

THESIS



This is to certify that the

thesis entitled

COMPUTER VOICE IDENTIFICATION METHOD BY USING INTENSITY DEVIATION SPECTRA AND FUNDAMENTAL FREQUENCY CONTOUR

presented by

Hirotaka Nakasone

has been accepted towards fulfillment of the requirements for

<u>Ph.D.</u> degree in <u>Audiology</u> and Speech Sciences

lajor professor

Date_November 8, 1983

MSU is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Institution

0-7639



<u>RETURNING MATERIALS:</u> Place in book drop to remove this checkout from your record. <u>FINES</u> will be charged if book is returned after the date stamped below.

ROOM USE ONLY

DO NOT CIRCULATE

COMPUTER VOICE IDENTIFICATION METHOD BY USING INTENSITY DEVIATION SPECTRA AND FUNDAMENTAL FREQUENCY CONTOUR

By

Hirotaka Nakasone

A DISSERTATION

Submitted to Michigan State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Audiology and Speech Sciences



1

i

Copyright by Hirotaka Nakasone 1984

. *****

ABSTRACT

COMPUTER VOICE IDENTIFICATION METHOD BY USING INTENSITY DEVIATION SPECTRA AND FUNDAMENTAL FREQUENCY CONTOUR

by

Hirotaka Nakasone

The major purpose of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of several speech parameters in eliminating the influence of the transmission and recording channels of unknown response characteristics. These speech parameters were tested for their effectiveness in text-independent voice identification by computer.

Text-independent speech samples were recorded from 10 male speakers randomly selected from a population of the native speakers of Midwest American-English dialect, each serving as unknown speaker and also known speaker. Recording was made simultaneously by three different transmission and recording devices. From these speech data, the following parameters were extracted to represent the unknown and the known speakers: 1) intensity deviation spectrum (IDS), 2) a set of fundamental frequency related measurements (FFC), 3) long-term averaged spectrum (LTAS), and 4) choral spectrum (SPT). The principal algorithm utilized for the measurement of the parameters 1), 3), and 4) was the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT); and for parameter 2), the interactive peak picking technique was employed. All speech parameters were subjected to pre-processing in order to select optimum features from each parameter by using the hierarchical clustering, F-ratio, and data standardization. Distance between the speakers was measured by Euclidian distace. The decision rules employed were the nearest-neighbor rule and the minimum set distance rule. The rate of correct identification served as the criterion to determine the effectiveness of each parameter in elimination of the influence of the response characteristics.

From the results of this study, the following general conclusions were drawn: Both IDS and FFC were found to be effective in eliminating the influence of the transmission and/or recording channels, but their correct identification rates were only moderate (50-60%.) The composite parameter of FFC and IDS was found to be effective in eliminating the influence of the response characteristics although, the correct identification rate was not improved, i_{e} , it was as good as each component of that composite parameter. The composite of FFC and LTAS was found to be the most effective parameter in eliminating the influence of the transmission highest possible system achieving the correct by identification rate (100%.)

Dedicated to My mother, Haru Nakasone dis mos men he to Dr St Ma pr Di a

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am deeply indebted to Dr. Oscar Tosi, my professor and dissertation director, whose guidance, encouragement, efforts, and most of all patience, made the completion of this study possible.

I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to Committee members, Dr. Leo V. Deal and Dr. Paul A. Cooke, for their helpful suggestions, corrections, and constructive criticisms toward the completion of this study. I also would like to thank Dr. Jose-Luis Menaldi of the Department of Mathematics, Wayne State University, who also served as a Committee member, for his mathematical assistance and critical review of the computer programms developed for this study.

Special acknowledgments are due Dr. Richard C. Dubes, Department of Computer Science, who kindly made the graphic plotter available, and Dr. Ernest J. Moore, Chairman of the Department of Audiology and Speech Sciences, for his interest and valuable suggestions during the final oral defense meeting.

My warmest gratitude is expressed to Ms. Nancy Brown of the State Bar of Michigan who proofread my draft, Dr. Christina Jackson-Menaldi for her companionship and her enthusiasm about this study, and Dr. Paul N. Deputy of Idaho State University, who first introduced me to this exciting field of Speech Science during the early stages of my graduate program.

Special recognition is noted to a number of individuals who provided their voice samples for this study. I am very grateful

iv

for their

Fina

who gave

in typin

final ve

for their cooperation.

Finally, but certainly not the least, I thank my wife, Aiko, who gave me her unconditional support and most qualified assistance in typing and retyping the numerous rough drafts, as well as the final version.

LIST OF

LIST OF

CHAPTER

1. 1

11. 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pa	ge
LIST OF TABLES	11
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
CHAPTER	
I. INTRODUCTION	1
Statement of the Problem Purpose of the Study Significance of the Study Limitation of the Study Background in Selecting Speech Parameters Literature Review Definition of the Terminology Organization of the Study	7 10 14 15 16 18 28 32
II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	33
List of Equipment Recording of Phonetic Materials Speakers and Phonetic Materials Recording Setting Arrangement of Speech Data Pre-processing of Speech Data Digitization Pause Elimination IDS (intensity deviation spectrum) LTAS (long-term averaged spectrum) FFC (fundamental frequency contour)	33 34 35 37 39 39
SPT (choral spectrum) Optimization of Features	6 0 0 2

CHAPT IV REFE APPEI APPEI APPE APPE APPE APPE APPE APPE

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

HAPTER Pag	je
111. RESULTS	16
IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	99
Discussions	99 00 02 03 09 00 10
EFERENCES 11	4
PPENDIX A A SAMPLE TEXT EXCERPT 11	8
PPENDIX B RESPONSE CHARACTERISTICS OF TEAC TAPE RECORDER AND BRUEL & KJAER MICROPHONE11	19
PPENDIX C SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS FROM PAUSE ELIMINATION 12	20
PPENDIX D COMPLETE-LINK DENDROGRAMS OF IDS AND LTAS FEATURES12	21
PPENDIX E RAW DATA OF FFC FEATURES 12	27
PPENDIX F A SAMPLE OUTPUT: PARTIAL COMPUTER PRINTOUT OF VOICE IDENTIFICATION	30
PPENDIX G VOICE IDENTIFICATION RESULTS: OPERATIONS 1 THROUGH 24	31
PPENDIX H LIST OF FORTRAN SOFTWARE 15	55

vii

 Tables

 1. Rc

 2. 0

 ()

 3. 0

 ()

 4. N

 5. S

 v

 6. S

LIST OF TABLES

a	h	1	e	c	
a	υ		е	2	

1.	Results of the feature clusterings and corresponding F-ratios of (a) IDS and (b) LTAS	60
2.	Optimum features selected for IDS parameter (as denoted by circles in Table 1(a))	65
3.	Optimum features for LTAS parameters (as deoted by circles in Table 1(b))	66
4.	Nine features and F-ratios of the FFC	69
5.	Summary of the results of the cross-transmission voice identification operations.	77
6.	Summary of the results of 24 voice identification operations	79

LIST OF FIGURES

Figur	e	Page
1.	Response curve of a transmitting and recording system including a commercial telephone line and a magnetic pick-up attached at the receiver end of the line	. 8
2.	A diagram showing equipment used for three simultaneous transmission and recording systems	36
3.	Sample arrangements of text-independent phonetic materials from two speakers	38
4.	A graphic illustration of pause elimination procedure	42
5.	Two sound spectrograms showing examples of an input speech and a resulting output speech after pause elimination	43
6.	Computer plottings of three IDS's generated from the text-independent speech data of a speaker	45
7.	Computer plottings of three LTAS's generated from the text-independent speech data of a speaker	48
8.	Photographs of the CRT displaying the interactive peak detecting procedures	50
9.	lllustration of Fo, Fo, and Ao by using three consecutive peaks in a simplified short speech segment	54
10.	A plotting of a sample choral spectrum based on a ll second long speech taken from a speaker	55
11.	A diagram illustrating the nearest-neighbor decision rule	73
12.	A diagram showing an example of the minimum set distance rule	75
13.	Sammon's projections of 5 known speakers and 5 unknown speakers, all represented by telephone IDS parameter	83
4.	Sammon's projections of 5 known speakers and 5 unknown speakers, all represented by telephone LTAS parameter	88

х

M genera includ method (short record speake upon charact voices judgmer produc examin the u the spi ^{ob}ject decisi examin ^{sub}jec progra identi

~

CHAPTER |

INTRODUCTION

Methods of voice identification can be classified into two general groups: subjective and objective. The subjective methods include aural and spectrographic examination; the objective methods are usually performed by using a computer. Aural methods (short term and long term memory) are performed by listening to the recorded voices of an unknown and a known or by remembering the speaker-dependent features of a voice. These are primarily based upon perceptual extraction of the speaker-dependent speech characteristics. The final decision regarding the identity of oices is made by the human examiner based upon his subjective. udgment. With the spectrographic methods, the sound spectrograms produced from speech samples under study are examined. The xaminer compares the acoustical characteristics of the known and he unknown voices displayed on the three dimensional plottings of he spectrograms (frequency, intensity, and time). In spite of the bjective means of displaying the speech parameters, the final ecision still belongs to the subjective judgment of the human (aminer. Hence, both aural and spectrographic methods are called bjective methods (Tosi, 1979). When a computer is properly ogrammed with a set of algorithms, the results concerning entification of the voices are reproducible -- when similar types

of dat expecte commonl recogni Th name determi samples speaker voice i Ac sa be op te sa wh In sai Un sa th se Un sa ١n includer equival. 'examini '^{voice} in specifi of an u ^{speaker} data are submitted to the same procedure, the same output is cted. Hence, computer method is considered to be objective, only referred to as automatic or semi-automatic speaker gnition.

The term 'voice identification' has been applied as a generic which encompasses various aspects in the process of mining the identity of an unknown speaker, given his/her voice es and voice exemplars collected from one or more known ers. To be more specific, Tosi (1979) classifies tasks of identification as follows:

According to the composition of unknown and known voice samples, tests of voice identification or elimination can be classified into three groups: discrimination tests, open tests, and closed tests. In the discrimination tests the examiner is provided with one unknown voice sample and one known voice sample. He has to decide whether or not both samples belong to the same talker.... In the open tests the examiner is given one unknown voice sample and several known samles. He is told that the unknown sample may or may not be found among the known samples.... In the closed tests of voice identification the examiner is also given one unknown voice sample and several known voice samples but he is told that the Inknown voice sample is also included in the known voice amples....(pp. 4-5).

n this study, since in all tests the unknown voice was always ed within the known voices, the task is considered to be lent to the 'closed test' quoted above, excepting that the ner' is being replaced by a 'computer'. Hence, the term identification' as used in this study covers only this ic task which is described as follows: Given voice samples inknown and a group of knowns, the task is to select a whose voice sample is the closest to that of the unknown.

ha (
De 1
meas
spee
stor
are
such
feat
the
empl
non
obta
Wher
inte
(day
of v
than
COnc
SDe:
the
-110
COM
samp
com
The

The standard procedure of voice identification by computer can broadly divided into three major stages: data collection, urement, and identification processing. In the first stage, ch samples from a given group of speakers are recorded and ed. In the second stage, speech parameters (characteristics) measured. This stage includes a series of pre-processings, as filtering, deletion of pauses and gaps, extraction of ures, and statistical processing for feature optimization. In hird stage, the identification operation is performed by ying appropriate decision rules or criteria.

Speech samples collected can be either 'contemporary' or ontemporary' (Tosi, 1979). Contemporary speech samples are ned from each speaker during the same recording session, as noncontemporary speech samples are recorded over some time vals depending upon the scope of the researcher's interest weeks, months, or years). It has been noted that the task 'ce identification is easier with the contemporary samples with the noncontemporary samples.

nother aspect involved during the data collection stage is ned with the type of the phonetic content spoken by the rs: 'text-dependence' vs. 'text-independence'. When all peech samples of the speakers are the same in context, it is ly referred to as 'text-dependence'. In this case the speech s of the speakers under an identification process are ed word by word, phrase by phrase, or sentence by sentence.

can be u of this many r commerci ١n speakers text-ind duration who use (1974) sentence them in of textidentifi repor ted seconds rate. | differen ^{when} the influenc ^{domi}nate duration identifi '^{text-in} ^{cons}iste ^{speak}ers be utilized in every method of voice identification. Because his advantage, this type of text has been rigorously studied by researchers, but mainly directed toward industrial or

ercial applications.

In 'text-independence', all the speech samples spoken by the kers are different in phonetic content. The duration of the -independent materials must be relatively long, and the minimum tion appears to vary in length depending upon the researchers use the term 'text-independence' somewhat differently. Ata] +) generated the text-independent speech sample from a single ence by cutting it into 40 equal segments and later recombining in random order. He reported that the minimum of two seconds ext-independent speech sample resulted in a high correct ification rate. Bunge (1978) and Furui et al. (1972)ted the minimum duration of a close agreement of 11 and 10 ds is required for a sufficiently high correct identification In Bunge's study, 41 male and 9 female speakers produced 50 rent texts, each text lasting 11 seconds. It was found that the text length was decreased to below 11 seconds, the ence of the text became increasingly obvious and finally ated the speakers identity. He concluded that an ll-second ion was a limit for text-independence for a high correct fication rate. Markel and Davis (1979) defined the term indepenent' a little more stringently. Their speech data ted of the extemporaneous speech material from 17 male rs, each speaker recorded in five interview sessions at one

week i from 1 speech 39-seco high d scope (speech languag Each s from bo by one text-ir promis Sp acoust speech which a element air pr stream ^{or} turb and plo ^{tak}ing Vocal † ^{cont}air ^{speaker} ek intervals. They attempted to make the speech samples free om linguistic constraint and further free from the manner of sech production. With this type of text-independent materials, a second text length (containing only voiced frames) resulted in sh correct identification. Tosi *et al.* (1979) extended the ope of text-independence to different languages. In their study tech samples were obtained from 20 speakers who could speak three guages (Piamontes, Italian, and French) with equal fluency. h speaker was recorded while reading a 10-minute long passage m books and newspapers in three sessions, each session separated one week, and concluded that automatic voice identification with t-independent speech materials of different languages is mising.

Speech samples so collected are then processed for extracting ustic speech parameters to represent the speakers. Acoustic sch parameters are the measurements derived from speech signals in are considered to consist of three major elements. The first ent is the energy source coming out of the lungs as a stream of pressure. The second element is a modulation of this air am into vibratory motions (for voicing) set by the vocal cords urbulence of air in a constriction of the vocal tract (friction plosive). The third element involves resonance phenomena ng place as the modulated air pressure traverses through the 1 tract (pharynx, oral and nasal cavities). In each element ained is information of the linguistic contents as well as the ker characteristics.



The first element carries variation of overall speech sity as a function of time. The second element determines the mental frequency and its harmonics of voiced phonemes giving to perceptual pitch of the speaker. The third element is fered to be the most important because resonance gives a cular shape or envelope to the spectrum of the speech sound which includes both a phonetic content and the individual teristics of each speaker (Tosi, 1979).

peech characteristics (parameters) used in voice fication by a computer are generally extracted from one or a ation of these acoustic elements. Often used parameters are term spectra, long-term spectra, formants, fundamental ney, and other variations statistically derived from these parameters. Usually, a certain number of features are selected from the parameter and these features represent speaker in a multidimensional space. In general, the ication process is based on the distance measured between a features of the unknown speaker and that of the known s.

e basic implicit assumption for voice identification is that ker can be distinguished by his/her speech signals and that riation of speech characteristics within an individual differs from that of the other speakers. The former on is commonly referred to as the 'intra-speaker lity' and the latter is referred to as the 'inter-speaker lity'. The sources of the intra-speaker variability can be



ributed to different emotional status, various manners of speech duction demanded by different circumstances, and small siological changes in articulatory apparatus of the same person an interval of time. On the other hand, the sources of the er-speaker variability are the different vocal tract igurations, physiological characteristics of the vocal cords, idiosyncratic speaking habits of different speakers, etc.

ement of the Problem

There are several sources which could interact with the ess of voice identification by a computer or by any other od. These are distortion of speech characteristics of an vidual due to the unknown response curve of the transmission or recording devices, various types of noise which deteriorate intelligibility of speech samples and intentional self ration of voice either to disguise the identity or to isonate another person. In addition, differences in phonetic int and duration of the speech utterances of the speaker under interact with the procedures of voice identification. On ent occasions, the phonetic content spoken by the unknown er can be different from the one spoken by the known speaker. condition calls for so-called 'text-independent' voice ification, which usually requires speech samples of relatively duration obtained from each speaker.

This study focuses on the problem caused by the influence of transmission and recording devices by using the

text-in		
Te		
variabl		
junctio		
conditio		
real li		
the un		
call, wi		
moment		
for late		
response		
distort		



Figure incl atta 1979
-independent and contemporary phonetic materials.

Telephone transmission contains in itself several sources of ables such as the carbon microphone, number of connecting tions, line distance, and carrier systems. Under this ition, the response characteristics of the telephone line, in a life setting, cannot be determined. This is largely due to uncertainty of the telephone line involved in each telephone which is random, according to the existing traffic at the it of the call. Ordinarily the speech signals must be stored ater processing in a recording medium which also has its own nse characteristics. An example of this type of combined rtion in response characteristics is given in Figure 1.



 Response curve of a transmitting and recording system, luding a commercial telephone line and a magnetic pick-up ached at the receiver end of the line (taken from Tosi, 9).



In many cases, speech samples of the unknown speaker ansmitted and recorded through the system which has the racteristics as shown in Figure 1 are compared with speech ples of the known speakers transmitted and recorded through a ventional microphone-to-tape recorder which has relatively flat ponse characteristics (linear). In such a case, the magnitude the distortion present in two entirely different transmission recording systems may be even more serious than the case where y one type of the transmission system is being used. It seems preclude any reasonable effort to eliminate this type of

tortion made up of the unknown sources of variables. One earcher (Tosi, 1979) being pessimistic about this phenomenon, posed a very interesting idea as a possible solution:

....elimination of perturbing telephone influence could consist of including a 'standard' burst sound at the beginning of every telephone communication. Because the real spectrum of such a 'standard' sound would be known, the transfer function (response characteristics) of the telephone line could then be easily computed. (p. 55)

ate, unfortunately, this idea has not yet been realized.

Other alternative methods to eliminate the influence of the uency distortion are 'normalization' procedures on the speech meters extracted (Atal, 1978; Furui, 1981a; Bunge, 1978; Tosi Nakasone, 1980) and selection of the appropriate speech meters which are considered to be inherently resistive to the mency response characteristics (Atal, 1972; Markel *et al*, Hunt *et al*, 1977). Since these alternative methods are mented in this study, details will be discussed in a later



ter.

ose of the Study

The major purpose of this study was to investigate the ctiveness of several speech parameters in eliminating the uence of the transmission and recording devices of the ined response characteristics. These speech parameters were ad in text-independent voice identification by a computer.

10

It is known that a speaker can be recognized by his/her voice when the content of the text spoken is different -independent). Among many conditions necessary for a high of success, two factors are essential: 1) The speakers are rative (no disguise/mimicry, small variation in voice cteristics from one recording session to another, etc) and 2) ame transmission recording device is used for acquisition of ne unknown and known voices.

n the present study, one of these two factors was under of, *i.e.*, all the speakers were cooperative, rendering clean elatively uniform texts. Each speaker read an excerpt ibed for him and recorded in a single recording session, thus zing possible variation in his speech due to a time interval n sessions. The other factor was intentionally varied, *i.e.*, samples were collected through different transmission and ing devices. An annoying outcome of the latter procedure is vo identical speech samples of one speaker (one sample being ied by one transmission and recording device, another sample bein resu iden tran reco prob tran this char appl spec fund spee appl 3). succ proc two H), unkr char com (de rev fun ing collected by another transmission and recording device) may sult in different spectral shapes and consequently yield a false entification. This type of problem can be easily solved if the ansfer functions of the transmission systems involved in the cording are well-defined. But the prime obstacle in solving this oblem is that in most cases the true transfer function of the unsmission recording channels is not known.

The present study focused on alternative approaches to solve s problem of eliminating the influence of the undefined response racteristics upon the speech samples. These approaches were 1) lication of the speech parameter IDS (intensity deviation ctra), 2) application of the speech parameter FFC (several damental frequency related measurements), 3) application of the ech parameter LTAS (long-term averaged spectrum), and 4) lication of the composite parameter of 1) and 2) and of 2) and The IDS is a spectrum statistically derived from a set of essive short-term spectra. The prototype of computational edure for IDS prameter was introduced by Bunge (1978). IDS has properties: By definition (details to be discussed in Chapter it cancels the influence of the transmission systems of the own response characteristics, and it represents dynamically ging spectral structures of the speech signals. The FFC is osed of a set of fundamental frequency related measurements ined in this study) derived from a pitch contour. Literature ewed in the field of voice identification indicates that the amental frequency is a sufficiently effective speech parameter

in di respon record I parame pre-pr optimi statis proced PDP 11 Scienc T parame 1.

2.

distinguishing speakers and is relatively insensitive to the onse characteristics of the transmission line used for rding the speech samples.

In order to enhance the 'elimination' effects, all speech meters (except choral spectra) were subjected to a series of processing procedures, such as pause elimination, feature mization by the hierarchical clustering technique and F-ratio stics, and standardization of features. Most of the edures were carried out by Fortran softwares implemented on a 1/40 minicomputer at the Department of Audiology and Speech ces, Michigan State University.

The following assumptions on the performance of each speech eter were set up:

The IDS is sufficiently effective in eliminating the influence of the frequency distortion from the voice samples of the unknown and the known speaker recorded through different transmission and recording systems, and it is equally effective for the voice samples recorded through the same transmission and recording system.

The FFC is sufficiently effective in eliminating the influence of the frequency distortion from the voice samples of the unknown and the known speaker recorded through different transmission and recording systems, and it is equally effective for the voice samples all recorded through the same transmission and recording system.

The LTAS is highly effective in eliminating the influence of

the frequency distortion from the voice samples of the unknown and the known all recorded through the same transmission and recording system, but the effectiveness is decreased when the voice samples of the unknown is recorded through one transmission and recording system and that of the known through another system.

Choral spectrum is assumed to be as effective as the LTAS for the same conditions described in 3.

The composite parameter of FFC and IDS increases the effectiveness level in eliminating the influence of the frequency distortion from the voice samples of the unknown and known recorded through the different transmission and recording system.

The composite parameter of FFC and LTAS increases the effectiveness level in eliminating the influence of the frequency distortion from the voice samples of the unknown and the known recorded through the different transmission and recording systems.

> test the above assumptions, a total of 24 voice fication operations were conducted in different designs ing to various combinations of the speech parameters and the ssion systems used for recording the unknown and the known 's. Each operation yielded the results in terms of the rate rect identification, which served as the measure of the veness of each parameter tested.

<u>Signi</u>
alone
perso
chang
ident
much
the o
searc
distu
there
direc
0ther
19500
trane
tout
lex[-
large
repor
optin
have
study
text-
the F
and
speal

ignificance of the Study

It is known that a person can be recognized by his/her voice one when heard live or over the telephone line, provided that the rson is somebody the listener is familiar with. Despite some ange in the perceptual quality of the voice, the judgment on the entity of a speaker does not seem to be critically influenced too ch by the transmission line. This is the underlying fact that e objective of this study rests on. This study was designed to arch and study several speech characteristics which are not sturbed by transmission and/or recording devices. It is hoped, erefore, that the results from this study will contribute rectly or indirectly to understand more about human speech sound her than the linguistic message it carries.

Another justification for this study is the scarcity of earch reports dealing with the problem of the influence of the nsmission and/or recording devices coupled with the t-independent speech materials for voice identification. A ge body of research reports is available, though most of these orts are based on the text-dependent speech data recorded under imaly controlled conditions. To date, only a few researchers a been concerned with these two problems together. One such by conducted by Hunt *et al.* (1977) consisted of the t-independent materials spoken by 13 speakers transmitted over FM radio broadcast. However, they used only one transmitting receiving system of high quality for recording all the kers. Therefore, even though excellent identification rates



reported in their study, the adverse influence of the mission and recording media does not seem to have been taken account.

The problem addressed in the present study involved independent voice identification tasks by using the voice of nknown speakers distorted by the telephone transmission system the relatively clean voices of the known speakers recorded gh a conventional microphone-to-tape recorder system. tedly, although this problem is very difficult to fully solve, is a legitimate need for investigation.

ation of the Study

This study was exploratory in nature. Therefore, the results

First, a nominal size of 10 speakers were employed. This size contrivial considering that actual speaker size was tripled by simultaneous transmission systems and that only Fortran res were available (no real-time hardware processor was ed). Because of this small speaker size, no statistical nce was attempted for generalization of the results.

econd, all the speakers were recorded only once (contemporary while reading in more or less the same style. These two ons obviously contributed in producing the unusually small peaker variability. Thus, the results from this study can ralized only to these types of speech data.



Third, though a mini computer was applied as the major tool data processing including decision algorithms, at a few cal points arbitrary intervention by the experimenter was need. For this reason, the design of the voice identification is study was not meant to be a completely 'objective' method. Finally, the term 'voice identification' operationally defined the purpose of this study was 'closed' type, *i.e.*, in each ification process, the speech sample of the unknown speakers always included among those of the known speakers. Presumably type of identification procedure can be considered as ratory, or linient, in terms of its credibility; hence, the tested in this study, as it is, has little immediate cability to real environment.

<u>round in Selecting Speech Parameters</u>

speech samples in this study were not only under the influence iknown response characteristics but also text-independent. ext-independent voice samples are involved, a relatively long on of speech is required in order to homogenize the phonetic t of the different texts from all speakers. Within this aint, several different types of speech parameters were ed from the literature in this field. Speech parameters ered *primae facie* were cepstral coefficients, linear tive coefficients (LPC), long-term averaged spectrum (LTAS), spectrum, variance spectrum, intensity deviation spectrum and fundamental frequency contour (FFC).



Of these seven parameters, the cepstrum was discarded despite well recognized useful property -- it is relatively resistant he influence of the frequency response characteristics of the smission system. It was found to be impractical to apply the tral analysis for the amount of 'text-independent' speech data e processed by using only Fortran softwares on a mini computer. .PC was also discarded because of its susceptibility to the true characteristics of the transmission system used. The ning five parameters, LTAS, choral spectrum, variance rum, IDS and FFC were tested in the pilot study. Speech es were collected from five male speakers through two mission systems, telephone line and a conventional microphone pe recorder. The identification process was performed by the rchical clustering technique with complete-link method, ut feature optimization procedure.

From the results of the pilot study, it became apparent that variance spectrum, and choral spectrum were very sensitive to equency response characteristics of the transmission system hat IDS and FFC were relatively insensitive to the influence. te of their susceptibility to the influence, LTAS and choral a were found to be very effective speech parameters for voice fication provided all voice samples were recorded through the transmission and recording channels. Consequently, IDS, FFC, and choral spectrum were adopted as speech parameters for udy.

<u>Lit</u>
rep
div
and
In
pro
the
stu
pur
sev
arr
of
fil
et
ca

rature Review

A review of the related literature revealed that research rts on voice identification by a computer contain vast rsification in the methodology employed depending upon the type number of speakers, phonetic materials, and the tasks involved. ontrast, there are very few reports primarily dealing with the lem of the transmission and/or recording media. It was, efore, felt that organized presentation of these diversified ies in methodology was neither practical nor essential for the pse and the scope of this study. Hence, this section presents ral studies dealing with automatic voice identification, nged by the type of speech parameters employed.

A short-term spectrum is generated from a very brief portion speech signal. It can be produced by the use of a bank of ers simulated on a digital computer (Prusansky, 1963; Bricker 7., 1971) or by using the Fast Fourier Transform. In either the principal expression used is the Fourier transform:

$$F(\omega) = \int_{0}^{NT} f(t) e^{-j\omega t} dt$$

(for continuous signal)



$$F(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(nT)e^{-j\omega nT} \cdot T \qquad (for discrete signal)$$

are N = number of samples (discrete) points of a time function, aced apart by a sampling period of T second. As used ventionally, a large number of short-term spectra are created in succession as a function of time. Hence, this spectrum is ropriately called 'temporal acoustic spectra' (Tosi, 1979) and wn to carry "....nearly all of the important information in ech...." (Atal, 1978). The duration of a single short-term ctrum is typically in the order of 20-30 milliseconds.

Some researchers investigated the effectivenesss of the rt-term spectra for a text-dependent speaker recognition. tansky (1963) generated the short-term spectrum by passing the it phrase of four words taken from each of 10 speakers through a hannel filter bank, frequency ranging from 100-7000 Hz. By the of the product-moment correlation coefficients computed on the -aligned spectra, she reported the correct identification rate 89% out of a total of 393 trials tested. The same speech data later tested by Bricker *et al.* (1971) with some modification procedure, resulting in a higher recognition rate of 97%. The studies cited were performed on speech data recorded through same transmission and recording equipment, though it was noted the spectra were strongly influenced by the frequency



acteristics of the recording devices. The short-term spectrum been often applied to the text-dependent data for which tedious complex procedures for time alignment become extremely tant during the recognition procedure.

Later long-term averaged spectrum was considered as an native to compensate for the tedious procedures of the ral alignment of the set of the text-dependent short-term ra. Typically, long-term averaged spectrum is obtained from a h signal of a relatively long duration (1-2 minutes in Tosi 70 seconds in Markel et al. 1977; 10 seconds in Furui et 1972, 11 seconds in Bunge 1978). When a computer is used, spectrum can be easily produced either by using a bank of rs, or by FFT algorithm. A unique property of this spectrum. taken from a sufficiently long speech, is that phonetic its of different utterances can be balanced, thus enabling ndependent voice identification. Its potential use for ndependent voice identification has been recognized by many chers (Tosi et al., 1979; Bunge, 1978; Majewski and Hollien, provided there is no influence of the transmission and/or ing media.

ne variation of the long-term averaged spectrum is called spectrum' developed by Tosi (1979). He defines the spectra long-term Fourier transforms of temporal choral speech zy, 1958), which is produced from a temporal rearrangement speaker's normal speech. The major difference between spectrum and long-term averaged spectrum is seen in the



nputational economy: The former is said to be generated much ster than the other by a factor of about 20. Tosi *et al.* (1979) ducted a text-independent voice identification by applying the ral spectra. Speech samples of different languages were orded from 20 speakers. By using the hierarchical clustering hnique, they reported the identification error rate of 5 to 30% ending upon the method used and suggested the promising utlity the choral spectra for text-independent voice identification. ever, inasmuch as the spectrum bears the same property as the g-term averaged spectrum, the choral spectrum is also known to susceptible to the influence of the transmission media.

Linear predictive coefficients (LPC) has been studied by many ech researchers for automatic speaker recognition (Atal, 1974, 3; Markel *et al.*, 1977; Markel and Davis, 1979; He and Dubes, 4.) The LPC is usually derived by using the autocorrelation nod from speech signals, revealing the spectral properties of speech as a function of time. LPC can represent the amental frequency and its harmonics when the order of the ictor coefficients is relatively high (40 coefficients) and can represent formants when the order of the predictor is low (12 ficients), but it is susceptible to the frequency response of recording apparatus and the transmission systems. Atal (1978) ied the effectiveness of LPC (12 coefficients) for automatic cer identification by using 10 female speakers. All speakers "ded six repetitions of the same short sentence, using a requality microphone on two occasions at 27-day intervals. Each



arance was divided into 40 segments; and from each segment, 12 dictor coefficients were extracted to form a vector of 40 snsions. The identification decision was based on the Euclidian distance measure defined by Shafer and Rabiner 5.) The correct rate of identification was found to be 63.8% 60 total judgments.)

He and Dubes (1982) presented a paper on speaker tification by using LPC and pitch contour. Speech samples were rded in a sound booth by eight Chinese male speakers, each ring 15 repetitions of a short sentence in the Chinese language a microphone attached to a tape recorder. Each utterance was ded into 4-second epochs, resulting in five speech data per er (each datum, thus containing either two complete spoken ences or one complete and partially complete sentence.) Then, ly, each datum was partitioned into 40 segments. From each nt, 12 predictor coefficients were computed. A pitch contour also prepared from each datum by two different ds: cepstrum method and peak detecting technique. Five res measured on a pitch contour were maximum, minimum, average period, the maximal slope, and the larger one of the two period values determining the largest slope. Subsequently, data were subjected to feature optimization procedures sting of: The hierarchical clustering technique, discriminant sis, and F-ratio as discussed in Chapter II. For

fication decision operation, the Euclidian distances were ed between the test pattern and reference patterns and the



ecision criterion was based upon the nearest-neighbor decision ule. The results from their study were as follows: 81.9% by itch contour when all speech data were included, but the rate rose o 96.4% when the data containing partially complete sentences were iscarded; 75.6% by LPC for the entire data, but increased to 5.4% when the data containing partially complete sentences were scarded. A combination of features from the pitch contour and the LPC was also tested with all speech data included (in an tempt to compensate for varying text contents). This resulted in .8% correct identification. Although the authors of this study d not specify, the speech data were rather text-dependent even if e proper alignment of each phonetic unit was not attempted.

The cepstrum of a speech signal is defined as the power ectrum of the logarithm power spectrum of the signal (Noll, 67). This method was introduced as a means to separate ndamental frequency from the speech signal in the frequency main. Much attention has been given to the cepstral method in e field of automatic speaker recognition (Atal, 1978, Luck, 1969; ge, 1978; Tosi, 1979; Furui, 1981b; He and Dubes, 1982). The son for its popular application appears to be twofold: The strum is mathematically well defined, *i.e.*, renders itself for iable algorithmic implementation to a computer, and it is atively resistive to the frequency response characteristics of transmission system as well as the recording devices.

Furui (1981a) published a comprehensive study on the hniques for automatic speaker verification based on the cepstrum



ficients computed on a fixed, sentence-long utterance t-dependent) recorded over the conventional telephone ection. A total of 50 utterances from each of 20 speakers (10 s, 10 females) were recorded over the period of two months. ral kinds of utterance sets were prepared, all band limited 100 Hz to 3.0 KHz. Cepstral coefficients were derived from predictor coefficients (LPC) obtained from the same speech ent. After several pre-processings -- such as pause ination. time registration, normalization, and optimum feature ction -- were applied, the results of verification error rate less than 1% even if the test utterance and the reference rance were subjected to different transmission conditions (but, ably, within the same telephone connection). The key factor, commented, would be in the normalization procedure of the trum coefficients to remove the distortions of the response acteristics introduced by the transmission system. The icients were averaged over the duration of the entire ance, and the average values were subtracted from the cepstrum icients of every frame.

Pitch contour is a plotting of the time-varying pitch of the h signal. Or, synonymously expressed, pitch contour is he glottal frequency - characteristics melody curve of the r." (Tosi, 1979). There are two properties of pitch ur: First, it is sufficiently speaker-dependent; second, it sistive to the distortion introduced by the frequency response cteristics of the transmission and recording devices. Many



earchers (Atal, 1972; Markel, Oshika, and Gray, 1977; Hunt *et* , 1977; He and Dubes, 1982) explored pitch contour as one of the ech parameters for speaker recognition, and confirmed it to be a hly (or, at least sufficiently) reliable speaker-dependent racteristic.

Atal (1972) studied the average pitch and the measurements of temporal variation of pitch for automatic speaker ntification. Speech data were text-dependent and collected from male speakers, each producing 6 repetitions of the short tence, and each sentence lasting 1.8 to 2.8 seconds depending the different rate of utterance by individual speakers. He rited that the measurement of the average pitch was far better correct identification) than that of the temporal variation of h.

Markel *et al.* (1977) also investigated pitch contour but ied it to text-independent speech materials obtained from a small group of speakers with homogeneous pitch distribution. used F-ratio (analysis of variance) as the measure of the ctiveness of the average pitch and the standard deviation buted on pitch contour) as a function of the number of frames, from Lv = 10 to Lv = 1000, which correspond to about 70 nds). It was concluded that the average pitch is significantly effective than the standard deviation of pitch and that the nated standard deviation about the average pitch was reduced about 18 Hz (for Lv= 10) to about 6 Hz (for Lv= 1000). They ed two other parameters, the spectral-related feature obtained

by of eff spe id pa ea co fr me fr fu pr pr Fi а ¢(¢ a S C ٥ LPC and gain variation, to the same speech data for the purpose comparing the three speech parameters. Ranking of the ectiveness in discriminating speakers was in the order of ectral feature, pitch contour, and gain variation.

Hunt et al. (1977) conducted text-independent voice entification by using pitch contour (and including other speech ameters). They used a group of 12 professional meteorologists, h reading two sets of different text transmitted over the munication channel. Seven different kinds of fundamental quency related measures were derived from a pitch contour, viz, in fundamental frequency, group mean of the mean fundamental quency and its rate of change, and proportion of time that damental frequency is rising or falling. The pitch contour was pared by the use of a hardware implemented real time cepstral cessor. Identification performance was tested in two ways: st, texts of the unknown and the known speakers were arranged in oncontemporary manner, resulting in 89% (133 out of 149 samples) rect identification; and second, texts were arranged in a temporary manner, resulting in 100% correct identification. In ition to pitch contour, they included two other parameters, tral related and gain related. When all three parmeters were pared in terms of identification performance, ranking was in r of spectral parameters, followed by pitch contour, then lly, gain related parameters. The study by Hunt et al. showed voice identification can be done even if the speech data of erent content were transmitted over the communication channel:


wever, the degree and nature of the distortion of the ransmitting system were not specified clearly. It appears that Il speech data in their study were transmitted and recorded by the ame system.



inition of the Terminology

Key terminologies used throughout this study are operationally ined as follows.

- egory: The term refers to a set of patterns (or samples) and is used synonymously to a speaker in this study.
- ss-transmission: This term refers to a voice identification procedure in which the speech data from the unknown speaker and the known speaker are prepared through two different transmission systems.
- ture: A feature refers to an individual measurement component within a speech parameter. The number of features determines the dimensionality of a parameter. For instance, the first frequency component in the IDS, or the average fundamental frequency in the FFC, is called a feature.
- The FFC is a speech parameter which consists of a set of fundamental frequency related measurements computed on a pitch contour of a running speech sample.
- (intensity deviation spectrum): The IDS is a speech parameter derived from a set of successive short-term spectra. The IDS reflects, by definition, temporal variations of the spectra of speech sound.
- ar system: This system refers to a path in which the speech sound is transmitted by a microphone and recorded onto an audio tape by using a tape recorder. In this system the microphone and the tape recorder are characterized as having the relatively flat response curve (Linear) covering the



speech frequency range. The term 'Linear speech' or 'Linear -' in this study refers to the speech sound, or processed speech data made available by using this system.

- 5 (Long-term averaged spectrum): The LTAS is a speech parameter computed by superposing and averaging of n short-term spectra. Each one of these spectra is originated by successive segments from the speech samples of about 11 seconds utilized in this study. The LTAS reflects static spectral feature of speech sound.
- al system: It refers to a path in which the speech is transmitted by a microphone and recorded onto a magnetic tape by a tape recorder. The system is assumed to have undefined response characteristics. The term 'normal speech' or 'normal -' in this study denotes the speech sound, or processed speech data made available by this system.
- ern: It is composed of the set of features chosen from a single or more of the speech parameters. A pattern is equivalent to a speech sample and is the basic data set to represent the voice characteristics of the speaker.
- t-term spectrum: This spectrum is generated from a short segment (in this study 25.6 msec) of each processed speech sample by using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT).
- ch parameter: The term refers to the measurement(s) derived from acoustic speech signal. The individual feature is extracted from a speech parameter.

(choral spectrum): The SPT is a speech parameter produced

Tele

Text

Tra

Voi

•

from choral speech by processing it through FFT. An elaborated definition and algorithm to generate this spectrum is presented by Tosi (1979). In this study, choral speech is obtained by superimposing 0.4096 second long segments of on-going speech.

- ephone system: It refers to a path in which the speech is transmitted via the telephone transmitter, received at the remote end of the local line telephone set, and recorded onto an audio tape recorder. The term 'telephone speech' or 'telephone -' in the text refers to the speech sound or processed speech data made available by this system.
- -independence: This term refers to the type of phonetic materials used as the speech data for voice identification. Text-independent voice identification uses different texts from the unknown and the known speakers. Counterpart of this term is the 'text-dependence'.
- smission system: Restricted to this study, 'transmission system' refers to a system of the devices used for transmitting the speech sound, such as a microphone, telephone transmitter and its attachment, and so forth. The term 'system' is used to refer to a path of the speech signal from the speaker's mouth to the sound storage device, an audio tape recorder.
- Identification: It is defined as a process of selecting a speaker (from a group of the known speakers) whose voice sample is the closest to that of the unknown.

thin-transmission: This term refers to a voice identification procedure in which all speech data from the unknown and the known speakers are prepared by the same transmission system.

Organization of the Study

This study is divided into four chapters.

Chapter I presented a general introduction to voice identification, the statement of the problem, the purpose, significance and limitation of this study, a review of the literature, and a list of operational definitions of the terminologies.

Chapter II is devoted to the description in detail of experimental procedures: Recording of speakers, digitization, pause elimination, generation of speech parameters, feature optimization and standardization, and identification operations.

Chapter III presents the results from the identification operations.

Chapter IV concludes this study by presenting discussions, conclusions, and implications for further research.



CHAPTER II

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

This chapter is organized into three sections: 1) recording phonetic materials, 2) pre-processing of these phonetic terials, and 3) experimental procedure for voice identification erations. In the first section, recording and arrangement of the corded speech data are discussed. In the second section, becedures and algorithms for pause elimination, extraction of the each parameters, optimization of the features, and andardization of the features are discussed. In the third etion, distance measurements, decision processes, and designs of ice identification operations are covered. The following is a et of equipment and softwares used throughout this study.

t of Equipment

recording speech data:

Condenser microphone, Bruel & Kjaer, type 4132 Cathode follower, Bruel & Kjaer, type 2603 Microphone amplifier, Bruel & Kjaer, type 2603 Dynamic microphone, Ampex, model 2001 Local line telephone sets Open-reel tape recorder, Teac, model A-7010 Open-reel tape recorder, Marantz, Superscope, model C-202-LP Open-reel tapes, Scotch, low noise, 1.5 mil, 1200 ft Cassette tapes, Scotch, low noise, 1.5 mil, 1200 ft

Spe

For

,

`

6-n

rar

Min

fri

re

3¢

as No

Te

re

op

Ma

tr

tı

processing speech data:

PDP 11/40 mini computer, 64 k (byte) memory, with 2 disk drives 16-bit A/D and D/A converters, 3 Rivers Computer Corp. RKO5 disks, 2.4 Mega bytes CRT monitor Light pen connected to the CRT monitor Deckwriter II, Digital Equipment Corp. Open-reel tape recorder, Ampex, model 4000G Fortran software (see Appendix H)

RECORDING OF PHONETIC MATERIALS

kers and Phonetic Materials

The phonetic materials used in this study consisted of nute long speech samples. The subjects were 10 male speakers omly selected from a population of native speakers of estern American-English dialect, ages ranging from 20 - 35, from defective or pathological voice conditions. All speakers different excerpts from a nontechnical book (Appendix A shows mple excerpt) at a 'normal' reading speed. Each speaker was d to rehearse by reading aloud a brief paragraph (one which was going to be included as speech data for him) while all the rding equipment and the telephone line were checked for proper ation. During recording, each speaker was instructed to tain approximately the same distance from his mouth to the smitter of the telephone set (about 3-5 cm) and to the other microphones (about 15 cm). No additional instructions as to

the Reco spea syst to trar reco micr char tele tra ins tel Spe att the Sup cha tra in MOC cal Mi he manner in which the speaker should read the excerpt were given.

ecording Setting

Figure 2 illustrates the simultaneous recording of each peaker through three different transmission and recording (stems: 1) through a telephone line with the remote end connected o a tape recorder by an inductive pick up ('telephone ansmission'); 2) through a conventional microphone-to-tape (corder ('normal transmission'); and 3) through a crophone-to-tape recorder of an almost linear frequency response aracteristics. Hereafter, these three systems are referred to as lephone transmission, normal transmission, and linear ansmission system, respectively.

For telephone transmission, the telephone set was placed side of a sound booth and dialed up to the other end of the local lephone system (campus line at Michigan State University.) seech signals were drawn by the use of an inductive coil directly tached around the receiver of the telephone set, and connected to a microphone input of a cassette tape recorder (Marantz, perscope model C-202LP). No care was taken to check the response tracteristics of this telephone transmission system. For normal insmission, a dynamic microphone (Ampex, model 2001) was placed a sound booth and connected to an open-reel tape recorder (Sony, el TC-106A) outside the booth. No care was taken for ibrating the frequency response characteristics of this rophone and tape recorder either. Thus, a normal transmission

Nor

^{Pigure} 2 trans



2. A diagram showing equipment used for three simultaneous insmission and recording systems.

system For 1 type 1 type 2 2603) mode] were chara these becau trans long Arra know as a a kn were ider inu Figu tra The tra

system was assumed to have the undefined response characteristics. For linear transmission, a condenser microphone (Bruel & Kjaer, type 4132) was coupled with the cathode follower (Bruel & Kjaer, type 2619) connected to a microphone amplifier (Bruel & Kjaer, type 2603) outside the booth, then to an open-reel tape recorder (Teac, model A-7010). This condenser microphone and Teac tape recorder were calibrated for their linearity of the response characteristics. Plottings of the response characteristics of these two devices are given in Appendix B.

Although each speaker read only one 6-minute long text, because of the above described simultaneous recordings by three transmission systems, each speaker produced a total of 18-minute long speech data.

Arrangement of Speech Data

In this study, all speakers served both as unknown and as the known persons. This required that the speech sample of a speaker as an unknown differed in context from that of the same speaker as a known. Therefore, the speech data stored in audio tape recorders were properly arranged to enable 'text-independence' from three identical texts simultaneously produced by a speaker. Figure 3 illustrates this procedure for proper arrangement. As shown in Figure 3, a 6-minute long speech of each speaker for each transmission was partitioned into three 2-minute long portions. The initial 2-minute portion was then segmented from telephone transmission (indicated by (1)), the medial portion was segmented

Text A by Speaker l

Text B by Speaker 2

Figu

•



Figure 3. Sample arrangements of text-independent phonetic materials from two speakers. For the cross-transmission voice identification operation: The segmented portion 1 in a circle in telephone transmission was used to prepare the data base for the speaker as an unknown while portions 1 and 2 in circles in normal transmission and linear transmission were used to prepare the data base for the same speaker as the knowns. For the within-transmission voice identification: For each transmission system, the segmented portion in a square, as a known speaker.

from no
was si
arrange
cross-
of por
as us
The la
in a
data.
conter
system
speech
ŗ
Digit
store
recor
ana) c
(PDP
sampt
in a

om normal transmission (indicated by ②), and the final portion, as segmented from linear transmission (indicated by ③). This rrangement was conducted to prepare input speech data for ross-transmission voice identification . In addition, another set f portions was necessary to prepare 'text-independent' speech data s used in within-transmission voice identification operations. he latter set of partitionings are indicated by the number encased n a square seen in Figure 3. Unmarked portions were not used as ata. Consequently, two 2-minute portions of different phonetic ontent were segmented as raw speech data from each transmission system and represented a speaker. In total, 60 2-minute long peech data resulted from the above arrangement:

10 (speakers) x 3 (transmissions) x 2 (portions) = 60.

PRE-PROCESSING OF SPEECH DATA

gitization

During the digitization process, each analog speech sample ored in the original magnetic tape was played back on the same cording equipment which was used to record it. A l6-bit nlog-to-digital converter (ADC) interfaced with a mini computer IP 11/40) digitized a 2-minute long speech one at a time, at a pling rate of 10000/sec. Then the digitized speech was stored a disk (2.4 Mega bytes) for the subsequent pause elimination

proce		
(=) w		
the A		
of r		
digi'		
vari		
Paus		
auto		
elin		
alte		
Dari		
Puti		
0 A L		
UU Sha		
spe		
eii		
Sta		
de		
de		
Pa		
by		

procedure. Each sampled point (digitized) was quantized by 2 bytes (=1 word) resulting in dynamic range of about 90 dB as specified by the ADC.

Frequency transfer function of the ADC indicated some amount of nonlinearity. However, this nonlinearity (distortion) in the digitization process was not considered as the source of the variables because it was constant for all input speech data.

Pause Elimination

The silent portions and pauses were detected and deleted automatically from the speech samples. The objective of pause elimination was to reduce the amount of speech data without altering speech characteristics. Also, this procedure was particulary important for properly computing IDS parameter whose extraction was based on a set of successive short-term spectra, each spectrum being transformed from a brief speech segment of about 25 msec long. It was deemed essential that no short-term spectrum was resulted from the 'silent' portions or 'pauses'.

