TWO-DIMENSIONAL POTENTIAL FLOW AND BOUNDARY LAYER ANALYSIS OF THE AIRFOIL OF A STOL WING PROPULSION SYSTEM

> Thesis for the Degree of Ph.D. MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY JAMES ARTHUR ALBERS 1971

រូក្រុង 🗅 🕫



This is to certify that the

thesis entitled

TWO-DIMENSIONAL POTENTIAL FLOW AND BOUNDARY LAYER ANALYSIS OF THE AIRFOIL OF A STOL WING PROPULSION SYSTEM

presented by

JAMES ARTHUR ALBERS

has been accepted towards fulfillment of the requirements for

Phd ____degree in <u>Illech</u>.

Major professor

Date <u>5/11/71</u>

O-7639

702-142

• | |

-







ABSTRACT

TWO-DIMENSIONAL POTENTIAL FLOW AND BOUNDARY LAYER ANALYSIS ON THE AIRFOIL OF A STOL WING PROPULSION SYSTEM

By

James Arthur Albers

The analysis considers a two-dimensional wing-fan system which consists of an airfoil with flap; the fans which have a distributed suction at their inlet and a jet at their exit; and a jet sheet leaving the flap trailing edge. The solution provides the incompressible potential flow for variable fan or engine mass flow coefficient, the thrust coefficient for the propulsion system exhaust, and the wing and flap angle of attack. This includes the approximate location of the free exhaust jet. This potential flow solution is used as an input to the boundary layer analysis which calculates both laminar and turbulent incompressible boundary layer parameters. In particular, the separation point is determined on the airfoil of a blown flap wing propulsion system at various angles of attack.

The calculated pressure distributions for a particular externally blown flap configuration indicated that the minimum pressure point is near the leading edge (less than 2 percent of chord) of the airfoil with severe adverse pressure gradients at high angles of attack (near 20°). The results of the boundary layer analysis indicated that the

James Arthur Albers

predicted turbulent separation point moved forward from the trailing edge as the angle of attack was increased. Trailing edge separation for the thick wing (t/c = 0.15) propulsion system combination considered was verified by experimental data. TWO-DIMENSIONAL POTENTIAL FLOW AND BOUNDARY LAYER ANALYSIS OF THE AIRFOIL OF A STOL WING PROPULSION SYSTEM -----

Вy

James Arthur Albers

A THESIS

Submitted to Michigan State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Mechanical Engineering

1971

⊾

570195

PLEASE NOTE:

Some Pages have indistinct print. Filmed as received.

. ...

UNIVERSITY MICROFILMS

670195

To my Mother and Father, Theresa, and J. J.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank his advisor, Dr. Merle C. Potter, for his guidance and assistance throughout this study. His active participation in the project and his willingness to discuss problems as they arose made working with him a rewarding experience. The author also wishes to thank the other members of his guidance committee for their time and interest in this study: Dr. Mahlon C. Smith, Dr. James V. Beck, and Dr. Norman L. Hills.

The author appreciates the assistance and guidance of Roger W. Luidens of NASA Lewis Research Center for his many constructive criticisms during the preparation of this dissertation. I would also like to acknowledge Newell D. Sanders of NASA Lewis Research Center for his continued support throughout the study.

The financial support of NASA Lewis Research Center's Training Office made the continuation of graduate study possible. I would like to give special thanks to Gertrude R. Collins of the NASA Training Office for her assistance throughout the program.

Special appreciation is extended to the author's wife, Theresa Ann, for her encouragement and understanding throughout the study.

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

/

1

1. Mar. 1. Mar. 1.

LIST OF FIGURES	vi
SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	3
POTENTIAL FLOW ANALYSIS	9
Representation of Wing Propulsion System	9 11
Equation of the boundary conditions as an integral	12
Solution of Integral Equation	14
Basic Solutions	16
Combination Solution	17
Location of Propulsion System Exhaust Jet	18
Potential Flow Computer Program	20
DISCUSSION AND RESULTS OF POTENTIAL FLOW ANALYSIS	21
Validity of Analysis	21
Inlet air suction	21
Exhaust jet shape	22
Example Applications	23
Flow field	23
Pressure distribution	24
Lift coefficients	26
BOUNDARY LAYER ANALYSIS	29
Basic Equations of Motion	29
Transformed Equation of Motion.	32
Effective Viscosity Hypothesis	33

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS OF BOUNDARY LAYER ANALYSIS	40
Laminar Boundary Layer Growth	40
Transition	41
Turbulent Boundary Layer Growth and Separation	43
Boundary layer parameters	43
Velocity profiles	44
Consequences of separation	45
	ΨĴ
CONCLUDING REMARKS	48
APPENDICES	
A - SYMBOLS FOR POTENTIAL FLOW ANALYSIS	51
B - VELOCITIES IN TERMS OF SOURCE DENSITIES	54
Ο - ΟΜΟΙΙΤΙΑΤΙΟΝ Ο Ε ΕΙΟΙΙ ΟΙΙΑΝΤΙΤΙΕς ΕΩΟ ΒΟΤΕΝΤΙΙΙ ΕΙΟΙΙ	
ANALYSTS	<u> </u>
ANALISIS	60
D - POTENTIAL FLOW COMPUTER PROGRAM,	62
E - SYMBOLS FOR BOUNDARY LAYER ANALYSIS	92
E - DEDINATION OF TRANSFORMED BOUNDARY LAVER FORATION	
F - DERIVATION OF TRANSFORMED BOUNDARY LATER EQUATION	05
	90
DURDDNODO	~ ~
$kefekenues \dots \dots$	UL
ILLUSTRATIONS	.06

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure		0
1.	Types of wing-flap systems considered	.06
2.	Lewis wind tunnel model of multiple-fan blown flap wing propulsion system	.07
3.	Representation of boundary condition on body surface 1	.09
4.	Finite element approximation to body surface 1	.10
5.	Basic solutions of potential flow	.11
6.	Basic solutions for inlet \ldots \vdots \ldots	.12
7.	Two-dimensional inlet configuration	.13
8.	Comparisons of theoretical velocity distributions with experimental data for two-dimensional inlet l (a) Surface velocity distributions (b) Centerline velocity distributions	14
9.	Effect of jet shapes on the upper surface pressure distribution (flap angle,30°; wing angle of attack, 0°) l (a) Illustration of jet shapes (b) Upper surface pressure distributions	.15
10.	Comparison of theoretical nondimensional jet shapes (flap angle, 30°; thrust coefficient, 3)	.16

11.	 Flow field for externally blown flap wing propulsion system (mass flow coefficient 0.38; thrust coefficient, 3)	•	•	•	117
12.	Calculated pressure distributions on upper surface for fan-wing combination for various angles of attack (mass flow coefficient, 0.38°; thrust coefficient, 3; flap angle, 30°)	•	•	•	118
13.	Effect of suction and jet on pressure distribution (flap angle, 30° ; wing angle of attack, 0°)	•	•	•	119
14.	Comparison of theoretical two-dimensional lift coefficient for blown flap (thrust coefficient, 3) . (a) Flap angle, 30 ⁰ (b) Flap angle, 60 ⁰	•	•	•	120
15.	Comparison of calculated and experimental three- dimensional lift coefficients for blown flap (thrust coefficient, 3)	•	•	•	121
16.	Illustration of notation for boundary layer analysis (a) Coordinate system (b) Description of velocity profile	•	•	•	122
17.	The turbulent effective viscosity hypothesis	•	•	•	123
18.	Calculated velocity distributions for fan-wing com- bination for various angles of attack (mass flow coefficient, 0.38; jet momentum coefficient, 3; flap angle, 30°)	٠	•	•	124
19.	Laminar boundary layer parameters on the airfoil of a blown flap wing propulsion system (wing angle of attack, 15°)	•	•	•	125
20.	Turbulent boundary layer parameters on the airfoil of a blown flap wing propulsion system	•	•	•	126
21.	Velocity profiles at start of turbulent boundary layer growth	•		•	127

22.	Turbulent boundary layer velocity profiles on the air- foil of a blown flap wing propulsion systems at various
	angles of attack
23.	The stalling characteristics of airfoils 129
24.	The stalling characteristics of blown flap wing propulsion system
25.	Notation for two-dimensional potential flows 131 (a) Three-dimensional illustration (b) Two-dimensional cross section
26.	Element of body surface 133
27.	Schematic representation of computer program 133

SUMMARY

The analysis considers a two-dimensional combined wing and propulsion system which consists of a flapped airfoil with fans located under the wing. The exhaust jet of the fans impacts the flap and is deflected downward.

A numerical method is used which includes the effect of suction at the inlet of the propulsion system and treats the constant thickness exhaust jet as part of the solid body. This method includes the determination of the approximate exhaust jet location. The method provides the potential flow solution for any fan or engine mass flow coefficient, the thrust coefficient for the propulsion system exhaust, the flap deflection angle, and the wing angle of attack. Validity of the numerical solution for a case with suction (but with no jet) was indicated by application of the program to a two-dimensional inlet; excellent agreement was found with experimental results.

The potential flow program was used to obtain the pressure distribution, velocity field, and lift coefficient for a particular externally blown flap, high-lift configuration. The flow field for this configuration indicated high upwash angles $(60^{\circ} \text{ to } 90^{\circ})$ at the propulsion system inlet and large jet penetrations at high angles of attack. A comparison of two-dimensional lift coefficients obtained by the method of this report with Spence's jet flap theory indicated that

the method of this report yielded lift coefficients that were an average of 10.5 and 12.1 percent higher in the 30° and 60° flap angles, respectively. A comparison of three-dimensional lift coefficients with experimental data for the blown flap indicated good agreement for the 30° flap, with the predicted lift coefficient an average of 11.4 percent higher than experimental data. Calculated pressure distributions showed severe adverse pressure gradients over a large portion of the wing at angles of attack of 20° or greater.

