THE DEVELOPMENT OF SIMULATED CRITICAL TEACHING SITUATIONS FOR USE IN INSTRUMENTAL MUSIC TEACHER EDUCATION

> Thesis for the Degree of PL.D. MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

DAVID BENRY WALTERS



This is to certify that the

thesis entitled

THE DEVELOPMENT OF SIMULATED CRITICAL TEACHING SITUATIONS FOR USE IN INSTRUMENTAL MUSIC TEACHER EDUCATION

presented by

DAVID HENRY WALTERS

has been accepted towards fulfillment of the requirements for

Ph.D. degree in Music

Major professor

Date \_\_\_\_\_ 7, 1972

**O**-7639

ŗ,

#### ABSTRACT

# THE DEVELOPMENT OF SIMULATED CRITICAL TEACHING SITUATIONS FOR USE IN INSTRUMENTAL MUSIC TEACHER EDUCATION

By

## David Henry Walters

### Furrose

It was the purpose of this study to: (1) develop videotapes of critical teaching situations which provide an authentic portrayal of actual situations which confront teachers of instrumental music; (2) discover what changes, if any, use of these tapes will produce in instrumental music majors' attitudes toward teaching and toward music teaching; and (3) determine at what university level use of these tapes is most effective in terms of attitudinal changes.

## Procedure

Videotapes of critical teaching situations were developed and authenticated by a jury of music educators. The tapes were then presented to the experimental group in a two-day workshop. Participants viewed each of the ten videotapes, decided upon solutions to the problems presented, and then discussed with other viewers the possible causes for each situation and consequences of the solutions suggested.

-1 0.7 • . 1. 5 4 1.11 4 32  Attitudes were measured by the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory and the Cady Survey of Music Career Preferences (unpublished). A pretest-posttest control group design was used. Statistical analysis consisted of the analysis of covariance and  $\underline{t}$  tests.

# Results

The experimental group showed a significant increase (at the .05 level) in positive attitudes toward pupils and toward teaching careers in music. However, a second posttest, administered thirty days after the pretest, revealed no significant differences between the experimental and control groups. Freshman and sophomore students were found to be considerably more sensitive to treatment than junior and senior students.

## Recommendations

Recommendations based on these results include: (1) further application of simulation techniques and more extensive use of videotape in music teacher education, (2) replication of the experiment with a longer treatment period or repeated treatments, and (3) changes in the traditional music education curriculum which will permit freshman and sophomore students to have real or simulated music teaching experiences. THE DEVELOPMENT OF SIMULATED CRITICAL TEACHING SITUATIONS FOR USE IN INSTRUMENTAL MUSIC TEACHER EDUCATION

> By David Henry Walters

# A THESIS

Submitted to Michigan State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Music



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The number of people who supported, assisted and were involved in this project are too numerous to enable the naming of each. Fowever, several are singled out for their sincere interest and special assistance.

To Dr. Robert Sidnell, my committee chairman, and to my committee members, Dr. Myron Colber, Dr. Paul Harder and Mr. Richard Klausli: thank you for your interest and full cooperation.

To Mr. Gary Thorson of television station KAET, to Mr. Robert Potter of the Mesa Public Schools, and to Mr. Lester Felten and Mr. Richard Longfield of the Phoenix Public Schools: thank you for your helpful cooperation in the preparation of videotapes.

To Mr. Frank Cavalier, Mr. Delyle Dvorak and Mr. Walter Geyer: thank you for your assistance in the experimental portion of this study.

Finally, a very special thank you to my wife Janet, without whose quiet encouragement and patient understanding this project would not have been possible.

ii

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Fage
LIST OF	TABLES
CHAPTER	
I.	THE FROBLEM 1
	Significance of the Study 2
	Furpose
	Definition of Terms
	Brief Statement of Procedure 7
	Questions and Hypotheses 9
	Limitations
	Assumptions 13
	Organization of the Report 13
II.	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 15
	Simulation 15
	Use of Videotape in Teacher Education 26
	Media in Music Teacher Training 28
	Attitude as it Relates to Teaching 32
	Music Teaching and Attitude
	Summary
III.	FROCEDURE
	Preparation of Videotapes 41
	Population and Sample 44
	Instruments 45
	Design

CHAPTER			Page
	Testing Procedure	•	• 50
	Experimental Workshop	•	• 51
	Treatment of Data	•	• 52
IV. RES	ULTS	•	• 54
	Report of Descriptive Data	•	• 54
	Results of Analysis of Covariance	•	. 60
	Results of $\underline{t}$ Tests	•	. 65
V. CON	ICLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	•	. 80
	Treatment of Hypotheses	•	. 80
	Discussion	•	. 88
	Conclusions	•	• 90
	Recommendations for Teacher Preparation	•	• 91
	Recommendations for Further Research .	•	• 92
BIBLIOGRAPH	Y OF CITED WORKS	•	• 94
APPENDICES		•	• 99
APPENDI	X A: Critical Teaching Situations Questionnaire	•	• 99
APPENDI	X B: Videotape Authentication Form	•	•103
APPENDI	X C: Careers in Music	•	•104
APPENDI	IX D: Raw Data Tables	•	•111

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
l.	Fretest-Posttest Neans and Standard Deviations: MTAI Key A	• 55
2.	Pretest-Posttest Means and Standard Deviations: HTAI Key B	• 55
3.	Pretest-Posttest Means and Standard Deviations: MTAI Key C	• 56
4.	Fretest-Posttest Neans and Standard Deviations: Cady Survey	• 56
5.	Pretest-Delayed Posttest Means and Standard Deviations: MTAI Key A	• 57
6.	Pretest-Delayed Posttest Means and Standard Deviations: MTAI Key B	• 58
7.	Fretest-Delayed Fosttest Means and Standard Deviations: MTAI Key C	• 58
8.	Pretest-Delayed Fosttest Means and Standard Deviations: Cady Survey	• 59
9.	Analysis of Covariance: MTAI Key A Posttest	. 60
10.	Analysis of Covariance: MTAI Key B Posttest	. 61
11.	Analysis of Covariance: MTAI Key C Posttest	. 61
12.	Analysis of Covariance: Cady Survey Posttest	. 62
13.	Analysis of Coveriance: MTAI Key A Delayed Posttest	. 62
14.	Analysis of Covariance: MTAI Key B Delayed Fosttest	. 63
15.	Analysis of Covariance: MTAI Key C Delayed Posttest	. 63

# Table

16.	Analysis of Covariance: Cady Survey Deleyed Fosttest	•	•	•	64
17.	Fretest-Posttest <u>t</u> Values: Control Group	•	•	•	65
18.	Fretest-Delayed Fosttest <u>t</u> Values: Control Group	•	•	•	66
19.	Pretest-Fosttest <u>t</u> Values: Seniors	•	•	•	67
20.	Fretest-Delayed Fosttest <u>t</u> Values: Seniors	•	•	•	68
21.	Fretest-Fosttest <u>t</u> Values: Juniors	•	•	•	69
22.	Fretest-Del ved Fosttest <u>t</u> Values: Juniors	•	•	•	70
23.	Pretest-Posttest <u>t</u> Values: Freshmen & Sophomores	•	•	•	71
24.	Fretest-Delayed Fosttest <u>t</u> Values: Freshmen <sup>©</sup> Sophomores ••••••••••	•	•	•	72
25.	Fretest-Fosttest <u>t</u> Values: Students Without Student Teaching Experience	•	•	•	73
26.	Pretest-Delayed Fosttest <u>t</u> Values: Students Without Student Teaching Experience	•	•	•	74
27.	Pretest-Posttest <u>t</u> Values: Student Teachers	•	•	•	75
28.	Pretest-Delayed Fosttest <u>t</u> Values: Student Teachers	•	•	•	76
29.	Pretest Correlation Coefficients	•	•	•	77
30.	Posttest Correlation Coefficients	•	•	•	78
31.	Delayed Fosttest Correlation Coefficients	•	•	•	79
32.	Summary of Null Hypotheses Findings	•	•	•	86

## CHAPTER I

## THE FROBLEM

The real problem in teacher education, of course, is to modify the actual instructional behavior of teachers in desired directions. Most teacher educators believe that changes in the teacher's knowledge or attitudes will be accompanied by subsequent changes in his classroom behavior. Even though this may be so, there are few instructional materials, e.g., texts, programs, films, which have been demonstrated to be capable of bringing about changes in the teacher's professional knowledge or attitudes, even changes which can be assessed by paper-and-pencil tests.<sup>1</sup>

The need for attitude-changing methods and materials is particularly acute in music teacher education, since many music teachers do not place a high value on teaching as a career.<sup>2</sup> This study was designed to test the efficacy of simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems in bringing about changes in prospective music teachers' attitudes toward teaching and toward music teaching.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>W. James Fopham, "Instructional Video Tapes in Teacher Education," <u>AV Communication Review</u>, XIV (Fall 1966), 372.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Kenneth O. Snapp, "Development of a Musicians Interest Inventory for Use in Vocational and Educational Guidance" (unpublished doctoral dissertation, Indiana University, 1953), p. 121.

## Significance of the Study

Teachers of instrumental music are often confronted with problems which require immediate decisions. Many of these problems are of a musical nature, such as rhythmic inaccuracies or inaccurate pitches. One of the primary duties of the teacher/conductor is the detection and identification of these performance errors and the prescription of possible solutions for correction. Other problems, such as pupil evaluation and misbehavior in the classroom, are extramusical and are common to any subject matter teaching. However, these problems may be intensified in music classes due to large class size and the dependence of members of musical organizations upon each other in the performance of music. Beginning teachers are sometimes disillusioned because their training has not adequately prepared them for the problems they encounter.

Eypothetical teaching problems and their solutions are verbally described in educational methods classes. However, the assumption that prospective teachers will transfer verbal instruction to their teaching is unsupported.<sup>3</sup> Exposition of educational methods can be expected to help teacher-trainees talk about teaching,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Donald R. Cruickshank and Frank W. Broadbent, <u>The Simulation and Analysis of Problems of Beginning</u> <u>Teachers</u>, U.S. Office of Education Cooperative Research Project No. 5-0798 (Washington D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1968), p. 1.

but does not provide appropriate practice in dealing with classroom problems.<sup>4</sup>

Critical teaching situations are sometimes encountered in student teaching but cannot be selected or controlled. Some supervising music teachers, faced with the pressures of public performance, are reluctant to allow student teachers to assume responsibility and thus deprive them entirely of problem-solving experiences. Mistakes made during student teaching tend to destroy the student teacher's confidence in his ability to teach and may also create in the students a negative attitude toward instruction. A student teacher should be prepared to cope with classroom problems before he faces an actual classroom situation.

Through the use of media it is now possible to partially bridge the gap between theory and practice by creating accurate, perceivable representations of realistic situations: a technique known as simulation. This technique has been used in many training programs but has not yet been applied to the preparation of instrumental music teachers.

The possible effect that confrontations with simulated situations may have upon attitude is particularly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Paul A. Twelker, "Classroom Simulation and Teacher Frenaration," <u>The School Review</u>, LXXV (Summer, 1967), 198.

important in music teacher education. Snapp<sup>5</sup> and Cady<sup>6</sup> indicate that many college students who seek degrees in music education view themselves primarily as performers and would prefer careers in music other than teaching. Therefore, music teacher education is critically in need of a program which will either cause a positive change in attitude toward teaching or will serve to polarize attitudes so that those who have a superficial interest in teaching may be discouraged from entering the teaching profession. Any program designed to fulfill this need seems worthy of investigation.

#### Furrose

This study was based on the recognition that many music education students do not have positive attitudes toward the teaching of music.<sup>7</sup> The purpose of this study was to determine the effects that simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems would have upon the attitudes of instrumental music majors toward teaching and toward music teaching. More specifically, the purpose of this investigation was to answer the following questions: (1) Do simulated

<sup>7</sup>Snapp, <u>op. cit</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Snapp, <u>op. cit</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Henry L. Cady, "Survey of Music Career Preferences" (Columbus: The Ohio State University, 1970). (Mimeographed.)

encounters with instrumental music teaching problems significantly affect the attitudes of instrumental music education majors toward pupils? (2) Do simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems significantly affect the attitudes of instrumental music education majors toward music teaching as a career? (3) At what class level are simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems most effective in terms of attitudinal changes? (4) Are attitudes toward teaching and toward music teaching most likely to be affected if simulated encounters with music teaching problems are presented to the prospective teacher before or during his student teaching experience?

## Definition of Terms

To assist the reader in understanding the meanings of concepts as they are used in this study, a definition of terms will be helpful.

<u>Attitude</u>. The term "attitude" is defined in the <u>En-</u> <u>cyclopedia of Educational Research</u> as

A psychological construct, or latent variable, inferred from observable responses to stimuli, which is assumed to mediate consistency and co-variation among these responses.  $\varepsilon$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Chester W. Harris (ed.), <u>The Encyclopedia of</u> <u>Educational Research</u> (New York: The Macmillan Company, 1960), p. 103.

This definition of attitude is based upon the belief that attitude will be expressed in some type of observable behavior. The phrase "observable responses" evidences the necessity of including the concept of outward manifestation of attitude in a definition of the term.

Actually, "attitude" is a word used to refer to a general tendency of an individual to act in a certain way under certain conditions. Our use of the word "attitude" is based on what someone says or what he does. It is based on visible behavior.

In this study, attitude refers to observable behavior patterns of approach to and avoidance of certain stimuli. Attitude changes refers to any change in these behavior patterns.

<u>Simulation</u>. Simulation as used in this experiment is defined as the creation of accurate representations of real situations.

<u>Critical teaching situation</u>. This concept refers to a situation which demands an immediate response on the part of the teacher. Most of these situations, though not all of them, occur in the classroom. Failure to respond effectively to these situations is likely to result in a loss of rapport between teacher and student or in

<sup>9</sup>Robert F. Mager, <u>Developing Attitude Toward</u> <u>Learning</u> (Palo Alto: Fearon Publishers, 1968), p. 14. an interruption of the learning process, hence the term "critical."

# Brief Statement of Procedure

Ten video tapes of critical teaching situations were prepared from approximately four hours of material taped at three Fhoenix area schools. The problems selected for tabing were determined by a questionnaire sent to the 160 members of the Arizona Band and Orchestra Directors Association. The problems selected by the music teachers completing the ouestionnaire were: (1) Notivation, (2) Selecting Literature, (3) Discipline, (4) Intonation, (5) Sight Reading, (6) Evaluation, (7) Rehearsal Procedures, (8) Eusical Illiteracy, (9) Rhythmic Inaccuracy, and (10) Scheduling. Authenticity of the tapes was determined by a jury of six experienced music educators whose classes were not involved in the experiment.

All of the instrumental music education majors at Arizona State University were asked to participate in the experiment. The sixty-nine students who completed the pretest were divided into five groups: Freshmen, Sophomores, Juniors, Seniors without student teaching experience, and Seniors engaged in student teaching at the time of the experiment. Students from each of these groups were randomly assigned to experimental and control groups: sixtysix to the experimental group and twenty-three to the

1 · ··
۰ <u>م</u> -
• 7 .
925
د .
1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 -
\$ <b>7</b> 9.
8.2.) 
2
2.5
···-
•••
êne Alte
· · · · ·
-2.
A. •
() \$v
•••

centrol group. Both groups were given a pretest on October 4, 1971, a posttest on October 7, 1971 and a second posttest on November 3, 1971. The sample consisted of the fifty-seven students who completed the pretest and either or both of the posttests.

The experimental group participated in a two-day workshop on October 6-7, 1971. Each participant viewed each of the tapes, decided upon a solution to the problem presented, and then discussed with other viewers the possible causes for the situation and consequences of the solutions suggested. Viewing and discussion were handled in small groups, each under the supervision of an experienced music educator. Members of the control group attended their regularly scheduled classes and did not participate in the workshop.

The data gathering instruments used in this study were the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory (Form A) (MTAI)<sup>10</sup> and the Cady Survey of Music Career Freferences (Cady).<sup>11</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Walter W. Cook, Carroll H. Leeds and Robert Callis, <u>Finnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory</u> (New York: The Psychological Corporation, 1951).

ll Cady, op. cit.

The stated purpose of the study suggested four main questions to be answered by tests of a number of null hypotheses.

<u>Question Humber Cne</u>. Do simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems significantly affect the attitudes of instrumental music education majors toward teaching?

- H<sub>O</sub>1: There is no significant difference immediately after the experimental treatment between the attitudes toward pupils held by university students who have had simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems and the attitudes of students who did not have this experience, as measured by the MTAI.
- H<sub>0</sub>2: There is no significant difference thirty days after the experimental treatment between the attitudes toward pupils held by university students who have had simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems and the attitudes of students who did not have this experience, as measured by the MTAI.
- H<sub>0</sub>3: There is no significant difference between the pretest and first posttest scores of the control group as measured by the MTAI.
- H<sub>0</sub>4: There is no significant difference between the pretest and second posttest scores of the control group as measured by the MTAI.

<u>Question Number Two</u>. Do simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems significantly affect the attitudes of instrumental music education majors toward music teaching as a career?

- H<sub>0</sub>5: There is no significant difference immediately after the experimental treatment between the attitudes to and careers within music of university students who have had simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems and the attitudes of students who did not have this experience, as measured by the Cady Survey.
- H<sub>O</sub>C: There is no significant difference thirty days after the experimental treatment between the attitudes toward careers within music of university students who have had simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems and the attitudes of students who did not have this experience, as measured by the Cady Survey.
- H<sub>0</sub>7: There is no significant difference between the pretest and first posttest scores of the control group as measured by the Cady Survey.
- H<sub>0</sub>8: There is no significant difference between the pretest and second posttest scores of the control group as measured by the Cady Survey.