In many studies on automatic speaker identification, elimination of the silent portions and pauses is included as a standard procedure, though no author has provided a specific definition of the pauses. In this study, pauses were clearly defined by applying the quantitative pausometric definition of pauses proposed by Tosi (1974). The entire process was implemented by Fortran software on the PDP 11/40.

т
that
detern
deterr
is de
only
the v
resid
that
and
phone
pause
be so
respe
·
the
repro
and
spee
a sp
fixe
and
eact
elin
Sam

This process was performed in the time domain in such a way when the signal falls within two pre-set parameters (one to rmine the amplitude threshold, 'Ap', and the other, to rmine the time threshold, 'Tp') that portion of the speech wave etermined as a pause. The result was a concatenated speech of

'signals' from which all pauses were eliminated. Initially, values for Tp and Ap parameters were sought by listening to the dual pauses (deleted and concatenated for audio playback so the most unvoiced and weak consonants, such as /f/, $/\theta/$,/h/, brief portions preceeding to and following after plosive emes such as /p/, /t/, and /k/ were detected and deleted as es. Consequently, typical values for Tp and Ap were found to omewhere between 15 to 20 milliseconds, and 0.90 to 0.99, ectively.

Figure 4 illustrates how pauses were defined and deleted from input speech wave. Figure 5 shows spectrographic esentations of the original input speech containing all pauses the resulting output speech without pauses.

The goal of pause elimination was to obtain a pause-free h sample of about 55 to 60 seconds long from each portion for aker per transmission. The lower boundary of 55 seconds was so that five ll-second epochs were secured for each speaker, he upper boundary was set to provide a margin of one second to epoch. Summary of the results from the above pause ation procedure is given in Appendix C. Subsequently, this was subdivided into five ll- second long epochs, each epoch

Amp 1 ± t ude

ł

Fi



-

- Figure 4. A traphic illustration of passe distinguish procedute. (a) Joyou terms to simplify a subject of the passe similarity procedure with a T^{p-1} Dissee and two different Ap values. A dotted barianotal line is the computed warraws peak mobilished determining the sequitor do synall or maps. The Ap is the properties of this dynamic range. Ap = 0.50 and Tp = 20 mass and concentrated. (d) Passes are similarity of a structure of the passe of the passe of the passes of the passes



to be u
process
analog
tape re
and' cho
<u>Extrac</u>
1. 1
A
an 11
(one p
were
by usi
Was
cover
about

where

be used for generating speech parameters, IDS and LTAS. All the cocessed speech segments, epoch by epoch, were also stored as halog speech (by playing back through the DAC) onto audio tapes by upe recorder (Ampex, model 4000 G) for later use to generate FFC ad choral spectra.

traction of Speech Parameters

IDS (intensity deviation spectrum)

An IDS was generated from a set of short-term spectra. From 11-second epoch of the processed speech, a set of 440 portions ne portion = 25.6 millisecond, or window of 256 sampled points) re transformed to the corresponding number of short-term spectra using the FFT (Fast Fourier Trasform). Each short-term spectrum s then represented by the intensity at 128 discrete frequencies, vering the frequency range from 0 to 5000 Hz, with an interval of but 39 Hz. The IDS was computed by the following expression:

$$P_{ik} = \frac{1}{\bar{s}_{ik}} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left| s_{ijk} - \bar{s}_{ik} \right|$$

ere; P_{ik} = intensity of the ith frequency of the kth IDS,

 \bar{S}_{ik} = average intensity of the ith frequency over J short-term spectra from the kth segment,

 S_{ijk} = intensity of the ith frequency of the jth short-term spectrum from the kth segment.



Figure tex (a)



gure 6. Computer plottings of three IDS's generated from the text-independent speech data of speaker 1: (a) by telephone, (b) by normal, and (c) by linear system.
E
expres
these
freque
at th
diffor
uiiler
)) ((
spect
6
ior ,
a221 G
were
Figur
speed
tran
2,
Set
whic

Essentially, the above expression to compute the IDS can be pressed by 1) Adding the intensities within each frequency of ese 440 short-term spectra and dividing the sum by the total mbers of spectra, thus obtaining the average intensity for that equency; 2) Subtracting the average intensity from each intensity that particular frequency, and taking the sum of all absolute fferences, then dividing this sum by the same average intensity; Repeating above steps for all ordinates over 440 short-term sectra.

Consequently, an IDS was represented by 128 intensities -- one each frequency available in the spectrum. Five IDS's were signed to each speaker per transmission. In total, 300 IDS's regenerated:

> 5(IDS) x 10(speaker) x 3(type of transm.) x 2(cross- or within- transm.) = 300

ure 6 shows three computer plottings of IDS's generated from ech samples of a speaker recorded via three different nsmission systems.

An LTAS was computed by averaging the ordinate values across a of successive 440 short-term spectra, the same set of spectra ch generated the IDS. It was computed by:

$$L_{ik} = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} S_{ijk}$$

LTAS (Long-term averaged spectrum)

where; L	
L	
S	
S	
• 1.1	
iotal nu	
three c	
speaker	
3. FF	
Ar	
segment	
been pr	
speech	
10000/:	
for me	
A	
S	
Peak	
review	
and Di	
requi	
shou]	
The o	
avera	
Were	

ere; L_{ik} =average intensity of the ith frequency for the kth LTAS,

 \boldsymbol{S}_{ijk} = intensity of the ith frequency of the jth short-term spectrum for the kth segment.

tal numbers of LTAS's generated was also 300. Figure 7 shows ee computer plottings of LTAS generated from speech samples of a saker recorded via the three different transmission systems.

FFC (Fundamental frequency related measurements)

An FFC was prepared from the first five seconds of each ment of the pause-deleted speech sample which has previously en processed and stored in the audio tape. Once again, this sech segment was digitized by the ADC at a sampling rate of 1000/second, one segment at a time. Then it was further processed measuring fundamental frequencies (Fo) as described below.

A. Detection of Fo

Several techniques of the computer implementation of direct k detection, which estimate Fo's from the digitized speech were iewed from the existing literature (Gold and Robiner, 1969; He Dubes, 1982.) The review indicated that this type of technique uires frequent heuristic adjustments when the incorrect Fo's uld occur, tending to result in a grossly smoothed Fo contour. one developed by He and Dubes was tested for computing the rage Fo from a half second long speech signal, and the results e compared to the ones estimated by laboratory equipment (sound

LISUTIAN REL INTENSITY

Figuro te: (a





spectro excelle indicat informa problem Fo applyin a new p study. experi techni proced C domain Figure segmer While method of abo Wave line H fundar entir delet segme Were

strograph, visipitch, and oscilloscope). The match was ellent. Nevertheless, this preliminary experimentation cated that a direct peak picking technique may lose significant srmation of Fo variations. Markel (1977) also attests to this lem.

For the reasons listed above and inasmuch as the aim of ying the FFC was to represent fine glottal dynamic variations, w peak detecting technique was devised specifically for this y. This technique demands interactive participation of the rimenter; hence, it is called 'interactive peak detecting nique.' Discussion of this technique and measurement edures for the FFC follows.

Cycle-to-cycle Fo's were measured directly from the time in speech by the use of an interactive peak picking method. re 8 shows a photograph of the actually displayed speech ent on the CRT (display screen operated via the PDP 11/40) a Fo detection was in progress. Major steps involved in this pd were as follows: 1) displaying of the digitized speech wave pout 100 milliseconds on the CRT, 2) visually inspecting the

to determine recurrent wave patterns, 3) drawing a flexible by a light pen capturing those recurrent peaks associated with mental frequencies, and 4) repeating the above steps until an e 5-second segment was exhausted. Inevitably, because of ed pauses, there were discontinuity points within the speech int under process. These discontinuities in the displayed wave carefully avoided so that they would not be falsely considered

(p)

(a)







9

by the experimenter. The number appearing on the upper right corner is the updated fundamental frequency measured from the right most peak interval(period). (a) The segment with no gap present within the frame displayed. (b) The segment with a gap, or unvoiced phoneme, present horizental line traversing the recurrent peaks is a trace entered by a light pen operated A flexible Photographs of the CRT displaying the interactive peak detecting procedures. Each speech segment shown is about 102.4 msec (1024 sampled points) long. which is skipped (jumped) by light pen maneuver. Figure 8.

as pitch pen mane display automat An pitch c two end partici periods reliab B N Fo con below. number of the i.

ii. (

bitch periods. This manipulation was carried out by the light maneuver. However, the continuity from one frame of the blayed signal to the subsequent frame was maintained matically by a software.

An output of this interactive peak detecting technique was th contour containing successive pitch periods and amplitudes of ends of the pitch periods. Although it required a careful icipation of the experimenter to correctly target pitch ods, this method was found to be quite simple, quick and able.

B. Extraction of FFC

Nine features (measurements) were computed for the FFC on each ontour created earlier. Computational procedures are described w. For all procedures; Fo= fundamental frequency, N = total ers of Fo's (in each Fo contour), and Ao = relative amplitude he peak (of Fo).

 \bar{F}_{o} : The average Fo computed on a Fo contour.

$$\bar{F}_{\circ} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} F_{\circ}n$$

OF. : The standard deviation of Fo.

$$\mathcal{O}_{F_{\circ}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (F_{\circ}n - \bar{F}_{\circ})^{2}}$$

iii. ΏF₀ iv. ∆F₀/ . v. 07_{A0} vi. ĀA。 vii. F_o viii. Fo . ${\widetilde{\Delta}} F_{\circ}$: The average temporal variation of Fo in successive cycles.

$$\bar{\Delta}F_{\circ} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} |F_{\circ}n+1 - F_{\circ}n|$$

- $\bar{\Delta}F_{\sigma}/\bar{F}_{\sigma}$: The ratio of the temporal variation of Fo to the average Fo.
- ${\mathcal O}_{A_{\mathfrak{o}}}$: Standard deviation of cycle-to-cycle peak amplitudes

$$\overline{OA}_{\circ} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (A_{\circ}n - \overline{A}_{\circ})^2}$$

 $\bar{\Delta}A_{\circ}$: The average temporal variation of peak amplitudes

$$\bar{\Delta}A_{\circ} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=2}^{N-1} \left|A_{\circ}n+1 - A_{\circ}n\right|$$

 $F_o(max)$: The maximum Fo in a pitch contour.

$$F_o(max) = Max[F_o1, F_o2, \dots, F_oN]$$

. F_o(min) : The minimum Fo in a pitch contour.

$$F_o(\min) = Min[F_o1, F_o2, \cdots, F_oN]$$

ix. F Figure freque above. 4. S S elsewt specti a ch speec durat stack speed gener (1-1; inte the para show $F_o(rng)$: The range of the Fo. The Fo(rng) is simply computed by

$$F_o(rng) = F_o(max) - F_o(min)$$

re 9 illustrates three basic measurements (periods, fundamental uency, and amplitude) required for computations described e.

SPT (choral spectrum)

Since the detailed procedure to create an SPT is given where (Tosi, 1979), here only a brief description of the strum is presented. A choral spectrum is a Fourier Transform of choral speech. A choral speech is generated by segmenting a ech wave of a certain duration into n portions of the same atton t (in this case 0.4096 second, or 4096 sampled points) and sking entire n portions, one on top of another, resulting in one ech segment of length t. Choral spectrum used in this study was erated by using FFT resulting in 1-byte integer intensity values 20 dB) at 2048 discrete frequency components with about 2.44 Hz erval. For this study, all choral spectra were generated from previously processed speech segments which were used for other meters. A total of 300 choral speech resulted. Figure 10 as a computer plotting of a sample choral spectrum.

amplitude R



Figure 9. Illustration of Fo, Δ Fo, and Δ Ao by using three consecutive peaks in a simplified short speech segment. In this figure, Fo = fundamental frequency, $\mathcal{J}_{i=}$ ith pitch period, and Aoi = amplitude of the ith peak.



Amplitude

Figure 10. Computer plotting of a sample choral spectrum based on a ll-second long compressed speech taken from speaker 1: The duration of choral speech from which this choral spectrum was produced by FFT was 0.4096 second (or 4096 sampled points used as window size by FFT).

ł

<u>Optimizat</u> The of featu features between t has beer identific relative in a ge the mean increase number, number sizes of (1978) should | Ta optimiz contain of fea for the hierarc F-ratio hierard two-st used.

Optimization of Features

The objective of feature optimization was to reduce the number of features for computational simplicity by selecting only those features which were determined to be effective in discriminating between the speakers. The importance of the optimization procedure has been recognized in many studies on automatic voice dentification, especially when the number of speaker is very small relative to the number of features utilized. Hughes (1968) showed n a general statistical model that for a fixed number of samples, the mean identification accuracy increased when the dimensionality ncreased until an optimum value was reached. Beyond the optimum number, the accuracy decreased linearly. He suggested the optimum number of features to be 5, 10, 20, and 100 or greater for sample sizes of 20, 100, 500, and larger, respectively. Jain and Dubes 1978) suggested as a rule of thumb that the number of features hould be at least five for each sample.

Taking these notions suggested above into consideration, the ptimization procedure was applied to the original set of features ontained in each speech parameter used in this study. The number f features was 128 for both IDS and LTAS, nine for FFC, and 2048 or the choral spectrum. Optimization was carried out by using the ierarchical clustering technique with complete-link method, and/or -ratio statistics. For the parameters, IDS and LTAS, both the ierarchical technique and the F-ratio statistics were applied in a wo-step sequence, whereas for the parameter FFC, only F-ratio was sed.

	The
tool	ir
speed	:h I

word

identif

and th

(1973).

particu

cluster

and D

patter

themse

statis

resear

as a p

voice

param 1.

were

of co

compu

compo

high

by 1

The clustering technique has been employed as an effective tool in many scientific endevors including the field of general speech research. This technique has been applied to the study of word recognition (Rabiner et al., 1977) and also to voice identification by computer (Tosi et al., 1979). Elaborated review and theory of the clustering technique is provided by Anderberg (1973). The use of this technique in this study was in only one particular way to illustrate the diverse applicability of the lustering technique. This particular usage was suggested by Jain and Dubes (1978) as a means to reduce the number of features in a pattern by finding those features which are highly correlated among hemselves. This technique was later coupled with F-ratio tatistics, which also has been often implemented by some esearchers (Paul et al., 1975; Markel and Davis, 1979; Atal, 1978) is a part of the procedure for selecting effective features for oice identification.

Feature optimization procedures applied to the speech arameters used in this study are discussed next.

IDS and LTAS

First, the original number of 128 features for IDS and LTAS ere reduced to 100. This reduction was imposed by the limitation f computer memory capacity available on PDP to carry on further omputational procedures. Sacrificing the lowest three frequency omponents (78 Hz and lower) and highest 25 components (4017 Hz and igher up to 5000 Hz), the new IDS and LTAS were then represented y 100 features of frequency ranging from 117 to 3978 Hz, with the

interval
carried
complete-
Hie
hierarch
features
Spearman
all pai
speaker)
correlat
hierarcl
prepare
three
transmi
From e
compone
hor i zoı
six de
F
statis
the de
each (

terval of 39 Hz. Optimization procedures were sequentially rried out by the hierarcical clustering technique with mplete-link method, and then by F-ratio statistics.

Hierarchical Clustering: The objective of using the erarchical clustering technique was to determine subsets of atures which were highly correlated among themselves. The earman-product moment correlation coefficient was calculated for pairs of features over a set of 50 patterns (5 for each ≥aker). Then, a similarity matrix was prepared from these relation coefficients and submitted as input data to the erarchical clustering technique. Six similarity matrices were pared from six sets of 50 patterns (three sets for the LDS, and ee sets for the LTAS, both based upon three different ansmission systems), resulting in six complete-link dendrograms. m each dendrogram, 10 clusters (groupings by frequency ponents) were systematically chosen by means of placing a izontal line at the appropriate proximity level. The resulting dendrograms are presented in Appendix D.

F-ratio statistics: The objective of application of F-ratio tistics was to pick the best feature from each cluster formed in dendrogram. The F-ratio was computed for every features within h cluster. This F-ratio was expressed as:

> Between (inter-) speaker variance (considering each speaker as a group)

Within (intra-) speaker variance (considering 5 samples per speaker)

Bas	ica
the	d
F-I	ati
an	i a
be	st f
	٦
of	t
fi	atu
d	endr
f	eatu
i	ndic
	/hi])
	the
	Wou]
	type
	was
	fol
	fea
	a.
	Ъ.
	c,

Basically, the larger the F value of the feature is, the greater the discriminating power as indicated by that feature. All F-ratio's so computed within each cluster were then rank-ordered and a feature which yielded the largest F-ratio was chosen as the best feature in that cluster.

Table 1(a) shows that three different transmission data bases of the IDS parameter resulted in varying compositions of the features and their F-ratios whithin each cluster formed by the dendrogram. For example, in the case of telephone IDS, two features, *viz.*, 117 Hz and 195 Hz, were grouped in one cluster indicating a relatively high correlation between the two features, while the same two features were grouped in different clusters in the case of normal IDS and of linear IDS.

Since it was necessary condition that each resulting pattern would be composed of the same set of features regardless of the type of transmission systems, some degree of arbitrary interaction was introduced by the experimenter. By inspecting Table 1(a), the following strategic steps were taken to determine 10 optimum features for IDS.

- a. The minimum F-ratio denoted by Fm was chosen as a cut-off criterion which was arbitrarily set to Fm = 5.0.
- b. From each cluster of features in the telephone column, a feature with the largest F value (and equal to or greater than Fm) was chosen.
- c. F values of the same feature in other two transmission data bases (normal and linear) were checked if they exceeded or

cl. 6

c1



le l(a). Results of the feature clusterings and the corresponding F-ratio's of IDS in three transmission systems.

Telep	hone transm	Lasion		Horm	1 transmiss	ion		Lines	r transmiss	ion
ature	Frequency	P-ratio		Feature	Frequency	F-ratio		Peature	Frequency	F-ratio
61	2461	3.293		45	- 1836	3.288		1 29	1211	6.235
59	2383	2.780		44	1797	2.070		28	1172	7.687
60	2422	1.869		66	1875	2.268		26	1094	4.688
65	2617	3.369	-	43	1758	1.290	-	27	1133	11.984
64	2578	5.121	. (66	2656	2.109		25	1055	3.455
63	2539	2.961	-	64	2578	2.367	•	49	1992	4.106
52	2500	2.231	U	68	2734	4.379	5	48	1953	2.629
				67	2695	4.844	0	51	2070	3.194
53	2148	2.921		65	2617	5.109		52	2109	1.546
49	1992	3.212								
52	2109	3.242		61	2461	5.266	1	10	469	5.267
58	2344	3.767	3	58	2344	4.103		·	430 -	_ 10.258
24	2187	3.056		5 62	2300	1.932		·		
50	2070	5.615		63	2505	2.547	1	43	1750	13.130
<u><u></u></u>	2266	3 613	0	1 03	2333	3.015	1	45	1836	7 967
55	2226	4.742		22	937	5.290	1	5	273	16.527
9	430	8.020	2	21	898	5.250	~)	2	156	18.285
46	1875	3.351	·	12	547	6.083	.	13	586	10.644
				2	156	20.341	-	40	1641	4.126
7	351	6.984			117	23.746	0	39	1601	4.517
4	234	4.408		60	2422	5.333		42	1719	2.639
43	1758	2.581		5	273	19.856	1	41	1680	2.675
45	1836	1.490		33	1367	3.360				
42	1719	3.559	3	14	625	6.213		50	2031	1.731
48	1953	2.755)	13	586	12.211		46	1875	8.842
47	1914	6.170	1	(11	508	13.913		47	1914	3.855
2	156	11.321	5	534	1406	8.474		75	3008	7.173
1	117	11.018		95	3789	10.824	۳,	74	2969	4.399
6	312	6.974		93	3/11	22.716		1 /3	2930	4.134
10	195	30.276	,		3750		-	1-19-	3125	8.638
10	409	1.024				12 200	0	10	3066	10.922
:	391	12 092		1 37	1867	- G 553 1		176	3047	7.935
		13.901			1945	9.521			3047	7.515
23	976	2 670		100	3984	9.638		. 83	3320	7 073
20	859	3.976		10	469	3.699		1 82	3281	9.127
27	1133	4.741						81 -	3242	11. 393
24	1016	10.585		54	2187	2.846		80	3203	9.333
57	2305	2.838	4	51	2070	11.504		84	3359	4.984
				53	2148	2.800	.+	(89	3555	15.484
98	3906	18.708	-i	49	1992	3.475	~	88	3516	14.932
97	3867	22.920	U	76	3047	4.404	•	87	3476	6.577
50	3984	14.274		55	2226	3.547	5	86	3437	8.393
99	3945	17.697					•	85	3398	8.692
14	625	10.975	5	39	1601	4.215		1 .4	234	14.374
ž1	898	8,353		38	1362	4.213		23	9/6	7.859
11	508	- 7.399.)	-	1	105			1 4	93/	5.213
12	34/	2.399	U U	1-1	745	6 776			331	9.490
96	1828	14.268		1 17	/42	0.774		55	2226	8.202
22	937	8.211	9	. 57	2305	1.426		CII	508	12.706
19	820	6.266		1 50	2031	2.276		- 37	2305	8.894
18	781	6.994	-i	25	1055	1.756		56	2266	7.368
			Ú					8	391	2.353
17	742	12.342		, 30	1250	5.421	ഹ	54	2187	4.741
16	703	12.674		27	1133	4.669	•	53	2148	5.740
15	. 664	11.810	-	24	1016	4.148		61	2461	2.961
39	1601	2.666		26	1094	5.000	0	59	2383	4.693
13	. 586	5.546		29	1211	7.751		58	2344	6.804
38	1562	4.660		28	1172	10.974		60	2422	4.327
35	1445	4.358	0	32	1321	9.278				
33	1367	6.723		31	1289	4.883		(65	2617	4.966
93	3711	17.425						62	2500	7.408
90	3594	20.345	80	69	2773	1.679	9	64	2578	6.832
92	3672	17.379		42	1/19	0.909		(33	2539	7.289
22	3789	22.447		1 73	2930	5.055		08	2/34	3.514
· · · ·			5	1 11	2851	2.309		60	2030	4.209
	3633	21.033	-	1 70	2812	2 406	-	1 67	2695	4 210
85	3437	26.927		. /0	2012	2.490			1032	4.210
83	3398	34.200	6	1 36	1484	12 580	~	/ 16	703	14 705
8.8	3516	39 217		35	1445	5.071		15	664	13,952
84	3359	39.217	<i>:</i>	37	1523	7.576		20	859	9,604
	3476	31,000	5	(23	976	3.416	0	/ 19	820	6.673
87			-					· ··		
87	3555	21.6207		75	3008	5.343		1 17	742	6.945

(continued)



Tabl



c1. 10

* Oni sta fe co wh di th ci by by

Table 1(a) continued.

	Telep	hone transm	ission		Norma	1 transmiss	lon		Linea	T transmiss	Lon
	Feature	Prequency	F-ratio		Feature	Frequency	F-ratio		Feature	Frequency	P-ratio
1	41	1680	3.163	i I	· 9	430	6.985	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	71 6	2851 312	4.784
	34	1406	7.282	6	15	664	7.295		C 3		28.833
\	44	1796	4.797	- 1	40	1641	4.523	່ ບໍ່	72	2891	2.370
	37	1523	2.436		8	391	2.244	•			
	36	1484	3.145	5	52	2109	4.648	1	70	2812	2.999
-				•	56	2266	3.183	80	14	625	6.974
	32	1328	9.552		74	2969	4.660		32	1328	5.046
1	29	1211	4.438					(31	1289	7.034
1	26	1094	3.381		/ 78	3125	7.069	່ ບໍ່	33	1367	4.157
	74	2969	7.161		77-	3086	9.603		30	1256	5.544
	31	1289	6.680		83	3320	 9.6 91				
	40	1641	2.176		79	3164	7.089		, 36	1484	7.317
1	25	1055	2.753		80	3203	10.972		35	1445	7.749
)	82	3281	15.643		(82	3281	12.201		5_34	- 1406	10.615
	81	3242	12.489		81 -	3242	11.386	6	100	3984	15.634
1	78	3125	11.438		84	3359	11.308		98	3906	18.884
	80	<u>3203</u>	9.770		4	234	8.257	•	99	3945	14.675
1			9.556)	J	91	3633	1.629	5	37	1523	8.333
	79	3164	-4.496		89	3555	15.626		`28	1562	3.828
1	75	3008	7.932		92	3672	4.086				
١.	76	3047	5.472	2	86	3437	.5.115		24	1016	5.196
	30	1250	9.789	•••	85	3398	1.730		/ 18	781	7.164
	28	1172	3.033	•	90	3594	5.862		21	898	11.390
					87	3476	4.933		<u> </u>	3867	21.679
(72	2891	6.292	0	88	3516	9.714	0	94	3756	32.102
	71	2851	6.043		7	351	8.883	- H	96	3828	28.935
	70	2812	3.670		47	1914	2.014	•	95	3789	21.514
ł	73	2930	5.819		18	781	3.582		93	3711	20.590
	67	2695	6.294		20	859	4.739	ษ	92	3672	22.930
	66	2656	5.058		19	820	4.236	-	91	3633	16.660
	69	2773	2.388		48	1953	4.863		\ 90	3594	17.117
	68	2734	3.374		\ 16	703	7.826		12	547	4.634

Only features (frequency components) of IDS considered in this stdudy were the ones circled. Full line circles indicate those features selected as optimum from the corresponding transmission column. Dotted line circles also indicate optimum features, but which were resulted from the interaction in the selection strategy discussed in the text. Note that in every transmission column there are 10 common features as indicated by full and dotted line circles. Clusterings of 100 features into 10 subsets (as indicated by cl. 1, cl.2, etc) in each column in the above table were produced by dendrograms in Appendix D.

d.

the

deter

stra

feat

resu

corr

in

whie

ste

bas

SUI

pro

de

lT

equal to Fm = 5.0.

Then, if both F values in two trasmission data bases met the criterion of Fm > 5.0, that feature was selected as an optimum feature from the cluster considered. Otherwise, another feature of the next largest F value from the same cluster was processed for the same sequence until a feature was found which met the criterion in 3, or all features were exhaused.

The above steps were repeated for the remaining clusters of e telephone transmission data base. Optimum features so far termined are marked by the solid circles in Table 1(a). The same rategy was applied to further determine the remaining 5 optimum atures basing on the other two transmission data bases. The sults are also marked by full line circles entered in the rresponding columns of Table 1(a). Dotted line circles appearing each transmission column of the table are also optimum features ich were resulted from the interaction of the aforementioned eps.

Consequently, 10 mutually common optimum features of the IDS sed on three transmission data bases were selected. These are mmarized in Table 2.

Another set of 10 optimum features of the LTAS were also epared by referring to Table 1(b) according to the similar steps scribed above. The results of 10 optimum features determined for AS are summarized in Table 3.



T	elephone tran	emission		Norma	transmissi	on .		Linear transmission			
Feature	Frequency	F-ratio		Feature	Frequency	F-ratio		Festure	Frequency	F-ratio	
4	234	50.969		(20	859	12.959		87	3476	7.318	
2	156	36.392	\[19	820	18.354		86	3437	8.495	
1	117	131.098	/-	70	2812	8.907			- 3510	-10.0/	
/ 3	430	17 610	۰. • <i>ا</i>	72	2773	8 715		81 -	- 1120 -	- 12 14	
·		- 17.019	· – ۱	C11	2851	8 362		82	3281	21.02	
35	1445	15,200	0	70	742	12.901		81	3242	22.170	
34	1406	20.897	>	16	703	16.073		85	3398	10.84	
36	1484	11.153	-	18	781	17.325	-7	80	3203	56.84	
38	1562	13.054		•			• • •	79	3164	41.88	
37	1523	8.227		95	3789	13.799	그	76	3047	39.47	
42	1719	14.422		94	3750	22.689		75	3125	46 47	
41	1680	9 571	(3900	14.588		77	3086	31.59	
10	1601	8 292			1945	16.670		74	2969	25.18	
				96	3828	15.930		73	2930	20.03	
28	1172	3.210	~~(100	3984	16.525		72	2891	24.34	
27	1133	7.858	• 1	C 3	195	50.299					
33	1367	18.652	5	ć 12		21.086		48	1953	6.47	
31	1289	18.481		11	508	48.115		47	1914	9.88	
32	1328	12.612		10	469	26.683	2	49	2070	9.24	
30	1250	11.374		86	3437	8.971		50	2031	9.96	
29	1211	14 120		85	1198	8.768	-	53	2148	9.93	
2	391	13 136		88	3516	8.735	0	52	2109	11.61	
ś	273	20.889	1	87	3476	12.500		•			
-				93	3711	23.368	(42	1719	8.75	
\$6.	2656	_11.325_		92	3672	9.701		41	1680	17.58	
65	2617	11.406	:)	91	3633	18.468	1	57	2305	38.19	
64 -	2578	9.614	51	90	3594	12.744	- 1	50	2226	17.35	
62	2500	8.869	- 1	89	3555	6 458		54	2187	10.13	
61	2461	6.112		22	937	6.847		38	1562	16.48	
63	2539	7.125			0,0			37	1523	19.33	
44	1875	8 026	4	74	2969	17.181		40	1641	15.95	
48	1953	3,168	•(73	2930	10.026	J	39	1601	9.16	
26	1094	5.584	- 21				പ	35	1445		
25	1055	2.932	0	60	2422	32.843		'- 3ª		- 716	
24	1016	6.775		59	2383	21.795		62	2500	25.48	
23	976	3.942		/ 62	2500	19.744	°.	61	2461	48.12	
22	937	4.256		61	17.06	39 174		59	2383	29.50	
					1367	15.605		58	2344	35.59	
47	2969	10.3/0		36	1484	18.857		60	2422	33.44	
/3	2930	8 366		35	1445	16.923		13	586	17.40	
- 11	2851	15.235		(84	3359	17.061)			2011	22.20	
68	- 2734	10.239		83	3320	19.710		C71	2851	20.4	
67	2695	12.312	(<u>م</u>	82	3281	53.856		10	2773	11.24	
70	2812	8.807		_81	3242	40.599		69	2734	9.1	
69	2773	11.396		(80		- 35 587		67	2695	6.8	
			ίU	79	3125	71,138	4	66	2656	12.4	
60	2422	5.075		77	3086	35.397		63	2617	10.6	
59	2383	0.035		76	3047	18.257	5	64	2578	16.5	
57	2344	5.486		75	3008	16.621	v	63	2539	15.5	
56	2266	5.213						1 05	3789	15.2	
55	2226	4.228		(4	234	75.829		.95	3750	16.2	
54	2187	3.161		2	156	187.373		93	3711	23.8	
50	2031	5.383		C		15 202	5	99	3945	17.8	
49	1992	5.790	9	39	1562	15.491	- '	98	3906	17.9	
53	2148	4.565	•	38	1523	21.668	1	97	3867	33.6	
52	2109	4.422	5	13	586	22.938	5	96	3828	26.3	
51	2070	7 48	5					100	105	18 7	
	1/9/	8 521		/ 58	2344	22.609		12-	195 -		
45	1836	4.647		57	2305	18.117		1 22	976	12.1	
	312	4.559		56	2266	14.462		22	937	11.3	
				55	2226	4 148		24	1016	12.9	
82	3281	16.610	~	47	1914	9.658		19	820	9.5	
81	3242	17.938		46	1836	11.533	9	(16	703	25.3	
80	3203	9.887		45	1797	7.431		18	781	23.2	
78	3125	17.225	(ب	1 13	1758	4.060		C17	742	18.8	
77	3086	10.802		42	1719	5.809	U	21	898	7 7	
	3104	14.010		1 17	1/00	188		1 20	837		
19	3047	14.852		41	1660	0.000		1			

le l(b). Results of the feature clusterings and the corresponding F-ratio's of LTAS in three transmission systems. 1

(continued)

cl. 10 cl. 9 cl. 8

*
Table 1(b) continued.

	Telep	hone transm	ission		Norma	1 transmiss	ion		Lines	r transmiss	ion
	Feature	Frequency	F-ratio		Feature	Frequency	F-ratio		Feature	Frequency	F-ratio
					54	2187	11.382		92	3672	16.137
	100	3984	11.982		53	2148	10.170		91	3633	18.267
	99	3945	17.497	2	52	2109	16.789	2	90	3594	18.290
	96	3828	24,616		51	2070	12.407		89	3555	8.917
- 1	84	3359	37.874	<u> </u>	50	2031	5.674		6	312	8.771
1	83	3320	16.394	5	49	1992	11.061		10	469	22.361
	87	3476	32,655	-	48	1953	7.628	-	9	430	16.746
- 1	98	3906	10.631								
- 1	97	3867	21.443		/ 26	1094	9.599		1 6 12	547	19.424 ;
o /	95	3789	1T.462		25	1055	7.505	80		508	39.773
- \	94	3750	13.388		8	391	17.578		4	234	82.301
:	93	3711	13.085		29	1211	19.242		2	156	143.825
3	92	3672	14.301		28	1172	22.832	5	1	117	191.461
- I	90	3594	10.374	80	\$ 27	1133	17.779	-	1		
	91	3633	12.009		32	1328	27.179		. 8	391	18.092
1	89	3555	13.085		31	1289	19.942		5	273	37.258
1	86	3437	14.807	5	30	1250	17.065		15	664	39.859
	88	3516	18.315	-	24	1016	10.195		1 14	625	23.351
	85	3398	20.899					9	32	1328	19.659
					1 15	664	17.506		31	1289	18.872
7	1 13	586	7.356	6	1 7	351	31.731	-	33	1367	26.845
	(12	547	12.854		(14	625	13.378	U	1 7	351	23.878
•	14	625	8.019		23	976	5/905				
				5	5	273	83.671		. 46	1875	14.662
•	. 16	703	11.959	-					45	1836	14.907
	1 15	664	11.573		. 64	2578	13.558		44	1797	24.200
	18	781	18.674	-	63	2539	14.048	0	43	1797	17.924
-	117	74.2	36 773	21	67	2695	15.116	H	26	1094	11.755
Ξ.	19	820	12.322	-	66	2656	17.382		\$ 25	1055	7.656
	21	898	7.869) 68	2734	11.231		27	1133	19.880
	20	859	5.798	5	65	2617	29.236	5	29	1211	18.722
5	11	508	9,390	•	-	430	20.091	-	28	1172	14.288
-	10	469	24.984		6	312	12.267		30	1250	18.073

* Only features (frequency components) of LTAS considered in this study were the ones circled. Full line circles indicate those features selected as optimum from the corresponding transmission column. Dotted line circles also indicate optimum features, but which were resulted from the interaction in the selection strategy discussed in the text. Note that in every transmission column there are 10 common features as indicated by full and dotted line circles combined. Clusterings of 100 features into 10 subsets (as indicated by cl. 1, cl. 2, etc.) in each column in the above table were produced by dendrograms in Appendix D.

Table

> * F-rat Df o:

		F-ratio [*] in					
ture #)	Frequency (Hz)	Telephone transmission	Normal transmission	Linear transmission			
1	117	11.02	23.75	31.99			
2	195	30.28	61.35	28.83			
3	430	9.02	6.96	10.26			
4	508	7.40	13.91	12.71			
5	1406	7.28	8.47	10.61			
5	3086	9.56	9.61	10.99			
7	3281	15.64	12.20	9.13			
3	3555	21.62	15.63	15.48			
)	3750	23.28	12.62	32.10			
)	3867	22.92	14.55	21.68			

Table 2. Optimum features selected for IDS parameter (as denoted by circles in Table 1(a)).

-ratio is statistically significant (p=0.05) for F > 2.12 with f of numerator = 9, and Df of denominator = 40.

Table Featur (#)

* F-r Df

			F-ratio * in				
ature #)	Frequency (Hz)	Telephone transmission	Normal transmission	Linear transmissio			
1	117	131.10	147.55	191.46			
2	195	17.62	50.30	28.36			
3	547	12.85	21.09	18.84			
4	742	36.73	21.90	18.84			
5	1406	20.90	39.17	47.20			
6	2617	11.41	29.24	10.62			
7	2851	15.24	8.36	23.30			
8	3203	9.89	43.49	56.85			
9	3359	37.87	17.06	10.85			
10	3867	21.44	14.59	33.64			

Table 3. Optimum features selected for LTAS parameter (as denoted by circles in Table 1(b)).

F-ratio is statistically significant (p=0.05) for F>2.12 with Df of numerator = 9, and Df of denominator = 40.



The general trend as shown in Table 2 was that the lowest two frequency components, 117 Hz and 195 Hz, and highest four components, 3281 Hz, 3555 Hz, 3750 Hz, and 3860 Hz had relatively greater F values than those of the frequencies between the two extremes. Unlike the case of IDS, most features of the LTAS resulted in somewhat similar F values across the three transmission systems excepting for one, 117 Hz. This feature yielded the extremely high values of 131.10, 147.55, and 191.46 for telephone, normal and linear transmission LTAS parameter, respectively.

In all cases, F-ratio was statistically significant (at p=0.05) for F>2.12. All features (for IDS and LTAS) had greater F values than this critical value of 2.12. One interesting outcome was the composition of the optimum features in the IDS and LTAS parameters in spite of the presumably different speech characteristics that they carried: Four features (117, 195, 1406 and 3867 Hz) were shared by both parameters. The remaining six features were distributed somewhat differently along the frequency interval.

2. FFC

Since the number of features included in an FFC was not very large, feature optimization for the FFC speech parameter was attempted by the use of F-ratio alone. To study the relative effectiveness in discriminating between different speakers, all features in an FFC were subjected to F-ratio statistics. Each F value was computed over 50 patterns (5 for each speaker) and for three different transmission systems.



Table 4 is a list of F-ratios of nine features of the FFC computed from speech data in three transmission systems. As shown in Table 4 Fo (mean fundamental frequency) had the largest F-ratio in all transmission systems (F=429.705, 147.915, 313.346, for telephone, normal, and linear respectively). OFo (standard deviation of Fo) resulted in much smaller F-ratio than that of Fo in all transmission systems (F=55.378, 28.488, and 4.976, for telephone, normal, and linear, respectively).

These results of $\overline{F}o$ and $\overline{O}Fo$ in this study comply with the ones reported by Markel *et al.*, (1977), Hunt *et al.*, (1977), and Atal (1972) in view of the relative effectiveness of these two features in discriminating speakers.

Less conspicuous features were found to be $\overline{O}Ao$ (standard deviation of amplitudes of successive peaks of Fo) and $\overline{A}Ao$ (temporal variation of amplitudes of successive peaks of Fo) which had the smallest F-ratio among the rest, in all transmission systems. Especially, in linear transmission, these two features, $\overline{O}Ao$ and $\overline{A}Ao$ yielded F-ratios smaller than the critical value of F=2.12.

3. SPT

No feature optimization procedure was taken for the SPT, *i.e.*, all SPT's retained the original dimensionality of 2048 frequency components and entered as they were to voice identification operations.



	F-ratio* in					
Feature** name	Telephone transmission	Normal transmission	Linear transmission			
F	429.705	147.915	313.346			
σF	55.378	28.488	40.976			
ĀF	34.616	37.447	27.443			
$\overline{\Delta}F_0 / \overline{F}_0$	5.274	5.404	6.499			
(max)	41.384	51.388	9.240			
(min)	7.289	11.570	4.440			
(rng)	18.457	17.272	7.107			
A ₀	5.274	5.320	1.152			
A O	2.486	4.374	1.370			

Table 4. Nine features and F-ratios of the FFC.

* F-ratio of each feature for each transmission was computed on a data set of 50 samples. Between speaker variance was based on 10 speakers and the within speaker variance was based on 5 samples for each speaker. F-ratio was statistically significant (p = 0.05) for F > 2.12 with Df of numerator = 9, and Df of denominator = 40.

* Fo = mean fundamental frequency (Fo).

 σ Fo = standard deviation of Fo.

 $\overline{\Delta}$ Fo = average temporal variation of Fo.

 $\overline{\Delta}$ Fo / Fo = ratio of the average variation of Fo to the mean of Fo.

Fo(max) = maximum (highest) Fo.

Fo(min) = minimum (lowest) Fo.

Fo(rng) = range of Fo.

 $\overline{\Delta}$ Ao = average temporal variation of peak amplitude of Fo.

 $\sigma A \sigma$ = standard deviation peak amplitude of Fo.



VOICE IDENTIFICATION EXPERIMENTS

Organization of Experiment

A total of 24 voice identification experiments were conducted by different combinations of the speech parameters and types of transmission systems. In addition, all the parameters were tested in the cross-transmission as well as in the within-transmission voice identification experiments. In the cross-transmission, all unknown speakers' voices were based upon the telephone system, while all known speakers' were based upon either the normal or linear transmission system. It was assumed that all speakers were represented by the biased response characteristics. In contrast, in the within-transmission experiment, both the unknown's and the known's voices were based upon the same transmission system. Hence, in the latter case, all the speakers were represented free from the influence of the transmission system.

Two major steps involved in each experiment were 1) measurement of distance and 2) application of the decision rules. Description of these two steps follows.

Distance Measurement

As a measure of proximity, or separation, between a pair of patterns, one belonging to the unknown and another belonging to the known, Euclidian distance was applied. Euclidian distance is a vectorial summation of the differences between a pair of features available in the patterns. This implies that if the values



wher

dista

assigned to the features are not distributed homogeneously across a pattern, the distance measure may introduce a highly biased result. For this reason, prior to the computation of Euclidian distance, all features in the parameter — IDS, LTAS, and FFC — were standardized by Z-transformation. Each feature in a pattern was standardized by transforming into a Z-score as described below.

$$Z_{ij} = \frac{P_{ij} - P_{i}}{\sigma P_{i}}$$
 for i = 1, 2, ..., 1
(number of features)
j = 1, 2, ..., J
(number of patterns)

where \bar{p}_i and σp_i are the mean and the standard deviation of the ith feature computed over J (=50 in this study) patterns. Z_{ij} is a transformed score for the ith feature of the jth pattern. Then, these standardized Z values were used in the subsequent Euclidian distance measurement.

Euclidian distance was calculated by the following expression:

$$D_{ij} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{K} (z_{ik} - z_{jk})^2}$$

where; D = Euclidian distance between the ith pattern and the jth ij pattern,

> Z_{ik} = kth feature of the ith pattern, Z_{jk} = kth feature of the jth pattern, K = total number of features within a pattern.

Deci min ide ۱. unk dia U W p 0 S t

Decision rules

Two decision rules, the nearest-neighbor decision rule and the minimum set distance rule were applied concurrently for all voice identification experiments.

1. The nearest-neighbor decision rule:

This decision rule assigned one of the known speakers to the unknown by the following sequence. Figure 11 is a simplified diagram to illustrate the following sequence.

- a. Designating one of the n patterns belonging to the unknown as the test pattern, and all other patterns belonging to the knowns as reference patterns.
- b. Computing the Euclidian distance between this test pattern and all other reference patterns.
- c. Assigning the test pattern to the known one of whose reference patterns is the closest (the nearest) to the test pattern: One decision has been rendered.
- d. Repeating a through c until all patterns of the unknown are processed as test patterns.

Up to this point, n identification decisions (n=5, in this study) were reached, *i.e.*, the Euclidian distances from each of the n test patterns available for an unknown to all other reference patterns of the known speakers (the number of reference patterns in this study was 50). Then the entire sequence was repeated to process the remaining unknown speakers.

A total of 50 decisions for each voice identification experiment were yielded by the nearest-neighbor decision rule.



Figure 11. A diagram illustrating the nearest-neighbor decision rule. All the speakers are treated as the unknowns basing on the telephone transmission as well as the knowns basing on the linear/normal transmission system. An arrow indicates Euclidian distance between the test pattern of the unknown to all reference patterns of the knowns. Note that Euclidian distance is not computed among the unknowns nor among the knowns, and that the length of an arrow is not proportional to the actual Euclidian distance.

2. Th Ur require set di consis compute illust а b С d . The a speake exper to . combi 2. The minimum set distance rule:

Unlike the former decision rule, this set distance rule requires a priori category (speaker) information in determining the set distance between two speakers under process. A set is consisted of n patterns assigned to each speaker. Major steps to compute a set distance in this study is discussed next. Figure 12 illustrates these steps by using n=3 for simplicity.

- a. The Euclidian distance from the set of unknown patterns to each set of known patterns are computed.
- b. Then, the maximum distance from each unknown pattern to each known pattern within a category is chosen.
- c. From this set of maximum Euclidian distances the minimum is chosen to represent the Euclidian distance between the unknown speaker to every known speaker. These sets of distances are ranked from the shortest to the longest distance.
- d. Finally, the known speaker whose set distance to the unknown is the shortest is assigned to the unknown.

The above procedures were then repeated for the remaining unknown speakers. A total of 10 decisions for each voice identification experiment were yielded by the minimum set distance decision rule.

Two decision rules described above were concurrently applied to voice identification operations conducted under various combinations of the speech parameters and transmission systems.

Unknown

U13

Figure The rep the pa un le

A fr th In kn

kn ar ey



igure 12. A diagram showing an example of the minimum set distance rule. Three speakers are shown, one as an unknown and two as knowns, each represented by three patterns. In this diagram, the symbol U11 denotes the first pattern of the unknown speaker 1, and a symbol K11, the first pattern of the known speaker 1. A line drawn between a pattern of the unknown and that of the known indicates the Euclidian distance. The length of the line is proportional to the Euclidian distance computed. A '" indicates the maximum distance among Euclidian distances computed from a pattern of the unknown to all patterns of the known. A 'O' is the minimum distance of the maxima between the unknown and the known. In this example, the minimum set distance between the unknown and the known speaker 1 is designated as D(1,1) and that between the unknown and the known speaker 2, as D(1,2). Since D(1,1) < D(1,2) in this example, the unknown is identified with the known speaker 1.

ide spe sys wer spe spe anc as the Cha id te ei sp iç h C S Ľ

CHAPTER 111

RESULTS

This Chapter focuses on the results of the voice identification operations which were conducted by using different speech parameters tested under various combinations of transmission systems (telephone, normal, and linear). Speech parameters tested were IDS (intensity deviation spectra), LTAS (long-term averaged spectra), FFC (fundamental frequency contour), SPT (choral spectra), and two composite parameters of IDS and FFC and of LTAS and FFC. In each identification operation, 10 male speakers served as both unknown and known speakers. Speech data obtained from all the speakers were 'text-independent' as described in the previous Chapter.

Table 5 summarizes the results of the cross-transmission voice identification (all the unknown speakers were recorded through a telephone system and all the known speakers were recorded through either normal or linear systems). The relative effectiveness of speech parameters are depicted in Table 5 in terms of the correct identification rates. It is clearly seen from this table that the highest correct identification rate of 100 % was achieved by the composite parameter of LTAS and FFC, and the lowest rate of 20 % by SPT. The identification rates of the remaining parameters, IDS, LTAS, and FFC (each tested as a single parameter) and the composite

Table

*

Type of parameter and transmission	Rate of correct * identification (%)
IDS	
Telephone vs. Normal	70
Telephone vs. Linear	60
LTAS	
Telephone vs. Normal	70
Telephone vs. Linear	70
FFC	
Telephone vs. Normal	50
Telephone vs. Linear	40
SPT	
Telephone vs. Normal	20
Telephone vs. Linear	20
IDS + FFC	
Telephone vs. Normal	60
Telephone vs. Linear	60
LTAS + FFC	
Telephone vs. Normal	100
Telephone vs. Linear	100

Table 5. Summary of the results of the cross-transmission voice identification operations.

* By the minimum set distance rule.

of IDS Ta the in the in Furthe presen as se Theref identi F the r the fa the d opera obtai the known teste (wher this this IDS. iden over the

of IDS and FFC fell in the intermediate range of 40 to 70 %.

Table 6 provides more comprehensive results in order to enable the interpretation of the elimination effect of each parameter upon the influence of the response curve of the transmission systems. Further detailed identification results for all the operations are presented in Appendix G. Two independently applied decision rules, as seen in Table 6, resulted in close conformity to one another. Therefore, the following discussion of the results is based on the identification rates yielded only by the minimum set distance rule.

First, IDS produced the elimination effect on the influence of the response curve. This interpretation is clearly supported by the fact that similar identification rates were obtained in both the cross-transmission and the within-transmission identification operations. This effect can also be seen by comparing the rates obtained by IDS (60 -70 %) with the ones obtained by SPT (20 %).