The surface velocity distribution obtained from the potential flow solution was used to determine the boundary layer growth and separation on the upper surface of the airfoil. The boundary layer solution was obtained by reduction of the partial differential equations of motion to a set of ordinary differential equations at each x-location using finite differences for the x derivatives. By an iterative solution to the differential equations, the boundary layer parameters for both laminar and turbulent flow were found. The results indicated that the predicted turbulent separation point moved forward from the trailing edge as the angle of attack is increased. Trailing edge separation for the thick wing (t/c = 0.15) propulsion system combination considered was verified by the experimental data.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been much interest in short-takeoffand-landing (STOL) aircraft for both civil and military applications. A STOL airplane must have the capability for both high lift at takeoff and low drag at cruise. Past experimental work (refs. 1 to 3) demonstrated that the jet flap concept was capable of producing high lift. The jet flap airfoil injects high velocity air over the flap surface from a slot located at the trailing edge of the airfoil, as shown in Figure 1(a). (The jet flap airfoil (Fig. 1(a)) is sometimes referred to as a "jet augmented flap" in the literature.) One way to implement the jet flap concept is to use an externally blown flap. This may be accomplished by using high-bypass-ratio turbofan engines which exhaust into the wing flap system.

A STOL concept under investigation at NASA Lewis Research Center is a multiple-fan externally blown flap (Fig. 1(b)). Important in this design concept is passing some of the fan exhaust through the gaps in the flaps to control the boundary layer over the wing upper surface. Some of the aerodynamic problems associated with this concept are (1) airfoil design for takeoff, cruise, and landing; (2) fan location and orientation; (3) penetration of propulsion system exhaust jet; (4) the slot location and amount of blowing which are necessary for satisfactory boundary layer control. An analytical tool is needed to do detailed design studies of these aerodynamic problems. This

tool should have the capability to handle both potential flow and boundary layer flow.

The potential flow analysis is the first step in obtaining an analytical tool to design STOL wing propulsion systems. By shaping the airfoil geometry, the designer can modify the wing pressure distributions to delay separation. Fan location and orientation can be improved by analysis of the velocity and pressure distributions and the flow field obtained from the potential flow solution of the combined wing and propulsion system. A method to handle the slot location and the amount of blowing is discussed in reference 4. This problem is not included in this study. From the potential flow solution, we can determine the maximum attainable lift coefficient for the wing propulsion system. Using the surface velocity distribution as input to a boundary layer analysis, we can determine the separation point for a given engine-wing combination. The development of the potential flow solution was the first phase of this study.

There are many approximate potential flow theories. Some approximate methods for calculating flow over two-dimensional bodies are discussed in references 5 to 7. Most approximate methods assume, for simplification, that the body is slender or that the perturbation velocities caused by the body are small. Another type of approximate solution utilizes a distribution of singularities on or interior to the body surface. Some of the methods, based on a distribution of vorticity over the body surface are discussed in references 8 to 10. The potential flow theory that is often used when considering highlift wing systems is that of Spence's jet flap theory as discussed

in references 11 and 12. This thin airfoil theory considers the effect of a highly idealized jet sheet leaving the trailing edge of the flap, and does not take into account the effect of the propulsion system inlet and the thickness distribution of the lifting system.

The most general and comprehensive two-dimensional incompressible potential flow method and program is the Douglas method as reported in references 13 to 15. This method utilizes a distribution of sources and sinks on the body surface, and does not require bodies to be slender and perturbation velocities to be small. This method has the potential for dealing with distributed suction over part of the surface, and hence can handle the propulsion system inlet airflow. However, the program cannot handle problems for which the location of part of the boundary is unknown. For a combined wing and propulsion system, the shape and location of the jet exhaust of the fan or engine is not known a priori, hence, a method is developed to determine them.

The second phase of this report includes a study of the boundary layer growth and separation on the airfoil of the STOL wing propulsion system. During takeoff and landing the wing operates at high-lift coefficients with adverse pressure gradients over a large portion of the wing. This adverse pressure gradient may cause either laminar and/or turbulent separation. In general, the designer employs the various techniques at his disposal to avoid flow separation and to achieve the desired wing propulsion characteristics. Separation may be delayed by shaping the wing velocity distribution, by modification of airfoil

geometry, by engine location and orientation, and with boundary layer control devices. By using the potential flow surface velocity distribution as input to the governing boundary layer equations, we may solve the boundary layer growth and separation characteristics on the airfoil of the wing propulsion system.

Techniques for solving the boundary layer equations can be divided up into two general solution methods. The first includes the explicit-integral methods which require a procedure for solving ordinary differential equations for "integral" properties of the boundary layer. Some of the more commonly used integral methods are discussed in references 16 and 17. A discussion of a computer program based on the above methods is given in reference 18. These integral methods applied to the analysis of two-dimensional airfoils are discussed in reference 19. Integral methods are widely used at the present time for predicting the behavior of both laminar and turbulent boundary layers, but are not applicable for the strong adverse pressure gradients that are encountered on STOL wing propulsion systems at high angles of attack.

The second method of solution of boundary layers is the finite difference methods which provide a procedure for solving the coupled partial differential equations of mass, momentum and energy. One accurate numerical procedure for solving partial differtial equations of the diffusion type was developed by Crank and Nicolson as discussed in reference 20. Numerical methods developed specially for hydrodynamic phenomena are given by Flügge-Lotz and Bradshaw et al. in

references 21 and 22. The three finite difference boundary layer methods more commonly used are those of (1) Spalding and Patankar, (2) Cebeci and Smith, and (3) Mellor and Herring and are reported in references 23 to 26. Spalding and Patankar's compressible method obtains a finite-difference equation from the boundary layer partial differential equation by formulating each term in the partial differential equation as an integrated average over a small control volume. Both of the other two methods are incompressible and transform and linearize the partial differential equations by using finite differences for the x derivatives resulting in a series of ordinary differential equations. The ordinary differential equations are then integrated numerically across the boundary layer at each x-location.

Numerical methods, used to solve the partial differential equations for turbulent flow, require as input an empirically based expression for the turbulent effective viscosity. The effective viscosity hypothesis used by Spalding and Patankar is based upon the mixing-length hypothesis of Prandtl and utilizes a Couette-flow relationship for the region close to the wall. Reference 27 indicates that the pressure gradient produced systematic deviations in the predicted heat-transfer rate and that the mixing-length constants should depend on the pressure gradient. Then the mixing length should be increased for adverse and reduced for favorable pressure gradients. The method of Smith, et al., utilizes an eddy viscosity based on Prandtl's mixing-length theory in the inner region. In the outer region a constant eddy viscosity modified by an intermittency factor is used. Mellor and Herring in formulating their effective viscosity hypothesis

divide the boundary layer in terms of an inner layer and outer layer and an overlap layer. The value of each region is based on experimental data and is uniquely determined by values of a pressure gradient parameter and displacement thickness Reynolds number. A more detailed discussion of this hypothesis is given in references 28 to 30.

The method of Mellor and Herring was chosen for the prediction method to be used to calculate both laminar and turbulent boundary layer growth because of its accuracy, physical soundness, and adaptability to the particular application problem. Their effective viscosity hypothesis should be applicable to high adverse pressure gradient flows. Also an incompressible boundary layer analysis is sufficient, since here, we are only interested in studying the takeoff and landing flow characteristics of the wing-propulsion system. This corresponds to a free stream Mach number of 0.12 or less.

The purpose of this report is to develop an analysis to solve the potential flow and boundary layer growth of a STOL wing propulsion system. The potential flow solution was obtained by extending the twodimensional Douglas analysis and computer program to include the effect of suction at the propulsion system inlet, and by the development of a technique for determining the approximate location of the exhaust jet of the propulsion system. The potential analysis was used to obtain the flow field including the surface velocity and pressure distributions, and the lift coefficient. The surface velocity distribution was used to obtain the boundary layer growth and separation on the airfoil of a particular externally blown flap, high-lift configuration.

POTENTIAL FLOW ANALYSIS

Representation of the Wing Propulsion System

While the present development can be used for any two-dimensional configuration, it is helpful in describing the analysis to consider a particular physical system. The high-lift wing propulsion system for STOL applications under investigation at Lewis is a multiple-fan externally blown flap, as shown in Figure 2(a). The wind tunnel model is semispan with a NASA 4415 airfoil section, a 66 centimeter (26 in.) chord and a 165.1-centimeter (65-in.) span. The model has eight propulsion units spaced spanwise with the inlets under the wing and the exhausts ahead of a double slotted flap. The 30° and 60° flap deflections in Figure 1(b) represent typical takeoff and landing configurations.

Since the proposed STOL lifting system utilizes a large number of fans closely spaced spanwise on each wing, it is reasonable to approximate the actual flow with a two-dimensional flow. This approximation should be valid as long as there is a sufficient number of fans for blowing to be uniformly distributed along the wing trailing edge. The representation of the two-dimensional lifting system is shown in Figure 2(b). The equivalent body surface over which the potential flow is calculated consists of the airfoil with flap; the fans, which have a distributed suction at their inlet and a jet at their exit; and the jet sheet leaving the flap trailing edge.

The wing propulsion system combination is idealized by considering it to be one solid body with suction at the fan inlet. The jet stream, as it exits from the propulsion system is at a higher total pressure than the surrounding flow with free stream lines separating this jet from the remaining potential flow. In potential flow the total pressure is everywhere constant; hence, in this study the jet is considered to be part of the solid body. This assumes no mixing of the external free stream and the free jet. The equivalent twodimensional propulsion system dimensions and jet sheet thickness were determined from the known mass flow rate and thrust of the Lewis propulsion system (Fig. 2(a)). The method used to determine the location of the free jet is discussed in the section, Location of Propulsion System Exhaust Jet.