<u>Question Number Three</u>. At what class level are simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems most effective in terms of attitudinal changes?

- H<sub>0</sub>9: There is no significant difference between the MTAI pretest and first posttest scores of the freshmen and sophomores in the treatment group.
- H<sub>0</sub>10: There is no significant difference between the MTAI pretest and second posttest scores of the freshmen and sophomores in the treatment group.
- H<sub>O</sub>ll: There is no significant difference between the Cady Eurvey pretest and first posttest scores of the freshmen and somhomores in the treatment group.
- H<sub>0</sub>12: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and second posttest scores of the freshmen and sophomores in the treatment group.

- H<sub>0</sub>13: There is no significant difference between the FTAI pretest and first posttest scores of the juniors in the treatment group.
- H<sub>C</sub>14: There is no significant difference between the MTAI pretest and second posttest scores of the juniors in the treatment group.
- H<sub>0</sub>15: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and first posttest scores of the juniors in the treatment group.
- EC16: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and second posttest scores of the juniors in the treatment group.
- H<sub>0</sub>17: There is no significant difference between the MDAI pretest and first posttest scores of the seniors in the treatment group.
- H<sub>0</sub>18: There is no significant difference between the MTAI pretest and second posttest scores of the seniors in the treatment group.
- No19: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and first posttest scores of the seniors in the treatment group.
- H<sub>0</sub>20: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and second posttest scores of the seniors in the treatment group.

<u>Question Number Four</u>. Are attitudes toward teaching and toward music teaching most likely to be affected if simulated encounters with music teaching problems are presented to the student before or during his student teaching experience?

- H<sub>O</sub>21: There is no significant difference between the MTAI pretest and first posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who have not had student teaching experience.
- H<sub>0</sub>22: There is no significant difference between the ETAI pretest and second posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who have not had student teaching experience.

- H<sub>0</sub>23: There is no significant difference between the Ordy Survey pretest and first posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who have not had student teaching experience.
- H<sub>0</sub>24: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and second posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who have not had student teaching experience.
- $I_025$ : There is no significant difference between the MTAl pretest and first posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who are currently engaged in student teaching.
- H<sub>0</sub>26: There is no significant difference between the MTAI pretest and second posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who are currently engaged in student teaching.
- H<sub>0</sub>27: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and first posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who are currently engaged in student teaching.
- H<sub>C</sub>28: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and second posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who are currently engaged in student teaching.

Each of the twenty-eight null hypotheses was accepted or rejected on the basis of statistical tests discussed in Chapter Three.

### Limitations

This study involved only undergraduate university students majoring in instrumental music education. Arizona State University was the only teacher education institution involved. The treatment was limited to a two-day workshop.

1838 tese} T0 1 tese 

1.4 

ст.,

This study did not attempt to measure the effectiveness of the university student's responses to the critical teaching situations presented. Neither was an attempt made to discover the effect of the treatment upon the student's teaching success, either immediate or future.

#### Assumptions

The following assumptions were made:

- 1. The instruments utilized and methods employed in this study are valid, reliable and suited to the purpose of the study.
- 2. Any contact which members of the control group may have had with children through non-university criented experiences had no significant effect upon the results of the study, due to random assignment to groups.

#### Organization of the Report

The pertinent literature will be reviewed in Chapter II. Research on simulation as an educational technique will be reviewed, use of videotape in teacher education will be described and studies concerned with the relationship between attitude and teaching will be reported. Particular emphasis will be placed upon the literature pertaining to the teaching of music.

The procedure followed will be described in Chapter III. The preparation of the videotapes will be discussed, followed by a description of the sample and a report of treatment procedures. The instruments employed, the experimental design and the treatment of the data will then be described.

In Chapter IV the results of the study will be reported, primarily in table form, followed by a discussion of the findings.

#### CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Two broad areas were considered relevant to this study: (1) simulation in music teacher education by means of videotapes and (2) the study of attitudes and their relation to the education of music teachers. From the two general areas, the following topics were selected as pertinent: (1) Simulation, (2) Use of Videotape in Teacher Education, (3) Media in Music Teacher Training, (4) Attitude as it Relates to Teaching and (5) Music Teaching and Attitude.

### Simulation

A definition and an explanation of simulation are set forth by Twelker.<sup>1</sup> Twelker defines simulation as

(1) a technique of modeling (physically, iconically, verbally, or methematically) some aspects of a real or proposed system, process, or environment; or (2) the model (physical, iconic, verbal, or mathematical) of some aspects of a real or proposed system, process, or environment.<sup>2</sup>

Twelker explains how simulation can be used in research, development and instruction. Three instructional

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Paul A. Twelker, <u>Simulation: An Overview</u> (Monmouth, Oregon: Teaching Research Division, Cregon State System of Higher Education, 1968).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 3.

Ĵ **1** м., -

uses are described: (1) presenting information through concrete or iconic models that illustrate concepts or principles more clearly than do words, (2) eliciting responses by providing opportunities for practice of previously learned principles, and (3) assessing performance that is often untestable by other means.

In one sense, simulation does not represent as much a tangible thing or process as it does a philosophy. This philosophy is best thought of as a fusion of two worlds - the instructional world and the real-life world.<sup>3</sup>

The philosophy of simulation also implies that attention should be given to making the learner a participant <u>in</u> a realistic learning experience rather than an observer <u>of</u> a learning experience. Simulation represents in the educational sense a new and different experience for the learner.<sup>4</sup>

The philosophy of simulation also implies a unique opportunity to integrate the cognitive, affective, and psychomotor aspects of learning... Educators are often prone to separate instructional objectives into neat categories (cf., Bloom, 1953; Krathwohl, et al., 1964), and often neglect to integrate what has been thought of as separate. Further, the emphasis on passive re-ception through lectures, textbooks, and the like, often leaves little room for the activities that integrate the various types of objectives in a way that is meaningful. Simulation offers teachers, for example, an opportunity to deal with their emotions in handling the problems that demand the application of previously learned principles in classroom management and instruction. Valid educational principles might prove of little value if the teacher reacted in a negative emotional manner\_during a demanding occasion of decision-making.>

<sup>3</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 46. <sup>4</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 47. <sup>5</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 48. Simulation techniques have been used for many years in the training of military personnel. War games, basically conceptual in design, date back to the early 1800's.<sup>6</sup> Physical simulation models which reproduce flight conditions with considerable fidelity have evolved from the Link Flight Trainer, developed during World War II.<sup>7</sup> Other military uses of simulation include an air defense simulator developed by the Systems Research Laboratory of the kand Corporation and "Eonopologs," a simulation exercise in inventory management used by the Air Force.<sup>9</sup>

Simulation has become widely accepted by business and industry as a means of preparing individuals for managerial positions. Kibbee, Craft and Nanus describe over one-hundred such applications.<sup>10</sup> Examples of these

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup>Paul S. Greenlew, Lowell W. Herron and Richard H. Rawdon, <u>Business Simulation in Industry and University</u> <u>Education</u> (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1962), p. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Jack A Adams, "Some Considerations in the Design and Use of Dynamic Flight Simulators," <u>Simulation in</u> <u>Social Science: Readings</u>, ed. Harold Guetzkow (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1962), pp. 29-47.

Robert L. Chapman, John L. Kennedy, Allen Newell and William C. Biel, "The Systems Research Laboratory's Air Defense Experiments," <u>Simulation in Social Science:</u> <u>Readings</u>, ed. Harold Guetzkow (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1962), pp. 172-188.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Joel M. Kibbee, Clifford J. Craft and Burt Nanus, <u>Management Games: A New Technique for Executive Develop-</u> <u>ment (New York: Reinhold Fublishing Corporation, 1961)</u>, pp. 168-69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup><u>Ibid</u>., pp. 315-336.

ç 1 --- -7 91 . 1 17 24 64 1 / 1.  are the American Management Association's "Top Management Decision Simulation," <sup>11</sup> Remington Rand Univac's "Marketing Management Simulation"<sup>12</sup> and Dayco Corporation's "Dayton Tire Simulation."<sup>13</sup> All of these simulation exercises are designed to enable business executives and future executives to learn from decision-making errors without jeopardizing their professional careers.

In the field of education, simulation techniques were first used in driver training. Stationary simulated automobiles were developed to teach behind-the-wheel driving skills. These simulators continue to be used in many driver education programs.<sup>14</sup>

One of the first applications of simulation in professional education was the Whitman School experiment for the evaluation and training of school administrators. A simulated setting was created which permitted each participant to practice making administrative decisions by assuming the role of principal at the hypothetical Whitman Elementary School.<sup>15</sup>

> 11<u>Ibid</u>., pp. 165-166. 12<u>Ibid</u>., pp. 15-33. 13<u>Ibid</u>., p. 319.

<sup>14</sup>Cecil G. Zaun and Melvin T. Schroeder, "The Driver Trainer: A Teaching Machine," Journal of Secondary Education, XXXVII (February, 1962), 112-116.

<sup>15</sup>Norman Frederiksen, "In-Basket Tests and Factors in Administrative Performance," <u>Simulation in Social</u> <u>Science: Readings</u>, ed. Harold Guetzkow (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1962), pp. 124-137. Nany institutions specializing in the training of school administrators regard simulation as a useful tool. In response to a survey conducted in 1965, ninety institutions reported 125 professors as users of simulation techniques.<sup>16</sup>

Simulation was applied to the preparation of classroom teachers by Kersh at the Teaching Research Laboratory of the Cremon State System of Higher Education.<sup>17</sup> A situlation facility was constructed and techniques developed for simulating a variety of classroom problems. Through the use of sound motion pictures, participants in the project are presented with problems occurring in a simulated sixth grade classroom identified as "Mr. Land's Sixth Grade." After viewing each problem sequence, the prospective teacher enacts a response which is observed by a supervisor through one-way glass. The supervisor then selects an appropriate feedback sequence, thus enabling the student teacher to view the possible consequences to his handling of each problem.

Kersh conducted several experiments to determine how this material could best be used. One experiment was aimed at determining the need for realism in the projected

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Morris J. Weinburger, "The Use of Simulation in the Teaching of School Administrators" (unpublished doctoral dissertation, Columbia University, 1965).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Bert Y. Kersh, Classroom Simulation: <u>A New</u> <u>Dimension in Teacher Education</u>, Final Report, NDEA Title VII, Froject No. 886 (Monmouth, Oregon: Teaching Research Division, Oregon State System of Higher Education, 1963).
image. Four groups of tercher-trainees were given the same visual instruction, varying only in the realism of the screen projection. Group I viewed life-size motion pictures; Group II viewed motion pictures greatly reduced in size; Group III viewed life-size still projections and Group IV viewed smaller still projections. A pretestposttest design was used and an analysis of variance performed. Kersh found smaller, less realistic projections to be significantly more effective (at the .05 level) for instructional use than life-size projections. A possible explanation for this finding is that the less realistic mode of presentation allows the learner to remain slightly detatched from the problem and to become more analytical in his response.<sup>18</sup>

In another experiment, Kersh compared the posttest performance of students who enacted responses to filmed problems to the performance of those who simply described how they would respond.<sup>19</sup> No significant difference was found between the two modes of response.

Kersh's simulation materials were used by Beals in a study to determine whether simulated classroom experience can effectively substitute for live pre-student-teaching

<sup>18&</sup>lt;u>Ibid</u>., pp. 8-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Bert Y. Kersh, <u>Classroom Simulation: Further</u> <u>Studies on Dimensions of Realism</u>, Final Report, NDEA Title VII, Project No. 5-0848 (Monmouth, Oregon: Teaching Research Division, Oregon State System of Higher Education, 1965).

experience. <sup>20</sup> Junior level students were randomly assigned to three treatments: (1) two weeks of classroom observation and participation; (2) one week of live observation and participation followed by one week of simulated classroom experience; (3) one week of simulated classroom experience. Cwiterion measures consisted of the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory and four measures of student teaching performance. Analysis of variance revealed no significant differences among the three groups in student teaching performance or in attitude toward teaching.

Vlcek used Kersh's materials to investigate the effect of simulated classroom experience on the ability of prospective teachers to identify and cope with actual classroom problems, and also on their self-confidence in teaching.<sup>21</sup>

A two-group design was employed. The experimental group received nine hours of simulated classroom experience in which classroom problems and feedback sequences were projected in sound, motion and color on a large projection screen. Prospective teachers responded to each problem presented and immediately observed the classroom behavior elicited by their response.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>Paul E. Beals, <u>Classroom Simulation as a</u> <u>Substitute for Live Pre-Student-Teaching Laboratory</u> <u>Experiences</u> (Shippensburg, Pa.: Shippensburg State College, 1970).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>Charles W. Vlcek, "Assessing the Effect and Transfer Value of a Classroom Simulator Technique" (unpublished dectoral dissertation, Michigan State University, 1965).

A posttest and an observational record form were developed to evaluate awareness of problems, response to problems and application of principles. The posttest was administered immediately after the experimental treatment; the observational record form was used during student teaching. A confidence scale was also developed and administered on a pretest-posttest schedule. Analysis of variance was used to compare the two groups. It was found that awareness of problems is not developed through simulated classroom experience but that effective responses to classroom problems, principles which can be used in solving classroom problems and confidence in ability to teach can be developed through simulation.

Another set of simulation materials, entitled "Teaching Froblems Laboratory,"<sup>22</sup>was developed by Cruickshank, Broadbent and Bubb in connection with a study to determine the effectiveness of simulation for presenting critical teaching problems.<sup>23</sup> "Teaching Problems Laboratory" is in many ways similar to "Mr. Land's Sixth Grade," but controlled feedback is not provided.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>Donald R. Cruickshank, Frank W. Broadbent and Roy Bubb, <u>Teaching Problems Laboratory</u> (Chicago: Science Research Associates, 1967).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>Donald R. Cruickshank and Frank W. Broadbent, <u>The Simulation and Analysis of Problems of Berinning</u> <u>Teachers</u>, U.S. Office of Education Cooperative Research Project No. 5-0798 (Washington D.C.: Government Frinting Office, 1968).

Instead, feedback results from the reactions of fellow participants. Each participant assumes the role of a beginning fifth-grade teacher. Critical teaching problems are presented to him by means of films, role plays and written incidents. He reacts by identifying each problem and deciding upon a solution. The participants as a group then discuss the incidents, their bypotheses and projected consequences.

Cruickshank and Broadbent hypothesized that simulated classroom experience would result in (1) fewer teaching problems, (2) improved teaching performance, (3) more positive attitudes toward teaching, (4) greater selfconfidence and (5) less training time required before assuming full-time teaching responsibility. These hypotheses were tested using a pretest-posttest control group design. Teaching problems were measured by a perceived problems inventory; teaching performance by a classroom observation record and a student teacher evaluation report; attitude by the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory; confidence by a confidence scale; and time required to assume responsibility by a report from each supervising teacher. Results of the tests generally favored the experimental group, but the only significant finding was that fewer teaching problems occurred among the student teachers who had received simulation training. However, Cruickshank and Broadbent

noted that student teaching resulted in no more significant changes in student teacher behavior than did simulated classroom experience. They concluded:

It can be said that the simulation training when tested under the most stringent conditions was an unqualified success as a teaching device that motivates and involves students and that, although simulation was only partially successful in changing the student teachers' behavior, it was at least as effective as an equal amount of student teacling.<sup>24</sup>

ſ

Gaffga conducted a study to determine whether Cruickshank and Broadbent's "Teaching Problems Laboratory" provides the framework to effectively observe student teacher behavior.<sup>25</sup> A two-group design was used in which the experimental group took part in the simulation project. Student teachers in both groups were rated by two education professors, the college supervisor and the cooperating teacher, using Ryans' classroom observation record. In addition, members of the experimental group were rated by two observers in the simulated setting. The various ratings for each student were compared, using Speerman rank correlation coefficients and Mann-Whitney U tests. Gaffga concluded that behavior can be observed effectively in the simulated setting as later exhibited in regular student teaching and that simulation does produce a change in the teaching behaviors of student teachers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>Robert M. Gaffga, "Simulation: A Method for Observing Student Teacher Behavior" (unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Tennessee, 1967).

The use of simulation techniques to affect attitudinal changes toward professional course objectives was investigated by Bond.<sup>26</sup> A two-group design was employed. Using Kersh's classroom simulator, each member of the experimental group was required to practice problem-solving until an established level of performance was achieved. Bond found no significant differences between the two groups, but noted a trend toward positive attitude change for the group receiving simulated classroom experiences as a part of instruction.

Hart also conducted a study concerning the effects of simulation upon attitude.<sup>27</sup> Four sections of a political science course were used in a Solomon four-group design. Two of the sections were randomly selected for simulation training. A semantic differential was used to test attitudinal differences and course unit tests were given to measure cognitive differences between groups. Data were analyzed by analysis of covariance, chi-square and <u>t</u> tests. Significant differences were found in the polarization of attitudes between the groups exposed to a simulation experience and the groups which were not.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>Jack H. Bond, <u>Using Simulation Techniques to</u> <u>Change Attitudes of Education Majors Toward Professional</u> <u>Course Objectives</u> (Monmouth, Cregon: Oregon State System of Higher Education, 1965).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>William K. Hart, <u>An Analysis of the Usefulness</u> of <u>Simulation Games in Affecting Attitudinal Changes and</u> <u>Skill-Type Learning</u> (San Diego: U.S. International University, 1970).

## Use of Videotape in Teacher Education

The use of videotape recording equipment is central to several programs in teacher education. Among those mentioned in articles by Gibson<sup>28</sup> and by Johnson, Frantz and Schultz<sup>29</sup> are: (1) microteaching, (2) model teaching demonstrations, (3) student teacher observation, (4) classroom observation and (5) simulation.