Second, LTAS was found to be susceptible to the influence of the type of transmission systems used. This susceptibility is known by the decrease in the identification rates from 100 % (when tested under the within-transmission operations) to 60 to 70 % (when tested under the cross-transmission operations). In spite of this susceptibility of the LTAS to the type of transmission system, this parameter yielded about the same identification rate as the IDS. The reason that both LTAS and IDS resulted in the same identification rate could be in the way that LTAS was extracted by overlapping the set of short-term spectra. Practically, LTAS was the same as the denominator used in the expression to compute the

Table
Desi
Cros
tran
Wi+h
tran
Desi
Crostra
wit
ULU.
Dee
Des
Cro
tra
Des Cro
tra
Wi t
tr
*
**

							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Туре о р	f transm arameter	issi use	on and spe d for	ech	Identifi rate (ir	cation
	unknown sp	eakers		known spea	akers	rule 1*	rule 2**
Design 1							
	Telephone	(IDS)	vs.	Normal	(IDS)	52	70
Cross-	Telephone	(IDS)	vs.	Linear	(IDS)	56	60
transmission	Telephone	(LTAS)	vs.	Normal	(LTAS)	70	70
	Telephone	(LTAS)	vs.	Linear	(LTAS)	66	70
	Telephone	(IDS)	vs.	Telephone	(IDS)	58	60
	Normal	(IDS)	vs.	Normal	(IDS)	64	70
Within-	Linear	(IDS)	vs.	Linear	(IDS)	70	70
transmission	Telephone	(LTAS)	vs.	Telephone	(LTAS)	100	100
	Normal	(LTAS)	vs.	Normal	(LTAS)	98	100
	Linear	(LTAS)	vs.	Linear	(LTAS)	98	100
Design 2							
Cross-	Telephone	(FFC)	vs.	Normal	(FFC)	52	50
transmission	Telephone	(FFC)	vs.	Linear	(FFC)	58	40
within-	Telephone	(FFC)	vs.	Telephone	(FFC)	48	40
transmission	Normal	(FFC)	vs.	Normal	(FFC)	48	40
	Linear	(FFC)	vs.	Linear	(FFC)	56	50
Design 3							
	Telephone	(IDS+FF	C) v	s. Normal	(IDS+FFC) 62	60
Cross-	Telephone	(IDS+FF	C) v	s. Linear	(IDS+FFC) 56	60
transmission	Telephone	(LTAS+F)	FC)v	s. Normal	(LTAS+FF	C) 92	100
	Telephone	(LTAS+F)	FC)v	s. Linear	(LTAS+FF	C) 94	100
Design 4							
Cross-	Telephone	(SPT)	vs.	Normal	(SPT)	10	20
transmission	Telephone	(SPT)	vs.	Linear	(SPT)	20	20
Within-	Telephone	(SPT)	vs.	Telephone	(SPT)	92	80
transmission	Normal	(SPT	vs.	Normal	(SPT)	94	90
	Linear	(SPT)	vs.	Linear	(SPT)	88	80

Table 6. Summary of the results of 24 voice identification operations

* The nearest-neighbor decision rule. ** The minimum set distance decision rule.

IDS
of
corr
were
wer
bei
suf
App
spe
fre
9
6
ho
sp
fe
di
co
ti
v
a
•
-
c
ŝ

IDS parameter.

Third, FFC was also shown to be quite free from the influence of the frequency response curve -- FFC resulted in very similar correct identification rates no matter what transmission systems were used for both unknown and known speakers. However, the rates were only moderate at 40 to 50 %. This implies that FFC, although being free from the influence of the response curve, may not be a sufficiently effective speech parameter for voice identification.

With reference to the FFC features, the raw data presented in Appendix E were inspected. It revealed that certain groups of speakers shared extremely similar Fo's (average fundamental frequency) and other features. For example, speakers 1, 3, 5, and 9 had among themselves almost interchangeably close Fo's. Speakers 6 and 10 formed another group with very close Fo's. This homogeneity of the distribution of Fo's within the certain group of speakers appears to be rather contradictory to the fact that this feature, Fo, resulted in the highest F-ratio (indicating good discriminating power) in all the transmission data bases. Such a contradiction, however, may not be surprising considering the fact that F-ratio only reflected (as applied in this study) the variation of the feature values among the speakers as a whole group, instead of the variation between all possible parings of the individual speakers. Apparently, interpretation of the face value the F-ratio as the measure of discriminating power for the of speakers must be made with caution.

iden were Ν. rate spea noti cori tha cha (as the con dis hi ор рг as cl P a С r Fourth, SPT came out as predicted. High correct identification rates (80 to 90 %) were produced when the voices were recorded only by one type of the transmission system, but the rates decreased (20 %) when voices of the unknown and the known speakers were recorded through different transmission systems.

Fifth, the composite of IDS and FFC did not show any noticeable improvement in terms of elimination effect and the correct identification rates. This was probably due to the fact that both parameters included the same type of speech characteristics. In view of the results that these two parameters (as tested separately) were relatively free from the influence of the transmission system, the identification rate of 60 % by a combination of the features from IDS and FFC was a rather disappointing outcome.

Finally, the composite of LTAS and FFC showed the unexpectedly high correct identification rate of 100 ° in two cross-transmission operations (telephone vs. normal and telephone vs.linear). The probable reason for this high identification rate can be expressed as follows. LTAS and FFC carried different types of speech characteristics working in a complementary fashion, *i.e.*, LTAS provided the static spectral features, thus reflecting more or less average vocal tract shape during speech production, while FFC contained the fundamental frequency related features, thus reflecting information about the glottal dynamics in on-going speech.

the	
proje	
i ur	
is de	
from	
appr	
know	
two-	
of	
fror	
i	
spe	
is	
гер	
spe	
13	
id	
sp	
th	
di	
c	
W	
s	

The following Figure 13(a-e), 14(a-e), and 15(a-e) show, for sake of illustration, two-dimensional projections (nonlinear the projection algorithm by Sammon, 1969) each projection consisting of 1 unknown and 5 known speakers. Briefly, the Sammon's projection is described to perform a point mapping of N L-dimensional vectors from the L-space to a lower-dimensional space to preserve approximate data structure. In this study, N=6 (1 unknown and 5 known speakers) and L=5 (5 samples/speaker) was plotted into a two-dimensional space. In each projection, five patterns (samples) of the unknown speaker are denoted by ui (i=unknown speaker index from 1 to 5), and a center of the dispersion of the unknown speaker i is indicated by Uci. Known speakers are simply denoted by the speaker index and the center of the dispersion of known speaker i is indicated by Kci.

In Figure 13 (a-e) all the speakers (unknown and known) are represented by telephone IDS data base. It is shown that unknown speaker 1 is closest to known speaker 1 (correct identification, 13 (a) unknown speaker 4, closest to known speaker 4 (correct identification, 13 (d)), but unknown speaker 5 as closest to unknown speaker 3 (incorrect identification, 13 (c). As clearly shown in these projections (Figure 13 (a-e), relatively tight spatia) distribution of 5 speakers could be accounted for rather modium correct identification rate achieved by IDS as tested under all the within- and cross-transmission operations.

In Figure 14 (a-e), each projection shows 1 unknown and 5 known speakers, all the speakers represented by telephone LTAS. In
Fi



speakers, all represented by telephone IDS parameter: (a) 5 known sys. unknown 1; (b) 5 knowns vs. unknown 2; (c) 5 knowns bs. unknown 3; (d) 5 knowns vs. unknown 4; and (e) 5 knowns vs. unknown 5.



Fig



Fig



- Unknown speaker 4= u4
- Kcj = The center of dispersion of samples of the jth known speaker.
- Uc4 = The center of dispersion of samples of unknown

Fig



,

F





Unknown speaker $2 = u^2$ Kcj = The center of dispersion of samples of the jth known speaker Uc2 = The center of dispersion of samples of the unknown speaker 2





Unknown speaker 4 = u4Kcj = The center of dispersion of samples of the jth known speaker 4

Uc4 = The center of dispersion of samples of the unknown speaker 4.





contrast to the spatial dispersion of 5 known speakers by IDS given in Figure 13(a-e), here, all the speakers (both unknown and known) are more clearly separated.

In Figure 15(a-e), each projection shows 1 unknown and 5 known speakers, all speakers being represented by the composite of LTAS and FFC parameters, and the unknown speaker recorded through a normal transmission system. These projections (a-e) indicate that all 5 unknown speakers were correctly identified and that all the known speakers were shown to have the relatively small intra-speaker variation.



Unknown speaker 1 = ul Kcj = The center of dispersion of samples of the jth known speaker. Ucl = The center of dispersion of samples of the unknown speaker 1. Figure 15 (a-e). Sammon's projections of 5 known speakers and 5 unknown

"Igure 15 (a-e). Sammon's projections of 5 known speakers and 5 unknown speakers - knowns represented by the composite parameter of FFC and LTAS by normal transmission system and unknowns represented by the same composite parameter by telephone transmission system. 5 known speakers vs. (a) unknown speaker 1; (b) unknown speaker 2; (c) unknown speaker 3; (d) unknown speaker 4; (e) unknown speaker 5.





Figure 15 (b). 5 known speakers (composite of FFC and LTAS by normal transmission) vs. unknown speaker 2 (composite of FFC and LTAS by telephone transmission).

Known speaker 1 = 1
Known speaker 2 = 2
Known speaker 3 = 3
Known speaker 4 = 4
Known speaker 5 = 5
Unknown speaker 2 = u2
Kcj = The center of dispersion of samples of the jth known speaker.
Uc2 = The center of dispersion of samples of the unknown speaker 2.





Figure 15(c). 5 known speakers (composite of FFC and LTAS by normal transmission) vs. unknown speaker 3 (composite of FFC and LTAS by telephone transmission).

Known speaker 1 =	1			
Known speaker 2 =	2			
Known speaker 3 =	3			
Known speaker 4 =	4			
Known speaker 5 =	5			
Unknown speaker 3 =	u3			
Kcj = The center of	dispersion	of samples	of the	jth known speaker.
Uc3 = The center of	dispersion	of samples	of the	unknown speaker 3.











CHAPTER IV

DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, the speech materials were produced from 10 male speakers, each speaker simultaneously recorded by three different transmission and recording systems. Four types of speech parameters were extracted from the text-independent materials to represent the speakers, as unknown and known persons. Then these parameters were studied in voice identification operations for their effectiveness in eliminating the influence of the response characteristics of the transmission and recording devices.

DISCUSSIONS

Analytical comparisons of the results obtained in this study to those reported in the literature do not appear feasible nor meaningful due to the large variation in the types of phonetic materials, size and type of the speaker population, methodology and procedure employed, *etc.* Nonetheless, in order to facilitate some reasonable interpretation of the results from this study, several factors other than the distortion due to the transmission system which could have been critically involved in the process are discussed below.



On Speaker Population

Typically the number of speakers included in studies of voice identification by computer is small. This fact is mainly due to the huge amount of information present in a brief segment of speech to be processed by the limited memory capacity and computational speed of the most computers. Clearly this constraint upon the size of speaker population makes it difficult to generalize many study results. Doddington (1974) presented a computer simulation on the expected error rate for speaker identification as a function of population size. It was shown that the overall probability of an incorrect decision is a monotonically increasing function of population size. Some examples of expected error rate (for optimum identification) with population size N were 0.01 for N=2, 0.025 for N=5, 0.08 for N=10, 0.18 for N=20, and 0.5 for N=100. According to Doddington's study, then, the error rate of 0.08 or conversely the correct identification rate of 0.92 (92%) can be interpreted as optimum, or sufficient for voice identification by computer; but it seems to be far from the ultimate goal for practical use.

In addition to the number of speakers employed, the ' homogeneity of the speaker population is also known to considerably affect the identification rate. On the issue of 'homogeneity' of speaker population, Bogner (1981) presented a good example in explaining the varying results of identification rates reported in much of the literature:



One possible 'explanation' is that this talker's speech is exceptionally similar to the average of the population of talkers. Let us denote talkers of this type as 'type-X.' Assuming that a proportion 0.1 of the talker population is of type-X, we find that the expected number of such talkers in a sample of 10 is 1, with a standard deviation of 1. Thus, it would not be surprising to find some sets of 10 talkers, with no type-X talkers, and some with 2 or 3, causing the resultant error rates to differ greatly, by factors of more than 3.

Raw data (from Appendix E) were inspected to check how the speaker population in this study can be explained in Bogner's view. The sample average of $\overline{F}o$, which yielded the largest F-ratio value, was calculated over five FFC's for all the speakers. Based on this feature alone, speakers 1, 3, 5, and 9 were found to be extremely similar, as their $\overline{F}o$'s were 109.8, 110.1, 111.1, and 111.7 Hz, respectively. Visual inspection of Appendix G (11-15) also indicated that these four speakers were frequently misidentified among themselves. Speakers 2 and 10 were seldom misidentified with any other speakers. Speaker 2 had the lowest $\overline{F}o$, whereas speaker 10 had the highest $\overline{F}o$.

Another interesting interpretation can be drawn from the results obtained by the use of the LTAS speech parameter. Judging from the 100% identification rate achieved under the within-transmission voice identification, it appears that the speakers in this study were less homogeneous when represented by their long-term spectral characteristics than when represented by glottal dynamic characteristics. In other words, given a group of speakers, the spectral features have greater discriminablity in distinguishing speakers than glottal features do. In general, this complies with the results of Markel *et al.* (1977) and Hunt *et al.*



(1977).

On Effects of Feature Optimization

The major topic of this study was the 'elimination' of the distortion of the frequency characteristics existing in the speech samples of the unknown speakers and the known speakers who were recorded through the different transmission systems. Two The first approach was attempted by approaches were tried. applying the IDS and the LTAS parameters whose features were optimized (reduction and selection). The second approach was carried out by means of selecting several time-varying fundamental frequency related features forming the FFC parameter whose features were also subjected to the optimization procedure (selection). Since the design of this study was not intended to study the effect of the optimization procedure per se, no substantiating grounds for interpreting this effect is given in this study. Despite this indirect interpretation of this optimization effect was lack. attempted by referring to the resulting correct identification rates as the measure of effectiveness. It became obvious that the optimization procedure induced the 'elimination' effects to different degrees according to each speech parameter in use.

First, the effect of the optimization upon the IDS was undeterminable simply because there were no contrasting results to be compared. Second, in view of the fact that all the original features of the FFC were retained for voice identification operation, the optimization procedure applied to the FFC was not in



effect at all for the 'elimination'. Contrary to this, when only five features with relatively high F-ratio values were used, the FFC yielded very poor identification rates. Third, in view of the fact that the LTAS resulted in the better identification rate than the choral spectrum did, the feature optimization procedure was probably in effect for 'elimination' of the distortion. An earlier pilot study carried out with the LTAS which included all the original 128 features (no optimization) also resulted in identification rate of only a chance level of 10-20%. A reasonable speculation may be that 10 features (frequency components in Table LTAS those which 3 chosen for the were represented speaker-dependent characteristics, leaving out other characteristics related to linguistic content and to the transmission and recording devices. This speculation, of course, is difficult to verify but appears to be worthy of further elaborate investigation.

On Composite Parameter

Besides the 'elimination' of the influence of the response characteristics, another issue of concern in this study was the discriminating power of the speech parameter used. The goal of this study was to investigate speech parameters which are relatively resistive to distortion and also highly effective in identifying the speaker. The results indicated that the composite of the LTAS and FFC was the best approach to such a goal. Probable effects of the composite are suspected to be twofold: That the


number of features were simply increased by a combination of 10 from the LTAS and 9 from the FFC resulting into a total of 19 features; or different types of speech characteristics were integrated into one parameter containing both static spectral information and dynamic glottal information.

With respect to the first notion, Hughes (1968) showed that tendency of monotonically increasing the error rate of the identification beyond the certain optimum number of features and suggested that the number of the optimum features was 5, 10, 20, 100 or greater, for the size of 20, 100, 500, and larger. A similar result was also reported by Cheung and Eisenstein (1978). Using 32 features (pitch, log energy, 10 partial correlation coefficients. 10 cepstral coefficients, normalized absolute prediction error energy, 9 normalized autocorrelation and coefficients) extracted from text-independent speech data, they plotted the identification error rate as a function of the number of features. They concluded that the identification error rate gradually decreases by increasing the number of features, but it starts to taper off with 6 features -- no further improvement is gained by increasing by more than 6. Many other researchers in automatic speaker recognition appear to select a set of a certain number of features according to prior knowledge about their effectiveness without giving specific attention to the optimum number of features.

Since not only the number but the type of speech parameter from which features are derived may interact with the results, both



theoretically and practically it does not seem feasible to establish the optimum number of features. For these reasons, the possible effect of the increased number of features in the composite parameter upon the improvement of the identification rate in this study remains unanswered.

The notion perhaps provides latter more reasonable interpretation of the possible effect for improving the identification rate. As discussed earlier, one particular subgroup of speakers was consistently misidentified (correct rate 50%) among themselves when represented by one parameter (FFC), but another subgroup of speakers was misidentified (correct rate 70%) when represented by the other parameter (LTAS). In one particular linear cross-transmission condition. telephone vs. voice identification operation, the correct rate of 100% (by minimum set distance rule) was achieved when the above two parameters were made into a single composite parameter.

Cheung and Eisenstein (1978) studied text-independent voice identification and showed that if the speakers were represented by the set of features from three different types of parameters including spectral information, pitch, energy, and the identification performance was much better than if the speakers were represented by the set of features from only one of these parameters. By using text-dependent speech samples, He and Dubes (1982) also concluded that the combined feature set from the LPC and pitch contour resulted in the similar trend. Although these two studies were not concerned with the influence of the response



characteristics, the results may indicate general efficacy of the composite parameter of different types.

A reason for the poor identification rate obtained by the composite of the IDS and the FFC parameters may largely be due to the similar type of speech characteristics contained in both parameters. Since IDS was derived by the time-normalization formula reflecting the intensity variation of the feature (frequency component) as a function of time -- hence partially dynamic in nature, and FFC was mainly consisted of the variation of the fundamental frequency related features extracted from the time domain speech -- also dynamic in nature, these two parameters can be considered to share partially common characteristics.

More convincing supportive evidence for the usage of composite parameter of different types might be seen in the other methods of voice identification, namely the spectrographic method and aural method. Typically in the spectrographic method, multiple speech characteristics (parameters) are concurrently examined in a paired set of spectrograms, one for the unknown speaker and the other for the known speaker. These include mean frequencies and bandwidths of vowel formants, gaps and type of vertical striation, slopes and transition of formants, duration of similar phonetic elements and plosive gaps, energy distortion of fricatives and plosives, and interformant acoustic density patterns (Tosi et al., 1972). Perceptual speech characteristics predominantly used by the human examiner in the aural method are known to be clarity, roughness, magnitude, and animation (Voiers, 1964), pitch, intensity, quality,



and rate (Holmgren, 1967), or quality, rhythm, melody pattern, pitch, rate and respiratory group (Tosi, 1979). To date there is no evidence alluding to the superiority of the perceptual method over the computer method of identification, or vice versa. Nonetheless, in practice, it is a general notion that the computer method of the present state of art would often fail to correctly identify the speaker given the text-independent speech materials containing other undefined characteristics in addition to those of speaker dependent characteristics. Under the same circumstances, the human examiner can often recognize the speaker with relative In this sense, the ultimate goal of the computer voice ease. identification seems to be attained only by simulating yet unknown perceptual mechanisms of the trained examiner who can extract multiple speech parameters singly or in any combination, depending upon the type of speech data at hand.

To sum up, considering the results from this study and reports in the literature cited above, the main reason for the improved identification rate by the composite parameter seems to be attributed to the inclusion of two different types of speech parameters, one type carrying static spectral features, the other type carrying dynamic glottal features. Though much effort in the study of automatic voice identification has been focused on the search of the cardinal speech parameter(s), it is likely that the identification system demands several types of parameters to fully represent the speakers who are text-dependently or -independently, and/or with or without influence of the transmission system.



On Influence of Pause Elimination

During the recording session, the speaker was allowed to read the text material at his preferred reading rate. Consequently, the varying rate of each individual speaker was reflected in the resulting speech data in terms of the duration of the voiced frames or in terms of the overall articulatory patterns. For example, compressed speech (processed speech data from which pauses are deleted) of a speaker who read at a relatively faster rate might have contained more voiced frames per unit of time than that of a speaker who read at a slower rate. Inevitably, the rate of voiced frames included in the compressed speech appeared to have interacted with each speech parameter derived to the different degree and in different aspects.

One of the speech parameters, LTAS (long-term averaged spectrum) is believed to have been affected to the minimum degree by the varying rate of voiced frames. Because LTAS was a spectrum of the averaged intensities of frequency components, it reflected no dependency upon the time variation. Also, the duration of the total voiced frames in the compressed speech of all the speakers was assumed to be long enough to counterbalance the different phonetic contents for each speaker.

In contrast, because all the features in IDS and in FFC (except Fo) were computed as a function of time (IDS, computed from a successive temporary varying short-term spectra, and FFC, computed from time varying Fo contour), these two parameters are believed to have been under the influence of the rate of voiced



frames. The influence seems to be double faceted: One is that the rate of voiced frames successfully reflected speaker-dependent characteristics as it was intended; another is that it was involved as a confounding variable. The latter aspect of the influence certainly leaves some room for further investigation.

On Interaction by the Experimenter

Certainly any kind of interaction by the experimenter should be avoided, or at least minimized if a completely objective or automatic method of voice identification is desired. In this study there were two spots which demanded the intensive participation of the experimenter. One spot took place during feature optimization procedure, where some amount of experimenter's strategy was inevitable in choosing the 10 features for the IDS and LTAS parameters. This interaction during the feature selection appears to be a drawback in view of the repeatability of the procedure. Since it was found that the feature optimization was a crucial procedure in the 'elimination' of the influence of the response characteristics, the need for further study for interaction-free algorithms for this feature optimization scheme is obvious.

Another spot of interaction took place during the interactive peak detecting method applied for the measurement of 9 features of the FFC parameter. This method was implemented to acertain accurate measurements of fine temporal variations of the fundamental frequency. Since the compressed speech data included many discontinuous points between successive voiced frames

(signals), the application of the interactive method was crucial in order to prevent these discontinuities from resulting in erroneous measurements. Fortunately, it became clear that as long as the experimenter is familiar with the wave pattern of speech sound depicting the recurrent peaks, this interactive method would result in the stable measurements from experiment to experiment. For this reason, the interaction involved in the measurement procedure for the FFC parameter does not appear to have contributed to the resulting identification rate as a confounding factor.

CONCLUSIONS

This study was exploratory in nature regarding the methodology applied and the types of problems dealt with. Despite the application of a computer as the major computing source, there were several stages where interactions by the author were required. Within such a limitation set forth, the following general conclusions were drawn from the results of this study.

- 1. Both IDS (intensity deviation spectra) and FFC (fundamental frequency contour) are effective in eliminating the influence of the response characteristics of the transmission and recording channels. But their correct identification rates were only moderate 50-60%.
- 2. LTAS (long-term averaged spectra) is susceptible to the



influence of the response characteristics, but even under that influence, the correct identification rate was 60-70%.
3. The composite parameter of IDS and FFC is effective in eliminating the influence of the response characteristics. However, the correct identification rate is not improved, *i.e.*, it is only as good as each component.

4. The composite parameter of LTAS and FFC is the most effective speech parameter in eliminating the influence of the response characteristics of the transmission systems. It achieved the highest possible correct identification rate of 100%.

IMPLICATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

The major findings of this study was that the speech parameter composed of the optimized features of LTAS and the derived features of FFC can successfully eliminate the influence of the biased frequency response characteristics of the transmission and recording devices. In relation to this finding, further immediate research topics focusing on the same problem investigated in this study are suggested below.

1. The methodology used in this study could be replicated by using one composite parameter of LTAS and FFC with the increased speaker population of 50 or more.



- 2. Choral spectra could be investigated for its feasibility for feature optimization procedure. It is clear that choral spectra and the LTAS possess equally useful property in distinguishing the speakers provided all the voices are collected by the same transmission systems. The major advantage of choral spectra over LTAS (long-term averaged spectra) is a drastic reduction in the amount of time required to generate it. If the feature optimization can be made feasible with choral spectra, then it can form a composite parameter with FFC.
- 3. Feature optimization procedures applied in this study could be investigated for its further improvement. Although it was found that this procedure played a nontrivial role toward the elimination effects, it required some amount of arbitrary interaction by the experimenter. Ideally, there should be no interaction taken by the experimenter during the feature optimization process. This aspect appears to be worthy of serious investigation to make the computer method voice identification more objective.
- 4. The measure of the intra-speaker variability could explicitly be taken into account to establish the basis for assigning the probability of errors of identification.
- 5. Speech parameters other than IDS, LTAS, choral spectra, and FFC could be investigated not as alternative parameters, but as possible candidates to be included in the composite parameter. One such candidate is the pause characteristics



(considered to be independent of the frequency response characteristics) in an on-going speech of the speakers.



.

REFERENCES



REFERENCES

- Anderberg, M.R. <u>Cluster</u> <u>Analysis</u> for <u>Application</u>, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- Atal, B. S. 'Automatic recognition of speakers from their voices' in <u>Automatic Speech & Speaker Recognition</u>, N. Rex Dixon and Thomas B. Martin (ed.) IEEE Press, New York, 1978, pp.349-364.
- Atal, B.S. 'Effectiveness of linear prediction characteristics of the speech wave for automatic speaker identification and verification', <u>J.</u> <u>Acoust.</u> <u>Soc.</u> <u>Amer.</u>, 1974, Vol. 55, pp. 1304-1312.
- Atal, B.S. 'Automatic speaker recognition based on pitch contour', J. Acoust. Soc. Amer., 1972, Vol. 52, pp. 1687-1697.
- Bogner, R.E. 'On talker verification via orthogonal parameters', <u>IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, and Signal Processing</u>, 1981, Vol. ASSP-29, No. 1, pp.1-12.
- Bricker, P.D. *et al.* 'Statistical techniques for talker identification', <u>Bell System Technical</u> <u>Journal</u>, 1971, Vol. 50, pp.1427-1454.
- Bunge, E. 'Autmatic speaker recognition system AUROS for security systems and forensic voices identification' in <u>Automatic</u> <u>Speech & Speaker Recognition</u>, N. Rex Dixon and Thomas B. Martin (ed.). IEEE Press, New York, 1978, pp. 414-420.
- Cheung, R.S. and Eisenstein, B.A. 'Feature selection via dynamic programming for text-independent speaker identification'. <u>IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, and Signal Processing</u>, Oct.1978, Vol. ASSP-26, No.5, pp.397-403.
- Das, S.K. and Mohn, W.S. 'A scheme for speech processing in automatic speaker verification', <u>IEEE Trans.</u> <u>Audio</u> <u>Electro-Acoust.</u>, 1971, Vol. Au-19, pp.32-43.
- Doddington, G.R. 'A method of speaker verification', Paper presented at <u>The Eightieth Meeting of the Acoust.</u> Soc. Amer. 1970, Nov. 3-8, Houston, Texas.
- Doddington, G.R. 'Speaker verification Final report', <u>Rome Air</u> <u>Development Center, Griffiss AFB, N.Y., Tech.</u> <u>Rep.</u> April, 1974, RADC 74-179.



- Furui, S. 'Cepstrum analysis technique for automatic speaker verification' <u>IEEE</u> <u>Trans.</u> <u>Acoust.</u>, <u>Speech</u>, <u>and</u> <u>Signal</u> <u>Processing</u>, April, 1981a, Vol. ASSP-2, No. 2. pp.254-272.
- Furui, S. 'Comparison of speaker recognition methods using statistical features and dynamic features', <u>IEEE</u> <u>Trans.</u> <u>Acoust., Speech, and Signal Processing</u>, 1981b, Vol. ASSP-29, No. 3, pp.342-350.
- Furui, S. Itakura, F., and Saito, S. 'Talker recognition by longtime averaged speech spectrum', <u>Electronics</u> and <u>Communications</u> in Japan, 1972, 55-A, pp.54-61.
- Gold, B. and Rabiner, L. 'Parallel processing techniques for estimating pitch periods of speech in the time domain'. <u>J.</u> <u>Acoust.</u> <u>Soc.</u> <u>Amer.</u>, 1969, Vol. 46, pp.442-448.
- Hair, G.D. and Rekieta, T.W. 'Automatic speaker verification using phoneme spectra', <u>J. Acoust. Soc.</u> <u>Amer.</u>, 1972, Vol. 51, p.131(a).
- Hair, G.D. and Rekieta, T.W. 'Mimic resistance of speaker verification using phoneme spectra', <u>J.</u> <u>Acoust.</u> <u>Soc.</u> <u>Amer.</u>, 1972, Vol. 51, p.131(a).
- He, Q., and Dubes, R. 'An Experiment in Chinese speaker identification', presented at <u>1982 IEEE Int'l Conf. Trans.</u> <u>Acoust., Speech, and Signal Processing.</u>
- Holmgren, G. 'Physical and psychological correlates of speaker recognition', <u>Journal</u> of <u>Speech</u> and <u>Hearing</u> <u>Research</u>, 1967, Vol. 10, pp.57-66.
- Hughes, G. F. 'On the mean accuracy of statistical pattern recognizers', <u>IEEE Trans.</u> on <u>Information</u> <u>Theory</u>, 1968, Vol. IT-14, pp.55-63.
- Hunt, M.J., Yates, J.W., and Briddle, J.S. 'Automatic speaker recognition for use over communication channels', <u>IEEE Int's</u> <u>Conf. Record on Acoust.</u>, <u>Speech and Signal Process</u>. May 9-11, 1977, pp.764-767.
- Jain, A.K. and Dubes, R. 'Feature definition in pattern recognition with small sample size', <u>Pattern</u> <u>Recognition</u>, 1978, Vol.10, pp.85-97.
- Luck, J.E. 'Automatic speaker verification using cepstral measurements', <u>J.</u> <u>Acoust.</u> <u>Soc.</u> <u>Amer.</u>, 1969, Vol.46, pp.102-1032.



- Majewski, Z. W., and Hollien H. 'Cross correlation of long-term speech spectra as a speaker identification technique', <u>Acustica</u>, 1975, Vol. 34, pp.20-24.
- Markel, J.D. 'The SIFT algorithm for fundamental frequency estimation', <u>IEEE Trans., Audio, Electroacoust.</u>, 1977, Vol. AV-20, pp.367-377.
- Markel, J.D., and Davis, S.B. 'Text-independent speaker recognition from a large linguistically unconstrained time-spaced data base', <u>IEEE</u> <u>Trans.</u> <u>Acoust.</u>, <u>Speech</u>, <u>and</u> <u>Signal</u> <u>Processing</u>, Feb., 1977, Vol. ASSP-27, No.1, pp.74-82.
- Markel, J.D., Oshika, B.T., and Gray, A.H. 'Long-term feature averaging for speaker recognition', <u>IEEE Trans. Acoust.,</u> <u>Speech, and Signal Processing</u>, 1977, Vol. ASSP-25, pp.330-337.
- The National Research Council, <u>On</u> the <u>Theory</u> and <u>Practice</u> of <u>Voice</u> <u>Identification</u>, National Academy of Sciences, Washington D.C., 1979.
- Noll, A.M. 'Cepstrum pitch determination', <u>J.</u> <u>Acoust.</u> <u>Soc.</u> <u>Amer.</u>, 1967, Vol.41, pp.293-309.
- Paul, J.E., Rabinowitz, A.S., Riganati, J.P., Richardson, J.M. 'Development of analytical methods for a semi-automatic speaker identification system', <u>1975</u> <u>Carnahan</u> <u>Conf.</u> on <u>Crime</u> Countermeasures, 1975, pp-52-64.
- Pruzansky, S. 'Pattern-matching procedure for automatic talker recognition', <u>J. Acoust. Soc. Amer.</u>, 1963, Vol.35, pp.354-358.
- Rabiner, L.R., Levinson, S.E., Rosenberg, A.E., and Wilson, J.G. 'Speaker-independent recognition of isolated words using clustering techniques', <u>IEEE</u> <u>Trans.</u> <u>Acoust.</u>, <u>Speech</u>, <u>and</u> <u>Signal</u> <u>Processing</u>, Aug., 1977, Vol. ASSP-27, No.4, pp.336-349.
- Sammon, J.W. Jr. 'A nonlinear mapping for data structure analysis', <u>IEEE</u> <u>Transactions</u> <u>on</u> <u>Computer</u>, May 1969, pp.401-409.
- Shafer, R. L., and Rabiner, L. 'Digital representation of speech signals', <u>Proceedings</u> of <u>IEEE</u> 1975, Vol. 63, pp.662-667.
- Tarno'czy, T. 'Determination du spectre de la parole avec une methode nouvelle', <u>Acustica</u>, 1958, 8:392-395.
- Tosi, O. <u>Voice</u> <u>Identification: Theory</u> <u>and</u> <u>Legal</u> <u>Applications</u>. University Press, Baltimore, 1979.



- Tosi, O. 'Pausometry: Measurement of a low level of acoustic energy', in <u>World Papers in Phonetics</u>, <u>Phonetics Society of</u> <u>Japan</u>, The Phonetic Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1974, pp.129-144.
- Tosi, O., and Nakasone, H. 'Cancellation of the telephone response curve', Paper presented at <u>International</u> <u>Association</u> for <u>Identification</u>, Aug., 1982, Rochester, New York.
- Tosi, O., Pisani, R., Dubes, R., and Jain, A. 'An objective method of voice identification', Current Issues in the Phonetic Sciences, Harry & Patricia Hollien (ed.) In series of <u>Current</u> <u>Issues in Linguistic Theory Vol.</u> 9, in <u>Amsterdam Studies in</u> <u>the Theory and Hearing of Linguistic Science IV</u>, <u>Amsterdam-John Benjamins B.V., 1979, pp.851-861.</u>
- Tosi, O., *et al.* 'Experiment on voice identification', <u>J.</u> <u>Acoust.</u> <u>Soc.</u> <u>Amer.</u>, 1972, Vol. 51, pp.2030-2043.
- Voiers, W. 'Perceptual bases of speaker identity', <u>J. Acoust.</u> Soc. Amer., 1964, Vol. 36, pp.1065-1073.



APPENDIX A

A SAMPLE TEXT EXCERPT



APPENDIX A

SAMPLE TEXT EXCERPT 4

backboned animals of any kind. There are a few shells and worms that have left behind a whatever. By the late aftermoon, you ride at last into the lower gorge where the Colorado hope to find evidence for the very beginnings of life. But there are no organic remains of any kind. The dark fine-grained rocks lie not in horizontal layers like all those above, Halfway down the Canyon, you come to 400-million-year-old limestones. There are so later – and a hundred million years earlier – the rocks contain no sign of are still descending through layers of limestone, but now there is no sign of life River runs green between high rock walls. You are now a vertical mile below the rim no repuikes to be found here, but there are the bones of strange armoured fish. An hour tracery of trails in what was the muddy sea floor. Three-quarters of the way down, you and the rocks have been dated to the immense age of 2000 million years. Here you might but are twisted and buckled and riven with veins of pink granite. 2

Are signs of life absent because these rocks and the limestones directly above are so extremely ancient that all such traces have been crushed from them? Could it be that the first creatures to leave any sign of their existence were as complex as worms nocks of this antiquity were carefully searched for organic remains. One or two odd shapes were found, but most authorities dismissed these as patterns produced by the physical processes of rock formation that had nothing whatever to do with living and molluscs? For many years these questions puzzled geologists. All over the world, organisms. Then during the 1450s, the searchers began to use high-powered microscopes on some particularly enigmatic rocks.

and there, it contains strange white concentric rings a metre or so across. Were these merely eddies in the mud on the bottom of the primeval seas or could they have been formed by living organisms? No one could be sure and the shapes were given the noncommittal name of stromatolite, a word derived from Greek meaning no more than they found, preserved in the chert, the shapes of simple organisms, each no more than one or two hundredths of a millimetre across. Some resembled filaments of algae; A thousand miles northeast of the Grand Canyon, ancient rocks of about the same ige as those beside the Colorado River outcrop on the shores of Lake Superior. Some of them contain scams of a fine-grained flint-like substance called chert. This was well cnown during the last century because the pioneers used it in their flintlock guns. Here 'stony carpet'. But when researchers cut sections of these rings, ground them down into others, while they were unmistalably organic, had no parallels with living organisms; and some looked to be identical with the simplest form of life existing today, bacteria. dices so thin that they were translucent and examined them through the microscope.

It seemed almost impossible to many people that such tiny things as microorganisms could have been fossilised at all. That relics of them should have survived for such a vast period of time seemed even more difficult to believe. The solution of silica which had saturated the dead organisms and solidified into chert was clearly as fine-Chert stimulated further searches not only in North America but all over the world grained and durable a preservative as exists. The discovery of the fossils in the Gunflini and other micro-fossils were found in cherts in Africa and Australia. Some of these

want to consider how life arose, we have to look back a further thousand million years astonishingly, pre-dated the Gunflint specimens by a thousand million years. But if we beyond even the carliest micro-fossils, to a time when the carth was completely lifeless and still cooling after its birth.

or no oxygen. This mixture allowed ultraviolet rays from the sun to bathe the earth's The planet then was radically different in almost every way from the one we live on but they were still hot. We are not sure how the land masses lay, but they certainly bore no resemblance in either form or distribution to modern continents. Volcanoes were abundant, spewing ash and lava. The atmosphere was very thin and consisted of swirling clouds of hydrogen, carbon monoxide, ammonia and methane. There was little surface with an intensity that would be lethal to modern animal life. Electrical storms today. The clouds of water vapour that had surrounded it had condensed to form seas, raged in the clouds, bombarding the land and the sea with lightning.

Laboratory experiments were made in the 1950s to discover what might happen to these particular chemical constituents under such conditions. Such gases, mixed with water vapour, were subjected to electrical discharge and ultraviolet light. After only a week of this treatment complex molecules were found to have formed in the mixture, including sugars, nucleic acids and amino acids, the building blocks of proteins. There seems no doubt that molecules such as these could have formed in the seas of the earth at the very beginning of its history.

blucprint for the manufacture of amino acids; and second, it has the capacity to quite new, for these two characteristics of DNA are also those of living organisms such as bacteria. And bacteria, besides being the simplest form of life we know, are also As the millions of years passed, the concentrations of these substances increased and the molecules began to interact with one another to form even more complex compounds. It may even be that some ingredients were added from outer space, brought by meteorites. Eventually, among a great variety of substances, there appeared one that was to be crucial for the further development of life. It is called deoxyribonucleic acid, or DNA for short. Its structure endows it with two key properties. First, it can act as a replicate itself. With this substance, molecules had reached the threshold of something among the oldest fossils we have discovered.

shaped like two intertwined helices. During cell division, these unzip, splitting the molecule along its length into two separate helices. Each then acts as a template to which other simpler molecules become attached until each has once more become a The ability of DNA to replicate itself is a consequence of its unique structure. It is double helix.

immensely long DNA molecule. This order specifies how the twenty or so different amino acids are arranged in a protein, how much is to be made, and when. A length of Occasionally, the DNA copying process involved in reproduction may go wrong. A The simple molecules from which the DNA is mainly built are of only four kinds, but they are grouped in trios and arranged in a particular and significant order on the DNA bearing the information for an unbroken sequence of manufacture is called a pene.



APPENDIX B

RESPONSE CHARACTERISTICS OF TEAC TAPE RECORDER AND BRUEL & KJAER MICROPHONE






RESPONSE CHARACTERISTICS OF TEAC TAPE RECORDER AND BRUEL & KJAER MICROPHONE



APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS FROM PAUSE ELIMINATION



ır smission	0 msec	output (sec)	60.951	61.285	61.189
Linea trans	Tp = 2	Ap	.930	.920	.920
		ratio	.492	.506	.476
al smission	20 msec	output (sec)	59.000	60.764	57.139
Norm tran	Tp =	Ap	.940	.950	.950
		ratio	.495	.502	.497
phone smission	20 msec	output (sec)	59.413	60.235	59.717
Tele tran	Tp =	Ap	.975	.960	.975

Speaker

4 0 2 4 3 7

ratio

.508

.511

SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS FROM PAUSE ELIMINATION

APPENDIX C

The duration of input speech signal was 2 minutes for all speakers. The ratio was computed by dividing output length (in sec) by input length (in sec). The Tp value was kept constant of 20 msec. The Ap value was made variable to obtain the output signal of the prescribed interval of duration.

.503

60.296 1.521

.029

.496

1.262

59.460

.937

.495

.802

.960

S.D.

mean

59.356

50.875

.930

60.419

830

.505 .492 .503

120

.481

57.743 56.863 61.231 61.248 60.660 60.910

920

489

58.647

.960 .970 .940 .910 .950 .870

497.481

59.688 57.700

.970 .977 .950

.482 .502 .508

57.801

900 880 900

60.206

60.976 60.588 59.060

489

58.628 58.447

.940

487

945 950

8 6

496

59.509

.503 .499

60.385

59.835

10

.474 .510 .510 .506 .508 .507



APPENDIX D

COMPLETE-LINK DENDROGRAMS OF IDS AND LTAS FEATURES



APPENDIX D(1)

DENDROGRAM OF 100 FEATURES BASED ON TELEPHONE IDS.





DENDROGRAM OF 100 FEATURES BASED ON NORMAL IDS.

APPENDIX D(2)





APPENDIX D (3)

DENDROGRAM OF 100 FEATURES BASED ON LINEAR IDS.





APPENDIX D (4)

DENDROGRAM OF 100 FEATURES BASED ON TELEPHONE LTAS.





APPENDIX D (5)

DENDROGRAM OF 100 FEATURES BASED ON NORMAL LTAS.





APPENDIX D (6)

DENDROGRAM OF 100 FEATURES BASED ON LINEAR LTAS.