The potential flow problem for a given wing propulsion system combination becomes one of calculating the velocities on and external to the body surface for any combination of the following variables: (1) free stream velocity V_{∞} , (2) fan or engine mass flow rate \dot{m} per unit span (3) propulsion system thrust T per unit span, (4) flap angle θ , and (5) wing angle of attack α . The first three variables can be combined into two dimensionless parameters: the fan or engine mass flow coefficient $C_{Q} = \dot{m}/\rho V_{\infty}C$ and the thrust coefficient $C_{T} = T/(1/2\rho V_{\infty}^{2}C)$. The development of the theory to handle this calculation is discussed in the following sections. All symbols used for the potential flow analysis are defined in appendix A.

Basic Equations and Boundary Conditions

The basic potential flow equation is obtained from the incompressible continuity equation together with the condition of irrotationality which gives Laplace's equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} = 0$$
(1)

where ϕ is the velocity potential due to the presence of the body only. To ensure uniqueness of the solution, the regularity condition at infinity is specified as

$$|\nabla \phi|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$$
 (2)

The velocity field $\vec{\vec{V}}$ can be expressed as the sum of the two velocities

$$\vec{v} = \vec{v}_{m} + \vec{v}$$
 (3)

where \vec{V}_{∞} is the free stream velocity and \vec{v} is the disturbance velocity due to the presence of the body only.

A general method of solving the potential flow for an arbitrary boundary is to use a large number of sources and sinks distributed on the surface of the body. This is the method presented in this report. The boundary condition, illustrated in Figure 3, specifies that the entire normal component of velocity of the fluid at any point p on the surface must be equal to the prescribed normal velocity on the surface. The contribution supplied by the source-sink distribution is $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n}$ and that supplied by the free stream velocity is $\vec{V}_{\underline{w}} \cdot \vec{n}$. The prescribed normal velocity V_{N} on the surface is due to suction or blowing. Thus, the boundary condition becomes

$$\vec{\nabla}_{\infty} \cdot \vec{n} \Big|_{p} - \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{n} \Big|_{p} = \nabla_{N}$$
(4)

Since $\overrightarrow{v \cdot n} = \partial \phi / \partial n$, the boundary condition on ϕ is

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}\Big|_{p} = \vec{v}_{\infty} \cdot \vec{n}\Big|_{p} - v_{N}$$
(5)

Equations (1), (2), and (5) form the classic Neumann problem of potential theory which is the basic problem we wish to solve. The direct problem as just defined, can be solved exactly by conformal transformation only for a limited class of boundary surfaces. By using a large number of sources and sinks distributed on the surface of the body, the boundary condition can be formulated into an integral equation.

Formulation of the Boundary Condition as an Integral Equation

A simple potential function which satisfies equation (1) is the potential due to a point source. The potential at a point P due to source at q is expressed as

$$d\phi(P) = \frac{\sigma(q) dS}{r(P,q)}$$
(6)

where $\sigma(q)$ is the local intensity per unit area of the source and r(P,q) is the distance between P and q. Since Laplace's equation is linear, the combined potential due to a distribution of sources is also a solution. By considering a continuous source distribution on the surface S, the potential at point P due to the entire body becomes

$$\phi(\mathbf{P}) = \int_{\mathbf{S}} \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{q})}{\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{q})} d\mathbf{S}$$
(7)

The potential as thus given satisfies equations (1) and (2), but it must also satisfy the boundary condition as given by equation (5). Applying the boundary condition requires evaluating the derivative $\partial\phi/\partial n$ at point p on the boundary surface. The derivative of 1/r(p,q) becomes singular at p when p and q coincide so that the principal value of the integral must be extracted. The principal value, according to reference 31, is $-2\pi\sigma(p)$. This is the contribution to the normal velocity at p from the source at p. The contribution of the remainder of the sources to the normal velocity is given by the derivative of the integral of equation (7) evaluated on the boundary. The normal derivative of ϕ becomes

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}\Big|_{p} = -2\pi\sigma(p) + \int_{S}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left[\frac{1}{r(p,q)}\right]\sigma(q) dS \qquad (8)$$

Applying the condition of equation (5) to equation (8) results in the integral equation for the source-intensity distribution $\sigma(p)$

$$2\pi\sigma(\mathbf{p}) - \int_{S} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})}\right) \sigma(\mathbf{q}) \, \mathrm{dS} = -\vec{\mathbf{v}}_{\infty} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{N}}$$
(9)

This equation is a Fredholm integral equation of the second kind whose solution is the central problem of the analysis.

The quantity $-\partial/\partial n[1/r(p,q)]$ is called the kernel of the integral equation and depends only on the geometry of the surface. The first term of equation (9) is the normal velocity induced at p by a source at p. The second term is the combined effect of the sources at other points q on the surface of the body. The specific boundary conditions determine the right-hand side of equation (9). The first term on the right is the normal component of the free-stream velocity at p. The second term on the right is the prescribed normal velocity on the boundary surface at p. The solution of this Fredholm integral equation then requires determining the unknown function σ on the body surface.

Solution of Integral Equation

Since the boundary of the wing propulsion system is completely arbitrary, the integration of equation (9) with respect to S should be done numerically. The boundary is approximated by a large number of surface elements whose characteristic lengths are small compared to the body. It is assumed that the surface element is a flat segment as shown in Figure 4. As the number of elements increase, the assumed model approaches the shape of the body. The value of the source intensity is assumed to be constant over each surface element. By assuming this constant intensity over each element, the problem becomes one of finding a finite number of values of σ , one for each of the surface elements. This gives a number of linear equations equal to the number of unknown values of σ . On each element a control point (the midpoint of the element) is selected where equation (8) is required to hold. Rewriting equation (8) in summation form yields

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}\Big|_{p} = -2\pi\sigma(p) + \sum_{p\neq q} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left[\frac{1}{r(p,q)}\right]\sigma(q) \Delta S$$
(10)

The right side of equation (10) now becomes a matrix consisting of the normal velocities induced by a source of intensity σ at the control

point of all elements. The normal velocity at the control point of the i^{th} element due to all surface elements is denoted as

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}\Big|_{i} = A_{ii}\sigma_{i} + \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j \neq i}}^{N} A_{ij}\sigma_{j} = \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j=1}}^{N} A_{ij}\sigma_{j}$$
(11)

Thus

$$A_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left[\frac{1}{r(p,q)} \right] \Delta S$$

where i corresponds to p and j corresponds to q.

The source densities of all the surface elements must be determined in such a way that the normal velocity condition is satisfied at all control points. This results in

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij} \sigma_{j} = -\vec{v}_{\omega} \cdot \vec{n}_{i} + v_{N,i}$$
(12)

This set of linear algebraic equations is an approximation to the integral equation (9). Both $\vec{V}_{\omega} \cdot \vec{n}$ and the prescribed normal velocity V_N , in general, vary over the body surface. The linear equations are solved by a procedure of successive orthogonalization, as discussed in reference 32. Once the linear equations have been solved, flow velocities may be calculated for points on and off the body surface (see appendix B). The method just described is used to obtain the basic solutions of potential flow.

Basic Solutions

The superposition of any solutions to the integral equation (9) is also a solution since Laplace's equation is linear. Hence, the flow about a body may be thought of as a linear combination of four basic flows illustrated in Figure 5:

(1) Uniform flow at zero angle of attack

- (2) Uniform flow at 90° angle of attack
- (3) Vortex flow
- (4) Flow due to suction or blowing

The uniform flow solutions are solutions due to free stream velocity (rectilinear flow) past the body surface at 0° and 90° , respectively. For these basic solutions, the boundary condition of zero normal velocity on the surface must be satisfied. Then the prescribed velocity normal to the surface must be zero for the basic uniform flow solutions. From equation (5) the boundary condition becomes

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}\Big|_{p} = \overrightarrow{v}_{\infty} \cdot \overrightarrow{n}\Big|_{p}$$
(13)

The solution for the body at any angle of attack may be obtained by a linear combination of the 0° and 90° uniform flow solutions.

For a lifting body the circulation is obtained by placing a vortex at any convenient location within the body. The boundary condition of zero normal velocity on the surface still applies (eq. (5)) except that now \vec{v}_{∞} is replaced by the vortex velocity at any point. If \vec{v}_{v} represents the velocity at any point p on the body caused by the vortex, the boundary condition for the basic vortex solution becomes

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}\Big|_{p} = \vec{\nabla}_{v} \cdot \vec{n}\Big|_{p}$$
(14)

The suction flow solution is obtained by specifying a prescribed normal velocity V_N at the fan face with a zero free stream velocity. This gives the desired mass flow rate for the inlet of the propulsion system. From equation (5) the boundary condition for the basic suction velocity solution becomes

$$\left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \right|_{\mathbf{p}} = -\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{N}} \tag{15}$$

For each basic solution, the velocities on the body surface and at prescribed locations in the flow field may be obtained. From the basic solutions the total combined solution may be obtained.

Combination Solution

The total velocity tangent to the body surface can be obtained by adding the tangential velocities of the four basic solutions.

$$V_{t} = V_{t,0} \cos \alpha + V_{t,90} \sin \alpha + \Gamma V_{t,v} + V_{t,s}$$
(16)

where α is the angle of attack.

The nondimensional circulation Γ is determined by satisfying the Kutta condition at the trailing edge of the body. This condition stipulates that the flow at the body trailing edge be smooth. Thus, the tangential velocities above and below the trailing edge must be equal in magnitude. If ΔV is defined as $\Delta V = V_{upper} - V_{lower}$, the Kutta condition is satisfied if $\Delta V = 0$ at the body trailing edge. Then

from equation (16)

$$\Delta V_{t,0} \cos \alpha + \Delta V_{t,90} \sin \alpha + \Delta V_{t,s} + \Gamma \Delta V_{t,v} = 0$$
(17)

Solving for Γ yields

$$\Gamma = -\frac{\Delta V_{t,0} \cos \alpha + \Delta V_{t,90} \sin \alpha + \Delta V_{t,s}}{\Delta V_{t,v}}$$
(18)

Once the combined velocities on the body surface are known, the pressure coefficient, the lift coefficient, and the thrust coefficient can be obtained (see appendix C).