Although these programs could be carried out by means of sound films, the recent availability of portable videotaping equipment may have contributed to their development. Videotape recording offers several distinct advantages: (1) comparatively low cost, (2) immediate opportunity for replay of tapes, and (3) almost indefinite tape re-use capability.<sup>30</sup>

Videotaping is currently a part of the teacher education programs of many colleges and universities and is used to train teachers in various subject matter areas. Finney and Niltz<sup>31</sup>report extensive use of the videotape recorder at Stanford University where, under the direction

<sup>30</sup>Gibson, <u>op cit.</u>, p. 107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>James W. Gibson, "Using Videotape in the Training of Teachers," <u>Speech Teacher</u>, XVII (March, 1968), 107-109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>James A. Johnson, Nevin R. Frantz, Jr., and James V. Schultz, "Videotape Recording in Teacher Education," <u>Educational Technology</u>, IX (Nay, 1969), 48-53.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup>Robert H. Finney and Robert J. Liltz, <u>Television</u> <u>Recordings and Teacher Education: New Directions</u> (Stanford: <u>Stanford University</u>, 1968).

of Dwight W. Allen, the microtenching concept was developed. It is also being used in counselor education at Indiana State University,<sup>32</sup> in the training of foreign language teachers at Fittsburgh University,<sup>33</sup> and in the developmental reading practicum at Clark College.<sup>34</sup> McHenry describes the use of video techniques at three Utah teacher training institutions.<sup>35</sup> A series of articles sponsored by the Multi-State Teacher Education Project lists eleven other colleges and universities which use videotaping processes to train teachers in such diversified fields as arithmetic, reading, counseling and mathematics.<sup>36</sup>

The effectiveness of videotaped instruction was investigated by Fophem.  $^{37}$  Four taped instructional pro-

<sup>35</sup>Vere A. McHenry, <u>The Use of Video Processes in</u> <u>Teacher Education</u> (Salt Loke City: Utah State Board of Education, 1968).

<sup>55</sup>Noward E. Bosley (ed.) and Harold E. Wigren (ed.), <u>Television and Related Media in Teacher Education: Sone</u> <u>Exemplary Practices</u> (Baltimore: Multi-State Teacher Education Project, 1967).

<sup>37</sup>W. James Pophem, "Instructional Video Tares in Teacher Education," <u>AV Communication Review</u>, XIV (Pall 1966), 371-376.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup>Lawrence Beymer, "Implications of Simulation, Videotape Recording, Analysis Systems and Research for Counselor Education," <u>Educational Technology</u>, IX (August 1969), 56-57.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>Christina Eratt Paulston, <u>The Use of Video-Tape</u> <u>in the Training of Foreign Language Teachers</u> (Pittsburgh: Pittsburgh University, 1970).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>Alden J. Foe and Sister Mary Dorothy Feehan, <u>The Use of Videotape Recorders in the Training of Reading</u> <u>Teachers</u> (Dubuque: Clarke College, 1968).

grams were tested in a three-group design. One group received audio and video instruction, another group received audio and written instruction and the third group received audio and video presentations that were not relevant to the instructional programs. Each of the four instructional programs included a video-taped posttest which required the subjects to identify whether certain principles were present in each of the instructional situations. These posttests, along with a written test regarding the four instructional principles, were used as criterion measures. The three groups were compared by an analysis of variance. On all four programs, the group that had received videotaped instruction scored significantly higher (at the .01 level) than did the other two groups.

## Nedia in Music Teacher Training

A series of sound-films of a method for teaching general music to a first grade class was developed by Fierbaugh.<sup>38</sup> The effectiveness of the instructional films was evaluated by means of a multiple-choice test, administered on a pretest-posttest schedule, that was designed to measure the subjects' understanding of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>Harry W. Fierbauch, "The Development and Evaluation of a Series of Sound Films for Music Teacher Training Education" (unpublished doctoral dissertation, State University of Iowa, 1963).

method. The sample consisted of three groups: (1) thirty elementary education majors who received filmed instruction, (2) thirty elementary education majors who received teacher instruction, and (3) a control group of thirty-two glee club members who did not receive instruction. Using the Sign Test and the Mann-Whitney U Test, scores of each of the experimental groups were compared with control group scores. Not surprisingly, each of the groups receiving instruction scored significantly higher than did the control group. Fierbaugh concluded that the sound-films were an effective means of presenting the concepts and techniques of an approach for teaching general music.

The effectiveness of sound-films has been somewhat offset by the time and expense required for editing and processing. Television, for reasons already stated, is proving to be a more flexible medium for educational use. However, its use in music teacher education is still somewhat restricted.<sup>39</sup> College music departments have used television principally as a public address system in presenting lectures to multiple sections of music appreciation classes.<sup>40</sup>

A review of the literature produced few controlled studies regarding the effectiveness of videotape usage in music teacher education. Most of the conclusions reached

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup>Thomas H. Carpenter, "TV - More Than a Talking Face," <u>Music Educators Journal</u>, LVII (January, 1971), 61. <sup>40</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 62.

in various journal articles are but opinion, unsupported by reliable data and therefore unacceptable for generalization. However, some of the articles have descriptive value.

Skapski investigated the feasibility of producing synchronized videotapes as instructional aids in the study of music.<sup>41</sup> Recordings were made of musical performances and later synchronized with musical notations using an author-developed "Nota-Graph" notation system. Favorable evaluations by participants were reported. Although technical problems were encountered, Skapski concluded that production of synchronized videotapes is possible and worthy of further development.

The videotape recorder was used by Daellenbach in a study designed to investigate overt verbal and nonverbal behaviors exhibited by students actively involved in a music performance learning environment.<sup>42</sup> Daellenbach considered nonverbal behaviors to be of particular importance in an investigation of musical learning, having found in a previous study that nonverbal action accounts

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup>George J. Skapski, <u>Feasibility of Froducing</u> <u>Synchronized Video Tares as Instructional Aids in the Study</u> <u>of Music</u>, Final Report, USOE Research Project No. 7-I-052 (Northridge, California: San Fernando Valley State College, 1969).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup>C. Charles Daellerbach, <u>Identification and Class</u>-<u>ification of Music Learning Behaviors Utilizing Videotape</u> <u>Recording Techniques</u>, Final Report, USOE Iroject No.9-B-085 (Rochester: Eastman School of Music, 1970).

for more than fifty per-cent of instructional time.<sup>43</sup> Regarding the use of the videotape recorder in his analysis of nonverbal behavior, Daellenbach states:

The use of a videotape recorder as a highly effective tool for recording behavioral data and for detailed analysis of specific teaching behaviors has been justified by this study. Evaluation can be made on a far higher level of sorhistication than is possible when traditional procedures are followed. Just as the audiotape recorder proved to be a successful adjunct to verbal behavior investigation, the videotape recorder has now become recognized as a valuable tool for any truly comprehensive study of behavior.<sup>44</sup>

Some videctoping techniques have been adopted in music education methods courses. Student presentations of lessons are recorded and critiqued. In instrumental methods classes, the "zoom" lens permits close-up viewing of such details as embouchure and hand position.<sup>45</sup> Perhaps the most widespread use of the videotape recorder in the preparation of music teachers has been its use in the conducting class. Commenting upon its effectiveness, Joseph Labuta states:

The portable video tape recorder (VTR) has proven to be a most useful teaching tool. From bowling alley to micro-teaching laboratory, a VTR provides the immediate feedback that modifies or reinforces the

<sup>43</sup>Daellenbach, "An Investigation of the Use of Videotape Recorder Techniques in the Identification of Behavioral Characteristics of Music Teachers" (unpublished Master's thesis, Eastman School of Music, 1968).

<sup>44</sup>Daellenbach, <u>Identification and Classification</u>, p. 15.

<sup>45</sup>Allen Cannon, "Video-Tape Improves Teaching," <u>Music Journal</u>, XXVIII (July, 1970), 22. behavior of  $_{4}{}^{\rm the}$  student more efficiently than any instructor.

It is noteworthy that videotaping in music teacher education is used primarily to present traditional lectures and to observe the behaviors of prospective teachers. Apparently few, if any, attempts have been made to use videotape as a means of enabling students to participate in realistic learning experiences through simulated classroom encounters.

#### Attitude as it Relates to Teaching

The relationship between attitude and critical thinking ability was investigated by Bradberry.<sup>47</sup> Bradberry found a positive, significant correlation between the critical thinking abilities and the attitudes of teacher education students. The Watson-Glasser Critical Thinking Appraisal and the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory were used as measures. Bradberry also found attitude and critical thinking ability to become progressively more favorable and higher from the Freshman to the Senior class level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup>Joseph Labuta, "VTR (Video Tape Recorder)", <u>Instrumentalist</u>, XXV (September, 1970), 87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup>Renald D. Bradberry, "Relationships Among Critical Thinking Ability, Personality Attributes, and Attitudes of Students in a Teacher Education Program" (unpublished doctoral dissertation, North Texas State University, 1968).

McCullough examined the attitudinal differences existing between teachers rated most competent and those rated least competent by their respective school administrators.<sup>43</sup> A teacher attitude inventory was developed and administered to 182 elementary school teachers and twenty-five elementary school administrators. The sample was divided into three groups: (1) administrators, (2) those teachers rated most successful by their administrators, and (3) those teachers rated least successful by their administrators. Test reliability was determined by a Kuder-Richardson formula. The standard score "z" test of significance was used to examine differences between means for the three sample groups. Attitudinal differences existing between teachers rated most successful and teachers rated least successful and between administrators and teachers rated least successful were found to be significant at the .05 level of confidence. No significant attitudinal differences were found between the teachers rated most successful and the school administrators. McCullough concluded from these findings that an attitude inventory can be useful as a predictor of teaching success.

Interest in attitude measurement as a possible predictor of student success appears to be increasing. Christine McGuire states:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup>J.L. McCullough, "A Study of Teacher Attitude and Teacher Rating" (unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Nebraska Teachers College, 1968).

Because tests of cognitive attributes have yielded such generally disappointing results, there is a growing tendency to incorporate various interest, attitude and personality measures in the admission test batteries of certain professional schools.<sup>49</sup>

Of particular interest to this study is the research reported by Leeds, one of the developers of the Ninnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory.<sup>50</sup> Leeds was concerned with the predictive validity of that instrument. Using a longitudinal approach, he correlated MTAI scores obtained at the beginning of teacher training, at graduation from college and again after one or more years of teaching experience with evaluation ratings for each of 100 subjects. Ratings by the principal, the pupils and a classroom observer were combined to form each evaluation rating. A Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient of .51 was found between NTAI scores obtained after at least one year of teaching experience and the composite rating, indicating the concurrent validity of the NTAI. Of greatest interest from the standpoint of prediction was the correlation of .27 (significant at the .01 level) found between the NTAI scores of beginning teacher trainees and their evaluation ratings after at least one year of teaching experience.

<sup>49</sup>Christine H. McGuire, "Testing in Professional Education," <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, XXXVIII (February, 1968), 52.

<sup>50</sup>C. H. Leeds, "Predictive Validity of the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory," <u>Journal of Teacher Education</u>, XX (Spring, 1969), 51-56.

A lower correlation of .20 (significant at the .05 level), possibly resulting from the leveling influence of professional training in education, was found between MTAI scores at the time of graduation and the ratings received while teaching. Following an item analysis, the test was shortened and the scoring key modified, resulting in a predictive validity of .55 for beginning teacher trainees and .57 for inexperienced college graduates. Leeds concluded that "as one measure of teacher acceptance of pupils and children, the MTAI performs an important function in the prediction of teaching potential."<sup>51</sup>

## Nusic Teaching and Attitudes

A Musicians Interest Inventory was developed by Kenneth O. Snapp to be used as a counseling aid for college music students.<sup>52</sup> This testing instrument was distributed to 2,780 musicians to explore similarities and differences in interest between specialty groups in music. Four specialty groups were represented in the sample: college level theoretical music teachers, college level applied music teachers, public school music teachers, and symphony musicians. Scales were constructed and Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients were computed. A correlation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup>Kenneth O. Snapp, "Development of a Musicians Interest Inventory for Use in Vocational and Educational Guidance" (unpublished doctoral dissertation, Indiana University, 1953).

of .76 was found between college theoretical and college applied music teachers; -.88 between college theoretical and public school music teachers; .25 between college theoretical music teachers and symphony musicians; -.82 between college applied and public school music teachers; .13 between college applied music teachers and symphony musicians; and -.49 between public school music teachers and symphony musicians. These findings indicate that interests of college music teachers and symphony musicians are closely related, whereas interests of public school music teachers are strikingly dissimilar to those of the other three groups. Snapp discovered that

Public school music teaching is the least preferred position among the four specialty groups under consideration. Only 41 per-cent of the public school music teachers themselves rated it their first choice, and just one per-cent of the other three specialty groups preferred it.<sup>90</sup>

A study of music career preferences by Cady produced findings similar to those reported by Snapp.<sup>54</sup> A Survey of Music Career Preferences was developed and administered to 356 music majors at the Ohio State University. Music careers involving either professional performance or direction of high level performance groups received high ratings. Elementary school music teaching

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 121.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup>Henry L. Cady, "Survey of Music Career Preferences" (Columbus: The Ohio State University, 1970). (Mimeographed.)

was the least preferred career, even among the students majoring in music education.

Epley, expressing concern over the unfavorable attitudes which many music education students hold regarding the teaching of music, sought to determine the effect that teaching music to small groups of children in elementary and secondary schools would have in developing a positive attitude toward music teaching among sophomore university music students.<sup>55</sup> Using the MTAI to measure attitude toward pupils, the Miller Attitude Scale Toward Teaching to measure attitude toward the teaching profession, and the Cady Survey of Music Career Preferences to measure attitude toward careers in music, an experimental group of thirty and a control group of fifteen were tested on a pretest and posttest schedule. Scores were compared by means of analysis of covariance and the t test. Positive changes in attitude toward the teaching profession and toward careers in music were significant at the .05 and at the .Ol levels respectively. Changes in attitude toward pupils were not significant. The use of the MTAI, the Cady Survey, and the Pretest-Posttest Control Group Design in Epley's study parallels the use of these instruments and of the design in the current study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup>William C. Epley, "Modifying Attitudes Toward School Music Teaching Through Sophomore Level Experience in Elementary or Secondary Schools" (unpublished doctoral dissertation, Arizona State University, 1971).

Attitude toward the teaching of music is an area of concern to those engaged in the preparation of elementary classroom teachers as well as those who train music specialists. In 1963, the National Education Association Research Division reported that thirty-five per-cent of elementary school music was taught by classroom teachers and that forty per-cent was taught by classroom teachers with the help of music specialists.<sup>56</sup> Yet many prospective elementary teachers do not feel that their training adequately prepares them to teach music.<sup>57</sup>

A study by Smith deals with the attitudes of elementary education majors toward the teaching of music.<sup>58</sup> Smith examined attitude and confidence level changes that occur during a student teaching experience. Sixty-six student teachers served as subjects. The subjects expressed positive changes in confidence for teaching (significant at the .01 level) and in confidence for teaching music (significant at the .05 level), as measured by a confidence level inventory. Negative changes, significant at the .001 level, occurred in attitude toward

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup><u>Music and Art in the Public Schools</u>, Research Monograph 1963-13, (Washington, D.C.: Research Division, National Education Association, 1963), p. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup>Patrick J. Groff, "Self-Estimates of Teaching Ability in Elementary School Subjects," <u>Journal of Teacher</u> <u>Education</u>, XIII (December, 1962), 417-421.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup>Martha L. Smith, "A Study of Elementary Student Teacher Confidence in and Attitudes Toward Music and Changes that Occur in Student Teaching Experience" (unpublished doctoral dissertation, Michigan State University, 1969).

<u>ç</u>. - ^ -9 <sup>-</sup> -

 $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$ 

teaching and children as measured by the MTAI. Interest in music increased, although not significantly, and attitude toward the teaching of music decreased slightly.

Epley notes a lack of research in the area of attitudes as related to the preparation of music teachers.<sup>59</sup> The same conclusion is expressed by Schneider and Cady: "Ferhaps the greatest deficiency in research information is the value system of music educators."<sup>60</sup>

#### Summary

The literature reviewed may be summarized as follows:

- 1. Skill in handling a variety of occupational problems, including teaching problems, can be gained through simulated experience.
- 2. Simulation does not require that all aspects of a real situation be present; projected images can be reduced in size and written responses are as effective as enacted responses.
- 3. Videotape recording has been found to be an effective nears of presenting perceivable phenomena in instructional programs.
- 4. Media, particularly videotape, have received limited use in music teacher education.
- 5. A relationship exists between attitude, critical thinking shility and teaching competence.
- 6. Attitude measures can be useful in predicting teaching success.

<sup>59</sup>Epley, <u>op. cit</u>., p. 33.

<sup>60</sup>Erwin H. Schneider and Henry L. Cady, <u>Evaluation</u> <u>and Synthesis of Research Studies Relating to Music</u> <u>Education</u> (Columbus: The Ohio State University, 1965), p. 323.

Ţ.

\_\_\_

- 7. Many music teachers and prospective music teachers do not place primary value on teaching music as a career.
- 8. Experience in working with children has resulted in positive changes in attitude toward music teaching on the part of prospective instrumental music teachers.
- 9. The effects of simulated teaching experience upon the attitudes of prospective instrumental music teachers have not been investigated.

The relationship between attitude and teaching competence suggests the possibility that simulation, which has been found effective in developing skill in handling teaching problems, can also be used to change attitudes toward teaching. The proven effectiveness of videotape in presenting perceivable phenomena and the discovery that the ideal projected image for simulation training is approximately the size of a video monitor recommend the use of videotape in creating and presenting simulation materials.

#### CHAPTER III

## FROCEDURE

The present study was developed in three phases: (1) the preparation of videotapes, (2) the experimental workshop and (3) the statistical treatment of data. This chapter describes these three phases, as well as the sample, treatment conditions and evaluative instruments.