APPENDIX E

-

RAW DATA OF FFC FEATURES



RAW DATA OF FFC FEATURES: BY LINEAR TRANSMISSION

pesker umber	sample number	Fo (Hz)	OFO (Hz)	∆Fo (Hz)	ĀFo/Fo ratio	σAo ampl.	Fo(max) (Hz)	'Fo(min) (Hz)	Fo(rng) (Hz)	ΔAo ampl.
	1	107.24	16.69	5.53	5.16	47.47	147 07	47.87		
	2	109.29	17.18	6.18	5.66	43.75	204.08	44.57	170 57	8/01.80
1	3	106.77	13.86	4.18	3.92	43.14	177.41	57.74	110 48	8043.10
	4	110.73	16.39	5.05	4.56	45.59	161.29	57.47	103.92	8001 40
	5	109.21	17.60	5.62	5.14	42.06	178.57	82.64	95.93	8603.13
	1	89.66	13.47	3.69	4.12	49.17	125.00	70.92	54.09	0151.75
	2	90.08	11.50	3.74	4.15	44.28	138.89	68.49	70.40	9495.97
2	3	88.33	10.94	3.11	3.52	49.00	121.95	70.42	51.53	9320.30
	4	89.46	12.28	3.98	4.45	53.00	112.36	57.47	54.89	10542.20
	5	91.92	10.46	2.53	2.76	45.28	109.89	78.74	31.15	8993.58
	1	108.91	19.83	3.43	3.15	43.08	153.85	73.53	80.32	8752.73
	2	109.47	18.54	6.61 .	6.04	19.03	166.67	78.74	87.93	4783.08
3	3	112.35	19.84	4.81	4.28	50.04	166.67	69.44	97.22	9384.75
	4	110.15	22.19	5.04	4.57	46.57	156.25	79.37	76.88	9170.75
	5	109.00	22.40	4.87	4.47	47.06	158.73	49.50	109.23	9280.34
	ı	127.56	24.14	6.09	4.77	40.71	217.39	90.91	126.48	8228.55
	2	130.94	28.20	6.13	4.68	45.72	101.82	66.67	115.15	9009.06
4	3	131.27	19.17	5.15	3.92	43.74	178.57	104.17	74.40	8677.66
	4	131.03	20.33	7.47	5.70	101.62	185.19	93.46	91.73	3744.43
	5	125.73	26.98	6.07	4.83	46.27	208.33	90.09	118.24	8950.38
	1	107.33	22.14	5.91	5.50	46.77	153.85	45.05	108.80	9072.82
	2	110.56	18.51	5.86	5.30	50.61	151.52	86.21	65.31	9663.06
5	3	111.06	22.03	5.69	5.13	48.33	151.52	53.76	97.75	9467.44
	4	111.69	23.96	7.56	6.77	46.65	370.37	74.63	295.74	8978.88
	5	109.81	21.11	6.09	5.54	43.14	175.44	44.25	131.19	8624.11
	1	165.30	33.61	10.77	6.51	20.24	277.78	83.33	194.44	5174.55
	2	165.14	31.63	8.45	5.12	41.26	227.27	86.21	141.07	8482.85
6	3	164.52	40.46	9.25	5.62	44.99	277.78	98.04	179.74	8697.50
	4	173.73	39.26	9.94	5.72	40.25	263.16	88.50	174.66	8245.51
	5	160.64	42.05	9.12	5.68	44.97	270.27	71.43	198.84	8908.37
	1	16.62	24.00	4.53	3.88	47.22	181.82	80.00	101.82	8860.46
-	2	17.27	28.89	4.72	4.02	48.08	185.19	66.67	118.52	9619.97
7	3 3	113.69	19.98	4.18	3.00	43.95	153.85	80.70	00.07	8084,40
	4	115.08	20.08	4.13	3.39	45.0/		80.63	70.87	8//2+21
	5 1	12.12	22.72	4.20	3.70	43131	190.0/	99+20	/0.1/	3874.08
	1 1	18.43	33.15	6.38	5.38	47.12	232.56	90.65 :	151.91	8947.70
1	3 4	10 70	4/17J	4 70	7 07	44.97	101.02	94.07	08.27	9590.54
•	4	19.44	30.01	5.73	4.79	41.50	97.31	80.45	11.64	8450.04
	5 1	15.22	21.98	5.07	4.40	45.26	61.29	84.75	76.54	8803.62
	, .	15.00	29.69	A. 70	4.09	57.14	85.19	75.74 1	09.43	10158-54
	2 1	10.27	25.38	4.82	4.37	47.78 1	85.19	74.07 1	11.11	9009.67
	3 1	08.88	18.64	3.90	3.58	50.73 1	51.52	79.37	72.15	9409.29
	4 1	12.15	24.50	4.27	3.80	42.30 1	66.67	89.29	77.38	8383.58
	5 1	07.63	20.12	3.60	3.35	48.00 1	56.25	78.12	78.12	9300.37
	1 1	46.24	43.08	7.24	4.95	44.10 2	56.41	99.01 1	57.40	9879.20
	2 1	48.26	46.44	8.46	5.71	43.23 2	94.12	68.97 2	25.15	8156.65
)	3 1	51.89	40.30	6.64	4.37	40.76 2	38.10 1	04.17 1	33.93	7961.03
	4 1	58.83	48.06	8.54	5.38	42.78 3	44.83	94.34 2	50.49	8422.73
	-									



APPENDIX E

RAW DATA OF FFC FEATURES: BY NORMAL TRANSMISSION

speaker number	sample number	Fo (Hz)	JF0 (Hz)	Δ̃F0 (Hz)	Δ F o/Fo (ratio)	GAo ampl.	Fo(max) (Hz)	Fo(min) (Hz)	Fo(rng) (Hz)	ΔΑο 1
	1	106.87	11.52	4.49	4.20	41.54	126.58	75.76	50.82	8612.94
1	2	109.11	11.35	3.90	3.58	42.37	135.14	90.09	45.05	8640.17
•	4	107.85	12.72	5.04	4.67	47.56	138.89	71.94	66.95	9021.36
	5	107.88	12.91	5.13	4.76	38.67	149.25	84.03	65.22	8045.95
	ı	84.67	10.00	2.87	3.40	50.49	98.04	67.57	30.47	9486.71
_	2	90.79	17.94	3.93	4.33	53.35	116.28	22.22	61.03	1014/./1
2	3	91.83	13.06	3.79	4.28	49.70	173.44	72.99	50.44	9844.24
	5	93.77	12.85	3.86	4.12	53.71	117.65	72.46	45.18	9906.37
	1	105.26	15.30	4.97	4.72	42.07	129.87	49.02	80.85	7994.99
	2	109.99	15.40	4.90	4.46	43.06	138.89	78.12	60.76	8594.69
3	3	115.17	14.48	3.93	3.41	47.74	140.85	97.09	43.76	9141.12
	4	111.88	13.82	3.84	3.44	40.30	14/.08	45 05	38.13	8908.32
	5	104.27	20.21	4.04	7.07	7210/	130.77	43.03	74.74	7037127
		132.93	34.67	4.94	3.72	43.01	188.68	100.00	88.68	8491.22
	2	121.32	18.62	4.21	3.47	44.99	151.52	89.29	62.23	9071.54
4	3	127.80	34.89	4.96	3.88	45.05	196.08	89.29	106.79	9001.69
	4	122.78	26.37	5.66	4.61	45.34	172.41	96.15	76.26	9241.12
	5	126.17	37.82	5.35	4.24	44.81	217.39	83.33	134.06	8633.23
	1	109.47	24.46	5.25	4.80	45.57	147.06	88.50	58.56	9136.10
	2	109.90	18.17	5.76	5.24	50.19	131.58	70.07	50.09	711/-34
5	3	106.8/	11.2/	4.80	5.34	37.30	177.77	86.21	47.13	8772.67
	4	107.34	24.63	5.41	5.07	54.02	131.58	45.87	85.71	10280.46
	>	· ·			••••					
	,	164.97	33.15	8.41	5.10	42.06	232.56	108.70	123.86	8170.47
	2	167.78	25.10	7.95	4.74	42.25	212.77	131.58	81.19	8447.16
6.	3	170.50	39.29	8.22	4.82	42.63	243.90	126.58	117.32	8434.58
	4	171.24	31.82	7.94	4.64	42,10	236.41	131.58	141.49	8852.94
	5	162.60	38.34	/.2/	4.4/	4/.72	2/0.2/	120.00	14010/	0002174
	1	117.38	21.91	3.81	3.24	48.10	156.25	92.59	63.66	9380.95
	2	118.60	19,42	4.05	3.42	49.48	158.73	91./4	54.79	9451.14
7	3	118.57	21.61	4.30	3.85	40.27	149.25	94.34	54.91	9089.74
	4	118.72	21.21	3.7/	3.34 7.98	47.95	135.14	92.59	42.54	8823.71
	5	112.41	13.34	444/	3170					
		113.28	23,39	5.44	4.80	55.73	153.85	90.09	63.76	10916.22
	1	111.72	19.59	5.14	4.60	44.92	147.06	92.59	54.47	8686.57
8	2	127.53	39.21	5.74	4.50	42.38	192.31	98.04	94.27	8182.26
v	4	116.75	32.64	5.01	4.29	49.61	161.29	86.96	74.33	9662.65
	5	112.59	28.71	4.32	3.84	55.10	161.29	86.76	/4.33	10537.87
	1	114.59	28.86	3.85	3.36	46.13	161.29	85.47	75.82	8442.39
	2	120.09	26.85	4.33	3.61	48.06	158.73	81.30	77.43	9319.74
9	3	106.59	21.04	4.36	4.09	51.52	147.06	84.75	62,31 78 AD	10263.07
	4	114.73	25.68	3.54	3.08	54.27	161.29	00.21 74 A7	75,10	70JV+00 8494.71
	5	107.75	21.50	4.19	3.88	44.39	147.23	/4.0/	/3110	9977 */ *
	1	160.76	52.81	6.26	3.89	42.55	256.41	116.28	140.13	8352.17
	2	146.77	50.57	7.43	5.07	42.94	250.00	114.94	135.04	8914.43
10	3	157.68	52.30	4.77	4.29	43.08	243.90	116.28	127.62	8617.10
	4	151.54	55.50	5.93	3.91	42.41	250.00	93.46	156.54	8536.33
	2	-01100								



APP	ENDIX	Е
-----	-------	---

RAW DATA OF FFC FEATURES: BY TELEPHONE TRANSMISSION

	-	•	_	7	7- /=					T 4-
speaker	sample	Fo	OFO	ΔFo	AFO/FO	OAD 1	(max)	Fo(min)	Fo(rng)	۵۸۵
number	number	<u>(Hg)</u>	<u>(Hz)</u>	<u>(HZ)</u>	(Fat10)		(82)	(nz)		
	1	107.41	21.46	5.29	4.93	45.14	136.99	49.26	87.73	8552.70
	2	109.07	12.96	4.51	4.13	49.42	138.89	74.07	64.81	9637.16
1	3	110.94	19.38	6.87	6.19	45.18	151.52	57.88	91.63	9015.24
	4	107.75	18.81	5.53	5.14	43.04	147.06	44.64	102.42	8968.29
	5	108.75	13.72	5.79	5.33	44.14	140.85	84.75	56.10	8357.97
								40.00	00 47	
	1	87.89	14.65	3./4	4.25	48.81	123.40	40.70	77 70	7443+13
	Z	91.62	14.45	4.93	3.38	50.22	114 04	40 97	45.00	9601.00
2	د ر	90.07	17 40	4.01	4.54	53.07	121.95	44.84	77.11	10119.20
	4	90.48	17.98	4.47	4.94	55.89	142.86	64.10	. 78.75	10010.96
	2	/0140	1,1,0							
	1	106.51	25.01	4.77	4.48	51.77	147.06	45.45	101.60	10059.78
	2	110.28	18.47	4.32	3.91	46.83	149.25	78.74	70.51	8950.81
3	3	113.42	18.17	4.74	4.18	41.28	166.67	88.50	78.17	8340.65
-	4	107.50	23.61	4.69	4.36	48.25	147.06	46.95	100.11	7309.29
	5	112.63	21.00	4.45	3.95	48.63	151.52	75.76	75.76	9403.09
							100 40	70 77	109.71	8407-10
	1	126.64	26.87	3./4		44.00	107 71	45.70	124.52	9158.49
	2	128.27	30.00	4 10	3.30	40.70	199.49	85.47	103.21	9666.28
4	3	127.49	31.2/	5 4 A	4.40	10.07	188.49	78.74	109.94	8031.53
	4	128.04	20.30	5.19	4.07	49.52	200.00	92.59	107.41	9213.97
	5	12/.47	31.37	3.17	4.07	-0101	200000			
	1	109.01	23.13	5.24	4.80	42.24	172.41	77.52	94.89	8524.42
	2	111.30	17.18	4.81	4.32	46.51	172.41	81.30	91.11	8980.70
۲,	î	112.34	20.02	5.44	4.84	46.04	161.29	84.75	76.54	9611,59
,	4	110.29	27.92	6.75	6.12	50.52	166.67	47.85	118.82	9532.09
	5	112.44	24.89	5.88	5.23	52.23	158.73	47.39	111.34	10119.64
	-				•					
					E 53	47 44	254 41	71.94	184.47	9073.06
	1	165.98	42.21	7.10	5.32	43.66	295.71	98.04	187.68	8232.82
	2	163.3/	A1 01	9.94	5.84	45.49	277.78	90.09	187.69	8986.43
6	3	140 45	70.11	10.43	4.18	40.62	263.16	90.09	173.07	8412.08
	4	141.90	38.33	9.36	5.78	43.60	270.27	64.94	205.34	8372.09
	2	1011/0	30.00		••••					
	1	115.97	24.94	4.52	3.90	45.13	192.31	88.50	103.81	8982.04
	2	118.82	26.35	4.84	4.08	47.23	185.19	87.29	73.70	8873.48
	3	115.74	24.03	5.58	4.82	45.87	158.73	75.76	82.7/	7001,41
7	4	120.14	27.20	5.65	4.70	48.72	178.57	91.74	07 17	772/17/
	5	116.20	21.59	4.61	3.97	48.05	172.41	87.29	03.13	7 00 100
		100.01	77 8/	< 0<	4.95	47.97	212.77	91.74	121.02	9418.07
	1	120.21	3/.80	5.73	4.40	48.07	200.00	52.63	147.37	8961.88
	Z	11/.39	33.20	5.78	4.81	46.55	188.68	73.53	115.15	8968.28
8	3	120.33	33.33	6.34	5.27	47.73	250.00	75.76	174.24	9348.98
	4	114.79	34.94	6.26	5.45	47.14	188.68	44.44	144.23	9310.05
	2	4140/0	34070							
							_			
	1	112.60	27.63	4.66	4.14	50.84	169.49	84.03	85.46	8718.34
	2	112.49	25.69	5.15	4.58	49.98	169.49	78.74	90.75	7703.02
9	3	111.21	22.89	4.77	4.29	46.76	185.19	88.21	70.70	71201/3
-	4	114.17	24.45	4.22	3.70	48.39	161.29	87.27	97.17	10054.43
	5	108.19	20.40	4.59	4.24	52.75	161.29	/8.12	03.1/	
		181 40	47 47	7.30	4.82	45,72	263.16	112.36	150.80	9392.55
	1	151.49	76.0/	4.44	4.41	47.49	277.78	114.94	162.84	9158.49
	Z	120.90	70,27 A5 01	8.97	5.45	51.99	344.83	107.53	237.30	10142.53
10	3	150.42	40.71	7.16	4.56	45.83	263.16	119.05	144.11	9048.48
	4	141.80	37.00	7.27	4.49	46.02	232.56	117.65	114.91	9215.12
	2	101.00								



APPENDIX F

A SAMPLE OUTPUT: PARTIAL COMPUTER PRINT-OUT OF VOICE IDENTIFICATION OPERATION

(Cross-transmission by the composite of FFC and LTAS)



APPENDIX F

A SAMPLE OUTPUT: PARTIAL COMPUTER PRINT-OUT OF VOICE IDENTIFICATION OPERATION

(Cross-transmission by the composite of FFC and LTAS)

ALM HALROJ DEMUG TV PPOGRAM MARADI: 706 ERFERINGAT 111. UMRHOM HARTEL FILE IN FREE-FILADI - JANDITELEID.FIL

AMOUN MASTER FILE IN FRED.(13441) DAGINGMASD.FIL LT1 mane of FREG. FILE (FRE OR FRL) -FRL

UNADUM MASTER FILE FROM TIME(1441) - MAGITELESO.FIL ANDUM MASTER FILE FROM TIME(1441) - MAGINGANSO.FIL

PTOVING INFO. FOR PREDUCINGY PEATURES.

facture solartiani: descene bu turins 2 or 0 . facture 4 3 73 facture 4 7 75 facture 4 7 75

* Feature e 8 mj Feature 6 6 mj Feature 616 mj

Moviai lard, fon time features. Feature selection: Assessed by tuning 1 or 0 . Feature 0 1 m Feature 0 2 m Feature 0 3 m Feature 0 4 m

EUCLIDIAN DISTANCES DETNEEN PATTERN 1 OF UMENDAN BPEAKER 1 AND FATTERN 1 OF KNOWN DPEAKER J.

3.78441[1. 13	4.91311(2, 13	4.2070003. 13	5.0070164.13	3.0300575. 13
4.00225(1+ 23	4.34234(2, 2)	7.20040(3, 23	4.39844(4. 23	4.4979153. 91
5-1110201- 33	8.0097562. 33	8.0713123. 32	8.5447464.33	1.41831/1. 33
4.82182(1+ 4)	3.00204(2, 4)	8-09771(3+ 4)	9.00074(4. 4)	4.94447/5. 41
3.43742[1/ 33	3.705:0(2, 5)	4.04054(3, 53	7.1874564/ 51	4.1420353- 41
7.1721011+ 43	5.00401(2. 63	4.44472(3, 4)	4.44647E4. 42	4.2273764.43
*-02104(1+ 73	4-47198[2, 7]	7.14393(3. 73	5.4700164. 72	4-94474(5+ 77
4.44539(1, 83	4.7121267. 83	4.75329(3, 0)	4.7544464. 83	4.48449(5. 8)
5.36724(1. 9)	4.79683[2. 93	4.8114253+ +7	4-82743(4. 93	4.27234(5. 4)
4-4 795 2[]+193	4-000552-107	8.97130(3-103	8-14895(+. (A)	8.1744853.141

FATTERS I OF UNANDUM BELANCE I 18 INENTIFIER WITH FATTERS I OF ANOUN BELANCE 5 FISTANCE - 3.45342

EUCLIDIAN DISTANCES PETUEEN PATTERN 2 OF UNLADAN SPEAKER 3 AND PATTERN 1 OF RADAN SPEAKER J.

3.0050571				
	a	3.74300(3, 13	4.3042264. 13	4,07333(8, 13
4-40730[1+ 23	5-8772122+ 22	5.90785(3, 2)	4.14325(4+ 27	4.1307051. 23
8-48699(1+ 32	5-30422(7+ 33	5.78901(3. 3)	4-81440C4+ 32	5.000+4(3. 37
8-23582(1- 4)	4.40202020.2. 41	4. 3000011. 41		
			V. JORNO(4, 4)	A.00404(3, 4)
4.05030(1+ 53	4.78299(2) 57	4.0705052, 53	7.44373(4. 52	4.53745[3. 5]
7.30054(1. 43	5-2040(12+ 43	4.43019[3. 43	7.2100764. 43	4- #524753- 41
•				
:				

.

		1		
1		•		
		•		
4.9182161+ 53	4-84329(2+ 5)	7.1902163- 53	4-8754364+ 33	4.94833(5. 5)
5.74389(2. 43	4.54464(2+ 41	3.9218953+ 43	4. ****20[4+ 4]	4.99353(5, 4)
4.57884[1. 73	4-84007[2, 7]	7.7887463. 73	7.00920(4+ 73	7.07677(5. 7)
4.27109(1. 82	4.86714(2, 8)	4-83932(3+ 83	4.27920[4, 8]	5.09700(5. 03
4.77974(1. 93	5.70404(2. 9)	7. 5202003 . 9 3	4-21750(4- +1	4.71193(9. 0)
2.70054(1.103	3-84018(2+10)	3,4329753,103	2.7000124+103	3-30630[3-103
PATTERN 4 DF UNAND PATTERN 4 DF END BISTANCE + 2-788	W SPEAKER 10 16	INCUTIFIED WITH		

.

EVELIDIAN DISTANCES DETWEEN PATTERN 5 OF UNKNOWN SPEAKER 10

AND PATTERN (OF	ANDER SPEAKER J.			
4-47688(1+ 13	4-4130002+ 13	7-85059(3+ 1)	7.07447[4. 17	6.31944(5. 1
7.7838601+ 23	8-49463[2- 23	*-7232363+ 23	9.1826324+ 23	0.9834153. 23
4.0979711. 33	7.23701(2, 33	4.76694C3+ 33	7.1424164. 33	4.84328(3. 32
3-30329(1- 4)	4. 77018 22, 43	4.5615123. 41	*. 8047*[4. 4]	4.3993553. 43
4-47973(1+ 53	7.10349(2, \$3	7.30004(3. 5)	4-43834(4+ 3)	7.1204303. 33
8-70724(1+ 43	4.0074222+ 43	4.39452(3, 4)	5.1712664+ 41	4.7443753. 41
4.7512461+ 73	4-43183[2, 7]	7.83400(3. 73	4.8323464, 77	4
4.1300401+ 01	5.4202202- 82	4-6129963- 03	4.49373(4. 8)	3.877977.5. 41
4.90760(1, 9]	4.61977(2. 93	4-96182(3- 93	4-15144644 91	4.4901079. 41
3.42446(1+10)	3,43430[2,10]	4.29714(3.10)	3-82392(4+10)	3.43004(5+10)

PATTERN 5 OF LINENDUN SPEAKER 10 18 THENTIFIED HITH PATTERN 2 OF LINEN SPEAKER 10 BISTANCE - 3.42488

Butters by Hoarost Haishbar Aviel

Correct = 44+ Incurrent + 4+ Roto(2) = 77.05

Summery by Hinsons Bet Gislancy Hule: Univers Seeder Anger Sevener Set Bistones

1	1	0.44 9 74
2	,	4.48412
3	3	3. 70844
4	•	3.35491
3	3	4.07083
٠	•	3-21302
,	,	3-00030
•		3.74900
•	•	3.29432
10	10	7.839+3

Corvers ald. Incorvers + 0+ Acto(2) +100,00



APPENDIX G

VOICE IDENTIFICATION RESULTS: OPERATIONS 1 THROUGH 24



Unknown		Known Identification decision					
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-n	eighbor	by the minimum set			
(1)	(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j) Result			
1	1	1.7777 (3,9)	wrong				
	2	1.5292 (4,1)	correct				
	3	1.8175 (5,9)	wrong	2.3586 (1) correct			
	4	1.0640 (3,5)	wrong				
		1.6315(3.5)	wrong				
2	2	1.00/3 (1,2) 2 1025 (2 2)	correct				
	3	1.9993(3,2)	correct				
	4	1.6759(3.2)	correct	3.4640 (2) correc			
	5	1.6037 (3.2)	correct				
3	1	1.4836 (1,5)	wrong	·····			
	2	1.2609 (1,5)	wrong				
	3	1.1477 (1,5)	wrong	2.6344 (1) wrong			
	4	1.5970 (1,1)	wrong				
	5	2,0373 (5.3)	correct				
4	1	1.4600 (1,4)	correct				
	2	1.8592 (1,4)	correct				
	3	1.6361 (2,4)	correct	2.2995 (4) correc			
	4	2.6929 (5,8)	wrong	•			
5		1.9339(1,3)	wrong				
5	2	1 0001 (/ 8)	wrong				
	3	1.5074(5.1)	wrong	0.0504.45			
	4	1.3402(2.3)	wrong	2.0586 (5) correc			
	Š	1.2415 (5.5)	correct				
6	1	1.5413 (1,6)	correct				
	2	2.2373 (5,1)	wrong				
	3	2.2056 (1,8)	wrong	2.8626 (6) correc			
	4	2.1080 (1,6)	correct				
	5	1.5771 (1,10)	wrong				
7	1	1.7083 (3,5)	wrong				
	2	1.6675 (4,4)	wrong				
	3	1.9032 (4,7)	correct	2.6385 (7) correc			
	4	1.0201 (3,7) 1.6/06 (3,7)	correct				
8	<u>i</u>	1 8931 (5.8)	correct				
. .	2	0.9828 (3.8)	COTTACT				
	3	1.8621 (3.5)	wrong	2 8502 //)			
	4	1.8286 (4,5)	wrong	2.0372 (4) Wrong			
	5	1.7547 (3,8)	correct				
9	1	2.0119 (5,7)	wrong				
	2	1.7101 (2,9)	correct				
	3	2.4109 (3,7)	wrong	2.5586 (1) wrong			
	4	1.2693 (5,9)	correct	C			
	5	1./384(4,3)	wrong				
10	1	1.7399 (3,10)	correct				
	2	1.9221 (4.10)	COLLECT				
	5	1.7877 (5.10)	COTTECT	2.8297 (10) correct			
	5	1.9251 (5.10)	correct				
i = samo	le index	Number of correct	T.D. = 24	7			
i = speak	er index		Rate = 52				

APPENDIX G(1) Unknown speakers by telephone IDS; known speakers by normal IDS

= 70 %


Unknown		Known Ider	ntification	decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-ne	eighbor	by the minimu	m set
(1)	(1)	Diet with(1 1)	Pagult	Diet with(i)	Begult
1	1	1.6555(4.3)	WTODA	Dist. with(j)	Result
-	2	2.1921 (2.3)	wrong		
	3.	1.8780(2.1)	correct	2 7161 (1)	
	4	1.7904 (5.9)	wrong	2.7101 (1)	COLLEC
	5	1.7447 (2.4)	wrong		
2	1	1.3595 (5,2)	correct		
	2	1.6832 (1,2)	correct		
	3	2.1007 (4,2)	correct	2.6780 (2)	correc
	4	2.3101 (4,2)	correct		
	5	1.9775 (4,2)	· correct		
3	1	2.1425 (5,1)	wrong		
	2	1.8025 (4,5)	wrong		
	3	1.6916 (5,3)	correct	2.4885 (3)	correc
	4	1.9905 (2,1)	wrong		
	5	2.3532 (5.3)	correct		
4	1	1.4871 (1,4)	correct		
	2	1.7267 (2,4)	correct		
	3	1,9639 (5,7)	wrong	2.6164 (4)	correc
	4	2.2227 (3,5)	wrong		
		1.0971 (3,5)	wrong		
2	1	1.5373 (1,3)	wrong		
	2	2.1961 (5,8)	wrong		
	3	1.6682 (4,3)	wrong	2.3964 (3)	wrong
	4	1.6418 (4,9)	wrong		
		$\frac{1.7190(3,9)}{1.761(1.6)}$	wrong		
0	2	1.7431 (1,0)	correct		
	2	1 2080 (1 6)	wrong	2 70(2 (2)	
	ر ۸	1.3039 (1,8)	COTTECT	2./962 (8)	wrong
	5	1.9470(4,0) 1.9751(2,10)	wrong		
7	<u>J</u>	1 4434 (5,7)	COTTECT		
•	2	1.6036(2.7)	correct		
	3	1.4319(3.7)	correct	3 0107 (7)	
	4	1.3947 (2.7)	correct	5.0197 (7)	correc
	5	1.8256 (4.7)	correct		
8	1	1.6241 (1.4)	wrong		
	2	1,7658 (3,6)	wrong		
	3	1.2124 (3.8)	correct	2.7587 (4)	WTODO
	4	2.1096 (5.8)	correct		aroug
	5	1.2452 (1,4)	wrong		
9	1	2.1398 (2,9)	correct		
	2	1.7909 (5,1)	wrong		
	3	2.3345 (5,9)	corrent	2.8691 (1)	wrong
	4	1.3858 (5,1)	wrong		
	5	1.9867 (5,9)	correct		
10	1	1.4806 (5,10)	correct		
	2	1.9687 (1,10)	correct		
	3	1.7139 (2,10)	correct	2.4750 (10)	correct
	4	1.5606 (5,10)	correct		
	5	1.8269 (5,10)	correct		
i = sampl	le index	Number of correct	I.D. = 28	-	6
j = speak	ker index		Rate = 56 5		60 X

APPENDIX G(2) Unknown speakers by telephone IDS; known speakers by linear IDS.



Unknown		Known Id	entificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-	neighbor	by the minim	m set
(j)	(i)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j)	Result
1	1	2.3676 (3,6)	wrong		
	2	2.4635 (1,1)	correct		
	3	2.2858 (5,5)	wrong	3.0963 (6)	wrong
	4	2.2326 (1,1)	correct		
	5	2.6622 (1,5)	wrong		
2	1	3.5451 (2,7)	wrong		
	2	2.2639 (4,2)	correct		
	د	3.5966 (3,2)	correct	4.0967 (2)	correct
	4	3.1200 (4,2)	correct		
		3.1417(4,2)	correct		
5	2	1.7519(3,3)	correct		
	3	2 3838 (3,3)	wrong	2 1516 (2)	
	Ĩ.	2.3030(3,3) 2.3213(4,3)	Correct	2.4340 (3)	correct
	5	2.2562(3.4)	wrong		
4	1	2.5638 (1,4)	correct		
	2	2.1204 (5,4)	correct		
	3	2.3327 (1.4)	correct	2,9174 (4)	COTTECT
	4	2.4394 (1,4)	correct		
	5	2.8335 (4,5)	wrong		
5	1	1.3061 (1,5)	correct		
	2	2.1310 (2,5)	correct		
	3	2.1986 (1,5)	correct	2.5603 (5)	correct
	4	2.2406 (4,7)	wrong		
	5	2.2180 (5,5)	<u>correct</u>		
6	1	2.2113(1,6)	correct		
	2	1./0/2 (1,0)	correct		
	5	2 2084 (1,6)	correct	2.5684 (6)	correct
	5	2.3095(1.6)	correct		
7	<u> </u>	1.8280 (3.3)	COFFECT		
•	2	2.0575 (4.7)	wrong		
	3	2.1566 (3.9)	wrong	2 5092 (3)	NTOD C
	4	2.2262 (4.7)	correct	2.5092 (J)	wrong
	5	1,5700 (5,5)	wrong		
8	1	1.1033 (2.8)	correct		
	2	1.2544 (2,8)	correct		
	3	1.3869 (4,8)	correct	2.0834 (8)	correct
	4	1.2812 (4,8)	correct		
	5	1.3601 (1,8)	correct		
9	1	2.4932 (3,10)	wrong		
	2	1.9309 (5,9)	correct		
	3	1.9735 (5,9)	correct	2.8942 (9)	correct
	4	2.7607 (3,4)	wrong		
	5	1.9386 (4,9)	correct		
10	1	2.5930 (4,8)	wrong		
	2	1.4026 (1,10)	correct		
	د ۸	2.1133 (1,10)	correct	2.6961 (8)	wrong
	4	2.2182 (4,10)	correct		
	2	2.0961 (1,8)	wrong		

APPENDIXG(3) Unknown speakers by telephone LTAS known speakers by normal LTAS

i = sample index Number of correct I.D. = 35 = 7 j = speaker index Rate = 70 % = 70 %



Unknown		Known Ide	ntificatio	n decision	
Speaker Sample		by the nearest-n	eighbor	by the minimum	n set
(1)	(1)	Dist. with(1.1)	Result	Dist. with(i)	Result
1	1	2.3288 (1.5)	wrong		
	2	2.7100 (1,7)	wrong		
	3	2.4538 (5,5)	wrong	3.1008 (1)	correct
	4	2.7360 (3,1)	correct		
	5	2.5760 (1,5)	wrong		
2	1	3.2513 (1,7)	wrong		
	2	2.2536 (4,2)	correct		
	3	4.4801 (2,2)	correct	3.6387 (7)	wrong
	4	3.6024 (4,2)	correct		
		3.3/82(4,2)	correct		
3	1	1.53/9 (3,3)	correct		
	2	2.0307 (2,3)	correct	2 0171 (2)	
	· 4	1 7694 (3 3)	correct	2.01/1 (3)	correct
	5	1.5903(2.3)	COTTect		
4	1	2.3857 (4.7)	Wrong		
	2	2.3144(1.4)	correct		
	3	2.0629 (4,7)	wrong	3.1618 (4)	correct
	4	2.1618 (3,5)	wrong	• •	
	5	2,2359 (4,5)	wrong		
5	1	1.3720 (1,5)	correct		
	2	1.7971 (4,5)	correct		
	3	1.9521 (5,5)	correct	2.5686 (5)	correct
	4	1.9209 (4,5)	correct		
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		2.0852 (5,5)	correct		
0	1	2.1020(2,6)	correct		
	2	2.0700 (2,0)	correct	2 4420 (6)	
	4	1.3797 (2.6)	COTTect	2.4420 (0)	correct
	5	1.9305 (2.6)	correct		
7	<u>1</u>	2,1016 (1,3)	wrong		
	2	2.4252 (5.7)	correct		
	3	2.6531 (4,7)	correct	2.6905 (3)	wrong
	4	2.8138 (4,3)	wrong		
	5	2.8822 (5,7)	correct		
8	1	2.0032 (3,8)	correct		
	2	1.7706 (3,8)	correct		
	3	2.2102 (3,8)	correct	2.4422 (8)	correct
	4	2.1054 (3,8)	correct		
	;	1.7313(5,8)	correct		
7	1 2	1,9003 (1,9)	correct		
	2 3	1 7371 (3,3)	COLLECT	2 5616 (0)	
	5	2 2954 (2,5)	WTODA	2.3414 (9)	COFFECE
	5	2.2359(3.9)	correct		
10	<u> </u>	2,2030 (4.8)	WTONE		
	2	1.9102 (3,8)	wrong		
	3	2.3798 (4,8)	wrong	3.3879 (8)	wrong
	4	2.0649 (3,8)	wrong		
	5	1.9134 (4,8)	wrong		
i = sampl	le index	Number of correc	t I.D. = 3	3	= 7 - 70 <b>-</b>

APPENDIX G(4) Unknown speakers by telephone LTAS known speakers by linear LTAS



Unknown		Known Iden	tificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-ne	ighbor	by the minimum	set
(ქ)	(i)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j)	Result
1	1	1.6114 (5,8)	wrong		
	2	1.5670 (1,3)	wrong		
	3	1.6030 (4,9)	wrong	2.3475 (9)	wrong
	4	1.3874 (5,9)	wrong		
	5	2.6277 (2,7)	wrong		
2	1	3.1701 (2,7)	wrong		
	2	2.4383 (3,2)	correct	- · · · · ·	
	3	2.1099 (4,2)	correct	3.1701 (2)	correct
	4	1.9481 (5,2)	correct		
<u>-</u>		- 1.95/8 (4,2) 1.5671 (2.1)	correct		
2	2	1.50/1(2,1) 1.58/6(2,3)	wrong		
	2	1 4708 (2 3)	correct	2 4615 (2)	
	4	1 95/6 (5 9)	correct	2.4015 (3)	correct
	5	1.7956(3,3)	COTTECT		
4	1	1,3381 (3.4)	COTTECT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	2	1.7394(1.4)	correct		
	3	1.3125 (1.4)	correct	2,3490 (8)	wrong
	4	2.2637 (5.4)	correct		
	5	1.7677 (3,5)	wrong		
5	1	0.9872 (4,5)	correct		
	2	1,9600 (2,4)	wrong		
	3	1.4251 (1,5)	correct	2.4753 (1)	wrong
	4	0.9874 (1,5)	correct		0
	5	1.6024 (4,5)	correct	·	
6	1	1.7376 (4,6)	correct		
	2	1.8982 (1,1)	wrong		
	3	1.8080 (4,6)	correct	2.5765 (10)	wrong
	4	1.8392 (1,6)	correct		
	5	2.3214 (1,10)	wrong		
7	1	1.9659 (4,1)	wrong		
	2	1.6277 (5,1)	wrong		
	3	1.8614 (4,7)	correct	2.4696 (7)	correct
	4	1.7286 (2,7)	correct		
		1.7756 (5,9)	wrong		
8	1	1.9961 (1,4)	wrong		
	2	1.7430 (5,8)	correct	0 000/ (0)	
	3	1.8135(1,4) 1.2960(1,4)	wrong	2.3234 (8)	correct
	4	1.3000(1,4)	wrong		
			wrong		
,	2	1.8970 (3,9)	correct		
	2	1,8164(4,9)	correct	2 2502 (0)	
	5	1,5055 (4,5)	WTODG	2.5502 (9)	correct
	5	1.3874(4.1)	wrong		
10	<u> </u>	1.7663 (4.10)	correct		
• • • ·	2	2.0853 (3.10)	correct	•	
	3	1.9005 (2.6)	WIONS	2,3203 (10)	COTTect
	4	1.6498 (5.10)	correct		
	5	1.0461 (4,10)	correct		
4	la dadar	Number of com	at T D -	20	4
$\perp = samp$	TE TUGEX	Number of corre	CC 1. <i>D.</i> = Pr=	· 47 =	U 60 W
l – sbear	VET THREX		Vgre a		00 6

APPENDIX G (5) Both unknown and known speakers by telephone IDS.



Unknown		Known	Iden	tification	decision	a	
Speaker	Sample	by the near	rest-ne	ighbor	by the	minimum	set
(1)	(1)	Dist. with(:	1,1)	Result	Dist. wi	th(j)	Result
1	1	1.5889 (5	,1)	correct			
	2	1.7836 (1	.,5)	wrong			
	3	1.4125 (4	1,1)	correct			
	4	1,5359 (3	3,1)	correct			
	5	1.7123 ()	1,1)	correct	2,4946	(3)	wrong
2	1	2.3320 (5	5,2)	correct			
	2	2.6436 (3	3,2)	correct			
	3	2.0921 (	5,2)	correct			
	4	2.5106 (	3,2)	correct			
		2.2155 (	3,2)	correct	3.1067	(2)	correct
د	1	1.3037 (2	2,5)	wrong			
	2	1.2637 (.	1,5)	wrong			
	3	1./365 (.	1,3)	correct			
	4	1.7695 (.	1,1)	wrong	2 4224		
4		1.8087 (.	2,1)	wrong	2.42.36	5 (4)	wrong
4	1 2	1.8115 (.	2,3) 3 4)	wrong			
	2	1.0380 (.	3,4)	correct			
	3	1./021 (.	2,4)	correct			
	4 5	2.05/5 (	3,41/ 2 5\	COFFECE	2 364	2 (4)	corroct
		1 2627 (	3, 3) 2 2)	wrong	2.304	(4)	COLLECT
5	2	1 2037 (	2,3)	wrong			
	2	1 6134 (	1 01	wrong			
	3	1 7077 (	1,9) 2 5)	wrong			
	-	1 7198 (	2,3/	correct	1 085	9 (5)	correct
6		2 4533 (	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{5}{6}$	correct		5 (3/	COTTECT
v	2	2 3671 (	2 8)	wrong			
	1	2 5581 (	4.6)	correct			
	4	2.5301 (	5.6)	correct			
	5	2,6519 (	4.6)	correct	2.8170	) (6)	correct
7	<u>1</u>	2,0259 (	3.7)	correct			
·	2	2.5177 (	3.7)	correct			
	3	2.0802 (	1.7)	correct			
	4	2,0575 (	5.7)	correct			
	5	2.1809 (	4.7)	correct	3.1426	5 (7)	correct
8	1	2.4965 (	2,8)	correct			
-	2	1.8168 (4	4,3)	wrong			
	3	1.8904 (	3,9)	wrong			
	4	2.2565 (	3,4)	wrong			
	5	2.1575 (	3,3)	wrong	3.2555	i (9)	wrong
9	1	1.6134 (	3,5)	wrong			
	2	2.2370 (	3,9)	correct			
	3	1.7958 (3	3,1)	wrong			
	4	2.0560 (	5,9)	correct			
	5	2.0016 (4	1,9)	correct	2.3946	(9)	correct
10	1	1.8376 (5	5,10)	correct			
	2	1.9327 (4	1,10)	correct			
	3	2.5047 (2	2,6)	wrong			
	4	1.9870 (2	2,10)	correct			
	5	2.0426 (1	,10)	correct	2.6479	(10)	correct
4	la indau	Number of a		TD - 22			- 7

APPENDIX G(6) Both unknown and known speakers by normal IDS.



APPENDIX G	(	1)	
------------	---	----	--

Both unknown and known speakers by linear IDS.

Ξ

		Id	entificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-	neighbor	by the minimum	n set
(j)	(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j)	Result
1	1	2.0848 (1,4)	wrong		
	2	2.2532 (5,1)	correct		
	3	1.8320 (1,3)	wrong		
	4	2.2975 (3,1)	correct		
	5	2.0145 (2,9)	wrong	2.8420 (1)	correct
2	1	1.8041 (3,2)	correct		
	2	1.6173 (3,2)	correct		
	3	1.6716 (2,2)	correct		
	4	1.8506 (2,2)	correct		
	5	2.6898 (4,2)	correct	2.8871 (2)	correct
3	1	1.8320 (3,1)	wrong		
	2	2.1846 (1,3)	correct		
	3	1.9999 (1,3)	correct		
	4	1.9483 (1,5)	wrong	(1)	
	5	2.2215 (5,5)	wrong	2.5094 (1)	wrong
4	1	1.8429 (3,5)	wrong		
	2	1.6622 (2,5)	wrong		
	3	1.2410 (4,4)	correct		
	4	1.4460 (3,4)	correct		
	. 5	2.0644 (3,4)	correct	2.4883 (4)	correct
5	1	1.8700 (1,3)	wrong		
	2	1.6622 (2,4)	wrong		
	3	1.8429 (1.4)	wrong		
	4	1.7624 (3,9)	wrong		
	5	1.9707 (4,5)	correct	2.4894 (5)	correct
6	1	2.3903 (4,6)	correct		
	2	2.1771 (5,6)	correct		
	3	2.2580 (1,8)	wrong		
	4	2.0699 (5,6)	correct	2 7220 (6)	correct
	5	2.0536 (2,6)	correct	2.7320 (8)	COLLECT
7	1	2.1139(3,7)	correct		
	2	1.6166 (5,7)	correct		
	3	2.2373(1,7)	correct		
	4	2.4234(2,7)	correct	2 7158 (5)	wrong
	5	1.8216 (2,7)	Correct	2.7100 (07	
8	1	1.8/02 (3,8)	correct		
	2	2.0453 (4,8)	correct		
	3	1.8159 (1,8)	correct		
	4	T.8208 (2'8)	correct	2,3813 (8)	correct
	5	1.0918 (4,8)	COTTect	2.0020 (0)	
9	1	2.040/ (3,7)	correct		
	2	1,9010 (4,9)	wrong		
	3	1 0501 (3,4) 1 0501 (3 0)	correct		
	4	1.0JO1 (2,9) 1 7304 (2 A)	WTODG	2.6370 (4)	wrong
	5	2 0004 (5 10)	correct		
10	1	2.0094 (5,10)	COTTECT		
	2	1,7500 (4,10)	correct		
	3	1,7508 (5,10)	COLLECT		
	4	1 6576 (2,10)	correct	2,0094 (10)	correct
	5	1.05/0 (2,10)	0011000		

i = sample index

Correct rate = 70 %

= 70 %

j = speaker index



Unknown		Known Id	entificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-	neighbor	by the minimu	
(t)	(1)				
1			Result	Dist. with(j)	Result
-	2	1.0322 (3,2) 1.0325 (3,1)	Correct		
	2	1.2323(3,1) 1.2969(2,1)	correct		
	4	2,2000(2,1)	correct		
	5	1 5688 (1 1)	correct	2 9117 (1)	
2	1	2,6566 (4,2)	correct	2.0117 (1)	COTTECT
	2	2.0052 (5,2)	correct		
	3	2.5504 (5.2)	correct		
	4	1.6415 (5,2)	correct		
	5	1.8465 (4,2)	correct	2.8150 (2)	correct
3	1	1.2647 (4,3)	correct		
	2	2.0079 (5,3)	correct		
	3	1.8817 (4,3)	correct		
	4	1.1413 (1,3)	correct		
	5	1.5908 (1,3)	correct	2.2982 (3)	correct
4	1	0.6117 (3,4)	correct		
	2	1.9871 (4,4)	correct		
	3	0.6660 (1,4)	correct		
	4	1.4275 (3,4)	correct		
E	5	1.99/4 (4,4)	correct	1.99/4 (4)	correct
5	1	1.9415 (2,5) 1.7755 (4.5)	correct		
	2	1 //33 (4,3)	correct		
	3	1 7212 (2,5)	correct		
		1.7212(2,3) 1.3164(3.5)	correct	2 4355 (5)	correct
6	1	1,1004 (3,6)	correct		0011000
	2	1.7214 (3.6)	correct		
	3	1.2239 (1,6)	correct		
	4	1.3003 (3,6)	correct		
	5	1.5774 (4,6)	correct	1.8204 (6)	correct
7	1	1.1491 (4,7)	correct		
	2	0.8082 (3,7)	correct		
	3	0.9317 (2,7)	correct		
	4	0.9440 (1,7)	correct		
	5	1.7216 (3,7)	correct	1.7216 (7)	correct
8	1	1.6059 (4,8)	correct		
	2	1.3370 (4,8)	correct		
	3	1.2555 (4,8)	correct		
	4	1.4006 (3,0)	correct	2 0294 (9)	correct
		2.0294 (1,8)	correct	2.0234 (3)	COLLECT
9	1	1.0242 (2,3) 1.4756 (3.9)	correct		
	2	1 3522 (2,9)	correct		
	4	1.9257 (5.9)	correct		
	5	1.4617 (3.9)	correct	2.2893 (9)	correct
10	1	1,9326 (3.10)	correct		
	2	1,5187 (3,10)	correct		
	3	1.5730 (2,10)	correct		
	4	1.6352 (5,10)	correct		
	5	1.5421 (2,10)	correct	1.9890 (10)	correct
sample	index	Number of correct	I.D. = 50		= 10
- 30,0010					

APPENDIX G (8) Both unknown and known speakers by telephone LTAS



Unknown		Known Id	entificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-	neighbor	by the minin	num set
(1)	(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j)	) Result
1	1	1.4748 (3,1)	correct		
•	2	1.5367 (1,1)	correct		
	3	1.5983 (1,1)	correct		
	4	1.9904 (1,1)	correct		
	5	1.7460 (1,5)	wrong	2.1580 (1)	correct
2	1	2.3024 (4,2)	correct		
	2	2.4877 (5,2)	correct		
	3	1.9504(4,2)	correct		
	4	1.7453(3,2)	correct	2 0751 (2)	
		2.4345 (1,2)	correct	2.9/51 (2)	COITECL
5	2	0.0038(3,3)	correct		
	2	1.1120(3,3)	COFFECT		
	3	1,7203,(1,3)	correct		
	-	1.7203(1,3)	correct	1 7203 (3)	correct
4	<u>-</u>		correct	1.7203 (37	
-	2	1 1831 (5 4)	correct		
	3	0.8601(1.4)	correct		
	4	0.9213 (1.4)	correct		
	5	1.2374(2.4)	correct	1,9373 (4)	correct
5'	1	1,1742 (2,5)	correct		
	2	1.2977 (1.5)	correct		
	3	1.8747 (2.5)	correct		
	4	1.2829 (2,5)	correct		
	5	2.1601 (3,5)	correct	2.1601 (5)	correct
6	1	1.1445 (3,6)	correct		
	2	1.1783 (3,6)	correct		
	3	1.0902 (1,6)	correct		
	4	1.6034 (1,6)	correct		
	5	1.4621 (1,6)	correct	1.6034 (6)	correct
7	1	1.4135 (2,7)	correct		
	2	1.5370 (1,7)	correct		
	3	1.4139 (2,7)	correct		
	4	1.8349 (5,7)	correct		
	5	1.6299 (4,7)	correct	2.1398 (7)	correct
8	1	1.4018 (3,8)	correct		
	2	1.3827 (3,8)	correct		
	3	1.5878 (2,3)	correct		
	4	1.4099 (3,8)	correct	1 4210(8)	correct
		1.4210 (3,8)	COFFECT	1.4210(0)	COLLECT
7	1 2	1.0/9/ (2,9)	COLLECC		
	2	1,5204 (4,9)	COLLECT		
	د ۸	1 5537 (4,7) 1 5537 (5 A)	COLLECT		
	4	1 4302 (4 0)	COLLECT	2.4886 (9)	correct
10		1 3632 (5 10)	COTTect	2,1000 (3/	
10	2	1 5032 (3,10)	COLLECT		
	2	2 001A (A 10)	COTTACT		
	· 4	1.7963 (3.10)	correct		
	5	1.4171 (1.10)	correct	2,3288(10)	correct
a sample	inder	Number of correct	T.D 40		- 10
<ul> <li>speaker</li> </ul>	index	Number of Correct	Rate = $98$ %	:	= 100

APPENDIX G(9) Both unknown and known speakers by normal LTAS



Unknown		Known Ide	entificatio	n decision		
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-	neighbor	by the minimum set		
(ქ)	(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(1)	Result	
1	1	1.6216 (4,1)	correct			
	2	2.5226 (1,1)	correct			
	3	1.1635 (5,1)	correct			
	4	1.4345 (5,1)	correct			
	5	1.0019 (3,1)	correct	2.5994 (1)	correct	
2	1	1.7803 (2,2) .	correct			
	2	2.0002 (1,2)	correct			
	3	1.9460 (4,2)	correct			
	4	1.7437 (3,2)	correct			
	5	1.8164 (3,2)	correct	2.0713 (2)	correct	
3	1.	1.0323 (3,3)	correct			
	2	1.3142 (5,3)	correct			
	3	0.9088 (1,3)	correct			
	4	1.1386 (1,3)	correct			
	5	1.1092 (2,3)	correct	1.5842(3)	correct	
4	1	1.8273 (3,4)	correct			
	2	1.6194 (5,4)	correct			
	3	1.2902 (4,4)	correct			
	4	1.1721 (5,4)	correct			
	5	1.2264 (4,4)	correct	1.9545 (4)	correct	
5	1	1.1776 (2,5)	correct			
	2	1.1068 (4,5)	correct			
	3	1.7464 (4,5)	correct			
	4	1.3118 (2;5)	correct			
	5	1.9072 (2,8)	wrong	2.2466 (5)	correct	
6	1	1.1335 (3,6)	correct			
	2	1.5933 (1,6)	correct			
	3	1.0792 (1,6)	correct			
	4	1.2828 (1,6)	correct			
	5	1.6387 (3,6)	correct	1.7635 (6)	correct	
7	1	1.1346 (3,7)	correct			
	2	1.5510 (5,7)	correct			
	3	1.0468 (5,7)	correct			
	4	1.6726 (5,7)	correct			
	5	1,1702 (3,7)	correct	1.6726 (7)	correct	
8	1	1.6215 (2,8)	correct			
	2	1.5671 (1,8)	correct			
	3	1.5418 (4,8)	correct			
	4	1.6650 (5,8)	correct			
	5	1.4599 (4,8)	correct	1.9684(8)	correct	
8	1	2.0199 (2,9)	correct			
	2	1.3856 (5,9)	correct			
	3	1.3715 (2,9)	correct			
	4	1.7271 (5,9)	correct			
	5	1.2622 (2,9)	correct	2.1987 (9)	correct	
9	1	1.8211 (4,10)	correct			
	2	1.7614 (4,10)	correct			
	3	1.9976 (5,10)	correct			
	4	1.9664 (2,10)	correct			
	5	1.9681 (1,10)	correct	2.3926 (10)	correct	
= sample	index	Number of correct I	L.D. = 49		= 10	

APPENDIX G(10) Both unknown and known speakers by linear LTAS



Unknown		Known Tda		n decision
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-	neighbor	by the minimum set
(j)	(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j) Result
1	1	1.1428 (2,3)	wrong	
	2	1.3478 (3,3)	wrong	
	3	0.8861 (3,1)	correct	1.6879 (1) correct
	4	1.2316 (2,3)	wrong	
		1.5837 (5,1)	correct	
2	2	1.21/0 (1,2)	correct	
	2	1.1113 (4,2) 1.2282 (5.2)	correct	0.5500 (0)
	4	1.2303 (3,2) 1.2113 (5.2)	correct	2.5539 (2) correct
	5	1.2113 (3,2) 1.1452 (3.5)	correct	
3	1	0.8706 (2.8)	wrong	
	2	0.5634(4.3)	COTTECT	
	3	1.3086 (2.1)	wrong	3.0001 (7) wrong
	4	1.3544 (5.9)	wrong	5.0001 (/) #10ng
	5	0_9565 (2.1)	wrong	
4	1	1.2479 (1,4)	correct	
	2	1.4083 (4,4)	correct	
	3	0.9067 (4,8)	wrong	-2.8700 (8) wrong
	4	2.3305 (1,4)	correct	· · · -
	5	1.4067 (2,7)	wrong	
2	1	0.6568 (3,3)	wrong	
	2	0.7151 (4,3)	wrong	
	2	1.1855 (3,7)	wrong	2.9900 (7) wrong
	4 5	1.8224 (5,5)	correct	
6	<u>-</u>	1.2930(5,5)	correct	
-	2	1.5920 (1,0)	correct	
	3	1.5371(1.6)	correct	2 7445 (6) correct
	4	1.4852 (1.6)	correct	277445 (0) Correct
	5	2.0072 (1.6)	correct	
7	1	1.0438 (2,4)	wrong	
	2	1.9616 (4,7)	correct	
	3	0.7965 (2,8)	wrong	3.2124 (9) wrong
	4	0.7700 (3,5)	wrong	
	5	0.7624 (1,7)	correct	
ō	1	1.38:54 (4,8)	correct	
	4	1.5792 (3,4)	wrong	
	5	1.2232 (3,4)	wrong	1.9745 (8) correct
	4	1.3309 (5,4)	wrong	
9		1.0/31(5.3)	wrong	
	2	1,2/14(2,7)	wrong	
	3	0.0000 (0, 9)	COFFECE	2 0222 (7) (7000
	4	0.7184(4.7)	wrong	2.0335 (7) wrong
	5	1,1367 (3,9)	COTTECT	
10	1	1.2418 (3.10)	correct	
	2	1.2231 (3.10)	correct	•
	3	3.1401 (5.6)	wrong	2.9788 (10) correct
	4	1.4187 (4,10)	correct	
	5	2.2191 (4,10)	correct	
1 = samol	le index	Number of correct	TD = 26	_ 5

APPENDIX G(11) Unknown speakers by telephone FFC; known speakers by normal FFC.

i = sample indexNumber of correct I.D. = 26= 5j = speaker indexRate = 52 %= 50 %



(j) 1 2	(1) 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 2	Dist. with(1,j) 1.7007 (1,1) 1.0547 (3,9) 1.8466 (2,1) 1.5381 (1,1) 2.1778 (5,1) 1.4248 (2,2)	Result correct wrong correct correct	Dist. with(j)	Result
2	1 2 3 4 5 1 2	Dist. with(1,j) 1.7007 (1,1) 1.0547 (3,9) 1.8466 (2,1) 1.5381 (1,1) 2.1778 (5,1) 1.4248 (2,2)	correct wrong correct correct	1 9797 (3)	Kesult
2	2 3 4 5 1 2	1.70547 (3,9) 1.8466 (2,1) 1.5381 (1,1) 2.1778 (5,1) 1.4248 (2,2)	wrong correct correct	1 9787 (3)	
2	3 4 5 1 2	1.0347 (3,9) $1.8466 (2,1)$ $1.5381 (1,1)$ $2.1778 (5,1)$ $1.4248 (2,2)$	correct correct	1 9797 (3)	
2	4 5 1 2	$\begin{array}{r} 1.5380 & (2,1) \\ 1.5381 & (1,1) \\ 2.1778 & (5,1) \\ \hline 1.4248 & (2,2) \end{array}$	correct		
2	5 1 2	2.1778 (5,1)	COLLECT	1.3/0/ (3)	wrong
2	1 2 2	1 4248 (2 2)	correct		
	2		correct		
		1.5386 (4.2)	correct		
		0.9873 (1.2)	correct	2,3223 (2)	correct
	4	1.0944 (4.2)	correct	013223 (1)	
	5	2.1796 (4.2)	correct		
3	1	0.9494 (2,8)	wrong		
	2	0.8041 (4.7)	wrong		
	3	2.2421 (4,9)	wrong	2.4319 (7)	wrong
	4	0.8285 (5,3)	correct	• •	0
		1.8538 (5.1)	wrong		
4	1	1.4131 (1,4)	correct		
	2	1.3132 (1,8)	wrong		
	3	0.9596 (5,4)	correct	1.7576 (8)	wrong
	4	2.8226 (2,3)	wrong		
	5	0.9950 (3,8)	wrong		
5	1	0.4113 (3,9)	wrong		
	2	1.0196 (3,7)	wrong		
	3	0.8494 (4,3)	wrong	1.8548 (7)	wrong
	4	1.8840 (1,5)	correct		
		1.6649 (3,5)	correct		
0	1	1.2306 (5,6)	correct		
	2	2.2210(4,0)	COTTECT	2 2524 (4)	
	5	1.2101 (4,0) 1.7250 (1.6)	correct	3.0534 (6)	correct
		1.7239(1,0)	COFFECE		
7		0.0000 (4.9)	wrong		
,	2	0.9909(4,9)	wrong		
	2	0.0129 (4, 9)	wrong	1 7210 (0)	
	4	0.3030 (5,8)	wrong	1.7510 (9)	wrong
	5	0.6740 (5.7)	correct		
8	1	0.9648 (1.8)	wrong		
-	2	1.6674 (2.8)	correct		
	3	0.5557 (4.8)	correct	1.8843 (8)	correct
	4	1,1638 (1,8)	correct	110045 (0)	correct
•	5	1.6222 (5.5)	wrong		
9	1	1.2716 (1.7)	wrong		
	2	0.8444(1.9)	correct		
	3	0.7246 (1,7)	wrong	1.8902 (7)	wrong
	4	0.8177(4,7)	wrong		0
	5	1.5685 (3,9)	correct		
10	1	0.3579 (1,10)	correct		
	2	1.4299 (1,10)	correct		
	3	2.8851 (4,10)	correct	3.0185 (10)	correct
	4	1.0524 (3,10)	correct		
	5	1.0439 (3,10)	correct		

APPENDIX G(12) Unknown speakers by telephone FFC; known speakers by linear FFC.