For off-body points it is more convenient to combine the basic source intensities rather than the basic velocities. The equation for the combined source intensity is

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \cos \alpha + \sigma_{90} \sin \alpha + \Gamma \sigma_v + \sigma_s \tag{19}$$

Then, the x and y components of velocity are calculated from the combined source intensities (see appendix B). This approach is the same as that used in reference 15, with the addition of the basic suction source intensity being added to the other basic source intensities.

Location of Propulsion System Exhaust Jet

The location of the propulsion system exhaust jet is determined by the following variables: (1) jet angle θ at flap trailing edge, (2) jet penetration H, (3) jet angle θ_1 at trailing edge of jet and (4) total length of the free jet L_T . The representation of these variables is shown in Figure 2(b). It was assumed that the free jet leaves the flap trailing edge at the flap angle 6. The flap angle is defined as the angle between the free stream direction and lower flap surface. After the jet leaves the trailing edge, the free stream velocity turns the jet, which approaches a horizontal asymptote several chord lengths beyond the airfoil leading edge. For typical thrust coefficients $C_{\rm T}$ corresponding to takeoff and landing conditions, this occurs at approximately four chord lengths from the airfoil leading edge (see ref. 10).

For a reasonable approximation to the jet shape, the lift coefficient is expected to depend principally on the vertical location of the jet asymptote. The problem then becomes one of finding the jet penetration H as shown in Figure 2(b). Initially, the penetration was assumed and a cubic equation used to approximate the shape of the jet sheet. (A cubic equation is the simplest expression that adequately approximates the jet shapes obtained from Spence's jet flap theory of ref. 12.) The correct distance H is that value for which the vertical component of thrust at the flap trailing edge balances the integrated vertical pressure forces on the free jet. Several values of H were assumed until the correct value of H was obtained.

Since the angle of the free jet is not exactly horizontal several chord lengths beyond the wing, a small angle θ_1 (5° or less) was assumed. The length of the jet L_T was extended until the vertical component of force on the end of the jet (last 5 percent of the jet) was negligible for the chosen angle θ_1 . Thus, if the jet is extended beyond this length, it gives no significant contribution to the lift coefficient. Neglecting the vertical component of thrust at the
end of the jet for an angle of 5[°] results in only a 3 percent variation in lift coefficient. Since the body was represented by the wing, flap, and jet, it was assumed to be closed at the jet trailing edge and the Kutta condition applied here.

Potential Flow Computer Program

A general description of the potential flow computer program along with the imputs and outputs of the program is given in appendix D. This appendix also includes a complete program listing.

DISCUSSION AND RESULTS OF POTENTIAL FLOW ANALYSIS

Validity of Analysis

Inlet air suction

To help ensure validity of the analysis, comparisons were made with known existing flow solutions. One existing solution solves the suction problem indirectly. It is also based on the Douglas method, but has application only to inlets and ducts (see ref. 33). This method utilizes three basic solutions, shown in Figure 6, to obtain a combined solution of physical interest. The flow about the inlet is obtained by considering the three basic solutions; $\vec{\tilde{V}}_1$ with inlet duct extension closed, \vec{v}_2 with the duct open, and \vec{v}_3 the crossflow solution. With these three solutions any combination of free-stream velocity and mass flow through the inlet can be obtained. The duct must be extended far downstream of the region of interest to obtain valid solutions. This method could not be used to get solutions for a wing-engine combination since the body must be closed to consider it a lifting body. To make a comparison between this existing flow solution and the method presented in this report a two-dimensional inlet, shown in Figure 7, was considered. This inlet was chosen because experimental data were available. In the present analysis, mass flow through the inlet was obtained by considering a distributed suction $V_{_{\rm N}}$ downstream of the inlet (see Fig. 7). Comparison of the

nondimensional surface velocities for the two methods are shown in Figures 8(a) and (b). Also shown is experimental data obtained from reference 34. The reference velocity V_{ref} was arbitrarily selected as the average velocity at an x/L of 0.89. Agreement between the two predictions is excellent for both the surface and centerline velocities. Comparison of experimental data with the prediction is quite acceptable for the centerline velocities. There is a slight variation between the experimental and predicted surface velocities. One reason for this variation could be boundary layer effects. The preceding discussion indicates that the combined uniform flow and suction solution is valid.

Exhaust jet shape

For a valid solution of a wing propulsion system there must be a reasonable approximation to the jet shape. The lift coefficients and pressure distributions for a given thrust coefficient depend principally on the flap angle θ and jet penetration H, as outlined in the analysis section, and not on the precise local shape of the jet. This is illustrated in Figure 9, which considers various free jet shapes for a 30° flap. For clarity the jet thickness is not shown. The assumed cubic equation is shown, along with representative upper and lower bounds for the jet shape. For the jet shapes A and C shown, the solution results in only a ±2.5 percent variation in lift coefficient from the assumed cubic shape B. This percent variation is distributed over the entire wing surface, as illustrated by the pressure distributions in Figure 9(b). Figure 9(b) shows only a 3 percent variation in pressure distribution for the jet shapes considered. Thus,

the lift coefficients and pressure distributions depend principally on the flap angle and jet penetration and not on the precise local shape of the jet for the present configuration.

As a point of interest, a comparison of the jet shape based on the Spence's theory of reference 12 was made with the jet shape obtained from the present method. Spence of reference 12 assumes that all flow deflections from the free stream are not large and uses vortex distributions that are placed on the x-axis rather than on the airfoil or jet. Thus, a comparison could only be made for relatively small flap deflections (30° or less). A comparison of the nondimensional jet shape predicted from Spence's theory and from the method of this report is shown in Figure 10 for a 30° flap deflection and a thrust coefficient of 3. The basic shapes of the two cases are the same close to the wing. The jet penetration of the present method is larger than Spence's theory at the greater distances, as would be expected. The present method, besides not assuming small angle approximations, includes the wing thickness and camber effect which would increase the lift coefficient and would also result in a greater penetration.

Example Applications

Flow field

Potential flow solutions are adequate representations of the flow around bodies if the surface boundary layers are thin and remain attached. It is assumed that the final design of a high-lift wing propulsion system will be one in which boundary layer separation is

prevented at relatively high angles of attack and flap settings. Representative flow fields for an externally blown flap high-lift configuration are shown in Figures 11(a) to (c). The flow fields were obtained by sketching streamlines tangent to the calculated velocity vectors at various points in the flow. The wing propulsion system is shown, along with the shape of the jet exhaust of the propulsion system. For the conditions shown, the upwash angles at the propulsion system inlet are quite large, varying from 60° to 90° depending on flap angles and wing angles of attack. Two stagnation points occur on the lifting body. One occurs ahead of the inlet below the leading edge of the wing, and the other occurs downstream of the inlet on the under surface of the fan. Both stagnation points move further aft as the flap angle and the wing angle are increased. By observation of the flow fields it is seen that the under surface of the wing is in a relatively stagnant region. The jet penetration increases with angle of attack (Figs. 11(a) and (b)). For a flap angle of 60° (Fig. 11(c)) the jet penetration distance is approximately three chord lengths at five chord lengths beyond the wing leading edge. This jet penetration is also important when considering the effect of the ground on lift coefficient.

Pressure distribution

The predicted pressures on the surface of the airfoil are valid only if the boundary layer is very thin and attached to the surface. The potential flow pressure distributions can be used both to calculate the boundary layer growth on the surface of the airfoil and as a design aid for the combined wing and propulsion system. Pressure

distributions on the wing upper surface with a 30° blown flap at various angles of attack are presented in Figure 12. The incompressible pressure coefficient, corresponding to the minimum pressure point, ranges from -7.5 to -51 for the 0° and 20° angle of attack, respectively. These extremely high negative pressure coefficients correspond to the very high lift coefficients which are discussed in the following section. The minimum pressure point for all angles of attack occurs very near the leading edge of the airfoil, and severe adverse pressure gradients over a large portion of the wing result at the higher angles of attack. The stagnation point moves further under the leading edge as angle of attack increases, resulting in high velocity gradients about the leading edge.

To illustrate the effect of the inlet airflow of the propulsion system and the effect of the exhaust jet a comparison was made of the pressure distributions for (1) the wing alone, (2) the wing with jet but without inlet air suction, and (3) the wing with inlet air suction and jet. This comparison is presented in Figure 13 for a 30° flap. At the minimum pressure point for the wing alone there exists a pressure coefficient of -4.8 near the wing leading edge, followed by a mild adverse pressure gradient. The wing with jet but without inlet air suction would be representative of a jet flap airfoil shown in Figure 1(a). Jet flap theory does not include the effect of the inlet airflow of the propulsion system. For the wing with jet (without suction) the pressure coefficient is about -18 at the minimum pressure point, and there is a severe adverse pressure gradient over a large portion of the wing upper surface. When the effect of the suction at the propulsion system inlet is

included, the magnitude of the pressures is reduced considerably over the wing upper surface, resulting in a minimum pressure coefficient of -7.6, followed by a much milder adverse pressure gradient. It may appear from the upper surface pressure distributions of Figure 13 that the lift with the jet alone is much larger than the lift associated with the jet with suction; but this is not the case if both upper and lower surfaces of the airfoil are considered. The change in pressure distribution between the zero suction case and the suction case is a result of a shift in the stagnation point ($C_p = 1.0$) on the under surface of the wing. For the wing, without suction, one stagnation point occurs just ahead of the inlet of the propulsion system. For the wing with suction this stagnation point moves closer to the wing leading edge and another stagnation point occurs on the under surface of the fan (see Fig. 11(a)). The corresponding shift in the pressure distributions on both the upper and lower surfaces presented in Figure 13 results in less than 5 percent decrease in lift when the effect of inlet suction is included for the selected inlet location. The preceding discussion indicates that the effect of suction resulting from a fan or inlet installed under the wing affects the pressure distribution on the wing upper surface favorably, with only a small effect on total lift coefficient.