## Preparation of Videotapes

Ten critical teaching situations were selected by means of a questionnaire<sup>1</sup> sent to the 160 members of the Arizona Band and Orchestra Directors Association. Forty teaching problems were briefly described in the questionnaire. Ten additional spaces were provided for items to be added by the respondents. The respondents were asked to select and to rank the ten situations which they felt were most critical to the teaching of instrumental music.

Ninety-four of the questionnaires were completed and returned. The ten problems most frequently selected also scored highest in points assigned to the rankings. These ten situations were titled as follows: (1) Notivation, (2) Discipline, (3) Sight Reading Difficulty, (4) Lack of Theoretical Knowledge, (5) Grading, (6) Selection of Literature, (7) Intonation Difficulties, (8) Student

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This cuestionnaire may be found in Appendix A.

Musi
the
Nac.
Cohe
cr.
ر این ا از این ا
· :
CALC CALCULATION CONTRACTOR CONT
Se pr
2001 2001
S1+1;
ಲ್ಟಿಂತ
રેલ <u>ી</u> વ
30 <u>%</u> 2
is
200 201
ىقى يەرى ئىل يەرى
<sup>2</sup> ξ~.

Involvement, (9) Rhythmic Inaccuracy and (10) Scheduling Music Classes. Nine of the ten items were selected from the list of forty problems. The problem of scheduling was among the items added to the list by the teachers.

Video taping sessions were held at East High School and Griffeth Elementary School in Phoenix, Arizona and at Mesa Junior Figh School in Mesa, Arizona. An Ampex VR-5100 video tape recorder and a Telemation TMC-2100V video camera equipped with a zoom lens were used. The camera was positioned to capture the teacher's view of each situation. Approximately four hours of material were recorded, covering all of the ten critical teaching situations.

A rough script of each of the problem sequences was prepared prior to actual taping. The scripts were then reviewed by the instrumental music teachers whose classes were to be taped. The teachers assisted in the selection of students for key roles and in modifying the scripts as required to enable the children to enact each problem situation most naturally.

The students were informed of the situations that were to be portraved and provided with meneral instructions as to how each situation should be "acted out." They were not required to memorize lines. Instead, they were asked to sneak the intended message in their two words. Since they were well cast, the actual taping was accomplished with little difficulty.

To create ten videotaped sequences for final viewing, selections from the original tapes were dubbed onto a master tape using an Ampex VR-5100, an Ampex VR-5100E and a video processor. Words spoken by the "teacher" were added by means of a character generator. Cards were used for titles. The presentations varied in length from one to ten minutes. Selection determination of the order of the problem situations was made on a random basis.

The completed tapes were presented to a music research methods class at Michigan State University. Titles were blocked out and members of the class were asked to title each of the ten situations. A multiplechoice questionnaire was then constructed, based on the titles suggested by the class.

The questionnaire was administered to an instrumental music class comprised of twenty in-service music teachers and twenty-one music education students without teaching experience. The series of videotapes was shown with the titles still blocked out. Each class member responded by selecting the title that he considered appropriate. Chi-souare analysis revealed no significant difference between the responses of the experienced teachers and those of the prospective teachers. However, two of the titles were not selected as most appropriate by the class. Members of the class expressed the opinion that the videotapes were authentic portrayals of the situations described but that the two titles were not

sufficiently inclusive. Accordingly, the two situations were retitled. "Grading" was changed to "Evaluation" and "Student Involvement" was retitled "Rehearsal Procedures."

For final authentication, the tapes were presented to six Arizona music educators ranging in experience from one to seventeen years.<sup>2</sup> Again the titles were blocked out and the jury members were asked to select the most appropriate titles. All of the videotaped situations were unanimously accepted as accurate portrayals of situations likely to be faced by an instrumental music teacher. With but one exception, the correct titles were selected as being most appropriate.

## Fopulation and Sample

The population of this study consisted of all of the undergraduate instrumental music education majors at Arizona State University for the Fall Semester 1971. An instrumental music education major was described as a music student seeking a baccalaurate degree with a certificate to teach public school instrumental music in the State of Arizona. The population total was approximately one hundred.

The sample consisted of the fifty-seven students who completed the pretext and one or both of the posttests. Scheduling difficulties at the times tests were administered

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The form used to determine authenticity may be found in Appendix B.

were the major cause for the lack of participation in the project by the other music education students. Pretest mean scores of the twelve students who were present for the pretest but did not complete either of the posttests were 15.58 on the MTAI (Key C) and 35.75 on the Cady Survey. These means did not differ significantly from the sample means. The sample, therefore, was assumed to be representative of the population and not biased by the selection technique.

#### Instruments

Two attitude measurement instruments were deemed necessary for this study: one measuring attitude toward teaching and pupils and one concerned with attitude toward various careers within music.

# Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory<sup>3</sup>

The Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory (MTAI) was selected to measure attitude toward teaching and pupils. A split-half reliability of .93 is claimed for this instrument.<sup>4</sup> According to the authors, research indicates that

Attitudes of teachers toward children and school work can be measured with a high reliability, and that they are significantly correlated with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Walter W. Cook, Carroll H. Leeds and Robert Callis, <u>Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory</u> (New York: The Psychological Corporation, 1951).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 13.

teacher-pupil relations found in the teachers' classrooms. The MTAI has emerged from these researches. It is designed to measure those attitudes of a teacher which predict how well he will get along with pupils in interpersonal relationships, and indirectly how well satisfied he will be with teaching as a vocation.

Getzels and Jackson state that the MTAI is the most popular instrument for the measurement of teacher attitudes.<sup>6</sup> Smith notes that the MTAI is common to studies of attitude change in student teachers.<sup>7</sup> However, Leeds contends that the instrument has often been misused:

Contributing to further questioning of the validity of the instrument and to the equivocal results concerning it found in the literature has been its unwarranted use with student teachers and teachers without experience. Constructed on the basis of experienced teachers' responses to the items, the MTAI should be confined in its use to experienced teachers, if the present scoring key is employed.

The feasibility of using the present scoring key for predictive purposes with entrants into teacher training, student teachers, or even graduates without experience is highly questionable.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>5</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 3.

<sup>6</sup>J. W. Getzels and P. W. Jackson, "The Teacher's Personality and Characteristics," <u>Handbook of Research on</u> <u>Teaching</u>, ed. N. L. Gage (Chicago: Rand McKally and Co., 1965), p. 508.

<sup>7</sup>Martha L. Smith, "A Study of Elementary Student Teacher Confidence In and Attitude Toward Music and Changes that Occur in a Student Teaching Experience," (unpublished doctoral dissertation, Michigan State University, 1969), p. 50.

<sup>8</sup>Carroll H. Leeds, "Predictive Validity of the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory," <u>Journal of Teacher</u> <u>Education</u>, XX, No. 1 (Spring, 1969), 51.

9<u>Ibid</u>., p. 54.

In order to obtain higher predictive validity coefficients for teacher trainees, Leeds constructed modified scoring keys baced on item response patterns of beginning tracher trainees and inexperienced college graduates.<sup>10</sup>

Use of the modified keys can be recommended when the MTAI is used for predictive purposes before completion of training and acquisition of experience. Item response patterns at the three levels differ sufficiently to warrant use of the different keys.<sup>11</sup>

Because all of the subjects of the present study lacked teaching experience, the published scoring key and both of Leeds' modified keys were used with the MTAI.

## Survey of Music Career Preferences<sup>12</sup>

The unpublished Survey of Music Career Preferences (Cady Survey) by Henry Cady was used to measure attitude toward various careers within music. A review of reference works by Shaw and Wright,<sup>13</sup> Buros,<sup>14</sup> Whybrew<sup>15</sup> and

10<sub>See pp</sub>. 34-35

<sup>11</sup>Leeds, <u>op. cit</u>., p. 55

<sup>12</sup>Henry L. Cady, "Survey of Music Career Preferences" (Columbus: The Chio State University, 1970). (Mimeographed.)

13<sub>Marvin E. Shaw and Jack M. Wright, Scales for the Measurement of Attitudes</sub> (New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1967).

<sup>14</sup>Oscar K. Buros (ed.), <u>The Sixth Mental Messure-</u> <u>ments Yearbook</u> (Highland Park: The Gryphon Press, 1965).

<sup>15</sup>William Whybrew, <u>Measurement and Evaluation in</u> <u>Music</u> (Dubuque: Wm. C. Brown Company, 1962).

Lehman<sup>16</sup> led to the conclusion that no standard published instrument exists which fulfills the specific needs of this study.

The Cady Survey is designed to report the career preferences of music students by means of paired comparisons. The survey consists of two parts. In Part I, selections are mode from a list of ten music teaching careers. Part II combines music teaching careers with a variety of other careers in music.<sup>17</sup>

In ranking such lists according to one's preferences, that is, from most preferred to least preferred, one may find it difficult to choose between some items. Too, he may find it difficult to avoid momentary feelings about a career which will affect all of his other choices, the "halo" effect. In order to minimize these difficulties, a technique has been developed which is called the paired comparisons. In this method, each item in a list is paired with every other item in the list so that a respondent chooses between each career and all other responses.<sup>18</sup>

The Cady Survey is designed to report student career preferences, not to value occupational selections. For this reason, a method of scoring had to be devised. In this study, all music occupations involving public school music teaching were considered positive responses. Each score consisted of the total number of positive responses.

<sup>16</sup>Paul R. Lehman, <u>Tests and Measurements in Music</u> (Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1968).

> 17These career lists may be found in Appendix C. 18Cady, <u>op. cit</u>., p. 1.

### Design

The basic research design of this study was the pretest-posttest control group design as described by Campbell and Stanley.<sup>19</sup> The paradigm for this design is

R O X O R O O

In experiments involving attitudes, Campbell and Stanley recommend repeated posttests. They also warn against relying on an immediate posttest or measure at any single point in time for the evaluation of a teaching method.<sup>20</sup> Following their recommendation, the design of this experiment was modified to include a second posttest administered one month after the experimental treatment.

One of the features of the pretest-posttest control group design is that it provides for the control of all eight of the factors jeopardizing internal validity.<sup>21</sup> But there is no way to determine the extent to which sources of external invalidity may have affected the experiment. The pretest may have interacted with the treatment. The novelty of a workshop may have had an effect upon the treatment. The students at Arizona State University may not be typical of instrumentel music education students. Lack of interest may have accounted

<sup>19</sup>Donald T. Campbell and Julian C. Stanley, <u>Experi-</u> <u>mental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Research</u> (Chicago: Rand McNally and Company, 1963), p. 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>Ibid., p. 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 8.



for the failure on the part of some of the students to participate in the experiment. Although none of these factors jeopardize the random equivalence of the treatment and control groups, they are likely to affect the representativeness of the sample and any generalizations that may be drawn from the experiment. Therefore, the results of the study should be generalized only to students and schools similar to those involved in the present study.

## Testing Procedure

Three tests were administered: a pretest, a posttest immediately after the experiment and a posttest one month after the experiment. All of the tests were administered in the same room by the same person at the same time of day. The time selected follows the orchestra rehearsal period and immediately precedes the band rehearsal period. No regular music classes are scheduled at that time; the hour is reserved for special meetings and ensembles that do not meet on a regular basis. A large lecture hall adjacent to the band/orchestra rehearsal room was used for testing, si ce nearly all of the instrumental music majors are members of either the orchestra or the band. Each testing session was announced in all of the music education classes and in band and orchestra rehearsals as a "special meeting for all instrumental
music education majors."

Random assignment to groups was made by means of cards at the time of the pretest. Upon entering the room each student was given a card and asked to fill in his name, class level and whether or not he was engaged in student teaching. Because the experiment was held during the Fall Semester, none of the students had completed their student teaching assignments. While the students were taking the pretest, the cards were divided into five groups: (1) Seniors engaged in student teaching, (2) Seniors not engaged in student teaching, (3) Juniors, (4) Sophomores and (5) Freshmen. Students in each of the five groups were then randomly assigned to either the experimental or control group on a 2:1 ratio. Announcement of assignments was made at the conclusion of the pretest.

# Experimental Workshop

The experimental treatment consisted of a two-day workshop. Two sessions were held on each of the two days. Two problem situations were presented during the first session, three during the second session, three during the third session and two during the fourth session. Four viewing stations were set up, each under the supervision of an experienced music teacher. In addition, two music education professors, Dr. William English of Arizona State University and Dr. Robert Sidnell of Michigan State

University, served as consultants.

Students from each class level were randomly assigned to four groups. Each group spent one session at each of the viewing stations. The groups viewed each episode and the students responded by writing down what they would do or day. Then the incident was viewed a second time, followed by a discussion of possible causes and solutions for the problem. Many varied and divergent ideas were presented regarding potential ways to handle problems, particularly those problems involving classroom management.

All of the students who participated in the first two sessions returned for the second day of the workshop. The students were generally enthusiastic and several expressed the desire that similar videotaped presentations be included in their regular course of study.

#### Treatment of Data

Tests were scored by the Arizona State University Testing Service. The scores were then transferred to cards by key punching, visually verified and arranged in accordance with the appropriate statistical programs. Computer processing was done on a GE 425 in the Arizona State University Computer Center.

The statistical analysis consisted of the analysis of covariance and the t test. The two-way

analysis of covariance was used to determine the significance of differences between the experimental and control groups, of differences between class levels and of the interaction between these two factors. The analysis of covariance adjusts for original differences in the tested individuals and is the statistical treatment recommended by Campbell and Stanley for the experimental design used in this study.<sup>22</sup>

Freshmen and sophonores were grouped together for analysis. The scheduling conflict that prohibited some of the students from participating in the experiment applied primarily to underclassmen and would have caused the groups to be small, thereby lessening the possibility of significant findings. It was felt that combining the two class levels would have little effect on the results of the study, since the freshman and sophomore curricula at Arizona State University are essentially the same and differ considerably from the junior and senior curricula.

Significant channes from pretest to posttest for each of the subgroups were ascertained by  $\underline{t}$  tests. Both the F ratios and the  $\underline{t}$  test statistics resulting from the analysis of covariance and the  $\underline{t}$  tests were tested for significance at the .05 and .01 levels.

<sup>22</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 23.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### RESULTS

Results of the study are presented in the form of tables. A raw data table which presents pretest and posttest scores along with the class level and student teaching experience of each student may be found in Appendix D.

The three scoring keys used with the MTAI are designated as follows: MTAI Key A refers to the original scoring key for experienced teachers, MTAI Key B refers to the modified scoring key recommended for inexperienced college graduates and MTAI Key C refers to the modified scoring key recommended for beginning teacher trainees.

## Report of Descriptive Data

Comparisons of the pretest and posttest means and standard deviations for each of the criteria are presented in Tables 1 - 4.

## Fretest-Posttest Means and Standard Deviations: MTAI Key A

Cell	Mean	n	S.D	•
	Pretest Pe	osttest	Pretest Po	osttest
Experimental Group	37.11	41.75	26.39	26.62
Control Group	38.95	37.11	27.17	27.86
Seniors	29.93	38.87	32.01	28.79
Juniors	47.86	42.73	20.23	22.64
Freshmen/Sophomores	31.89	38.06	25.42	31.09
Exper. Grp. Seniors	29.70	42.50	34.27	27.75
Exper. Grp. Juniors	42.64	38.50	19.66	22.14
Exper. Grp. Fr./Soph.	36.83	44.92	26.64	31.94
Control Grp. Seniors	30.40	31.60	30.73	32.70
Control Grp. Juniors	57.00	50.12	18.97	23.01
Control Grp. Fr./Soph.	22.00	24.33	21.44	26.49

## TABLE 2

Pretest-Posttest Means and Standard Deviations: MTAI Key B

Cell	Near	n	S.D	•
	Pretest P	osttest	Fretest P	osttest
Experimental Group	27.11	30.58	14.46	14.89
Control Group	25.37	25.58	18.16	18.90
Seniors	23.53	25.20	15.14	12.84
Juniors	31.91	30.91	10.91	11.43
Freshmen/Sophomores	22.39	29.39	19.56	23.20
Exper. Grp. Seniors	26.30	28.60	14.45	11.47
Exper. Grp. Juniors	30.21	28.50	11.02	11.56
Exper. Grp. Fr./Soph.	24.17	34.67	18.11	20.31
Control Grp. Seniors	18.00	18.40	16.57	13.94
Control Grp. Juniors	34.87	35.12	10.76	10.56
Control Grp. Fr./Soph.	18.83	18.83	23.57	26.87

# Pretest-Posttest Neans and Standard Devistions: MTAI Key C

Cell	Me	ean	S.	.D.
	Pretest	Fosttest	Pretest	Posttest
Experimental Group	15.83	20.44	9.88	10.84
Control Group	17.21	17.26	13.31	13.07
Seniors	14.80	16.87	12.31	12.45
Juniors	20.64	19.59	9.02	9.76
Freshmen/Soptomores	12.28	21.11	11.02	13.27
Exper. Grp. Seniors	16.80	20.80	12.59	11.52
Exper. Grp. Juniors	16.86	17.00	8.14	9.80
Exper. Grp. Fr./Soph.	13.83	24.17	9.79	11.03
Control Grp. Seniors	10.80	9.00	11.97	11.34
Control Grp. Juniors	27.25	24.12	6.50	8.39
Control Grp. Fr./Soph.	9.17	15.00	13.59	16.24

#### TABLE 4

## Pretest-Posttest Means and Standard Deviations: Cady Survey

Cell	Me	an	S	.D.
	Pretest	Posttest	Pretest	Posttest
Experimental Group	36.78	38.42	7.06	7.37
Control Group	33.53	33.42	9.49	9.28
Seniors	37.47	38.20	6.64	7.75
Juniors	37.14	37.95	6.78	6.13
Freshmen/Sophomores	32.33	33.69	9.77	10.63
Exper. Grp. Seniors	38.90	40.50	5.51	6.28
Exper. Grp. Juniors	36.57	37.93	6.66	6.09
Exper. Grp. Fr./Soph.	35.25	37.25	8.65	9.54
Control Grp. Seniors	34.60	33.60	8.41	9.04
Control Grp. Juniors	38.12	38.00	7.32	6.61
Control Grp. Fr./Soph.	26.50	27.17	9.93	1C.15

incre ectio 91.21 the C Boorg 00693 ther. 0<del>6</del>622  The treatment group posttest scores showed an increase over the pretest scores in all measures. The control group evidenced a slight increase in the MTAI when scoring keys E and C were used, and a decrease in the Cady and in the MTAI when used with scoring key A. Scores of seniors, sophomores and freshmen increased in all measures. Junior level students registered an increase in the Cady and a decrease in all forms of the MTAI. MTAI pretest scores of juniors were notably higher than those of the other students.