Unknown		Known Id	entificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-	neighbor	by the mini	dum set
(1)	(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with (j	) Result
1	1	1.2070 (4,1)	correct		
	2	1.1192 (1,5)	wrong		
	3	1.8451 (1,3)	wrong		
	4	1.3057 (1,1)	correct		
	5	1.9226 (5,3)	wrong	2,3325 (9)	wrong
2	1	1.6466 (4,3)	wrong		
	2	1.7131 (3,2)	correct		
	3	1.3898 (2,1)	wrong		
	4	1.8547 (5,2)	correct		
	<u> </u>	1.5961 (4,2)	correct	1.9433 (2)	correct
3	1	1.18/5 (3,7)	wrong		
	2	1.0107 (2,5)	wrong		
	3	1.4440 (5,3)	correct		
	4	1.0406 (1,2)	wrong	2 2215 /7V	
	<u>&gt;</u>	1.2807 (1,4)	wrong	2.3315 (7)	wrong
4	1	1.3/95 (5,3) 1 5756 (5 0)	wrong		
	2	1.5/56 (5,8)	wrong		
	د م	1.0004 (4, 7)	wrong		
	4	1.6816 (1,4)	COTTECT	0 0075 (0)	
<u> </u>		1.1115 (2,7)	wrong	2.02/5 (8)	wrong
5	1	0.8933(5,7)	wrong		
	2	0.6366 (3,9)	wrong		
	3	1.2392 (3,7)	wrong		
	4	1.9150 (5,8)	wrong	1 7767 (7)	
		1.9966 (4,5)	correct	1.7303 (7)	wrong
0	2	1.3404 (3,0)	COFFECE		
	2	1.5570 (5,0)	correct		
	3	1.04/0 (1,0)	correct		
	5	1.5502 (5,0)	correct	1 9976 (6)	correct
7	<u>ī</u>	0 8063 (2 7)	correct	1.55/0 (0/	0011000
,	2	0.7863 (3.9)	WTODG		
	3	1 1875 (1 3)	wrong		
	4	1.0004(3.4)	wrong		
	5	0.8933 (1.5)	wrong	1,6709 (7)	correct
8	<u> </u>	1,2497 (3,4)	wrong		
-	2	1,4292 (3.8)	correct		
	3	1,5328 (2,8)	correct		
	4	1.7872 (1.8)	correct		
	5	1.5636 (2.8)	correct	2.2270 (8)	correct
9	1	1.2398 (2,7)	wrong		
-	2	1,5109 (5,9)	correct		
	3	0.6366 (2,5)	wrong		,
	4	0.9424 (5,7)	wrong		
	5	1.2523 (2,9)	correct	1.4046 (7)	wrong
10	1	1.0207 (4,10)	correct		
	2	1.1375 (4,10)	correct		
	3	3.6933 (3,6)	wrong		
	4	0.9220 (1,10)	correct		
	5	1.0978 (4,10)	correct	3.9223 (7)	wrong
= sample	e index	Number of correct	I.D. = 24		= 4
= enesk	er index		Rate = $48$	7	= 40 7

APPENDIX G(13) Both unknown and known speakers by telephone FFC.



Unknown		Known Id	entificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-	neighbor	by the minimu	um set
(ქ)	(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j)	Result
1	1	0.8283 (2,3)	wrong		
	2	0.9473 (4,5)	wrong		
	3	1.4701 (2,5)	wrong	2.3165 (5)	wrong
	4	1.2608 (2,3)	wrong		
	5	1.3943 (2,3)	wrong		
2	1	1.8760 (5,2)	correct		
	2	1.1495 (1,5) 2.1275 (5.2)	wrong	0 1075 (0)	
	5	2.12/3(3,2)	correct	2.12/5 (2)	correct
	4	1.2417 (3,2) 1.1429 (4.2)	correct		
	<u> </u>	2,0839 (5,3)	correct		
5	2	0.8283(1.1)	wrong		
	3	0.7673(4.3)	correct	1,2440 (7)	wrong
	4	0.6685 (3.3)	correct	1.2440 (/)	wrong
	5	1.7827 (1.3)	correct		
4	1	1.1918 (3,4)	correct		
	2	0.6282 (4,7)	wrong		
	3	1.2954 (1,4)	correct	1.4211 (7)	wrong
	4	1.4253 (2,8)	wrong		-
	5	1.3122 (3,4)	correct		
5	1	1.1495 (2,2)	wrong		
	2	1.4701 (3,1)	wrong		
	3	1.4735 (5,2)	wrong	2.1218 (7)	wrong
	4	0.9473 (2,1)	wrong		
		2.20/9 (2,2)	wrong		
0	1	1.2855 (3,6)	correct		
	2	1.7030 (4,0)	correct	1 9526 (6)	
	2	1 1392 (3 6)	correct	1.6520 (0)	COTTECL
	5	1,7863 (4 10)	wrong		
7		0.6297 (2.7)	correct		
•	2	0.5310(1.7)	correct		
	3	0.9912 (2.7)	correct	1,2240 (7)	correct
	4	0.6257 (1,7)	correct		
	5	1.1836 (3,3)	wrong		
8	1	1.8702 (3,5)	wrong		
	2	1.0018 (2,3)	wrong		
	3	1.6076 (1,4)	wrong	1.8001 (1)	wrong
	4	1.4763 (3,7)	wrong .		
	5	1.2645 (3,9)	wrong		
9	1	1.3790 (4,7)	wrong		
	2	U.9993 (2,/) 1 26/5 /5 01	wrong	1 97/0 /7	
	3	1.2043 (3,8)	wrong	1.8/49 (/)	wrong
	4 E	1.3030 (2,7)	wrong		
		0.0032 /3 10	COTTect		
10	2	2.5705(4.10)	COTTect		
	2	0.7290 (4.10)	correct	25705 (10)	correct
	4	1.0303 (3.10)	correct	23/03 (10)	CULLEUL
	5	1.4040 (1.10)	correct		
1	a inder	Number of corre	ect T D -	24	- /
T - sambi	e maex	Number of Corre	Rota -	48 7	- 4
) - speak	er maex		ndle =	40 h	- 40 %

,

APPENDIX G(14) Both unknown and known speakers by normal FFC.



			Ide	ntificatio	n decisio	n	
Speaker	Sample	by the ne	arest-	eighbor	by the	minimum	set
(j)	(1)	Dist. with	(1,j)	Result	Dist. wi	th(j)	Result
1	1	1.0832	(4,1)	correct			
	2	1.2584	(1,1)	correct			
	3	1.0433	(4,1)	correct	1.9375	(5)	wrong
	4	0.9005	(5,3)	wrong			-
	5	1.1143	(1,1)	correct			
2	1	0.7391	(2,2)	correct			
	2	0.8428	(1,2)	correct			
	3	0.8131	(1,2)	correct	1.8741	(2)	correct
	4	1.6192	(2,2)	correct			
	5	1.2699	(3,2)	correct			
3	1	0.8031	(5,9)	wrong			
	2	4.2353	(5,1)	wrong			
	3	0.8754	(2,9)	wrong	1.6365	(9)	wrong
	4	0.6028	(5,8)	wrong		• •	c,
	5	0.9005	(4, 1)	wrong			
4	1	0.9243	(5,4)	correct			
	2	1.3800	(2,7)	wrong			
	3	1.5194	(5.7)	wrong	1.7212	(8)	wrong
	4	7.2062	(2.8)	wrong			0
	5	1.2256	(1.4)	correct			
5	ī	0.8874	(5.5)	correct			
5	2	1.2873	(4,3)	wrong			
	3	0.8830	(1,5)	correct	2.3478	(1)	wrong
	5	3,7324	(2,10)	wrong	2.34/0	(1)	#1011B
	4	0 7887	(1,5)	correct			
	<del></del>	3.6106	(4,6)	correct			
0	2	1.6442	(4.6)	correct			
	2	1 2236	(4,6)	correct	2 5136	(10)	wrong
	5	1 1100	(3, 6)	correct	2.9190	(10)	#101.B
		1 2410	(2, 10)	wrong			
		0 8150	$\frac{(2,10)}{(2,0)}$	wrong			
/	2	0.9658	(2, 5)	wrong			
	2	0.5050	(1, 7)	aorract	1 3057	(7)	correct
	3	0.3801	(4,7)	correct	1.5057	$(\prime)$	COLLECT
	4	0.4075	(3,7)	correct			•
		1 38/0	$\frac{(3,7)}{(5,6)}$	COLLECT			
8	I	1.3040	(3,4)	wrong			
	2	1.21//	(3,4)	wrong	1 6022	(8)	
	3	1.2593	(4,0)	correct	1.0033	(0)	correct
	4	1.1899	(3,4)	wrong			
	5	0.6028	$\frac{(4,3)}{73}$	wrong			
9	1	0.9658	(2,/)	wrong			
	2	0.8159	(1, /)	wrong	1 (000	(0)	
	3	0.5846	(2, 9)	correct	1.6800	(9)	correct
	4	0.5988	(3,/)	wront			
	5	0.4809	(3,9)	correct			
10	1	1.6610	(3,10)	correct			
	2	1.2410	(5,6)	wrong			
	3	1.3597	(1,10)	correct	2.8418	(10)	correct
	4	0.7244	(5,10)	correct			
	5	0.6256	(4,10)	correct			
i = sampl	e index	Number	of corr	ect I.D. =	28		= 5

APPENDIX G(15) Both unknown and known speakers by linear FFC.



Unknown		Known Iden	tificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-ne	ighbor	by the minimum	set
(1)	(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j)	Result
1	1	3.4344 (1,5)	wrong		
	2	3.3339 (1,5)	wrong		
	3	3.0029 (3,1)	correct	3.8780 (5)	wrong
	4	2.7733 (1,1)	correct		
	5	2.9467 (5,3)	wrong		
2	1	4.0001 (1,8)	wrong		
	2	2.3910 (4,2)	correct		•
	3	3.9761 (3,2)	correct	4.3086 (2)	correct
	4	3.7089 (4,2)	correct		
	5	3.5406 (3,2)	correct		
3	1	3.8548 (2,9)	wrong		
	2	3.5361 (3,3)	correct		
	3	4.6579 (4,3)	correct	3.6655 (5)	wrong
	4	3.8641 (4.5)	wrong		
	5	2.2600 (2.3)	correct		
4	1	2.8104 (1,7)	wrong		
	2	2.0866 (4,4)	correct		
	3	3.0011 (4,8)	wrong	4.0164 (4)	correct
	4	3.0998 (1,4)	correct		
	5	3.1235 (1,4)	correct		
5	1	2.0202 (1,9)	wrong		
	2	3.0375 (4,9)	wrong	( (012 (0)	
	3	3.6108 (2,8)	wrong	4.0013 (9)	wrong
	4	3,3980 (2,5)	correct		
	5	2.1731 (5,5)	correct		
6	1	2.9056 (1,10)	wrong		
	2	2.2243 (1.6)	correct	2 4627 (6)	correct
	3	2.14/8 (1,0)	correct	5.4027 (0)	
	4	3.6614 (1,6)	correct		
	5	3.0109 (1,10)	wrong		
7	1	2.1084(3,3)	wrong		
	2	2.2581(4,3)	wrong	3 4177 (7)	correct
	3	3.0355(3,7)	correct	3.4117 (77	•••••
	4	2.1/61(5,7)	correct		
	5	3.5554 (5,7)	correct		
8	1	2.9450 (4,8)	correct		
	2	3.0108 (4,8)	correct	4.0514 (8)	correct
	3	2.0001 (4,8)	correct	410311 (0)	• • • • • • •
	4	2.3803(4,8)	correct		
	5	3.1222 (4,0)	correct		
9	1	3.2222 (2, 3)	wrong		
	Z	2 0005 (5,1)	correct	3.7224 (1)	wrong
	3	2 0080 (2,4)	wrong		-
	4	2.7707 (2,4)	correct		
	5	2.3412 (3,3)	correct		
10	1	2.3033 (1,10)	wrong		
	Z	2.3/00 (1,0)	wrong	4.0197 (10)	correct
	3	3.3303 (4,0)	correct		
	4	3 1360 (4,10)	correct		
	2	3.1303 (4,10)			

APPENDIX G(16) Unknown speakers by telephone(IDS+FFC); known speakers by normal(IDS+FFC).

i = sample index Number of correct I.D. = 31 = 6 j = speaker index Rate = 62 % = 60 %



Unknown		Known Ider	ntificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-ne	eighbor	by the minimum	n set
Ċ)	(1)	Diet with(1,1)	Result	Dist. with(1)	Result
1	1	3.2256 (1.5)	wrong	22001 - 2011()/	
-	2	3,2207 (5,9)	wrong		
	3	3.3550 (5.5)	wrong	3.8575 (1)	correct
	4	3.2401 (4.1)	correct		
	5	4,3913 (2,5)	wrong		
2	1	4.4399 (1,7)	wrong		
_	2	2.7287 (4,2)	correct		
	3	4.7167 (2,2)	correct	4.8425 (7)	wrong
	4	3.7650 (4,2)	correct		
	5	4.0204 (4,2)	correct		
3	1	2.4843 (4,1)	wrong		
	2	2.7575 (1,3)	correct		
	3	3.5950 (1,3)	correct	3.7410 (3)	correct
	4	2.1226 (3,3)	correct		
	5	3.1720 (1.4)	wrong		
4	1	2.8339 (1,4)	correct		
	2	2.6766 (2,3)	wrong	2 6610 (9)	
	3	2.9562(1,4)	correct	3.0010 (0)	wrong
	4	3.68/0 (1,4)	correct		
		3.3/01(4,3)	wrong		
5	1	2.8433(3,0) 2.2175(2,7)	wrong		
	2	28178(2,7)	wrong	4,0610 (8)	wrong
	5	3,5123,(1,5)	correct		
	4	3,3593 (1,5)	correct		
<u> </u>		3,1294 (2,6)	correct		
U	2	3.5379 (2,6)	correct		
	3	2.5508 (3,6)	correct	3.8011 (6)	correct
	4	2.4671 (1,10)	wrong		
	5	3,3762 (1,10)	wrong		
7	1	2.6171 (1,3)	wrong		
	2	2.6221 (5,7)	correct		
	3	3.0940 (4,3)	wrong	4.2421 (7)	COFFECE
	4	2.9949 (4,3)	wrong		
	5	2.9599 (5,3)	wrong		
	1	2.4948 (1,8)	correct		
	2	2.8349 (3,8)	correct	3 2303 (8)	correct
	3	2.3684 (4,8)	correct	5.2505 (0)	
	4	3.2630 (4,8)	correct		
	5	3.1400(4,0)	correct		
9	1	2.0100(1,3) 2.2/10(3,1)	wrong		
	2	2.3419(3,1) 2 3018 (3 9)	correct	2.9851 (5)	wrong
	3	2.3010 (3,7)	wrong		-
	4	2.0700 (7,1)	correct		
	<u> </u>	3.5804 (1.6)	wrong		
10	1	2.8889 (1.10)	correct		
	2	3,7659 (4.6)	wrong	4.3465 (10)	correct
	د ۸	3.0866 (1.10)	correct		
	-+ 5	3.4282 (1,10)	correct		

APPENDIX G(17)							
Unknown speakers by telephone(IDS+FFC); known speakers	by linear(IDS+FFC).						

i = sample index Number of correct I.D. = 28 = 6 j = speaker index Rate = 56 % = 60 %



Unknown		Known Ide	ntificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-n	eighbor	by the minimum	set
(1)	(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j)	Result
1	1	3.6524 (1,5)	wrong		
	2	3.0951 (1,1)	correct		
	3	3.3446 (1,1)	correct	4.4697 (1)	correct
	4	2.3071 (1,1)	correct		
	5	3.8588 (5,1)	correct		
2	1	4.2840 (1,2)	correct		
	2	2.6401 (4,2)	correct		
	3	4.5476 (3,2)	correct	4.4841 (2)	correct
	4	3.3295 (4,2)	correct		
	<u>&gt;</u>	3.3492 (4,2)	correct		
3	1	3.4609 (5,3)	correct		
	2	3.0524 (3,3)	correct	a anai /a	
	3	2.800/ (1,3)	correct	3.9884 (3)	correct
		2.7394 (1,3)	correct		
4	1	2 9590 (1.4)	COTTECL		
-	2	3.0474 (5.4)	correct		
	3	28607(14)	correct	3 5540 (4)	
	4	2,000,(1,4) 2,7287,(1,4)	COTTect	3.3343 (4)	correct
	5	3.7803 (1.4)	correct		
5	1	2,8110 (1,5)	correct		
-	2	2.6593 (1.5)	correct		
	3	3.2131 (2.5)	correct	4,0908 (5)	correct
	4	3.4112 (1.3)	wrong		
	5	3.5637 (1,5)	correct		
6	1	3.2555 (3,6)	correct		
	2	2.9076 (2,6)	correct		
	3	2.3935 (3,6)	correct	3.2130 (6)	correct
	4	3.2903 (3,6)	correct		
	5	2.8991 (3,6)	correct		
7	1	2,2171 (1,3)	wrong		
	2	2.2879 (4,7)	correct		
	3	2.4743 (3,9)	wrong	3.4856 (7)	correct
	4	2.2801 (4,7)	correct		
	5	2.1019 (5,7)	correct		
8	1	3.3397 (2,8)	correct		
	2	1.8287 (2,8)	correct		
	3	2.1621 (4,8)	correct	3.7699 (8)	correct
	4	1.9583 (4,8)	correct		
		3.3247 (3,8)	correct		
9	1	3.2522(1,9)	correct		
	2	2.4030 (3,9)	correct	2 2502 (0)	
	3	2.010/ (3,9)	correct	3.3593 (9)	correct
	4	2 6800 (J,7)	correct		
10		3 5110 (1 10)	COTTect		
10	2	2 9726 (5 10)	COTTECL		
	2	2.5923 (1 10)	correct	3 520/ /10)	
	د ۵	2.7880 (4.10)	COTTECT	J.J.74 (IU)	correct
	5	3.4344 (2,10)	correct		
1 = samp	le index	Number of corr	ect I.D. =	46 = 10	
j = spea	ker index		Rate =	92 7 = 100	z

APPENDIX G (18) Unknown speakers by telephone(LTAS+FFC); known speakers by normal(LTAS+FFC)



	Known Ide	ntificatio	n decision	
Sample	by the nearest-n	eighbor	by the minimum	set
(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j)	Result
1	3.6944 (1,1)	correct		
2	3.6321 (1,1)	correct		
3	3.0198 (1,1)	correct	3.8780 (1)	correct
4	2.5/33(3,1)	correct		
	<u> </u>	correct		
2	4.2000 (1,2) 2 5210 (4 2)	correct		
3	4.4614(3.2)	correct	4 3086 (2)	COTTAC
4	3.6374 (3.2)	correct	4.5000 (2)	COLLECT
5	3.8467 (3,2)	correct		
1	2.8549 (2,3)	correct		
2	2.3732 (3,3)	correct		
3	3.5636 (4,3)	correct	3.6655 (3)	correct
4	3.0286 (4,3)	correct		
<u> </u>	2.8259 (2.3)	<u>correct</u>		
1	2.8514 (1,4)	correct		
2	2./566 (4,4)	correct		
5	3.0338 (4,4)	correct	4.0164 (4)	correct
5	3,3/3/ (4,4)	correct		
<u>j</u>	$\frac{3.3322}{2.8025}$ (1,4)	correct		
2	2.5935 (4.5)	COTTect		
3	3.1862 (2.8)	wrong	4,6013 (5)	correct
4	3.3000 (2.5)	. correct		
5	2.5674 (5,5)	correct		
1	2.9766 (1,6)	correct		
2	2.3424 (1,6)	correct		
3	2.1369 (1,6)	correct	3.4627 (6)	correct
4	2.6614 (1,6)	correct		
<u>&gt;</u>	3.0599(1,6)	correct		
1	2.4258 (3,3)	worng		
2	2.2/11 (4,7)	correct	2 (177 (7)	
4	2.5002(5,7) 2 7195(5 7)	correct	3.41// (/)	correct
5	2.2268 (5.7)	correct		
1	2.3775 (4.8)	correct		
2	2.6494 (4.8)	correct		
3	2.0882 (4,8)	correct	4.0514 (8)	correct
4	2.7563 (4,8)	correct		
5	3.0276 (4,8)	correct		
1	3.2060 (2,9)	correct	-	
2	2.7576 (3,9)	correct		
3	2.4444 (5,9)	correct	3.7224 (9)	correct
4	3.2542 (2,4)	wrong		
<u> </u>	$\frac{2.3321(3,9)}{2.2501(1,10)}$	correct		
2	3.3301 (1,10) 2 1000 /1 10)	correct		
2	2+1700 (1,1U) 6 8716 (2 10)	COFFECE	4 0107 (10)	
4	2,6331 (4 10)	COTTACT	4.019/ (10)	correct
5	3.5376 (4.10)	correct		
	Sample (1) 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 5 1 2 3 3 5 1 2 3 3 5 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1	KnownIdeSampleby the nearest-n(1)Dist. with(1,j)1 $3.6944$ (1,1)2 $3.6321$ (1,1)3 $3.0198$ (1,1)4 $2.5733$ (3,1)5 $3.5973$ (5,1)1 $4.2680$ (1,2)2 $2.5219$ (4,2)3 $4.4614$ (3,2)4 $3.6374$ (3,2)5 $3.8467$ (3,2)1 $2.8549$ (2,3)2 $2.3732$ (3,3)3 $3.5636$ (4,3)4 $3.0286$ (4,3)5 $2.8259$ (2,3)1 $2.8514$ (1,4)2 $2.7566$ (4,4)3 $3.0358$ (4,4)4 $3.0737$ (4,4)5 $3.922$ (1,4)1 $2.8025$ (1,5)2 $2.5935$ (4,5)3 $3.1862$ (2,8)4 $3.000$ (2,5)5 $2.674$ (5,5)1 $2.9766$ (1,6)2 $2.3424$ (1,6)3 $2.1369$ (1,6)4 $2.6614$ (1,6)5 $3.0599$ (1,6)1 $2.4258$ (3,3)2 $2.7711$ (4,7)3 $2.0682$ (5,7)1 $2.3775$ (4,8)2 $2.6494$ (4,8)3 $2.0882$ (4,8)4 $3.2076$ (4,8)5 $3.0276$ (4,8)5 $3.0276$ (4,8)5 $2.3221$ (3,9)1 $3.3501$ (1,10)2 $2.1988$ (1,10)3 $4.8714$ (2,10)4 $2.6331$ (4,10)5 $3.5376$ (6,10)	Known         Identificatio           Sample         by the nearest-neighbor           (1)         Dist. with(1,j)         Result           1         3.6944 (1,1)         correct           2         3.6321 (1,1)         correct           3         3.0198 (1,1)         correct           4         2.5733 (3,1)         correct           5         3.5973 (5,1)         correct           2         2.5219 (4,2)         correct           3         4.4614 (3,2)         correct           4         3.6374 (3,2)         correct           5         3.8467 (3,2)         correct           2         2.3732 (3,3)         correct           3         3.5636 (4,3)         correct           4         3.0286 (4,3)         correct           5         2.8259 (2.3)         correct           3         3.0358 (4,4) <torrect< td="">           3         3.0358 (4,4)         correct           3         3.0358 (4,5)         correct           3         3.0358 (4,5)         correct           3         3.0300 (2,5)         correct           3         3.1862 (2,8)         wrong           4</torrect<>	KnownIdentificationdecisionby the nearest-neighborby the minimum(1)Dist. with(j)13.6924 (1,1)correct23.6321 (1,1)correct33.0198 (1,1)correct42.5733 (3,1)correct53.5973 (5,1)correct14.2680 (1,2)correct22.5219 (4,2)correct34.4614 (3,2)correct43.6374 (3,2)correct53.8467 (3,2)correct22.3732 (3,3)correct33.5636 (4,3)correct33.5636 (4,3)correct33.0358 (4,4)correct33.0358 (4,4)correct22.5935 (4,5)correct12.8025 (1,5)correct22.3935 (4,5)correct33.1862 (2,8)wrong43.0300 (2,5)correct22.3764 (1,6)correct33.1862 (2,8)wrong43.0300 (2,5)correct12.9766 (1,6)correct22.3775 (4,8)correct32.1369 (1,6)correct33.1862 (5,7)correct22.2684 (4,8)correct32.5062 (5,7)correct32.0822 (1,4)correct32.0882 (4,8)correct32.0882 (4,8)correct

APPENDIX G(19) Unknown speakers by telephone(LTAS+FFC); known speakers by normal(LTAS+FFC)

j = speaker index Rate = 96 % = 100 %


Jakaowa		Known Ide	ntificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-n	eighbor	by the minimum	n set
(j)	(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j)	Result
1	1	0.7505 (5,9)	wrong		
	2	0.8211 (1,9)	wrong		
	3	0.8084 (1,9)	wrong	0.8710 (6)	wrong
	4	0.8783 (1,9)	wrong		
		0.8454(1,9)	wrong	· ···	·
2	1	0.9380(1,9)	wrong		
	2	1,0769 (1,9)	wrong	1 2069 (6)	
	5	1 1695 (1,9)	wrong	1.2000 (0)	wrong
	5	1.0225(1.9)	wrong		
3	<u>ī</u>	0.8005 (1.9)	wrong		
2	2	0.7916 (1.9)	wrong		
	3	0.8082 (1.9)	wrong	0,9076 (6)	wrong
	4	0.7805 (1.9)	wrong		
	5	0.8075 (1.9)	wrong		
4	1	0.7306 (1,9)	wrong		
	2	0.8192 (1,9)	wrong		
	3	0.7532 (1,9)	wrong	0.9006 (6)	wrong
	4	0.7757 (1,9)	wrong		-
	5	0.7336 (1,9)	wrong		
5	1	0.8386 (1,9)	wrong		
	2	0.8176 (1,9)	wrong		
	3	0.9402 (1,9)	wrong	0.0402 (6)	wrong
	4	0.8506 (1,9)	wrong		
	<u> </u>		wrong		
0	2	0.0007 (4,0)	correct		
	2	0.7775 (4,10)	wrong	0 9203 (6)	
	5	0.8365 (4.10)	wrong	0.9205 (0)	wrong
	5	0.8410(1.9)	wrong		
7	1	0.7924 (1.9)	wrong		
•	2	0.7608 (1.9)	wrong		
	3	0.7669 (5,9)	wrong	0.8838 (9)	wrong
	4	0.7860 (5,9)	wrong		
	5	0.7667 (5,9)	wrong		
8	1	0.8214 (1,9)	wrong		
	2	0.8072 (1,9)	wrong		
	3	0.7628 (3,10)	wrong	0.8422 (6)	wrong
	4	0.8004 (1,9)	wrong		
	5	0.7753 (5,9)	wrong		
9	1	0.9158(1,5)	wrong		
	2	0.8481 (1,9)	correct	1 0501 (0)	
	3	0.0/10(1,7) 0.8567(1.0)	correct	1.0581 (9)	correct
	4 C	0.0007 (1,9)	correct		
10		0.8779 (1.9)	WTOP		
10	2	0.8536(1.9)	WTONG		
	2	0.8698(1.9)	WTONS	0.8500 (6)	WTODO
	4	0.7421 (4.6)	wrong		aroug
	5	0.9261 (1,9)	wrong		
	-				

	APPENDIX G (	20)	
Unknown speakers by	telephone SPT;	known speakers t	y normal SPT.



Unknown		Known Ide	ntificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-n	he nearest-neighbor		set
(j)	(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j)	Result
1	1	0.7425 (4,9)	wrong		
	2	0.7632 (3,9)	wrong		
	3	0.7670 (2,9)	wrong	0.7999 (6)	wrong
	4	0.7952 (1,9)	wrong		
	5	0.7854 (1,9)	wrong		
2	1	0.7750 (1,9)	wrong	-	
	2	0.7/08(1,9)	wrong		
	د ′	0.8332(1,9)	wrong	0.9578 (9)	wrong
	4	0.9017(1,9)	wrong		
		0.0112 (1,9)	wrong		
5	2	0.7599(3,0)	wrong		
	3	0.7722 (3.6)	wrong	0 7566 (6)	
	4	0.7405(5.6)	wrong	0.7300 (0)	wrong
	5	0.7564(3.6)	wrong		
4	1	0.7285 (5.7)	wrong		
	2	0.7926 (2,9)	wrong		
	3	0.7335 (2,9)	wrong	0.8018 (6)	wrong
	4	0.7369 (4,4)	correct		
	5	0.7153 (5,9)	wrong		
5	1	0.7892 (2,9)	wrong		
	2	0.7974 (2,9)	wrong		
	3	0.8132 (1,9)	wrong	0.8600 (9)	wrong
	4	0.8164 (2,9)	wrong		
	5	0.8161 (1,9)	wrong		
6	1	0./653 (3,6)	correct		
	2	0.7372(3,0)	correct	0 0111 (0)	
	3	0.7601(3,0)	correct	0.8111 (6)	correct
	5	0.8045(5.6)	wrong		
7	- <u> </u>	0.0043(3,0)	WTODA		
,	2	0.7485(5.9)	wrong		
	3	0.7462 (5.9)	wrong	0.8462 (6)	wrong
	4	0.7892 (5.9)	WTODg		#LOUG
	5	0,7385 (5,9)	wrong		
8	1	0.7873 (2,9)	wrong		
	2	0.7739 (2,9)	wrong		
	3	0.7661 (4,9)	wrong	0.8074 (6)	wrong
	4	0.7746 (3,9)	wrong		-
	5	0.7776 (3,6)	wrong		
9	1	0.8104 (1,9)	correct		
	2	0.8105 (3,9)	correct		
	3	0.8181 (3,9)	correct	0.8837 (9)	correct
	4	0.82/3 (2,9)	correct		
10	<u> </u>	0.0299 (2,9)	correct		
10	2	0.0204 (J,0) 0.8621 (2.0)	wrong		
	2	0.0421 (2,3)	wrong	0 8260 /61	
	5	0.7768(5.7)	wrong	0.0203 (0)	wrong
	5	0.8895 (2.9)	wrong		
	-				

APPENDIX G(21) Unknown speakers by telephone SPT; known speakers by linear SPT.

j = speaker index

.

Rate = 20 % = 20 %



		Ide	ntificatio	n decision
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-r	heighbor	by the minimum set
<u> </u>		Dist. with(1,j)	Result	Dist. with(j) Result
1	1	0.6572 (2,7)	wrong	
	2	0.6497(3,1)	correct	
	3	0.6497 (2,1)	correct	0.69/6 (7) wrong
	4	0.6/26(2,1)	correct	
		-0.6759(2,1)	correct	
2	1	0.0705(2,2)	correct	
	2	0.0768 (1,2)	correct	0.7367(2)
	4	0.7150(5,2)	correct	
	5	0.7050(4.2)	correct	
3	<u> </u>	0.6564 (3.3)	correct	
•	2	0.6804 (3.3)	correct	
	3	0.6564 (1,3)	correct	0.6950 (3) correct
	4	0.6914 (1,1)	wrong	•••
	5	0.6704 (3,3)	correct	
4	1	0.6555 (3,4)	correct	
	2	0.6816 (4,8)	wrong	
	3	0.6477 (4,4)	correct	0.6874 (4) correct
	4	0.6477 (3,4)	correct	
	5	0.6601 (4,4)	correct	
5	1	0.6475 (2,5)	correct	
	2	0.6475 (1,5)	correct	
	3	0.6903 (5,5)	correct	0.6827 (6) wrong
	4	0.6622 (1,5)	correct	
		0.6800 (4,5)	correct	
6	1	0.0304 (3,0)	correct	
	2	0.6405 (1,6)	correct	0 (579 (6)
	3	0.0304 (1,0)	correct	. 0.05/8 (0) correct
	4	0.6578 (3,6)	correct	
7		0.6233 (2.7)	COTTect	
'	2	0.6181(5.7)	COTTECT	
	3	0.6287(5.7)	correct	0.6288(7) correct
	4	0.6201 (5.7)	correct	
	5	0.6181 (2.7)	correct	
8	1	0.6400 (4,8)	correct	
	2	0.6545 (1,8)	correct	
	3	0.6624 (5,8)	correct	0.6819 (8) correct
	4	0.6400 (1,8)	correct	
	5	0.6583 (1,8)	correct	
9	1	0.6555 (4,9)	correct	
	2	0.6358 (4,9)	correct	
	3	0.6535 (2,9)	correct	0.6618 (9) correct
	4	0.6246 (5,9)	correct	
	5	0.6246 (4,9)	correct	
10	1	0.6507 (3,10)	correct	
	2	0.6112(3,10)	correct	
	3	0.6111 (2,10)	correct	0.6712 (10) correct
	4	0.0040 (5,/)	wrong	
	5	0.0394 (3,10)	correct	

APPENDIX G(22) Both unknown and known speakers by telephone SPT.

= 80 %

j = speaker index

Rate= 92 %



B-+1 1			APPENDIX G (23)				
Both unknown	and	known	speakers	Ьу	normal	SPT.	

Speaker	Samolo	Known Id	entificatio	n decision	
(1)	(1)	by the hearest-	neighbor	by the minimu	m set
		Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j)	Result
1	1	0.5457 (5,1)	correct		
	2	0.6013 (1,1)	correct		
	3	0.6065 (2,1)	correct	0.6157 (1)	correc
	4	0.6104 (1,1)	correct		
		0.5700 (1,1)	correct		
2	1	0.6561 (2,2)	correct		
	2	0.6255 (4,2)	correct		
	3	0.6682 (2,2)	correct	0.6188 (2)	correct
	4	0.6235(2,2)	correct		
	J	0.6588(2,2)	correct		
5	2	0.5510 (4,3)	correct		
	2	0.5/95(5,3)	correct		
	5	0.5562(5,3)	correct	0.5887 (3)	correct
	4	0.5634(1,3)	correct		
4			<u>correct</u>		
4	1	0.6160(2,4)	correct		
	2	0.5936(5,4)	correct		
	5	0.6232(5,4)	correct	0.6713 (4)	correct
	4	0.0/13(1,4)	correct		
· · ·		· 0.61/5 (2,4)	correct		
2	2	0.5919(4,8)	wrong		
	2	0.6238 (4,5)	correct		
	5	0.6039(1,5)	correct	0.6384 (5)	correct
	4	0.0110(3,3)	correct		
			wrong		·
0	2	0.0190(2,0)	correct		
	2	0.0310 (5,0)	correct		
	5	0.6410 (5,6)	correct	0.6410 (6)	correct
	4	0.0299 (3.0)	correct		
7	<u>-</u>		correct		
,	2	0.0497 (2,7)	correct		
	2	0.0203 (3,7)	correct	0 ((1) (7)	
	4	0.6028 (3,7)	correct	0.0011 (/)	correct
	5	0.0274(3,7) 0.6267(3,7)	correct		
8		0.5844 (4.8)	correct		
v	2	0.6016(4.8)	correct		
	3	0.6369 (5.8)	correct	0 6369 (8)	
	Å	0.5805(5,3)	wrong	0.0509 (0)	COLLECT
	5	0.5937(1.8)	correct		
9	1	0.6652 (5.9)	correct		
-	2	0.5975(3.9)	correct		
	3	0.6095 (2.9)	correct	0.6773 (5)	WEODO
	4	0.6051 (2.9)	correct		-10115
	5	0.6636 (3.9)	correct		
10	1	0.6236 (2.10)	correct		
	2	0.6360 (1.10)	correct		
	3	0.6324 (2.10)	correct	0.6488 (10)	COTTACT
	4	0.6476 (1.10)	correct	0.0400 (10)	COLLECT
		0 6252 (1 10)	COTTACT		
	2				

= 90 %



nknown		Known Ic	lentificatio	n decision	
Speaker	Sample	by the nearest-	-neighbor	by the minimu	m set
(1)	(1)	Dist. with(i,j)	Result	Dist. with(j)	Result
1	1	0.7017 (2,5)	wrong		
	2	0.6498 (3,1)	correct		
	3	0.7354 (5,1)	correct	0.7069 (3)	wrong
	4	0.6544 (5,1).	correct		
	5	0.6366 (3,1)	correct		
2	1	0.6701 (4,2)	correct		
	2	0.6811 (5,2)	correct		
	· 3	0.7462 (4,1)	wrong	0.7852 (2)	correct
	4	0.9683 (5,2)	correct		
	5	0.6670 (4,2)	correct		
3	1	0.6376 (3,3)	correct		
	2	0.7594 (5,3)	correct		
	3	0.7364 (1,3)	correct	0.6650 (3)	correct
	4	0.6382 (1,3)	correct		
	<u> </u>				
4	1	0./596 (5,4)	correct		
	2	0.9/18(4,4)	correct	0 (015 (1))	
	3	0.6945(4,4)	correct	0.6945 (4)	correct
	4	0.6705(2,4)	correct		
		0.0008(1,4)	correct		
5	1	0.0750(2,5)	correct		
	2	0.0331(3,8)	wrong	0 6026 (5)	
	3	0.0302(2,0)	wrong	0.0920 (3)	correct
	4	0.6772(1,3)	correct		
			correct		
0	2	0.0024 (0.00)	correct		
	2	0.0319(5,0)	correct	. 0.6624 (6)	correct
	5	0.7204 (5,6)	correct	0.0024 (0)	
	4	0.6297 (3.6)	correct		
	<u>_</u>	0.6612(2.7)	correct		
/	2	0.9065(5.7)	correct		
	2	0.7341(4.7)	correct	0.7416 (8)	wrong
	4	0.6354 (3.7)	correct		Ū
	5	0.6479 (2.7)	correct		
8		0.9161 (3.8)	correct		
v	2	0.6562 (3,5)	wrong		
	3	0.6575 (1,8)	correct	0.6880 (8)	correct
	4.	0.6597 (5,8)	correct		
	5	0.6531 (2,5)	wrong		
9	1	0.7194 (3,9)	correct		
,	2	0.7390 (5,9)	correct		
	3	0.6458 (2,9)	correct	0.7194 (9)	correct
	4	0.6525 (5,9)	correct		
•	5	0.6403 (2,9)	correct		
10	1	0.6600 (5,10)	correct		
	2	0.7810 (3,10)	correct		
	3	0.6773 (2,10)	correct	0.6971 (10)	correct
	4	0.6971 (5,10)	correct		
	5	0.6732 (1,10)	correct		
•		Number of cor	rect I.D. =	44	=

				APPENDI	KG	(24)		
Both	unknown	and	known	speakers	by	linear	SPT.	

= 8 = 80 %



LIST OF FORTRAN SOFTWARE

APPENDIX H

_____



## APPENDIX H

## LIST OF FORTRAN SOFTWARE

Main Program	Usage
AUTOCM*	Digitization, automatic speech compression.
POZCMP*	Detection and concatenation of pauses and audio play- back of the concatenated pauses.
SHORT8 [*]	Segmentation of digitized speech and generation of short-term spectra. Uses FFT algorithm with $256(2^8)$ sampled points.
IDSLTS [*]	Computes IDS (intensity deviation spectrum) and LTS (long-term averaged spectrum).
DENCOR [*]	Computes the Pearson correlation coefficients, then creates a similarity matrix of these coefficients as input data file to the clustering program, COMPLE.
COMPLE**	Major program to prepare the complete-link dendrogram.
FRATIO [*]	Computes F-ratios of the features within each cluster of IDS and LTS formed by complete-link dendrogram.
FFFRAT*	Computes F-ratios of FFC features.
UPICK*	Interactive peak detecting algorithm. Uses a light pen to detect Fo from digitized speech signal in the time domain, then creates a file of Fo contour.
FFPICK [*]	Computes FFC features from a file of Fo contour.
NAKRO1 NAKRO2 NAKRO3* NAKRO4	Executes the cross-transmission voice identification operations.
NAKROA NAKROB NAKROC	Executes the within-transmission voice identification operations.
NAKROP PLOTIL	Plotting programs.

Continued.



### APPENDIX H

# LIST OF FORTRAN SOFTWARE (continued)

TOSI1 ^{**}	Creates choral speech and choral spectrum. algorithm with 4096 sampled points.	Uses	FFT
SAMMON**	Nonlinear projection program.		

### Subprograms

ZNORM [*]	Standardization routine. Uses the z-transformation.
SUBF [*]	Routine to compute F-ratios.
SETDIS [*]	Routine to find minimum set distance.
IDA ^{**}	Routine to perform A/D and D/A conversions (written in assembly language).
FILFIL [*]	Creates a master file of file names.
RDFILE*	Reads file names from a master file.
FFT10 ** FFT12 **	Fast Fourier Transform(written partially in assembly language). FFT10 is called by Program SHORT8, and FFT12 is called by TOSI1.

## System softwares

Graphic processing routines Fortran IV compiler and other essential system routines.

- * Listings of the Fortran source codes are attached in the following pages. These softwares were designed and written by the author.
- ** Laboratory software used on PDP 11/40 at Speech and Hearing Sciences Research Laboratory (Director, Dr. Oscar Tosi), Audiology and Speech Sciences, Michigan State University.