Lift coefficients

In order to further indicate the applicability of the present analysis a comparison (Fig. 14) was made between Spence's theory (ref. 12) and the method of this report for two-dimensional lift coefficients for the blown flap configuration (Fig. 1(b)). The lift coefficients predicted by the method of this report for the 30° flap range

from 6.5 to 13, while those for the 60° flap range from 15 to 21. The lift coefficients predicted by the present method generally range from 9.1 to 12 percent and from 10.6 to 13.6 percent higher than Spence's theory for the 30° and 60° flap, respectively. This difference exists since Spence's theory does not take into account the effects of the thickness and camber of the wing. The suction effect decreases the lift by approximately 5 percent, as discussed previously. The thickness in Lift coefficient.

The two-dimensional lift coefficients were used to determine three-dimensional lift coefficients to compare with experimental data of a semispan blown flap model (Fig. 2(a)). The three-dimensional lift coefficient is

$$C_{L} = fC_{1}$$
(20)

where f is a function of aspect ratio and thrust coefficient (assuming an elliptical lift distribution) and was obtained from reference 35 as

$$f = \frac{AR + \frac{2C_{T}}{\pi}}{AR + 2 + 0.604(C_{T})^{1/2} + 0.87C_{T}}$$
(21)

Calculated three-dimensional lift coefficients along with experimental data obtained from the Lewis test program are presented in Figure 15. The aspect ratio was 5 for the blown flap model. The theoretical lift coefficients range from 4 to 7.5 and from 9 to 13 for the 30° and 60° flap, respectively. There is good agreement between theory and experiment for the 30° flap case, with theory ranging from 10.8 to 12

percent higher than the experimental data. The lift coefficients for the 60° flap range from 28.6 to 28.4 percent greater than the data. The calculated lift coefficient is the maximum attainable lift coefficient for each configuration corresponding to complete boundary layer control and negligible viscous effects. This may indicate that the 60° flap configuration did not have optimum boundary layer control and that improvements could be made in obtaining better experimental coefficients.

BOUNDARY LAYER ANALYSIS

Basic Equations of Motion

Consider the motion of a viscous incompressible fluid along a curved two-dimensional surface. Let x represent the distance measured along the surface of the airfoil from the stagnation point and y represent the distance normal to the airfoil surface, as shown in Figure 16. The time average velocity components in the x and y directions are designated at \overline{u} and \overline{v} , respectively. The curvature of the surface is denoted by K, which is a continuous function of x. (All symbols used for the boundary layer analysis are defined in appendix E.) For steady turbulent motion, the Navier-Stokes equations may be written (see ref. 36) as:

$$\frac{1}{1+Ky} \overline{u} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x} + \overline{v} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} + \frac{K\overline{u}\overline{v}}{1+Ky} = -\frac{1}{\rho(1+Ky)} \frac{\partial \overline{p}}{\partial x} + v_e \left[\frac{1}{(1+Ky)^2} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial$$

$$-\frac{y}{(1+Ky)^3}\frac{\partial K}{\partial x}\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{K}{1+Ky}\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} - \frac{K^2\overline{u}}{(1+Ky)^2} + \frac{2K}{(1+Ky)^2}\frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial x} + \frac{\overline{v}}{(1+Ky)^3}\frac{\partial \overline{K}}{\partial x}$$

(22a)

$$\frac{1}{1+Ky} \overline{u} \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial x} + \overline{v} \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial y} - \frac{K\overline{u}^2}{1+Ky} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \overline{p}}{\partial y} + v_e \left[\frac{1}{(1+Ky)^2} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{v}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{v}}{\partial y^2} - \frac{V}{(1+Ky)^2} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{v}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{v}}{\partial y^2} - \frac{V}{(1+Ky)^2} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{v}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{v}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{v}}{\partial x^2} \right]$$
(22b)

where v_{e} is the effective kinematic viscosity which includes the turbulent eddy viscosity. The equation of continuity is

$$(\partial \overline{u}/\partial x) + (\partial/\partial y)[(1 + Ky)\overline{v}] = 0$$
(23)

These exact equations of motion are extremely difficult to solve. However, by means of an order of magnitude analysis (including curvature), we may simplify the equations of motion. The resulting boundary layer equation of motion for surfaces with curvature becomes

$$\frac{1}{1+Ky} \ \overline{u} \ \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x} + \overline{v} \ \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} + \frac{K}{1+Ky} = -\frac{1}{\rho(1+Ky)} \ \frac{\partial \overline{p}}{\partial x} + v_e \left(\frac{\partial^2 \overline{u}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{K}{(1+Ky)} \ \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} - \frac{K^2 \overline{u}}{(1+Ky)^2}\right)$$
(24a)

$$\overline{Ku}^{2}/(1 + Ky) = (1/\rho)(\partial \overline{p}/\partial y)$$
(24b)

The continuity equation remains the same as equation (23).

The curvature terms in the preceding equations of motion would have a negligible effect on the turbulent boundary layer growth (95 percent of the airfoil upper surface), since the surface curvature is small in this region of the airfoil. However, large surface curvature exists on the leading edge of the airfoil (5 percent of the airfoil surface), which is in the laminar portion of the boundary layer. The effect of large surface curvature has some effect on the laminar velocity profiles

(see ref. 37). Neglecting the effect of curvature would result in an error in the calculated velocity profile at transition which is used at the start of the turbulent boundary layer calculations. However, a variation of the velocity profile in this region has a negligible effect on the turbulent separation point, since the turbulent separation point is insensitive to the starting profile. This is illustrated in the section, Turbulent Boundary Layer Growth and Separation.

Thus, if one neglects the effects of surface curvature, the preceding equations reduce to the standard Navier-Stokes equations (ref. 38, p. 545). The resulting momentum and continuity equation along the surface of the body are

$$\overline{u} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \overline{v} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} = U \frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \left[v_{\mathrm{e}} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} \right]}{\partial y}$$
(25)

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = 0$$
(26)

The equations apply to both laminar and turbulent flow if the definition of $v_e \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial v}$ is taken to be:

$$\nu_{e} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} = \overline{\tau} / \rho$$
 (27)

and

$$\overline{\tau}/\rho = v \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} - \overline{u'v'}$$
(28)

where $-u^{\dagger}v^{\dagger}$ is the Reynolds shear stress. For laminar flow $v_e = v$. The boundary conditions are

 $\overline{u}(\mathbf{x},0) = 0 \tag{29a}$

$$\overline{v}(x,0) = \overline{v}_{w}(x)$$
 (29b)

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \int_0^y [U(x) - \overline{u}(x,y')] dy' = U(x)\delta^*(x)$$
(29c)

Equation (29a) is an obvious wall boundary condition. Equation (29b) is a general wall boundary condition on $\overline{\nu}$ which includes the effect of wall transpiration velocity $\overline{\nu}_{w}$. Equation (29c) requires that $\overline{u} \rightarrow U$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$, and also requires that the displacement thickness (and the momentum thickness) be finite. This removes a lack of uniqueness of the type encountered by Hartree (ref. 39) in his solution of the laminar equations.

Transformed Equation of Motion

It is convenient for calculation purposes to transform the (x,y) coordinates into (x,η) coordinates through the following equations:

$$f'(x,\eta) = \frac{U(x) - \overline{u}(x,y)}{U(x)}; \ \eta = \frac{y}{\delta^*(x)}$$
(30a, b)

For turbulent flows, the velocity profile can best be represented in a defect formulation as expressed in the preceding equations. The present method uses δ^* with which to scale y so that $n = y/\delta^*$ need never exceed an outside value of 10. Also, f'(x,n) is a slowly varying function of x so that relatively large increments in x are possible. Making the preceding substitutions in equations (25) and (26), results in the following transformed boundary layer equation for two-dimensional, incompressible flow (see appendix F):

$$(\mathrm{Tf}'')' + [Q(n - f) - \overline{v}_{W}'/U]f'' + P(f' - 2)f' = \delta^{*}(1 - f')\frac{\partial f'}{\partial x} = \delta^{*}f''\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$$
(31)

where

$$Q = \frac{\frac{d}{dx} (\delta^* U)}{U}, P = \frac{\delta^* dU/dx}{U}, \text{ and } T = \frac{v_e}{U\delta^*}$$

The term T, the nondimensional effective viscosity, is discussed in the following section. The primes on f denote differentiation with respect to n.

Applying the transformations of equations (30a, b) to the boundary conditions (29a, b, c) result in

$$f'(x,0) = 1$$
 (32a)

$$f(x,0) = 0$$
 (32b)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(\mathbf{x}, n) \to 1$$
 (32c)

Effective Viscosity Hypothesis

Mellor and Gibson first formulated for equilibrium turbulent boundary layers an eddy viscosity composed of a linear function of distance from the wall and Clauser's (ref. 40) constant eddy viscosity for the defect region. They later generalized their treatment for flows in which the pressure gradient parameter $\beta = (\delta^*/\tau_w)dp/dx$ varied in the streamwise direction (see ref. 28). This method utilized a family of curves for different values of β as a starting point. Parametric differentiation then yielded an equation containing derivatives of the defect function with respect to β at constant y/δ^* and $d\beta/dx$. Mellor and Gibson refined the analysis by specifying a general formulation of d β /dx (ref. 29). For purposes of developing an effective viscosity ν_e , which is composed of an eddy and a molecular viscosity, Mellor divides the boundary layer into a wall layer, overlap layer and a defect layer.

The hypothesis for the form of $\nu_{e}^{}$ has as its basis three assumptions:

1. In the inner, or wall, layer, ν_e depends on only three quantities, $\nu,$ y and $\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial v}$, where ν is the molecular viscosity.