Comparisons of the pretest and delayed posttest means and standard deviations are presented in Tables 5-8.

TABLE 5

Cell	Ne	an	S.	D.
	Pretest	Posttest	Pretest	Posttest
Experimental Group	30.81	28.27	25.98	27.53
Control Group	36.37	35.75	26.50	23.71
Seniors	22.40	19.00	32.43	30.04
Juniors	45.39	45.06	21.37	17.48
Freshmen/Sophomores	24.43	21.86	20.72	25.49
Exper. Grp. Seniors	14.67	9.50	31.78	25.57
Exper. Grp. Juniors	41.58	43.08	22.24	20.27
Exper. Grp. Fr./Soph.	26.75	20.12	21.70	28.98
Control Grp. Seniors	34.00	33.25	34.24	34.15
Control Grp. Juniors	53.00	49.00	18.95	10.35
Control Grp. Fr./Soph.	21.33	24.17	20.90	22.43

Pretest-Delayed Posttest Means and Standard Deviations: MTAI Key A

Cell	Me	an	S.	.D.
	Pretest	Posttest	Pretest	Posttest
Experimental Group	22.35	26.81	13.28	14.48
Control Group	22.56	23.75	18.18	21.06
Seniors	19.50	16.80	14.29	15.55
Juniors	29.56	35.17	11.92	11.51
Freshmen/Sophomores	15.36	19.71	16.19	18.92
Exper. Grp. Seniors	20.17	18.00	12.16	15.74
Exper. Grp. Juniors	28.08	33.50	12.30	11.69
Exper. Grp. Fr./Soph.	15.37	23.37	13.06	14.16
Control Grp. Seniors	18.50	15.00	19.09	17.49
Control Grp. Juniors	32.50	38.50	11.62	11.40
Control Grp. Fr./Soph.	15.33	14.83	21.05	24.49

# Pretest-Delayed Posttest Means and Standard Deviations: MTAI Key B

# TABLE 7

Pretest-Delayed Posttest Means and Standard Deviations: MTAI Key C

Cell	Ne	ean	S.	.D.
	Pretest	Posttest	Pretest	Posttest
Experimentel Group	12.46	16.85	8.45	10.95
Control Group	16.19	18.31	13.36	12.94
Seniors	10.60	9.50	10.13	11.17
Juniors	18.56	24.44	9.17	8.71
Freshmen/Sophomores	10.21	14.00	10.96	10.53
Exper. Grp. Seniors	10.00	9.17	8.44	11.86
Exper. Grp. Juniors	14.92	22.08	8.03	9.12
Exper. Grp. Fr./Soph.	10.62	14.75	9.15	9.74
Control Grp. Seniors	11.50	10.00	1.3.70	11.80
Control Grp. Juniors	25.83	29.17	6.97	5.91
Control Grp. Fr./Soph.	9.67	13.00	13.94	12.39

~		
08		
Extent		
jozza0 Listra		
<u>Canton</u>		
Fine Single		
- men		
Lynan.		
191191		
178783 1997		
See 11		
3954 -		
342) 1920		
-1.0ag		
1. 1. 2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		
519 1947-2992		
· · .		

ΤA	ΒL	Ε	8

Cell	Me	ean	S.	D.
	Pretest	Posttest	Pretest	Fosttest
Experimental Group	36.88	38.19	7.33	7.59
Control Group	33.69	33.87	10.18	10.50
Seniors	37.60	37.20	7.82	9.46
Juniors	37.11	38.06	6.91	6.62
Freshmen/Sophomores	32.43	34.14	10.43	11.11
Exper. Grp. Seniors	39.50	39.67	6.53	8.78
Exper. Grp. Juniors	36.92	37.75	7.22	7.11
Exper. Grp. Fr./Soph.	34.87	37.75	8.32	8.31
Control Grp. Seniors	34.75	33.50	9.71	10.47
Control Grp. Juniors	37.50	38.67	6.89	6.09
Control Grp. Fr./Soph.	29.17	29.33	12.80	13.25

#### Pretest-Delayed Posttest Means and Standard Deviations: Cady Survey

The delayed posttest scores of both the experimental and control groups showed an increase over the pretest scores in the Cady and in the MTAI when scoring keys B and C were used. Both groups registered a decrease when Key A was used in scoring the MTAI. Scores of senior students decreased in all measures. Junior, sophomore and freshmen scores increased in the Cady and in the MTAI when scoring keys B and C were used. MTAI pretest and delayed posttest scores of juniors were considerably higher than those of the other students. Results of the analysis of covariance measuring pretest to posttest change are presented in Tables 9-16. The covariates used were the pretest scores.

Two factors were considered: the experimental/control group factor and the class level factor.

#### TABLE 9

		·····			
Source	Sums of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F ratio	Prob.
Group	538.984	1	538 <b>.</b> 984	2.438	0.121
Class Level	867.518	2	433.759	1.962	0.150
Interaction	214.758	2	107.379	0.486	0.624
Error	10611.513	48	221.073		

Analysis of Covariance: MTAI Key A Posttest

None of the F-ratios of Table 9 were significant.

|--|

#### Analysis of Covariance: MTAI Key B Posttest

Source	Sums of Squares	df	Mean Souares	F ratio	Prob.
Group	172.113	1	172.113	2.557	0.113
Class Level	198.315	2	99.158	1.473	0.238
Interaction	369.011	2	184.506	2.741	0.073
Error	3230.698	48	67.306		

None of the F-ratios of Table 10 were significant.

#### TABLE 11

Source	Sums of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F ratio	Prob.
Group	248.138	1	248.138	4.931	0.029
Class Level	528.269	2	264.134	5.248	0.009
Interaction	47.738	2	23.869	0.474	0.631
Error	2415.648	48	50.326		

Analysis of Covariance: MTAI Key C Posttest

The F-ratio of 4.931 for the treatment effect was significant at the .05 level. The class level F-ratio of 5.248 was significant at the .01 level. Interaction was not significant.

## Analysis of Covariance: Cady Survey Posttest

Source	Sums of Squares	df	Nean Squares	F ratio	Prob.
Group	48.805	1	48.805	4.307	0.041
Class Level	2.891	2	1.446	0.128	0.880
Interaction	4.726	2	2.363	0.209	0.814
Error	543.922	48	11.332		

The F-ratio of 4.307 for the treatment effect was significant at the .05 level. Class level and interaction F-ratios were not significant.

## TABLE 13

Analysis of Covariance: MTAI Key A Delayed Posttest

Source	Sums of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F ratio	Prob.
Group	211.794	1	211.794	0.933	0.658
Class Level	319.458	2	159.729	0.704	0.506
Interaction	270.639	2	135.319	0.596	0.561
Error	7941.461	35	226.899		
The second se	ويهي والته فالله والله والله والله والله والله والله والمري والله والله والله		ويتبع الجارة والباليون ويتنا تحدث فمتنا المتاعمة وتدرد ومتناه		

None of the F-ratios of Table 13 were significant.

Analysis of Covariance: MTAI Key B Delayed Fosttest

Source	Sums of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F ratio	Prob.
Group	81.357	1	81.357	0.868	0.640
Class Level	616.370	2	308.185	3.289	0.048
Interaction	157.609	2	78.804	0.841	0.557
Error	3279.721	35	93.706		

The F-ratio of 3.289 for the class level factor was significant at the .05 level. Treatment effect and interaction were not significant.

#### TABLE 15

Analysis of Covariance: MTAI Key C Delayed Fosttest

Source	Sums of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F ratio	Prob.
Group	0.330	1	0.330	0.005	0.944
Class Level	577.645	2	288.823	4.116	0.024
Interaction	5.147	2	2.573	0.037	0.964
Error	2455.875	35	70.168		

The F-ratio of 4.116 for the class level factor was significant at the .05 level. Again, neither of the other F-ratios were significant.

	Cady Survey Delayed Posttest					
Source	Sums of Squares	df	Mean Souares	F ratio	Prob.	
Group	19.878	1	19.878	1.283	0.264	
Class Level	21.945	2	10.973	0.708	0.504	
Interaction	18.558	2	9.279	0.599	0.560	
Error	542.232	35	15.492			

Analysis of Covariance: Cady Survey Delayed Posttest

None of the F-ratios of Table 16 were significant. The effects of the experimental treatment, as determined by the first posttest, were significant at the .05 level for the NTAI Key C and the Cady Survey. On both of these measures, all three class levels in the experimental group registered a mean score gain. Mone of the other F-ratios were significant for the experimental/ control group factor. The error in each test was large, lessening the possibility of significance. This error relates to the larger posttest standard deviation in all measures for the experimental group, suggesting that treatment may have created a larger range of scores.

Significant class level differences were found in Tables 11, 14 and 15. These findings may be due largely to the high pretest scores of juniors in the control group.

Interaction was not significant in any of the tests.

# Pesults of t Tests

Results of the  $\underline{t}$  tests measuring pretest to posttest change by the control group are presented in Tables 17 and 16.

#### TABLE 17

Pretest-Posttest t Values: Control Group

Test		Mean Score	Mean Difference	dſ	<u>t</u> value
MTAI Key A	Fretest Posttest	38.947 37.105	-1.842	18	623
MTAI Key B	Pretest Posttest	25.368 25.579	0.211	18	0.180
MTAI Key C	Pretest Fosttest	17.211 17.263	0.053	18	0.034
Cady Survey	Pretest Posttest	33.526 33.421	105	18	236
levels of significance <sup>1</sup> P > .05 = 2.101					

None of the <u>t</u> values were significant. The mean  $\square$  scores of the control group were very stable.

1J. P. Guilford, <u>Fundamental Statistics in</u> <u>Psychology and Education</u> (New York: McGraw-Lill Book Company, 1965), pp. 580-581.

#### TAPLE 18

Pretest-Delayed Posttest t Values: Control Group

	Test		Mean Score	Mean Difference	df	<u>t</u> value
MTAI	Key A	Pretest Posttest	36.375 35.750	625	15	223
MTAI	Key B	Fretest Fosttest	22.562 23.750	1.187	15	0.523
MTAI	Key C	Pretest Posttest	16.187 18.312	2.125	15	1.093
Cady	Survey	Pretest Posttest	33.687 33.875	0.187	15	0.282
levels of significance P > .05 = 2.131 P > .01 = 2.947						

None of the t values were significant.

The  $\underline{t}$  test for significance was applied to pretest and posttest scores of treatment subjects as grouped by the independent variables of class level and student teaching experience.

Results of the  $\underline{t}$  tests measuring pretest to posttest change of seniors are presented in Tables 19 and 20.

Π.	ĥ	BLE	19

	Test		Mean Score	Nean Difference	df	<u>t</u> value
MTAI	Кеу А	Fretest Fosttest	29.700 42.500	12.800	9	2.262*
MTAI	Кеу В	Pretest Fosttest	26.300 28.600	2.300	9	0.942
MTAI	Key C	Pretest Posttest	16.800 20.800	4.000	9	1.522
Cady	Survey	Pretest Posttest	38.900 40.500	1.600	9	1.281
	levels of significance *P > .05 = 2.262 P > .01 = 3.250					

Pretest-Posttest <u>t</u> Values: Seniors

The <u>t</u> value of the NTAI was significant at the .05 confidence level when the published scoring key was used. The <u>t</u> value for the Cady measurement was not significant.

ΤÅ	RLE	20

Pretest-Delayed Posttest <u>t</u> Values: Seniors

	Test		Mean Score	Mean Difference	df	<u>t</u> value
MTAI	Key A	Pretest Posttest	14.667 9.500	-5.167	5	517
MTAI	Key B	Pretest Posttest	20.167 18.000	-2.167	5	<b></b> 454
MTAI	Key C	Pretest Posttest	10.000 9.167	833	5	194
Cady	Survey	Pretest Posttest	39.500 39.667	0.167	5	0.106
levels of significance P > .05 = 2.571						

None of the  $\underline{t}$  values were significant. The small sample size may have been a factor in these findings.

Results of <u>t</u> tests measuring pretest to posttest change of juniors are presented in Tables 21 and 23.

## TAELE 21

	Test		Mean Score	Mean Difference	df	<u>t</u> vəlue
MTAI	Key A	Pretest Posttest	42.642 38.500	-4.143	13	962
MTAI	Key B	Pretest Posttest	30.214 28.500	-1.714	13	587
MTAI	Key C	Pretest Fosttest	16.857 17.000	0.143	13	0.059
Cady	Survey	Fretest Posttest	36.571 37.929	1.357	13	1.304
levels of significance P > .05 = 2.160 P > .01 = 3.012						

Pretest-Tosttest t Values: Juniors

69

None of the  $\underline{t}$  values were significant.

Pretest-Deleyed Posttest <u>t</u> Values: Juniors

	Test		Mean Score	Mean Difference	dſ	<u>t</u> value	
MTAI	Кеу А	Pretest Posttest	41.583 43.083	1.500	11.	0.318	
MTAI	Key B	Pretest Fosttest	28.083 33.500	5.417	11	1.940	
MTAI	Key C	Pretest Fosttest	14.917 22.083	7.167	11	2.435*	
Cady	Survey	Pretest Fosttest	36.917 37.750	0.833	11	0.503	
	levels of significance *P > .05 = 2.201 P > .01 = 3.106						

The MTAI  $\underline{t}$  value was significant at the .05 level when scoring key C was used. The Cady was not significant.

Results of  $\underline{t}$  tests measuring pretest to posttest change of freshmen and sophomores are presented in Tables 23 and 24.

## TABLE 23

	Test		Mean Score	Mean Difference	df	<u>t</u> value
MTAI	Key A	Pretest Posttest	36.833 44.917	8.083	11	2.025
MTAI	Key B	Pretest Posttest	24.167 34.667	10.500	11	4.252*
MTAI	Key C	Pretest Posttest	13.833 24.167	10.333	11	6.916*
Cady	Survey	Pretest Posttest	35.250 37.500	2.250	11	2.199
levels of significance P > .05 = 2.201						

Pretest-Posttest <u>t</u> Values: Freshmen & Scphomores

The  $\underline{t}$  value of the MTAI was significant at the .01 confidence level when either Key B or Key C was used. The Cady was not significant.

m	ſ.	DIT	T.	54
1.	11	لم تذ	L L	24

	Test		Mean Score	Mean Difference	df	<u>t</u> value
MTAI	Key A	Pretest Posttest	26.750 20.125	-6.625	7	-1.279
MTAI	Key B	Pretest Posttest	15.375 23.375	8.000	7	2.180
MTAI	Key C	Fretest Posttest	10.625 14.750	4.125	7	1.377
Cady	Survey	Pretest Posttest	34.875 37.750	2.875	7	4.314*
levels of significance P > .05 = 2.365 *P > .01 = 3.499						

Fretest-Delayed Posttest <u>t</u> Values: Freshmen & Sophomores

The MTAI was not significant. The Cady was significant at the .01 level.

Freshmen and sophomores seemed to be more sensitive to treatment change than upperclassmen. Results of  $\underline{t}$  tests measuring pretest to posttest change of students without student teaching experience are presented in Tables 25 and 26.

# TABLE 25

Pretest-Posttest <u>t</u> Values: Students Without Student Teaching Experience

	Test		Mean Score	Mean Difference	df	<u>t</u> value
MTAI	Key A	Pretest Posttest	40.594 43.719	3.125	31	1.076
MTAI	Кеу В	Pretest Posttest	28.719 31.781	3.062	31	1.581
MTAI	Key C	Pretest Posttest	16.844 21.031	4.187	31	2.687*
Cady	Survey	Pretest Posttest	36.562 38.594	2.031	31	3.227**
levels of significance *P > .05 = 2.042						

The MTAI was significant at the .05 level when Key C was used. The Cady was significant at the .01 level.

## Pretest-Delayed Posttest <u>t</u> Values: Students Without Student Teaching Experience

	Test		Mean Score	Mean Difference	df	<u>t</u> value
MTAI	Key A	Pretest Posttest	35.435 33.130	-2.304	22	747
MTAI	Key B	Pretest Posttest	23.783 28.870	5.087	22	2.312*
MTAI	Key C	Pretest Fosttest	13.565 19.000	5.435	22	2.795*
Cady	Survey	Pretest Fosttest	36.913 38.261	1.348	22	1.394
levels of significance *F > .05 = 2.074						

The MTAI was significant at the .05 level when either Key B or Key C was used. The Cady was not significant. Results of  $\underline{t}$  tests measuring pretest to posttest change of student teachers are presented in Tables 27 and 28.

## TABLE 27

	Test		Mean Score	Mean Difference	df	<u>t</u> value
<b>I A</b> 'נM	Key A	Pretest Fosttest	9.250 26.000	16.750	3	1.662
MTAI	Key B	Pretest Fosttest	14.250 21.000	6.750	3	2.285
MTAI	Key C	Pretest Posttest	7.750 15.750	8.000	3	1.931
Cady	Survey	Pretest Posttest	38.500 37.750	750	3	333
levels of significance P > .05 = 3.182 P > .01 = 5.841						

Pretest-Posttest <u>t</u> Values: Student Teachers

No  $\underline{t}$  values were significant. The small sample size was probably a factor in these findings.

