \lambda>0\}$ that contains the part of $P$ near a. Then $C \cap \partial G(\lambda) \subset A$ for small positive $\lambda$ because there are no Koebe arcs on which $f(z) \rightarrow 0$. For such a value of $\lambda, G(\lambda)$ does not contain any asymptotic path for values $\neq 0$, but it does contain the path $P$ on which $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \rightarrow 0$. Since the Riemann image surface F does not contain branch points it follows that $f(z)$ maps $G(\lambda)$ onto a copy of the universal covering surface of $\{0<|w|<\lambda\}$. This construction can be performed infinitely often to obtain disjoint domains $G_{k} \subset D$ that are mapped by $f(z)$ onto the universal covering surface of $\left\{0<|w|<\lambda_{k}\right\}$. In addition this construction can be arranged so that $a_{k}$ lies on a fixed closed subarc $A^{\prime}$ of $A$. Let $H_{k}$ denote the maximal domain that contains $G_{k}$ and is mapped by $f(z)$ onto the universal covering surface of $\left\{0<|w|<\rho_{k}\right.$, $\left.\rho_{k} \geqslant \lambda_{k}\right\}$. Since $F$ is of hyperbolic type, $\rho_{k}<\infty$. So there exists an asymptotic value which is not 0 and $\infty$ at $\zeta_{k} \in C \cap H_{k}$. By assumption $\zeta_{k} \notin A$. Because of the local univalence, the domains $H_{k}$ are disjoint. It may be assumed that $\rho_{k} \rightarrow \rho$ where $0 \leqslant \rho \leqslant \infty$. Since $\zeta_{k} \notin A$ and $a_{k} \in A^{\prime}$, there exist arcs of $\partial H_{k}$ that converge to an arc of $A-A^{\prime}$ and on which $|\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})| \rightarrow \rho$ as $\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts the fact that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ has both 0 and $\infty$ as asymptotic values on a dense subset of $A$.

For any point $\zeta$ in $C$, let $h(\zeta, \psi)$ denote the chord at $\zeta$ which makes the angle $\psi,-\pi / 2<\psi<\pi / 2$, with the radius $\mathrm{h}(\zeta, 0)$ drawn through $\zeta$ and let $\Delta\left(\zeta, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ denote the angle at $\zeta$ between the chords $h\left(\zeta, \psi_{1}\right)$ and $h\left(\zeta, \psi_{2}\right)$. For any two points $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ belonging to $D$, we let $\sigma\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ be the non-Euclidean hyperbolic distance between them. If $f(z)$ is a holomorphic function and $S$ is any set contained in $D$, then

$$
M^{*}(f, S)=\sup _{z \in S}\left\{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{\left.1+\left.\left.\right|_{f(z)}\right|^{2}\right\}}\right.
$$

Using this notation in Theorem 38, we are able to obtain sufficient conditions for a holomorphic function to be a constant function.

Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of points such that $z_{n} \in D$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|z_{n}\right|=1$. Then the $z_{n}$ 's are called a $\underline{\rho}$-sequence for a meromorphic function $f(z)$ in $D$ if for any real sequences $\left\{\epsilon_{v}\right\}$ and $\left\{L_{v}\right\}$ having the properties $0<\epsilon_{\mathrm{v}+1}<\epsilon_{\mathrm{v}}$ for any positive integer $\mathrm{v}, \lim _{\mathrm{v} \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{\mathrm{v}}=0,1<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{v}}<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{v}+1}$ and $\lim _{\mathrm{v} \rightarrow \infty} L_{v}=\infty$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{z_{n_{v}}\right\}$ such that for every $v$ the function $f(z)$ takes in the disk $\left\{z: \sigma\left(z, z_{n_{v}}\right)<\epsilon_{v}\right\}$ all values of $w$ in $|w|<L_{v}$ with the possible exception of a set whose diameter is less than $2 / L_{v}$.

Theorem 38: Let $f(z)$ be holomorphic in $D$ and $\gamma$ be an arc contained in C. Suppose there exists a set A of second category on $\gamma$ such that at every point $\zeta \in A$ there exists a chord $h(\zeta, \psi)$ containing a sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=\zeta$
(ii) $\overline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)<\infty$
(iii) $\infty \notin \mathrm{C}_{\left\{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}}(\mathrm{f}, \zeta)$
(iv) $M *\left(f, \Delta\left(\zeta, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)<\infty\right.$ for $\psi_{1}<\psi<\psi_{2}$
(v) There exists a value $a \in W$ and a set $N$ metrically dense in $\gamma$ such that for every $\zeta \in N, a \in C_{h(\zeta, \psi)}(f, \zeta)$ for at least one $h(\zeta, \psi)$.

Then $f(z) \equiv \mathrm{a}$. (Krishnamoorthy, 1, Theorem 7, p.99)

Proof: Let $\zeta$ be an arbitrary point of $A$. We assume that $\zeta$ is a Plessner point, which will lead to a contradiction. In every angle $\Delta\left(\zeta, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ there exists a sequence of points $\left\{z_{v, \Delta}^{\prime}\right\}$ with $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} z_{v, \Delta}^{\prime}=\zeta$ along which the sequence $\left\{f\left(z_{v, \Delta}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \rightarrow \infty$. From these sequences, we can choose a sequence of points $\left\{z_{v}^{\prime}\right\}$ with $\lim _{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{lim}_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}=\zeta$ along which $\left\{\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that there is a corresponding sequence of points $\left\{\tilde{z}_{v}\right\}$ on $h(\zeta, \psi)$ such that $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\left(\tilde{z}_{v}, z_{v}^{\prime}\right)=0$. By the application of condition (ii) we can choose a subsequence $\left\{z_{n_{v}}\right\}$ of $\left\{z_{v}\right\}$ so that $\overline{\mathrm{lim}}_{\mathrm{v} \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{v}}}, \tilde{z}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)<\infty$. So we have two sequences $\left\{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{v}}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}\right\}$ tending to $\zeta$ such that $\underset{\mathrm{v} \rightarrow \infty}{ }\left(\mathrm{lim}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{v}}}, z_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}\right)<\infty$ and $\lim _{\mathrm{v} \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{\mathrm{v}}^{\prime}\right)=\infty$ while the sequence $\left\{\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{v}}}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. According to Gavrilov (1), there exists a $\rho$ sequence $\left\{\xi_{\mathrm{v}}\right\}$ for the function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ lying in the non-Euclidean segments joining the corresponding pairs of points $z_{n_{v}}$ and $z_{v}^{\prime}$. After some messy calculations involving bounds on $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| /\left(1+|f(z)|^{2}\right.$ ), condition (iv) is violated. So $\zeta$ cannot be a Plessner point.
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According to a theorem of Meier (Collingwood and Lohwater, Theorem 8.9, p.154), nearly all points of A are Meier points. For each Meier point, $C(f, \zeta)$ is a proper subset of $W$. So by Fatou's Theorem there exists a subarc $\gamma_{0}$ of $\gamma$ around $\zeta$ with the property almost all of its points are Fatou points. Let $F_{\gamma_{0}}(f)$ denote the set of Fatou points on $Y_{0}$. Then the set $N_{0}=N \cap F_{\gamma_{0}}$ is a set of Fatou points whose linear measure is positive and its angular limit is a. From the Lusin-Privalov Theorem (Noshiro, 1, p.60), $f(z) \equiv a$.

Theorem 39: Let $g(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\{1-\left(\frac{z}{1-a^{-j}}\right)^{a^{j}}\right\}$ where a is an integer $>4$. Let $\Delta_{j, 1^{\prime}}$ denote the disk with center at the zero $z_{j, 1^{\prime}}=\left(1-\frac{1}{a^{j}}\right) e^{2 \pi i l^{\prime} / a^{j}}$ for $1^{\prime}=0,1, \ldots, a^{j}-1$ and radius $1 /\left(j^{2} a^{j}\right)$. Then there exists a $j_{o}$ such that for all $j \geqslant j_{o}$ the interiors of the disks $\left\{\triangle_{j, 1^{1}}\right\}$ are disjoint, and $g(z) \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly as $z \rightarrow 1$ within $D-\left(\bigcup_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty} \bigcup_{1} \triangle_{j}, 1^{1}\right)$. (Krishnamoorthy, 1 , Theorem 1, p.94)

Proof: Let $z_{o} \in D-\left(\bigcup_{j=j_{o}}^{\infty} \Delta_{j, 1}\right)$ and $z_{o}$ near $C$. Then there exists a $k$ such that $1-a^{-k} \leqslant\left|z_{o}\right|<1-a^{-k-1}$. We will decompose $g(z)$ into four products $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$ which we will specify below in order to obtain a lower bound of $\left|g\left(z_{o}\right)\right|$. Let

$$
P_{1}(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\left\{1-\left(\frac{z}{1-a^{-j}}\right)^{a^{j}}\right\} \text {. Then }
$$

$\left|P_{1}\left(z_{o}\right)\right|=\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\left|1-\left(\frac{z_{o}}{1-a^{-j}}\right)^{a^{j}}\right| \geqslant \prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\left\{\left(\frac{1-a^{-k}}{1-a^{-j}}\right)^{a^{j}}-1\right\}>\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\left\{e\left(1-a^{-k}\right)^{a^{k-1}}-1\right\}>\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\left\{e^{-\frac{2}{a}+1}-1\right\}$.
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Let $P_{2}(z)=1-\left(\frac{z}{1-a^{-k}}\right)^{a^{k}}$, which is holomorphic in the whole complex plane. Then $\left|P_{2}(z)\right|$ has its minimum in $D-\left(\bigcup_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty} \Delta_{j}, 1^{\prime}\right)$ on one of the
circles $\Delta_{i}$ enclosing its zeros. Therefore, circles $\Delta_{k, 1}$ ienclosing its zeros. Therefore,
$\left.\left.\left|P_{2}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|\left(\frac{z_{0}}{1-a^{-k}}\right)^{a^{k}}-1\right|\right\rangle\left|\left(e^{2 \pi i l^{\prime} /{ }^{k}}+\frac{e^{i \alpha}}{k^{2}\left(a^{k}-1\right)}\right)^{a^{k}}-1\right|\right\rangle \left.\left|e^{2 \pi i 1}\right|\left(1+\frac{e^{i \alpha}}{k^{2}} \frac{a^{k}}{a^{k}-1}\right)+0\left(\frac{1}{a^{2 k}}\right) \right\rvert\, \geqslant \frac{c_{2}}{k^{2}}$. Letting $P_{3}(z)=1-\left(\frac{z}{1-a^{-k-1}}\right)^{a^{k+1}}$, we have $\left.\left|P_{3}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=| | \frac{z_{o}}{1-a^{-k-1}}\right)^{a^{k+1}}-1 \left\lvert\,>\frac{C_{3}}{(k+1)^{2}}\right.$. The last factor $P_{4}(z)$ must then be $\prod_{k+2}^{\infty}\left|1-\left(\frac{z}{1-a^{-j}}\right)^{a^{j}}\right|$. Then

$$
\left|P_{4}\left(z_{o}\right)\right|=\prod_{k+2}^{\infty}\left|1-\left(\frac{z_{o}}{1-a^{-j}}\right)^{a^{j}}\right|>\prod_{k+2}^{\infty}\left\{1-\left(\frac{1-a^{-k-1}}{1-a^{-j}}\right)^{a^{j}}\right\} \text { and }
$$

$$
\left(\frac{1-a^{-k-1}}{1-a^{-j}}\right)^{a^{j}}<4\left(1-a^{-k-1}\right) a^{j}<4 e^{-a^{j-k-1}} \text {. Consequently }
$$

$$
\left|P_{4}\left(z_{o}\right)\right|>\prod_{k+2}^{\infty}\left(1-4 e^{-a^{j-k-1}}\right)=\prod_{u=2}^{\infty}\left(1-4 e^{-a^{u-1}}\right)=c_{4}>0 . \quad \text { Therefore, }
$$

$$
\left|g\left(z_{o}\right)\right|>\frac{C_{1}}{k^{2}(k+1)^{2}}\left(e^{1-2 / a}-1\right)^{k-1} \text { which approaches } \infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text {. }
$$

Lappan (13) has recently used Theorem 39 to construct an example of two analytic functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ such that the spherical distance $\chi(f(z), g(z)) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $|z| \rightarrow 1$ and $f(z) \nRightarrow g(z)$. Let

$$
H(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\{1-\left(\frac{z}{1-(1 / s)}\right)^{s^{j}}\right\}
$$
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where $s$ is a positive integer greater than 4 . Using Theorem 39 's notation and conclusion we have that $H(z)$ is an analytic function in $D$ such that $H(z) \rightarrow \infty$ uniformily as $|z| \rightarrow 1$ in $D-\left(\bigcup_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j} \Delta_{j}, 1^{1}\right)$. We now want to construct an analytic function $K(z)$ in $D$ such that $K(z) \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly as $|z| \rightarrow 1$ in $\cup_{j, 1^{\prime}} \triangle_{j, l^{\prime}}$ and such that $K(z)$ has no zeros in $D$. For $j \geqslant 2$ let $D_{j}=\left\{z:|z|<1-(1 / s)^{j}-2 /\left(j^{2} s^{j}\right)\right\}$ and $\Delta_{j}={ }_{j}^{s^{j}-1} \mathrm{l}_{0}^{j} \Delta_{j, l^{\prime}}$. By the Runge approximation theorem (Hille, 1, p.303), there exists a sequence of polynomials $\left\{P_{j}(z)\right\}$ such that for each integer $j \geqslant 2$ $\left|P_{j}(z)\right|<(1 / 2)^{j}$ for $z \in D_{j}$ and $\left|P_{2}(z)+P_{3}(z)+\ldots+P_{j}(z)-j\right|<(1 / 2)^{j}$ for $z \in \Delta_{j}$. Setting $L(z)=\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} P_{j}(z)$, we have that $L(z)$ is an analytic function in $D$ and that for each $j \geqslant 2,|L(z)-j|<1$ for $z \in \Delta_{j}$. Then $K(z)=\exp (L(z))$ has the properties that $K(z) \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly as $|z| \rightarrow 1$ in $U_{j, 1^{1}} \Delta_{j, 1}$ and that $K(z)$ has no zeros in $D$. In addition $|H(z)|^{2}+$ $|K(z)|^{2} \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly as $|z| \rightarrow 1$. Let $f(z)=H(z) / K(z)$ and $g(z)=$ $(H(z)-1) / K(z)$. Then $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic functions in $D$, $f(z) \neq g(z)$ and $x(f(z), g(z))=1 / \sqrt{\left[|H(z)|^{2}+|K(z)|^{2}\right]\left[1+|g(z)|^{2}\right]}$. So $\chi(f(z), g(z)) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $|z| \rightarrow 1$.
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## CHAPTER II

## NORMAL FUNCTIONS

## SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR A FUNCTION TO BE NORMAL

A family $\mathrm{F}^{*}$ of functions f defined in a region $\Omega$ is said to be normal if every sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ of functions in $F^{*}$ contains a subsequence $\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}}\right.$ \} whicheither converges uniformly or tends uniformly to $\infty$ on each compact subset of $\Omega$. A function $f(z)$ is called normal in a simply connected region if the family $\{f(S(z))\}$ is normal where $S(z)$ denotes an arbitrary conformal map of $\Omega$ onto itself.

Noshiro (1, pp.87-88) cites the following conditions for a function to be normal.

Theorem 1: A non-constant function $f(z)$, meromorphic in $D$, is normal if and only if $\alpha(f(z))|d(z)| \leqslant K d \sigma(z)$ holds at every point of $D$ where $\alpha(f(z))=\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}}, d \sigma(z)=\frac{|d z|}{1-|z|^{2}}$ and $K$ is a fixed positive constant. (Lehto and Virtanen, 1)

Corollary: A non-constant function meromorphic in $D$ is normal if and only if $\alpha(f(S(0))$ is bounded for all conformal mappings $S$. (Lehto and Virtanen, 1)
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Theorem 2: Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $D, A(r, f)$ denote the spherical area of the Riemannian image of the disk $|z|<r$ and $L(r, f)$ denote the spherical length of the image of the circumference $|z|=r$. If $A(r, f(S(z)) \leqslant K L(r, f(S(z))$ for $0<r<1$ where $S(z)$ denotes an arbitrary conformal mapping of $D$ onto itself and $K$ is a fixed constant independent of $S$ and $r$, then $f(z)$ is normal in D. (Ah1fors, 1)

Theorem 3: Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $D$ and $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \ldots, \Delta_{q}$ for $q \geqslant 3$ be mutually disjoint closed Jordan domains on the Riemann sphere. For $j=1,2, \ldots, q$, let $\mu_{j}$ denote the minimum of the numbers of sheets of islands of $R$ above $\Delta_{j}$ where $R$ is the covering surface generated by $f(z)$. If there is no island of $R$ above $\Delta_{j}$, then $\mu_{j}=+\infty$. If $\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left(1-\frac{1}{\mu_{j}}\right)>2$, then $f(z)$ is normal in D. (Ah1fors, 1)

Corollary: A function $f(z)$ meromorphic in $D$ is normal if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(i) $f(z)$ omits three values in $D$,
(ii) the covering surface $F$ has no univalent island above five mutually disjoint Jordan closed domains on W.
(Ah1fors, 1)

Other mathematicians have proved additional criteria for a function to be normal. These include the following results.

Theorem 4: A complex-valued function $f(z)$ in $D$ is normal if and only if for each pair of sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $D$ such that $\sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$ the convergence of $\left\{f\left(z_{n}\right)\right\}$ to a value $\alpha \in W$ implies the convergence of
$\left\{f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ to $\alpha . \quad$ (Bagemih1 and Seide1, 2, Lemma 1, p.10)

Since a normal function must be continuous, this theorem follows from a well-known result that a family of continuous functions in $D$ is normal if and only if the functions are equicontinuous on each compact subset of D. (Hille, 1, Theorem 15.2.2, p.244)

The sum of two analytic normal functions need not be normal as the next example will show. However, Theorem 6 will give a sufficient condition for the sum of two meromorphic functions to be normal.

In order to construct two analytic normal functions whose sum is not normal (Lappan, 1, Theorem 3, p.190), we will first show that if $f(z)$ is a normal holomorphic function in $D$, then for any two sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $D$ such that $\sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)<M, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\infty$ if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}\right)$ $=\infty$. If this conclusion is false, then without loss of generality we may assume that $\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{f}\left(z_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Let $S_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z})=\left(z+z_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}\right) /\left(1+\bar{z}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime} z\right)$. Since $S_{n}(z)$ is a linear transformation of $D$ onto itself, the sequence of functions $\left\{f\left(S_{n}(z)\right)\right\}$ forms a normal family. Since $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} f\left(S_{n_{i}}(0)\right)=0$, the limit of the sequence $\left\{f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}}(\mathrm{z})\right)\right\}$, which we will denote by $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{z})$, must be holomorphic in $D$. So there exists a positive constant $L$ such that $|\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{z})|<\mathrm{L}$ in the disk $\sigma(0, z) \leqslant \mathrm{M}$. Then there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $\left|f\left(S_{n_{i}}(z)\right)\right|<L+1$ for all $n_{i}>N$ and all $z$ in the disk $\sigma(0, z) \leqslant M$. However, setting $z_{n}^{\prime \prime}=S_{n}^{-1}\left(z_{n}\right)$, we have $\sigma\left(0, z_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $\sigma\left(S_{n}(0), S_{n}\left(z_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=\sigma\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)<M$ and $f\left(S_{n}\left(z_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=f\left(z_{n}\right)$. So $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} f\left(S_{n_{i}}\left(z_{n_{i}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ must be equal to $\infty$.

Now we will let $f(z)$ denote a normal holomorphic function which is unbounded in $D$, and we will construct a Blaschke product $B_{f}(z)$ in $D$ such
that $g(z)=f(z) B_{f}(z)$ is not normal. Let $\left\{z_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ be a sequence of points in $D$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\left.\right|_{z_{n}} ^{\prime \prime} \mid\right)<\infty$. We pick a subsequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{z_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ such that for each $j<n, \sigma\left(z_{j}, z_{n}\right)>3(n-j) M^{\prime}$ where $M^{\prime}>M$, the constant in the preceding paragraph. Then we choose a sequence $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ such that $\sigma\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)=M^{\prime}$ and $B_{f}(z)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|z_{n}\right|}{z_{n}} \frac{z_{n}-z}{1-\bar{z}_{n} z}$. So $B_{f}\left(z_{n}\right)=0$ and $g\left(z_{n}\right)=0$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}\right)=\infty, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ al so equals $\infty$. $\left|B_{f}\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq a>0$ by comparison with the Blaschke product in Example 4 of Bagemih1 and Seidel (2, p.11). So $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\infty$ and $g(z)$ is not normal. Finally we define $h(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(B_{f}(z)-2\right) f(z)$ and $G(z)=f(z)+h(z)$. By direct verification $h(z)$ is normal, and $G(z)=\frac{1}{2} f(z) B_{f}(z)$.

A holomorphic function $f(z)$ in $D$ is uniformly normal if, for each $M>0$ there exists a finite number $K>0$ such that for each $z_{0} \in D$, $\sigma\left(z, z_{o}\right)<M$ implies that $\left|f(z)-f\left(z_{o}\right)\right|<K$. If $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ are two sequences of points in $D$ such that $\sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$, then $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is close to $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$, or $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ are called close sequences.

Lemma 1: Suppose $f(z)$ is meromorphic in $D$ and there exist two close sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ such that $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow \alpha$ and $f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \beta$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$. Then for each complex number $\delta$ with possibly two exceptions, there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{k}^{\delta}\right\}$ close to a subsequence of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ such that $f\left(z_{k}^{\delta}\right)=\delta$. (Lappan, 3, Theorem 4, p.44)

Proof: Let $S_{n}$ be a linear transformation of $D$ onto itself mapping 0 into $z_{n}$ and let $F_{n}(z)=f\left(S_{n}(z)\right)$. Since $S_{n}^{-1}\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$, no subsequence of $\left\{F_{n}(z)\right\}$ converges continuously at $z=0$ and no subsequence of $\left\{F_{n}(z)\right\}$ is a normal family in any neighborhood of $z=0$. Suppose this lemma is false. Then there exists a neighborhood $N$ of $z=0$ and three complex
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numbers $a, b$ and $c$ such that for each $n$ in $a n$ increasing sequence of positive integers, $F_{n}(z)$ omits $a, b$ and $c$ in $N$. However, by a theorem of Montel (Hille, 1, Theorem 15.2 .8 , p.248), this subsequence of functions is a normal family in $N$.