2. In the outer, or defect layer, v_{ρ} depends on only three

quantities, $(\delta^* U)$, y and $\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y}$, where $\delta^* \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{(U - \overline{u}) dy}{U}$ is the scale suggested by Clauser (40).

3. In this two layer model, there is a region where the layers overlap and both expressions for ν_{μ} apply simultaneously.

From Prandtl's theory (ref. 38, p. 555)

$$\overline{\tau} = \rho \left[\kappa^2 y^2 \left(\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} \right) \right] \left(\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} \right) = \rho \left[v_e \right] \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y}$$
(33)

Then using the first two assumptions it follows that in the wall layer $\nu_{\rm p}$ must have the form

$$\frac{v_{e}}{v} = \phi \left(\frac{\kappa^{2} y^{2}}{v} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} \right)$$
(34a)

and in the defect layer, v_e must be of the form

$$\frac{v}{\delta^{*}U} = \Phi\left(\frac{\kappa^{2}y^{2}}{\delta^{*}U}\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y}\right)$$
(34b)

where κ is an empirical constant. Mellor makes Prandtl's theory more general so that both the laminar sublayer and logarithmic portions of the turbulent layer are included. It follows from the third assumption that in the overlap region v_{α} must have the form

$$\frac{v_e}{v} = \phi = \frac{\delta^* U}{v} \phi$$
(35)

Thus, in the overlap region $\,\phi\,$ and $\,\phi\,$ must be linear functions so that

$$\frac{v_e}{v} = \frac{\kappa^2 y^2}{v} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y}$$
(36)

Mellor thus assumes that in the overlap region Prandtl's theory holds exactly. For the hypothesis to predict correctly a viscous sublayer, it is clear that very close to the wall $\phi \rightarrow 1$ (because $v_{\alpha} = v$).

An alternative functional form equivalent to equation (34) but offering some computational advantage was given by Mellor (41); it may be written as

$$\frac{v_e}{U\delta^*} = \Phi(X), X = \frac{\kappa y}{U\delta^*} \sqrt{\tau/\rho}; \text{ in the defect layer}$$
(37a)

$$\frac{v_e}{v} = \phi(\chi), \ \chi = \frac{\kappa y}{v} \sqrt{\overline{\tau}/\rho};$$
 in the wall layer (37b)

As before in the overlap layer, we must have

$$v_e = v\phi = \delta^* U\Phi = \kappa y \sqrt{\tau/\rho}$$

Specific functions are determined by comparison of calculated profiles with constant pressure incompressible velocity profiles and are shown in Figure 17. The value $\kappa = 0.41$ is the von Karman constant and is chosen to predict correctly the experimentally observed logarithmic law of the wall (when $\overline{\tau} \simeq \overline{\tau}_{w}$). The constant 6.9 is chosen to give a best fit to Laufer's data (42) in the viscous sublayer in the manner demonstrated in (29). The function $\Phi(X)$ resembles a suggestion by Clauser (40), the difference being that Clauser used the wall value $\sqrt{\overline{\tau}_{w}/\rho}$ instead of the local value $\sqrt{\overline{\tau}/\rho}$. It was found by Mellor (29) that Clauser's interpretation could not be correctly applied to boundary layers with strong pressure gradients.

Finally, the relations (37a, b) were proposed for the empirical inner and outer functions for v_e . Since the knowledge of the differential equation for v_e is absent, a composite function using the method of Van Dyke (43) can be formed. The composite function is expressed as the sum of the inner and outer functions minus their common asymptote. Thus, the non-dimensional effective viscosity T can be written for turbulent flow for the whole layer as

$$T = \phi(\chi) + Re_{\delta} \star \phi\left(\frac{\chi}{Re_{\delta} \star}\right) - \chi$$
(38a)

$$T = \frac{1}{Re_{\delta^*}} \phi(Re_{\delta^*}X) + \phi(X) - X$$
(38b)

where

$$\operatorname{Re}_{\delta} * = \frac{U\delta^*}{v}$$

For laminar flow

$$T = 1/Re_{\delta} \star$$
(39)

Solution of the Boundary Layer Equation

The first phase of the solution of the boundary layer equation (31) which is a nonlinear partial differential equation, is the reduction to a set of ordinary differential equations using finite differences for the x derivatives. The x derivatives are represented by finite differences in the x-direction according to an adaptation of the Crank-Nicolson scheme (ref. 20). Equation (31) is written in terms of average functions at a point halfway between the x position of the known profile, x_{i-1} , and that of the profile to be calculated x_i as follows:

$$\left[\overline{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{f}^{\mathsf{w}}}\right]' + \left[\overline{\left(\overline{\delta_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathsf{w}}} + \overline{\mathbf{p}}\right)}\left(\eta - \overline{\mathbf{f}}\right) - \frac{\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathsf{w}}}{\overline{\mathbf{U}}}\right]\overline{\mathbf{f}}'' + \left[\overline{\mathbf{p}}\left(\overline{\mathbf{f}}' - 2\right)\right]\overline{\mathbf{f}}''$$
$$= \frac{\overline{\delta^{\mathsf{w}}}}{\delta \mathbf{x}}\left(1 - \overline{\mathbf{f}}'\right)\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}' - \mathbf{f}_{i-1}\right) + \frac{\overline{\delta^{\mathsf{w}}}}{\delta \mathbf{x}}\overline{\mathbf{f}}''\left(\mathbf{f}_{i} - \mathbf{f}_{i-1}\right)$$
(40)

where

$$\delta_{\mathbf{x}}^* = \frac{d\delta^*}{d\mathbf{x}}$$

Then, using the relations

$$\overline{f'} = \frac{1}{2} (f'_i + f'_{i-1}), \text{ etc.}$$

Equation (40) can be written in terms of functions at position x_i as

$$- (Tf'')'_{i} = -\tau'_{b} + c_{1}(f''_{i} + f''_{i-1}) + c_{2}(f'_{i} + f'_{i-1})$$
$$- c_{3}(f'_{i} - f'_{i-1}) - c_{4}(f_{i} - f_{i-1})$$
(41)

where

$$\mathbf{c_1} = (\overline{\delta_x^*} + \overline{P}) \left[n - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{f_i} + \mathbf{f_{i-1}}) \right] - (\mathbf{v_{w_i}} + \mathbf{v_{w_{i-1}}}) / (\mathbf{U_i} + \mathbf{U_{i-1}})$$

$$c_2 = \overline{P} \left[\frac{1}{2} (f'_1 + f'_{1-1}) - 2 \right]$$
 (42b)

$$c_{3} = (\delta_{i}^{*} + \delta_{i-1}^{*}) \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} (f_{i}^{*} + f_{i-1}^{*}) \right] / \Delta x$$
 (42c)

$$c_{4} = (\delta_{1}^{*} + \delta_{1-1}^{*}) \frac{1}{2} (f_{1}^{"} + f_{1-1}^{"}) / \Delta x$$
(42d)

$$\tau_{b}' = - [Tf'']_{i-1}'$$
 (42e)

Finally, the form in which this equation is solved is

$$[b_{5}f'']_{i} = b_{4} + b_{3}f_{i}'' + b_{2}f_{i}' + b_{1}f_{i}$$
(43)

where the coefficients are

$$b_1 = -c_4$$
 (44a)

$$b_2 = c_2 - c_3$$
 (44b)

$$b_3 = c_1$$
 (44c)

$$b_{4} = -\tau_{b}' + c_{1}f_{i-1}'' + (c_{2} + c_{3})f_{i-1}' + c_{4}f_{i-1}$$
(44d)

$$b_5 = -T_1$$
 (44e)

Since equations (43) is nonlinear, the solution is carried out iteratively for each i value. The coefficients b_1 to b_5 are evaluated using the results at the previous (i-1) step. The resulting linear equation is then solved for f' and f". δ^* is adjusted so that $f(\infty) = 1$ to some specified accuracy. The parameters P and Q are recalculated and the effective viscosity function, T, is recalculated. Then the cycle begins again and is continued until the desired accuracy is obtained at a particular step.

The second phase of the method is the solution of the ordinary differential equations. Equation (43) is rewritten as a set of firstorder differential equations. The Runge-Kutta method is then used for solving the equations. See Hildebrand (ref. 44) and McCracken (ref. 45) for details of this method.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS OF BOUNDARY LAYER ANALYSIS

Laminar Boundary Layer Growth

By using the surface velocity distribution obtained from the potential flow analysis as input to the boundary layer analysis, we may obtain the boundary layer growth on the airfoil of the wing propulsion system. Velocity distributions used for the boundary layer analysis are shown in Figure 18. The incompressible velocity distributions are illustrated at the start of the stagnation point to the trailing edge of the airfoil. For high angles of attack (15° or greater) the flow becomes compressible over a small (10 percent) portion of airfoil surface from x/L of 0.05 to x/L of 0.15. For typical takeoff and landing conditions the free stream Mach number is 0.12 or less. Since the flow is incompressible for 90 percent of the airfoil surface, the incompressible velocity distributions were used as inputs to the incompressible boundary layer analysis discussed in the previous section. For practical applications we are concerned with angles of attack, 15° or less.

In order to compute a boundary layer solution, it is necessary to prescribe the velocity profile in the boundary layer at the start of the calculation; namely, the stagnation point of the airfoil. The velocity profile resulting from a similarity wedge flow solution for

stagnation point flow over a circular cylinder was assumed (see ref. 38). This and other similar profiles could also be generated from a specialization of equation (31). This is described in reference 26.

The boundary layer is laminar in the region of velocity increase (i.e., roughly from the stagnation point to the point of maximum velocity) and becomes turbulent in most cases from that point on and throughout the region of velocity decrease. The velocity profiles of Figure 18 indicate that laminar flow exists only on the first 5 percent of the leading edge of the airfoil surface for an angle of attack of 15° .