С AUTOCM: AUTOMATIC SPEECH COMPRESSOR - FIRST PART -С C : Hirotaka Nakasone Written by С Last modified : 11-May-83 С С Department of Audiology and Speech Sciences С Michigan State University С C234567890 234567890 234567890 234567890 234567890 234567890 234567890 С DOUBLE PRECISION PROG INTEGER#4 IN4, JB4, LE4 INTEGER IN2(2), IBUF(500) INTEGER BUFF1(5120),BUFF2(5120) INTEGER SFILE(4), OFILE(4), WER, FILCNT, TP LOGICAL*1 FILNAM(6),YORN,SI,ITAB,IB COMMON / FASS3/ ISWICH, NSEC, SFILE, TP, HAP, SUMMAX, SUMMIN, OFILE COMMON /BUFFR/ BUFF1,BUFF2 COMMON /FIL/ FILNAM, FILCNT EQUIVALENCE (IN4, IN2) DATA ITAB/ 013/, IB/ 007/, SUMMAX/0.0/ DATA SFILE(1)/3RDK0/,SFILE(4)/3RSND/,SUMMIN/0.0/ DATA OFILE(1)/3RDK1/,OFILE(2)/3RCMP/,OFILE(3)/3RRSD/ DATA OFILE(4)/3RSND/, IN2/256,0/ DATA PROG/12RDK1HNAUTOSAV/ FORMAT( '+', 1A1) 1200 FORMAT(1X,1A1) 1220 CALL INIT(IBUF,500) CALL SCROL(1,1000) TYPE 1220, ITAB TYPE 1220, IB С CALL APNT(0.,730.,0,-3,0,4) CALL VECT(900.,0.) CALL APNT(200.,860.,0,-8) CALL SUBP(1) CALL TEXT(' PROGRAM AUTOCM') CALL ESUB TYPE 1200, IB CALL OFF(1) С CALL SCROL(20,570,8) FORMAT(1A1) 400 FORMAT(' Enter file name ( 6 letters ) : ',\$) READ(5,405) (FILNAM(M),M=1,6) 215 FORMAT(6A1) 405 FORMAT(' How many seconds ( in I3 FMT ) ? : ',\$) 110 220 ACCEPT 410, NSEC IF(NSEC.GE.1 .AND. NSEC.LE.118) GO TO 105 410 FORMAT(' Duration out of range, Try adain.') TYPE 225 225 GO TO 110



105	NBLKS = NSEC*40+1 ICHAN = IGETC()
	IF(ICHAN ,LT,0) STOP ′ Channel not available′ NET = TRAD50(4.ETLNAM.SETLE(2))
	IF(NLET.NE.6) STOP 'Bad RAD50 conversion'
	MBLKS = IENTER(ICHAN, SFILE, NBLKS)
	IF(MBLKS.LT.0) GO TO 115
	TYPE 555
555	FURMAT(' ENTER AP(0.0 - 1.0) >',\$) DEAD(E.SER) HAD
559	FORMAT(F6.4)
007	TF = 10
	WER = O
	FILCNT = NSEC*2
С	Disitization
	CALL QICH(ICHAN,FILCNI,BUFF1,BUFF2,WER)
	IE(WER .EQ. 0) GD TO 120
	TYPE 230, WER
230	FORMAT(/' Register 0 = ',010)
	STOP ' WRITE ERROR IN OUTPUT FILE'
120	CALL CLOSEC(ICHAN)
	CALL IFREEC(ICHAN)
115	CALL CLOSEC(ICHAN)
	IF(IFREEC(ICHAN).NE.0) STOP' Error IFREEC next to 115'
	IF(MBLKS .EQ1) STOP 'NO CHANNEL OPEN'
	TYPE 235, NBLKS, NSEC
235	FORMAT(' Too long for current free blocks available,'/
	Avia, 14, Diocks needed for (13) second(s), ( // Wast to the adain by reducing # of sec (Y/N) ? ()\$)
	ACCEPT 400, YORN
	IF(YORN.EQ.89) GO TO 110
	STOP 'PROGRAM EXITED'
125	WRITE(7,240) (FILNAM(M), M=1,6)
240	FORMAT(///,' A sound file just created ; ', (AI, ', SNU'/)
	TENICH - 2
	15wich - 2
С	Chaining to Program HNAUTO to complete
С	automatic speech compression
	CALL CHAIN(PROG,ISWICH,34)
	CALL FYIT
С	Link information: *AUTOCM=AUTOCM,QICH,GTLIB,FUSS,SYSLIB/F
	END
*	



CHHHHH HNAUTO: SECOND PART OF AUTOCM (AUTOMATIC SPEECH COMPRESSOR) С С PROGRAM HNAUTO IS DESIGNED TO PERFORM AUTOMATIC SPEECH COMPRESSION BY APPLYING PAUSOMETRIC DEFINITION С С OF PAUSES PROPOSED BY TOSI (1974) С С Frogram HNAUTO is written on RT-11FB, VO2c-02B, FDF11/40. С С HNAUTO detects pauses in a sound input filemeasures, С eliminates pauses, compresses signals, and creats a С compressed speech file of pre-determined duration in С С seconds. С Presently, the maximum input speech duration is 118 seconds, С and the maximum output (compressed speech) depends on the С free blocks available in one of two disks in operation. С Ċ С To compile: /U/S/N:m switches Ĉ : *HNAUTO=HNAUTO, IAUTO, CMPRES, HNLIB, SYSLIB/F To link С С : Hirotaka Nakasone Author С : 03 APR, 1983 С Date С Department of Audiology and Speech Sciences С Michigan State University С С DOUBLE FRECISION VCH+FCH COMMON /B:/ COUNT, IOUT, NSIZE, IDONE, LAST COMMON /PASS3/ ISWICH,NSEC,SFILE,TP,AF,SUMMAX,SUMMIN,OFILE INTEGER#4 IN4, JB4, LE4 INTEGER IHOLD(2560), IBUF(2560), SFILE(4), OFILE(4) INTEGER IOUT(2560), FLAG, TMPKS, TPKT, TP INTEGER TOTP, TS, IN2(2) EQUIVALENCE (IN4, IN2) LOGICAL#1 TM(8),YOFN,NAME(6),IBEL,BLANK DATA SFILE(1)/3RDK0/,SFILE(4)/3RSND/ DATA OFILE/3RDK1,3RCMP,3RRSD,3RSND/ DATA PCH/12RDK1POZCMPSAV/ DATA VCH/12RDK1P0ZV1 SAV/ DATA IN2/256,0/, ISWICH/3/, IBEL/ 007/, BLANK/ // FORMAT('+'+1A1) 3011 CALL RCHAIN(IF, ISWICH, 34) NSIZE = 2560 UP = 0.01DOWN = 0.01 COUNT = 0.0NW = 10Check from which progam this program is chained to. IF(JF.NE.-1) GD TD 4100



IF(ISWICH.EQ.2) GO TO 4105 TF(ISWICH, EQ.1) GO TO 4110 STOP ' ***INVALID ISWITCH***' 4100 **TYPE 4200** FORMAT(' Enter input sound file name (6 letters) >',\$) 4200 READ(5,4400) (NAME(M),M = 1,6) FORMAT(6A1) 4400 CALL IRAD50(6,NAME,SFILE(2)) WRITE(7,1350) 1352 READ(5,1220) NSEC ICIN = IGETC() 4110 IF(ICIN .LT. 0) STOP ' NO INPUT CHANNEL.' ILK = LOOKUP(ICIN, SFILE) IF(ILK .LT. 0) STOP ' BAD LOOKUP.' INBK = 0SUMMIN = 10000. SUMMAX = 0. IF (NSEC.LT.0) GD TD 1352 IF (NSEC.GE.4) GD TD 7001 MBLOCK = NSEC#40 - 10 GD TD 5001 MBLOCK = 1507001 INBK = 40CALL TIME(TM) 5001 WRITE(7,1000) (TM(M),M=1,8) CONTINUE 5000 NWD = IREADW(NSIZE, IBUF, INBK, ICIN) IF (NWD .LT. 0) STOP ' READ ERROR.' JJ = 1SUM = 0. 5010 DO 5030 J=1,128 SUM = SUM + IABS(IBUF(JJ)) 1 + لر = رر CONTINUE 5030 SUM = SUM/128. IF(SUM .LT. SUMMIN) SUMMIN=SUM IF(SUM .GT. SUMMAX) SUMMAX=SUM 64 - لز = زر IF(JJ .LT, NSIZE) GO TO 5010 INBK = INBK + NW IF ( INBK .LE. MBLOCK ) GO TO 5000 CALL CLOSEC(ICIN) CALL IFREEC(ICIN) CALL TIME(TM) TYPE 3011, IBEL WRITE(7,2000) (TM(M),M=1,8) WRITE(7,5200) SUMMAX,SUMMIN WRITE(7,1100) 6030 READ(5,1200) HAP WRITE(7,1300) READ(5,1220) TP С С CALL TIME(TM) 4105 TYPE 2020, (TM(M), M=1,8) IF(ICIN .LT. 0) STOP ' NO INPUT CHANNEL.' ILK=LOOKUP(ICIN,SFILE) IF(ILK .LT. 0) STOP ' BAD LOOKUP, ' TMSEC = 0.5 * FLOAT(ILK) * 25.6 ICOUT=IGETC()

ς.



```
IF (ICOUT .LT. 0) STOP ' NO OUTFUT CHANNEL'
        NB=IENTER(ICOUT,OFILE,-1)
        IF(NB.LT.0) STOP ' ENTER FAILED'
                         ---INITIALIZE PARAMETERS---
С
        MBLOCK = NSEC*40 - 10
        COUNT = 0.0
2999
        AP = (1.- HAP) * (SUMMAX - SUMMIN) + SUMMIN
        DO 5500 I = 1, NSIZE
           IBUF(I) = 0
           IOUT(I) = 0
           IHOLD(I)= 0
5500
        CONTINUE
        INBK = 0
        IBKOUT = 0
        IDONE = 0
        LHOLD = 0
        LAST = 0
        TPKT = 0
        FLAG = 0
        IBEG = 1
        IEND = 0
        CONTINUE
1
           NWD=IREADW(NSIZE, IBUF, INBK, ICIN)
         . IF(NWD .LT. 0) STOP ' READ ERROR.'
                         ----STARTING FAUSOMETRY----
С
           KS = 0
           IKS = 0
           TMPKS = 0
           JK = 0
           IPT = 0
50
           SUM = 0.
60
          DO 65 I =1,10
             JK = JK + 1
             SUM = SUM + IABS(IBUF(JK))
           CONTINUE
65
           SUM = SUM / 10.
                          ----TESTING AP PARAMETER-----
С
           IF(SUM .LE, AP) GD TO 70
             KS = KS + 1
           IF(FLAG .EQ. 0) GO TO 115
             ITOT = 10 * (KS-1+TMPKS)
           IF(ITOT .LE. 0) GO TO 8050
             IBEG = IEND - ITOT + 1
             TYPE 8012, IEND, IBEG, ITOT
             FORMAT(1X, 'FROM 8010>>>IEND=', I7, ' IBEG=', I7, ' ITOT=', I7)
D
D8012
             CALL CMPRES(IBUF, IBEG, IEND, ITOT)
8010
             IF(IDONE .LT. 1) GO TO 8050
             NB = IWRITW(NSIZE, IOUT, IBKOUT, ICOUT)
             IBKOUT = IBKOUT + NW
             IF(IDONE .EQ. 2) GO TO 8010
           CONTINUE
8050
           IKS = 0
            KS = 1
            FLAG = 0
            GO TO 120
           IF(LHOLD .EQ. 0) GO TO 120
 115
             LHOLD = 0
             IHBEG = 1
             IHEND = IHTOT
           TYPE 8022, IHBEG, IHEND, IHTOT
           FORMAT(1X, FROM 8020>>> IHBEG=', I7, ' IHEND=', I7, ' IHTOT', I7)
 D
 D8022
           CALL CMPRES(IHOLD, IHBEG, IHEND, IHTOT)
 8020
           IF(IDONE .LT. 1) GO TO 120
           NB = IWRITW(NSIZE,IOUT,IBKOUT,ICOUT)
```



• IBKOUT = IBKOUT + NW IF(IDONE .EQ. 2) GO TO 8020 120 TPKT = 0TMPKS = IKS IF( JK .LT. NSIZE ) GO TO 50 ITOT = (KS+TMPKS) * 10 IBEG = JK - ITOT + 1IEND = JKTYPE 8072, IREG, IEND, ITOT Ð FORMAT(1X, ' FROM 8070>>> IBEG=', I7, ' IEND=', I7, ' ITOT=', I7) D8072 CALL CMPRES(IBUF, IBEG, IEND, ITOT) 8070 IF(IDONE.LT.1) GO TO 4 NB = IWRITW(NSIZE, IOUT, IBKOUT, ICOUT) IBKOUT = IBKOUT + NW IF(IDONE.EQ.2) GO TO 8070 GO TO 4 С ---END OF PROCESSING FOR IBUF < AP--С С 70 IPT = IPT + 1----TESTING TP PARAMETER-----С IF((IPT +TPKT) .LT. TP) GO TO BO IEND = JK - IPT*10 TYPE 72, IEND, COUNT/10. D FORMAT(1X, ' FROM D72, IEND = ', I7, ' COUNT =', F10,2) D72 FLAG = 1LHOLD= 0 IKS = 0GO TO 85 IKS = IKS + 180 CONTINUE 85 IF(JK .LT. NSIZE) GO TO 60 TPKT = TPKT + IPT IF(TPKT .GT. 30000) GO TO 90 IF(FLAG.EQ.1) GO TO 5 IH=JK-IPT*10 LHOLD = 1IHTOT = IPT * 10DO 9020 J=1,IHTOT IH = IH + 1IHOLD(J)=IBUF(IH) CONTINUE 9020 ITOT = (KS+TMPKS)*10 5 IF(ITOT .LE. 0) GO TO 4 IEND = JK - IPT*10 IBEG = IEND - ITOT + 1 CALL CMPRES(IBUF, IBEG, IEND, ITOT) 8100 IF(IDONE.LT.1) GO TO 4 CALL IWRITW(NSIZE, IOUT, IBKOUT, ICOUT) IBKOUT=IBKOUT+NW IF(IDONE .EQ. 2) GO TO 8100 INBK = INBK + NW 4 IF(INBK .LE. MBLOCK) GO TO 1 IF(IDONE.LT.1) CALL IWRITW(LAST, IOUT, IBKOUT, ICOUT) GO TO 9050 ----END OF INPUT SIGNAL-----С TYPE 93, TPKT FORMAT(' Warning! Excessively long pause of', 15,' msec so 90 & far detected./// Check AP or TP parameter, or input sound 93 % file.'/' Run again.';//) CALL CLOSEC(ICIN) CALL IFREEC(ICIN) STOP' Interrupted exit' --- Check compression status by UP and DOWN method С by calling INTEGER FUNCKTION JAUTO ---С



IF(IAUTO(HAP,UP,DOWN,COUNT,TMSEC).LT.0) GO TO 2999 9050 Come here if compression satisfactory. С CALL CLOSEC(ICOUT) CALL IFREEC(ICOUT) CALL CLOSEC(ICIN) CALL IFREEC(ICIN) TYPE 3010, IBEL CALL TIME(TM) WRITE (7,3020) (TM(M),M=1,8) FORMAT(1X,8A1, ' Signal compression completed, '/) 3020 С --- Audio playback of the compressed speech ---С ICHAN = IGETC() NB = LOOKUP(ICHAN, OFILE) CALL JICVT(NB, JB4) CALL JMUL(IN4, JB4, LE4) TYPE 6696, IBEL, IBEL, IBEL FORMAT('+', 3A1) 6696 COUNT = COUNT/10. TYPE 6000, COUNT, NB IWAIT = 60IER = IDA(ICHAN, 6, 400, 100, LE4,, IWAIT, 0) 6666 IWAIT = 0FORMAT(' Hit <Return> to play adain.'/' Otherwise type any 7100 >1,\$) & key, then hit <return> ACCEPT 3010, YORN IF (YORN.EQ.BLANK) GO TO 6666 CALL CLOSEC(ICHAN) IF(IFREEC(ICHAN).NE.0) STOP ' CLOSEC FAILED' FORMAT(' Output file name: CMPRSD.SND',/,' Total compress &ed signal duration = ',F10,1,' msec.(',I4,' Blocks)'//) 6000 ISWICH = 3FORMAT(1X,8A1, ' Searching the average peak amplitude. 1000 FORMAT(' Enter AF parameter ( 0.0 =< AP =< 1.0000 ) >/.\$/ 1100 FORMAT(F10.4) 1200 FORMAT(' Enter TP parameter ( 1 =< TP =< 10000 msec) >',\$) 1220 FORMAT(' Enter the number of seconds ( 1=<SEC=<60 ) >',\$) 1300 1350 Search ended. ( +/) FORMAT(1X,8A1, FORMAT(1X, BA1, ' Compression in procee, Wait.'/) 2000 2020 FORMAT(1A1) 3010 FORMAT(1X, 'Pre-detection outputs :',//,1X, 'Computed maximum 3040 & amplitude =',F10,4,/,1X,'Computed minimum amplitude =', 5200 %F10.4,5(/)) CALL EXIT 7777 END

*



C********** С INTEGER FUNCTION IAUTO(HAP,UP,DOWN,COUNT,TMSEC) ¥ С С Called by Program HNAUTO. С С TMSEC = 0.5*FLOAT(NUBLK)*25.6 ! Threshold, С С COUNT = The number of sample points detected as С pauses ( devide by 10 to obtain msec.) С С IAUTO = 99, IF COMPRESSION COMPLETED. С С IAUTO = -99, IF COMPRESSION NOT COMPLETED. С С Written by : Hirotaka Nakasone Date : 11 May, 1983 С × С FUNCTION IAUTO(HAF, UF, DOWN, COUNT, TMSEC) TEST = COUNT/10. - TMSEC THRESH = TMSEC * 0.1 IF(TEST.GT.THRESH) GO TO 10 IF(TEST.LT.0.0) GO TO 20 HERE, MISSION COMPLETED. С IAUTO = 99RETURN HERE, COMPRESSED SIGNAL TOO LONG, SO REDUCE C AP VALUE BY CURRENT DOWN VALUE. С HAP - HAP - DOWN 10 UF = DOWN / 2. TYPE 100,COUNT/10.,TEST,DOWN,HAP Ū. FORMAT(1X, ' Compressed too long; ',F10.1, ' msec. ',/, 100 & Difference = ',F10.1,' msec. AP is reduced by ',F7.5,/, &' Repeating compression by Ap = ',F7.5,//) IAUTO = -99RETURN HERE, COMPRESSED SIGNAL TOO SHORT, SO INCREASE THE С AP VALUE BY CURRENT UP VALUE С HAP = HAP + UP20 DOWN = UP / 2. TYPE 200,COUNT/10.,TEST,UP,HAP FORMAT(' Compressed too short; ',F10,1,' msec,',/, n & Difference = ',F10.1,' msec. Ap is increased by',F7.5,/, 200 & Repeating compression by Ap = (,F7,5,//) IAUTO = -99RETURN END



```
C
    Program POZCMP (on RT-11FB, v02c-02B, PDF11/40)
С
С
С
    POZCMP detects, measures, and stores pauses from running
    speech. The detected pauses are concatenated so that it
С
    can be reproducible through DAC either to a loud speaker
С
C
    or to a tape recorder.
С
    An input file resides in Disk 0 with .SND extension:
С
    and an output file, also in Disk O,
С
C
    Subprogram called:
С
       CMPRES: Used to fill temporary buffer
С
       until it gets full, 10 blocks(2560 words)
С
       IDA: DAC & ADC routine found in FUSS.LIB.
C
С
    С
C
C
    POZCMP can be run from Menitor directly by typing ,RUN POZCMP,
C
C
                  /U/S/N:m switches, (m=14)
    To compile:
                  *POZCMP=POZCMF, CMPRES, FUSS, SYSLIB/F
С
    To link 🕴
С
    Written by ; Hirotaka Nakasone
Date ; 27 March, 1982
C
C
С
    Department of Audiology and Speech Sciences
С
    Michigan State University
С
    East Lansing, Michigan
C
DOUBLE PRECISION VCH,SCH
       COMMON/PASS3/ ISWICH+NSEC+SFILE+TP+AP+SUMMAX+SUMMIN+OFILE
       COMMON/B1/COUNT, IOUT, NSIZE, IDONE, LAST
       INTEGER#4 IN4, JP4, LE4
       INTEGER IHOLD(2560), IBUF(2560), SFILE(4), OFILE(4)
       INTEGER IN2(2), IOUT(2560), FLAG, TPKT, TP
       LOGICAL*1 TM(8),YORN,NAME(6),IBEL,BLANK
       EQUIVALENCE (IN4, IN2)
       DATA SFILE(1)/3RDK0/,SFILE(4)/3RSND/
       DATA OFILE/3RDK0,3RCMP,3RRSD,3RSND/
       DATA VCH/12RDK POZV1 SAV/,SCH/12RDK SIGCMPSAV/
       DATA ISWICH/2/, IBEL/ 007/, BLANK/ //
       FORMAT((+(,1A1))
3011
       CALL RCHAIN(IF, ISWICH, 34)
       COUNT = 0.0
       NSIZE=2560
       NW = 10
       IF(IF.EQ.-1) GO TO 4100
       TYPE 4200
       FORMAT(' Enter input file name (6 letters) >',$)
4200
       READ(5,4205) (NAME(M),M=1,6)
       FORMAT(6A1)
4205
       CALL IRAD50(6,NAME,SFILE(2))
WRITE(7,1350)
1352
       READ(5,1220) NSEC
       IF(ISWICH,E0.3) GO TO 6050
4100
```



```
FORMAT(1A1)
3010
        ICIN = IGETC()
        IF(ICIN .LT. 0) STOP ' NO INPUT CHANNEL.'
        ILK = LOOKUP(ICIN, SFILE)
        IF(ILK .LT. 0) STOP ' BAD LODKUP.'
          INBK = 0
          SUMMIN = 10000.
          SUMMAX = 0.
          IF(NSEC.LT.0) GD TO 1352
          IF(NSEC.GE.4) GO TO 7001 *
            MBLOCK = NSEC*40 - 10
            GO TO 5001
          MBLOCK = 150
7001
          INBK = 40
          CALL TIME(TM)
5001
          WRITE(7,1000) (TM(M),M=1,8)
          CONTINUE
5000
            NWD = IREADW(NSIZE, IBUF, INBK, ICIN)
            IF (NWD .LT. O) STOP ' READ ERROR.'
               JJ = 1
              SUM = 0.
5010
               DO 5030 J=1,128
                   SUM = SUM + IABS(IBUF(JJ))
                   JJ = JJ + 1
               CONTINUE
5030
               SUM = SUM/128.
               IF(SUM .LT. SUMMIN) SUMMIN=SUM
               IF (SUM .GT. SUMMAX) SUMMAX=SUM
               64 - لز = ال
               IF(JJ .LT. NSIZE) GO TO 5010
               INBK = INBK + NW
        IF ( INBK ,LE, MBLOCK ) GO TO 5000
        CALL CLOSEC(ICIN)
        CALL IFREEC(ICIN)
        CALL TIME(TM)
        WRITE(7,2000) (TM(M),M=1,8)
        TYPE 3011, IBEL
        WRITE(7,5200) SUMMAX,SUMMIN
        DO 5500 I = 1, NSIZE
           IBUF(I) = 0
          IOUT(I) = 0
           IHOLD(I)= 0
        CONTINUE
5500
        GO TO 6030
        FORMAT(F10.4)
6023
        WRITE(7,1100)
6030
        READ(5,1200) AP
        AP = (1.- AP) * (SUMMAX - SUMMIN) + SUMMIN
        WRITE(7,1300)
        READ(5,1220) TP
С
С
        CALL TIME(TM)
6050
         TYPE 2020; (TM(M); M=1,8)
                         ---DIRECT ACCESS PROCEDURES FOR
С
                         ---INPUT AND OUTPUT FILES---
С
         ICIN = IGETC()
         IF(ICIN .LT. 0) STOP ' NO INPUT CHANNEL '
         ILK=LOOKUP(ICIN,SFILE)
         IF(ILK ,LT, 0) STOP ' BAD LOOKUP,'
         ICOUT=IGETC()
         IF(ICOUT .LT. 0) STOP ' NO OUTPUT CHANNEL'
         NB=IENTER(ICOUT,OFILE,-1)
        FORMAT(1X, NUMBER OF BLOCKS ALLOCATED FOR OUTPUT FILE = (+14)
n
D1400
         IF(NB.LT.0) STOP ' ENTER FAILED'
```


С ---INITIALIZE PARAMETERS---MBLOCK = NSEC*40 - 10 COUNT = 0.0INBK = 0IBKOUT = 0IDONE = 0LHOLD = 0IH = 0LAST = 0TPKT = 0FLAG = 0IREG = 1С ---PAUSE COMPRESSION BEGINS---CONTINUE 1 С NWD=IREADW(NSIZE, IBUF, INBK, ICIN) IF (NWD .LT. 0) STOP ' READ ERROR.' С ----STARTING PAUSOMETRY----IKS = 0JK = 050 IFT = 0SUM = 0.60 DO 65 I =1,10 JK = JK + 1 SUM = SUM + IABS(IBUF(JK)) 65 CONTINUE SUM = SUM / 10. С ----TESTING AP PARAMETER-----IF(SUM ,LT, AP) GD TD 70 IF(FLAG .EQ. 0) GO TO 120 FLAG = 0IEND = JK - 10ITOT = IEND - IBEG + 1 IF(LHOLD .ER. 0) GD TO 8010 LHOLD = 08020 CALL CMPRES(IHOLD, IHBEG, IHEND, IHTOT) IF(IDONE .LT. 1) GO TO 8010 TYPE 8022, IBKOUT, INBK T) D8022 FORMAT(1X, / IWRITW WITH IBKOUT= ', I5, ' INBK= ', I5) NB = IWRITW(NSIZE, IOUT, IBKOUT, ICOUT) TYPE 8024.NB TI 08024 FORMAT(1X, ' NUMBER OF BLOCK SIZE = (.14) IBKOUT=IBKOUT+NW IF(IDONE .EQ. 2) GO TO 8020 8010 CALL CMPRES(IBUF, IBEG, IEND, ITOT) IF(IDONE .LT. 1) GO TO 120 TYPE 9012, IBKOUT, INPK D D8012 FORMAT(1X, 'IWRITW WITH IBKOUT=', I5, ' INBK=', I5) NB = IWRITW(NSIZE,IOUT,IBKOUT,ICOUT) TYPE BO14,NB n FORMAT(1X, ' NUMBER OF BLOCK SIZE = '+I4) D8014 IBKOUT=IBKOUT+NW IF(IDONE .EQ. 2) GO TO 8010 TPKT = 0120 IF( JK .LT. NSIZE) GO TO 50 GO TO 4 70 IPT = IPT + 1----TESTING TP PARAMETER-----С IF((IPT +TPKT) .LT. TP) GO TO 80 IBEG = JK + 1 - (IPT * 10)FLAG = 1IF(JK .LT. NSIZE) GO TO 60 80 TPKT = TPKT + IPTIF(TPKT .GT. 30000) GD TD 90 IF(FLAG.EQ.0) GO TO 8040 IF(LHOLD.ER.0) GO TO 8030 85



LHOLD = 0IHBEG=1 IHEND=IHTOT CALL CMPRES(IHOLD, IHBEG, IHEND, IHTOT) 8000 IF(IDONE .LT. 1) GO TO 8030 D TYPE 8002, IBKOUT, INPK FORMAT(1X, 'IWRITW WITH IBKOUT=', I5, ' INBK=', I5) D8002 NB = IWRITW(NSIZE, IOUT, IBKOUT, ICOUT) TYPE BOO4, NB n FORMAT(1X, ' NUMBER OF BLOCK SIZE = ', I4) D8004 IBKOUT=IBKOUT + NW IF(IDONE ,EQ, 2) GO TO 8000 IF(FLAG.EQ.O) GO TO 4 8030 FLAG = 0IEND=JK ITOT=IFT*10 CALL CMPRES(IBUF, IBEG, IEND, ITOT) 9000 IF(IDONE .LT. 1) GO TO 4 TYPE 9002, IBKOUT, INBK n FORMAT(1X, 'IWRITW WITH IBKOUT=', 15, ' INBK=', 15) D9002 NE = IWRITW(NSIZE,IOUT,IBKOUT,ICOUT) TYPE 9004,NB Ъ FORMAT(1X, ' NUMBER OF BLOCK SIZE = ', I4) D9004 IBKOUT=IBKOUT+NW IF(IDONE .EQ. 2) GO TO 9000 GO TO 4 IH=NSIZE-IFT*10 8040 LHOLD = 1IHTOT = IPT * 10 DO 9020 J=1,IHTOT IH = IH + 1IHOLD(J)=IBUF(IH) CONTINUE 9020 IF(INBK.LT.MBLOCK) GO TO 85 INBK = INBK + NW 4 IF(INBK .LE. MBLOCK) GO TO 1 IF(IDONE.LT.1) CALL IWRITW(LAST, IOUT, IBKOUT, ICOUT) GO TO 95 ----END OF INPUT SIGNAL-----С TYPE 93, TPKT 90 FORMAT(' Warning! Excessively long pause of '+15, ' msec so 93 far detected. // Check AP or TP parameter, or input sound & file'/' Run again.';//) CALL CLOSEC(ICIN) CALL IFREEC(ICIN) STOP ' Interrupted exit' CALL CLOSEC(ICOUT) 95 CALL IFREEC(ICOUT) CALL CLOSEC(ICIN) CALL IFREEC(ICIN) CALL TIME(TM) WRITE (7,3011) (IBEL,M=1,3) WRITE(7,7100) (TM(M),M=1,8) FORMAT(1X,8A1, / Searching the average peak amplitude, //) FORMAT(' Enter AF parameter( 0.0 =< AF =< 1.0000 ) >(.\$) 1000 1100 FORMAT(F10.4) 1200 FORMAT(15) FORMAT(' Enter TP parameter( 1 =< TP =< 10000 msec) >(;\$) 1220 FORMAT(' Enter the number of seconds ( 1=<SEC=<60 ) >(+\$) 1300 1350 FORMAT(1X,8A1, Search ended. (+/) 2000 Pausé compression begins, Wait, (.\$) FORMAT(T3,8A1,' 2020 FORMAT(F8.1) FORMAT(1X, 'Pre-detection outputs: ',//,1X, 'Computed maximum 3040 5200



```
& amplitude =',F10.4,/,1X,'Computed minimum amplitude ='.
        &F10.4,5(/))
        FORMAT(/,T3,8A1, ' PAUSE compression completed.'.//)
7100
        COUNT=COUNT/10.
        ICHAN = IGETC()
        IN2(1)=256
        IN2(2)=0
        NB = LOOKUP(ICHAN, OFILE)
        TYPE 6000, COUNT, NB
        FORMAT(' Dutput file name: CMPRSD.SND',/,' Total compressed
6000
        % pauses = ',F10.1,' msec(',I4,' blocks)'/)
        CALL JICVT(NB, JB4)
        CALL JMUL(IN4, JB4, LE4)
         IER = IDA(ICHAN, 6, 400, 100, LE4, , 120, 60)
6720
         TYPE 6710
        FORMAT(' Hit <Return> to play again.'/' Otherwise type any
6710
                                      >1,$)
        & key, then hit <Return>
ACCEPT 3010,YORN
        IF (YORN .EQ. BLANK) GO TO 6720
        CALL CLOSEC(ICHAN)
         IF(IFREEC(ICHAN).NE.0) STOP ' IFREEC failed'
         TYPE 6666
        FORMAT(' Try with other TP and AP (Y/N) ?';$)
6666
         ACCEPT 3010, YORN
         IF(YORN.EQ.89) GD TO 6030
         ISWICH = 2
         TYPE 6670
6695
        FORMAT(' Options (Type a letter of your choice below.)',///
6670
         1,T5, ' S --- to creat a compressed SIGNAL. ',/
         1,T5, ' H --- to get PRINT OUTS of pauses and signals. './
         1,T5,' Q --- to QUIT this program. (,//,T5,'>',$)
         ACCEPT 3010, YORN
         IF (YORN, EQ. 81) GO TO 6680
         ISWICH = 2
         IF(YORN.EQ.72) CALL CHAIN(VCH, ISWICH, 34)
         IF(YORN.EQ.83) CALL CHAIN(SCH, ISWICH, 34)
         TYPE 6690
         FORMAT(' Invalid choice, Try again !'/)
6690
         GO TO 6695
         CONTINUE
6680
         CALL EXIT
         END
×
```



```
С
       Program CMPRES is called from AUTOCM, POZCMP, SIGCMP, and
С
       POZV1.
С
       CMPRES fills output buffer by the (pauses/signals) one
С
С
       NSIZE (10 blocks = 2560 words) at a time,
С
       Written by : Hirotaka Nakasone
С
       Last modified: 27-March, 1982
С
       Department of Audiology and Speech Sciences
С
       Michigan State University
С
C
SUBROUTINE CMPRES(INB, IB, IE, IT)
       COMMON/B1/COUNT, IOUT, NSIZE, IDONE, LAST
       INTEGER INB(2560), IOUT(2560)
       TYPE 100,LAST, IDONE, IB, IE
D
       FORMAT(//,1X,' AT CMPRES ENTERANCE, LAST=',17,' IDONE=',12,/;
D100
        &T24, ' IBEG=', I7, ' IEND=', I7)
D
        IF(IT .LE, 0) GO TO 4
        IF((LAST+IT) .LE. NSIZE) GO TO 2
         ITEMP = NSIZE - LAST - 1
         T = 0.
         DO 1 I=IB, IB+ITEMP
           LAST = LAST + 1
           IOUT(LAST)=INE(I)
           IT = IT - 1
           T = T + 1.
         CONTINUE
1
         COUNT=COUNT+T
         LAST = 0
          IDONE = 2
         IB = IB + ITEMF + 1
         GO TO 4
С
        CONTINUE
2
        T = 0.
        DO 3 I = IB, IE
         LAST = LAST + 1
          IOUT(LAST)=INB(I)
          T = T + 1.
        CONTINUE
3
        COUNT=COUNT+T
        IF(LAST.LT.NSIZE) GO TO 5
          LAST=0
          IDONE=1
          GO TO 4
                       .
        CONTINUE
5
        IDONE=0
        CONTINUE
4
        TYPE 200,LAST, IDONE, IB, IE
        FORMAT(1X, ' LEAVING CMPRSD WITH LAST = ', 17, ' IDONE=', 12, /.
D
D200
        &T23, ' IBEG=', I7, ' IEND=', I7, ///)
D
        RETURN
        END-
*
```

```
170
```



```
нннннннннн
CHHHH PROGRAM SHORT8:
C
      SHORTB is designed to creat a set of parent files of
С
      short-term spectra. A parent file can have as many as
С
      400 short-term spectra. 2 spectra are stored in a 1-block
С
C
      INTEGER#2.
С
С
      Input sound file resides in DK1 with .SND extension.
С
      Output short-term spectrum will be assigned .STS
С
С
      extension name.
С
      This output file is then stored in DKO.
С
С
С
      To compile:
С
        *SHORT8=SHORT8/U
С
      To link:
         *SHORT8=SHORT8,FFT10H,SHUFFL,TABE10,SYSLIB/F
С
С
      Written by: Hirotaka Nakasone
С
           Date: May 4, 1983
С
         Updated: May 6, 1983
C
INTEGER FILEIN(5,6), FILOUT(5,5,6), NSEC(5), WCNT
       INTEGER IBUF(256),NSPT(5),DATAIN(4),DATOUT(4),LBUF(256)
       REAL TX(256)
       COMPLEX F(256)
       COMMON /FFTCOM/F
       LOGICAL#1 TM(8),BUG, YN
        DATA DATAIN(1)/3RDK0/,DATAIN(4)/3RSND/
       DATA DATOUT(1)/3RDK0/,DATOUT(4)/3RSTS/
       FUNIT = 5000./128.
       BUG = .FALSE.
       TYPE 10
D
       FORMAT(' DEBUG (Y/N) ?',$)
10
       ACCEPT 11, YN
D
11
       FORMAT(1A1)
        IF(YN.EQ.89) BUG = .TRUE.
D
        TYPE 20
       FORMAT(' Which disk has input file (1/0) ?',$)
20
        ACCEPT 25, NDISK
        FORMAT(I1)
25
        IF(NDISK.EQ.1) CALL IRAD50(3, DK1', DATAIN(1))
        TYPE 30
       FORMAT(' Which disk will store output file (1/0) ?',$)
30
        ACCEPT 25, NDISK
       IF(NDISK.EQ.1) CALL IRAD50(3, DK1',DATOUT(1))
       TYPE 100
       FORMAT(' Number of input sound files(max=5) >',$)
100
        ACCEPT 200, NFILES
       FORMAT(13)
200
       DO 300 I=1,NFILES
         TYPE 400, I
```



FORMAT(1X, Type input file \$', I2, ' (6A1) > ',\$) 400 READ(5,500) (FILEIN(I,J),J=1,6) FORMAT(6A1) 500 **TYPE 510** FORMAT(' Number of seconds of this input file > ',\$) 510 ACCEPT 200, NSEC(I) TYPE 600 FORMAT(' Number of parent spectra from this file 600 > 1,\$) &(max=5) ACCEPT 200,NSPT(I) TYPE 700 FORMAT(' Type all parent spectra names (6A1) below.'/) 700 DO BOO K=1,NSFT(I) TYPE 900,K FORMAT(T20,' For output parent file #',I2,' 5 1.41 900 READ(5,500)(FILOUT(I,K,J),J=1,6) CONTINUE 800 300 CONTINUE END OF INFUT PROCEDURES, NOW BEGIN LOOP FOR С ALL INFUT FILES. С NSAMP = 256DO 1100 II=1,NFILES CALL TIME(TM) TYPE 1110,(TM(M),M=1,8),(FILEIN(II,KK),KK=1,6) FORMAT(1X,8A1, ' BEGIN INFUT FILE DK0: ',6A1,'.SND'/) 1110 CALL CVRAD1(DATAIN, FILEIN, TI) ICH = IGETC() IF(LOOKUP(ICH,DATAIN),LT.0) STOP 'BAD LOOKUP' KSIZE = (NSEC(II)/N6FT(1)) *40 IF(KSIZE,LE,0) STOP ' WROND KSIZE' MBLOCK = KSIZE-4 NBLK = 0 DO 1200 JJ= 1,NSPT(II) IH = 0NST = 0CALL CVRAD2(DATOUT+FILOUT+[I,J]) ICOUT = IGETC() NB = IENTER(ICOUT,DATOUT,-1) С NWD = IREADW(256,IBUF,NBLK,ICH) 5111 KBLK=NBLK  $\mathfrak{p}$ IF(NWD.LT.0) STOP ' READ ERROR' С DO 110 J=1,256 TR = FLOAT(IBUF(J))/100,  $F(J)=CMPLX(TR_{10})$ CONTINUE 110 TYPE 3, KBLK D FORMAT(1X+' NBLK ='+14) D3 С --- NOW DALL THE FFT ALGORITHM ---С CALL FFT10H(8) С --- CONVERT RETURNED REAL AND IMAGINARY С CUMPONENTS TO ABSOLUTE VALUES ____ С DO 7010 I= 1,128 TX(I)=CABS(F(I)) LBUF(IH+I)=IFIX(TX(I)) FREQ = FUNIT * (I-1) IF(BUG) TYPE 7014, L, TX(I), FREQ, IH+1, LBUF(IH+I) D FORMAT(6X,14,7X,E19.4,3X,6X,F8.1,14,6X,15) D D7014



7010 CONTINUE С IH = IH + 128IF(IH.LE.128) GO TO 7030 IH = 0WRITE 1 BLOCK OF STS С CALL IWRITW(256,LBUF,NST,ICOUT) UPDATE NUMBER OF BLOCKS С NST = NST + 1NBLK = NBLK + 4 7030 IF (NBLK.LE.MBLOCK) GO TO 5111 MBLOCK = MBLOCK + KSIZE CALL CLOSEC(ICOUT) IF(IFREEC(ICOUT).NE.0) STOP 'ERROR IN IFREEC' CALL TIME(TM) TYPE 7050,(TM(M),M=1,8),(FILOUT(II,JJ,KK),KK=1,6) FORMAT(T20,8A1,T45,'DK1:',6A1,'.STS'/) 7050 CONTINUE 1200 CALL CLOSEC(ICH) IF(IFREEC(ICH).NE.0) STOP ' ERROR IN IFREEC(ICH)' TYPE 1250, II, (FILEIN(II, KK), KK=1,6) (,6A1, (.SND(//) FORMAT(1X, '<< END OF INPUT FILE #', 12, ' 1250 CONTINUE 1100 TYPE 1300 FORMAT(20(/),1X, SUMMARY OF OUTPUT FILES IN',/ 1300 SHORT-TERM SPECTRA FILE NAME (/) %,1X,'CATEGORY # DO 1310 I=1,NFILES DO 1320 J = 1,NSFT(I) TYPE 1330, I, (FILOUT(I, J, K), K=1,6) FORMAT(4X,13,T20,6A1,',STS') 1330 CONTINUE 1320 CONTINUE 1310 C С CALL EXIT END С SUBROUTINE CVRAD1(OUTFIL, INFILE, 12) INTEGER OUTFIL(4), INFILE(5,6) LOGICAL#1 DUMMY(6) DO 1 I=1,6 DUMMY(I) = INFILE(I2,I) CONTINUE 1 IER=IRAD50(6,DUMMY,OUTFIL(2)) TYPE 10, IER, (DUMMY(M), M=1,6) FORMAT(1X, 'NUMBER OF CHAR, CONVERTED(IN)=', I3, ' : ', 6A1, /) n D10 RETURN END С SUBROUTINE CVRAD2(OUTFIL, INFILE, 12, J2) INTEGER OUTFIL(4), INFILE(5,5,6) LOGICAL*1 DUMMY(6) DO 1 I=1,6 DUMMY(I) = INFILE(I2, J2, I) CONTINUE 1 IER = IRAD50(6,DUMMY,OUTFIL(2)) TYPE 10, IER, (DUMMY(M), M=1,6) FORMAT(1X, 'NUMBER OF CHAR, CONVERTED(OUT)=', I3, ' : ', 6A1,/) D D10 RETURN



```
C FILE
          IDSLTS.FOR
С
С
   PROGRAM IDSLTS:
C
С
   IDSLTS is designed to generate several different types of spectra,
С
   from a parent short-term spectra.
С
C

    Mean Deviation spectrum, defined by

С
C
C
                D(k) = E SUM E S(i,j,k) - m(i,j,k) 3**2 3 / I
C
C
                  for i = 1, 2, 3, ..., I
                  I = number of short-term spectra
С
                  for j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, J
С
                  J = number of frequency components, and
С
                  k = 1,2, ..., K, k is index of kth pattern.
С
                  m(i,j,k) = (SUM [s(i,j,k)]) / I
С
   (2) Intensity deviation spectrum (IDS), defined by
С
С
С
                C(k) = C SUM EABC( S(i,j,k) - m(i,j,k) )] ] / m(i,j,k)
С
С
                  where m and i defined above.
С
С
   (3) Lons-term averaged spectrum (LTS), defined by
С
                L(k) = C SUM ES(i,j,k) \exists \exists / I
С
С
                  where i defined above.
С
С
   All the spectra defined above are derived from the same set of
С
   parent short-term spectra.
С
С
                Short-term spectra file with ext. STS
С
   Input
                (1) Intensity deviation spectra (IDS) with ext. IDS
С
   Output
                (2) Long-term averaged spectra (LTS) with ext. LTS
С
С
   Subprogram called: FUNCTION NCHECK for file input verification.
С
С
                      IDSLTS = IDSLTS/U
С
   To compile
                    1
                      IDSLTS = IDSLTS,NCHECK,SYSLIB/F
С
   To link
                    :
С
                      Hirotaka Nakasone
                    :
С
   Programmed by
                    : 10 Oct., 1982
С
   Date
                    : 14 Oct., 17, May,1983
  Last modified
С
С
   Department of Audiology and Speech Sciences
С
   Michigan State University
С
   East Lansing, Michigan
С
С
```



```
DATA MFILE(1)//D//,MFILE(2)//K//,MFILE(4)//://,MFILE(11)//.//
        DATA DEXT/3RSTS/,EXT(4)/3RSTS/
        DATA ED(1)//I//,ED(2)//D//,ED(3)//S//
        DATA EL(1)/'L'/,EL(2)/'T'/,EL(3)/'S'/
        DATA ES(1)/'S'/,ES(2)/'T'/,ES(3)/'S'/
        FORMAT(1A1)
1
2
        FORMAT(6A1)
3
        FORMAT(1A1,3A1)
4
        FORMAT(15A1)
5
        FORMAT(13)
6
        FORMAT(12A1)
7
        FORMAT(14A1)
        FORMAT(9A1, 3A1)
8
        FORMAT(1X,/)
9
        FORMAT(1X,8A1,3X,9A1,3X,'PROGRAM;
                                             IDSLTS.////>
10
        NORMD(1) = ED(1)
        NORMD(2) = ED(2)
        NORMI(3) = 78
        NORML(1) = EL(1)
        NORML(2) = EL(2)
        NORML(3) = 78
        CALL DATE(LDATE)
        CALL TIME(LTIME)
WRITE(7,10) LTIME,LDATE
        BUG = .FALSE.
        TYPE 15
        FORMAT(' DEBUG(Y/N) ?'+$)
15
        ACCEPT 1,YN
        IF(YN.EQ.89) BUG = .TRUE.
        TYPE 20
        FORMAT(' MASTER FILE OF FILE NAMES AVAILABLE(Y/N) ?',*)
20
        ACCEPT 1, YN
        IF (YN.NE.89) GO TO 200
C TO HERE TO READ THE MASTER FILE NAME OF FILES.
        NEED = .FALSE.
        TYPE 30
        FORMAT(' ENTER MASTER FILE NAME EDEV:FILNAM.EXT] >',$)
30
        READ(5,7) (MFILE(M),M=1,14)
        MFILE(15) = 0
C OPEN CHNN. FOR FILE INPUT.
        CALL ASSIGN(12,MFILE,14, 'OLD')
        READ(12,50) (LFILE(L),L=1,14),NUMF,FMT
        FORMAT(14A1, 13, 60A1)
50
        DO 60 I = 1,NUMF
           READ(12,7) (FNAMES(M,I),M=1,14)
WRITE(7,65) (FNAMES(M,I),M=1,14),I
n
           FORMAT(1X,14A1,5X,I3)
D65
        CONTINUE
60
        CALL CLOSE(12)
        GO TO 250
C TO HERE IF THE MASTER FILE NAME IS NOT AVAILABLE.
        CONTINUE
200
        NEED = .TRUE.
        TYPE 100
        FORMAT(1X, 'TOTAL NUMBER OF PARENT STS FILES ?', $)
100
```



READ(5,5) NUMF TYPE 110 110 FORMAT(1X, 'ENTER ALL PARENT STS FILE NAMES BELOW. (//) C LOOF FOR FILE NAME INFUT. DO 120 I = 1,NUMF WRITE(7,130) I 130 FORMAT(' STS FILE NAME #', I3, ' ', \$) IF (LCHECK (DEXT, ICHAN, I), LT.0) STOP 'ERROR LCHECK' CALL CLOSEC(ICHAN) IF(IFREEC(ICHAN).NE.0) STOP '*ERROR IFREEC*' 120 CONTINUE C BEGIN MAIN LOOP TO COMPUTE IDS AND LTS. 250 CONTINUE KOUNT = 0DO 300 I = 1,NUMF С INITIALIZE DO 305 J = 1, 127 SUM1(J) = 0.0AIDS(J) = 0.0305 CONTINUE С OPEN FOR A FILE ICHAN = IGETC() CALL IRAD50(3, FNAMES(1, I), EXT(1)) CALL IRAD50(6,FNAMES(5,I),EXT(2)) CALL IRAD50(3, FNAMES(12, I), EXT(4)) CALL R50ASC(9,DEXT,LN(1)) MAXBLK = LOOKUP(ICHAN, EXT) IF(MAXBLK .LT. 0) STOP '*BAD LOOKUP*' NBLK = 0JH = 0NWORD = IREADW(256, IBUF, NBLK, ICHAN) 310 IF(NWORD.LT.O) STOP'ERROR IN IREADW' IF(.NOT. BUG) GD TO 315 IF(NBLK.GE.2) GO TO 315 **TYPE 1100** FORMAT(1X,//,' STS#1 DO 312 IB = 2,128 1100 STS#21/) WRITE(7,1000) IBUF(IB), IBUF(IB+128) 1000 FORMAT(1X, 16, 3X, 16) CONTINUE 312 TYPE 9 315 CONTINUE DO 320 J = 1,127SUM1(J) = SUM1(J) + FLOAT(IBUF(J+1+JH)) 320 CONTINUE JH = JH + 128IF(JH.LE.128) GO TO 315 0 = HL NBLK = NBLK + 1 IF(NBLK.LT.MAXBLK) GO TO 310 DSTS = FLOAT(MAXBLK*2) COMFUTE THE AVERAGE INTENSITY OF EACH С С FREQUENCY COMPONENT.



```
10330 J = 1, 127
              SUM1(J) = SUM1(J)/DSTS
           CONTINUE
330
С
           SUM1 NOW HOLD'S THE AVERAGE INTENSITY.
С
           RESET NBLK = 0
С
           NBLK = 0
             JH = 0
           NWORD = IREADW(256, IBUF, NBLK, ICHAN)
350
           CONTINUE
355
           DO 360 J = 1,127
              AIDS(J) = AIDS(J) + ABS(FLOAT(IBUF(J+1+JH))-SUM1(J))
           CONTINUE
360
            \mathsf{JH} = \mathsf{JH} + 128
           IF(JH.LE.128) GO TO 355
           JH = 0
           NBLK = NBLK + 1
           IF (NBLK .LT. MAXBLK) GD TO 350
           10\ 365\ J = 1,127
                 AIDS(J) = AIDS(J) / SUM1(J)
           CONTINUE
365
                                          END OF ONE STS. NOW,
С
                                          WRITE THE RESULTS.
С
           TYPE 9
           CALL WTSPEC(127,AIDS,I,ED)
           CALL WTSPEC(127,SUM1,I,EL)
           CALL NORPER(127,AIDS)
           CALL WTSPEC(127,AIDS,I,NORMD)
           CALL NORPER(127,SUM1)
           CALL WTSPEC(127,SUM1,I,NORML)
                                          CLOSE CHANNEL.
С
           CALL CLOSEC(ICHAN)
           IF(IFREEC(ICHAN).NE.0) STOP 'ICHAN NOT FREED.'
           KOUNT = KOUNT + 1
        CONTINUE
300
                                          END OF ALL STS'S
С
        TYPE 9
        TYPE 9
        CALL TIME(LTIME)
        WRITE(7,400) LTIME,KOUNT
        FORMAT(1X,8A1,//, TOTAL NUMBER OF STS FILES PROCESSED =',13,
400
        8///>
        DO 405 I = 1,3
                 LEX(I,1) = ED(I)
                 LEX(I,2) = NORMD(I)
                 LEX(I,3) = EL(I)
                 LEX(I,4) = NORML(I)
                 LEX(I,5) = ES(I)
405
        CONTINUE
        N = 4
        IF(NEED) N = 5
         YN = 0
        DO 420 I = 1,N
            WRITE(7,430) (LEX(J,I),J=1,3)
           FORMAT(1X, MASTER FILE NAME OF ', 3A1, ' FILES
430
         & EDEV:FILNAM.EXT] >',$)
           READ(5,7) (MFILE(M),M=1,14)
            IF(MFILE(1),EQ.48) GD TO 420
            MFILE(15) = 0
            CALL ASSIGN(61, MFILE, 14, 'NEW')
            WRITE(61,440) (MFILE(M),M=1,14), KOUNT
```

s



```
SUBROUTINE WTSPEC(NFREQ, SDATA, IX, E3)
 С
       Written by: Hirotaka Nakasone
                                                         н
C
       Date
                : 17 May 1983
                                                         н
COMMON /NAME/ FNAMES
       LOGICAL*1 FNAMES(15,100),E3(3)
       REAL SDATA(NFREQ)
       DO 1 I = 1,3
          FNAMES(I+11,IX) = E3(I)
1
       CONTINUE
       CALL ASSIGN(61, FNAMES(1, IX), 14, 'NEW')
       WRITE(61,3) (SDATA(J), J=1, NFREQ)
3
       FORMAT(SE15.7)
       CALL CLOSE(61)
       WRITE(7,4) (FNAMES(L,IX),L=1,14)
       FORMAT(1X,14A1)
4
       RETURN
       END
C FILE: NORPER.FOR
C SUBROUTINE NORPER(NFREQ, SDATA)
C
C NORFER IS DESIGNED TO NORMALIZE IDS AND LTS FILES.
C NORMALIZED DATA WILL BE REPRESENTED BY NUMBERS
 BETWEEN O AND 100.
С
 SDATA IS A REAL ARRAY DIMENSIONED BY NFREQ.
С
C EACH DATUM WILL BE DEVIDED BY THE SUM OF NFREQ VALUES.
C
 IT HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED AS A PART OF PRE-PROCESSING
С
C OF SPEECH DATA FOR A PH.D. DISSERTATION RESEARCH.
C ON THE PDP 11/40, DEPARTMENT OF AUDIOLOGY AND SPEECH
С
 SCIENCES, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY.
С
C WRITTEN BY HIROTAKA NAKASONE, 19 MAY 1983.
SUBROUTINE NORFER(NFREQ, SDATA)
      REAL SDATA (NFREQ)
      TOTAL = 0.
      DO 1 I = 1, NFREQ
             TOTAL = TOTAL + SDATA(I)
      CONTINUE
1
      DO 2 I = 1, NFREQ
             SDATA(I) = SDATA(I)/TOTAL
      CONTINUE
2
      RETURN
      END
```



```
FILE = DENCOR \cdot FOR
C
С
C PROGRAM DENCOR.FOR
C DENCOR COMPUTES THE SPEARMAN PRODUCT MOMENT CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS
C (ABSOLUTE) OF ALL PAIRRING OF FREQUENCY COMPONENTS OF SPECTRA, I AND J,
C OVER NUMF FILES.
C
C A ((NDATA)*(NDATA-1)/2) COR. MATRIX WILL BE USED AS INPUT TO
C A CLUSTERING PROGRAM (COMPLETE LINK) TO SEARCH GROUPINGS BY
C FREQUENCY COMPONENTS. A NDATA IS THE TOTAL NUMBER OF DISCRETE
C FREQUENCIES, EACH REPRESENTING ABOUT 39 HZ, FROM 117 TO 3978 HZ.
 THE OUTPUT OF DENCOR IS A SIMILARITY MATRIX, I.E., THE GREATER
С
 THE VALUE IN THE MATRIX IS, THE MORE SIMILAR TO ONE ANOTHER.
С
C
C SUBFROGRAMS:
   FUNCTION LCHECK (H.NAKASONE): FILE VERIFICATION ROUTINE.
С
   FUNCTION INDEX: MATRIX FORMATTING ROUTINE.
С
С
C PROGRAMMED AS A PART OF FEATURE EXTRACTION PROCEDURE FOR A
C PH.D. DISSERTATION (COMPUTER VOICE IDENTIFICATION BY USING
 INTENSITY DEVIATION SPECTRA AND FUNDAMENTAL FREQUENCY CONTOUR)
С
C BY THE AUTHOR.
£
C WRITTEN BY HIROTAKA NAKASONE, 22-MAY-1983
C DEPARTMENT OF AUDIOLOGY AND SPEECH SCIENCES, MSU.
C
               DENCOR=DENCOR/UE/D3
C TO COMFILE:
               DENCOR=DENCOR, SYSLIB/F
  TO LINK :
С
С
        COMMON /NAME/FNAMES
        COMMON /NUMF/N
        DOUBLE PRECISION EXT, PROG
        REAL DMAT(4950),DAT(30,100)
       LOGICAL*1 FNAMES(15,100),YN,LNAME(15),FMT(60)
        LOGICAL*1 NEXT(3)
        DATA LNAME(1)//D//,LNAME(2)//K//,LNAME(4)//://
        DATA LNAME(10)/'.'/
        DATA PROG/12RDK10RDPR SAV/
        LNAME(15) = 0
        FORMAT(1A1)
1
        FORMAT(13)
2
        FORMAT(6A1)
3
        FORMAT(14A1)
4
        FORMAT(15A1)
6
        FORMAT(12A1)
5
        FORMAT(1X, ' WAIT. ')
8
       FORMAT(1X,/)
9
        N = 100
        10 \ 10 \ I = 1, \ 4950
           DMAT(I) = 0.
        CONTINUE
10
   READ DATA FILES FROM A MASTER FILE.
C
```



```
300
        TYPE 210
210
        FORMAT(' ENTER MASTER FILE NAME (DEV:FILNAM.EXT) >',$)
        READ(5,4) (LNAME(L),L=1,14)
        CALL ASSIGN(13, LNAME, 14, 'OLD')
        READ(13,410) (LNAME(L),L=1,14),NUMF,(FMT(M),M=1,60)
410
        FORMAT(14A1, I3, 60A1)
        10 420 I = 1, NUMF
           READ(13,4) (FNAMES(M,I),M=1,14)
           WRITE(7,425) (FNAMES(M,I),M=1,14)
           FORMAT(1X,14A1)
425
           CALL ASSIGN(12,FNAMES(1,I),14,'OLD')
           READ(12,FMT) DUM, DUM
           READ(12,FMT) (DAT(I,J),J=1,100)
           CALL CLOSE(12)
420
        CONTINUE
        CALL CLOSE(13)
C COMPUTE SUM(N) AND SSQ(N) FOR N FREQUENCIES OVER NUMF SPECTRA.
        I1 = 3
        I2 = 102
        RN = FLOAT(NUMF)
        NII = 0
        DO 620 I=1,N-1
           DO 610 J=I+1,N
               SUMX = 0.
               SUMY = 0.
               SUMXX = 0.
              SUMYY = 0.
              SUMXY = 0.
              DO 600 K=1,NUMF
                  SUMX = SUMX + DAT(K,I)
                  SUMY = SUMY + DAT(K,J)
                  SUMXX= SUMXX+ DAT(K,I)*DAT(K,I)
                  SUMYY= SUMYY+ DAT(K,J)*DAT(K,J)
                  SUMXY= SUMXY+ DAT(K,I)*DAT(K,J)
600
               CONTINUE
               XBAR = SUMX / RN
               YBAR = SUMY / RN
               SDX = (SUMXX/RN - XBAR*XBAR)**0.5
               SDY = (SUMYY/RN - YBAR*YBAR)**0.5
               SDXSDY = SDX*SDY
               TEST = SUMXY/RN - XBAR*YBAR
               IF(SDXSDY .GT. 1.E-10) GO TO 605
                 R = 1.0
                 GO TO 606
               R = ABS(TEST / SDXSDY)
605
               IMAT(INDEX(I,J)) = R
606
               ND = ND + 1
               WRITE(7,666) R,I,J
CI
               FORMAT(1X, 'R=', E15,7, ' FOR ', I3, ' VS, ', I3, ' DMAT')
CD666
           CONTINUE
610
        CONTINUE
620
        CALL ASSIGN(13, 'FROXTP.DAT', 10, 'NEW')
        WRITE(13,700) N
        FORMAT(I3, '-1'/ ' (10F8.5)')
700
        IO 710 I = 1, N-1
           WRITE(13,720) (DMAT(INDEX(I,J)),J=I+1,N)
        CONTINUE
710
        FORMAT(10F8.5)
720
```

```
CALL CLOSE(13)
```