Theorem 5: A uniformly normal function is normal. (Lappan, 3, Theorem 8, p.46)

Proof: Let $f$ be uniformly normal and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of points in $D$ such that $\left\{f\left(z_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges to a value a which may be infinite. Given $M>0$ there exists $K>0$ such that for each $n \sigma\left(z, z_{n}\right)<M$ implies that $\left|f(z)-f\left(z_{n}\right)\right|<K$. If $a=\infty$, then $f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \infty$. If a is finite, then $\left\{f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. So there exist three complex numbers $\delta_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ such that there is no subsequence $\left\{z_{n_{k_{i}}}\right\}$ of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ having the property that there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{k}^{\delta}\right\}$ close to $\left\{z_{n_{k_{i}}}\right\}$ such that $\left\{z_{k}^{\delta}\right\}$ converges to $\delta_{i}$. So from the contrapositive of Lemma $1, f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow a$, and by Theorem 4, $f(z)$ is normal.

Theorem 6: If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are uniformly normal functions in $D$, then $h(z)=f(z)+g(z)$ is uniformly normal. (Lappan, 3, Theorem 9, p.46)

Proof: If $M>0$ is given, then there exist constants $K_{f}$ and $K_{g}$ such that for each $z \in D, \sigma\left(z, z_{o}\right)<M$ implies $\left|f(z)-f\left(z_{o}\right)\right|<K_{f}$ and $\left|g(z)-g\left(z_{o}\right)\right|<K_{g}$. Let $K=K_{g}+K_{f}$. Then for each $z_{o} \in D, \sigma\left(z, z_{o}\right)<M$ implies $\left|(f(z)+g(z))-\left(f\left(z_{o}\right)+g\left(z_{o}\right)\right)\right|<K$.

Theorem 7: If $u$ and $v$ are harmonic functions such that $f(z)=u(z)$ $+i v(z)$ is uniformly normal, then $u$ and $v$ are both normal.
(Lappan, 4, Theorem 6, p.158)

Proof: Let $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of conformal mappings of $D$ onto itself. Let $z_{n}=S_{n}(0)$ and $M>0$ be given. Then the family $\left\{F_{n}(z)=f\left(S_{n}(z)\right.\right.$ - $\left.f\left(z_{n}\right)\right\}$ is uniformly bounded in $\{z \in D: \sigma(z, 0) \leqslant M / 2\}$. A subsequence $\left\{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right.$ \} can be chosen so that it converges uniformly on each compact subset of $D$. So $\left\{u\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)\right\}$ converges to a limit which may be either finite or infinite. Since $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(0)=0$ for each positive integer $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{z})=$ $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_{k}}(z)$ is a holomorphic function in D. If $F(z)=U(z)+i V(z)$, then $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u\left(S_{n_{k}}(z)\right)=U(z)+\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)$. If $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)$ is finite, then $u\left(S_{n_{k}}(z)\right)$ converges uniformly on each compact subset of $D$ to $U(z)+\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)$ while if $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)=\infty, u\left(S_{n_{k}}(z)\right)$ converges uniformly to $\infty$ on each compact subset of D. Therefore, $u(z)$ is normal. Similarly $v(z)$ is also normal.

A special type of uniformly normal functions consists of the Bloch functions. A function $f$ which is analytic in $D$ is called a Bloch function if $f(0)=0$ and it satisfies one of the following conditions:
(i) $\sup _{z \in D} d_{f}(z)<\infty$ where $d_{f}(z)$ denotes the radius of the largest single-valued disk with center $f(z)$ on the Riemann surface $f(D)$.
(ii) $\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty$.
(iii) $f(\psi(z))-f(\psi(0))$ where $\psi(z)=c \frac{z+\zeta}{1+\zeta z},|\zeta|<1$, $|c|<1$, form a finitely normal family where $\infty$ is not allowed as a limit function.
(iv) there exists a univalent analytic function $g(z)$ in $D$ such that $f(z)=\lambda \log g^{\prime}(z)$ for some constant $\lambda>0$.

Theorem 8: The above four conditions are equivalent. (Pommerenke, 1, p.79)

Proof: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): For any $z_{1} \in D$ we form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f *(z)=\left[f\left|\frac{z^{+}+z_{1}}{1+\bar{z}_{1} z}\right|-f\left(z_{1}\right)\right] /\left[\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right] . \\
& \text { So } \frac{d_{f}\left(z_{1}\right)}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right|}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): From Schwarz's Lemma (Hille, 1, Theorem 15.1.1, p. 235) $d_{f}(z) \leqslant\left(1-|z|^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\right.$ for $|z|<1$ whenever $f$ is analytic in $D$. (ii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iii): This follows from Montel's Theorem (Hille, 1, Theorem 15.3.1, p.251) and the fact that $\left.\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\right|_{f}(z) \mid$ is invariant under $\psi$.

$$
\text { (iv) } \Rightarrow(i i): \quad\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=\lambda\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right| \text {, which is }
$$

bounded. (Hille, 1, Lemma 17.4.1, p.351)
(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv): $|z|^{\sup _{<1}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\infty$, which implies $g(z)$ is univalent by Nehari (1).

If $f(z)$ is analytic in $D$ and $f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$ for $z_{0} \in D$, then the maximal domain containing $z_{o}$ that is mapped by $f(z)$ one-to-one onto a single-valued domain starlike with respect to $f\left(z_{o}\right)$ is called the Gross star domain $G\left(z_{o}\right)$ of $f$. Rays of $G\left(z_{o}\right)$ are defined to be the preimages of the rays of the starlike image domain. If $R$ is a ray of $G\left(z_{o}\right)$ then either $R$ is a Jordan arc in $D$ that goes from $z_{o}$ to a point $z_{1} \in D$ where $f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)=0$ or $R$ is a Jordan arc in $D$ except for its endpoint $e^{i} \theta \in C$, where $f(z)$ has an asymptotic value.

Lemma 2: If $f(z)$ is analytic in $D$ and without Koebe arcs, then for any point $e^{i \theta} \in C$ either $f(z)$ has an asymptotic value at $e^{i \theta}$ or diameter $G(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$. (Pommerenke, 1, Theorem 7, p.90)

Proof: Suppose $G(z) \rightarrow 0$. Then we have $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ with dia $G\left(z_{n}\right) \geqslant r_{0}>0$. So there exist rays $R_{n}$ of $G\left(z_{n}\right)$ such that dia $R_{n} \geqslant r_{0}$. We have two cases.
(i) There exists a subsequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ and some $r, 0<r<r_{o}$, such that $\min \left\{|z|:\left|z-e^{i} \theta\right| \leqslant r, z \in R_{n_{k}}\right\} \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then some subarcs $R_{n_{k}}^{\prime}$ converge to an open arc $A_{o}$ of $C$ that has $e^{i \theta}$ as an endpoint. In addition $f\left(R_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right)$ is either a line segment or a half line. We claim that $f\left(z_{0}\right)$ is analytic on $A_{o}$. We may assume without loss of generality that the endpoints of the segments $f\left(R_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right)$ converge respectively to the points $w^{\prime}$ and $w^{\prime \prime}$ in W. Furthermore, we may assume that the directions of the segments $f\left(R_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right)$ converge. So as $n_{k} \rightarrow \infty \quad f\left(R_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right)$ converges to a rectilinear segment $L$ joining $w^{\prime}$ and $w^{\prime \prime}$ (which may be the same point). By a suitable linear transformation we can make $L$ a real segment or a single point. Let $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ be distinct points on $A_{0}$ and choose points $z_{n}^{\prime}$ and $z_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ on $R_{n_{k}}^{\prime}$ such that $z_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \zeta_{1}$ and $z_{n}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \zeta_{2}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the corresponding sequences of points $f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $f\left(z_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ converge. Neither of these limits can be $\infty$ since $f(z)$ maps $R_{n_{k}}^{\prime}$ one-to-one onto $f\left(R_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right)$ and $f(z)$ has no sequence of Koebe arcs for $\infty$. Therefore, by replacing $A_{0}$ by its arc between $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ we may assume that $L$ is bounded. We will now show that $f(z)$ is bounded in a neighborhood of each point of $A_{o}$. Suppose on the contrary that there exists a point $\zeta_{3} \in A_{0}$ and a sequence of points $z_{j} \in D$ such that $z_{j} \rightarrow \zeta_{3}$ and $f\left(z_{j}\right) \rightarrow \infty . \quad$ Let $L_{j}$ denote the half-1ine $\left\{T f\left(z_{j}\right): T \geqslant 1\right\}$ and let $\Lambda_{j}$ be the component of the preimage $f^{-1}\left(L_{j}\right)$ that contains $z_{j}$. We choose $z_{j}$ 's
such that $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ on $\Lambda_{j}$. Then $\Lambda_{j}$ is a simple curve tending at one end to a point of $C$. For all sufficiently large $j, L_{j}$ does not intersect $U f\left(R_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right)$ because $L$ is bounded. So $f(z)$ has a sequence of Koebe arcs for $\infty$, a contradiction. Consequently $f(z)$ is analytic on $A_{0}$ and has an asymptotic value at $e^{i \theta}$.
(ii) We can find $c_{1} \in D$ such that a subsequence of $R_{n}$ comes arbitrarily close to $c_{1}$. If (i) does not hold, we can also find $c_{2} \in D$ with $0<\left|c_{2}-e^{i \theta}\right|<\left|c_{1}-e^{i \theta}\right| / 2$ and such that another subsequence of $R_{n}$ comes arbitrarily near to $c_{2}$. After continuing this process of taking subsequences we finally take the diagonal sequence. So we have points $c_{k} \in D$ with $c_{k} \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that for each fixed $k, R_{n}$ comes arbitrarily close to $c_{k}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f\left(R_{n}\right)$ is a line segment or a half-1ine, all the points $w_{k}=f\left(c_{k}\right)$ lie on the same line $L$. The points $c_{k}$ are all distinct since $f(z)$ is not constant. Since $f(z)$ maps $R_{n}$ one-to-one onto $f\left(R_{n}\right)$, $w_{k}$ converges monotonically along $L$ to a limit $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{o}}$. Let $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}$ be a disk around $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$ such that diameter $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty$. For each $k$, we choose $n_{k}$ and a subarc $A_{k}$ of $R_{n_{k}}$ from $a_{k} \in D_{k}$ to $b_{k} \in$ $D_{k+1}$. Then $A_{1}+\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]+\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right]+\ldots$ may be assumed to be a Jordan arc that lies in $D$ except for its endpoint $e^{i \theta}$. $f\left(A_{k}\right)$ converges to $\left[\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}-1}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]$. Since $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and diameter $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow 0, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ has an asymptotic value $w_{o}$ at $e^{i \theta}$.

Theorem 9: Every Bloch function in $D$ has finite or infinite angular limits on ancountably dense subset of C. (Pommerenke, 1, Theorem 8, p.91)

Proof: Since every Bloch function is normal, every asymptotic value
is an angular limit. Let $A$ be an arc of $C$. Suppose there exists an interior point $e^{i \theta}$ of $A$ where there is no asymptotic value. By Lemma 2 there exists a Gross star domain $G\left(z_{0}\right)$ such that Boundary $G\left(z_{0}\right) \subset A$. The number of rays of $G\left(z_{o}\right)$ has the power of the continuum and only countably many of them $c$ an end at points where the derivative is equal to 0 . All others end on $C$. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that any two distinct rays end at distinct points of $C$. Let $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ be different rays of $G\left(z_{0}\right)$ with endpoints $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ in C. Since $a f(z)+b$ is also a Bloch function, we may assume that the half-1ines or segments $f\left(R_{1}\right)$ and $f\left(R_{2}\right)$ lie on different sides of the line $\left\{\operatorname{Real} w=\operatorname{Real} f\left(z_{o}\right)\right\}$. So the normal function $e^{f(z)}$ tends to different limits along $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$. Consequently $\zeta_{1} \neq \zeta_{2}$.

Theorem 10: Suppose $F(z)$ is the Blaschke-Quotient expressed in the form

$$
F(z)=B_{1}(z) / B_{2}(z)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|}{a_{n}}\left(\frac{a_{n}-z}{1-\bar{a}_{n} z}\right) / \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|b_{n}\right|}{b_{n}}\left(\frac{b_{n}-z}{1-\bar{b}_{n} z}\right)
$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\left|b_{n}\right|\right)<\infty$. If the set of limit points of the $a_{n}$ 's is disjoint from the set of limit points of the $b_{n}{ }^{\prime} s$, then $F(z)$ is norma1. (Cima, 1, Lemma 1, p.769)

Proof: $\quad \frac{\left|B_{1}(z) B_{2}^{\prime}(z)-B_{1}^{\prime}(z) B_{2}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{\left|B_{1}(z)\right|^{2}+\left|B_{2}(z)\right|^{2}} \leqslant \frac{\left|B_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)+\left|B_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{\left|B_{1}(z)\right|^{2}+\left|B_{2}(z)\right|^{2}}$
$\underline{\lim }\left(\left|B_{1}(z)\right|^{2}+\left|B_{2}(z)\right|^{2}\right) \geqslant 1$ as $z \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ in $D$ and $\left|B_{i}^{\prime}(z)\right|(1-|z|)$ for $i=1,2$ is bounded for $|z|<1$ according to Seidel and Walsh (1). So $\overline{\operatorname{iim}} \frac{\mid B_{1}(z) B_{2}^{\prime}(z)-B_{1}^{\prime}(z) B_{2}(z)}{\left|B_{1}(z)\right|^{2}+\left|B_{2}(z)\right|^{2}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)<\infty$ as $z \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ in $D$ and
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$$
\left.\left.\alpha(F(z))\right|_{\mathrm{d} z}\left|=\frac{\left|\mathrm{B}_{1}(z) \mathrm{B}_{2}^{\prime}(z)-\mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime}(z) \mathrm{B}_{2}(z)\right|}{\left|\mathrm{B}_{1}(z)\right|^{2}+\left|\mathrm{B}_{2}(z)\right|^{2}}\right| \mathrm{d} z \right\rvert\,<\frac{\left.C\right|_{\mathrm{d} z} \mid}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)},
$$

the condition in Theorem 1.

## CLUSTER-SET THEOREMS FOR NORMAL FUNCTIONS

The following theorem of Lehto and Virtanen is one of the first important results in the theory of cluster sets of normal functions.

Theorem 11: Let $f(z)$ be a normal meromorphic function in D. If $f(z)$ has an asymptotic value $\alpha$ at a point $z_{o}$ on $C$ along a Jordan curve lying in $D$, then $f(z)$ possesses the angular limit $\alpha$ at $z_{o}$. (Lehto and Virtanen, 1, Theorem 1, p.49; Nashiro, 1, Theorem 6, p.86)

Bagemih1 and Seidel have proved many other cluster-set properties of normal functions. These include conditions for $f(z)$ to be identically constant and conditions for $f(z)$ to have a limit at a point.

Theorem 12: Let $f(z)$ be a normal meromorphic function in $D$ which omits the finite or infinite value $c$ and $\operatorname{let}\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of points in $D$ which converges to a point $\zeta \in C$. If there exists a positive number $M$ such that for every $n, \sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)<M$ and if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}\right)=c$, then $f(z)$ has the angular limit $c$ at $\zeta$. (Bagemih1 and Seidel, 2, Theorem 1, p.4)

Proof: The family of functions $g_{n}(z)=f\left(\frac{z+z_{n}}{1+\bar{z}_{n} z}\right)$ for $n$ any positive
integer is normal in $D$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{n}(0)=c$. So the functions $\left\{g_{n}(z)\right\}$
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converge uniformly on every compact subset of $D$ to $c$. Let $S$ be the compact subset $|z| \leqslant \lambda$ where $1>\lambda>\tanh M$. Since $\sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n}{ }_{n}\right)<M$, the non-Euclidean circle $\Delta_{n}$ with center $z_{n}$ and radius equal to $\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ contains the point $z_{n+1}$ in its interior. $\lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z)=c$ when $z$ is restricted to the union of the interiors of the circles $\Delta_{n}$. In particular this relation holds if $z$ lies on the polygonal line formed by joining the points $z_{n}$ and $z_{n+1}$ by a Euclidean line for all $n$. So $f(z)$ possesses the angular limit $c$ at $\zeta$ by Theorem 11 .

A boundary path is a simple continuous curve $z=z(t)(0 \leqslant t<1)$ in $D$ such that $|z(t)| \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 1$. The initial point of the boundary path $\Lambda$ is the point $z(0)$ and the end $E$ of $\Lambda$ is the set of limit points of $\Lambda$ on C. In order to decide when two boundary paths are "close together', we let $D_{1}^{*}\left(\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}\right)=\lim _{\substack{t \\ z(t) \in \Lambda_{1}}} \sup \sigma\left(z(t), \Lambda_{2}\right), D_{2}^{*}\left(\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}\right)=\lim _{z(t) \in \Lambda_{2}}^{1} \sup$ $\sigma\left(z(t), \Lambda_{1}\right)$ and $D^{*}\left(\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}\right)=\sup \left\{D_{1}^{*}\left(\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}\right), D_{2}^{*}\left(\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}\right)\right\}$.

If $P$ is a prime end of $D,\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ is a chain belonging to $P$ and $d_{n}$ is the subdomain of $D$ defined by $q_{n}$ and containing $q_{n+1}$, then $\cap \bar{d}_{n}=\cap \bar{d}_{n}^{\prime}$ for $\left\{q_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ any equivalent chain. The set $I(P)=\cap \bar{d}_{n}$, which is invariant in the equivalence class of chains constituting $P$, is called the impression of the prime end. Two distinct prime ends of $D$ can have the same impression. For example, if the domain $D$ is obtained by deletion of an end-cut $\gamma$ from the unit disk, then each interior point of $\gamma$ constitutes the impression of exactly two prime ends.

Theorem 13: Let $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ be a non-constant meromorphic function in $D$ that tends to $C$ along a boundary path $\mathbf{A}$ whose end $E$ contains more than one
point. Then given $\epsilon>0$ there exist boundary paths $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{2}$ whose ends are contained in $E$ such that $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{2}$ are mutually exclusive; $\mathrm{D} *\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{2}\right)<\epsilon$; and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{c}$ along $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{1}$, but not along $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{2}$. (Bagemih1 and Seide1, 1, Theorem 1, p.264)

Proof: Let $G=D-\Lambda$. The initial point of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ is the impression of one prime end of $G$ whereas every other point of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is the impression of two prime ends of $G$. If $E$ is the impression of a prime end of $P$ and $E=C$, then $E$ is the impression of only $P$, but if $E \neq C$, then $E$ is the impression of $P$ and of another prime end $P^{\prime}$ in $G$.

If $E=C$, we map $G$ onto $D$ in a one-to-one conformal manner so that the initial point of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ and the prime end $P$ correspond respectively to the points -1 and 1 . Let $F(z)$ denote the image of $f(z)$ under the conformal mapping. Since $f(z) \not \equiv c$, there exists a sequence of points in $G$ tending to $C$ on which $f(z) \rightarrow b \neq c$. So there is a sequence of points in D tending to the point 1 on which $F(z) \rightarrow b$ and there exists a segment $S$ in $D$ bounded by a suitable arc and chord of $C$ both having an endpoint at 1 that contains infinitely many points of this sequence. $F(z) \rightarrow c$ as $z \rightarrow 1$ along $C$ but not as $z \rightarrow 1$ on $S$. Consequently from an argument of Lehto and Virtanen (1, pp.49-52), given $\in>0$ there exist two disjoint boundary paths $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{2}^{\prime}$ in $D$ whose ends are the point 1 such that $D *\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{2}\right)<\in$ and $F(z) \rightarrow c$ along $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1}^{\prime}$ but not along $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{2}^{\prime}$. So under the original mapping of $G$ onto $D$ there exist boundary paths $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{2}$ that lie in $G$ and satisfy the conditions of the theorem.

If $E \neq C$, then we map $G$ onto $D$ one-to-one conformally so that the initial point of $\Lambda$ and the prime ends $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ correspond respectively to the points $-1,-i$ and $i$. Let $F(z)$ again denote the image of $f(z)$
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under this conformal mapping. Let $A, A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ denote the open subarcs of $C$ which, when described once in the positive direction, have the respective initial and terminal points $-i$ and $i,-1$ and $-i, i$ and -1 . Under this conformal mapping A corresponds to the arc C-E while $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ each corresponds to $\Lambda$ minus its initial point. Therefore, as the point $i$ or $-i$ is approached along $A$, the inverse of the mapping function approaches an end point of $E$. Then this limit is approached as $z \rightarrow i$ or $-i$ on the set $\{|z| \leqslant 1, \operatorname{Real}(z) \geqslant \Delta\}$. Since $f(z) \neq c$, according to Privalow (1, p.207) there exists a sequence of points in $G$ tending to an interior point of $E$ on which $f(z) \rightarrow b \neq c$. So there exists a sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ tending to $i$ or $-i$ satisfying the conditions: Real $\left(z_{n}\right)<0$ for $n$ any positive integer and $F\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow b$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In addition $F(z) \rightarrow c$ as $z \rightarrow-i$ along $A_{1}$. The rest of the proof is the same as above.

Theorem 14: Suppose that $f(z)$ is a normal meromorphic function in $D$ and that $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ are boundary paths for which $D *\left(\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}\right)$ is finite. If $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{c}$ along $\Lambda_{1}$, then $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{c}$ along $\Lambda_{2} . \quad$ (Bagemihl and Seide1, 1, Theorem 3, p.266)

Proof: Assume $c$ is finite. If $c=\infty$, then we will look at the normal meromorphic function $1 / f(z)$. If this theorem is false, then there exists a number $c^{\prime}$, possibly $\infty$, different from $c$ and a sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ on $\Lambda_{2}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\right|=1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)=c^{\prime}$. Since $D^{*}\left(\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}\right)$ is finite, there exists a positive number $M$ and a sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ on $\Lambda_{1}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|z_{n}\right|=1$ and $\sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)<M$ for $n$ any positive integer. The family of functions $\left\{f\left(S_{n}(z)\right)\right\}$ where $S_{n}(z)=\left(z+z_{n}\right) /\left(1+\bar{z}_{n} z\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { is normal in D. As } n \rightarrow \infty, f\left(S_{n}(0)\right)=f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \text {. Since } c \text { is finite, }
\end{aligned}
$$

formly to a meromorphic function $F(z)$ on the closed disk $\Delta$ in $D$ whose
center is the origin and whose non-Euclidean radius is M. For all suf-
ficiently large values of $k, S_{n_{k}}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$ intersects every circle $\sigma(0, z)=L$
with $L<M$. Since $f(z) \rightarrow c$ along $\Lambda_{1}, F(z) \equiv c$. However, $\sigma\left(z_{n_{k}}, z_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right)<M$
so that $S_{n_{k}}^{-1}\left(z_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right) \in \Delta$ and since $f\left(z_{n_{k}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow c^{\prime} \neq c$ as $k \rightarrow \infty, F(z) \neq c$, a
contradiction.