Typical parameters for the laminar portion of the flow are shown in Figure 19. The strong accelerated flow results in a large rate of decrease of the local skin friction coefficient ($C_f = \tau_w / \rho U^2 / 2$). The skin friction coefficient ranged from 0.035 near the stagnation point to 0.0025 at the point of maximum velocity. The shape factor remained a constant value of approximately 2.2 throughout the laminar region, as would be expected (see ref. 19). The displacement thickness Reynolds number increases linearly from the stagnation point to the point of maximum velocity.

Transition

The pressure distribution in the external flow exerts a decisive influence on the position of the transition point. In ranges of decreasing pressure (accelerated flow) the boundary layer generally remains laminar, whereas even a very small pressure increase always

brings transition with it. The location of the transition point is generally determined by experiment but may also be predicted by empirical methods. From experimental data, Crabtree (ref. 46) established a curve of momentum thickness Reynolds number and a pressure gradient parameter at transition. When the curve obtained from predicted boundary layer calculations intersect the experimental curve, the location of transition is determined. Michel's method (ref. 47) established an experimental curve of Re_{θ} and Re_{χ} at transition. Both of these methods are for smooth surfaces with low turbulence. Granville (ref. 48) predicted a method for finding the distance between instability and transition points.

Theoretical investigations into the process of transition from laminar to turbulent flow are based on the acceptance of Reynolds' hypothesis that transition occurs as a consequence of an instability developed by the laminar boundary layer. Thus, the position of the point of maximum velocity of the potential velocity distribution (point of minimum pressure) influences decisively the position of the point of instability and the region of transition. Usually, the chordwise distance over which the transition region extends is relatively small. Thus, the transition region may be considered to take place at a point. A rough guide for the location of the transition point of airfoil shapes is given by Schlichting (ref. 38). According to Schlighting's rule, the point of transition almost coincides with the point of minimum pressure or maximum velocity of the potential flow in the range of R_x from 10^6 to 10^7 . At very large R_x , the transition may be a short distance ahead of the maximum velocity. At

small $\operatorname{Re}_{\mathbf{x}}$, the transition may take place some distance after the maximum velocity. In summary, we can establish the rule that the point of transition lies behind the point of minimum pressure but in front of the point of laminar separation, at all except very large Reynolds numbers. Taking into account the Reynolds number $(6x10^6)$ the adverse pressure gradient, and the turbulence intensity usually associated with flow over STOL wing propulsion systems, transition was assumed to take place at the point of minimum pressure.

Turbulent Boundary Layer Growth and Separation

Boundary layer parameters

It is important that the development of the turbulent boundary layer from the transition point be accurately determined to find out whether the turbulent boundary layer would separate and, if so, at what point on the airfoil. The laminar velocity profile at the transition point is used for the initial turbulent boundary layer calculation. It is necessary to know the shape factor, and skin friction coefficient which are indicative of separation. The turbulent boundary layer parameters on the airfoil of a blown flap wing propulsion system at verious angles of attack are illustrated in Figure 20. The parameters are shown up to but just shy of the point of separation on the airfoil. The displacement and momentum thicknesses are nondimensionalized by L, the distance along the airfoil from stagnation point to trailing edge of the airfoil. As angle of attack is increased the displacement thickness, momentum thickness, and displacement thickness Reynolds number increase at a faster rate. Thus, the separation point

occurs closer to the leading edge of the airfoil as the angle of attack is increased.

The point of separation is determined by the condition of zero wall shear stress which gives zero skin friction coefficient. Another condition of the point of separation is the increase in shape factor H as the separation point is approached. At zero angle of attack the shape factor remains a relatively constant value (1.45) with a slight increase at the trailing edge of the airfoil. The skin friction coefficient decreases to a value of 0.0018 at the trailing edge. The shape factor increases at a faster rate as the angle of attack is increased and reaches a value of 2.0 or greater at the point of separation. Likewise the skin friction coefficient approaches zero at a faster rate as angle of attack is increased. The above result is caused by the increase in the adverse pressure gradient as angle of attack is increased. For angles of attack 15° and greater, the skin friction coefficient was 0.0001 or smaller just shy of separation. Hence, this point was used as the separation point.

Velocity Profiles

The effect of the starting velocity profile on the turbulent boundary layer separation point is now considered. Three velocity profiles at the start of the turbulent boundary layer growth are illustrated in Figure 21. Curve B is obtained by assuming a similarity wedge flow solution for a circular cylinder at the stagnation point. Curves A and C are arbitrary selected profiles. Using the profiles in Figure 21 and the surface velocity distributions on the airfoil of the

wing propulsion system resulted in a negligible effect on the turbulent separation point.

The boundary layer velocity profiles on the airfoil of a wingpropulsion system at various angles of attack are shown in Figure 22. At zero angle of attack there exists a very mild adverse pressure gradient along the surface of the airfoil (Fig. 18). This results in a small change in the velocity profile along the surface of the airfoil (Fig. 22(a)). For angles of attack 15° and greater, the velocity profiles are shown up to the point near separation (Figs. 22(b) to (e)). As the separation point is approached, the boundary layer thickens and results in an inflection point in the velocity profile. These profiles give approximately zero skin friction ($C_{e} = 0.0001$) and hence are indicative of the profile near separation. The change in the velocity profiles at the various locations along the surface resulted in a cross-over of the velocity profiles in the outer portion of the boundary layer. This occurred at a velocity ratio \overline{u}/U of approximately 0.75. Schlichting (ref. 38, p. 630) reported this cross-over characteristic in velocity profiles for convergent and divergent channels. Consequences of separation

The separation at high angles of attack as indicated in the previous section results in a loss of lift and the airfoil stalls. Airfoil stall refers to the angle of attack corresponding to the maximum lift coefficient. Typical lift curves illustrating the stall characteristics of airfoils in subsonic flows are shown in Figure 23 (see refs. 49 and 50). Three main classifications of stalling behavior

occur, depending on airfoil shape and Reynolds number: (1) trailingedge stall, where there is a gradual loss of lift at high lift coefficient as the turbulent separation point moves forward from the trailing edge; (2) leading-edge stall, where there is an abrupt loss of lift, as the angle of attack for maximum lift is exceeded, with little or no rounding over of the lift curves; and (3) thin-airfoil stall, where there is a gradual loss of lift at low lift coefficients as the turbulent reattachment point moves rearward. Trailing edge stall is characteristic of most conventional thick airfoils (say t/c > 12%) at moderate to high Reynolds numbers. Leading-edge stall is characteristic of moderate airfoils (t/c \approx 9%) and is caused by an abrupt separation of the flow near the nose without subsequent reattachment, i.e., short bubble "bursting". The process of laminar boundary layer separation, transition, turbulent reattachment is referred to as a "short bubble". Thin-airfoil stall is characteristic of thinairfoil sections $(t/c \approx 6\%)$ and is the result of laminar separation near the leading-edge and turbulent reattachment moving progressively rearward with increasing incidence, i.e., a long bubble. The process of laminar boundary layer separation just aft of the leading edge, transition to turbulence, but reattachment not so guickly established is referred to as a "long bubble". The above stall characteristics for airfoils can be used as an aid in the classification of the stall associated with STOL wing propulsion systems.

The experimental lift curve of the blown flap wing propulsion system with airfoil t/c of 15 percent (see Fig. 2(a)) is illustrated

in Figure 24(a). The lift curve increases almost linearly to an angle of attack of 20° and then there is a gradual loss in lift coefficient at high angles of attack. This is typical of the trailing edge stall of thick airfoils as shown in curve (a) of Figure 23. Having assumed that the transition point is near the point of minimum pressure resulted in the predicted turbulent separation point to move forward from the trailing edge as angle of attack is increased. This is illustrated in Figure 24(b). At an angle of attack 15° the separation point is near the trailing edge and moves slightly forward at 20°. At the stall angle of attack of 25° (angle of maximum lift coefficient) the separation point moves still further near the leading edge corresponding to an x/L of 0.57. At an angle of attack of 30° the separation point moves considerably forward as would be expected to an x/L of 0.34. The above experimental curve and indicated separation points validate the assumption of the transition point to occur near the point of minimum pressure for the given airfoil shape and fan location. However, boundary layer characteristics could be quite different for other airfoil geometries and fan locations.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

A method was developed to determine the two-dimensional potential flow solution of STOL wing propulsion systems. The Douglas potential flow computer program was extended to include the effect of suction at the propulsion system inlet and to provide a technique for determining the approximate location of the exhaust jet of the propulsion system. The effect of suction was obtained by combining a basic suction solution with the uniform flow solution for a lifting body. The jet exhaust was considered as part of the solid body and its location was determined by balancing the vertical component of thrust at the flap with the integrated vertical pressure forces of the free jet.

The applicability of the potential flow program is illustrated by considering a multiple-fan externally blown flap under high-lift conditions. The results indicated high upwash angles $(60^{\circ} \text{ to } 90^{\circ})$ at the fan inlet and large jet penetration at high angles of attack. (The predicted two-dimensional lift coefficients for the 30° flap ranged from 6.5 to 13 while for the 60° flap ranged from 15 to 21 (for angles of attack from 0° to 20°). The predicted two-dimensional lift coefficients for a 30° flap were an average of 10.5 percent higher than predicted by Spence's jet flap theory which neglects thickness effects.

The predicted three-dimensional lift coefficients were an average 11.4 percent higher than experimental data for the 30° blown flap high-lift configuration. The calculated pressure distributions indicated that the minimum pressure point is near the leading edge (less than 2 percent of chord) of the airfoil, with severe adverse pressure gradients at high angles of attack. The pressure coefficient, corresponding to the minimum pressure point, ranged from -7.5 to -51 for the 0° and 20° angle of attack, respectively. The effect of suction due to a fan or inlet installed under the wing decreases the magnitude of the upper surface pressure distribution with only a small effect on total lift coefficient (obtained by integration of pressure distribution on both the upper and lower surfaces of the airfoil).