800	TYPE BOO FORMAT(' EXITING DENCOR, HAVE YOU SET TTY WIDTH=132 ?' &/' IF NOT, INTERRUPT DENCOR AND DO FOLLOWS.' &//' .SET TTY WIDTH=132 <ret> &amp;'/' .RUN ORDER <ret>'//' IF YOU HAVE, JUST WAIT.'/////)</ret></ret>
C C	PROGRAM CHAINING TO ORDER (INITIAL PART OF HIERARCHICAL CLUSTERING BY COMPLETE-LINK METHOD
	CALL CHAIN(FROG,,0) CALL EXIT END
С	INTEGER FUNCTION INDEX(I,J)
	COMMON /NUMF/ N Integer Row,Col
L	ROW = MINO(I,J) COL = MAXO(I,J) INDEX = (ROW-1)*N-ROW*(ROW+1)/2+COL RETURN END

• *

and the second second

C FILE: FRATIO.FOR C FRATIO IS DESIGNED TO COMPUTE A SET OF F-RATIOS OF IDS AND LTS C FEATURES WHICH ARE SENT AS SUBSETS BY THE PRECEDING CLUSTERING C PROGRAMS. С C INPUT: C C (1) A MASTER FILE WHICH CONTAINS NAMES OF IDS FILES. C (2) HNLIST.TMP, TEMPORARY FILE CREATED BY THE PROGRAM DENCOR, AND DENDRO. HNLIST.TMP HOLDS INFORMATION С ABOUT CLUSTERING GROUPS, AND NODES (OR, DISCRETE FRE-С QUENCY COMPONENTS) IN EACH CLUSTERING. С С C OUTPUT: С C (1) F-RATIO'S SUMMARIZED IN THE TABLE WITH OTHER INFO'S. С C WRITTEN BY: HIROTAKA NAKASONE 30-MAY-83 DATE: С С C DEPARTMENT OF AUDIOLOGY AND SPEECH SCIENCES, C MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN. C COMMON /SENSE/ X, F COMMON /SENSEP/ NODLST REAL A(5,10,100), X(5,10,30), F(30) INTEGER NODLST(100), IEND(20), NG, NP LOGICAL*1 FNAMES(14,50),LNAME(14),FMT(60),YN LOGICAL*1 MYNAME(10) DATA NG/10/,NP/5/,NS/10/,MAXNUD/30/ DATA LNAME(1)//D//+LNAME(2)//K//+LNAME(3)//0//+LNAME(4)//:// CHECK IF CHAINED TO, OR RUN FROM THE KEYBOARD. CALL RCHAIN(IF, MYNAME, 5) IF(IF.EQ.-1) WRITE(7,1010) (MYNAME(L),L=1,10) IF(IF.NE.-1) TYPE 1020 FORMAT(1X,////, PROGRAM FRATIO: FILE SENT = FORMAT(1X,////, PROGRAM FRATIO: ///) (,10A1,//) 1010 1020 FORMAT(1A1) 5 FORMAT(1X,/) 999 CALL ASSIGN(12, 'HNLIST, TMP', 10, 'OLD') READ(12,10) NODES FORMAT(I3,/) 10 READ(12,20) (NODLST(I),I=1,NODES) FORMAT(2014) 20 CALL CLOSE(12) WRITE(7,22) (NODLST(I),I=1,NODES) n FORMAT(1X,2014) D22 IF(IF.EQ.-1) GO TO 3000 TYPE 30 FORMAT(' Master file name (DEV:6AL,EXT) ?',\$) 30



READ(5,40) (LNAME(L),L=1,14) 40 FORMAT(14A1) GO TO 3500 CONTINUE 3000 DO 3510 IM = 1,10 LNAME(IM+4) = MYNAME(IM) CONTINUE 3510 3500 TYPE 50 FORMAT(' Number of clusters ?',\$) 50 ACCEPT 60, NCLUST 60 FORMAT(12) TYPE 70 FORMAT(' Enter ending node location for each clustter.'//) 70 IBEG = 1DO 80 I = 1, NCLUST TYPE 90, I 95 FORMAT(' For cluster ',12,' >',\$) 90 ACCEPT 61, IEND(I) FORMAT(13) 61 NC = IEND(I) - IBEG + 1IF(NC.LE.MAXNOD) GO TO 99 TYPE 98 FORMAT( ' NUMBER OF NODES OUT OF RANGE. ') 98 GO TO 95 TYPE 100, NC 99 FORMAT(' Total number of nodes = ',12,' OK ?',\$) 100 ACCEPT 5, YN IF(YN.EQ.78) GO TO 95 IBEG = IEND(I) + 1CONTINUE 80 **TYPE 999** D K17) FORMAT(10X, 'X Ι L A D888 CALL ASSIGN(13,LNAME,14, 'OLD') READ(13,410) (LNAME(L),L=1,14),NUMF,(FMT(M),M=1,60) FDRMAT(14A1, I3, 60A1) 410 I = 1J = 1DO 420 IX = 1, NUMF READ(13,40) (FNAMES(M,IX), M=1,14) CALL ASSIGN(12,FNAMES(1,IX),14, 'OLD') READ(12,FMT) DUMMY,DUMMY,(A(I,J,K),K=1,100) TYPE 999 D CALL CLOSE(12) I = I + 1IF(I.LE.NP) GO TO 420 I = 1J = J + 1CONTINUE 420 CALL CLOSE(13) IREG = 1KCLUST = 0 TYPE 999 TYPE 888 D D KCLUST = KCLUST + 1 800 ND = IEND(KCLUST) - IBEG + 1 KNT = 0DO 500 K = IBEG, IEND(KCLUST) KNT = KNT + 1DO 600 J = 1, NG



DO 700 I = 1, NP  $X(I_{J},KNT) = A(I_{J},NODLST(K))$ WRITE(7,777) X(I,J,KNT),A(I,J,NODLST(K)),I,J,K,NODLST(K),KNT D D777 FORMAT(1X,F14.5,F14.5,5X,3I5, NODLST(K)=',I3, KNT=',I3) 700 CONTINUE 600 CONTINUE CONTINUE 500 **TYPE 999** D CALL SUBFR(NP,NG,ND) CALL PRTFR(F,KCLUST,ND,IBEG,IEND(KCLUST)) IBEG = IEND(KCLUST) + 1IF(KCLUST.LT.NCLUST) GO TO 800 STOP' DONE. NORMAL EXIT.' END SUBROUTINE PRTFR(F,KCL,ND,IB,IE) COMMON /SENSEP/ NODLST INTEGER NODLST(100) REAL F(30) WRITE(7,50) IB,IE D FORMAT(1X, ' IB =', I4, ' IE =', I4, \$) D50 FINTV = 5000./128. IFREQ = INT(FINTV*2.) WRITE(7,60) FINTV, IFREQ D FORMAT('+','FINTV =',F8.2,' IFREQ =',I5,/) D60 TYPE 1, KCL FORMAT(1X,///,T5,'F-RATIO TABLE FOR CLUSTER ND. ',I2,/) 1 TYPE 2 FORMAT(1X,T5,'FREQUENCY(Hz)',T20,'SEQUENCE # ',T40, 2 &'F-RATID'/,T5,'-----',T20,'-----',T40, 8'----'/) **κ** = 0 DO 5 I = IB, IEK = K + 1TYPE 55, NODLST(I) מ FORMAT(' NODLST(I)=',15) D55 KF = IFREQ + INT( FINTV * FLOAT(NODLST(I)) + 0.5) WRITE(7,10) KF, NOULST(I),F(K) FORMAT(6X,14,T23,13,T33,F14.3) 10 CONTINUE 5 TYPE 20 FORMAT(1X,//, 15, '_____'/) 20 RETURN END СННННННННННННННННННННННННННН SUBROUTINE SUBFR(NP,NG,ND) COMPUTATION PART FOR FRATIOS С BY H. NAKASONE С

снининининининининининининин

COMMON /SENSE/ X, F REAL X(5,10,30), SUM(10),SSQ(10),F(30) .


С ND: HOLDS NUMBER OF DIMENSIONS IN EACH NP. NP: HOLDS NUMBER OF PATTERNS IN EACH NG. С С NG: HOLDS NUMBER OF SPEAKERS (OR GROUPS). DFB = FLOAT(NG - 1) DFW = FLOAT(NG*NP - NG) GN = FLOAT(NP*NG) SN = FLOAT(NP)DO 1 K = 1, NDGSUM = 0. GSSQ = 0.T = 0.DO 2 J = 1, NG SUM(J) = 0.SSQ(J) = 0.DO 3 I = 1, NP  $SUM(J) = SUM(J) + X(I_J,K)$ SSQ(J) = SSQ(J) + X(I,J,K) * X(I,J,K)CONTINUE 3 . GSUM = GSUM + (SUM(J)*SUM(J))/SN GSSQ = GSSQ + SSQ(J)T = T + SUM(J)CONTINUE 2 SSTOT = GSSQ - (T*T)/GN SSBET = GSUM - (T*T)/GN SSWIT = SSTOT - SSBET BGMS = SSBET / DFB WGMS = SSWIT / DFW . TSWG = 0. $\mathbf{D}^{*}$ DO 4 J = 1, NG TSWG = TSWG + ( SSQ(J) - (SUM(J)*SUM(J))/ SN ) D D CONTINUE D4 WRITE(7,10) SSTOT, SSBET, SSWIT FORMAT(' SSTOT=',F14.3,' SSBET=',F14.3,' SSWIT=',F14.3) D D10 WRITE(7,12) BGMS,WGMS,TSWG FORMAT(' BGMS=',F14.3,' WGMS=',F14.3,' TSWG=',F14.3) n D12 F(K) = 9999.9091 IF(WGMS.GT.0.) F(K) = BGMS / WGMS CONTINUE 1 RETURN END

*



```
C
C FILE: UPICK.FOR
С
C UPICK IS DESIGNED TO DETECT FUNDAMENTAL FREQUENCIES IN A
C RUNNING SPEECH BY INTERACTIVE METHOD, THIS PROGRAM MUST BE
C CHAINED TO PROGRAM HPICK, THEN TO FFPICK TO COMPLETE
C MEASUREMENT OF 9 FEATURES OF FFC.
С
C WRITTEN BY: H. NAKASONE
С
        DATE: 26-JUN-83
С
  AS A PART OF SERIES OF SOUND PROCESSING SOFTWARES
С
C USED IN THE PH.D. DISSERTATION BY THE AUTHOR.
С
C DEPARTMENT OF AUDIOLOGY AND SPEECH SCIENCES
C MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
C
COMMON /SENSE/ MAXPIC, NBLOCK, NAME12, NSR
       COMMON /ICSPEC/ ISPEC(39)
       COMMON /BUFF/ NBUF, XMAX, XMIN, YMAX, YMIN, YRANGE
       DOUBLE PRECISION HPROG, EXT
        INTEGER*4 JLEN
       INTEGER NDEV, NEXT, NBUF (3600)
       LOGICAL*1 NAME6(6), NAME12(12), YN, BEL, ONE
       LOGICAL*1 ZERO, CHA, REPT, VTAB, DOLBY
       DATA EXT/3RSND/, NSR/10000/, IFILTR/3/, BEL/*007/
       DATA ONE/.TRUE./, ZERO/.FALSE./, REPT/.FALSE./, VTAB/*013/
       DATA HPROG/12RDK1HPICK SAV/
       KNIT = 3600
       TYPE 1
       FORMAT(' PROGRAM UPICK: '///,1X,' Want DOLBY?',$)
1
       ACCEPT 77, YN
       FORMAT(A1)
77
       DOLBY = .FALSE.
       IF(YN.EQ.89) DOLBY = .TRUE.
       CALL INIT(NBUF,KNIT)
500
       CALL SCROL(1,1000)
TYPE 2000, VTAB
       FORMAT(1X,A1)
2000
       CALL SCROL(2,100)
       CALL APNT(0.,200.,1,-5,0,4)
       CALL LVECT(1023.,0.)
       CALL APNT(0.,700.,1,-7,0,1)
       CALL SUPP(1)
       CALL TEXT(' READY ANALOG INPUT. ',2,' WHEN READY,
       & HIT RETURN KEY TO START.()
       CALL ESUB
       CALL OFF(1)
       CALL APNT(0.,800.,0,-7,1,1)
```

.



CALL SUPP(2) CALL TEXT( ' CONVERSION IN PROCESS. () CALL ESUB CALL OFF(2) ICHAN = IGETC() IF(ICHAN, LT.O) STOP' ICHAN NOT AVAILABLE' TYPE 2 FORMAT(' NUMBER OF SECONDS (13) ?'+\$) 2 ACCEPT 3, NSEC LEN = NSR/256RSEC = FLOAT(NSEC) SR = FLOAT(NSR) LENB = LEN * NSEC + 1 TYPE 10 FORMAT(1X, 'ENTER OUTPUT FILE NAME > ', \$) 10 IF(ICSI(ISPEC,EXT,,,0).NE.0) GO TO 20 20 IF(ISPEC(16).NE.0) GO TO 30 TYPE 22 FORMAT(' ?HARDWARE ERROR?'//) 22 CALL EXIT 30 IF(IFETCH(ISPEC(16)).NE.0) STOP'FETCHING ERROR' NBLOCK = IENTER(ICHAN, ISPEC(16),-1) IF (NBLOCK.GE.LENB) GO TO 100 TYPE 40, LENB, NBLOCK 40 FORMAT(1X, 'NOT ENOUGH BLOCK SIZE, '/' & BLOCK SIZE REQUESTED = ', I4, ' AND ALLOCATED =', I4) CALL EXIT 100 TYPE 7, BEL CALL R50ASC(12, ISPEC(16), NAME12(1)) CALL JAFIX(SR*RSEC+,5, JLEN) CALL ON(1) CALL BIT12(ONE) 210 CHA = ITTINR() IF(CHA.NE.13) GO TO 210 CALL BIT12(ZERO) CALL OFF(1) CALL ON(2) TYPE 7, BEL I=IDA(ICHAN,7,INT(0.04*SR),INT(0.01*SR),JLEN,,60,0) IF(I.NE.0) TYPE 225, I FORMAT( ' ERROR IDA 225 I = (, I4)CALL CLOSEC(ICHAN) CALL IFREEC(ICHAN) CALL OFF(2) TYPE 250 FORMAT(1X,//,' SOUND INPUT PROCEDURE COMPLETED, WAIT...'//) 2'50 CALL INIT(NBUF,KNIT) NWORD = 9C --- CREATE SUBPICTURES OF SPEECH WAVES ---CALL SUBPIC(DOLBY) -- CHAINING TO PROGRAM HPICK ---С CALL CHAIN(HPROG, MAXPIC, NWORD) FORMAT(13) 3 7 FORMAT('+',A1) C TO COMPILE, UPICK=UPICK/U C TO LINK, UPICK=UPICK,FUSS,BIT12,SUBPIC,GTLIB,SYSLIB/F END

•

*



```
C FILE
         SUBROUTINE: SUBPIC.FOR
C Routine SUBPIC creats a set of display subpictures of
C speech wave and command characters required by the succeeding
C program HPICK (main part of the interactive peak picking
C technique), SUBPIC is called from program UPICK,
С
C H. NAKASONE
  JUN 27, 1983
С
SUBROUTINE SUBPIC(DOLBY)
        COMMON /SENSE/ MAXFIC, NBLOCK, NAME12, NSR
        COMMON /BUFF/ NBUF, XMAX, XMIN, YMAX, YMIN, YRANGE
        DOUBLE PRECISION DUMMY
        INTEGER IBUF(1024), NBUF(3600)
        LOGICAL*1 BEL, YN, DONE, NAME12(12), NAMOUT(10)
        LOGICAL*1 VTAB, NAME15(15), NAMER(6), DOLBY
        DATA VTAB/ 013/, NSR/10000/
        DATA NAME12(10)/'S'/,NAME12(11)/'N'/,NAME12(12)/'D'/
DATA NAME12(1)/'D'/,NAME12(2)/'K'/,NAME12(3)/'O'/
        DATA NAME15(1)/'D'/,NAME15(2)/'K'/,NAME15(3)/'0'/
        DATA NAME15(4)/';'/,NAME15(5)/'T'/,NAME15(6)/'M'/
        DATA NAME15(7)/'F'/,NAME15(11)/'.'/,NAME15(12)/'D'/
        DATA NAME15(13)/'F'/,NAME15(14)/'Y'/
        XMTN
               = 0.
               = ~573.
        YMIN
        XMAX
               = 1023.
        YMAX
               = 450.
        YRANGE = 400.
               = 1022.
        XEND
        NOISE
              = 400
        KSIG
               = 6000
        LION
               ≈ 8000
        IPR0
               = 7001
        IRPT
               = 7002
        ICOR
               = 7003
        IJUMP
              = 7004
        ISTART ≈ 7005
        LWAIT
              = 9000
               = 9500
        IDOT
        IDOTC
              = 9600
        ISIG
               = 8500
        KNIT
               = 3600
               = 1024
        NETS
        CALL SCROL(1,1000)
        TYPE 840, VTAB
840
        FORMAT(1X,A1)
        CALL SCROL(1,12)
        CALL SCAL(XMIN, YMIN, XMAX, YMAX)
        CALL AFNT(XMIN, YMAX, 1, -8,0,1)
        CALL SUBP(LION)
        CALL LVECT (XMAX, 0., 1)
        CALL LVECT(0.,-2.*YMAX,1)
        CALL LVECT(-XMAX,0.,1)
```



	CALL LVECT(0.,2.*YMAX,1) CALL APNT(0.,0.,0,-1,0,1) CALL LVECT(10230.)
-	CALL ESUB
с С	CALL CMPRS
	CALL SAVE ('DKO:FRAMER.DPY')
	CALL INII(NEUF, NII) CALL SCAL(XMIN, YMIN, XMAX, YMAX)
	YBOT = -(YMAX+65.)
	CALL APNT(150,,YBOT,1,-B,0,1) CALL SUBP(ICOR)
	CALL TEXT('?Correction?')
с	CALL ERAS(ICOR)
С	CALL CMPRS
	CALL SAVE('DKO:CORECT.DPY')
	CALL SCAL(XMIN, YMIN, XMAX, YMAX)
	CALL APNT(800.,YBOT,1,-8,0,1)
	CALL SUBP(IRPT)
	CALL ESUB
С	CALL ERAS(IRPT)
С	CALL CMPRS
	CALL INIT(NBUF,KNIT)
	CALL SCAL (XMIN, YMIN, XMAX, YMAX)
	CALL APNT(550,,YBOT,1,-8,0,1)
	CALL TEXT('?Proceed?')
_	CALL ESUR
C C	CALL ERAS(IPRO)
0	CALL SAVE('DKO:PROCED.DFY')
	CALL INIT(NBUF,KNIT)
	CALL SCAL(XMIN;YMIN;XMAX;YMAX) CALL APNT(0,:YPOT=26,:=1:=8:0:1)
	CALL SUBP(ISTART)
	CALL TEXT(' Hit frame')
с	CALL ESUB CALL FRAS(ISTART)
c	CALL CMPRS
	CALL SAVE ('DKO:STARTR.DPY')
	CALL INII(NBUF (NNII) CALL SCAL(XMIN,YMIN,XMAX,YMAX)
	CALL APNT(350.,YBOT,1,-8,0,1)
	CALL SUBP(IJUMP)
	CALL ESUB
С	CALL ERAS(IJUMP)
С	CALL CMPRS
	CALL SAVE( DRUGSUMPERSDET)
	CALL SCAL (XMIN, YMIN, XMAX, YMAX)
	CALL APNT(0.,YBOT,-1,-8,0,1)
	CALL TEXT(' Hit wave')
	CALL ESUR
C	CALL ERAS(ISIG)
L L	CALL SAVE('DKO:SIGNAL.DPY')
	CALL INIT(NBUF,KNIT)
	CALL SCAL(XMIN, YMIN, XMAX, YMAX)
	CHER HEIRI(300+70+9~19~8)



CALL SUBP(LWAIT) CALL TEXT(' W T') Α I CALL ESUB CALL ERAS(LWAIT) С С CALL CMPRS CALL SAVE ('DKO: WAITER. DFY') CALL INIT(NBUF,KNIT) CALL SCAL (XMIN, YMIN, XMAX, YMAX) SR = FLOAT(NSR) 50 ICHAN = IGETC() CALL IRAD50(12,NAME12(1),DUMMY) NBLOCK = LOOKUP(ICHAN, DUMMY) IF(NBLOCK.LT.-1) STOP ' BAD LOOKUP' IF (NBLOCK.EQ.-1) STOP ' FILE NOT FOUND' RSEC = 256.* FLOAT(NBLOCK)/SR TYPE 60, NBLOCK, RSEC D D60 FORMAT(1X, I4, ' BLOCKS(= ', F8.4, ' SEC) FOR THIS FILE. (//) MAXBLK = NBLOCK - (NPTS/256) NBLK = 0 KSIG = 6000NAMSIG = 0 1000 NW = IREADW(NFTS, IBUF, NBLK, ICHAN) NAMSIG = NAMSIG + 1 MAX = 0CHECK IF NOISE REDUCTION BY DOLBY DESIRED. IF(DOLBY) GO TO 53 COME HERE IF NO DOLBY REQUESTED. DO 1550 I = 1, 1024IF(IABS(IBUF(I)).GT.MAX) MAX = IABS(IBUF(I)) 1550 CONTINUE GO TO 55 COME HERE TO DO DOLBY. 53 DO 1553 I = 1, 1024 ITEMP = 0IF(IBUF(I).GT.NOISE) ITEMP = IBUF(I) - NOISE IF(IBUF(I).LT.-NOISE)ITEMF = IBUF(I) + NOISE IBUF(I) = ITEMP IF(IABS(ITEMP).GT.MAX) MAX = IABS(ITEMP) 1553 CONTINUE 55 FAC = 1.0IF(MAX.GT.400) FAC = 400. / MAX DO 560 I = 1, NPTS IBUF(I) = IBUF(I) * FAC 560 CONTINUE CALL APNT(0.,0.,9,-8,0,1) CALL SUBP(KSIG) CALL LVECT(0.,FLOAT(IBUF(1))) DO 565 I = 2, NPTSDY = FLOAT(IBUF(I) ~ IBUF(I-1)) CALL LVECT(1.,DY) 565 CONTINUE CALL ESUB ND = NAMSIG / 100NAME15(8) = ND + *60 ND = MOD(NAMSIG, 100)NAME15(9) = ND / 10 + *60 ND = MOD(ND, 10)NAME15(10) = ND + "60 NAME15(15) = 0



C CALL ERAS(KSIG) C CALL CMPRS CALL SAVE(NAME15) D WRITE(7,700) NAME15, KSIG, NAMSIG D700 FORMAT(1X,'DONE FOR ',15A1,3X,' KSIG=',15, D &' AND NAMSIG=',15,/) CALL INIT(NBUF,KNIT) CALL SCAL(XMIN,YMIN,XMAX,YMAX) 300 NBLK = NBLK + 4 IF(NBLK.LE.MAXBLK) GD TD 1000 MAXPIC = NAMSIG CALL CLOSEC(ICHAN) CALL IFREEC(ICHAN) RETURN END

.

*

. •



TT:=DKO:BIT12.FOR

. . .

C FILE: BIT12.FOR C HHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH C SUBROUTINE TO ACTIVATE/DEACTIVATE A BIT 12 FOR C SPECIAL KEY MODE. C WRITEN BY H. NAKASONE C 08-JUN-1983 CHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH SUBROUTINE BIT12(KON) LOGICAL*1 KON C DEACTIVATE SPECIAL KEY MODE FOR KON = .FALSE.. IF(.NOT.KON) CALL IPOKE(*44,IPEEK(*44).AND.*167777) C ACTIVATE SPECIAL KEY MODE FOR KON = .TRUE.. IF(KON) CALL IPOKE(*44,IPEEK(*44).OR.*10000) RETURN END *

.



```
C FILE
         HPICK.FOR
C HPICK is designed to measure Fo's (fundamental frequency)
C in speech signal directly from the time domain by the use C of 'Interactive peak detecting technique'.
С
C Input: File of display subpictures created by UPICK.
  Output: Data file which contains amplitudes(in absolute value)
and periods(in number of sampled points).
С
С
С
C Requirement:
                (1) Light pen attached to the CRT.
C
                 (2) This program must be chained to FFPICK to complete
                     a FFC feature file.
С
C H. NAKASONE
  JUN 27 1983
С
C Dept. of Audiology and Speech Sciences, MSU, East Lansing, MI.
COMMON /FFC/ KT, NAMOUT
        COMMON /SENSE/ MAXFIC, NBLOCK, NAME12, NSR
        COMMON /BUFF/ NBUF, XMAX, XMIN, YMAX, YMIN, YRANGE
        COMMON /ICSPEC/ ISPEC(39)
        DOUBLE PRECISION DUMMY, FPROG
        INTEGER IBUF(1024), NBUF(4000), NAMF(1500)
        INTEGER NTIME(100), NTAD(1500)
        LOGICAL*1 BEL, YN, DONE, NAME12(12), YNEND, NAMOUT(10)
        LOGICAL*1 DEBUG,VTAB, NAME(15), KNTP(4),LNAME(5)
        EQUIVALENCE (X0,X), (Y0,Y), (M0,M), (N0,N)
        DATA DUMMY/12RDK1TESTERSND/,VTAB/ 013/
        DATA BEL/*7/,NAME12(10)//S'/,NAME12(11)//N'/,NAME12(12)//D'/
        DATA NAME12(1)/'D'/,NAME12(2)/'K'/,NAME12(3)/'0'/
        DATA NAMOUT(10)/'C'/,NAMOUT(9)/'F'/,NAMOUT(8)/'F'/
        DATA NAMOUT (7)/'.'/
        DATA NSR/10000/
        DATA NAME(1)/'D'/,NAME(2)/'K'/,NAME(3)/'O'/,NAME(4)/':'/
        DATA NAME(5)/'T'/,NAME(6)/'M'/,NAME(7)/'P'/,NAME(11)/'.'/
        DATA NAME(12)/'D'/,NAME(13)/'F'/,NAME(14)/'Y'/
        DATA FPROG/12RDK1FFPICKSAV/
        CALL RCHAIN(IF, MAXPIC, 9)
C INITIALIZE.
        DO 333 I = 1, 1500
           NAMF(I) = 0
           NTAD(I) = 0
333
        DO 334 I = 1, 100
           NTIME(I) = 0
334
        IF(IF,EQ,-1) GO TO 2222
        TYPE 2224
        FORMAT( / NUMBER OF DISPLAY FICTURES ?',$)
2224
        ACCEPT 2226, MAXFIC
2226
        FORMAT(13)
        TYPE 2228
        FORMAT(' FILE NAME (6A1) >',$)
READ(5,2230) (NAME12(M),M=4,9)
2228
        FORMAT(6A1)
2230
        XMIN
               = 0.
2222
               = -573.
        YMIN
               = 1023.
        XMAX
               = 450.
        YMAX
        YRANGE = 400.
              = 1022.
        XEND
```



```
KSIG
               = 6000
        LION
               = 8000
        IPRO
               = 7001
        IRPT
               = 7002
        ICOR
               = 7003
        I JUMP
               = 7004
        ISTART = 7005
               = 9500
        IDOT
        IDOTC
               = 9600
        ISIG
               = 8500
        KNIT
                = 4000
        NPTS
               = 1024
        ENCODE(3,10,KNTF) MAXFIC
        FORMAT(I3)
10
        KNTP(4) = 0
        DEBUG = .FALSE.
        TYPE 3010
D
        FORMAT(' DEBUG ?',$)
D3010
        ACCEPT 805, YN
D
        IF(YN.EQ.89) DEBUG = .TRUE.
D
50
        SR = FLOAT(NSR)
        DO 35 I = 4, 9
           NAMOUT(I-3) = NAME12(I)
35
        CONTINUE
        TIMOLD = 0.
        TAOOLD = 0.
        AMPOLD = 0.
        КТ = 0
        NW = 0
        NBLK = 0
        KOUNT = 0
1111
        CONTINUE
        CALL INIT(NBUF,KNIT)
        CALL SCROL(1,1000)
        TYPE 840, VTAB
840
        FORMAT(1X,A1)
        CALL SCROL(1,12)
        CALL SCAL(XMIN,YMIN,XMAX,YMAX)
        CALL SUBP(LION)
        CALL RSTR('DKO:FRAMER.DFY')
        CALL ESUB
        CALL OFF(LION)
        YBOT = -(YMAX+65.)
        CALL APNT(150,,YBOT,1,-8,0,1)
        CALL SUBP(ICOR)
        CALL RSTR('DKO:CORECT.DPY')
        CALL ESUB
CALL OFF(ICOR)
        CALL AFNT(800.,YBOT,1,-8,0,1)
        CALL SUBP(IRPT)
        CALL RSTR('DKO:REPEAT.DPY')
        CALL ESUB
        CALL OFF(IRPT)
        CALL APNT(550.,YBOT,1,-8,0,1)
        CALL SUBP(IPRO)
```



CALL RSTR('DK0:PROCED.DPY') CALL ESUB CALL OFF(IPRO) CALL APNT(0.,YBOT-26.,-1,-8,0,1) CALL SUBF(ISTART) CALL RSTR('DKO:STARTR.DFY') CALL ESUB CALL OFF(ISTART) CALL APNT(350,,YBOT,1,-8,0,1) CALL SUBF(IJUMP) CALL RSTR('DK0:JUMPER.DFY') CALL ESUB CALL OFF(IJUMP) CALL APNT(0.,YBOT,-1,-8,0,1) CALL SUBP(ISIG) CALL RSTR('DKO:SIGNAL.DFY') CALL ESUB CALL OFF(ISIG) 1000 NW = NW + 1LASTK = 0 RMAX = 0.0 ND = NW / 100NAME(8) = ND + *60 LNAME(1) = NAME(8)ND = MOD(NW, 100)NAME(9) = ND / 10 + *60 LNAME(2) = NAME(9) NII = MOD(ND, 10)NAME(10) = ND + *60 . [.] LNAME(3) = NAME(10)NAME(15) = 0· · LNAME(4) = 45LNAME(5) = 0CALL ERAS(5000) CALL APNT(860.,-440.,-5,-8,0,1) CALL SUBP(5000) CALL TEXT(LNAME) CALL AFNT(914.,-440.,-5,-8) CALL TEXT(KNTP) CALL ESUB CALL SUBP(KSIG) CALL RSTR(NAME) CALL ESUB CALL ON(LION) TX = -1.0450 X = 0.0LASTK = 0к = 0 550 KLION = 1000CALL ON(ISTART) COMMENCE THE FIRST LIGHT FEN HIT TESTING ON THE FRAME.

CALL ERAS(5050) 90 CALL LPEN(MO,NO,XO,YO) IF(M.NE.1 .OR. N.NE.LION .OR. X.LT.TX) GO TO 90



```
IF(ABS(XEND-X), LE.1.0) GD TD 300
          TYPE 7, BEL
          CALL ON(ISIG)
          CALL OFF(ISTART)
          TX = X
          TY = Y
100
          CALL LFEN(MO,NO,XO,YO)
          IF(M.NE.1 .OR. N.NE.KSIG .OR. X-TX.LT.0.0) GD TO 100
          CALL ON(ISTART)
          K = K + 1
101
          EX = TX
          EY = TY
          KX = INT(X) + 1
          IX = KX
          IBX = IABS(IBUF(KX))
          KX = KX + 1
105
          IF(IABS(IBUF(KX)).LT.IBX) GO TO 106
          IBX = IABS(IBUF(KX))
          GO TO 105
          KX = KX - 1
106
          CALL AFNT(TX, TY, -2, -3, 0, 1)
          CALL SUBP(K)
          CALL LVECT (X-TX,Y-TY)
          CALL ESUB
          NTIME(K) = KX
          IF(K.LT.2) GO TO 1008
          FREQ = SR / FLOAT(NTIME(K)-NTIME(K-1)+1)
          CALL ERAS(5050)
          CALL AFNT(860.,410.,-1,-8,0,1)
          CALL NMBR(5050, FREQ, 'F6,1')
          CALL ON(ICOR)
1008
          TX = FLOAT(KX)
          TY = Y
          CALL LPEN(MO,NO,XO,YO)
110
          IF(M.NE.1) GO TO 110
          IF(N.EQ.KSIG .AND. X-TX.GT.20.) GO TO 101
IF (N.EQ.LION .AND. X-TX.GE.0.0) GO TO 101
IF (N.EQ.LION .AND. X-TX.GE.0.0) GO TO 160
IF (N.NE.ICOR) GO TO 110
COME HERE IF N = ICOR TO CORRECT PREVIOUSLY DROWN VECTOR.
145 CALL ERAS(K)
          CALL CMPRS
          \kappa = \kappa - 1
          TX = EX
          TY = EY
          CALL OFF(ICOR)
          CALL ON(ISIG)
GO TO 100
COME HERE IF N = LION TO CHECK OPTIONS MADE.
         DX = X - TXDY = Y - TY
160
          KLION = KLION + 1
          CALL APNT(TX, TY, 0, -3, 0, 1)
          CALL SUBP(KLION)
          CALL LVECT(DX,DY)
         CALL ESUB
TYPE 7, BEL
CALL ON(IJUMP)
          CALL ON(IPRO)
          CALL OFF(ICOR)
          CALL ON(IRPT)
```



CALL OFF(ISIG) CALL OFF(ISTART) TX = XTY = Y180 CALL LPEN(MO,NO,XO,YO) IF(M.NE.1) GO TO 180 IF (N.NE, IJUMP .AND, N.NE, IFRO .AND, N.NE, IRPT) GO TO 180 CALL OFF(IJUMP) CALL OFF(IPRO) CALL OFF(IRPT) TYPE 7, BEL IF(N.EQ.IRPT) GO TO 250 IF(K.GE.2) GO TO 200 CALL ERAS(K) CALL ERAS(KLION) CALL CMPRS K = K - 1KLION = KLION - 1 IF(N.EQ.IPRO) GO TO 300 CALL OFF(IJUMP) CALL OFF(IPRO) CALL OFF(ISIG) CALL ON(ISTART) EX = TXEY = TYGO TO 90 200 KT = KT + 1IF(KT.GT.1500) GO TO 600 NAMF(KT) = IABS(IBUF(NTIME(K+1)))NTAO(KT) = 0TIMNEW = FLOAT(NTIME(1)) TAONEW = TIMOLD + TIMNEW CD IF(DEBUG) TYPE 2206, TIMOLD, TAOOLD CD2206 FORMAT(' TIMOLD =',F8.0,' TAOOLD =',F8.0) CD IF(DEBUG) TYPE 2205, TIMNEW, TAONEW FORMAT(' TIMNEW =',F8.0,' CD2205 TAONEW =',F8.0) IF(TAONEW.LE.O.) GO TO 205 IF( (ABS(TAONEW-TAOOLD)/TAONEW).GT. 0.25) GD TO 205 NTAO(KT) = INT(TAONEW)CONTINUE 205 D IF(DEBUG) TYPE 217, NAMP(KT), NTAO(KT), KT DO 210 J = 2, KKT = KT + 1NAMP(KT) = IABS(IBUF(NTIME(J))) NTAD(KT) = NTIME(J) - NTIME(J-1)IF(DEBUG) TYPE 217, NAMP(KT), NTAD(KT), KT D CONTINUE 210 D217 FORMAT(' NAMF=', 16, ' NTAO=', 16, ' KT=', 14) LASTK = LASTK + K 250 IF(K.LE.0) GD TO 305 DO 310 I = 1, KCALL ERAS(I) 310 CONTINUE IF(KLION.LE.1000) GD TD 330 305 DO 320 I = 1001, KLION CALL ERAS(I) CONTINUE 320 330 CALL CMPRS IF(N.EQ.IRPT) GO TO 400 IF(N.EQ.IFRO) GO TO 300



IF(TX.GE. XEND-10.) GD TD 300 COME HERE IF N = IJUMP. CALL OFF(IJUMP) CALL OFF(IPRO) CALL OFF(ISIG) CALL ON(ISTART) GO TO 550 CALL OFF(IJUMP) 400 CALL OFF(IRPT) CALL OFF(IPRO) CALL OFF(ICOR) CALL OFF(ISIG) KT = KT - LASTK GO TO 450 300 IF(NW .GE. MAXPIC) GD TO 600 CALL OFF(IJUMP) CALL OFF(IRPT) CALL OFF(IPRO) CALL OFF(ICOR) CALL OFF(ISIG) CALL OFF(ISTART) CALL OFF(LION) CALL ERAS(KSIG) CALL CMPRS TIMOLD = 0. TADOLD = 0.IF(N.NE.IPRO) GO TO 1234 TIMOLD = XEND - FLOAT(NTIME(K)) TADOLD = FLOAT(NTAO(KT)) CONTINUE 1234 KOUNT = KOUNT + 1TYPE 7, BEL IF(KOUNT.LE.5) GO TO 1000 KOUNT = 0CALL INIT(NBUF,KNIT) GO TO 1111 600 CALL INIT(NBUF, KNIT) CALL FREE TYPE 605, NAMOUT FORMAT(' WRITING FFC RECORD FOR: ',10A1,/) 605 CALL ASSIGN(13,NAMOUT,10, 'NEW') WRITE(13,610) (NAMOUT(M),M=1,10),KT,NSR FORMAT(10A1, 15, 16, (317) //) 610 10 620 I = 1, KT WRITE(13,630) NAMP(I),NTAO(I),I FORMAT(317) 630 CONTINUE 620 CALL CLOSE(13) TYPE 820 CALL CHAIN(FPROG,KT,10) FORMAT('+',A1) FORMAT('+',A1) 7 800 FORMAT(A1) 805 FORMAT(' OK (Y/N) ?',\$) FORMAT(' CHAINING TO FFFICK.'/) 810 820 FORMAT(10A1) 606 END

*



```
C FILE FFPICK.FOR
C
   FFFICK COMPUTES SEVERAL MEASURES BASED UPON FUNDAMENTAL
С
С
   FREQUENCY CONTOURS.
С
   INPUT: FFC DATA FILE CONTAINING PERIODS (STORED IN THE NUMPER
С
          OF SAMPLED FOINTS) AND ABSOLUTE AMPLITUDES.
   OUTPUT: HAN FILE CONTAINING ITS FILE NAME, FORMAT INFORMATION
С
С
          OF 9 FEATURES (MEASUREMENTS).
C
   WRITTEN BY H. NAKASONE
С
С
   DATE
              23-JUN-83
С
С
   DEFARTMENT OF AUDIOLOGY AND SPEECH SCIENCES
  MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
C
С
  EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN
COMMON /FFC/ KT, NAMOUT
       INTEGER NTAD(1500), NAMP(1500)
REAL RTAD(1500), RAMP(1500)
       LOGICAL*1 NAMOUT(10), BEL, FILNAM(10), FMT(60)
       EQUIVALENCE (NAMOUT,FILNAM)
       DATA BEL/ 007/
CHECK IF CHAINED FROM HPICK. DATA SENT ARE STORED IN KT AND NAMOUT.
       CALL RCHAIN(IF,KT,10)
       IF(IF.EQ.-1) GO TO 30
       TYPE 10
       FORMAT(' INPUT FFC FILE NAME (10A1) ?',$)
10
       READ(5,20) (FILNAM(M),M=1,10)
       FORMAT(10A1)
20
       CALL ASSIGN(13,FILNAM,10,'OLD')
30
       READ(13,50) FILNAM, NT, NSR, FMT
40
       FORMAT(10A1, 15, 16, 60A1)
50
       TYPE 51
                                                  Wait.'/)
       FORMAT(1X, 'Computation on FFC in progress.
51
       DO 60 I=1,NT
          READ(13,FMT) NAMP(I),NTAD(I),J
          RAMP(I) = FLOAT(NAMP(I))
          RTAD(I) = FLOAT(NTAO(I))
     , CONTINUE
60
       CALL CLOSE(13)
       SR = FLOAT(NSR)
       IF(IF.EQ.-1) TYPE 65,KT,NT
       FORMAT(' TOTAL PERIODS SENT =', 15, ' AND READ =', 15)
65
       K = 0
       TADMAX = 0.
       TAOMIN = 500.
       DO 100 I = 1, NT
```



```
IF(RTAD(I).LE.20. .OR. RTAD(I).GE.250.) GO TO 100
            K = K + 1
            RTAO(K) = RTAO(I)
            RAMP(K) = RAMP(I)
            IF(RTAD(K),LT,TAOMIN) TAOMIN = RTAO(K)
            IF(RTAD(K),GT,TAOMAX) TAOMAX = RTAO(K)
100
       CONTINUE
       RK = FLOAT(K)
D
        TYPE 110,NT,K
       FORMAT(' ORIGINAL TAD''S =', I4, ' NOZERO TAD''S =', I4)
D110
COMPUTE AVERAGE TAO, AVERAGE AMP, ETC.
       AVETAD = 0.
       AVEAMP = 0.
        SSQFF = 0.
       SSQAMP = 0.
       DO 200 I = 1, K
         AVETAO = AVETAO + RTAO(I)
         AVEAMP = AVEAMP + RAMP(I)
         SSQFF = SSQFF + (SR/RTAD(I))*(SR/RTAD(I))
         SSQAMP = SSQAMP + RAMP(I)*RAMP(I)
       CONTINUE
200
       AVETAD = AVETAD / RK
       AVEAMP = AVEAMP / RK
       SDFF = SQRT(SSQFF/RK - (SR/AVETAD)*(SR/AVETAD))
       SDAMP = SQRT(SSQAMP/RK - AVEAMP*AVEAMP)
       DBSDAM = 0.
       IF(SDAMP.GT.0.0) DBSDAM = 20.*ALOG10(SDAMP)
       DBAVAM = 0.
       IF(AVEAMP.GT.0.0) DBAVAM = 20.*ALOG10(AVEAMP)
       DLFF = 0.
       DAMP = 0.
       DO 300 I = 2, K
          F1 = SR / RTAD(I)
          F2 = SR / RTAO(I-1)
          DLFF = DLFF + ABS(F1 -F2)
          DAMP = DAMP + ABS(RAMP(I) - RAMP(I-1))
       CONTINUE
300
       DLFF = DLFF / (RK-1.)
       DAMP = DAMP/(RK-1.)
       IF(DAMP.GT.0.) DAMPDB = 20.*ALOG10(DAMP)
       AVFF = 0.
       IF (AVETAD.GT.0.) AVFF = SR / AVETAD
       FFMX = SR / TAOMIN
       FFMN = SR / TADMAX
       FFRG = FFMX - FFMN
COMPUTE %
       PERJIT = (DLFF/AVFF)*100.
       PERSIM = (DAMP/AVEAMP)*100.
       TYPE 7222
       FORMAT(1X,/)
7222
       WRITE(7,7200) (FILNAM(M),M=1,10),K
       FORMAT(//,1X,'-----
7200
               ------//
       & File: ',10A1,/,' Number of Periods detected
       2--
       8 = ',14,/,'-----
       8------'//)
```

.