Corollary: If a normal meromorphic function $f(z)$ in $D$ tends to a limit along a boundary path whose end contains more than one point, then $f(z)$ is identically constant. (Bagemih1 and Seidel, 1, Corollary 1, p.266)

In the section on Locally Univalent Functions in Chapter I we defined Koebe arcs of $f(z)$. A Koebe sequence of arcs relative to an open arc $A$ of $C$ is a sequence of Jordan $\operatorname{arcs}\left\{J_{n}\right\}$ in $D$ such that
(i) for some sequence $\left\{\epsilon_{n}\right\}$ satisfying the conditions $0<\epsilon_{n}<1$ for $n$ any positive integer and $\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, J $J_{n}$ lies in the $\epsilon_{\mathrm{n}}$-neighborhood of A ,
(ii) every open sector $\triangle$ of $D$ subtending an arc of $C$ that lies strictly interior to $A$ has the property that, for all but at most a finite number of $n$ 's, the arc $J_{n}$ contains at least one Jordan subarc lying wholly in $\Delta$ except for its two end points which lie on distinct sides of $\Delta$.

Theorem 15: Let $f(z)$ be a normal meromorphic function in D. If $f(z) \rightarrow c$ along a Koebe sequence of $\operatorname{arcs}\left\{J_{n}\right\}$, then it is identically equal to $c$.

Proof: Assume $c=0$. If $c$ is a finite non-zero complex number, then we replace $f(z)$ by $f(z)-c$; or, if $c=\infty$, we replace $f(z)$ by $1 / f(z)$.

Let $\left\{J_{n}\right\}$ be the given sequence relative to an arc $A$. We define an $\operatorname{arc} B=\left\{z:|z|=1, q_{1}<\arg z<q_{2}\right\}$ to be strictly interior to $A$. We denote by $\Delta$ the open sector of $D$ with vertex at the origin and vertex angle $\beta$ subtending the arc $B$. There is no loss in generality in assuming that for every $n$ the arc $J_{n}$ contains a Jordan subarc $\Gamma_{n}$ lying wholly in $\Delta$ except for its endpoints $P_{n}^{(1)}$ and $P_{n}^{(2)}$ which lie on the sides $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ of $\Delta$. We set $r_{n}=\min _{z \in \Gamma_{n}}|z|$ and $R_{n}=\max _{z \in \Gamma_{n}}|z|$ for $n$ any positive integer. Then $\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}=1$. Now we define a Jordan curve $K_{n}$ for each $n$. Let $|z|=R_{n}$ intersect $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ respectively at the points $Q_{n}^{(1)}$ and $Q_{n}^{(2)}$. If $B_{n}$ is the open arc of $|z|=R_{n}$ which lies in $\Delta$ and $B_{n}^{*}$ is the complementary arc, then we define $K_{n}$ to be the union of $P_{n}^{(1)} Q_{n}^{(1)}, B_{n}^{*}, P_{n}^{(2)} Q_{n}^{(2)}$ and $\Gamma_{n}$. An argument involving harmonic measure shows that if $D$ is mapped conformally onto the interior of $K_{n}$ by $z=\phi_{n}(w)$ where $\phi_{n}(0)=0$, then for $n$ sufficiently large the arc $\Gamma_{n}$ is the image of an arc $S$ on $C$ having a length at least $\pi$ times the harmonic measure $\omega\left(0, B_{n},\left\{z:|z|<R_{n}\right\}\right)$.

Since $f(z) \rightarrow 0$ along the Koebe sequence $\left\{J_{n}\right\}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\phi_{n}(w)\right)=0$ uniformly on S. From Lehto and Virtanen (1, p.64), $\left\{f\left(\phi_{n}(w)\right)\right\}$ tends to zero uniformly on every compact subset of $D$.

Suppose there exists a point $z_{o} \in D$ for which $f\left(z_{o}\right)$ is not zero. By the definition of a Koebe sequence relative to $A, z_{o}$ is in the interior of each $K_{n}$ for $n$ sufficiently large. Let $w=\phi_{n}^{*}(z)$ denote the inverse of $z=\phi_{n}(w)$. Then $f\left(\phi_{n}\left(\phi_{n}^{*}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)\right)=f\left(z_{o}\right)$ for $n$ sufficiently

$$
1
$$

large. Since $\left\{f\left(\phi_{n}(w)\right)\right\} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on every compact subset of $D$ but $f\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0, \lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left|\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}\left(z_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right|=1$. However, if $\rho$ is fixed so that $\left|z_{0}\right|<\rho<1$, then according to Schwarz's Lemma $\left|\phi_{n}^{*}\left(z_{o}\right)\right| \leqslant\left|z_{0}\right| / \rho<1$ for $n$ sufficiently large, a contradiction.

Theorem 16: Let $f(z)$ be a normal function in $D$ that omits the value $w$ which is either finite or infinite and let $A$ be an open subarc of C. If the set of Fatou points of $f(z)$ on $A$ is of measure zero, then $A$ contains a Fatou point of $f(z)$ at which the corresponding angular limit of $f(z)$ is w. (Bagemih1, 1, Theorem 1, p.3)

Proof: Assume $w$ is $\infty$. Let $\zeta \in A$. If $f(z)$ were bounded in some neighborhood of $\zeta$, then by a simple extension of Fatou's Theorem, the set of Fatou points of $f(z)$ on $A$ would be of positive measure, which is contrary to the hypothesis. So $f(z)$ is unbounded in every neighborhood of $\zeta$. Hence there exists a number $\delta>0$ such that the region $H=D \cap\{z$ : $|z-\zeta|<\delta\}$ satisfies the conditions that $\bar{H} \cap C \subset A$ and $f(z)$ is unbounded in H. Consequently there exists a sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $D$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow \zeta$ and $M_{n}=\left|f\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $1<M_{1}<M_{2}<\ldots<$ $M_{n}<\ldots$. For $n$ any positive integer, let $V_{n}$ be the open set of points in $D$ for which $|f(z)|>M_{n}-1$. Let $R_{n}$ denote the component of $V_{n}$ that contains $z_{n} \cdot|f(z)|=M_{n}-1$ at all boundary points of $R_{n}$ that lie in D. By the maximum principle, $\bar{R}_{n} \cap C$ is non-empty. Suppose the diameter of $R_{n}$ does not tend to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $r_{n}=\min _{z \in \bar{R}_{n}}|z|$. Since $f(z)$ omits $\infty$ in D by assumption, $\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} r_{\mathrm{n}}=1$ and there exists a Koebe sequence of arcs along which $f(z) \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction of Theorem 15 .

Thus there exists a natural number $N$ such that $R_{N} \subset H$.

We want to show that $f(z)$ is unbounded in $G_{1}=R_{N}$. Let $G_{1}^{*}$ be the smallest simply connected region containing $G_{1}$ and $z=\phi(w)$ be a function that maps $D$ conformally onto $G_{1}^{*}$. The set $B^{*}=\bar{G}_{1}^{*} \cap C$ is non-empty. We denote by $B_{1}^{*}$ the set of all points of $B^{*}$ that are accessible from $G_{1}^{*}$. Let $\phi^{*}\left(e^{i u}\right)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \phi\left(r e^{i u}\right)$ for every $u$ for which the limit exists. By Fatou's Theorem this limit exists at almost every point of $C$. The set $E_{1}=\left\{e^{i u}:\left|\left.\right|_{\phi} *\left(e^{i u}\right)\right|=1\right\}$ is a Borel set and hence measurable. In addition $B_{1}^{*}=\left\{\phi^{*}\left(e^{i u}\right): e^{i u} \in E_{1}\right\}$. We want to show that the function $g(w)=$ $f(\phi(w))$ is unbounded in $D$. Assume not.

Suppose $m\left(E_{1}\right)>0$. Let $E_{0}$ denote the Borel set of positive measure which is the subset of $E_{1}$ consisting of all the points for which $g(w)$ possesses a radial limit and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{O}}^{*}$ be the image of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$ under the mapping $z=\phi(w)$. From an extension of Löwner's Theorem (Tsuji, 1, p.322), B ${ }_{o}^{*}$ is a measurable subset of $B_{1}^{*}$ with $m\left(B_{o}^{*}\right)>0$. Let $\zeta_{o} \in B_{o}^{*}$. Then there exists a path in $G_{1}^{*}$ terminating at $\zeta_{O}$, and this path is the image under $z=\phi(w)$ of a path in $D$ that terminates at a point $e^{i u_{0}} \in E_{0} . \quad \phi^{*}\left(e^{i u_{0}}\right)=$ $\zeta_{0}$ and $g(w)$ has a radial limit at $e^{i u_{0}}$; therefore, $f(z)$ tends to a limit along a path in $G_{1}^{*}$ terminating at $\zeta_{0}$. Since $f(z)$ is normal in $D, \zeta_{0}$ is a Fatou point of $f(z)$ (Theorem 11). Because $\zeta_{o}$ was an arbitrary point of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}}^{*}$, a set of positive measure, we have contradicted the hypothesis that the set of Fatou points of $f(z)$ on $A$ is of zero measure.

Suppose $m\left(E_{1}\right)=0$. Since every boundary point of $G_{1}^{*}$ is a boundary point of $G_{1}$ and $|f(z)|=M_{N}-1$ at all boundary points of $R_{N}$ that lie in $D$, the Fatou values of $g(w)$ are equal in modulus to $M_{N}-1$ almost everywhere on $C$. The representation of $g(w)$ by its Poisson Integral shows that $|g(w)| \leqslant M_{N}-1$ throughout $D$. So $|f(z)| \leqslant M_{N}-1=L$ throughout $G_{1}=R_{N}$ which is contrary to the way $R_{N}$ was defined.
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Therefore, $g(w)$ is unbounded in $D$ and so $f(z)$ is unbounded in $G_{1}^{*}$ and $G_{1}$. The open set of points of $G_{1}$ at which $|f(z)|>L+1$ is nonempty. Let $G_{2}$ denote a component of this set and $f(z)$ is unbounded in $G_{2}$ as before. A continuation of this process yields a sequence of nested subregions $G_{1} \supset G_{2} \supset \ldots$ of $H$. Now we choose $z_{1} \in G_{1}, z_{2} \in G_{2}$ $\left\{z_{1}\right\}, z_{3} \in G_{3}-\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}, \ldots, z_{n} \in G_{n}-\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right\}, \ldots$ and join $z_{1}$ to $z_{2}$ by means of a Jordan arc $J_{1}$ lying in $G_{1}$. In addition we join $z_{2}$ to $z_{3}$ by a Jordan arc $J_{2}$ lying in $G_{2}$ and having no point except $z_{2}$ in common with $J_{1}, \ldots$, join $z_{n}$ to $z_{n+1}$ by a Jordan arc $J_{n}$ lying in $G_{n}$ and having no point except $z_{n}$ in common with $J_{1} \cup J_{2} \cup \ldots \cup J_{n-1}, \ldots$. So $P=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_{n}$ is a path in $D$ with initial point $z_{1}$. Its end lies on $C$ because $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \min _{z \in J}|f(z)|=\infty$ and $f(z)$ omits $\infty$ in $D$. According to the Corollary following Theorem 14 , the end of $P$ is a single point $\zeta \in C$. Since $f(z)$ is normal in $D, \zeta$ is a Fatou point of $f(z)$ with the corresponding value $\infty$ by Theorem 11 .

In conclusion if $w$ is finite, we then define $F(z)=\frac{1}{f(z)-w}$. From the proof above, A contains a Fatou point of $F(z)$ with the corresponding angular limit $\infty$. So this is a Fatou point of $f(z)$ with the angular limit w.

A hypercycle is the locus of points whose non-Euclidean distance from a given non-Euclidean straight line is constant.

Theorem 17: Let $f(z)$ be a normal meromorphic function in $D$ that omits the finite or infinite value $w$. If there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ having at least the limit points $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on $C$ and a constant $M$ such that $\sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)<M$ for every $n$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}\right)=c$, then $c \neq w$ and $f(z) \equiv c$.
(Bagemih1, 1, Theorem 2, p.4)

Proof: Assume $c=w$. Then by an argument in Theorem 12's proof, there exists an asymptotic path $P$ in $D$ whose end contains the arc $\alpha \beta$ such that $\lim _{z \rightarrow P} \underset{z \rightarrow p}{ } f(z)=w$. According to the Corollary following Theorem 14, this implies that $f(z) \equiv w$, a contradiction.

Assume $f(z) \not \equiv c$. If the set of Fatou points is of measure zero, then by Theorem 16 , since $f(z)$ omits $w, f(z)$ has a Fatou point on the $\operatorname{arc} \alpha \beta$ at which the corresponding angular limit of $f(z)$ is $w$. If the set of Fatou points is of positive measure, then by a theorem of Privalow (Noshiro, 1, Theorem 2, p.72), $f(z)$ has a Fatou point $\zeta$ on the arc $\alpha B$ at which the corresponding angular limit of $f(z)$ is $d \neq c$.

Let $\gamma$ be an angle such that $0<\gamma<\frac{1}{2} \pi$ and $M<10 g \tan \left(\frac{3}{2} \pi+\frac{1}{2} \gamma\right)$ where $M$ is the constant in the statement of this theorem. Let $\Delta$ be the subregion of $D$ determined by the two hypercycles that form the angles $\gamma$ and $-\gamma$ at $\zeta$ with the diameter of $C$ joining $\zeta$ and $-\zeta$. In a neighborhood of $\zeta$ every point of $\triangle$ lies in a symmetric Stolz angle of opening $2 \gamma$. So $\lim _{z \rightarrow \measuredangle} f(z)=d \neq c$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}\right)=c$, the points $z_{n}$ for all sufficiently large $n$ do not lie in $\triangle$. Since every point of $\alpha \beta$ is a limit point of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$, for infinitely many $n$ the points $z_{n}$ and $z_{n+1}$ lie on opposite sides of $\triangle$. Every boundary point of $\Delta$ that lies in $D$ is at the non-Euclidean distance $\frac{1}{2} \log \tan \left(\frac{3}{2} \pi+\frac{1}{2} \gamma\right)$ from the diameter of $C$ joining $-\zeta$ and $\zeta$. Therefore, for infinitely many $n, \sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right) \geqslant \log \tan \left(\frac{3}{4} \pi+\right.$ $\left.\frac{1}{2} \gamma\right)>M$, a contradiction.

One special class of normal functions, the subharmonic normal functions, have the property that for any $u$ for which $\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|u\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|=0(1)$, u has Fatou points almost everywhere on $C$.

A continuous function $u(x, y)$ not identically equal to zero is subharmonic if and only if it satisfies either of the following mean-value inequalities for each circular disk in $D$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u\left(x_{0}+\rho \cos \theta, y_{0}+\rho \sin \theta\right) d \theta \\
u\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u\left(x_{0}+\rho \cos \theta, y_{0}+\rho \sin \theta\right) \rho d \rho d \theta
\end{array}
$$

If $u(x, y)$ has continuous second partial derivatives in $D$, then it is subharmonic if and only if

$$
\Delta u=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}} \geqslant 0
$$

at every point of $D$.

Theorem 18: If $u$ is normal and subharmonic in $D$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|u\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta=0(1)
$$

for $0 \leqslant r<1$, then $u$ has Fatou points with finite Fatou values almost everywhere on C. (Meek, 1, Theorem, p.314)

Proof: According to Littlewood (1, Lemma 3, p.390) u has the representation $u=v+u *$ where $v$ has the property that if $w_{\rho}(z)$ is harmonic in $|z|<\rho<1$ and $w_{\rho}=u$ on $|z|=\rho$, then $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1} w_{\rho}(z)=v(z)$ and $u^{*}$ is a non-positive subharmonic function in $D$ with $u^{*}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$ for
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almost all $\theta \in[0,2 \pi$ ). In addition by a theorem of Tsuji (1, Theorem IV.16, p.147) $v$ has Fatou points corresponding to finite Fatou values almost everywhere on $C$.

Let $E$ denote the set of points on $C$ at which $u^{*}$ has radial limit zero and $v$ has a finite Fatou value. For any $\beta, 0 \leqslant \beta<\pi / 2$, and $e^{i \theta} \in E$, let $H\left(e^{i \theta}, \beta\right)$ denote the open set in $D$ bounded by the hypercycles from $-e^{i \theta}$ to $e^{i \theta}$ making angles $\beta$ and $-\beta$ respectively with the diameter through $e^{i \theta}$ and $-e^{i \theta}$. We pick a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq H\left(e^{i \theta}, \beta\right)$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For each positive integer $n$, we denote the non-Euclidean straight line which passes through $z_{n}$ and is perpendicular to the radius $\rho e^{i \theta}$, $0 \leqslant \rho<1$, by $E_{n}$. Because of the invariance of the metric $\sigma$ under one-to-one conformal mappings of $D$ onto itself, it can be shown that each of the bounding hypercycles of $H\left(e^{i \theta}, \beta\right)$ is at a hyperbolic distance $\sigma(0, \tan \beta / 2)$ from the diameter between $e^{i \theta}$ and $-e^{i \theta}$. Therefore, for each positive integer $n, \rho_{n} e^{i \theta}$, the point of intersection of $E_{n}$ with $\rho e^{i \theta}$, satisfies the relation $\sigma\left(e_{n} e^{i \theta}, z_{n}\right) \leqslant \sigma(0, \tan \beta / 2)$. For each positive integer $n, S_{n}(w)=\left(w+e_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) /\left(1+\rho_{n} e^{-i \theta_{w}}\right)$ is a one-to-one conformal mapping of $D$ onto itself.

Since $u$ is normal, there exists a subsequence, also denoted by $\left\{u\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, which converges uniformly or diverges uniformly on the compact $\operatorname{set} K=\{w: \sigma(0, w) \leqslant \sigma(0, \tan \beta / 2)\}$. Since $u\left(S_{n}(0)\right)=u\left(\rho_{n} e^{i \theta}\right)=$ $v\left(e_{n} e^{i \theta}\right)+u *\left(e_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) \rightarrow v(\theta)$, the Fatou value at $e^{i \theta}$, the subsequence cannot diverge uniformly on $K$. So the subsequence converges uniformly on $K$ to a subharmonic function $U$.

We have $u\left(S_{n}(w)\right) \leqslant v\left(S_{n}(w)\right)$ for $w \in K$ and any positive integer $n$. Since $e^{i \theta}$ is a Fatou point of $v,\left\{v\left(S_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly on $K$
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to $v(\theta)$ and $U(w) \leqslant v(\theta)$ for $w \in K$. But $U(0)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u\left(S_{n}(0)\right)=v(\theta)$ and by the Maximum Principle for subharmonic functions $U(z) \equiv v(\theta)$ in $K$. Furthermore, $E$ has linear measure $2 \pi$. So $u$ has Fatou points almost everywhere on $C$.

Corollary A: Any normal subharmonic function on D which is bounded above has Fatou points with finite Fatou values almost everywhere on $C$. (Meek, 1, Corollary 1, p.316)

Proof: If $u$ is normal, subharmonic and bounded above in $D$, then $v=e^{u}$ is also normal, subharmonic and bounded in D. In addition every Fatou point of $v$ is a Fatou point of $u$. So $u$ has Fatou points almost everywhere on C. By Arsove (1, Theorem B, p.260), a subharmonic function bounded above on $D$ has finite radial limits almost everywhere on $C$. Consequently $u$ has finite Fatou values almost everywhere on $C$.

Corollary B: If $u$ is normal, subharmonic, bounded below and admits a harmonic majorant $v$ on $C$, then $u$ has Fatou points with finite Fatou values almost everywhere on C. (Meek, 1, Corollary 2, p.316)

Proof: Without loss of generality we may assume that $0 \leqslant u(z)$ for $z \in D$. Then $0 \leqslant \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta \leqslant \int_{0}^{2 \pi} v\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta=2 \pi v(0)$ for $0 \leqslant r<1$. So Theorem 18 app1ies.

In order to generalize Theorem 18, the following questions must be answered: Must a normal subharmonic function in $D$ have any Fatou points on C? If so, is this set dense on C?
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## BOUNDARY BEHAVIOR OF NORMAL FUNCTIONS

If $\Delta$ is any Stolz angle at $e^{i \theta}$, we define $\Pi_{\Delta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=\cap_{\tau} C_{\tau}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ where $\tau$ is any simple continuous curve in $\Delta$ and $\tilde{R}_{\Delta}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=\bigcap_{\Delta *}$ int $R_{\Delta *}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ where $R_{\Delta *}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$ is the range of $f$ in any Stolz angle $\Delta^{*}$ that strictly contains $\Delta$.

A function f has the n-segment property for any integer $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 2$ if there exist $n$ chords $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}$ terminating at $e^{i \theta}$ such that $C_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \cap \ldots$ $\cap C_{\Gamma_{n}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)=\phi$. In this section it will be shown that for any normal meromorphic function $f$ in $D$ the set of points $e^{i \theta}$ at which $f$ possesses the $n$-segment property is of first category and measure 0 on $C$.

Theorem 19: If $f$ is normal and meromorphic in $D$, then for any $e^{i \theta} \in C$ and any symmetric Stolz angle $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ of opening $2 \alpha$ at $e^{i \theta}$,

$$
C_{\triangle_{\alpha}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)-\tilde{R}_{\Delta_{\alpha}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right) \subseteq \Pi_{\triangle_{\alpha}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

(Rung, 1, Theorem 1, p.44)

Proof: Let $c$ be any arbitrary point in $C_{\Delta_{\alpha}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)-\tilde{R}_{\Delta_{\alpha}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $\Delta_{\alpha}$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}^{\alpha} z_{n}=e^{i \theta}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}\right)=c$. Let $w_{n}$ be the unique point on the diameter of $C$ from $e^{i \theta}$ to $e^{-i \theta}$ for which $\sigma\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right)$ equals the non-Euclidean distance of $z_{n}$ to this diameter. For any $\beta$ satisfying $\alpha<\beta<\pi / 2$, let $H_{\beta}$ denote the region bounded by the two hypercycles symmetric in this diameter that form the angles $\beta$ and $-\beta$ with it. If $\beta_{1}$ is chosen so that $\alpha<\beta_{1}<\beta$, then there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $n>N$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \log \cot \left(\frac{3}{4} \pi-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}\right)=M \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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because

$$
\overline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\left(z_{n}, w_{n}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \log \cot \left(\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)
$$

We define $g_{n}(\zeta)=f\left(\frac{\zeta+w_{n}}{1+\bar{w}_{n} \zeta}\right)$ for any positive integer $n$. Since $f$ is normal, there exists a subsequence $\left\{g_{n_{k}}\right\}$ which converges uniformly in any compact subset of $D$ to $g$. We also define another sequence $\left\{\zeta_{k}\right\}$ by the equations $z_{n_{k}}=\frac{\zeta_{k}+{ }^{w_{n}} n_{k}}{1+\bar{w}_{n_{k}} \zeta_{k}}$. Because of (1) $\left|\zeta_{k}\right|<\frac{e^{2 M}-1}{e^{2 M}+1}=K$, and so for any accumulation point $\zeta_{o}$ of $\left\{\zeta_{k}\right\},\left|\zeta_{o}\right| \leqslant K$. Let $\left\{\zeta_{p}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{\zeta_{k}\right\}$ tending to $\zeta_{o}$. Then the continuous convergence of $\left\{g_{n_{k}}\right\}$ implies that $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} g_{n_{p}}\left(\zeta_{p}\right)=\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n_{p}}\right)=g\left(\zeta_{o}\right)=c$.