ΑſΓ	BLE	28

Student Teachers									
Test		Mean Score	Nean Difference	df	t value				
Key A	Pretest Fosttest	-4.667 -9.000	-4.333	Ş	195				

-.333

-3.667

1.000

2

2

2

-.046

-.500

0.577

11.333 11.000

4.000

0.333

36.667 37.667

Pretest

Posttest

Fretest

Posttest

Pretest

Posttest

MTAI

MTAI Key B

MTAI Key C

Cady Survey

Pretest-Delayed Posttest t Values:

			levels	of	significance	
Ρ	>	•05	= 4.303		F > .01 = 9.925	

No t values were significant. Again, the sample size was too small.

The Pearson product-moment correlation was computed to determine the amount of relationship which existed between the attitude evaluation instruments used in this study. The pretest results are presented in Table 29.

#### TABLE 29

	MTAI Key A	MTAI Key B	МТАІ Кеу С	Cady	
MTAI Key A	1.000	0.827**	0.844**	0.326	
MTAI Key B	0.827**	1.000	0.828**	0.407*	
MTAI Key C	0.844**	0.828**	1.000	0.286	
Cady	0.326	0.407*	0.286	1.000	
4 Variables 57 Subjects	levels of significance <sup>2</sup> *.05 = .379				

Pretest Correlation Coefficients

Pretest correlation of the MTAI using scoring key B and the Cady Survey was significant at the .05 level. Correlations between keys A and C and the Cady were only significant at the .10 level. Correlations between the three MTAI keys were highly significant.

2<sub>Ibid</sub>.

The posttest and delayed posttest correlation

coefficients are presented in Tables 30 and 31.

## TABLE 30

	MTAI Key A	MT <b>AI</b> Key B	MT <b>AI</b> Key C	Cady	
MTAI Key A	1.000	0.805**	0.841**	0.269	
MTAI Key B	0.805**	1.000	0.790**	0.424*	
MTAI Key C	0.841**	0.790**	1.000	0.328	
Cady	0.269	0.424*	0.328	1.000	
4 Variables 55 Subjects	levels of significance *.05 = .379				

Posttest Correlation Coefficients

The posttest instrument correlations were similar to those of the pretest. No changes in the significance levels of any of the correlations were noted.

# TAPLE 31

	MT <b>AI</b> Key A	MT <b>AI</b> Key B	MT <b>A</b> I Key C	Cady
MTAI Key A	1.000	0.845**	0.865**	0.369
MTAI Key B	0.845**	1.000	0.827**	0.509**
MTAI Key C	0.865**	0.827**	1.000	0.327
Cady	0.369	0.509**	0.327	1.000
4 Variables 42 Subjects	.05	levels of s = .419	significanc **.01 =	e •494

Delayed Posttest Correlation Coefficients

Delayed posttest correlations were similar to those of the pretest and posttest except that the correlation of the MTAI Key B and the Cady Survey was significant at the .Ol level.

#### CHAPTER V

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In this chapter, each of the twenty-eight hypotheses of the study is considered, conclusions are offered and, finally, recommendations are discussed.

#### Treatment of Hypotheses

Determination of the significance of attitude changes measured by the MTAI was made according to the results obtained by using scoring key C. Key C, designed for use with beginning teacher trainees, was judged to be the most sensitive to the changes of the subjects of this study.

Hypotheses one and two were accepted or rejected on the basis of the analysis of covariance.

> H<sub>O</sub>1: There is no significant difference immediately after the experimental treatment between the attitudes toward pupils held by university students who have had simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems and the attitudes of students who did not have this experience, as measured by the MTAI.

The first hypothesis was rejected at the .05 confidence level.

H<sub>C</sub>2: There is no significant difference thirty days after the experimental treatment between the attitudes toward pupils held by university students who have had simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems and the attitudes of students who did not have this experience, as measured by the MTAI.

Hypothesis two was accepted. Either the changes in attitude were temporary changes or differences between the two groups were offset by other occurances within the thirty day period. The significant difference found between class levels suggests the latter.

Eypotheses three and four were accepted or rejected on the basis of t tests.

- H<sub>0</sub>3: There is no significant difference between the pretest and first posttest scores of the control group as measured by the MTAI.
- H<sub>0</sub>4: There is no significant difference between the pretest and second posttest scores of the control group as measured by the MTAI.

Hypotheses three and four were accepted. The mean scores of the control group were very stable on the first test. The second posttest gain, while greater than that of the first posttest, also fell short of significance.

Hypotheses five and six were accepted or rejected on the basis of analysis of covariance.

> H<sub>0</sub>5: There is no significant difference immediately after the experimental treatment between the attitudes toward careers within music of university students who have had simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems and the attitudes of students who did not have this experience, as measured by the Cady Survey.

Hypothesis five was rejected at the .05 level.

H<sub>C</sub>6: There is no significant difference thirty days after the experimental treatment between the attitudes toward careers within music of university students who have had simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems and the attitudes of students who did not have this experience, as measured by the Cady Survey.

Hypothesis six was accepted. The significant difference in attitude measured by the first posttest was not found between the two groups thirty days after the experimental treatment.

Hypotheses seven to twenty-eight were accepted or rejected on the basis of the  $\underline{t}$  tests performed on independent variable categories.

- H<sub>0</sub>7: There is no significant difference between the pretest and first posttest scores of the control group as measured by the Cady Survey.
- H<sub>0</sub>8: There is no significant difference between the pretest and second posttest scores of the control group as measured by the Cady Survey.

Hypotheses seven and eight were accepted. Control group changes were very slight.

H<sub>0</sub>9: There is no significant difference between the MTAI pretest and first posttest scores of the freshmen and sophomores in the treatment group.

Hypothesis nine was rejected, being highly sig-

nificant at the .Ol level.

H<sub>O</sub>lO: There is no significant difference between the MTAI pretest and second posttest scores of the freshmen and sophomores in the treatment group. Eypothesis ten was accepted.

H<sub>O</sub>ll: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and first posttest scores of the freshmen and sophomores in the treatment group.

Eypothesis eleven was rejected. The derived  $\underline{t}$  value of 2.199 is within .001 of significance at the .05 level.

H<sub>0</sub>12: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and second posttest scores of the freshmen and sophomores in the treatment group.

Hypothesis twelve was rejected, being highly

significant at the .01 level.

H<sub>0</sub>13: There is no significant difference between the MTAI pretest and first posttest scores of the juniors in the treatment group.

Hypothesis thirteen was accepted.

H<sub>0</sub>14: There is no significant difference between the NTAI pretest and second posttest scores of the juniors in the treatment group.

Hypothesis fourteen was rejected at the .05 level.

- H<sub>0</sub>15: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and first posttest scores of the juniors in the treatment group.
- H<sub>0</sub>16: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and second posttest scores of the juniors in the treatment group.

Hypotheses fifteen and sixteen were accepted.

H<sub>0</sub>17: There is no significant difference between the MTAI pretest and first posttest scores of the seniors in the treatment group. Hypothesis seventeen was accepted. Although significance at the .05 level was found when Key A was used, use of the appropriate scoring keys did not produce significant findings.

> H<sub>0</sub>18: There is no significant difference between the NTAI pretest and second posttest scores of the seniors in the treatment group.

Hypothesis eighteen was accepted.

- H<sub>C</sub>19: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and first posttest scores of the seniors in the treatment group.
- H<sub>C</sub>20: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and second posttest cores of the seniors in the treatment group.

Hypotheses nineteen and twenty were accepted.

- H<sub>C</sub>21: There is no significant difference between the MTAI pretest and first posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who have not had student teaching experience.
- H<sub>0</sub>22: There is no significant difference between the MTAI pretest and second posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who have not had student teaching experience.

Eypotheses twenty-one and twenty-two were rejected

- at the .05 level of significance.
  - H<sub>0</sub>23: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and first posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who have not had student teaching experience.

Hypothesis twenty-three was rejected, being highly significant at the .01 level.
H<sub>0</sub>24: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and second posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who have not had student teaching experience.

Hypothesis twenty-four was accepted.

- H<sub>0</sub>25: There is no significant difference between the NTAI pretest and first posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who are currently engaged in student teaching.
- H<sub>0</sub>26: There is no significant difference between the NTAI pretest and second posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who are currently engaged in student teaching.

Hypotheses twenty-five and twenty-six were

accepted.

- H<sub>0</sub>27: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and first posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who are currently engaged in student teaching.
- H<sub>0</sub>28: There is no significant difference between the Cady Survey pretest and second posttest scores of the students in the treatment group who are currently engaged in student teaching.

Hypotheses twenty-seven and twenty-eight were

accepted.

The results of the findings pertaining to each of the twenty-eight hypotheses are summarized in Table 32.

# TABLE 32

# Summary of Null Hypotheses Findings

Нуро	thesis	Decision
H <sub>O</sub> l:	No immediate experimental/control group differences in attitude toward pupils	Reject p <b>&gt; .</b> 05
H <sub>0</sub> 2:	No delayed experimental/control group differences in attitude toward pupils	Accept
H <sub>0</sub> 3:	No pretest-posttest diff. in attitude of control group toward pupils	Accept
<sup>H</sup> 0 <sup>4</sup> :	No pretest-delayed posttest diff. in attitude of control group toward pupils	Accept
H <sub>0</sub> 5:	No immediate exper./control group diff. in attitude toward music teach. careers	Reject p <b>&gt;.</b> 05
н <sub>0</sub> б:	No delayed exper./control group diff. in attitude toward music teaching careers	Accept
H <sub>0</sub> 7:	No pretest-posttest diff. in attitude of control group toward mus. teach. careers	Accept
H08:	No pretest-delayed posttest diff. in atti- tude of control grp. toward mus. teaching careers	Accept
<sup>н</sup> 09:	No pretest-posttest diff. in attitude of fr./soph. toward pupils	Reject p <b>&gt; .</b> 01
H <sub>0</sub> 10:	No pretest-delayed posttest diff. in attitude of fr./soph. toward pupils	Accept
H <sub>O</sub> ll:	No pretest-posttest diff. in attitude of fr./soph. toward mus. teach. careers	Reject p <b>&gt; .</b> 05
H <sub>0</sub> 12:	No pretest-delayed posttest diff. in attitude of fr./soph. toward music teaching careers	Reject p <b>&gt;.</b> 01
H <sub>0</sub> 13:	No pretest-posttest diff. in attitude of juniors toward pupils	Accept
H <sub>O</sub> 14:	No pretest-delayed posttest diff. in attitude of juniors toward pupils	Reject p <b>&gt; .</b> 05

# TABLE 32 (cont'd.)

Lypo <sup>.</sup>	Decision	
H <sub>0</sub> 15:	No pretest-posttest diff. in attitude of juniors toward mus. teach. careers	Accept
H <sub>O</sub> l6:	No pretest-delayed rosttest diff. in attitude of juniors toward music teaching careers	Accept
H <sub>0</sub> 17:	No pretest-posttest difference in attitude of seniors toward pupils	Accept
H <sub>0</sub> 18:	No pretest-delayed posttest difference in attitude of seniors toward pupils	Accept
<sup>H</sup> 0 <sup>19</sup> :	No pretest-posttest diff. in attitude of seniors toward mus. teach. careers	Accept
H <sub>0</sub> 20:	No pretest-delayed posttest diff. in att. of seniors toward mus. teach. careers	Accept
H <sub>0</sub> 21:	No pretest-posttest diff. in attitude of students without st. teach. exper. toward pupils	Reject p <b>&gt; .</b> 05
H <sub>0</sub> 22:	No pretest-delayed posttest diff. in attitude of students without stud. teach. exper. toward pupils	Reject p <b>&gt; .</b> 05
H <sub>0</sub> 23:	No pretest-posttest diff. in attitude of students without st. teach. exper. toward music teaching careers	Reject p <b>&gt; .</b> 01
<sup>H</sup> 0 <sup>24</sup> :	No pretest-delayed posttest diff. in att. of students without stud. teach. exper. toward music teaching careers	Accept
H <sub>0</sub> 25:	No pretest-posttest diff. in attitude of student teachers toward pupils	Accept
<sup>H</sup> 0 <sup>26</sup> :	No pretest-delayed posttest diff. in att. of student teachers toward pupils	Accept
H <sub>0</sub> 27:	No pretest-posttest diff. in att. of stud. teach. toward music teach. careers	Accept
<sup>H</sup> 0 <sup>28</sup> :	No pretest-delayed posttest diff in att. of stud. teach. toward mus. teach. careers	Accept



## Discussion

The immediate effect of simulated encounters with instrumental music teaching problems upon the attitudes of prospective music teachers was a positive change in attitude. Yet the delayed posttest revealed no significant differences between the experimental and control groups. This would support the hypothesis that the attitude changes manifested by the experimental group were temporary changes. However, there are other possible explanations for the apparent regression.

The increase in positive attitudes shown by both the experimental and control groups during the thirty-day period following the experimental treatment suggests that other events occurring during this period may have offset the differences measured by the first posttest. This is especially likely in the case of junior level students. It is highly questionable whether the significant gain in positive attitudes toward pupils shown by juniors in the experimental group should be attributed to the experimental treatment. All of the juniors were enrolled in an Instrumental Music Practicum which met for two full mornings each In this course, students were given the opportunity week. to practice teaching behaviors. Visits to elementary and secondary schools were also a part of the practicum. Because the scores of juniors in both the experimental and control groups were notably higher than those of the other

83

students, it is likely that this new course may have been responsible for the significance found.

A second possible explanation for the lack of continued significance is that mortality may have rendered the delayed posttest invalid. A comparison of the mean scores of the students who did not complete the delayed posttest with the means of the entire sample provides support for this theoretical hypothesis. The fifteen students who were not present for the second posttest registered Cady Survey mean scores of 36 on both the pretest and the posttest. These did not differ appreciably from the 35.62 pretest and 36.52 posttest sample means. But the MTAI (Key C) means of 23 and 25.07 for the pretest and posttest were notably higher than the sample means of 16.31 and 19.23. Of the fifteen students, four were members of the control group. Their pretest and posttest means of 21.75 and 22.25 showed little gain. In contrast, the mean score of the eleven members of the experimental group increased from 23.45 on the pretest to 26.09 on the posttest, suggesting that some of the students responsible for the posttest significance were not present for the second posttest.

Still another contributing factor may have been the brevity of the experimental treatment. A longer treatment period or repeated treatments might have resulted in more permanent changes.

89

# Conclusions

Examination of the findings suggested the following conclusions:

1. The experimental group showed a significant increase in positive attitudes toward pupils as measured by the MTAI.

2. The experimental group showed a significant increase in positive attitudes toward teaching careers in music as reasured by the Cady Survey.

3. The experience of coping with simulated instrumental music teaching problems had a positive effect on the generalized attitude of instrumental music education majors at Arizona State University toward the teaching of school music.

4. Significant differences between the experimental and control groups were no longer evident thirty days after the experimental treatment. This may have been due to attrition, other events occurring during the time lapse, or the short duration of the treatment period.

5. The normal music education curriculum of Arizona State University for a thirty-day period during the Fall of 1971, as indicated by the control group measures, did not significantly affect positive attitudes toward teaching school music.

6. Freshmen and sophomore students appeared to be considerably more sensitive to treatment than junior

and senior students. This finding substantiates the findings reported by Pasanella and Willingham indicating that more attitude change takes place during the first two years of college than at any time during the next ten to twenty years.<sup>1</sup>

7. Junior level students showed a significant gain in positive attitudes toward pupils in the thirtyday period following the experimental treatment. However, this gain is more likely to have resulted from the Instrumental Music Fracticum than from the treatment.

8. The modified scoring keys developed by Leeds for use with the MTAI were found to be more sensitive to the attitude changes of undergraduate students than the published key.

# Recommendations for Teacher Freparation

The findings of this study suggest that the following recommendations be made:

Further application of simulation techniques
 to the preparation of music teachers should be encouraged.

Hore extensive use of videotape as a means
 of presenting teaching situations to prospective teachers
 is recommended.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ann K. Pasanella and Warren W. Willingham, "Testing the Educational and Psychological Development of Young Adults - Ages 18-25," <u>Review of Educational</u> <u>Research</u>, XXXVIII (February, 1968), 42-48.

ievel varie Ister semin reans Teach rnoh-Servel sepilo tesci. the lo Tega jeiej stide irone 

5. 76

3. It is suggested that the videotaped materials developed for this study be expanded to include a wider variety of critical teaching situations.

4. It is recommended that the videotaped materials of this study and similar materials be used in seminars, workshops and educational methods courses as a means of developing positive attitudes toward music teaching and competence in dealing with music teaching problems.

5. Curriculum changes which permit freshman and sophomore students to have real or simulated music teaching experiences should be made. The traditional music education curriculum does not emphasize teacher preparation until the junior year and thus fails to develop positive attitudes toward teaching during the students' most formative college years.

6. More extensive use of attitude measurement in the counseling of music students is recommended. An increased awareness is needed of the importance of attitude as it relates to teaching.

# Recommendations for Further Research

The findings of this study permit the conclusion that replication of this research at both Arizona State University and other teacher training institutions should

92

	ite en
	SURVE
	tudin
	zszúc
	Teach
	music
	<u>[]]]]</u>
	16 <u>1</u> 6
	<u>cerde</u>
	ac11a
	5 - <u>5</u>

be encouraged. In addition, the following research is suggested:

 A similar study involving a longer period of treatment is recommended.

2. Due to the nature of attitude change, longitudinal research should be carried out to study the long range affective effect of encounters with simulated music teaching problems.

3. The effect of simulation experience with music teaching problems upon competence in handling real problems should be studied.