WRITE(7,7300) AVFF,SDFF,DLFF,PERJIT 7300 FORMAT(' Summary of fundamental frequency (F.F.):'/// =',F8.2,' (Hz)'/, =',F8.2,' (Hz)'/, =',F8.2,' (Hz)'/, 1' Mean F.F. &' Standard deviation of F.F. &' Average variation of F.F.(DF) &' Ratio of DF to mean F.F. =',F8.2,' (%)'///) WRITE(7,7400) AVEAMP, DBAVAM, SDAMP, DBSDAM, DAMP, DAMPDB, PERSIM FORMAT(' Summary of amplitude of F.F. :'/// 7400 =',F9.2,' (',F5.1,' dB)'/, =',F9.2,' (',F5.1,' dB)'/, &' Mean amplitude 1' Standard deviation of ampl. \$' Average variation of ampl.(DA) =';F9.2; (';F5.1; dB)'/; =',F9.2,' (%)' 1' Ratio of DA to mean ampl. 8/, /-----8-----(,/) WRITE(7,7450) FFMX,FFMN,FFRG FORMAT(1X, 'Maximum F.F.=', F8.2, ' Minimum F.F.=', F8.2, 7450 Ranse F.F.=',F7.2) 21 CONVERT EXTENSION .FFC TO .HAN. CHARACTER H = 78; A = 65; N = 72 BY ASCII. FILNAM(10) = 78FILNAM(9) = 65FILNAM(B) = 72CALL ASSIGN(13,FILNAM,10, 'NEW') WRITE(13,400) (FILNAM(M), M=1,10) FORMAT(10A1, (8F6.2,F10.2)'/) 400 WRITE(13,410)AVFF,SDFF,DLFF,PERJIT,PERSIM,FFMX,FFMN,FFRG,DAMP FORMAT(8F6.2, F10.2) 410 CALL CLOSE(13) TYPE 425, FILNAM FORMAT(/////,1X, 'Computed HAN file name: ',10A1//) 425 CALL EXIT END

.

*



```
C FILE: FFFRAT.FOR
С
C FFFRAT IS DESIGNED TO COMPUTE F-RATIO'S OF 9 FFC FEATURES
С
C INPUT: DATA FILE WITH AN EXT. NAME 'HAN'.
C OUTPUT: DATA FILE WITH AN EXT. NAME 'TIM'.
C
C 11-JULY-83
C H.NAKASONE
COMMON /SENSE/ X, F
        COMMON /PASS/ FMT
        DOUBLE FRECISION VN(9)
        REAL X(5,10,20), F(20)
        LOGICAL*1 FNAMES(14,50),MNAME(14),LNAME(14),FMT(60)
        LOGICAL*1 OUTEXT(3),OUTDEV(3),EXT(3),DEV(3)
        IATA OUTEXT(1)/'T'/,OUTEXT(2)/'I'/,OUTEXT(3)/'M'/
        DATA OUTDEV(1)/'D'/,OUTDEV(2)/'K'/,OUTDEV(3)/'1'/
                                                        DLFF'/
                      AVFF1/,VN(2)/1
                                       SDFF'/, VN(3)/'
        DATA VN(1)/'
        DATA VN(4)/' PERJIT'/, VN(5)/'
                                     PERSIM'/, VN(6)/'
                                                       FFMAX'/
                                                        DAMP 1/
                                      FFRNG'/,VN(9)/'
                     FFMIN'/,VN(B)/'
        DATA VN(7)/1
       NP = 5
        NG = 10
        NII = 9
        TYPE 10
       FORMAT(' ENTER MASTER FILE NAME (14A1) >',$)
10
       READ(5,20) (MNAME(M),M= 1,14)
20
       FORMAT(14A1)
       TYPE 30
       FORMAT(' ENTER EXT NAME OF INPUT DATA FILE >',$)
30
       READ(5,35) (EXT(M),M=1,3)
       FORMAT(3A1)
35
       TYPE 40
       FORMAT(' ENTER DEV NAME OF INFUT DATA FILE >',$)
40
       READ(5,35) (DEV(M),M=1,3)
       CALL RDFILE(MNAME, FNAMES, EXT, DEV)
C TRANSFER DATA TO ARRAY X.
       TYPE 90
D
       FORMAT(1X, 'AVFF', T8, 'SDFF', T16, 'DLFF', T24, 'PERJIT',
D90
       &T32, 'PERSIM', T40, 'FFMAX', T48, 'FFMIN', T56, 'FFRNG',
D
       &T64, 'DAMF'//)
D
       K = 0
       IO 100 I = 1, NG
         DO 200 J = 1, NF
            K = K + 1
            CALL ASSIGN(12, FNAMES(1,K), 14, 'OLD')
            READ(12,210) (LNAME(M),M=1,10),FMT
```


```
FORMAT(10A1,60A1,/)
210
             READ(12,FMT) (X(J,I,KD),KD=1,ND)
             WRITE(7,220) (X(J,I,KD),KD=1,ND)
\mathbf{D}
D220
             FORMAT(1X,8F7,2,F10,2)
             CALL CLOSE(12)
          CONTINUE
200
          TYPE 9
Q
          FORMAT(1X,/)
100
        CONTINUE
        TYPE 90
T
        CALL SUBF(NF,NG,ND)
        PAUSE ' ADJUST TO THE TOP OF NEW PAGE.'
        WRITE(7,300) (MNAME(M), M=1,14)
        FORMAT(10X, 'SUMMARY OF F-RATIO''S:
                                                        ′,14A1,//,
300
        $10X, 'FEATURE', 15X, 'F-RATIO', /, 10X, '-----', 15X,
        8'----',/)
        10 \ 310 \ I = 1, ND
           WRITE(7,320) I, VN(I), F(I)
           FORMAT(11X, 'X(', I1, ')', 3X, A8, F12, 3, /)
320
        CONTINUE
310
        TYPE 330
        FORMAT(10X, '-----')
330
        TYPE 9
        TYPE 9
  NORMALIZE
С
        CALL ZNORM(X,NF,NG,ND)
   CHANGE EXTENSION NAME.
С
        10 350 I = 1, 50
          IO 360 J = 1, 3
             FNAMES(J,I) = OUTDEV(J)
             FNAMES(J+11,I) = OUTEXT(J)
          CONTINUE
360
        CONTINUE
350
C WRITE THE NORMALIZED DATA FILE WITH NEW EXT NAME.
        J = 0
        \kappa = 1
        10 400 I = 1, 50
           CALL ASSIGN(12, FNAMES(1, I), 14, 'NEW')
           WRITE(12,20) (FNAMES(M,I),M=1,14)
           J = J + 1
           IF(J.LE.NF) GO TO 410
           J = 1
           K = K + 1
           CONTINUE
410
           DO 420 KD = 1, ND
              WRITE(12,425) X(J,K,KI)
              FORMAT(F14.6)
425
           CONTINUE
420
           CALL CLOSE(12)
        CONTINUE
400
        CALL EXIT
        ENT
```



```
C PROGRAM NAKRO3.FOR
C
C NAKRO3 IS DESIGNED TO EXECUTE VOICE IDENTIFICATION OPERATION FOR
C DESIGN 3 (CROSS-TRANSMISSION BY COMPOSITE PARAMETER OF FFC AND IDS
С
 (OR LTS).
С
C NAKRO3 REQUIRES 4 MASTER FILES, EACH CONTAINING 50 FILE NAMES OF
C PATTERNS.
C
 SUBPROGRAMS CALLED: SBWEIT, RDFILE, ZNORM, AND SUBSET.
С
С
С
 13-JULY-83
C H. NAKASONE
C
C DEPARTMENT OF AUDIOLOGY AND SPEECH SCIENCES
C MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
C EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN
С
```

```
REAL TXU(5,10,20), TXK(5,10,20)
        REAL XU(5,10,20), XK(5,10,20), FWEIT(10), TWEIT(9)
        LOGICAL*1 MFILUF(14), MFILUT(14), MFILKF(14), MFILKT(14)
        LOGICAL*1 UFILEF(14,50), UFILET(14,50), KFILEF(14,50)
        LOGICAL*1 KFILET(14,50), FINEXT(3), TINEXT(3), INDEV(3)
        LOGICAL*1 LFILE(14), DEBUG, YN, BEL
        DATA TINEXT(1)//T//,TINEXT(2)//I//,TINEXT(3)//M//
        DATA INDEV(1)/'D'/, INDEV(2)/'K'/, INDEV(3)/'1'/
        DATA BEL/ 007/, DEBUG/.FALSE./
        FORMAT('+',A1)
7
8
        FORMAT(A1)
        FORMAT(1X,/)
0
14
        FORMAT(3A1)
        NDF = 10
        NDT = 9
        ND = NDF + NDT
        NP = 5
        NG = 10
        DEBUG = .FALSE.
        TYPE 4500
        FORMAT( / DEBUG ?',$)
4500
        ACCEPT 4510, YN
        IF(YN.EQ.89) DEBUG = .TRUE.
        FORMAT(A1).
4510
        TYPE 1
        FORMAT(' PROGRAM NAKRO3 - Experiment III (Cross-Transmission)'/
        &' A pattern contains features from Frequency and Time Domain.'/
1
1
        TYPE 10
        FORMAT(' UNKNOWN MASTER FILE FROM FREQ.(14A1) >/,$)
10
        READ(5,20) MFILUF
        FORMAT(14A1)
20
        TYPE 30
        FORMAT(' KNOWN MASTER FILE FROM FREQ.(14A1)
                                                         >1.5)
30
        READ(5,20) MFILKF
```



TYPE 40 FORMAT(' EXT NAME OF FREQ, FILE (FRI OR FRL) >',\$) 40 READ(5,50) FINEXT FORMAT(3A1) 50 CALL RDFILE(MFILUF, UFILEF, FINEXT, INDEV) CALL RDFILE(MFILKF,KFILEF,FINEXT,INDEV) TYPE 9 TYPE 60 FORMAT(' UNKNOWN MASTER FILE FROM TIME(14A1) >',\$) 60 READ(5,20) MFILUT TYPE 70 FORMAT(' KNOWN MASTER FILE FROM TIME(14A1) >1,\$) 70 READ(5,20) MFILKT CALL RDFILE(MFILUT, UFILET, TINEXT, INDEV) CALL RDFILE(MFILKT, KFILET, TINEXT, INDEV) TYPE 9 TYPE 80 FORMAT(' PROVIDE INFO. FOR FREQUENCY FEATURES. (/) 80 CALL SBWEIT(FWEIT, NDF) TYPE 9 TYPE 90 FORMAT(' PROVIDE INFO. FOR TIME FEATURES.'/) 90 CALL SBWEIT(TWEIT, NDT) C TRANSFER DATA FROM UFILE AND KFJILE TO XU AND XK, RESPECTIVELY. KT = 0DO 100 J = 1; NG DO 110 I = 1, NP KT = KT + 1CALL ASSIGN(12,UFILEF(1,KT),14,'OLD') READ(12,20) LFILE DO 120 K = 1, NDF READ(12,150) TXU(I,J,K) FORMAT(F14.6) 150  $XU(I_{J},K) = TXU(I_{J},K) * FWEIT(K)$ CONTINUE 120 CALL CLOSE(12) CALL ASSIGN(13,KFILEF(1,KT),14, 'OLD') READ(13,20) LFILE DO 130 K = 1, NDF READ(13,150) TXK(I,J,K) XK(I,J,K) = TXK(I,J,K) * FWEIT(K) CONTINUE 130 CALL CLOSE(13) CONTINUE 110 CONTINUE 100 CALL ZNORM (XU, NP, NG, NDF) CALL ZNORM (XK, NP, NG, NDF) CALL ZNORM (TXU, NP, NG, NDF) CALL ZNORM (TXK, NP, NG, NDF) KT = 0DO 5100 J = 1, NG DO 5110 I = 1; NF KT = KT + 1CALL ASSIGN(12,UFILET(1,KT),14, OLD()



READ(12,20) LFILE KNTW = 0DO 5120 K = 1+NDF, NDT+NDF READ(12,150) TXU(I,J,K) KNTW = KNTW + 1 $XU(I_{J}J_{K}) = TXU(I_{J}J_{K}) * TWEIT(KNTW)$ 5120 CONTINUE CALL CLOSE(12) CALL ASSIGN(13,KFILET(1,KT),14, OLD() READ(13,20) LFILE KNTW = 0DO 5130 K = 1+NDF, NDT+NDF READ(13,150) TXK(I,J,K) KNTW = KNTW + 1XK(I,J,K) = TXK(I,J,K) * TWEIT(KNTW) CONTINUE 5130 CALL CLOSE(13) CONTINUE 5110 5100 CONTINUE 202 KNTU = 1KNTUP= 1 KCORR= 0 IF(DEBUG) TYPE 205, KNTUP,KNTU 2000 FORMAT(1X,//, ' EUCLIDIAN DISTANCES BETWEEN PATTERN ', II, ' 205 & OF UNKNOWN SPEAKER ', 12, /, ' AND PATTERN I OF & KNOWN SPEAKER J. (//) XMIN = 1.E30ITEMP = 1JTEMP = 1COMPUTE EUCLIDIAN DISTANCE . DO 210 J = 1, NG DO 220 I = 1, NP EUCL = 0.0DO 230 K = 1, NDF+NDT EUCL = EUCL + (XU(KNTUF,KNTU,K) - XK(I,J,K))**2. CONTINUE 230 EUCL = EUCL**0.5 IF(EUCL.GT.XMIN) GO TO 250 ITEMP = IJTEMP = JXMIN = EUCL IF(DEBUG) WRITE(7,260) EUCL,I,J 250 FORMAT('+',F10.5,'[',I1,',',I2,']',\$) 260 CONTINUE 220 IF(DEBUG) TYPE 9 210 CONTINUE IF(KNTU.EQ.JTEMP) KCORR = KCORR + 1 WRITE(7,280) KNTUP, KNTU, ITEMP, JTEMP, XMIN FORMAT(' PATTERN ', 11,' OF UNKNOWN SPEAKER ', 12, &' IS IDENTIFIED WITH'/' PATTERN ', I1,' OF 280 KNOWN SPEAKER ', I2, /, ' DISTANCE = ', F10.5, //) KNTUP = KNTUP + 1IF(KNTUP.LE.NP) GD TD 2000 KNTUP = 1KNTU = KNTU + 1



IF(KNTU.LE.NG) GD TD 2000 KINCOR = 50 - KCORR PCOR = (FLOAT(KCORR)/50.) * 100.TYPE 3000, KCORR,KINCOR,PCOR FORMAT(1X,//, Summary by Nearest Neighbor Rule: /// 3000 & Correct =',I3,', Incorrect =',I3,', Rate(%) =',F6.2;////) TYPE 9 TYPE 9 COMPUTE THE MINIMUM SET DISTANCE CALL SUBSET(XU,XK,NF,NG,ND) TYPE 9 TYPE 9 IF(.NOT.DEBUG) GO TO 9999 TYPE 6000 n FORMAT(' WANT DIFFERENT FEATURES COMBINATION ?',\$) D6000 ACCEPT 6008, YN n FORMAT(A1) 6008 IF (YN.NE.89) GO TO 9999 n **TYPE 6050** D FORMAT(' FOR FREQUENCY DOMAIN:'/) D6050 CALL SBWEIT(FWEIT,NDF) D TYPE 6060 D FORMAT(' FOR TIME DOMAIN:'/) D6060 CALL SBWEIT(TWEIT, NDT) n CALL EXIT DO 6100 J = 1, NG DO 6200 I = 1, NF DO 6300 K = 1, NDF XU(I,J,K) = TXU(I,J,K) * FWEIT(K)XK(I,J,K) = TXK(I,J,K) * FWEIT(K)6300 CONTINUE KNTW = 0 DO 6400 K = 1+NDF, NDT+NDF KNTW = KNTW + 1XU(I,J,K) = TXU(I,J,K) * TWEIT(KNTW)XK(I,J,K) = TXK(I,J,K) * TWEIT(KNTW) CONTINUE 6400 CONTINUE 6200 CONTINUE 6100 GO TO 202 CALL EXIT 9999 END *

.



```
C PROGRAM NAKROA.FOR
C NAKROA IS DESIGNED TO EXECUTE WITHIN-TRANSMISSION VOICE
C IDENTIFICATION OPERATION.
C 12-JULY-83
C H. NAKASONE
REAL XU(5,10,10), DMAX(5,10), WEIGHT(10)
        LOGICAL*1 MFILU(14)
        LOGICAL*1 UFILE(14,50), INEXT(3), INDEV(3)
LOGICAL*1 OUTEXT(3), OUTDEV(3), YN, DEFUG
        DATA INDEV(1)/'D'/, INDEV(2)/'K'/, INDEV(3)/'1'/
        DATA DEBUG/.FALSE./
        FORMAT(A1)
8
        FORMAT(1X,/)
9
        TYPE 10
        FORMAT(' PROGRAM NAKROA: VOICE I.D. WITHIN TRANSMISSION. ///)
10
        TYPE 15
                                                     >1.$)
        FORMAT(' ENTER MASTER FILE NAME (14A1)
15
        READ(5,20) MFILU
        FORMAT(14A1)
20
        TYPE 25
        FORMAT(' ENTER EXT NAME (FRI, FRL, OR TIM)
                                                     >1,$)
25
                FRI = IDS
С
                FRL = LTS
С
                TIM = FFC
С
        READ(5,22) INEXT
        FORMAT(3A1)
22
        TYPE 26
                                                     >1,$)
        FORMAT( ' ENTER NUMBER OF DIMENSIONS
26
        READ(5,27) NI
        FORMAT(13)
27
        CALL RDFILE(MFILU, UFILE, INEXT, INDEV)
        TYPE 29
        FORMAT( / WANT PRINT OUT OF DISTANCE MATRIX
                                                     >',$)
29
        READ(5,8) YN
        IF(YN.EQ.89) DEBUG = .TRUE.
        CALL SBWEIT(WEIGHT,ND)
        NF = 5
        NG = 10
C FLACE DATA FROM UFILE TO AN ARRAY XU.
        KT = 0
        DO 100 J = 1, NG
          DO 110 I = 1, NP
             KT = KT + 1
             CALL ASSIGN(12,UFILE(1,KT),14, 'OLD')
             READ(12,20) LFILE
             DO 120 K = 1, ND
                READ(12,150) XU(I,J,K)
```

```
208
```



150 FORMAT(F14.6) XU(I,J,K) = XU(I,J,K) * WEIGHT(K) 120 CONTINUE CALL CLOSE(12) 110 CONTINUE CONTINUE 100 С 84 = CHARACTER T, FIRST OF TIM EXTENSION. IF(INEXT(1).NE.84) CALL ZNORM(XU,NP,NG,ND) KCOR1 = 0 KCOR2 = 0KNTU = 0KNTU = KNTU + 1 1000 DO 1400 J = 1, NG DO 1410 I = 1, NP DMAX(I,J) = 0. 1410 CONTINUE 1400 CONTINUE KNTUP = 0 KNTUP = KNTUP + 1 2000 IF(DEBUG) TYPE 205, KNTUP, KNTU FORMAT(1X,//, ' EUCLIDIAN DISTANCES BETWEEN PATTERN ', I1, ' 205 & OF UNKNOWN SPEAKER ', 12, /, ' AND PATTERN I OF KNOWN & SPEAKER J. (//) XMIN = 1.E30 ITEMP = 1JTEMP = 1 DO 210 J = 1, NG RMAX = -1.E30DO 220 I = 1, NP EUCL = 0.0DO 230 K = 1, ND EUCL = EUCL + (XU(KNTUP,KNTU,K)-XU(I,J,K))**2 CONTINUE 230 EUCL = EUCL**0.5 IF (EUCL.GT.RMAX) RMAX = EUCL IF(EUCL.GT.XMIN) GO TO 250 ITEMP = I JTEMP = J XMIN = EUCL IF(DEBUG) WRITE(7,260) EUCL,I,J 250 GO TO 220 IF (DEBUG) TYPE 265 255 265 FORMAT('+',16X,\$) FORMAT('+',F10.5,'[',I1,',',I2,']',\$) 260 CONTINUE 220 IF (DEBUG) TYPE 9 DMAX(KNTUP, J) = RMAX

210 CONTINUE IF(JTEMP.EQ.KNTU) KCOR1 = KCOR1 + 1 TYPE 9

.



280	WRITE(7,280) KNTUF, KNTU,ITEMF,JTEMF,XMIN FORMAT(' THE PATTERN *',I1,' OF UNKNOWN SPEAKER ',I2, &' IS IDENTIFIED WITH'/' THE PATTERN *',I1,' OF KNOWN & SPEAKER ',I2,/,' DISTANCE =',F10.5,//)
	IF(KNTUP.LT.NP) GO TO 2000
	CALL SETDIS(DMAX,KNTU,JTMP,SETMIN,NG) IF(JTMP.EQ.KNTU) KCOR2 = KCOR2 + 1
	IF(KNTU.LT.NG) GD TD 1000
	INCOR1 = NG * NP - KCOR1 CRATE1 = KCOR1 * 2. INCOR2 = NG - KCOR2 CRATE2 = KCOR2 * 10.
	TYPE 9 TYPE 9 TYPE 9
500	WRITE(7,500) (MFILU(M),M=5,14) FORMAT(' SUMMARY OF RESULTS [ MASTER FILE = ',10A1,' ]'//) WRITE(7,510) KCOR1,INCOR1,CRATE1
510	FORMAT(' BY THE NEAREST NEIGHBOR RULE:'/' CORRECT = ',13, &', INCORRECT = ',13,' RATE(%) = ',F6.2,/)
'520	WRITE(7,520) KCOR2, INCOR2, CRATE2 FORMAT(' BY THE MINIMUM SET DISTANCE RULE:'/' CORRECT = ',I3, &', INCORRECT = ',I3,' RATE(%) = ',F6,2,/) TYPE 9

CALL EXIT END

*

210

.



```
C PROGRAM RDCFET
C
C RDCFET CREATES A NEW DATA FILE OF OPTIMUM FEATURES OF
 IDS OR LTS PARAMETER.
С
С
C PART OF PRE-PROCESSING STAGE OF EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE
С
 (CHAPTER II).
C
C H. NAKASONE
C 12-JULY-83
C
C DEPARTMENT OF AUDIOLOGY AND SPEECH SCIENCES
C MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
С
COMMON /PASS/ FMT
       REAL X(50,100), Y(10)
       INTEGER KFET(10)
       LOGICAL#1 FNAMES(14,50), MFILE(14), INEXT(3), INDEV(3)
       LOGICAL*1 OUTEXT(3),OUTDEV(3),BEL,FMT(60)
       DATA OUTDEV(1)//D'/,OUTDEV(2)/'K'/,OUTDEV(3)/'1'/
       DATA BEL/ 007/
       FORMAT(A1)
8
       FORMAT('+',4X,'*',$)
9
       NUMF = 50
        TYPE 10
1000
       FORMAT(' MASTER FILE NAME (14A1) >',*)
10
       READ(5,20) MFILE
       FORMAT(14A1)
20
        TYPE 30
       FORMAT(' EXT NAME OF INPUT DATA FILE (3A1) >',$)
30
        READ(5,40) INEXT
        FORMAT(3A1)
40
        TYPE 45
       FORMAT(' EXT NAME OF OUTPUT DATA FILE (3A1) >',*)
45
        READ(5,40) OUTEXT
        TYPE 50
        FORMAT(' DEV NAME OF INPUT DATA FILE (3A1) >',$)
50
        READ(5,40) INDEV
        TYPE 6
        FORMAT(1X,/)
6
        CALL RDFILE(MFILE, FNAMES, INEXT, INDEV, NUMF)
        TYPE 9
        DO 100 I = 1, NUMF
           CALL ASSIGN(12, FNAMES(1, I), 14, 'OLD')
           READ(12,FMT) DUM, DUM, (X(I,J),J=1,100)
           CALL CLOSE(12)
        CONTINUE
100
```

211

*



TYPE 9 DO 110 I = 1, NUMF DO 120 J = 1, 3FNAMES(J,I) = OUTDEV(J) FNAMES(J+11,I) = OUTEXT(J) CONTINUE 120 CONTINUE 110 C X(50,100) HAS BEEN FILLED. TYPE 8, BEL, BEL, BEL TYPE 200 FORMAT( ' ENTER NUMBER OF FEATURES > ', \$) 200 READ(5,210) NFET FORMAT(13) 210 TYPE 220 FORMAT(' ENTER FEATURE NODES BELOW. ///) 220 DO 230 I = 1, NFET TYPE 240, I FORMAT(' NODE # OF THE FEATURE', I3, ' >', \$) 240 READ(5,210) KFET(I) CONTINUE 230 C NOW, KFET(I), I.E., INFORMATION ON OPTIMUM FEATURES, C HAS BEEN FURNISHED. DO 300 I = 1, NUMF DO 310 J = 1, NFET Y(J) = X(I,KFET(J))CONTINUE 310 CALL ASSIGN(12, FNAMES(1, I), 14, 'NEW') WRITE(12,20) (FNAMES(M,I),M=1,14) DO 320 J = 1, NFET WRITE(12,330) Y(J) FORMAT(F14.6) 330 CONTINUE 320 CALL CLOSE(12) CONTINUE 300 TYPE 9 TYPE 8, BEL WRITE(7,400) NUMF,OUTEXT,OUTDEV(3) FORMAT(1X, DONE, ', I3, 1X, 3A1,' FILES STORED IN DISK ', A1, //) 400 GO TO 1000 CALL EXIT END *



PROGRAM NAKROP С NAKROP IS TO PREPARE A PROXIMITY MATRIX AND A С CATEGORY NAME FILE AS INPUT DATA REQUIRED BY A С PROJECTION PROGRAM 'SAMMON'. С С SUBFROGRAM REQUIRED: NONE. С PROGRAMMS TO BE CHAINED: CHNROA, CHNRO2, & CHNRO3 C C WRITTEN BY: HIROTAKA NAKASONE С 28 JULY, 1983 С DATE: С DEPARTMENT OF AUDIOLOGY AND SPEECH SCIENCES С MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY С EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN С REAL*8 PROGA, PROG2, PROG3 INTEGER NCATEG(10), CATNAM(5,10) LOGICAL*1 NAMOUT(14), YN, IDATE(20), TITLE(80) DATA PROGA/12RDK1CHNROASAV/,PROG2/12RDK1CHNRO2SAV/ DATA PROG3/12RDK1CHNRO3SAV/ TYPE 5 FORMAT(' NAKROF : PRELIMINARY TO SAMMON''S PROJECTION, (//) 5 TYPE 20 FORMAT( ' ENTER DESCRIPTION OF THIS RUN. // >', \$) 20 READ(5,16) (TITLE(L),L=1,72) FORMAT(72A1) 16 TYPE 25 FORMAT( / NUMBER OF CATEGORIES ?'+\$) 25 READ(5,200) NCAT 200 FORMAT(12) NFILES = 0DO 30 I = 1, NCAT TYPE 31, I FORMAT(1X, 'CATEGORY # ', 12, ' >', \$) 31 READ(5,32) (CATNAM(J,I),J=1,5) FORMAT(5A2) 32 NCATEG(I) = 5NFILES = NFILES + 5 CONTINUE 30 TYPE 350 FORMAT(' OUTPUT CATEGORY FILE NAME(14A1) >',\$) 350 READ(5,370) (NAMOUT(N),N=1,14) FORMAT(14A1) 370 PREPARE CAT FILES IN TWO DIFFERENT NAMES. С YN = .FALSE. CALL ASSIGN(11, 'CNAMES.DAT', 10, 'NEW') WRITE(11,4000) NEAT 2200 FORMAT(12) 4000 DO 4010 I = 1, NCAT WRITE(11,4020) NCATEG(I), (CATNAM(M,I),M=1,5) FORMAT(12,5A2) 4020



4010 CONTINUE CALL CLOSE(11) IF(YN) GO TO 2300 YN = ,TRUE, CALL ASSIGN(11,NAMOUT,14,'NEW') GO TO 2200 TYPE 3100, NAMOUT 2300 3100 FORMAT(1X,/,' CNAMES.DAT = ',14A1,///) TYPE 3300 3310 FORMAT(' OFTION: CHOOSE 1, 2, OR 3.'//' 3300 & 1 FOR & 2 FOR & 3 FOR WITHIN-TRANSMISSION PROJECTION, /// CROSS-TRANSMISSION BY 1 PARAMETER. /// CROSS-TRANSMISSION BY 2 PARAMETERS. //// 8 ?',\$) READ(5,3400) YN 3400 FORMAT(A1) IF(YN.EQ.49) CALL CHAIN(PROGA,,0) IF(YN.EQ.50) CALL CHAIN(PROG2,,0) IF(YN.EQ.51) CALL CHAIN(PROG3,,0) IF (YN.NE.49 .AND. YN.NE.50 .AND. YN.NE.51) GO TO 3310 CALL EXIT END *

.



C FROGRAM CHNRO2: С CHNRO2 IS CHAINED FROM NAKROP, IT CAN BE ALSO RUN FROM THE KEY С C BOARD DIRECTLY. С C INPUT IS A MASTER DATA FILE NAME(S). С C OUTPUT IS A PROXIMITY MATRIX(UPPER HALF DIAGNAL). C C PURPOSE OF THIS PROGRAM IS TO PREPARE A SPECIFIC DATA FORMAT REQUIRED C BY A LINEAR PROJECTION PROGRAM, 'SAMMON'. С C SUBPROGRAMS: SBWEIT, RDFILE, ZNORM, EUCMAT, INDEX. С C H. NAKASONE C 28-JULY-83 С C DEPARTMENT OF AUDIOLOGY AND SPEECH SCIENCES C MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY REAL*8 PROGS REAL XU(5,10,10), XK(5,10,10),WEIGHT(10) LOGICAL*1 MFILU(14),MFILK(14),LFILE(14) LOGICAL*1 UFILE(14,50), KFILE(14,50), INEXT(3), INDEV(3) LOGICAL*1 OUTEXT(3),OUTDEV(3),DEBUG,YN,NAMPRX(14) DATA INDEV(1)/'D'/,INDEV(2)/'K'/,INDEV(3)/'1'/ DATA PROGS/12RDK1SAMMONSAV/ FORMAT(1X,/) 9 FORMAT(3A1) 14 TYPE 10 FORMAT(' PROGRAM CHNRO2: CROSS-TRANSM, BY 1 DOMAIN, (//) 10 TYPE 13 FORMAT(' EXT NAME OF INPUT FILE(FRI,FRL, OR TIM) >',\$) 13 READ(5,14) INEXT TYPE 15 FORMAT(' MASTER FILE NAME FOR UNKNOWN (14A1) >',\$) 15 READ(5,20) MFILU FORMAT(14A1) 20 TYPE 25 KNOWN (14A1) >',\$) FORMAT(' MASTER FILE NAME FOR 25 READ(5,20) MFILK TYPE 26 >1,\$) FORMAT( ' ENTER NUMBER OF DIMENSIONS 26 READ(5,27) ND FORMAT(13) 27 TYPE 28 FORMAT( OUTPUT NAME FOR PROXIMITY MATRIX(14A1) >/+\$) 28 READ(5,20) (NAMPRX(M),M=1,14) DEBUG = .FALSE. TYPE 4500 FORMAT(' DEBUG ?'+\$) 4500 ACCEPT 4510, YN IF(YN.EQ.89) DEBUG = .TRUE. FORMAT(A1) 4510 CALL SBWEIT(WEIGHT,ND)



CALL RDFILE(MFILU, UFILE, INEXT, INDEV) CALL RDFILE(MFILK, KFILE, INEXT, INDEV) C UFILE AND KFILE HAVE BEEN FILLED. NP = 5NG = 10C TRANSFER DATA FROM UFILE AND KFJILE TO XU AND XK, RESPECTIVELY. KT = 0DO 100 J = 1, NG DO 110 I = 1; NP KT = KT + 1CALL ASSIGN(12,UFILE(1,KT),14,'OLD') READ(12,20) LFILE DO 120 K = 1, ND READ(12,150) XU(I,J,K) FORMAT(F14.6) 150  $XU(I_{J}J_{K}) = XU(I_{J}J_{K}) * WEIGHT(K)$ CONTINUE 120 CALL CLOSE(12) CALL ASSIGN(13,KFILE(1,KT),14, OLD() READ(13,20) LFILE DO 130 K = 1, ND READ(13,150) XK(I,J,K)  $XK(I_{J}J_{J}K) = XK(I_{J}J_{J}K) * WEIGHT(K)$ CONTINUE 130 CALL CLOSE(13) CONTINUE 110 CONTINUE 100 IF(INEXT(1).EQ.84) GD TD 200 С --- STANDARDIZE DATA ---С CALL ZNORM(XU,NP,NG,ND) CALL ZNORM (XK, NF, NG, ND) IF(DEBUG) TYPE 4210 FORMAT( / NORMALIZATION DONE. //) 4210 CALL EUCMAT(XU,XK,NAMPRX,ND,NP,NG) 200 IF(DEBUG) TYPE 4215 FORMAT(' EUCHAT RETURNED, NOW CHAINING TO DRVSAV.'//) 4215 CALL CHAIN(PROGS,,0) CALL EXIT END *



```
С
C FILE: FILFIL.FOR
C FILFIL IS TO CREATE A MASTER FILE OF FILE NAMES.
C MAXIMUM NUMBER OF FILES CAN BE STORED IN A MASTER
C FILE IS CURRENTLY 100.
С
C HIROTAKA NAKASONE, 22-MAY-1983
 DEPARTMENT OF AUDIOLOGY AND SPEECH SCIENCES, MSU.
С
C
               FILFIL=FILFIL/U
C TO COMPILE:
               FILFIL=FILFIL,LCHECK,SYSLIB/F
C TO LINK
          :
COMMON /NAME/FNAMES
        COMMON /NUMF/NUMF
        DOUBLE PRECISION EXT
        LOGICAL*1 FNAMES(15,100),LETR(9),YN,LNAME(15),FMT(80)
        LOGICAL*1 NEXT(3)
        DATA LNAME(1)//D//,LNAME(2)//K//,LNAME(4)//://
        DATA LNAME (10) / ' . ' /
        LNAME(15) = 0
        FORMAT(1A1)
1
        FORMAT(13)
2
        FORMAT(6A1)
3
        FORMAT(14A1)
4
        FORMAT(12A1)
5
        FORMAT(15A1)
6
        FORMAT(3A1)
7
        FORMAT(1X, ' WAIT. ')
8
        FORMAT(1X,/)
 9
   TO HERE TO ENTER ALL FILES.
 С
        TYPE 30
        FORMAT(' ENTER NUMBER OF FILES (13) >',$)
 30
        READ(5,40) NUMF
        FORMAT(13)
 40
        TYPE 50
        FORMAT(' SPECIFY EXT NAME (3A1) >',$)
 50
         READ(5,7) (NEXT(N),N=1,3)
        CALL IRADSO(3,NEXT(1),EXT)
        FORMAT(' ENTER ALL FILES BELOW (6A1). (,//)
 60
         DO 70 I = 1,NUMF
            TYPE 80,I
            FORMAT(' FILE #',13,' >',$)
 75
            IF(LCHECK(EXT,ICHAN,I).GT.0) GO TO 79
 80
               TYPE 90
              FORMAT(1X, '*FATAL ERROR*')
 90
               STOP
            CALL CLOSEC(ICHAN)
 79
            CALL IFREEC(ICHAN)
         CONTINUE
 70
         IE = 5
         WRITE(7,100)
         FORMAT(//,1X, TABLE OF FILE NAMES '//)
 100
```



DO 120 J = 1, NUMF WRITE(7,130) (FNAMES(M,J),M=1,15),J 130 FORMAT(1X,15A1, (1',13, ())) CONTINUE 120 TYPE 9 145 **TYPE 140** FORMAT(' OK ?'+\$) 140 ACCEPT 1,YN IF(YN.EQ.89) GO TO 200 THEN DO SOME CORRECTION BUSINESS HERE. С **TYPE 150** FORMAT(' SPECIFY THE FILE NUMBER TO BE CORRECTED > ',\$) 150 ACCEPT 2,I TYPE 160,I 155 FORMAT(' ENTER THE CORRECT FILE NAME FOR #', I3, ' >', \$) 160 IF(LCHECK(EXT,ICHAN,I).LT.0) STOP'FATAL ERROR' CALL CLOSEC(ICHAN) CALL IFREEC(ICHAN) GO TO 145 200 **TYPE 210** FORMAT(' ENTER MASTER FILE NAME(DEV:FILNAM.EXT) >',\$) 210 READ(5,4) (LNAME(L),L=1,14) CALL ASSIGN(12,LNAME,14, 'NEW') WRITE(12,220) (LNAME(L),L=1,14),NUMF FORMAT(14A1,13, (5E15,7))) 220 DO 230 I = 1,NUMF WRITE(12,4) (FNAMES(M,I),M=1,14) CONTINUE 230 CALL CLOSE(12) CALL EXIT END

*

218

•



```
C FILE: ZNORM.FOR
C SUBROUTINE ZNORM: TO STANDARDIZE FEATURE VALUES OF SPEECH
C PARAMETERS, IDS, FFC, AND LTS.
C Written by: Hirotaka Nakasone
       Date: 11 JULY, 1983
C
C Dept. of Audiology and Speech Sciences, MSU, East Lansing, MI.
SUBROUTINE ZNORM(X,NF,NG,ND)
       REAL X(NF,NG,ND)
С
       NF = NUMBER OF PATTERNS
       NG = NUMBER OF GROUPS, OR SPEAKERS
С
ĉ
       ND = NUMBER OF DIMENSIONS, OR SAMFLES / SPEAKER
       NPAT = NP * NG
0100
       TYPE 2
       FORMAT(1X, 'NORMALIZATION BY Z-TRANSFORM.'/)
2
       10 10 J=1,ND
          RM = 0.0
          SD = 0.0
          DO 20 I = 1, NG
DO 25 K = 1, NF
              RM = RM + X(K,I,J)
              SD = SD + X(K,I,J) * X(K,I,J)
            CONTINUE
25
20
          CONTINUE
          RM = RM/FLOAT(NPAT)
          SD = (SD/FLOAT(NPAT) - RM*RM)**0.5
          IF(SD.EQ.0.) GO TO 40
              DO 30 I = 1, NG
               DO 35 K = 1, NP
                 X(K,I,J) = (X(K,I,J)-RM)/SD
                CONTINUE
35
              CONTINUE
30
              GO TO 10
          CONTINUE
40
          DO 50 I = 1, NG
            DO 45 K = 1, NF
              X(K,I,J) = 0.0
            CONTINUE
45
         CONTINUE
50
       CONTINUE
10
       RETURN
       END
```



```
C SUBROUTINE RDFILE.FOR.
C RDFILE IS TO READ ALL THE FILES IN A MASTER FILE.
C 11 JULY 1983
C H. NAKASONE
SUBROUTINE RDFILE(MFILE, NAME50, EXT, DEV)
       COMMON /PASS/ FMT
       LOGICAL*1 MFILE(14), LNAME(14), FMT(60), NAME50(14,50)
       LOGICAL*1 EXT(3), DEV(3)
       CALL ASSIGN(13,MFILE,14,'OLD')
       READ(13,10) LNAME, NUMF, (FMT(M), M=1,60)
10
       FORMAT(14A1, I3, 60A1)
       DO 20 I = 1, NUMF
          READ(13,30) (NAME50(N,I),N=1,14)
          FORMAT(14A1)
30
          DO 40 J = 1, 3
            NAME50(J+11,I) = EXT(J)
            NAME50(J,I) = DEV(J)
40
          CONTINUE
       CONTINUE
20
       CALL CLOSE(13)
       RETURN
       END
```

*

220


СНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИ SUBROUTINE SUBF(NP,NG,ND) COMPUTATION PART FOR FRATIO'S С BY H. NAKASONE С СНИННИНИИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИНИ COMMON /SENSE/ X, F REAL X(5,10,20), SUM(10),SSQ(10),F(20) HOLDS NUMBER OF DIMENSIONS IN EACH NF. С ND: HOLDS NUMBER OF PATTERNS IN EACH NG. HOLDS NUMBER OF SPEAKERS (OR GROUPS). С NP: С NG: DFB = FLOAT(NG - 1)DFW = FLOAT(NG*NP - NG) GN = FLOAT(NF*NG) SN = FLOAT(NP)DO 1 K = 1, ND GSUM = 0. GSSQ = 0.T = 0.DO 2 J = 1, NG SUM(J) = 0SSQ(J) = 0.DO 3 I = 1, NP  $SUM(J) = SUM(J) + X(I_{*}J_{*}K)$ SSQ(J) = SSQ(J) + X(I,J,K) * X(I,J,K)CONTINUE 3 GSUM = GSUM + (SUM(J)*SUM(J))/SN GSSQ = GSSQ + SSQ(J)T = T + SUM(J)CONTINUE 2 SSTOT = GSSQ - (T*T)/GN SSBET = GSUM - (T*T)/GN SSWIT = SSTOT - SSBET RGMS = SSBET / DFB WGMS = SSWIT / DFW TSWG = 0. D DO 4 J = 1, NG TSWG = TSWG + ( SSQ(J) - (SUM(J)*SUM(J))/ SN ) n 'n CONTINUE D4 WRITE(7,10) SSTOT,SSPET,SSWIT FORMAT(' SSTOT=',F14.3,' SSBET=',F14.3,' SSWIT=',F14.3) n D10 WRITE(7,12) BGMS,WGMS,TSWG FORMAT(' BGMS=',F14.3,' WGMS=',F14.3,' TSWG=',F14.3) n 012 F(K) = 9999.9091IF(WGMS.GT.0.) F(K) = BGMS / WGMS CONTINUE 1 RETURN END

٠



SUBROUTINE SUBSET(AU, BK, NF, NG, ND) С C TO COMPUTE MINIMUM SET DISTANCE OF SPEAKERS RECORDED C THROUGH TWO DIFFERENT TRANSMISSION AND/OR RECORDING C MEDIA. C CALLED FROM NAKRO2 AND NAKRO3( both programs used in C the final stage of voice I.D. in a Ph.D. dissertation.) С C 21-JUL-83 C H. NAKASONE REAL AU(5,10,ND), BK(5,10,ND), SETMIN(10) С INITIALIZE KNTC = 0DO 1 I = 1, NG SETMIN(I) = 0.CONTINUE 1 TYPE 2 FORMAT(' Summary by Minimum Set distance Rule:/// 2 &' Unknown Speaker ',T20, 'Known Speaker',T40, &'Set Distance'/) TYPE 9 FORMAT( / ---9 8----'/) KUG = 0KUG = KUG + 1100 KNG = 0 KNG = KNG + 130 SMIN = 1.E20DO 5 JU = 1, NFSMAX = -1.E2010 10 JK = 1, NF EUCL = 0.DO 20 K = 1, ND EUCL = EUCL + (AU(JU,KUG,K)-BK(JK,KNG,K))**2.0 CONTINUE 20 EUCL = EUCL**0.5 IF(EUCL.LE.SMAX) GO TO 10 SMAX = EUCL JKTEMP = JK CONTINUE 10 IF (SMAX.GE.SMIN) GO TO 5 SMIN = SMAX JUTEMP = JU 5 CONTINUE SETMIN(KNG) = SMIN KGTEMP = JUTEMP



```
IF(KNG.LT.NG) GO TO 30
          RMIN = SETMIN(1)
          KTEMP = 1
          DO 60 K = 2, NG
              IF(SETMIN(K).GT.RMIN) GO TO 60
              RMIN = SETMIN(K)
KTEMP = K
          CONTINUE
60
          IF(KUG.EQ.KTEMP) KNTC = KNTC + 1
WRITE(7,70) KUG,KTEMP,RMIN
FORMAT(8X,12,T25,12,T39,F12.5,/)
70
          IF(KUG.LT.NG) GD TD 100
          TYPE 9
          P = KNTC * 10.
          TYPE 99,KNTC,NG-KNTC,P
FORMAT(1X,/,' Correct =',12,',
                                                    Incorrect =',12,',
                                                                                  Rate(%)
99
          & =',F6.2)
          RETURN
          END
*
```

...

.



С С CALLED FROM CHNRO2 AND CHNRO3. С WRITTEN BY: HIROTAKA NAKASONE DATE: 28 JULY, 1983 С С SUBROUTINE EUCMAT(XU,XK,NAMOUT,ND,NP,NG) COMMON /NFILES/ NFILES REAL XU(NP,NG,ND),XK(NP,NG,ND),X(50,20),DMAT(1300) LOGICAL*1 NAMOUT(14),YN ARRANGE X ARRAY SO THAT ELEMENTS 1 TO 25 WILL CONTAIN С DATA OF UNKNOWN SPEAKERS, AND 26 TO 50, OF KNOWN SPEAKERS. С NFILES = NG*NP JU = 0DO 202 J = 2, 10, 2DO 205 I = 1, 5 JU = JU + 1JK = JU + 25DO 210 K = 1, ND  $\chi(JU,K) = \chi U(I,J,K)$ X(JK,K) = XK(I,J,K)CONTINUE 210 CONTINUE 205 CONTINUE 202 COMPUTE EUCLIDIAN DISTANCE. ID 300 I = 1, 49 DO 310 J = I+1, 50SUM = 0.DO 320 K = 1, ND SUM = SUM  $\pm$  (X(I,K)-X(J,K))**2, CONTINUE 320 DMAT(INDEX(I,J)) = SUM**0.5 CONTINUE 310 CONTINUE 300 CONTINUE TO WRITE THE RESULTS. YN = .FALSE. CALL ASSIGN(12, 'PROXTP.DAT', 10, 'NEW') WRITE(12,1250) NFILES 5400 FORMAT(13, ' 1'/ '(10F8.3)' ) 1250 DO 1400 I = 1, NFILES-1 WRITE(12,1600) (DMAT(INDEX(I,J)),J=I+1,NFILES) CONTINUE 1400 FORMAT(10F8.3) 1600 CALL CLOSE(12) IF(YN) GO TO 2100 YN = .TRUE . CALL ASSIGN(12,NAMOUT,14, 'NEW') GO TO 5400

224

SUBROUTINE EUCMAT: TO GENERATE A DISSIMILARITY

MATRIX BY USING EUCLIDIAN DISTANCE MEASURE.

С С



2100 WRITE(7,2200) NAMOUT 2200 FORMAT(1X,'TWO RECORDS, PROXTP.DAT AND ',14A1,' DONE.'/) RETURN END INTEGER FUNCTION INDEX(I,J) COMMON /NFILES/ NFILES INTEGER ROW,COL ROW = MINO(I,J) COL = MAXO(I,J) INDEX = (ROW-1)*NFILES-ROW*(ROW+1)/2+COL RETURN END

.

.

.

*



С C SUBROUTINE SETDIS: С C SETDIS IS TO FIND THE MINIMUM SET DISTANCE BETWEEN C TWO SPEAKERS EACH REPRESENTED BY A VECTOR OF 9 OR С 10 DIMENSIONS (FEATURES). C SETDIS IS CALLED FROM VOICE IDENTIFICATION PROGRAMS, C NAKRO4 AND NAKROA. С C 21-JUL-83 C H. NAKASONE С . SUBROUTINE SETDIS(DMAX,KUG,JTMP,TMIN,N) . DIMENSION DMAX(5,10) JTMP = 1TMIN = 1.E30DO 20 J = 1 + NSETMIN = DMAX(1,J)DO 10 I = 2, 5IF(DMAX(I,J),GT,SETMIN) GO TO 10 SETMIN = DMAX(I,J) 10 CONTINUE IF(SETMIN.GT.TMIN) GO TO 20 TMIN = SETMIN SI = ۲۱۵۰۰ لِ = JTMP CONTINUE 20 TYPE 30, KUG, JTMP, TMIN FORMAT(' BY SET DISTANCE RULE:'/' & UNKNOWN ',12,' IS IDENTIFIED WITH KNOWN ',12,/,' 30 & WITH THE MINIMUM SET DISTANCE =',F12.6,/) RETURN

RETURN END

*

226

.