We want to show $g(\zeta) \equiv c$. Suppose not. Let $D^{*}$ be any fixed nonEuclidean open disk with center $\zeta_{0}$ which is contained in the open disk

$$
|\zeta|<\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{2 M^{*}}-1}{e^{2 M^{*}}+1} \text { where } M^{*}=\frac{1}{2} \log \cot \left(\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)
$$

Let $\xi$ be any point in $\mathrm{D}^{*}$. By Hurwitz's Theorem (Carathéodory, 2, p.195) there exists an integer $K_{o}$ and a sequence of points $\left\{\xi_{k}\right\}$ in $D^{*}$ that tend to $\xi$ such that $g_{n_{k}}\left(\xi_{k}\right)=g(\xi)$ for all $k \geqslant K_{o}$. For the points $x_{k}=$ $\left(\xi_{k}+w_{n_{k}}\right) /\left(1+\xi_{k} \bar{w}_{n_{k}}\right)$ for which $k \geqslant K_{o}, f\left(x_{k}\right)=g(\xi)$. So $g(\xi) \in R_{Z_{\beta}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$. Since $g(\zeta) \not \equiv c, c \in \tilde{R}_{\triangle_{\alpha}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$, a contradiction. Consequently $g(\zeta) \equiv c$, which implies that $f$ tends uniformly to $c$ on the sequence of nonEuclidean disks with centers $W_{n_{k}}$ and radii $M$. Each disk intersects both boundary segments of $\Delta_{\alpha}$; so $c \in \Pi_{\Lambda_{\alpha}}\left(f, e^{i \theta}\right)$.

In Chapter I we defined $K(f)$ to be the set of points $\zeta \in C$ for which $C_{\Delta_{1}}(f, \zeta)=C_{\Delta_{2}}(f, \zeta)$ for any pair of Stolz angles at $\zeta$ and the outer angular cluster set $C_{A}(f, \zeta)$ to be the union of all cluster sets $C_{\Delta}(f, \zeta)$ for $\triangle$ any $S t o l z$ angle at $\zeta$.
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Theorem 20: If $f$ is normal and meromorphic in $C$ and $\zeta \in K(f)$, then, for any Stolz angle $\Delta$ and any chord $\psi(\alpha)$ terminating at $\zeta$ and making the angle $\alpha$ for $-\pi / 2<\alpha<\pi / 2$ with the radius at $\zeta, C_{\Delta}(f, \zeta)=C_{\psi}(\alpha)(f, \zeta)$. (Rung, 1, Theorem 2, p.48)

Proof: First we want to show that the set $\hat{C}_{\psi}(\alpha)(f, \zeta)=\bigcap_{\Delta^{*}} C_{\Delta_{*}}(f, \zeta)$, where $\Delta *$ is any Stolz angle containing $\psi(\alpha)$, is contained in $C_{\psi(\alpha)}(f, \zeta)$. Let $c \in \hat{C}_{\psi(\alpha)}(f, \zeta)$ and $\left\{\Delta_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of Stolz angles at $\zeta$ containing $\psi(\alpha)$ and satisfying the conditions $\Delta_{n} \supset \Delta_{n+1}$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{n}=\psi(\alpha)$. For each positive integer $n$, let $\left\{z_{k}^{(n)}\right\}$ be a sequence contained in $\Delta_{n}$ such that $z_{k}^{(n)} \rightarrow \zeta$ and $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{c}$. We select a sequence $\left\{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{n})}}\right\}$ so that $\left|w_{n}-\zeta\right|<1 / n$ and $\left|f\left(w_{n}\right)-c\right|<1 / n$. The non-Euclidean distance of $w_{n}$ to $\psi(\alpha)$ tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\zeta_{n}$ is the point on $\psi(\alpha)$ at which this distance is assumed, then $\sigma\left(w_{n}, \zeta_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. By a result of Bagemih1 and Seidel (2, Lemma 1, p.10), $f\left(\zeta_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So $c \in C_{\psi(\alpha)}(f, \zeta)$. Since $C_{\psi(\alpha)}(f, \zeta) \subseteq \hat{C}_{\psi(\alpha)}(f, \zeta), \hat{C}_{\psi(\alpha)}(f, \zeta)=C_{\psi(\alpha)}(f, \zeta)$.

The condition $\zeta \in K(f)$ says that for any two Stolz angles $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$, $C_{\triangle_{1}}(f, \zeta)=C_{\triangle_{2}}(f, \zeta)$. So if $\zeta \in K(f)$, from the preceding paragraph, we have that $C_{\Delta}(f, \zeta)=\hat{C}_{\psi(\alpha)}(f, \zeta)=C_{\psi(\alpha)}(f, \zeta)$.

Theorem 21: If $f$ is meromorphic in $D$ and its range of values $R(f)$ is equal to the Frontier of $R(f)$, then, for each $\zeta \in C, C_{A}(f, \zeta)=\cap_{\Delta} \Pi_{\Delta}(f, \zeta)$ where $\Delta$ varies over all Stolz angles at $\zeta$. (Rung, 1, Theorem 3, p.49)

Proof: From the hypothesis there exist three values that $f$ assumes at most a finite number of times in $D$. So $f$ is normal by a result of Lehto and Virtanen (1, p.54). Since Interior $R_{\Delta}(f, \zeta)=\phi$ for any $\zeta$ and any
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symmetric Stolz angle at $\zeta$, Theorem 19 implies $C_{\Delta}(f, \zeta)=\Pi_{\Delta}(f, \zeta)$. If $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ are any two Stolz angles at $\zeta$, then let $\Delta_{3}$ be a symmetric Stolz angle that contains $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$. Because of the definition of $\Pi_{\Delta}(f, \zeta)$ and $C_{\Delta_{3}}(f, \zeta)=\Pi_{\triangle_{3}}(f, \zeta)$, it follows that $C_{\triangle_{1}}(f, \zeta)=\Pi_{\Delta_{2}}(f, \zeta)$; therefore, $\bigcup_{\Delta} C_{\Delta}(f, \zeta)=\bigcap_{\Delta}(f, \zeta)$ where $\Delta$ varies over all Stolz angles at $\zeta$.

A function $f$ possesses the $\underline{n}$-segment property at $\zeta$ if there exists n chords $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}$ at $\zeta$ such that $C_{\Gamma_{k}}(f, \zeta) \cap C_{\Gamma_{j}}(f, \zeta)=\phi$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ and $k \neq j$.

Theorem 22: Let f be a normal meromorphic function in $D$. For any integer $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 2$, the set of all points $\zeta$ at which f possesses the $\mathrm{n}-$ segment property is a set of first category and measure zero on C. (Rung, 1, Theorem 5, p.50)

Proof: Let $S(f)$ denote the set of all $\zeta$ at which $f$ possesses the $n$ segment property. Then $S(f) \cap K(f)=\varnothing$ by Theorem 20 since the cluster set along any chord lying in $\Delta$ and terminating at a point of $K$ is $C_{\Delta}(f, \zeta)$. Since $K(f)$ is a residual set of measure $2 \pi$ on $C$ (Theorem 17, Chapter $I), S(f)$ is of first category and measure zero on $C$.

Suppose $\gamma$ is any boundary arc of $D$ and that $0<r<\infty$. Then we define the set $J(\gamma, r)=\{z \in D: \sigma(z, \gamma)<r\}$. If $d_{\tau}$ denotes the diameter of $C$ ending at $\tau \in C$, then a boundary arc $\gamma=z(t)$ at $\tau$ approaches $\tau$ in a non-tangential manner whenever there exists some $0 \leqslant t_{0}<1$ and some $0<r<\infty$ such that $z(t) \in J\left(d_{\tau}, r\right), t \geqslant t_{0}$. The set of all non-tangential boundary arcs at $\tau$ will be denoted by $\Lambda(\tau)$. Finally we define the sets
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$\Pi_{u}=\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Lambda(\tau)} C_{\gamma}(f, \tau)$ and $\Pi_{J(\gamma, r)}(f, \tau)=\bigcap_{\gamma}{ }^{C} \gamma^{*}(f, \tau)$ where $\gamma *$ ranges over all boundary arcs at $\tau$ that lie in $J(\gamma, r)$.

Theorem 23 states that for any normal function $f$ in $D$, any $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in$ $\Lambda(\tau)$ and any $r, r^{\prime}>0, \Pi_{J(\gamma, r)}(f, \tau)=\Pi_{J\left(\gamma^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)}(f, \tau)=\Pi_{u}(f, \tau)$. In order to prove this theorem we will need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3: Suppose f is a normal function in D and $\gamma \in \Lambda(\tau)$. Let $B(z, a)=$ $\left\{z \in D: \sigma\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)<a\right\}$ and $Z_{f}(w, a)=\bigcup_{Z^{\prime}} B\left(z^{\prime}, a\right)$ where the union is taken over all $z^{\prime} \in D$ such that $f\left(z^{\prime}\right)=w$. If $w \in C_{\gamma}(f, \tau)$ and there exists an $a>0$ such that $\gamma \cap Z_{f}(w, a)=\phi$, then $w \in C_{\gamma^{\prime}}(f, \tau)$ for any $\gamma^{\prime} \in \Lambda(\tau)$. (Lappan and Rung, 1, Lerma 1, p.257)

Proof: Since $w \in C_{\gamma}(f, \tau)$, there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ on $\gamma$ such that $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \tau$ and $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \rightarrow$ w as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}(\zeta)=\frac{\zeta+\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}}{1+\zeta \bar{z}_{\mathrm{n}}}$ for $|\zeta|<1$ and $f\left(S_{n}(\zeta)\right)=g_{n}(\zeta)$ for any positive integer $n$. Because of the normalcy of $f$, there exists a convergent subsequence $\left\{g_{n_{k}}(\zeta)\right\}$. If $g(\zeta)$ denotes the limit function, then $g(0)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{n_{k}}(0)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)=w$; but, for $|k|<\tanh a$, the equation $g_{n_{k}}(\zeta)=w$ is not satisfied for any value of k. So by Hurwitz's Theorem (Caratheodory, 2, p.195) g( $\zeta$ ) $\equiv \mathrm{w}$. So for any fixed $0<a^{\prime}<\infty, f \rightarrow w$ as $z \rightarrow \tau$ on the set $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B\left(z_{n_{k}}, a^{\prime}\right)$. If $\gamma^{\prime} \in$ $\Lambda(\tau)$, then $\gamma^{\prime} \cap B\left(z_{n_{k}}, a^{\prime}\right) \neq \phi$ for suitable values of $a^{\prime}>0$ and any positive integer $k$. Therefore, $w \in C_{\gamma^{\prime}}(f, \tau)$.

Lemma 4: Let $f$ be normal in $D$. Suppose for some $\tau \in C$ and for every positive integer $n$, a set of distinct points $\left\}_{i}^{(n)}\right\}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, m_{n}$, with the following properties exists:
(i) For some $r>0$ and all $n, \zeta_{1}^{(n)} \in J\left(d_{\tau}, r\right)$;
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(ii) $3_{1}^{(n)} \rightarrow \tau$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(3_{i}^{(n)}, 3_{i+1}^{(n)}\right)<K_{n} \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, m_{n}-1 \text {, with } K_{n} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) there exists a positive number $A$ independent of $n$ such that
$\sigma\left(3_{1}^{(n)}, \zeta_{m_{n}}^{(n)}\right) \geqslant A>0 ;$
(v) $f\left(3_{i}^{(n)}\right)=w$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m_{n}$ and $n=1,2, \ldots$.

Then $w \in C_{\gamma}(f, \tau)$ for al1 $\gamma \in \Lambda(\tau)$. (Lappan and Rung, 1, Lemma 2, p.258)

Proof: As in the previous lemma, we set $f\left(S_{n}(\zeta)\right)=g_{n}(\zeta)$ for any integer $n$ where now $S_{n}(\zeta)=\left(\zeta+3_{1}^{(n)}\right) /\left(1+\overline{3}_{1}^{(n)} \zeta\right)$. Again we denote the convergent subsequence by $\left\{g_{n_{k}}(\zeta)\right\}$ and the limit function by $g(\zeta)$. Since $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{k}}(0)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{w}$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty, \mathrm{g}(0)=\mathrm{w}$. We want to show that the set of points $\zeta^{\prime}$ such that $g\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)=w$ which also lie in $|\zeta|<\tanh A \equiv$ $B$ is infinite.

Suppose there exists a ring $R, 0<r^{\prime} \leqslant\left|\zeta^{\prime}\right| \leqslant r^{\prime \prime}<B$ with $r^{\prime} \neq r^{\prime \prime}$, which contains none of the points $\zeta^{\prime}$. For any fixed $n$, the set $\left\{\zeta_{i}^{(n)}\right.$ : $\left.i=1,2, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}$ is transformed by $S_{n}^{-1}(z)$ onto a set of points we call $\left\{\zeta_{i}^{(n)}: i=1,2, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}$ which have the properties:
(i') $\zeta_{1}^{(n)}=0$ and $\left|\zeta_{m_{n}}^{(n)}\right| \geqslant B$;
(ii') $\sigma\left(\zeta_{i}^{(n)}, \zeta_{i+1}^{(n)}\right)<K_{n}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m_{n}-1$;
(iii') $g_{n}\left(\zeta_{i}^{(n)}\right)=w$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m_{n}$.
There must be at most a finite number of $\zeta_{i}^{(n)}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m_{n}$ and any positive integer $n$ within $R$. Otherwise this set would have a limit point $\zeta_{0}$ and by continuous convergence of $g_{n}(\zeta)$ to $g(\zeta), g\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=w$, a contradiction of the definition of $R$. So there exists a positive integer $N$ such that for $n>N$ no point of the form $\zeta_{i}^{(n)}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots$, $m_{n}$ lies in R. If $n_{1}>N$ is chosen so that $K_{n_{1}}<\sigma\left(0, r^{\prime \prime}\right)-\sigma\left(0, r^{\prime}\right)$, this violates the properties (i') - (iii') and the definition of $R$.
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Consequently $g(\zeta) \equiv w$ in $D$ and the rest of the proof is the same as the last part of Lemma 3.

Theorem 23: If $f(z)$ is normal in $D, \gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are any two arcs in $\Lambda(\tau)$, and $r$ and $r^{\prime}>0$, then $\Pi_{J(Y, r)}(f, \tau)=\Pi_{J\left(\gamma^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)}(f, \tau)=\Pi_{u}(f, \tau)$.
(Lappan and Rung, 1, Theorem 1, p.259)

Proof: Using the same notation as in the statement of Lemma 3, we let $B\left(z^{\prime}, a\right)=\left\{z \in D: \sigma\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)<a\right\}$ and $Z_{f}(w, a)=\bigcup_{Z^{\prime}} B\left(z^{\prime}, a\right)$ where the union is taken over all $z^{\prime} \in D$ such that $f\left(z^{\prime}\right)=w$. Then for any fixed curve $\gamma \in \Lambda(\tau)$ and fixed $r>0$, let $Z_{f}^{\prime}(w, 1 / n)=Z_{f}(w, 1 / n) \cap J(\gamma, r)$ for $n$ any positive integer.

Suppose $\gamma \cap Z_{f}^{\prime}(w, 1 / n)=\phi$ for some $n$. Then the conclusion of this theorem follows immediately from Lemma 3.

Now suppose $\gamma \cap Z_{f}^{\prime}(w, 1 / n) \neq \phi$ for every $n$. Then for each $n$ we decompose $Z_{f}^{\prime}(w, 1 / n)$ into its components $\left\{Y_{i}^{(n)}: i=1, \ldots, j_{n}\right.$ where $1 \leqslant j_{n} \leqslant$ $\infty$ ). Assume for each $n$ there exists at least one component $Y_{i_{n}}^{(n)}$ whose boundary meets both $\gamma$ and the boundary of $J(\gamma, r)$. Then there exists a finite set of points $\left\{3_{j}^{(n)}: j=1, \ldots, h_{n}\right\}$ with the properties:
(i) $\zeta_{1}^{(n)} \in J\left(d_{\tau}, r\right)$;
(ii) $3_{1}^{(n)} \rightarrow \tau$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
(iii) $\sigma\left(3_{j}^{(n)}, 3_{j+1}^{(n)}\right)<2 / n$ for $j=1, \ldots, h_{n}-1$;
(iv) $\sigma\left(3_{1}^{(n)}, \gamma\right)<1 / n$ and $\sigma\left(3_{h_{n}}^{(n)}\right.$, Frontier $\left.J(\gamma, r)\right)<1 / n$ which imply $\sigma\left(3_{1}^{(n)}, 3_{h_{n}}^{(n)}\right) \geqslant r-2 / n ;$
(v) $f\left(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}\right)=w$ for $j=1, \ldots, h_{n}$ and $n$ any positive integer.

If $n_{0}$ is chosen such that $2 / n_{0}<r / 2$, then for $n \geqslant n_{0}$ the conditions of Lemma 4 are satisfied with $A=r / 2$ and $K_{n}=2 / n$. So the
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conclusion of this theorem follows.
Finally we assume that there exists an $n_{0}$ such that no $Y_{i}^{\left(n_{0}\right)}$ for $i=1, \ldots, j_{n_{0}}$ has a boundary which meets both $\gamma$ and the boundary of $J(\gamma, r)$. Let $V$ denote the union of all of the components of $Z_{f}^{\prime}\left(w, 1 / n_{0}\right)$ that meet $\gamma$ and also $\gamma$ itself. Since this is a connected set lying entirely in $J(\gamma, r), \bar{V} \cap C=\{\tau\}$. There exists a subset $\beta$ of Frontier $V$ which is a boundary arc approaching $\tau$ within $J(\gamma, r)$ and $\beta \cap Z_{f}^{\prime}\left(w, 1 / n_{0}\right)=$ ф. Since $w \in C_{\beta}(f, \tau)$, this theorem's conclusion follows from Lemma 4 .

## HOROCYCLIC PROPERTIES OF NORMAL FUNCTIONS

In Chapter I we proved properties of horocycles of arbitrary functions. In this section we will prove other properties of horocycles which only hold for normal functions. Here we will use some of the same definitions and notations as we used previously. In addition we will use the following definitions.

An admissible tangential arc at a point $\zeta \in C$ is an arc $\gamma$ at $\zeta$ for which there exists a sequence $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{r_{1}(n), r_{2}(n), r_{3}(n)}(\zeta)\right\}$ of nested right or nested left horocycles at $\zeta$ with $\quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{2}(n)-r_{1}(n)\right)=0$ and each member of the sequence contains some terminal subarc of $\gamma$. Then $\Pi_{T_{W}}(f, \zeta)=\bigcap_{\gamma} C_{\gamma}(f, \zeta)$ where the intersection is taken over all admissible tangential arcs $\gamma$ at $\zeta$.

Any point $\zeta \in C$ that is both a Plessner point and a horocyclic Plessner point of $f$ is called a generalized Plessner point of $f$.

Let $\Omega_{r}(\zeta)$ denote the interior of the horocycle $h_{r}(\zeta)$. Then the primary-tangential cluster set of $f$ at $\zeta$ is defined to be the set

$$
c_{\Omega^{\prime}}(f, \zeta)=\frac{\underset{0<r<1}{U} C_{\Omega_{r}(\zeta)}(f, \zeta)}{} .
$$
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For any function $f: D \rightarrow W$, a primary-tangential pre-Meier point is any point $\zeta \in C$ such that $\Pi_{T_{W}}(f, \zeta)=C_{\Omega}(f, \zeta) \subset W$, where $\subset$ denotes proper inclusion. The term "pre-Meier" is used because the condition

$$
C_{h_{r}^{-}}(f, \zeta)=C_{h_{r}^{+}}^{(f, \zeta) \subset W \text { for } 0<r<1 \text { and } 0<r^{\prime}<1 .}
$$

is fulfilled at each primary-tangential pre-Meier point of $f$, and this is a necessary condition for a point $\zeta \in C$ to be a horocyclic Meier point. If it is also true that $C_{\Omega}(f, \zeta)=C(f, \zeta) \subset W$, then $\zeta$ is actually a horocyclic Meier point of $f$. We will show in Theorem 25 that if $f$ is any normal meromorphic function in $D$, then almost every point $\zeta \in C$ is either a primary-tangential pre-Meier point or a point at which $\Pi_{T_{W}}(f, \zeta)=W$.

Lemma 5: If $f(z)$ is a normal meromorphic function in $D$ and $\zeta \in K_{W}(f)$, the set of points on $C$ such that $C_{H_{1}}(f, \zeta)=C_{H_{2}}(f, \zeta)$ for any pair of horocyclic angles $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, then $\Pi_{T_{W}}(f, \zeta)=C_{U}(f, \zeta)$ for $C_{U}(f, \zeta)$ the outer horocyclic angular cluster set. (Bagemih1, 2, Lemma 4, p.16)

Proof: Let $\alpha \in \Pi_{T_{w}}(f, \zeta)$. Then $\alpha \in C_{\Omega}(f, \zeta)$ for every admissible tangential $\operatorname{arc} \Lambda$ at $\zeta$. By definition there exists a horocyclic angle $H$ at $\zeta$ which contains a terminal subarc of $\gamma$. Since $C_{\gamma}(f, \zeta) \subseteq C_{H}(f, \zeta), \alpha \in$ $C_{U}(f, \zeta)$.

Now suppose $\alpha \in C_{U}(f, \zeta)$. Let $\gamma$ be any admissible tangential arc at $\zeta$. Since $\zeta \in K_{W}(f), \alpha \in C_{H}$ (f) for every horocyclic angle $H$ at $\zeta$. Therefore, there exists a sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $D$ where $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}^{\prime}=\zeta$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\alpha$ such that for an appropriate sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ on $\gamma$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=\zeta$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$. By Theorem 4 this implies that $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \rightarrow \alpha$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$, and $\alpha \in \mathrm{C}_{\gamma}(\mathrm{f}, \zeta)$. Since $\gamma$ was an
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arbitrary admissible tangential arc at $\zeta, \alpha \in \Pi_{T_{W}}(f, \zeta)$.