4. Correlation between the two testing instruments suggests that a generalized instrument be developed which measures attitudes in both of the areas embraced in this study.

5. Use of the modified scoring keys is recommended whenever the MTAI is administered to undergraduate college students.

6. Further research is needed concerning the relationship of attitude to music teaching competence.

7. Finally, similar research should be encouraged in other content areas, such as choral and general music.

BIBLICGRAPHY OF CITED WORKS

Zes 3eg Ēcr. Ξoe Era 220 ିଇନ୍ତି <u>ر</u>وری 

#### BIELIOGRAFHY OF CITED WORKS

- Adams, Jack A. "Some Considerations in the Design and Use of Dynamic Flight Simulators." <u>Simulation in Social</u> <u>Science: Readings</u>. Harold Guetzkow, editor. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prestice-Hall, 1962, pp. 29-47.
- Beals, Paul E. <u>Classroom Simulation as a Substitute for</u> <u>Live Pre-Student-Teaching Laboratory Experiences</u>. Shippensburg, Pa.: Shippensburg State College, 1970.
- Beymer, Lawrence. "Implications of Simulation, Videctape Recording, Analysis Systems and Research for Counselor Education," <u>Educational Technology</u>, IX (August, 1969) 56-57.
- Bond, Jack H. <u>Using Simulation Techniques to Change</u> <u>Attitudes of Education Majors Toward Professional</u> <u>Course Objectives</u>. Monmouth, Cregon: Oregon State System of Higher Education, 1965.
- Bosley, Howard E., and Wigren, Harold E. (eds.). <u>Television</u> and <u>Related Media in Teacher Education: Some Exemplary</u> <u>Practices</u>. Baltimore: Multi-State Teacher Education <u>Project</u>, 1967.
- Bradberry, Ronald D. "Relationships Among Critical Thinking Ability, Personality Attributes, and Attitudes of Students in a Teacher Education Program." Unpublished doctoral dissertation, North Texas State University, 1968.
- Buros, Oscar K. (ed.). <u>The Sixth Mental Measurements</u> <u>Yearbook</u>. Highland Park: The Gryphon Press, 1965.
- Cady, Henry L. "Survey of Music Career Preferences." Columbus: The Ohio State University, 1970. (Nimeographed).
- Campbell, Donald T., and Stanley, Julian C. <u>Experimental</u> <u>and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Research</u>. Chicago: Rand McNally and Company, 1963.
- Cannon, Allen. "Video-Tape Improves Teaching," <u>Music</u> Journal, XXVIII (July, 1970), 22.
- Carpenter, Thomas H. "TV More Than a Talking Face," <u>Music Educators Journal</u>, LVII (January, 1971), 61-62.

-----0ins Ĵc <u>}</u>~ **?**\_\_\_\_ -1.1 1.1

- Chapman, Robert L., Kennedy, John L., Newell, Allen, and Eiel, William C. "The Systems Research Laboratory's Air Defense Experiments." <u>Simulation in Social</u> <u>Science: Readings</u>. Harold Guetzkow, editor. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1982, pp. 172-188.
- Cook, Walter W., Leeds, Carroll H., and Callis, Robert. <u>Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory</u>. New York: The Fsychological Corporation, 1951.
- Cruickshank, Donald R., and Broadbent, Frank W. <u>The</u> <u>Simulation and Analysis of Problems of Beginning</u> <u>Teachers</u>. U.S. Cffice of Education Cooperative Research Project No. 5-0798. Washington: Government Printing Office, 1968.
- Cruickshank, Donald R., Broadbent, Frank W., and Bubb, Roy. <u>Teaching Problems Laboratory</u>. Chicago: Science Research Associates, 1967.
- Daellenbach, C. Charles. <u>Identification and Classification</u> of <u>Music Learning Behaviors Utilizing Videotape</u> <u>Recording Techniques</u>. Final Report, USOE Project No. 9-B-005. Rochester: Eastman School of Music, 1970.

. "An Investigation of the Use of Videotape Recorder Techniques in the Identification of Eehavioral Characteristics of Music Teachers." Unpublished Master's thesis, Eastman School of Music, 1968.

- Epley, William C. "Modifying Attitudes Toward School Music Teaching Through Sophomore Level Experience in Elementary or Secondary Schools." Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Arizona State University, 1971.
- Fierbaugh, Harry W. "The Development and Evaluation of a Series of Sound Films for Music Teacher Training Education." Unpublished doctoral dissertation, State University of Iowa, 1963.
- Frederiksen, Norman. "In-Basket Tests and Factors in Administrative Performance." <u>Simulation in Social</u> <u>Science: Readings</u>. Harold Guetzkow, editor. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1962, pp. 124-137.
- Gaffga, Robert M. "Simulation: A Method for Observing Student Teacher Behavior." Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Tennessee, 1967.
- Getzels, J. W., and Jackson, P. W. "The Teacher's Personality and Characteristics." <u>Handbook of Research</u> <u>on Teaching</u>. N. L. Gage, editor. <u>Chicago: Rand</u> <u>McNally and Company</u>, 1965, pp. 506-582.

Gio Gre Gro <u>}::</u> 281 ũ đ r.e . 1 1 , ,

- Gibson, James W. "Using Videotape in the Training of Teachers," <u>Speech Teacher</u>, XVII (March, 1968), 107-109.
- Greenlaw, Paul S., Herron, Lowell W., and Rawdon, Richard H. Business Simulation in Industry and University Education. Englewood Cliffs: Frentice-Hall, 1962.
- Groff, Patrick J. "Self-Estimates of Teaching Ability in Elementary School Subjects," Journal of Teacher Education, XIII (December, 1962), 417-421.
- Guilford, J. P. <u>Fundamental Statistics in Psychology and</u> <u>Education</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1965.
- Harris, Chester W. (ed.). <u>The Encyclopedia of Educational</u> <u>Research</u>. New York: <u>The Macmillan Company</u>, 1960.
- Hart, William K. <u>An Analysis of the Usefulness of Simu-</u> <u>lation Games in Affecting Attitudinal Changes and</u> <u>Skill-Type Learning</u>. San Diego: U.S. International University, 1970.
- Johnson, James A., Frantz, Nevin R., and Schultz, James V. "Videotape Recording in Teacher Education," <u>Educational</u> <u>Technology</u>, IX (May, 1969), 48-53.
- Kersh, Bert Y. <u>Classroom Simulation: A New Dimension in</u> <u>Teacher Education</u>. Final Report, NDEA Title VII, Project No. 886. Monmouth, Oregon: Oregon State System of Higher Education, 1963.
  - <u>Classroom Simulation: Further Studies on</u> <u>Dimensions of Realism</u>. Final Revort, NDEA Title VII, Project No. 5-0848. Monmouth, Oregon: Oregon State System of Higher Education, 1965.
- Kibbee, Joel M., Craft, Clifford J., and Nanus, Burt. <u>Management Games: A New Technique for Executive</u> <u>Development</u>. New York: Reinhold Publishing Corp., 1961.
- Labuta, Joseph. "VTR (Video Tape Recorder)," <u>Instrumentalist</u>, XXV (September, 1970), 87.
- Leeds, Carroll H. "Predictive Validity of the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory," <u>Journal of Teacher</u> <u>Education</u>, XX (Spring, 1969), 51-56.
- Lehman, Faul R. <u>Tests and Measurements in Music</u>. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1968.
- Mager, Robert F. <u>Developing Attitude Toward Learning</u>. Palo Alto: Fearon Fublishers, 1968.

Mc Ct NoBi NoE-Mole Pas Eac 1 203 Sel Sh: <u>)</u> St

- McCullough, J. L. "A Study of Teacher Attitude and Teacher Rating." Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Nebraska Teachers College, 1968.
- McGuire, Christine H. "Testing in Frofessional Education," <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, XXXVIII (February, 1968), 49-60.
- McHenry, Vere A. The Use of Video Processes in Teacher Education. Salt Lake City: Utah State Board of Education, 1968.
- Moe, Alden J., and Feehan, Sister Mary Dorothy. <u>The Use</u> <u>cf Videotape Recorders in the Training of Reading</u> <u>Teachers</u>. Dubuque, Iowa: Clarke College, 1968.
- Pasanella, Ann K., and Willingham, Warren W. "Testing the Educational and Psychological Development of Young Adults - Ages 18-25," <u>Review of Educational</u> <u>Research</u>, XXXVIII (Februar: , 1968), 42-48.
- Paulston, Christina B. <u>The Use of Video-Tape in the</u> <u>Training of Foreign Language Teachers</u>. Pittsburgh: <u>Fittsburgh University</u>, 1970.
- Pinney, Robert H., and Miltz, Robert J. <u>Television</u> <u>Recordings and Teacher Education: New Directions</u>. Stanford: Stanford University, 1968.
- Popham, W. James. "Instructional Video Tapes in Teacher Education," <u>AV Communication Review</u>, XIV (Fall, 1966), 371-376.
- Schneider, Erwin H., and Cady, Henry L. <u>Evaluation and</u> <u>Synthesis of Research Studies Relating to Music</u> Education. Columbus: The Ohio State University, 1965.
- Shaw, Marvin E., and Wright, Jack M. <u>Scales for the</u> <u>Measurement of Attitudes</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1967.
- Skapski, George J. <u>Feasibility of Producing Synchronized</u> <u>Video Tapes as Instructional Aids in the Study of Music</u>. Final Report, USOE Research Project No. 7-I-052. Northridge: San Fernando Volley State College, 1969.
- Smith, Martha L. "A Study of Elementary Student Teacher Confidence in and Attitudes Toward Music and Changes that Occur in Student Teaching Experience." Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Michigan State University, 1969.

- Snapp, Kenneth O. "Development of a Musicians Interest Inventory for Use in Vocational and Educational Guidance." Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Indiana University, 1953.
- Twelker, Faul A. <u>Simulation: An Overview</u>. Monmouth: Cremon State System of higher Education, 1968.
- Vlcek, Charles W. "Assessing the Effect and Transfer Value of a Classroom Simulator Technique." Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Michigan State University, 1965.
- Weinburger, Morris J. "The Use of Simulation in Teaching of School Administrators." Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Columbia University, 1965.
- Whybrew, William. <u>Ressurement and Evaluation in Music</u>. Dubuque: Wm. C. Brown Company, 1962.
- Zeun, Cecil G., and Schroeder, Melvin T. "The Driver Trainer: A Teaching Machine," Journal of Secondary Education, XXXVII (February, 1962), 112-116.

APPENDICES

# APPENDIX A

CRITICAL TEACHING SITUATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE

# CRITICAL TEACHING SITUATIONS IN INSTRUMENTAL MUSIC

<u>Instructions</u>: Please select the ten critical teaching situations that are in your opinion, most typical of those encountered by instrumental music teachers. You are not limited to this list. Other situations that you feel should be included in the top ten may be added in the space provided. When you have selected ten, please rank them in order of importance.

### <u>Rank</u>

# Situation

 1.	Unbalanced chord; e.g., 2nd trumpet weaker than 1st or 3rd.
 2.	Attendance; e.g., one-half of students show up for scheduled sectional rehearsal.
 3.	Tardiness; e.g., two players are missing when band is realy to leave on trip.
 4.	Unmatched pitch; e.g., wrong note in 2nd clarinet section.
 5.	Forgetfulness; e.g., player forgets instru- ment or part of uniform just before per- formance.
 6.	Nervousness; e.g., first-chair player "freezes" on solo.
 7.	Suitability to instrument; e.g., child with large protruding teeth wants to play trumpet.
 8.	Student recommendation; e.g., poor student asks for recommendation as college music major.
 9.	Discipline; e.g., percussion players talking and "fooling around" during rehearsal.
 10.	Unmusical noises; e.g., clarinet squeaks repeatedly.
 11.	Parental requests; e.g., parent sends note asking that student be excused from a major

public performance.

- 12. Handling large class; e.g., handling large general music class when one doesn't play piano and no competent student accompanist is available.
- 13. Embouchure; e.g., trombone player "shifts" erbouchure resulting in thin upper register.
- 14. Grading; e.g., inste parent accuses teacher of being unfair and subjective; demands to know basis for grades.
- 15. Student involvement; e.g., deciding what to do with entire orchestra when only one section needs drill.
- 16. Motivation; e.g., senior students don't want to march.
- 17. Outlining specific objectives; e.g., superintendent acks what one specifically plans to accomplish in orchestra.
- 18. Rhythmic inaccuracy; e.g., group tends to play dotted eighth followed by sixteenth as triplet figure.
- 19. Intonation difficulties; e.g., flutes have difficulty playing in tune with one another even after careful tuning.
- 20. Lack of theoretical knowledge; e.g., player doesn't know what is meant when asked to play Eb but responds to written notes with appropriate fingerings.
- 21. Sight-reading difficulty; e.g., group breaks down when sight reading new material that doesn't appear too difficult for them.
- 22. Teaching musical understanding; e.g., how to incorporate music history into a band rehearsal without turning the rehearsal into a lecture.
- 23. Inappropriate style; e.g., failure to space between the notes when playing a march.
- 24. School attitude; e.g., prevalent attitude in the school is that music is an effiminate activity.

- 26. Temperamental student; e.g., your only obce player threatens to quit after being reprimanded.
- 27. Motivation; e.g., getting students to practice prior to festival.
- 28. Lack of knowledge; e.g., helping flutist with trill fingerings when one doesn't know the fingerings.
- 29. Grading; e.g., arriving at a system of grading.
  - 30. Literature; e.g., securing help in selecting appropriate literature for small string group of varied ability.
  - 31. Impatience; e.g., after three reminders, student still forgets to play C# instead of C in a D major composition.
- 32. Nervousness; e.g., superintendent or school board member steps in to observe during rehearsal.
- 33. Convincing parent to purchase satisfactory instrument; e.g., parent is inclined to purchase inferior instrument because he is able to get it at a discounted price.
  - 34. Lack of perental support; e.g., promising student is discouraged by parents to pursue music as a career.
- 35. Rude audience; e.g., paper is thrown at tuba bells and there is widespread talking while band is performing at a student assembly.
  - \_\_\_\_ 30. Community pressure; e.g., chamber of commerce asks band to march in community parade the day before a scheduled concert.
- 37. Criticism of musical selections; e.g., principal suggests that the next concert consist primarily of marches and popular tunes.

 38.	Difficulty with band parents' organization; e.g., officers of the organization attempt to determine band policies or allocation of band funds.
 <i>3</i> 9.	Tect; e.g., you are asked, in the presence of some of your students, what you think of a performance that in your opinion was very poor.
 40.	Admission of mistake; e.g., how to avoid "losing face" when one has made a mistake such as failing to provide a clear pre- paratory beat.
 41.	
 42.	
 43.	
 44.	
 45.	
 46.	
 47.	
 48.	
 49.	
 50.	

AFFENDIX B

VIDEOTAPE AUTHENTICATION FORM

# CRITICAL TEACHING SITUATIONS IN INSTRUMENTAL MUSIC

Is this an accurate portrayal of the type of situation likely to be faced by an instrumental music teacher?			e portrayal uation by an teacher?	How would you title this situation? (Please circle the appropriate title.)	
		Yes	No		
Situation	1.			a. b. c.	Practice Habits Motivation Low Standards
	2.			a. b. c.	Student Involvement Motivation Selecting Literature
	3.			a. b. c.	Boredom Discipline Selecting Literature
	4.			a. b. c.	Intonation Rehearsal Procedure Student Involvement
	5.			a. b. c.	Rhythmic Inaccuracy Sight Reading Student Involvement
	6.			a. b. c.	Organization Evaluation Motivation
	7.			a. b. c.	Articulation Rhythmic Inaccuracy Rehearsal Procedure
	8.			a. b. c.	Musical Illiteracy Lack of Preparation Knowledge of Instru- ment
	9.			a. b. c.	Rhythmic Inaccuracy Articulation Intonation
1	.0.			a. b. c.	Student Involvement Motivation Scheduling

AFPENDIX C

CAREERS IN MUSIC

# CAREERS IN MUSIC

# Henry L. Cedy

# The attached opinionaire is designed to determine an individual's preferences concerning a career in music.

# Instructions

A sensitized answer sheet is included with the opinionaire. Enter your name in the top part. Then read the instructions given below before using the answer sheet. Please use a <u>Ho. 2 lead pencil</u> for marking the answer sheet.

<u>Opinionaire</u> ·

The opinionaire refers to careers in music only. A list of titles for these careers is given on the next page. These career titles appear in <u>abbreviated</u> form in the pages that follow.

Fart I of the opinionaire is concerned with music teaching only.

Part II of the opinionaire is concerned with a variety of careers in music, including music teaching.

The items in the opinionaire are presented as pairs. In working with the schedule of pairs, ask yourself the question: "Which of these two careers would I rather have, if I could choose?" Even though the choice may be between two very desirable careers or between two unwanted careers, choose <u>one</u> as best you can. Block out letter A or B on the Answer Sleet and ignore letters C, D, and E.

Use the following procedures:

- 1. From each pair of items, select the <u>one</u> career which you would rather have.
- 2. Mark the letter <u>A</u> or <u>B</u> (your choice) on the answer sheet after the number of each pair.