Theorem 24: Suppose $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ is a nonconstant normal meromorphic function in $D$ and the set of asymptotic values $A(f)$ is of harmonic measure zero. Then there exists a residual subset $S$ of $C$ of measure $2 \pi$ such that for every $\zeta \in S, \Pi_{T_{W}}(f, \zeta)=W . \quad$ (Bagemih1, 2, Theorem 9, p.17)

Proof: According to Theorem 15, Section I, almost every Plessner point of $f$ is a horocyclic Plessner point of $f$; therefore, by Plessner's Theorem (Collingwood and Cartwright, 1, Theorem 8.2, p.147) almost every point of $C$ is either a Fatou point or a point which is both a Plessner point and a horocyclic Plessner point. Since $f$ is nonconstant and $A(f)$ is of harmonic measure zero, Privalow's Theorem (1, p.210) implies that the set of Fatou points of $f$ is of measure zero. Consequently the set of horocyclic points $I_{W}(f)$ is of measure $2 \pi$. A horocyclic analogue of Collingwood's Theorem (1, Theorem 3, p.382) implies that $I_{w}(f)$ is also residual on $C$. If $\zeta \in I_{w}(f)$, then $C_{U}(f, \zeta)=W$. Since $I_{w}(f) \subseteq K_{W}(f)$, $\Pi_{T_{W}}(f, \zeta)=C_{U}(f, \zeta)$ by Lemma 5 . This theorem is now valid by setting $S=I_{w}(f)$.

Theorem 25: If $f(z)$ is a normal meromorphic function in $D$, then almost every point $\zeta \in C$ is either a primary-tangential pre-Meier point of $f$ or a point at which $\Pi_{T_{\mathrm{W}}}(\mathrm{f}, \zeta)=\mathrm{W}$. (Dragosh, 2, Theorem 10, p.76)

Proof: For any point $\zeta \in C, C_{I}(f, \zeta)$, the inner angular cluster set, satisfies $C_{I}(f, \zeta) \subseteq C_{U}(f, \zeta) \subseteq C_{\Omega}(f, \zeta)$. An approach similar to that used to prove Lemma 4, Section $I$, shows that $C_{\Omega}(f, \zeta) \subseteq C_{I}(f, \zeta)$ at almost every

$$
1
$$

point $\zeta \in C$. So at almost every point $\zeta \in C, C_{U}(f, \zeta)=C_{I}(f, \zeta)=C_{\Omega}(f, \zeta)$. Since $K_{W}(f)$ is of measure $2 \pi$ (Theorem 18, Section I), Lemma 5 implies that $\Pi_{T_{w}}(f, \zeta)=C_{\Omega}(f, \zeta)$ at almost every point $\zeta \in C$. This theorem now follows because at every point $\zeta \in C$, either $C_{\Omega}(f, \zeta) \subset W$ or $C_{\Omega}(f, \zeta)=W$.

## A FUNCTION THEORETIC CHARACTERIZATION OF NORMAL MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Let $H^{\infty}$ denote the algebra of holomorphic functions bounded in $D$. In the study of the behavior of these function near the boundary, it is helpful to compactify $D$ in such a way that each of them has a continuous extension to the compactification. Let $M$ be a compact Hausdorff space such that it contains $D$ as a dense subset. Each $f \in H^{\infty}$ can be extended to a continuous function $\hat{f}$ on $M$ and each pair of distinct points in $M$ can be separated by one of the functions $\hat{f}$. By Carleson's Corona Theorem (1), Mis the maximal ideal space of $H^{\infty}$. Let $\beta=M / D$ denote the ideal boundary of $D$. If $S$ is any subset of $D$, then we set $\beta(S)=\bar{S} / D$ where $\bar{S}$ denotes the closure of $S$ in $M$.

Two points $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ in $M$ are in the same Gleason part if there exists a constant $c, 0<c<2$, such that $\left|\hat{f}\left(m_{1}\right)-\hat{f}\left(m_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant c$ for $f \in H^{\infty}$ and $|f| \leqslant 1$. This is an equivalence relation and we denote by $P(m)$ the G1eason part of the point $m \in M$. If $S \subset D$, then $P^{*}(S)=U\{P(m): m \in \beta(S)\}$ for the set of Gleason parts generated by $S$. Each Gleason part $P(m)$ consists of either a single point or the image of a one-to-one analytic map of an open disk into M (Hoffman, 1 and 2). We say that $m$ is a regular point if $P(m)$ contains more than one point and denote the set of all regular points in $M$ by $G$. In Theorem 26 we will show that $f$ is normal in Dif and only if $f$ admits a spherically continuous extension to $G$.

For any subsets $S$ and $T$ in $D$, we define the pseudometrics:
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(i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) } H_{\sigma}(S, T)=\inf \{\epsilon: S \subset\{z: \sigma(z, T)<\epsilon\}, T \subset\{z: \sigma(z, S)<\epsilon\}\} \text { where } \\
& \\
& \\
& \sigma\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \text { denotes the hyperbolic distance between } z \text { and } z^{\prime} ; \\
& \text { (ii) } \quad H(S, T)=\inf _{r} H_{\sigma}(S \cap\{|z|>r\}, T \cap\{|z|>r\}) ; \\
& \text { (iii) } \lambda(S, T)=\inf _{r} \sigma(S \cap\{|z|>r\}, T \cap\{|z|>r\}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6: If $S$ and $T$ are subsets in $D$, then $\beta(S)=\beta(T)$ if and only if $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T})=0$. (Brown and Gauthier, 1, Theorem 1, p.367)

Proof: Suppose $H(S, T)=0$ and $m \in \beta(S)$. Let $\left\{x_{\lambda}\right\}$ be any net in $S$ that converges to m . We choose $\mathrm{y}_{\lambda} \in \mathrm{T}$ such that $\sigma\left(\mathrm{x}_{\lambda}, \mathrm{y}_{\lambda}\right)<2 \sigma\left(\mathrm{x}_{\lambda}, \mathrm{T}\right)$. Since $\left|x_{\lambda}\right| \rightarrow 1$ and $H(S, T)=0$, it follows that $\sigma\left(x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow 0$. So $\left\{y_{\lambda}\right\}$ converges to $m$ and $\beta(S) \subset \beta(T)$. By a similar argument we obtain the inclusion $\beta(T) \subset \beta(S)$. Therefore, $\beta(S)=\beta(T)$.

Conversely, suppose $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T})>0$. Then we may choose a Blaschke sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $S$ such that, for each positive integer $n, \prod_{k \neq n}\left|\frac{z_{k}-z_{n}}{1-\bar{z}_{n} z_{k}}\right| \geqslant \delta>0$ and $\sigma\left(z_{n}, T\right) \geqslant \alpha>0$. From Cima and Colwell (1, p.796) and Kerr-Lawson (2, p.532) it follows that the Blaschke product $B$ associated with the $z_{n}$ 's is bounded away from zero on $T$. Consequently $\hat{B}(m) \neq 0$ for each $\mathrm{m} \in \beta(\mathrm{T})$. Since $\left\{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\} \subset \mathrm{S}$, there is a point $\mathrm{m} \in \beta(\mathrm{S})$ such that $\hat{B}(\mathrm{~m})=0$. So $\beta(S) \neq \beta(T)$.

Lemma 7: If $S$ and $T$ are subsets of $D$, then $G \cap \beta(S) \cap \beta(T) \neq \phi$ if and only if $\lambda(S, T)=0$. (Brown and Gauthier, 1, Theorem 3, p.368)

Proof: Suppose $\lambda(S, T)=0$. We choose two sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ such that $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \in S$ and $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \in T, \sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)<1 / n$, and $\prod_{k \neq n}\left|\frac{z_{k}-z_{n}}{1-\overline{z_{n}} z_{k}}\right| \geqslant \delta>0, n>0$. Let $m$ be in $\beta\left(\left\{z_{n}\right\}\right)$. We pick a subsequence $\left\{z_{n(\lambda)}\right\}$ of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ that
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```
converges to \(m\). Since \(n(\lambda) \rightarrow \infty\) and \(\sigma\left(z_{n}(\lambda), z_{n(\lambda)}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0,\left\{z_{n(\lambda)}^{\prime}\right\}\) converges
to m . By Hoffman \((1, \mathrm{p} .75), \mathrm{m}\) is in \(G\). So \(G \cap \beta(S) \cap \beta(T) \neq \phi\).
    The converse follows immediately from Hoffman (1, p.75).
```

Theorem 26: A function $f$ is normal in $D$ if and only if $f$ admits a spherically continuous extension to the set $G$ of regular points of $M$. (Brown and Gauthier, 1, Theorem 4, p.368)

Proof: First we will show that if $m \in G$, then $C_{f}(m)$ is a singleton Suppose on the contrary there exist two distinct values $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ in $C_{f}(m)$ with spherical distance $x\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=\epsilon>0$. For each neighborhood $V$ of $m$, we choose two points $z_{v}$ and $z_{v}^{\prime}$ in $D \cap V$ such that $x\left(f\left(z_{v}\right), w_{1}\right)<$ $\in / 3$ and $x\left(f\left(z_{v}^{\prime}\right), w_{2}\right)<\in / 3$. Let $S=\left\{z_{v}\right\}$ and $T=\left\{z_{v}^{\prime}\right\}$. Then $m \in \beta(S) \cap$ $\beta(T) \cap G$ and Lemma 7 implies that $\lambda(S, T)=0$. By uniform continuity of f, we can pick $z_{1} \in S$ and $z_{2} \in T$ so that $\sigma\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)<\delta$ where $\delta$ is chosen so small that $x\left(f\left(z_{1}\right), f\left(z_{2}\right)\right)<\in / 3$. So $\epsilon=x\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \leqslant x\left(w_{1}, f(z)\right)+$ $x\left(f\left(z_{1}\right), f\left(z_{2}\right)\right)+x\left(f\left(z_{2}, W_{2}\right)<\epsilon\right.$, a contradiction. Therefore, $C_{f}(m)$ is a singleton for $m \in G$ and we set $\hat{f}(m)=C_{f}(m)$.

If $\hat{f}$ is not continuous at $m$, then for some $\epsilon>0$, each relative neighborhood $V \cap G$ of $m$ contains a point $m_{v}$ such that $\left.x\left(\hat{f}^{\left(m_{v}\right.}\right), \hat{f}(m)\right) \geqslant \epsilon$. There exists a $z_{v} \in V \cap D$ such that $x\left(f\left(z_{v}\right), \hat{f}\left(m_{v}\right)\right)<\in / 2$. So the net $\left\{z_{v}\right\}$ converges to $m$, but $\chi(f(z), \hat{f}(m)) \geqslant \in / 2$, a contradiction.

Conversely, if $f$ is not normal, then according to Lappan (3, Theorem 1, p.155) there exist two sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ and an $\in>0$ such that for each $n>0, \sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$ but $x\left(f\left(z_{n}\right), f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) \geqslant \epsilon$. We may assume that the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the condition $\prod_{k \neq n}\left|\frac{z_{k}-z_{n}}{1-\bar{z}_{n} z_{k}}\right| \geqslant \delta>0$. So by Hoffman $(1, p .75) \beta\left(\left\{z_{n}\right\}\right) \subset G$. Since $\sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0, H\left(\left\{z_{n}\right\},\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}\right)=0$,
and $\beta\left(\left\{z_{n}\right\}\right)=\beta\left(\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}\right) \subset G$ by Lemma 6. Suppose $m \in \beta\left(\left\{z_{n}\right\}\right)$. Then for any subnet $\left\{z_{n(\lambda)}\right\}$ converging to $m$, we also have $\left\{z_{n(\lambda)}^{\prime}\right\}$ converging to $m$. Since $\chi\left(f\left(z_{n(\lambda)}\right), f\left(z_{n(\lambda)}^{\prime}\right)\right) \geqslant \epsilon$, the cluster set $C_{f}(m)$ is not a singleton.

Theorem 27: If $f$ is a normal meromorphic (holomorphic) function in $D$ and $\hat{f}$ is the extension of $f$ to the set $G$ of regular points of $M$, then on each nontrivial Gleason part, $\hat{f}$ is either meromorphic (holomorphic) or identically equal to infinity. (Brown and Gauthier, 1, Theorem 5, p.369)

Proof: Let $m \in G$. Then for any $\alpha \in D$ converging to $m, L_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{z+\alpha}{1+\bar{\alpha} z}$ converges pointwise to $L_{m}$, a one-to-one mapping of $D$ onto $P(m)$. (Hoffman, 1, p.75) We will prove that $\hat{f} \circ L_{m}$ is a meromorphic (holomorphic) function. We pick a fixed point $z_{o}$ in $D$ and assume that $\hat{f}_{\circ} L_{m}\left(z_{o}\right)$ is finite. Furthermore, we may suppose that $\alpha$ lies in some neighborhood of $m$ for which $f \circ L_{\alpha}$ is uniformly bounded. For if $f \circ L_{\alpha}$ is not uniformly bounded in some neighborhood of $z_{o}$, there exist sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow z_{o}$ and $f \circ L_{\alpha_{n}}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f$ is normal, $\left\{f \circ L_{\alpha_{n}}\right\}$ is a normal family of functions. Consequently it contains a subsequence which converges uniformly on compact subsets to a function $g$ meromorphic in $D$ or to $\infty$. Since $f \circ L_{\alpha_{n}} \rightarrow \infty, g\left(z_{o}\right)$ is infinite; however, (fo $L_{\alpha}$ \} is uniformly bounded at $z_{o}$, a contradiction. The family $\left\{f \circ L_{\alpha}\right.$ ) converges to $\hat{f} \circ L_{m}$ pointwise. Since $\left\{f \circ L_{\alpha}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded in a neighborhood of $z_{o}, \hat{f} \circ L_{m}$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $z_{o}$. If $\hat{f} \circ L_{m}\left(z_{o}\right)=\infty$, we look at the family of functions $\left\{1 / f \circ L_{\alpha}\right\}$. The family $\left\{f \circ L_{\alpha}\right.$ ) is normal and so it is equicontinuous. Since the spherical metric is invariant when taking reciprocals, the family of reciprocals $\left\{1 / f \circ L_{\alpha}\right\}$ is equicontinuous and thus normal. $1 / \hat{f} \circ L_{m}\left(z_{o}\right)=0$,
and, from the previous argument for the finite case, $1 / f \circ \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $z_{o}$. Therefore, for each point $z \in D, \hat{f} \circ L_{m}$ is either meromorphic (holomorphic) at $z$ or identically infinite in a neighborhood of $z$. Consequently $\hat{\mathrm{f}}^{\circ} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is either meromorphic (holomorphic) in $D$ or identically infinite.

We will now give an example of a normal meromorphic function $f$ such that for each $m \in M / G, C_{f}(m)=R_{f}(m)=W$. Let $f$ be a Schwarz triangle function (Carathéodory, 1, Part 7, pp.173-194) whose initial triangle is strictly interior to the unit circle. It is well-known that $f$ is a normal function. Let a be any point on $W$, and let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be the preimages of a. Since each triangle has the same finite $\rho$-diameter, there exists an $\in>0$ such that an $\in$-neighborhood of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ covers $D$. By a result of Hoffman (1, Corollary, p.84), $\beta\left(\left\{z_{n}\right\}\right) \supset M / G$. Therefore, $a \in R_{f}(m)$ for each $m \in M / G$. It is an open question whether for each $m \in M / G$ the cluster set is always equal to $W$. If this is true, then Theorem 27 is also sharp for holomorphic functions.

## NORMAL HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

In this section we will continue to use the same definitions and notations used in the section of Chapter I which discusses the Mtopology for arbitrary functions. Here we will show in Theorem 30 that if $f(z)$ is a normal holomorphic function, then $G_{f}(p)$ is compact in the M-topology. First of all we will prove in Theorem 28 that any function $f(z)$ which is normal and holomorphic in $D$ belongs to the class $I_{p}$. This class consists of the holomorphic functions $f$ in $D$ that have the property that for each pair of arcs $t_{1}, t_{2} \in T(p)$ along which $f(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as
$z \rightarrow p, f(z)$ is unbounded on each path $t$ between $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$.

Theorem 28: If $f$ is a holomorphic normal function in $D$, then for each $p \in C, f$ is in the class $I_{p}$. (Lappan, 11 , Theorem 3, $p .91$ )

Proof: Suppose $p \in C$ and $\infty$ is an asymptotic value of $f$ at $p$ along two disjoint paths $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ in $T(p)$. If $t$ is any path in $T(p)$ between $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, then by a remark of Lehto and Virtanen (1, p.53) $f(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow p$ along $t$.

Theorem 29: If $p \in C$ and $f \in I_{p}$, then $f$ may have at most two finite asymptotic values at p. (Lappan, 11, Theorem 4, p.91)

Proof: Suppose $f$ has three distinct finite asymptotic values $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ at $p$ so that there exist three disjoint arcs $t_{1}, t_{2}$ and $t_{3}$ in $T(p)$ such that $f(z) \rightarrow a_{i}$ as $z \rightarrow p$ along the $t_{i}$ 's. Then there exist paths $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ in $T(p)$ such that $q_{i}$ is between $t_{i}$ and $t_{i+1}$ and $f(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow p$ along $q_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. (Remark, MacLane, p.7) So $t_{2}$ is between $q_{1}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ and f is bounded on $\mathrm{t}_{2}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{f} \notin \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$.

Lemma 8: If $p \in C$ and $f$ is a holomorphic function in $D$ which is bounded in a neighborhood of $p$ relative to $D$, then $G_{f}(p)$ is compact in the $M$ topology. (Lappan, 11, Theorem 1, p.89)

Proof: Suppose $G_{f}(p)$ is not compact in the M-topology. According to Theorem 35 in Chapter $I$, there exist directed sequences $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ of arcs in $T(p)$, a number $\epsilon>0$, and a continuum $K$ such that letting
$K_{n}=C_{t_{n}}(f, p)$ and $L_{n}=C_{S_{n}}(f, p)$, we have, for any $n>0, M\left(K_{n}, K\right)<1 / n$, $d\left(L_{n}, K\right)>\epsilon$, and $s_{n}$ is between $t_{n}$ and $t_{n+1}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that all of the arcs $s_{n}$ and $t_{n}$ originate at the origin, terminate at $p$ and no pair of arcs have any points in common except 0 and $p$. Finally we assume $M\left(K_{n}, K\right)<\epsilon / 2$ for all $n$. Let $\Delta_{n}$ be the region bounded by $t_{n} \cup t_{n+1}$ and $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ be the region bounded by $s_{n} \cup s_{n+1}$. It should be noted that $\Delta_{n}$ and $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ are bounded in the complex plane. Since $s_{n}-\{0, p\} \subset \Delta_{n}$ and $t_{n+1}-\{0, p\} \subset \Delta_{n}^{\prime}, L_{n} \subset C_{\Delta_{n}}(f, p)$ and $K_{n+1} \subset C_{\Delta_{n}}^{\prime}(f, p)$. According to Collingwood and Lohwater (1, Theorem 5.2.1, p.91),

$$
\text { Frontier } C_{\triangle_{n}}(f, p) \subset C_{t_{n}}(f, p) \cup C_{t_{n+1}}(f, p)=K_{n} \cup K_{n+1}
$$

and

$$
\text { Frontier } C_{\Delta_{n}^{\prime}}^{\prime}(f, p) \subset C_{S_{n}}(f, p) \cup C_{S_{n+1}}(f, p)=L_{n} \cup L_{n+1}
$$

Since $M\left(K_{k}, K\right)<\in / 2$ and $d\left(L_{k}, K\right)>\in$ for every positive integer $k$, there exists a point $w_{o} \in L_{n} \cup L_{n+1}$ such that $\left|w_{o}\right|>\sup \{|w|: d(w, K)<\epsilon / 2\}$. If $W_{0} \in L_{n}$, then the fact that $L_{n}$ is contained in a bounded set whose boundary is $K_{n} \cup K_{n+1}$ leads to the existence of a point $w_{1} \in K_{n} \cup K_{n+1}$ such that $\left|w_{1}\right|>\left|w_{o}\right|$. However, $d\left(w_{1}, K\right)<\epsilon / 2$ violates the choice of $w_{0}$. If $w_{o} \in L_{n+1}$, then there is a similar contradiction. So $K \in G_{f}(p)$.

Lemma 9: Let $f$ be holomorphic in $D$ and $p \in C$. Suppose further $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is a directed sequence of arcs in $T(p), K_{n}=C_{t_{n}}(f, p)$ for $n>0$, and $K$ is a continuum such that $M\left(K_{n}, K\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then one of the following must hold:
(i) $K \in G_{f}(p)$;
(ii) $\infty \in K$;
(iii) there exists $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ between $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{p})$ such that $\mathrm{f} \rightarrow \infty$ on $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ as $\mathrm{z} \rightarrow \mathrm{p}$ and f is bounded on $\mathrm{q}_{2}$. (Lappan, 11 , Lemma 3, p .90 )

Proof: Suppose $K \notin G_{f}(p), \infty \notin K$ and each $K_{n}$ is bounded. We want to show that (iii) holds. Let $\Delta_{n}$ be the region bounded by $t_{n} \cup t_{n+1}$. If there exists an integer $N$ such that $f$ is bounded in each region $\Delta_{n}$ for $n>N$, then $K \in G_{f}(p)$ because the proof of Lemma 8 only required that $f$ be bounded on a union of three consecutive regions $\Delta_{n}$. Since we are assuming $K \notin G_{f}(p)$, there exist positive integers $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ with $n_{2}>n_{1}$ such that f is unbounded in $\Delta_{\mathrm{n}_{1}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{n}_{2}}$. So there exist paths $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ in $T(p)$ such that $q_{1}-\{p\} \subset \Delta_{n_{1}}, q_{3}-\{p\} \subset \Delta_{n_{2}}$, and $f(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow p$ along $q_{1}$ and $q_{3}$. Letting $q_{2}=t_{n_{2}}$, we have $C_{q_{2}}(f, p)=K_{n_{2}}$ which is bounded. So f is bounded on $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ which is between $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{3}$.

Theorem 30: If $p \in C$ and $f \in I_{p}$, then $G_{f}(p)$ is compact in the M-topology. (Lappan, 11, Theorem 5, p.91)

Proof: If $G_{f}(p)$ is not compact in the M-topology, then according to Theorem 35 in Chapter $I$, there exist directed sequences $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ of arcs in $T(p)$, a number $\epsilon>0$ and a continuum $K$ such that letting $K_{n}=$ $C_{t_{n}}(f, p)$ and $L_{n}=C_{S_{n}}(f, p)$, we have that for each positive integer $n$, $M\left(K_{n}, K\right)<1 / n, d\left(L_{n}, K\right)>\epsilon$ and $s_{n}$ is between $t_{n}$ and $t_{n+1}$. We may assume that $M\left(K_{n}, K\right)<\in / 2$ for each $n$. Since $f \in I_{p}, \infty \in K$ by Lemma 9. Then there exists a bounded set $L$ such that $L_{n} \subset L$ for each $n$ and $d(L, K)>\epsilon$. Let $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ be the set bounded by $s_{n} \cup s_{n+1}$. f must be unbounded in $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ for each $n$ since $K_{n+1} \subset C_{\triangle_{n}^{\prime}}(f, p)$, Frontier $C_{\triangle_{n}^{\prime}}^{\prime}(f, p) \subset L_{n} \cup L_{n+1}$ and $\infty$ is in the same component of the complement of $L_{n} \cup L_{n+1}$ as $K_{n+1}$. Thus for each $n, f$ has $\infty$ as an asymptotic value at $p$ along a path $q_{n}$ such that $q_{n}-\{p\} \subset \Delta_{n}^{\prime}$. So $s_{n+1}$ is between $q_{n}$ and $q_{n+1}$ for every $n$ and $f$ is bounded on $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$. So $\mathrm{f} \notin \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$.
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Corollary: If $f$ is a normal holomorphic function in $D$, then $G_{f}$ is compact in the M-topology.

This corollary follows immediately from Theorems 28 and 30.

## NORMAL HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

In this paragraph we will show in Theorem 33 that a harmonic normal function has Fatou points on a dense subset of $C$ and in Theorem 34 that a harmonic normal function which does not have $+\infty$ as a Fatou value has a set of Fatou points possessing positive measure.

Theorem 31: If $u$ is a harmonic normal function in $D$ which omits the value $a$ and if $u(z) \rightarrow$ a along a non-tangential boundary path $P$, then $u$ has a as a Fatou value. (Lappan, 5, Theorem 2, p.154)

Proof: Suppose $a$ is finite. Since $u$ omits $a$, we may assume that $u(z)>$ a for every $z$ in $D$. Let $\triangle$ be an angle containing $P$ and $\zeta$ denote the vertex of $\triangle$. If $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of points in $\Delta$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow \zeta$, there exists a real number $M$ and a sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $P$ such that $\sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)<M$. Setting $S_{n}(z)=\left(z+z_{n}^{\prime}\right) /\left(1+\bar{z}_{n}^{\prime} z\right)$, we have a subsequence of $\left\{u\left(S_{n}(z)\right)\right\}$ converging uniformly in $\{z: \sigma(z, 0) \leqslant M+1\}$ to a harmonic function $U(z)$. But $u\left(S_{n}(0)\right)=u\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and so $U(0)=$ a while $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{z}) \geqslant$ a for $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{D}$. It follows from the minimum principle for harmonic functions that $U(z) \equiv a$. So $u\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a$ and $a$ is a Fatou value of $u$.

Suppose $a=+\infty$. Defining $S_{n}, z_{n}$ and $z_{n}^{\prime}$ as above, we have $U(0)=\infty$. However, since $\left\{u\left(S_{n}(z)\right)\right\}$ is a normal family, there exists a neighborhood $N$ of 0 such that $u\left(S_{n}(z)\right)>0$ for $n$ sufficiently large and $z \in N$.

It follows from Harnack's Inequality (Ahlfors, 1, Theorem 6, p.183) that $U(z)=\infty$ for $z \in N$. Consequently $U(z)=\infty$ for $z \in D$. Therefore, $u(z) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\infty$ is a Fatou value of $u$. If $a=-\infty$, the argument is similar.

Lemma 10: If $u$ is a harmonic normal function in $D$ and $v$ is a harmonic conjugate of $u$, then $f(z)=e^{u(z)+i v(z)}$ is a holomorphic normal function in D. (Lappan, 7, Lemma 1, p.110)

Proof: Let $a$ and $b$ be two complex numbers such that $|a| \neq|b|$ and let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be any sequence of points in $D$ such that $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a$. Then $u\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $\ln |a|$ where $\ln 0=-\infty$ and $\ln \infty=+\infty$. If $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ is another sequence of points in $D$ such that $\sigma\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$, then by Theorem $4, u\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \ln |a|$ since $u$ is normal. Therefore, $\left|f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right| \rightarrow|a|$ and $f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \nrightarrow b$. Using the contrapositive of Lemma 1 , we conclude that $f$ is normal.

Theorem 32: Let $u$ be a harmonic normal function in $D$ and $f=e^{u(z)+i v(z)}$. Then every Fatou point of f is a Fatou point of u . (Lappan, 7, Theorem 1, p.111)

Proof: If $\zeta$ is a Fatou point of $f$ with Fatou value $a$, then $f(z) \rightarrow a$ and $u(z) \rightarrow \ln$ a as $z \rightarrow \zeta$ from inside each Stolz angle at $\zeta$. So $\zeta$ is a Fatou point of $u$.

Theorem 33: The set of Fatou points of a harmonic normal function in $D$ is a dense subset of C. (Lappan, 7, Theorem 2, p.111)

Proof: Let $f(z)=e^{u(z)+i v(z)}$. Since $f$ is a holomorphic normal

$$
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$$

function, the set of Fatou points of $f$ is dense on $C$ according to Bagemih1 and Seidel (3, Corollary 1, p.16). So by Theorem 32, the set of Fatou points of $u$ is also dense on $C$.

Theorem 34: If $u$ is a harmonic normal function in $D$ such that $u$ does not have $+\infty$ as a Fatou value, then the set of Fatou points of $u$ has positive linear measure on C. (Lappan, 7, Theorem 3, p.111)

Proof: Let $f(z)=e^{u(z)+i v(z)}$. Since $u$ does not have $+_{\infty}$ as a Fatou value, $f$ does not have $\infty$ as a Fatou value. Consequently according to Bagemih1 and Seidel (3, Theorem 3, p.15) the set of Fatou points of f has positive measure on C. So by Theorem 32, the set of Fatou points of $u$ has positive measure on $C$.
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## CHAPTER III

## CLASS A FUNCTIONS

## INTRODUCTION

Let $f(z)$ be holomorphic and non-constant in D. For any complex number $a$, including $\infty$, let $A_{a}$ denote the set of points $\zeta \in C$ such that $f(z)$ has the asymptotic value a at $\zeta$. Let $A^{*}=\underset{a \neq \infty}{\bigcup} A_{a}$ and $A^{\prime}=A * \cup A_{\infty}$. Then $f(z)$ belongs to Class $A$ if and only if $f$ is holomorphic and nonconstant in $D$ and $A^{\prime}$ is dense on $C$.

Let $B^{*}$ denote the set of points $\zeta \in C$ such that there exists an arc $\Gamma$ in $D$ ending at $\zeta$ on which $|f|$ is bounded on $\Gamma$ by some finite constant $M$. In general $M$ varies as $\Gamma$ and $\zeta$ vary. Set $B^{\prime}=B^{*} \cup A_{\infty}$. Then $f(z)$ belongs to Class $\underline{B}$ if and only if $f$ is holomorphic and nonconstant in $D$ and $B^{\prime}$ is dense on $C$.

Since $A^{*} \subset B^{*}$ and $A^{\prime} \subset B^{\prime}, C$ ass $A \subset C 1$ ass $B$.
Now let $S$ be any subset of $D$. For each $i>0,0<r<1$, let $S_{i}$ be the components of $S \cap\{r<|z|<1\}$. Let $\delta_{i}(r)=\operatorname{dia} S_{i}(r)$ and $\delta(r)=\sup _{i} \delta_{i}(r)$ with $\delta(r) \equiv 0$ if no $S_{i}(r)$ exists. S ends at points of $C$ if and only if $\delta(r) \downarrow 0$ and $r \uparrow 1$. For any constant $\lambda \geqslant 0$ the level set $\operatorname{LS}(\lambda)$ is given by $\operatorname{LS}(\lambda)=\{z:|f(z)|=\lambda\}$, and a leve1 curve $\operatorname{LC}(\lambda)$ is any component of $\operatorname{LS}(\lambda)$. $f(z)$ belongs to Class $\underline{L}$ (Class $\underline{L^{*}}$ ) if and only if $f(z)$ is holomorphic and non-constant in $D$ and every level set $\operatorname{LS}(\lambda)$ (every level curve LC( $\lambda$ ) ) ends at points of $C$.
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In 1963 G.R. MacLane published a monograph (1) which contains many important properties of Class A functions. The purpose of his paper was to derive results about the asymptotic values of functions $f(z)$ holomorphic in D. We list some of these conclusions below.

Theorem 1: $A=B=L \subset L^{*}$ and the inclusion is proper. (MacLane, 1, Theorem 1, p.10)

## PROPERTIES OF CLASS A FUNCTIONS

Theorem 2: If $f \in A$ and $\gamma$ is an arc of $C$ such that $A_{\infty} \cap \gamma=\phi$, then $A * \cap$ $\gamma$ has the power of the continuum and is of positive measure. (MacLane, 1, Theorem 2, p. 14 and Theorem 11, p.25)

A tract $\{D(E), a\}$ associated with the finite value a is a set of non-empty domains $D(\epsilon)$, one for each $\epsilon>0$, such that
(i) $D(\epsilon)$ is a conponent of the open $\operatorname{set}\{z:|z|<1,|f(z)-a|<\epsilon\}$
(ii) $0<\epsilon_{1}<\epsilon_{2}$ implies $D\left(\epsilon_{1}\right) \subset D\left(\epsilon_{2}\right)$
(iii) $\cap D(\epsilon)=\varnothing$.

$$
\epsilon>0
$$

If $a=\infty, \quad \in>0$ $<\in$ by $|f(z)|>1 / \epsilon$.

Let $K=\cap \overline{D(\epsilon)}$. Then $K$ is a non-empty, connected closed subset of $C$ and is called the end of the tract. If $K$ is an arc, it is called an arctract. A tract is a global tract if and only if $K$ is the entire circumference $C$ and for each arc $\gamma \subset C$ there exists a sequence of $\operatorname{arcs}\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ such that $\gamma_{n} \subset D(1 / n)$ and $\gamma_{n} \rightarrow \gamma$. This last condition is important since Theorem 5 is untrue without some condition of this type in the definition of global tracts.
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If $\{D(\epsilon), a\}$ is a tract and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}: z=\psi(t), 0 \leqslant t<1$, is a continuous curve in $D$ such that $\psi(t) \in D(\epsilon)$ for $1-\delta(\epsilon)<t<1$, then $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ belongs to $\{D(\epsilon), a\}$.

Theorem 3: Let $f \in A$ and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of distinct simple arcs in $D$ which tend to the arc $\gamma$ of $C$ with the property that $\inf _{z \in \gamma_{n}}|f(z)|=u_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $f$ has $\{D(\epsilon), \infty\}$ with end $K$ such that $\gamma \subset K$ and for any $\zeta \in K$ there is a curve $T \subseteq\{D(\epsilon), \infty\}$ which ends at $\zeta$. At any interior point of $K$ the only asymptotic values come from this tract.
(MacLane, 1, Theorem 3, p.15)

Theorem 4: Let $f \in A$ and let $\{D(\epsilon), a\}$ for $a \neq \infty$ be a tract of $f$. Then the end of this tract is a single point. (MacLane, 1, Theorem 4, p.18)

Theorem 5: Let $f \in A$. Then
(i) $f$ has a global tract if and only if $f$ is unbounded and all level curves of $f$ are compact;
(ii) $f$ has a global tract if and only if $f$ is unbounded on every curve $\Gamma$ in $D$ on which $|z| \rightarrow 1$.
(MacLane, 1, Theorem 6, p.18)

Theorem 6: If $f \in A$ and $S$ is any Borel set on the sphere, then $A(S)$ is measurable. (MacLane, 1, Theorem 10, p.22)

## SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR $f \in A$

Theorem 7: Each one of the following conditions is a sufficient condition for $f \in A$ :
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(i) f is a holomorphic, non-constant function in $D$ such that there exists a set $S_{\theta} \subset[0,2 \pi]$ that is dense in $[0,2 \pi]$ such that $\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log ^{+}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r<\infty$ for $\theta \in S_{\theta}$;