Example:	Crinionaire	Answer Sheet			
	l. dogcatcher 2. architect	1. A <del>B</del> C D E			

242 -

# CAREERS IN MUSIC

# Henry L. Cady

# Laster List of Career Titles

# Part I: Teaching

- l. elementary school
   music teacher
- 2. junior high school music teacher: performance
- 3. junior high school rusic teacher: general music
- 4. senior high school music teacher: performance
- 5. senior high school music teacher: reneral music, history, theory
- 6. school system music supervisor
- 7. college music teacher: performance, studio
- 8. college music teacher: teacher education
- 9. college music teacher: history, theory
- 10. private music teacher

# Fart II: All Careers

- 1. elementary school music teacher
- 2. secondary school music teacher: junior and senior high school
- 3. college music teacher
- 4. school system music supervisor
- 5. private music teacher
- 6. church musician
- 7. professional performer: opera, orchestra, chamber, jazz, etc.
- 8. professional conductor: opera, orchestra, etc.
- 9. music therapist
- 10. composer
- 11. tuner, repairman:
   instruments
- 12. business: selling
   music, books
- 13. manufacturer: music instruments, equipment
- 14. publishing: books
   about music, music
   series, sheet music, etc.
- 15. librarian: college, museum, performing organization

- 1 14.2 -. . . 1 . .

15.

# <u>Part I</u>

- A. elem schl tchr
   B. jr hi tchr: gen. mus.
- 2. A. sr hi tchr: men. mus., hist., theory
- 3. A. jr hi tchr: performance
  B. sr hi tchr: performance
- 4. A. jr hi tchr: gen. mus.
  B. sr hi tchr: gen. mus., hist., theory
- 5. A. coll tchr: hist., theory B. private teacher
- 6. A. sr hi tchr: performance B. sch system music
  - supervisor
- 7. A. sr hi tchr: gen. mus., hist., theory B. coll tchr: perform., studio
- 8. A. schl system music supervisor
   B. coll tchr: teacher education
- 9. A. coll tchr: perform., studio B. coll tchr: hist., theory
- 10. A. elem schl tchr
   B. jr hi tchr: perfor mance
- 11. A. coll tchr: teacher education B. private teacher

- 13. A. private tchr.
   B. jr hi tchr:
   performance
- 14. A. elem schl tchr B. sr hi tchr: performance
  - A. schl system mus. supervisor
    - B. coll tchr: perform., studio
- 16. A. jr hi tchr: performance B. sr hi tchr: ge
  - B. sr hi tchr: gen. mus., hist., theory
- 17. A. private teacher B. elem schl tchr
- 18. A. jr hi tchr: ren. mus. B. schl system mus. supervisor
- 19. A. sr hi tchr: performance B. coll tchr:
  - perform., studio
- 20. A. sr hi tchr: gen. mus., hist., theory
  - B. coll tchr: teacher education
- 21. A. schl system mus. supervisor
  - B. cell tchr: hist., theory
Fart I (cont.)

22.	Å.	coll tehr: perform.,
	<b>P</b> .	private teacher
23.	Α.	jr hi tchr: perfor-
	1- 1- 1	jr hi tchr: cen. mus.
24.	Α.	coll tchr: teacher
	D.	elem schl tchr
25.	Α.	coll tchr: hict., theory
	Ĕ.	jr hi tchr: perfor- mance
20.	A. B.	private teacher jr hi tchr: gen. mus.
27.	A. B.	elem schl tchr sr hi tchr: gen. mus., hist., theory
28.	A.	coll tcbr: perform.,
	Β.	coll tchr: teacher education
29.	Á.	ir hi tchr: perfor-
	В.	schl system mus supervisor
30.	A. B.	dr hi tebr: gen. mus. coll tehr: perform., studio
31.	A.	sr hi tchr: perfor-
	В.	coll tchr: teacher education
32.	Α.	sr hi tchr: gen. mus.,
	В.	coll tchr: hist., theory

- 33. A. schl system mus supervisorB. private teacher
- 34. A. coll tchr: perform., studio B. elem schl tchr
- 35. A. jr hi tchr: gen. mus
  - B. sr hi tchr: performance
- 36. A. coll tchr: tchr education B. jr hi tchr: per
  - formance
- 37. A. coll tchr: hist., theory B. jr hi tchr: gen. mus
- 38. A. private teacher B. sr hi tchr: performance
- 39. A. elem schl tchr B. schl system mus supervisor
- 40. A. coll tchr: tchr education B. coll tchr: hist., theory
- 41. A. jr hi tchr: performance B. coll tchr: per
  - form., studio
- 42. A. jr hi tchr: gen. mus.
  B. coll tchr: tchr education
- 43. A. sr hi tchr: performance
  - E. coll tchr: hist., theory

-5. 19 \_ ~ • 1 

Fart I (cont.)

44.	Á.	sr hi tchr: gen. mus.,	56.	Á.	elem mus	$\operatorname{tchr}$
		hist., theory		Β.	sec schl	mus tchr
	Б.	private teacher				

<u>-</u>8,

45. A. sr hi tobr: performence B. sr hi tobr: sen. rus., bist., theory

## Fart II

46.	А. В.	elem mus tchr coll mus tchr
47.	R. V	sec schl mus tchr schl system mus supervisor
<u>2</u> 8.	A. B.	prof performer prof conductor: orch., opera
49.	A. B.	coll mus tchr private mus tchr
50.	A. B.	schl system mus supervisor church musician
51.	н. В.	private mus tchr prof performer
52.	А. В.	manufacturer: instru., equip publisher: books, music
53.	A. B.	church musician prof conductor: oper, orch.
<b>F</b> 1.	6	0

- 54. A. prof performer B. music therapist
- 55. A. prof conductor: opera, orch. B. composer

- 57. A. mucic therapist B. tuner, repairman
  - A. composer
    B. business: selling books, mus.
- 59. A. schl system mus supervisor E. private mus tchr
- CO. A. tuner, repairman B. manufacturer: instru., equip.
- Cl. A. business: selling books, mus. B. publisher: books music
- 62. A. manufacturer: instru., equip. B. librarian
- 63. A. composer B. tuner, repairman
- 64. A. rublisher: books, musicB. elem mus tchr
- 65. A. librarian B. sec schl mus tchr
- 66. A. elem mus tchr B. schl system mus supervisor
- 67. A. sec schl mus tchr B. private mus tchr
- 68. A. prof conductor: opera, orch. B. music therapist

Part II (cont.)

- A. coll mus tchr
   B. church musician
- 70. A. schl system mus supervisor b. prof performer
- 72. A. publisher: books, music
   B. librarian
- 73. A. church musician L. music therapist
- 74. A. prof performer B. composer
- 75. A. prof conductor: opera, orch. k. tuner, repairman
- 76. A. sec schl mus tchr B. coll mus tchr
- 77. A. music therapist B. business: selling books, mus.
- 78. A. composer B. manufacturer: instru., equip.
- 73. A. private mus tchr B. church musician
- 80. A. tuner, repairmen B. publisher: books, music
- 61. A. business: selling books, mus. B. librarian

- 82. A. manufacturer: instru., equip. B. elem mus tchr
- 83. A. tuner, repairman
   B. business: selling
   books, music
- 84. A. publisher: books, music B. sec schl mus tchr
- 85. A. librarian E. coll mus tchr
- 86. A. elem mus tchr B. private mus tchr
- 87. A. sec schl mus tchr b. church musician
- 88. A. music therapist B. composer
- 89. A. coll mus tchr B. prof performer
- 90. A. schl system mus supervisor
  - B. prof conductor: opera, orch.
- 91. A. private mus tchr B. music therapist
- 92. A. librarian E. elem mus tchr
- 93. A. church musician B. composer
- 94. A. prof performer E. tuner, repairman
- 95. A. prof conductor: opera, orch.
  - B. business: selling books, music

Fart II (cont.)

- 95. A. coll mus tchr B. schl system mus supervisor
- 97. A. music therapist b. monufacturer: instru., equip.
- 98. A. composer B. publisher: books, mus.
- 99. A. church musician F. prof performer
- 100. A. tuner, repairman
   B. librarian
- 101. A. business: selling books, music B. elem mus tchr
- 102. A. manufacturer: instru., equip. B. sec schl mus tchr
- 103. A. business: selling books, music B. menufacturer: instru., equip
- 104. A. publisher: books, music B. coll music tchr
- 105. A. librarian
  - B. schl system mus supervisor

AFPENDIX D

A KIN

р Г

.

RAW DATA TABLES

APPENDIX D

•

APPENDIX D RAW DATA TABLES

Experimental Group

.

A)MTAI (Key B)MTAI (Key C)CadystPrePost PostPrePost PostIIIIIIIIIIII	23 22 19 27 44 37		T TH CO OD TT TO OO 40 DD 47	7 01 10 -05 04 10 -07 28 30 26	7 01 10 -05 04 10 -07 28 30 26 1 19 32 33 -03 16 08 42 45 46	7     01     10     -05     04     10     -07     28     30     26       1     19     32     33     -03     16     08     42     45     46       47     39     34     22     37     43	7       01       10       -05       04       10       -07       28       30       26         1       19       32       33       -03       16       08       42       45       46         47       39       34       22       37       43       37       43         8       22       27       23       11       18       22       43       48	7       01       10       -05       04       10       -07       28       30       26         1       19       32       33       -03       16       08       42       45       46         1       19       32       33       -03       16       08       42       45       46         8       22       23       11       18       22       37       43         6       29       19       35       20       21       23       37       46         6       29       19       35       20       21       23       37       40       32	1       14       20       00       11       10       00       40       29       41         1       19       32       33       -03       16       08       42       45       46         1       19       32       33       -03       16       08       42       45       46         8       22       23       11       18       22       37       43         8       22       23       11       18       22       43       48         8       22       23       11       18       22       43       48         8       22       23       11       18       22       43       48         8       23       20       21       23       37       40       32         24       34       35       20       21       36       34       32
19 27		11 10 00			-03 16 08	-03 16 08 34 22	-03 16 08 34 22 11 18 22	-03 16 08 34 22 11 18 22 20 21 23	-03 16 08 34 22 -07 11 18 22 20 21 23 16 15 23
19 11	11		. 40		-03	-03 34	-03 34 11	-03 34 20	-03 11 34 16
	_	05	-05		33	33	23 23	33 35 35	33 35 23 33
Pos'	22	20	10		32	32 39	32 39 27	32 27 19	32 39 34
Pre	23	14	10		19	19 47	19 47 22	19 22 29 29	22 47 19 28 29 29 29
<u>ey A)</u> Post II		-11	-27		11	11	11 18	11 18 46 1	11 18 46
AI (K Post I	61	27	<b>-</b> 04		20	20	20 26 26	20 26 40	20 26 40 37
MT Pre	51	33	<b>-</b> 25		-22	<b>-</b> 22 81	<b>-</b> 22 81 21	<b>-</b> 22 81 21 55	-22 81 55 17
Class Level	Sr.	Sr.	Sr.		Sr.	Sr. Sr.	Sr. Sr.	Sr. Sr.	Sr. Sr.
dent ber	*	* N	۳. *		* +	4 rv *	4 v v	4 M O C	* Γ Ο C α

•

Cady Post: Post:
MTAI (Key C)
MTAI (Key B)
MMAT (Kov 4)

c) Cady	st Pre Post Post I II II	37 42	9 47 49 45	4 35 38 47	6 45 45 40	6 45 45 40 34 29 <sup>.</sup>	6 45 45 40 34 29 3 40 38 40	6 45 45 40 34 29 3 40 38 40 34 33	6 45 45 40 34 29 3 40 38 40 34 38 40 5 42 38	6     45     45     40       34     29     40       3     40     38     40       3     40     38     40       6     42     33     40       6     42     45     47       6     37     43     37	6 45 45 40 34 29 3 40 38 40 34 38 34 38 5 45 47 6 45 39 1 46 45 39
	st Post I II	0	60 6	0 14	6 <b>1</b> 6	5 <b>1</b> 6 7	5 16 7 33 33	5 16 8 33 8	5 16 8 33 0 16	5 16 3 33 26 16 26	5 16 3 16 5 26 16 11 26 16
MTAI	Pre Po:	39 50	17 19	25 20	17 26	17 26 26 1	17 26 1, 26 1, 26	53 17 56 17 53 17 56 17	51 53 12 53 15 55 12 53 15 15 53 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1		
<u>еу</u> В)	Post II		17	41	31	31	31 38	31 38	31 20 20	31 20 38 31 39	31 25 39 28 25
AI (Ke	Post I	50	33	40	4J	41 33	41 33 19	41 19 16	41 33 16 25 25	41 25 25 25 25	4 1 33 25 16 19 33 31 19 33
1 T M T	Pre	48	36	51	18	18 + 18	18 42 27	18 27 26	36 27 26 27 26 27 26 27	18 26 35 35 35	18 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26
ey A)	Post II		20	46	24	24	53 24	53 24	24 36 3	24 53 58 58	24 58 08 53 53
AI (Ke	Post I	88	53	50	<del>1</del> 7	34 44		- 1 - 1 5 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	26 4 4 5 0 7 4 4 4 2 2 4 5 0 5 1 7 4 4 5 0 5 1 7 4 4 5 5 0 5 1 7 4 4 5 5 1 7 4 4 5 5 1 7 4 4 5 1 7 4 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 5 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 1 7
MTN	Pre	60	26	72	32	32	32 36 44 36	47 38 <del>17</del> 35	22	23 <del>25</del> <del>44</del> 35 26 <del>44</del> 32	12 23 64 29 44 35
	contrast Class Level	Sr.	Sr.	Jr.	Jr.	Jr.	чг, Чг, Чг,	Jr Jr Jr.	ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч	ч Ч Ч	ч. ч. ч. ч. ч. ч. ч. ч. ч. ч. ч. ч. ч.
	Student Number	6	10	11	12	12 13	12 14 14	1 1 1 7 2 7 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1 1 0 4 0 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

,

•

.

~ Cady MTAT (Kev C) р 1 14. Ę

П	ц С	6	н	ĸ	ĸ				5	Б	
Po	N.	Ñ	4	N.	4				3	4	ดั
Cady Post I		33	42	28	42	30	27	23	38	47	28
Pre	31	32	43	20	35	32	28	26	32	45	25
r c) II	32	18	15	18	40				13	-01	28
I (Key Post H I		22	04	12	39	0 <del>,</del>	40	27	13	12	24
MTA Pre	12	10	05	ΙI	27	20	28	22	• 05	05	11
<u>r B)</u> Post II	28	45	- 28	15	58				; 56	15	23
I (Ke; Post ] I		43	15	18	48	13	59	58	30	20	18
MTA Pre	13	33	21	13	46	31	52	38	60	20	13
<u>v A)</u> Fost II	45	48	36	27	06				16	-13	36
I (Ke) Post ] I		45	17	40	06	-07	78	64	<sup>1</sup> 2 2	-13	40
MTA Pre	16	30	28	27	62	26	. 56	69	17	10	23
Class Level	Jr.	Jr.	Jr.	Jr.	Jr.	Jr.	Soph.	Soph.	Soph.	Soph.	Soph.
Student Number	20	21	22	23	24	25.	26	27	28	29	30

•

	Post II		48	38		30	48	33	
Cady	Post I	46	48	31	45	31	50	33	
	Pre	43	43	36	47	26	<del>11</del>	28	
C)	Post II		21	13		08	26	10	
I (Ke	Post I	25	37	34	32	11	28	02	
MTA	Pre	08	19	21	23	<b>-</b> 02	52	07	
ey B)	Post II		48	25		-01	31	17	
AI (K	Posť I	30	4,8	5,4	68	<b>10-</b>	39	12	
μTM	Pre	54	28	25	53	<b>-</b> 05	32	20	
(A Ye	Post II		58	33		<b>-</b> 28	<del>11</del>	15	
L (K∉	Post I	40	65	48	78	20	60	20	
MTA	Pre	12	45	61	82	-05	58	17	
	Class Level	Soph.	Soph.	Fr.	بر H	۴r.	Fr.	Fr.	
	Student Number	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	

ALC: NOT THE REAL PROPERTY OF

ł		MTM	I (Ke	X A)	MTA	I (Ke	(J B)	<b>MTM</b>	VI (Ke	() ()		Cady	
Student Number	Class Level	Pre	Post I	Post II	Pre	Post I	Post II	Pre	Post I	Post II	Pre	Post I	Post II
, Х8 Ж	Sr.	17	30	10	60	14	-03	17	05	00	27	25	23
39	Sr.	22	20	18	16	14	12	07	07	05	36	35	36
04	Sr.	12	01	21	60	05	12	-05	-04	08	28	27	28
41	Sr.	85	87	84	46	42	39	27	27	27	48	48	. 47
42	Sr.	16	20		.16	17		08	10		34	33	
43	Jr.	52	41	46	37	39	43	28	27	34	33	32	33
<del>1</del> 77	Jr.	82	06		,45 ,	42		33	33		32	33	
45	Jr.	56	39		42	48		30	22		48	2	
46	ी में -	58	69	61	45	<b>1</b> 4	51	54	34	29	36	37	34
	* denotes	stud	ent t	seaching	expe	rienc	e						

•

Control Group

•

115

		<b>T</b> IM	AI (Ke	(A Y	1 TM	AI (Ke	ey B)	MTN	VI (Ke	y C)		Cady	
ឡង្គ	.ass vel	Pre	Post I	Post II	Pre	Post I	Post II	Pre	Post I	Post II	Pre	Post I	Post II
	Jr.	73	58	58	7777	41	42	38	31	35	45	43	45
•	Jr.	18	20	35	19	20	22	17	12	22	34	33	34
-	Jr.	52	57	54	19	30	46	23	19	33	30	55	39
	Jr.	65	27	40	31	20	27	25	15	22	47	43	47
	Soph.	45	63	54	<del>7</del> 77	51	51	27	39	31	33	32	. 22
	Soph.	38	.31	25	27	29	23	17	16	15	23	27	54
	Soph.	08	05	24	10	60	08	<b>-</b> 08	-01	60	35	34	34
	Soph.	12	19	11	10	ΤI	04	08	17	07	30	31	311
	н Ч	38	40		41	41		16	24		30	32	. ′
	- ਸੂਸ	60 <b>-</b>	<b>-</b> 12	-10	<b>-</b> 19	-22	-22	-05	-05	-05	08	20	07
	Fr.	34		41	20		25	19		21	46	·	47

.