(ii) $f$ is a holomorphic, non-constant function in $D$ such that $\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) m(r) d r<\infty$ where $\left.m(r)=\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \right|_{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right) d \theta, \quad 0 \leqslant r<1 ;$
(iii) $f$ is a holomorphic, non-constant function in $D$ such that $\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log M(r) d r<\infty$ where $M(r)$ is the maximum modulus of $f$. (MacLane, 1, Theorem 14, p. 36 and following discussion)

It is important to notice that in condition (i) no uniformity is implied. All that is required is that each individual integral converges.

Theorem 8: Let $f(z)$ be non-constant and expressible in the form $f(z)=$ $\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ for $|z|<1$ and let $\lambda$ be a constant such that $0<\lambda<2 / 3$ and $\log ^{+}\left|a_{n}\right|<n^{\lambda}$ for $n>N$. Then $f \in A$. (MacLane, 1, Theorem 16, p.42)
$f(z)$ belongs to the Class $\underline{N}$ if and only if $f$ is holomorphic, nonconstant in D and normal.

Theorem 9: $N \subset A$. Also, if $f \in N$, then (i) given $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}, f$ has at most one asymptotic value at $\zeta$. If $f$ has the asymptotic value a at $\zeta$, then $f$ has the angular limit a at $\zeta$; (ii) f has no arc-tracts. (MacLane, 1 , Theorem 17, p.43)

This theorem contains the Bagemih1 and Seidel results in Chapter II, Theorems 4, 12 and 14.
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## BARTH'S GENERALIZATIONS OF MACLANE'S RESULTS

In order to generalize MacLane's Results, Barth defined classes $A_{m}$, $B_{m}, L_{m}$ and $L_{m}^{*}$ which differ from MacLane's classes $A, B, L$ and $L^{*}$ only in the replacement of the word "holomorphic" with the word "meromorphic" in the appropriate definitions. Theorem 12 shows that $A_{m} \subset B_{m}$ and $L_{m} \subset B_{m}$. However, there are examples to show that no other inclusion relationships exist among the classes $A_{m}, B_{m}$ and $L_{m}$. Let $\operatorname{LS}(\lambda)=\{z \in D:|f(z)|=\lambda\}$.

Theorem 10: Let $f \in A_{m}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of disjoint simple arcs in $D$ that tend to the arc $\gamma$ of $C$ with the property that there exists a complex number a such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\gamma_{n}}|f(z)-a|=\mu_{n} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { if } a \neq \infty, \\
& \inf _{\gamma_{n}}|f(z)|=\mu_{n} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { if } a=\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $f$ has an arc tract $\{D(\epsilon), a\}$ with end $K$ such that $\gamma \subset K$ and such that for each point $\zeta \in K$ some curve $\Gamma$ belonging to $\{D(\epsilon)$, a\} ends at $\zeta$. At any interior point of $K$, the only asymptotic values come from this tract. If $f \in L_{m}$, the preceding conclusions are true for $a=\infty$. (Barth, 1, Theorem 1, p.323)

Proof: First we assume that $f \in L_{m}$ and $a=\infty$. Let $\gamma=\left\{e^{i \theta}: \alpha \leqslant \theta \leqslant \beta\right\}$ and $\zeta$ be an interior point of $\gamma$. We choose $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha<\alpha^{\prime}<$ $\arg \zeta<\beta^{\prime}<\beta$. Let $S\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ denote the sector $\left\{\alpha^{\prime}<\arg z<\beta^{\prime}\right.$ for $\left.|z|<1\right\}$ and let $\gamma_{n}^{\prime} \subset \gamma_{n}$ be a cross-cut of $S\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ joining a point of $\arg z=\alpha^{\prime}$ to a point of $\arg z=\beta^{\prime}$. By using a subsequence of $\gamma_{n}$ if necessary, we may assume that each $\gamma_{n}$ contains a cross-cut of $\gamma_{n}^{\prime}$ and that $\gamma_{n+1}^{\prime}$
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separates $Y_{n}^{\prime}$ from $|z|=1$ within $S\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$. Let $E_{n}$ denote the subdomain of $S\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ which is bounded by $\gamma_{n}^{\prime}, \gamma_{n+1}^{\prime}$ and two intervals on the boundary radii of $S\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$. For $\lambda$, a fixed constant greater than zero, we choose $N(\lambda)$ such that for $n \geqslant N(\lambda)$ no $\gamma_{n}$ intersects LS $(\lambda)$. Let the components of $L S(\lambda) \cap E_{n}$ be denoted by $p(n, i)$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n_{i}$. For simplicity we pick $\lambda$ so that $L S(\lambda)$ has no multiple points. Since $f \in L_{m}$, the maximum diameter $p(n, i)$ for $n \geqslant N(\lambda)$ approaches zero. So for $n \geqslant N_{1}$, any curve $p(n, i)$ which intersects the radius $R=\{\arg z=\arg \zeta\}$ is a Jordan curve contained in $E_{n}$. Therefore, any interval of $R$ in $E_{n}$ on which $|f(z)|<\lambda$ may be replaced by an arc of a level curve $p(n, i)$. By making a finite number of such replacements for any one $n$, we obtain a curve $\Gamma(\lambda)$ such that $\lim _{z \rightarrow 1} \inf _{z \in \Gamma(\lambda)}|f(z)| \geqslant \lambda$.

We will now construct $\Gamma$. Let $\lambda_{n} \uparrow \infty$ be given. Let $Q_{n}$ be the intersection of $R$ with $\gamma_{n}^{\prime}$ having $\max |z|$, and $\operatorname{let} \Gamma\left(\lambda_{k}, n\right)$ be the portion of $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{k}\right)$ joining $Q_{n}$ to $\zeta$. We define $\Gamma=\Gamma\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ from $z=0$ to $Q_{n_{1}}$ where $n_{1}$ is chosen so that $|\arg z-\arg \zeta|<1 / 2$ and $|f(z)| \geqslant \lambda_{2}$ for $z \in \Gamma\left(\lambda_{2}, n_{1}\right)$; and for any integer $p>1, \Gamma=\Gamma\left(\lambda_{p}\right)$ from $Q_{n_{p-1}}$ to $Q_{n_{p}}$ where $n_{p}>n_{p-1}$ is chosen so that $|\arg z-\arg \zeta|<1 / 2^{p}$ and $|f(z)| \geqslant \lambda_{k}$ for $z \in \Gamma\left(\lambda_{p}, n_{p-1}\right)$. So $\Gamma \rightarrow \zeta$ and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \rightarrow \infty$ on $\Gamma$.

Now we assume that $\zeta$ is an endpoint of $\gamma$. Let $\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of interior points of $\gamma$ with $\zeta_{n} \rightarrow \zeta$ and $\Gamma_{n}$ be a curve ending at $\zeta_{n}$ on which $f \rightarrow \infty$. By using a construction similar to the one given above, we can construct a curve $\Gamma$ tending to $\zeta$ on which $\mathrm{f} \rightarrow \infty$.

Each asymptotic path $\Gamma$ to an interior point $\zeta$ of $\gamma$ intersects all $\gamma_{n}^{\prime}$ 's for $n>N$. So there exists an integer $N$ ' such that all $\gamma_{n}$ for $n>N^{\prime}$ belong to the same domain $D(\epsilon)$ for $|f(z)|>1 / \epsilon$. Thus all paths belong to the same tract $\{D(\epsilon), \infty\}$. If the end $K$ of this tract
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contains $\gamma$ as a proper subset, then we can choose $\operatorname{arcs} \gamma_{n}^{\prime} \subset D(1 / n)$ such that $\gamma_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}=K$. Since $\gamma_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ satisfy the same hypotheses as $\gamma_{n}$ and $\gamma$, it follows that if $\zeta \in K$, then there exists a curve $\Gamma$ belonging to $\{D(\epsilon), \infty\}$ which tends to $\zeta$.

If $f \in A_{m}$ and $a=\infty$, then $\operatorname{LS}(\lambda) \cap S(\alpha, \beta)$ must also end at points of C for all $\lambda>0$. For if this were not true, there would exist a $\lambda_{1}>0$, a subarc $\Delta$ of $\gamma$ and a sequence of continuous arcs $\left\{\Delta_{n}\right\}$ compact in $D$ such that $\Delta_{\mathrm{n}} \subset \operatorname{LS}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ for all n and $\Delta_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \Delta$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\zeta$ be any interior point of $\triangle$. Each curve ending at $\zeta$ must cross all but a finite number of the $\Delta_{n}^{\prime} s$ and $\gamma_{n}^{\prime}$ 's. Therefore, $f$ cannot have an asymptotic value at $\zeta$, contradicting the assumption $f \in A_{m}$.

Finally, if a is finite, we define the function $1 /(\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{a})$ and use the above proofs.

Theorem 11: If $f \in L_{m}$ and $\gamma=\left\{e^{i \theta}: \alpha \leqslant \theta \leqslant \beta, \alpha \neq \beta\right\}$ is a subarc of $C$ such that no level curve of $f$ ends at any point of $\gamma$, then exactly one of the following two statements is valid.
(i) For each interior point $e^{i \phi}(\alpha<\phi<\beta)$ of $\gamma$, there exists a continuous curve $\Gamma\left(e^{i \phi}\right) \subset D$ ending at $e^{i \phi}$ and such that $f$ is bounded on $\alpha<\phi<\beta$. Furthermore, $f$ does not have $\infty$ as an asymptotic value at any interior point of $\gamma$.
(ii) There exists an arc-tract $\{D(\epsilon), \infty\}$ of $f$ with end $K$ such that $\gamma \subset K$.
(Barth, 1, Theorem 2, p.324)

Proof: Let $S(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the sector $\{z:|z|<1$ and $\alpha<\arg z<\beta\}$. We pick $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ so that $0<\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $L S\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ has no multiple
points. Since $f \in L_{m}$, each $\operatorname{LC}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is either a closed Jordan curve or a crosscut of $D$. Suppose 0 is not a pole of $f$. If $O$ is a pole, we may pick a different point close to 0 which is not a pole and repeat the following argument using this new point. We choose $N$ such that $0 \in\{z$ : $\left.|f|<\lambda_{N}\right\}$. For any $n \geqslant N$, let $\Delta\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ denote the component of $\left\{z:|f|<\lambda_{n}\right\}$ that contains 0 . Since $f \in L_{m}$ and no level curve ends at any point of $\gamma$, at least one of the following statements is valid for any $n \geqslant N$.
(iii) there exists a $T_{n} \subset$ Boundary $\Delta\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ such that $T_{n}$ is a crosscut of the sector $S(\alpha, \beta)$ that joins a point of $\arg z=\alpha$ to a point of $\arg z=\beta$.
(iv) Boundary $\Delta\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \supset \gamma$.

If (iii) is true for all $n \geqslant N$, then $T_{n} \rightarrow \gamma$ and so by Theorem 10 , $f$ has an arc tract $\{D(E), \infty\}$ with end $K \subset \gamma$. So (ii) holds.

Now suppose there exists some $n=M$ for which (iv) holds. Let $\zeta=$ $e^{i \phi}$ for $\alpha<\phi<\beta$ be any arbitrary point of $\gamma$. By (iv) $\zeta \in$ Boundary $\Delta\left(\lambda_{M}\right)$. Since $f \in L_{m}$ and no level curves of $f$ end at points of $\gamma$, there exists a $\delta>0$ depending on $\zeta$ such that each component of Boundary $\Delta\left(\lambda_{M}\right)$
 a closed Jordan curve contained in $S(\alpha, \beta)$. Since the diameter of the set $\operatorname{LS}\left(\lambda_{M}\right) \cap\{z: 1-\epsilon<|z|<1\} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0,0$ and $\zeta$ may be connected by a continuous curve $\Gamma\left(e^{i \phi}\right) \subset \Delta\left(\lambda_{M}\right) \cup \zeta$.

The last part of (i) is proved by observing that the existence of the asymptotic value $\infty$ at $\zeta$ implies that $L S(\lambda)$ ends at $\zeta$ for all $\lambda>\lambda_{M}$, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 12: $A_{m} \subset B_{m}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}} \subset \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$. (Barth, 1, Theorem 3, p. 325)

Proof: Since the generalized definitions and notations include "meromorphic functions" instead of only "holomorphic functions", $A^{\prime}=A^{*} \cup A_{\infty}$ where $A^{*}=\bigcup_{a \neq \infty} A_{a}$ and $B=B^{*} \cup A_{\infty}$. So $A^{*} \subset B^{*}$ and $A^{\prime} \subset B^{\prime}$. Consequently $A_{m} \subset B_{m}$.

Now we want to show that $L_{m} \subset B_{m}$. Let $f \in L_{m}$ and $\gamma=\left\{e^{i \theta}: \alpha \leqslant \theta \leqslant \beta\right\}$ be any subarc of $C$. We will show that there exists a continuous curve ending at some point of $Y$ on which $f$ is bounded or else a continuous curve ending at some point of $Y$ on which $f$ has the asymptotic value $\infty$. If a level curve of $f$ ends at a point of $\gamma$, we are done. So suppose not. Then Theorem 11 holds and either there exists for every interior point $e^{i \theta}, \alpha<\theta<\beta$, a continuous curve $\Gamma(\theta) \subset D$ that ends at $e^{i \theta}$ on which $f$ is bounded or there is an arc-tract $\{D(\epsilon), \infty\}$ with end $K$ containing $\gamma$. In the first case we are finished; in the second case by Theorem 10, f has the asymptotic value $\infty$ at each point of $\gamma$.

The Schwarz triangle function is an example of a function $f$ such that $f \in B_{m}$ and $f \in L_{m}$, but $f \notin A_{m}$. This shows that $B_{m} \not \subset A_{m}$ and $L_{m} \not \subset A_{m}$.

We will now construct a function $f$ such that $f \in A_{m}, f \in B_{m}$, but $\mathrm{f} \notin \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$. (Barth, Example 2, p.326) Let $\left\{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ denote a sequence of positive numbers which are strictly increasing to 1 . For $n \geqslant 1$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{n}=\left\{|z|=r_{n}\right\} \\
& D_{n}=\left\{|z|<r_{n}\right\} \\
& E_{n}=\left\{z: r_{n} \leqslant|z| \leqslant r_{n+1} \text { and } \arg z=2 k \pi / 2^{n}\right\} \text { for } k=0,1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n>1$, let $F_{n}=\bar{D}_{n-1} \cup E_{n-1} \cup C_{n}$. Two sequences of functions $\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{n}(z)\right\}$ are now defined inductively.

We define $f_{1}(z)$ and $R_{1}(z)$ on $\bar{D}_{1}$ so that $f_{1} \equiv R_{1}(z) \equiv 1 / 2$. Next we
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construct $f_{2}(z)$ so that it is continuous on $F_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}_{2}(z)=\mathrm{f}_{1}(z) \text { on } \bar{D}_{1}, \\
& \mathrm{f}_{2}(z)=5 / 4 \text { on } C_{2}, \\
& \mathrm{f}_{2}(z) \text { is linear on each component of } \mathrm{E}_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{F}_{2}$ is closed and it divides the plane into a finite number of regions. In addition $f_{2}(z)$ is continuous on $F_{2}$ and analytic on the interior of $F_{2}$. Therefore, by a remark in Mergelyan's paper (1, p.24) there exists a rational function $R_{2}(z)$ such that $\max _{z \in F_{2}}\left|f_{2}(z)-R_{2}(z)\right|<2^{-4}$. In general suppose that $f_{n}(z)$ is spherically continuous on $F_{n}$ and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n}(z)=R_{n-1}(z) \text { on } \bar{D}_{n-1} \\
& f_{n}(z)=1+(-1)^{n_{2}-n} \text { on } C_{n}, \\
& f_{n}(z) \text { is linear on each component of } E_{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using a remark of Mergelyan (1, p.24) we can find a rational function $R_{n}(z)$ such that $\max _{z \in F_{n}}\left|f_{n}(z)-R_{n}(z)\right|<2^{-n-2}$. A straightforward calculation shows that $\left\{R_{n}(z)\right\}$ converges to a meromorphic function $R(z)$ in $D$.

In order to show that $R(z) \notin L_{m}$, it is sufficient to prove that for each $n$ some component of $\{z:|R|=1\}$ separates $C_{n}$ and $C_{n+1}$. This is shown by verifying that $\left|R(z)-\left(1+(-1)^{n} 2^{-n}\right)\right|<2^{-n-1}$ for $z \in C_{n}$. Furthermore, $f$ (the limit of $\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\}$ ) has the asymptotic value 1 on each radius of the form $\left\{z: 0 \leqslant|z|<1\right.$ and $\left.\arg z=2^{-n} k\right\}$ for $n>0$ and $k=0$, $1, \ldots, 2^{n-1}$. Since these radii are dense, $f \in A_{m}, f \in B_{m}$ and $f \notin L_{m}$.

Barth has established some sufficient conditions for a function to be a member of $A_{m}$. Theorem 14 shows that the conditions of Theorem 7 can be generalized to meromorphic functions.
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Theorem 13: Let $g$ and $h$ be holomorphic in $D$ and let $g / h$ be nonconstant. Suppose $g \in A, h$ is bounded and $f=g / h$. Then $f \in A_{m}$ and $1 / f \in A_{m}$. (Barth, Theorem 6, p.331)

Proof: Let $\gamma$ be any subarc of $C$. We will show that there exists a point $\zeta \in Y$ and a curve ending at $\zeta$ on which $f$ tends to a limit as $|z| \rightarrow 1$. First suppose $A_{\infty}(g) \cap \gamma \neq \varnothing$. Then there exist a point $\zeta \in \gamma$ and a curve $\Gamma$ ending at $\zeta$ on which $g \rightarrow \infty$ as $|z| \rightarrow 1$. Consequently, since $h$ is bounded, $f \rightarrow \infty$ as $|z| \rightarrow 1$ on $\Gamma$ and $f$ has the asymptotic value $\infty$ at $\zeta$.

Now suppose $A_{\infty}(g) \cap \gamma=\phi$. If $g$ is bounded in some neighborhood of a point $\zeta$ on $\gamma$, then $f$ has an asymptotic value at $\zeta$ by the Fatou Theorem (Fatou, 1). So suppose $\lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} \sup |g(z)|=\infty$ for all $\zeta \in \gamma$. Under these hypotheses MacLane ( $1, \mathrm{p} .26$ ) has shown that there exists a $\Delta \subset \mathrm{D}$ with the following properties:
(i) $\triangle$ is a simply connected Jordan domain, bounded by crosscuts $\Gamma$ of $D$ on which $|g|=\lambda$ for some $\lambda>0$ and by a nonempty subset F of $\gamma$.
(ii) $|g(z)|<N$ where $N$ is a positive integer for all $z \in \triangle$.
(iii) There exists a nonempty subdomain $\Delta^{\prime}$ of $\Delta$ such that $\lambda<|g(z)|$ $<N$ for all $z \in \Delta^{\prime}$.

Based on an argument of MacLane (1, p.27) for the proof of Theorem 2 of this chapter, it can be shown that $f$ has asymptotic values at some points of $\gamma$. Consequently $f \in A_{m}$ and $1 / f$ is also in $A_{m}$.

We are now ready to generalize the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 7 to obtain sufficient conditions for meromorphic functions
to be in $A_{m}$. (Barth, 1, p.332) Let $f$ be meromorphic in $D$.
Condition (i') Suppose there exists a complex number a, possibly $\infty$, and a set $\Theta$ dense on $[0,2 \pi]$ such that the Nevanlinna counting function $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{a})=\mathrm{O}(1)$ (Nevanlinna, 1) and $\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log +\left|\frac{1}{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)-a}\right| d r<\infty$ for $\theta \in \Theta$ if $a \neq \infty$. If $a=\infty$, then $\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log ^{+}\left|f\left(r^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r<\infty, \theta \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}$.
Condition (ii') Suppose there exists a complex number a, possibly $\infty$, such that $N(r, a)=0(1)$ and $\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) m(r, a) d r<\infty$ where $m(r, a)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log ^{+}\left|\frac{1}{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)-a}\right| d \theta$ if $a \neq \alpha$ and $m(r, \infty)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log ^{+}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta$.
Condition (iii') Suppose $N(r, a)=O(1)$ and $\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) T(r) d r<\infty$ where $T(r)$ is the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f$. Since Condition (ii') implies that $\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log +\left|\frac{1}{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)-a}\right| d r<\infty$ if $a \neq \infty$ and $\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log ^{+}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r<\infty$ in the case $a=\infty$, Condition (ii') implies Condition (i'). By Nevanlinna's First Main Theorem (Nevanlinna, 1, p.168), it can be shown that Condition (iii') implies (ii').

Theorem 14: If f is meromorphic and nonconstant in D and satisfies one of the preceding conditions ( $\mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ ), ( $\mathrm{i} \mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ ) or (iii'), then $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}$. (Barth, 1, Theorem 7, p.333)

Proof: Since Condition (iii') implies Condition (ii') which in turn implies ( $i^{\prime}$ ), it is sufficient to show that ( $i^{\prime}$ ) implies $f \in A_{m}$. Suppose $a=\infty$. Let $B(z)$ denote the Blaschke product

$$
B(z)=z^{\lambda} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|b_{k}\right|-z e^{-\beta_{k} i}}{1-\bar{b}_{k} z}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the order of the pole at $z=0$ and the rest of the poles of $f$ are denoted by $b_{k}=\left|b_{k}\right| e^{i \beta_{k}}$ with a pole of order $u$ appearing $u$ times among the $b_{k}$ 's. Then the function $g(z)=B(z) f(z)$ is holomorphic in $D$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log ^{+}\left|g\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r=\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log ^{+}\left|B\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r \\
\leqslant & \int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log ^{+}\left|B\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r+\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log ^{+}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r \text { for } \theta \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log ^{+}\left|g\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log ^{+}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r \text { for } \theta \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}
$$

since $|B(z)| \leqslant 1$. Therefore,

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log ^{+}\left|g\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r<\infty \text { for } \theta \in \Theta
$$

and $g \in A$ by Theorem 7. Thus $f=g / B$ and $f \in A_{m}$ by Theorem 13 .
If $a \neq \infty$, the argument above implies that $1 /(f-a) \in A_{m}$ and so $f \in A_{m}$.

## ALGEBRAIC OPERATIONS OF CLASS A FUNCTIONS

In Theorem 16, Brannan and Hornblower prove that Class A functions are not closed under the operations of addition and multiplication. In fact every nonconstant, holomorphic function in D can be written as the sum or the product of pairs of functions in Class A. Furthermore, Barth and Schneider (1, Theorem, p.121) have constructed after much work an example of a function $f$ in Class $A$ such that $e^{f} \notin A$. However, if $f \in A$ and $f$ has no arc-tracts, then $e^{f} \in A$ (Theorem 17).
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Barth and Schneider (3) have recently shown that the product of a function in A with a bounded holomorphic function is not necessarily in A. First they construct a function $f(z)$ which is holomorphic and nonzero in $D$ and which is approximately equal to $n$ on certain subsets $\Gamma_{n}$ of $D$ if $n$ is even and approximately equal to 1 if $n$ is odd. Much notation is required in order to specify these $\Gamma_{n}$ 's. Let $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $0<r_{0}<r_{1}<\ldots<r_{n}<\ldots \uparrow 1$ and such that $r_{n}-r_{n-1} \leqslant \pi / 4 n$. Furthermore, let $\left\{\phi_{n, k}\right\}$ denote the set of angles $\Phi_{n, k}=2 \pi k / 2^{n}$ for $n$ any positive integer and $k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}-1$. The angles $\theta_{n, k}$ 's are defined as follow:
(i) $\theta_{1,1}=\phi_{1,1}$
(ii) $\theta_{2,1}=\phi_{2,2} ; \quad \theta_{2,2}=\phi_{2,1} ; \quad \theta_{2,3}=\phi_{2,3}$
(iii) in general, after the $\theta_{n, k}$ 's have been defined for $k=1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1$, the $\theta_{n+1, k}$ 's for $k=1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1$ are defined in the only possible way such that all the $\theta_{m, k}$ 's for $m=1,2, \ldots, n+1$ and $\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, 2^{\mathrm{n}}-1$ with the same second index are equal. Finally the $\theta_{n+1, k}$ 's for $k=2^{n}, 2^{n}+1, \ldots, 2^{n+1}-1$ are defined in such a way that $\theta_{n+1,2^{n}}=\phi_{n+1,1} ; \theta_{n+1,2^{n+1}}=\phi_{n+1,3} ; \theta_{n+1,2^{n+2}}=$ $\phi_{n+1,5} ; \cdots ; \theta_{n+1,2^{n+1}-1}=\phi_{n+1,2^{n+1}-1}$.
With the above notation, many subsets of $D$ are defined as follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{n}=\left\{z: r_{n-1}+3 / 4\left(r_{n}-r_{n-1}\right) \leqslant x \leqslant r_{n}+3 / 4\left(r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right),|y| \leqslant t_{n}=\pi / 4 n\right\} \\
& \text { where } z=x+i y \text {. } \\
& \gamma_{n}=\left\{z:|z|<r_{n}\right\} \text { - Interior } E_{n} \text {. } \\
& \alpha_{n, i}=A\left[0, r_{n}, r_{n}+3 / 8\left(r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right)\right] \cap S\left[0, \phi_{n, i}, \phi_{n, i}\right] \text { where } A\left[a, r^{\prime}, r^{\prime \prime}\right]= \\
& \left\{z: r^{\prime} \leqslant|z-a| \leqslant r^{\prime \prime}\right\} \text { and } S\left[a, \theta^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime \prime}\right]=\left\{z: \theta^{\prime} \leqslant \arg (z-a) \leqslant \theta^{\prime \prime}\right\} \text {. } \\
& \beta_{n, i}=A\left[0, r_{n}+5 / 8\left(r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right), r_{n+1}\right] \cap s\left[0, \phi_{n, i}, \phi_{n, i}\right] . \\
& \Gamma_{n}=\gamma_{n} \cup\left({ }_{i}^{2^{n}} \bigcup_{1}^{U} \alpha_{n, i}\right) \cup\left({ }_{i}^{2^{n-1}} \bigcup_{1}^{-1} \beta_{n-1, i}\right) \text { for } n \geqslant 2 \text { and } \Gamma_{1}=\gamma_{1} \cup \alpha_{1,1} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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This function $f(z)$, which Barth and Schneider construct, is not in A. It is bounded away from zero on a countable set of asymptotic paths $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ which are tangent to those radii ending at a countable dense subset $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ of C. According to Privalow (p.214), there exist nonzero functions analytic and bounded in $D$ which have radial, and hence angular, limit zero on any pre-assigned subset $N$ of $C$ of measure zero. Let $b(z)$ be the particular function obtained when $N=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n} . \quad h(z)=w(z) / b(z)$ is in Class A since $\lim _{z \in \alpha_{n}} h(z)=\infty$ for each $\alpha_{n}$ while $w(z)=h(z) \cdot b(z) \notin A$. $|z| \rightarrow 1$

Recently Tse (1) has shown a condition which holds whenever a product of a bounded holomorphic function and a Class A function is not in Class A.

If $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function in $D$, then we define $F_{f}(K)$ [or $F \underset{f}{\star}(K)]$ for $0 \leqslant K \leqslant \infty$ to be the set of Fatou points of $f(z)$ on $C$ at which the Fatou values are greater than [or less than] $K$ in absolute value.

Theorem 15: If $b(z)$ is a bounded holomorphic function in $D$ and if $f(z) \in A$, but $f(z) b(z) \notin A$, then $F_{b}(0)$ is of first category in some subarc of C. (Tse, 1, Theorem, p.68)

Proof: Let $A_{\infty}(f b)$ denote the set of points $\zeta$ for which $\zeta \in C$ and $f b$ has $\infty$ as its asymptotic value. Let $B^{*}(f b)$ denote the set of points $\zeta$ such that $\zeta \in C$ and there exists an $\operatorname{arc} \Gamma$ in $D$ ending at $\zeta$ on which $|f|$ is bounded by some finite constant. So $B_{f b}=B^{*}(f b) \cup A_{\infty}(f b)$. Since $f(z) b(z) \notin A=B$, there exists a subarc $\gamma$ of $C$ such that $B_{f b} \cap \gamma=\varnothing$. By definition $F_{b}(0) \cap \gamma=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{b}(1 / n) \cap \gamma$. We will show that $F_{b}(0) \cap \gamma$ is of first category. Suppose on the contrary it is of second category.

Then there exists an $n_{0}>0$ such that $F_{b}(1 / n) \cap \gamma$ is of second category. So at each point $\zeta \in F_{b}\left(1 / n_{0}\right) \cap \gamma$, the radial cluster set of $b(z)$ does not contain the value 0. By Collingwood (2, Lemma 1) there exists a number $M^{\prime}>0$ such that $|1 / b(z)| \leqslant M^{\prime}$ in a neighborhood $U$ of a subarc $\beta$ of $\gamma$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<1 / M^{\prime} \leqslant|b(z)| \leqslant M<\infty, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $U$ where $M$ is the bound of $b(z)$ in $D$. Since $f(z) \in A, B_{f} \cap \beta \neq \phi$. By (1) $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{fb}} \cap \beta \neq \phi$, which contradicts the condition $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{fb}} \cap \gamma=\phi$. Consequently $F_{b}(0) \cap \gamma$ is of first category.

A set of points on $C$ is of second category evenly on $C$ if it is of second category on each subarc of $C$.

Corollary: Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ both be in $A$ and $F_{f}(0) \cap \underset{f}{F}(\infty)$ be of second category evenly on $C$, then $f(z) g(z) \in A$. (Tse, 1, Corollary 1, p.68)

This follows from Theorem 15 and Collingwood (2, Lemma 2).

Theorem 16: Any nonconstant function $R(z)$ holomorphic in $D$ can be represented as the sum and as the product of pairs of Class A functions. (Brannan and Hornblower, 1, Theorem 1, p.86)

Proof: We define $u(r)=M(r, R(z)) /(1-r)$ where $M(r, R(z))$ denotes the maximum modulus of $R$. According to Hornblower (1) there exists a nonconstant, nonzero function $f(z) \in A$ which, on a dense set of radii, tends to zero faster than $1 / u(r)$ and tends to $\infty$ faster than $u(r)$. Then $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[R\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) / f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right]=0$ on a dense set of $\theta^{\prime} s$ and $R(z) / f(z) \in A$.

$$
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Thus $R(z)$ can be written as the product of $R(z) / f(z)$ and $f(z)$. In addition, $R(z)$ can also be written as the sum of $[R(z)+f(z)]$ and $[-f(z)]$ since $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left[R\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)+f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right]=\infty$ on a dense set of $\theta^{\prime}$ s implies that $[R(z)+f(z)] \in A$ and $f(z) \in A$ implies that $[-f(z)] \in A$.

Theorem 17: Any nonconstant function $M(z)$ meromorphic in $D$ may be represented in each of the following three ways:
(i) the quotient of two holomorphic functions in $A$,
(ii) the product of a function in $A$ and a function in $A_{m} \cap L_{m}$,
(iii) the sum of two functions in $A_{m} \cap L_{m}$.
(Brannan and Hornblower, 1, Theorem 2, p.86)

Proof: According to Heins (1, p.14) any meromorphic function in D can be represented in the form $M(z)=f_{1}(z) / f_{2}(z)$ where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are holomorphic in D. Consequently we construct the nonzero holomorphic function $f(z)=\max \left\{M\left(z, f_{1}\right), M\left(z, f_{2}\right)\right\} /(1-r)$ in $A$.

Then $M(z)=\left\{f_{1} / f\right\} /\left\{f_{2} / f\right\}$ where $f_{1} / f$ and $f_{2} / £$ are nonconstant holomorphic functions in $D$, which according to Hornblower (1) have 0 as a radial limit on a dense set of radii. So $f_{1} / f$ and $f_{2} / f$ are both in $A$.

In addition $M(z)=f_{1} / f \cdot f / f_{2}$ where $f_{1} / f$ is again in A. Since $f / f_{2}$ has $\infty$ as a radial limit on a dense set of radii, $f / f_{2} \in A_{m}$. Furthermore, $f / f_{2} \in L_{m}$ because no level set $L S(\lambda)$ for $\lambda$ finite can end on an arc of $D$.

Finally $M(z)=f / f_{2}+f / f_{2}\left[\left(f_{1} / f\right)-1\right]$. On the same dense set of radii $f / f_{2}$ and $f_{1} / f$ have radial limits $\infty$ and 0 respectly. So on this dense set of radii $\left(f_{1} / f\right)-1$ has radial limit -1 and the radial limit of $f / f_{2}\left[\left(f_{1} / f\right)-1\right]$ is infinite.

$$
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Theorem 18: If $f \in A$ and has no arc tracts, then $e^{f} \in A$. (proof by MacLane in Barth and Schneider, 1, Theorem M, p.120)

Proof: Let $\gamma$ be any subarc of $C$. If $f$ has the finite asymptotic value a at $\zeta \in \gamma$, then $e^{f}$ has the asymptotic value $e^{a}$ at $\zeta$. So we can assume that $f$ has only the asymptotic value $\infty$ at points of $\gamma$. Let $\zeta$ be any one of these points in the interior of $\gamma$. We choose a tract $T(\epsilon)$ so that $|f|>1 / \epsilon$ near $\zeta$ and $\overline{T(\epsilon)} \cap C \subset \gamma$. We also pick $z_{o} \in T(\epsilon)$ and consider the Riemann surface over the $w-p l a n e$ corresponding to $T(\epsilon)$. There is a $\delta$, $0<\delta<\pi / 4$, such that sector $\left\{w:-\delta<\arg \left(w-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)<\delta\right\}$ or $\left\{w: \pi-\delta<\arg \left(w-f\left(z_{\delta}\right)\right)<\right.$ $\pi+\delta\}$ does not intersect $\left\{w:|w|<\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right\}$. Denote the sector $S$ and find a $\theta$ such that the ray $\left\{w: w=f\left(z_{0}\right)+e^{i \theta} t, 0 \leqslant t<\infty\right\}$ is contained in $S$ and such that the ray can be lifted into the Riemann surface corresponding to $T(E)$. Consequently Real $f \rightarrow \pm \infty$ on the preimage $\Gamma$ of this ray. So $e^{f} \rightarrow 0$ or $\infty$ on $\Gamma$.

## CALCULUS PROPERTIES OF CLASS A FUNCTIONS

MacLane (2) and Barth and Schneider (2) have investigated the question, "If $f \in A$, then what are sufficient conditions for $f$ ' $\in A$ or $\int_{0}^{Z} f(\xi) d \xi \in A$ ?" The latter have also studied similar conditions for functions in Class $A_{m}$.

Let $J$ denote any domain bounded by a Jordan curve $K$ and lying in $C$. Then $A[J]$ is the set of nonconstant functions $f$ holomorphic in $J$ with asymptotic values at every point of a set of points $S \subset K$ with $S$ dense on $K$. If a is a finite asymptotic value along an arc $\Gamma$ such that $w=$ $f(z)$ maps $\Gamma$ one-to-one onto a linear segment, then we say that this asymptotic value is linearly accessible. The set of linearly accessible
points is denoted by $A_{1}^{*}$.

Lemma 1: Let $f(z)$ be holomorphic in any arbitrary domain $\Delta$ in the complex plane. Suppose $b \neq \infty$ is a boundary point of $\Delta$ and $p_{o}(z), p_{1}(z)$, $\ldots, p_{n-1}(z), q(z)$ are given functions holomorphic in some disk $\Delta_{0}=$ $\left\{|z-b|<r_{0}\right\}$. Let $\Gamma: z=\psi(u), 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1$, be a continuous curve such that $\psi(1)=b$ and $\Gamma-\psi(1) \subset \Delta \cap \Delta_{0}=\Delta^{\prime}$. If $\Gamma$ satisfies the three properties:
(i) $\Gamma_{s}: z=\psi(u), 0 \leqslant u \leqslant s$, is rectifiable for any $s<1$,
(ii) the function $\phi(z) \equiv f^{(n)}(z)+\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} p_{m}(z) f^{(m)}(z)+q(z)$ for $z \in \Delta^{\prime}$ satisfies $\phi(\psi(\mathrm{u})) \rightarrow \lambda \neq \infty$ as $u \uparrow 1$,
(iii) either (a) $\Gamma$ is rectifiable or (b) $\phi_{1}(\psi(u))=\phi(\psi(u))-q(\psi(u))$
is of bounded variation on $[0,1]$,
then f has a finite asymptotic value on $\Gamma$. (MacLane, 2, Lemma, p.273)

Proof: Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{(n)}(z)+\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} p_{m}(z) w^{(m)}(z)=\phi(z)-q(z) \quad \text { for } z \in \Delta^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is the function defined in (ii). Then $f(z)$ is a solution in $\Delta^{\prime}$. Let $\Delta^{*}$ denote the component of $\Delta^{\prime}$ which contains $\Gamma-\psi(1)$ where $\psi(1)$ is understood to be $\lambda$. Let $g_{1}(z), \ldots, g_{n}(z)$ be a set of linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous differential equation associated with Equation (2). The functions $g_{i}$ are holomorphic in $\Delta_{0}$. By variation of parameters the solution of Equation (2) is given by

$$
f(z)=\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left\{\alpha_{m}+\int_{a}^{z} h_{m}(t)[\phi(t)-q(t)] d t\right\} g_{m}(z) \quad \text { for } z \in \Delta^{*}
$$

where $a={ }_{\psi}(0)$ and $\alpha_{m}$ are constants. $h_{m}$ are functions holomorphic in $\Delta_{0}$.

In Case (iii) (a), the integrand is continuous on $\Gamma$ and

$$
\beta_{m}=\int_{a}^{z} h_{m}(t)[\phi(t)-q(t)] d t=\int_{a}^{z} h_{m}(t) \phi_{1}(t) d t
$$

has the finite asymptotic value

$$
\beta_{m_{1}}=\int_{0}^{1} h_{m}(\psi(u))[\phi(\psi(u))-q(\psi(u))] d \psi(u) .
$$

In Case (iii) (b), let $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{z})$ in $\Delta_{\mathrm{o}}$. Then

$$
\xi_{m}=\int_{a}^{z} \phi_{1}(t) d H_{m}(t)=\phi_{1}(z) H_{m}(z)-\phi_{1}(a) H_{m}(a)-\int_{a}^{z} H_{m}(t) d \phi_{1}(t) .
$$

Each of the first two terms has a finite asymptotic value on $\Gamma$. Also

$$
\int_{a}^{\psi(s)} H_{m} d \Phi_{1}=\int_{0}^{s} H_{m}(\psi(u)) d \Phi_{1}(\psi(u)) \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} H_{m}(\psi(u)) d \phi_{1}(\psi(u))
$$

because $H_{m}(\psi(u))$ is continuous on $[0,1]$ and $\Phi_{1}(\psi(u))$ is continuous and of bounded variation on $[0,1]$.

Theorem 19: Suppose $f(z)$ is holomorphic and nonconstant in D. Let $\Delta *=\{|z-1|<r\}, n$ be a positive integer and $p_{o}(z), \ldots, p_{n-1}(z), q(z)$ be holomorphic functions in $\Delta^{*}$. Let $\Delta=\Delta * \cap D$ and

$$
\phi(z)=f^{(n)}(z)+\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} p_{m}(z) f^{(m)}(z)+q(z) \quad \text { for } z \in \Delta
$$

If $\phi \in A[\Delta]$ and there exists a finite constant $c$ such that $\phi_{1}(z)=\phi(z)-$ $q(z) \neq c$, then $f \in A[\Delta]$ and $A *(f)$, the set of finite asymptotic values, is dense on $\Delta^{*} \cap C . \quad$ (MacLane, 2, Theorem 1, p.275)

Proof: Since $\Delta^{*}$ can be replaced by a smaller disk contained in $\Delta *$ with its center on $C$, it is sufficient to show that $f$ possesses a finite asymptotic value at one point on $\Delta^{*} \cap C$.

Let $E$ denote the subset of points $\zeta$ on $C$ such that for each point $\zeta$ there exists a neighborhood $U_{\zeta}=\{|z-\zeta|<r\} \cap D$ and a Jordan arc $J_{\zeta}$ such that $\phi(z)$ maps $U_{\zeta}$ into the complement of $J_{\zeta}$. In a similar manner $E_{1}$ is defined using $\phi_{1}=\phi(t)-q(t)$ instead of $\phi$. We set $E_{2}=E \cup E_{1}$.

Suppose $\Delta^{*} \cap \mathrm{C}$ contains points of $\mathrm{E}_{2}$. By shrinking $\Delta^{*}$ we may assume $\Delta^{*} \cap \mathrm{C}$ is contained in $\mathrm{E}_{2}$. From a simple generalization of Fatou (1) both $\phi$ and $\phi_{1}$ have finite angular limits almost everywhere since $\phi(z)-\Phi_{1}(z)=q(z)$ has angular limits almost everywhere. Using the notation in the proof of Lemma 1 , we see that $\beta_{m}$ has finite angular limits almost everywhere. So $f$ also has finite angular limits almost everywhere on $\Delta * \cap C$. From a theorem of Privalow (1, p.210) the asymptotic values assumed by $f(z)$ on any interval $\Delta^{*} \cap C$ contained in $E_{2}$ form a set containing a closed set of positive harmonic measure. Consequently this set must be infinite. If $E_{2}$ is dense on $\Delta * \cap C$, we are finished. So we now assume that $\Delta^{*} \cap \mathrm{C}$ is contained in the complement of $\mathrm{E}_{2}$. According to MacLane (1, Theorem 7, p.19) each asymptotic tract of $\phi_{1}$ must end at a single point because $\phi_{1}$ omits the value $c$. Suppose that the asymptotic values of $\phi_{1}$ are bounded by a finite constant M . We choose two distinct points $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ on $\Delta * \cap C$ at which $\phi_{1}$ has asymptotic values and join $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ by a curve $\Gamma \subset \triangle$ which is an asymptotic path at both $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$. Then $\phi_{1}$ is bounded on $\Gamma$ and we denote the bound by B. Let $G$ be the domain bounded by $\Gamma$ and part of $\Delta * \cap C$. If $\phi_{1}$ is bounded in $G$, then the $\operatorname{arc} \zeta_{1} \zeta_{2}$ is contained in $E_{2}$, a contradiction. So we pick a value $w_{0}$ such that $w_{o}=\phi_{1}\left(z_{o}\right)$ for some $z_{o} \in G$ and $\left|w_{o}\right|>$ $\max (B, M)$. Then by the lifting argument of MacLane (1, p.13, Section 2), $\Phi_{1}$ has an asymptotic value a at some boundary point of $G$ on $C$ satisfying the condition $\infty \geqslant a>\left|w_{o}\right|>M$, a contradiction. Therefore, we now assume
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that $\phi_{1}$ has two asymptotic values along $\Gamma$, whose magnitudes are greater than $2|c|$, where $c$ is the constant defined above. So $\left|\phi_{1}-c\right| \geqslant \delta>0$ on I. Since $\phi_{1}$ omits fewer values in $G$ than a Jordan arc, there exists a $z_{1} \in G$ such that $\left|\phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)-c\right|<\delta$. By the lifting argument of MacLane ( 1 , p.13, Section 2), there exists $\Gamma_{1} \subset G$ ending at a point of $\Delta * \cap C$ such that $\phi_{1}(z)$ maps $\Gamma_{1}$ one-to-one onto a linear segment. By Case (iii) (b) of Lemma 1 , f has a finite asymptotic value on $\Gamma_{1}$.

Special cases of Theorem 19 show that for any positive integer $n$ if $f^{(n)} \in A$ and $f^{(n)} \neq c$, then $f \in A$ and $A *(f)$ is dense on $C$.

Theorem 20: Let $f \in A$ and $f(z) \neq c$, where $c$ is some finite constant. Then $A_{1}^{*}$ is dense on C. (MacLane, 2, Theorem 5, p.278)

Proof: We may assume without loss of generality that $c=0$. Let $\gamma$ be an arbitrary arc of $C$. First we suppose that there is an interior point of $\gamma$ such that $\lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} \inf |f(z)|=0$. From MacLane (1, Theorem 11 and its corollary, pp. 25 - 28) we can find a crosscut $\Gamma$ of $C$ from $\zeta_{1} \in \gamma$ to $\zeta_{2} \in \gamma$ with the properties that $f$ has nonzero asymptotic values on $\Gamma$ at both $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ and $\zeta$ is in the open $\operatorname{arc}$ from $\zeta_{1}$ to $\zeta_{2}$. Let $\Delta$ be the domain bounded by $\Gamma$ and the open arc from $\zeta_{1}$ to $\zeta_{2} .|f(z)| \geqslant m>0$ on $\Gamma$. We pick a point $z_{o} \in \Delta$ such that $\left|f\left(z_{o}\right)\right|<m$. By the lifting argument of MacLane (1, p.13, Section 2), the ray $\left[f\left(z_{o}\right), 0\right)$ produces a linear asymptotic value at a point in the arc joining $\zeta_{1}$ to $\zeta_{2}$.

If there is no interior point $\zeta$ of $\gamma$ such that $\lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} i n f|f(z)|=0$, then by shortening $\gamma$ if necessary we can find a neighborhood $U$ of $\gamma$ such that $|f(z)| \geqslant m>0$ for all $z \in U$. By the Riesz-Riesz Theorem $f(z)$ has
finite asymptotic values on a dense set of points of $\gamma$. So there exists a crosscut $\Gamma_{1}$ of $U$ with the properties that $\Gamma_{1}$ ends at distinct points $\zeta_{3}$ and $\zeta_{4}$ of $\gamma, f$ has finite asymptotic values on $\Gamma_{1}$ at both ends, and the image of $\Gamma_{1}$ by $w=f(z)$ is a polygonal curve $P$ on the Riemann surface onto which $f$ maps $U$. If the curve has only a finite number of sides, we are finished. So we assume $P$ has an infinite number of sides in both directions. Let $P *$ denote the projection of $P$ in the w-plane.

Suppose that every neighborhood N in the w-plane contains a subset $S_{N}$ with the power of the continuum such that each point in $S_{N}$ is the image of only a finite number of points in D. Let a be a finite asymptotic value of $f$ at an interior point of $\gamma$. By choosing $\in$ small enough the set $\{z \in D:|f(z)-a|<\epsilon\}=D(\epsilon, a)$ is bounded by curves in $D$ on which f takes values on a square with center at a and sides of length $\epsilon$ (denoted by $Q(\epsilon, a)$ ) and interior points of $\gamma$. We choose $0<\epsilon_{1}<\epsilon$ so that a point $w \in S_{Q(\epsilon, a)}$ lies on the boundary of $Q\left(\epsilon_{1}, a\right)$. Let $w=f(z)$ map $D$ onto the Riemann surface over the w-plane, and let $\Delta\left(\epsilon_{1}\right.$,a) denote the lifting of $D\left(\epsilon_{1}, a\right)$. The part of the boundary of $\Delta\left(\epsilon_{1}\right.$,a) which lies over $Q\left(\epsilon_{1}, a\right)$ can contain no closed curves because otherwise $D\left(\epsilon_{1}, a\right)$ would be relatively compact in D. Thus each boundary component over $Q\left(\epsilon_{1}, a\right)$ will be an open polygonal arc containing only a finite number of segments since $w$ is on the boundary of $Q\left(\epsilon_{1}, a\right)$. The last segment of any such polygon produces a linearly accessible asymptotic value of $f$ at some interior point of $\gamma$.

Now we assume that there exists a value $w_{0}$ which $f(z)$ assumes infinitely many times in $\Delta$ and that $w_{0}$ is not an asymptotic value of $\zeta_{3}$ or $\zeta_{4}$. We choose any ray $R$ from $w_{0}$ to a point $w_{1}=m e^{i \alpha}$ where $\alpha$ is picked so that $R$ is a positive distance from the asymptotic values of $\zeta_{3}$ and
$\zeta_{4}$, $R$ is not parallel to $\mathrm{P}^{*}$, and there are no branch points of the Riemann surface over $R$. We consider all the liftings of $R$ into the Riemann surface starting at each of the infinitely many points over $w_{0}$. The liftings will be unique because $R$ does not contain the projection of any branch points. Some of the liftings may stop at points of P giving asymptotic values at points of $\Gamma_{1}$, but two distinct liftings cannot stop at the same point of $P$. Since $R$ is a positive distance from the asymptotic values of $\zeta_{3}$ and $\zeta_{4}$, R intersects $P *$ in only a finite number of points. So this lifting process gives a countably infinite number of finite asymptotic values with at most a finite number corresponding to points of $\Gamma_{1}$.

The theorem of McMillan and Pommerenke (Theorem 37, Chapter I) generalizes some of the results of MacLane (2) since any function $f \in A$ for which $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ is also a meromorphic locally univalent function without Koebe arcs. For example if $f(z)$ is meromorphic locally univalent without Koebe arcs and a is a finite asymptotic value of $f$ at $\zeta \in C$, then either a is a linearly accessible asymptotic value in the tract $\{D(\epsilon)$, a\} or there is an infinite sequence of numerically distinct linear asymptotic values $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ occurring at a point $\zeta_{n} \in C$ such that $a_{n} \rightarrow a$ and $\zeta_{n} \rightarrow \zeta$. Another result is that if $f^{\prime} \in A$ and $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$, then $f$ possesses at least three numerically distinct asymptotic values since $f \in A$ by Theorem 19 .

For $n \geqslant 1$, any function $f$ meromorphic in $D$ and any $z \in D$, we define the "nth integral of $f$ " as

$$
F^{(-n)}(z)=\int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{\xi_{1}} \int_{0}^{\xi_{2}} \cdots \int_{0}^{\xi_{n-1}} f\left(\xi_{n}\right) d \xi_{n} d \xi_{n-1} \ldots d \xi_{1}
$$

where the $\xi_{i}$ 's are dummy variables. In order to eliminate the statement,
"If $f^{\prime}(z)$ is nonconstant," in our theorems, we define the Class $\underline{A}^{*}$ to be the union of all functions in Class $A$ and the constant functions.

Theorem 21: If $f$ is holomorphic in $D$ and satisfies the integral part of Condition (ii) of Theorem 7, then $f^{\prime} \in A^{*}$. (Barth and Schneider, 2, Theorem 1, p.4)

Proof: First suppose $f(0)=\alpha \neq 0$. Using the notation

$$
m(r, f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log +\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta
$$

we have

$$
m\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)=m\left(r, f \cdot\left(f^{\prime} / f\right)\right) \leqslant m(r, f)+m\left(r, f^{\prime} / f\right)
$$

According to the "logarithmic derivative lemma" of Nevanlinna theory (Hayman, 1, p.36)

$$
m(r, f y f)<4 \log ^{+} m(R, f)+4 \log ^{+}\left(\log ^{+} \frac{1}{|f(0)|}\right)+5 \log ^{+} R+6 \log ^{+} \frac{1}{R-r}+\log ^{+} \frac{1}{r}+14
$$

where $0<r<R<1$. Suppose $r>1 / 2$ and let $R=(r+1) / 2$. Then

$$
m\left(r, f^{\prime} / f\right)<4 \log ^{+} m((r+1) / 2, f)+6 \log ^{+}(2 /(1-r))+k
$$

where $K$ is a constant that depends on $\alpha$, but not on $f$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) m\left(r, f^{\prime}\right) d r \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}(1-r) m(r, f) d r & +\int_{0}^{1}(1-r)\left[610{ }^{+} \frac{2}{1-r}+K\right] d r \\
& +4 \int_{0}^{1} 2[1-(r+1) / 2] \log ^{+} m\left(\frac{1}{2}(r+1), f\right) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the hypotheses of this theorem, all the integrals on the right hand side of the last inequality are finite. Consequently f' satisfies the integral part of Condition (ii) of Theorem 7. So $f^{\prime} \in A$. .

Actually the previous proof demonstrates that if $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are
nonconstant and $f$ satisfies Condition (ii) of Theorem 7, then $f^{\prime}$ satisfies it also. So we have the following Corollary.

Corollary a: If $f$ is holomorphic in $D$ and satisfies Condition (ii) of Theorem 7, then $f^{(n)}(z) \in A^{*}$ for all $n \geqslant 0$. (Barth and Schneider, 2, Corollary 1, p.6)

Corollary b: If $f$ is holomorphic and normal in $D$, then $f^{(n)}(z) \in A^{*}$ for $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 0$. (Barth and Schneider, 2, Coro11ary 2, p.6)

Proof: According to MacLane (1, p.44) if $f$ is holomorphic and normal in $D$, then $m(r, f) \leqslant C_{1} \log (1 /(1-r))+C_{2}$ where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are constants. Hence f satisfies Condition (ii) of Theorem 7 .

Theorem 22: If $f$ is holomorphic in $D$ and satisfies

$$
(1-r) \log (1 /(1-r)) m(r, f) d r<\infty,
$$

then $f$ and $F^{(-1)}(z) \in A^{*}$. (Barth and Schneider, 2, Theorem 2, p.7)

Proof: Since the integral condition in this theorem's hypothesis is stronger than the integral part of Theorem 7 's Condition (ii), $f \in A^{*}$. In order to establish that $\mathrm{F}^{(-1)}(\mathrm{z}) \in \mathrm{A}$, we will use the theorem of Hayman (2, Theorem 2) which states that if $F(z)$ is holomorphic in $|z|<R$, $f(z)=F^{\prime}(z)$ has bounded characteristic and $F(0)=0$, then for $0<r<R$

$$
m(r, F) \leqslant\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{R+r}{R-r}\right) m(R, f) .
$$

Let $R=(r+1) / 2$ where $0<r<1$. Since $f(z)$ is bounded in
$|z|<(r+1) / 2$ we can use the theorem of Hayman to obtain the inequality

$$
m\left(r, F^{(-1)}\right) \leqslant(1+(1 / \pi)) \log (4 /(1-r)) m((r+1) / 2, f)
$$

## Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) m\left(r, F^{(-1)}\right) d r & \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}(1-r) m((r+1) / 2, f) d r \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} 2[1-(r+1) / 2]\left(\log _{2[1-(r+1) / 2]}^{2}\right) m((r+1) / 2, f) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the hypotheses of this theorem, both of the above integrals of the right hand side of the inequality are finite. Consequently $\mathrm{F}^{(-1)}$ satisfies the integral part of Condition (ii) of Theorem 7. So $F^{(-1)} \in A^{*}$.

Theorem 21 and 22 may be generalized to meromorphic functions for which the Nevanlinna counting function $N(r, f)=O(1)$. Let $A_{m}^{*}$ denote the union of the functions of Class $A_{m}$ and the constant functions.

Theorem 23: If $f$ is meromorphic in $D, N(r, f)=0(1)$, and $\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) T(r, f) d r$ $<\infty$, where $T(r, f)$ is the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f$, then $f^{\prime} \in A_{m}^{*}$. (Barth and Schneider, 2, Theorem 4, p.11)

Since $T(r, f)=m(r, f)+O(1)$ and $T\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)=m\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)+O(1)$, this proof is completely analogous to that of Theorem 21.

Theorem 24: If $f$ and $F^{(-1)}$ are meromorphic in $D, N(r, f)=0(1)$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-r) \log (1 /(1-r)) T(r, f) d r<\infty
$$

then $f$ and $F^{-1}(z) \in A_{m}^{*}$. (Barth and Schneider, 2, Theorem 5, p.12)

The proof of this theorem is quite similar to that of Theorem 22 where the meromorphic form of Hayman's Theorem (2, Theorem 2) replaces the holomorphic one.
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