The surface velocity distribution obtained from the potential flow solution was used to determine the boundary layer growth and separation on the upper surface of the airfoil. The boundary layer solution was obtained by reduction of the partial differential equations of motion to a set of ordinary differential equations using finite differences for the x derivatives. By an iterative solution to the differential equations the boundary layer parameters for both laminar and turbulent flow were found. Near separation the shape factor was found to be 2.0 or greater. This corresponded to a skin friction coefficient of approximately 0.0001. The results indicated that the predicted turbulent separation point moved forward from the trailing edge as the angle of attack is increased. Trailing edge separation for a thick wing (t/c = 0.15) propulsion system combination considered was



verified by the experimental lift curve. However, this boundary layer characteristic could be quite different for other wing geometries and propulsion system locations.

The ability to predict the potential flow and boundary layer solution makes the analysis in this report extremely useful as a tool in the design of a STOL wing propulsion system. The analysis can be used to design the airfoil and to determine the optimum location and orientation of the propulsion system. From the predicted surface velocity distributions and boundary layer calculations one may minimize the frictional drag for a given wing propulsion system. The potential flow solution can be used to determine the jet penetration - an important quantity when considering ground effect.

The analysis has application not only to wing propulsion systems, but to any lifting or nonlifting body where suction or blowing is applied. APPENDIX A

SYMBOLS FOR POTENTIAL FLOW ANALYSIS

÷.

APPENDIX A

SYMBOLS FOR POTENTIAL FLOW ANALYSIS

A _{ii}	normal velocity of element i caused by a unit source at element i
A _{ij}	normal velocity of element i caused by a unit source at element $\ensuremath{\mathbf{j}}$
AR	aspect ratio
B _{ij}	tangential velocity of element \ensuremath{i} due to a unit source at element \ensuremath{j}
С	chord length
C,	two-dimensional lift coefficient
c _L	three-dimensional lift coefficient
Cp	pressure coefficient
CQ	mass flow coefficient
C _T	thrust coefficient
f	correction factor (eq. (21))
Fy	force in vertical direction
Н	jet penetration (see Fig. 2(b))
М	number of elements that describe the jet
L	length
LT	total length of free jet (see Fig. 2(b))
'n	fan or engine mass flow rate per unit span

N	number of elements that describe the body
n	a normal to the body surface
р	static pressure
Р	arbitrary point in the flow field off the surface
r	distance between two points
S	surface of body
т	thrust per unit span
v	disturbance velocity
v	velocity
W _{kj}	complex velocity
w	complex potential
x _{jk}	velocity in \varkappa direction at point j due to a unit source at element k
x	Cartesian coordinate
У	Cartesian coordinate
Y _{jk}	velocity in y direction at point j due to a unit source at element k
z	Cartesian coordinate
α	angle of attack
α _i	orientation of surface element
Г	nondimensional circulation
ş	variable Cartesian coordinate (see Fig. (25))
ή	turning efficiency of exhaust jet
η	variable Cartesian coordinate (see Fig. (25))
θ	flap angle (see Fig. 2(b))


θ1	jet angle at trailing edge of jet (see Fig. $(2(b))$
σ	surface source intensity per unit area
ρ	density
ζ	variable Cartesian coordinate (see Fig. (25))
φ	velocity potential
ψ	stream function
Subscri	pts
i	control point of i th element
j	control point of j th element
N	normal
р	arbitrary point on the surface
q	a surface point
ref	reference
S	refers to suction flow solution
t	tangential
v	vortex flow solution
œ	free stream
0	flow solution at zero angle of attack
90	flow solution at 90 ⁰ angle of attack
Supersc	ripts

-> vector

APPENDIX B

VELOCITIES IN TERMS OF SOURCE DENSITIES

APPENDIX B

VELOCITIES IN TERMS OF SOURCE DENSITIES

Consider the body illustrated in Figure 25(a), which extends over the range $-\infty \le z \le +\infty$. Any point on the body is described by p(x,y,z) the general point being considered. The point $q(\xi,\eta,\zeta)$ is the variable point for which integration is performed. The potential ϕ_p due to a point source at any point p in the region R bounded by $z = -\infty$, $z = +\infty$, $S = S_0$ and $S = S_1$ is

$$\phi_{p} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma dR}{r}}.$$
(B1)

It is evident from Figure 25(a) that if p is the plane z = 0

$$r = [(x - \xi)^{2} + (y - \eta)^{2} + \zeta^{2}]^{1/2}$$

Hence,

$$\phi_{p} = 2 \int_{S_{o}}^{S_{1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(s)d\xi ds}{[(x - \xi)^{2} + (y - \eta)^{2} + \zeta^{2}]^{1/2}}$$
(B2)

Here the upper limit for the ζ variable of integration signifies a large but finite value. The normal and tangential velocities $\partial \phi / \partial n$ and $\partial \phi / \partial s$ can be evaluated in terms of x and y derivatives of the potential from equation (B1)



$$\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\right)_{p} = -2 \int_{S_{0}}^{S_{1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(S)(x-\xi)d\zeta dS}{[(x-\xi)^{2}+(y-\eta)^{2}+\zeta^{2}]^{3/2}}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}\right)_{p} = -2 \int_{S_{0}}^{S} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(S)(y-\eta)d\zeta dS}{[(x-\xi)^{2}+(y-\eta)^{2}+\zeta^{2}]^{3/2}}$$
(B3)

Since σ is independent of z or $\zeta,$ one integration can be performed to give

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{p}} = \int_{\mathbf{S}_{o}}^{\mathbf{S}_{1}} \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{S}) (\mathbf{x} - \xi) d\mathbf{S}}{(\mathbf{x} - \xi)^{2} + (\mathbf{y} - \eta)^{2}}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{p}} = \int_{\mathbf{S}_{o}}^{\mathbf{S}_{1}} \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{S}) (\mathbf{y} - \eta) d\mathbf{S}}{(\mathbf{x} - \xi)^{2} + (\mathbf{y} - \eta)^{2}}$$

The problem is reduced to one in a single plane, the plane z = 0. The continuous boundary curve S is approximated by a series of segments as shown in Figure 25(b). The front, middle, and rear points of a segment are designated by S_{j-1} , S_j , and S_{j+1} . If the source density is assumed constant over each surface element, the preceding equations become

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \bigg|_{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{j} \qquad \int_{S_{j-1}}^{O} S_{j+1} \frac{(x-\xi)dS}{(x-\xi)^{2} + (y-\eta)^{2}}$$

(B5)

(B4)

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}_{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{j} \qquad \left(\int_{j-1}^{\sqrt{y-j+1}} \frac{(y-\eta)ds}{(x-\xi)^{2} + (y-\eta)^{2}} \right)^{2}$$



A transformation of the ξ,η variables into S,r_{ij} variables yields (see Fig. 25(b))

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right|_{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{j} \int_{S_{j-1}}^{S_{j+1}} \frac{[\mathbf{r}_{ij} \sin \alpha_{j} + (S - S_{ij}) \cos \alpha_{j}] dS}{\mathbf{r}_{ij}^{2} + (S - S_{ij})^{2}}$$
$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right|_{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{j} \int_{S_{j-1}}^{S_{j+1}} \frac{[\mathbf{r}_{ij} \cos \alpha_{j} + (S - S_{ij}) \sin \alpha_{j}] dS}{\mathbf{r}_{ij}^{2} + (S - S_{ij})^{2}}$$
(B6

The quantities in integrals of equation (B6) are functions only of the geometry of the body. If they are identified as \bar{x}_{ij} and \bar{Y}_{ij} respectively, the x and y components of velocity become

$$\mathtt{V}_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathtt{\partial} \phi}{\mathtt{\partial} \mathbf{x}} \bigg|_{\mathtt{i}} = \sum_{\mathtt{j}=\mathtt{1}}^{\mathtt{N}} \sigma_{\mathtt{j}} \mathtt{X}_{\mathtt{ij}}$$

(B7)

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{\mathbf{j}=1}^{N} \sigma_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}$$

where i represents an arbitrary point on the body surface. The normal and tangential velocities can be obtained by using the directional derivative formula

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \Big|_{\mathbf{i}} = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \Big|_{\mathbf{i}} \sin \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|_{\mathbf{i}} \cos \alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} \Big|_{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \Big|_{\mathbf{i}} \cos \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|_{\mathbf{i}} \sin \alpha_{\mathbf{i}}$$

(B8)

Then using equation (B7)

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \Big|_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{j} (-X_{ij} \sin \alpha_{i} + Y_{ij} \cos \alpha_{i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} \Big|_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{j} (X_{ij} \cos \alpha_{i} + Y_{ij} \sin \alpha_{i})$$
(B9)

Letting the terms in the brackets be A_{ij} and B_{ij} respectively, the normal and tangential velocities due to the source contributions become



The total velocities are made up of the contribution due to the sourcesink distribution and the free stream velocity. The entire normal and tangential velocities on the body become

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{N,i} &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \Big|_{i} - \nabla_{\infty} \sin \alpha_{i} \end{split} \tag{B11} \\ \nabla_{t,i} &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial S} \Big|_{i} + \nabla_{\omega} \cos \alpha_{i} \end{aligned}$$

The velocity at any point off the body can be obtained by

$$\left(\nabla\phi\right)_{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(X_{kj}\hat{i} + Y_{kj}\hat{j}\right)\sigma_{k}$$
(B12)

where σ_k is the combined source intensity of the kth element as given by equation (19) of the text, and X_{kj} and Y_{kj} are the effects in the x and y direction, respectively at any point p due to the kth element.

The terms A_{ij} , B_{ij} , X_{kj} , and Y_{kj} are called influence coefficients and all represent velocities at some point that are resolved in a particular direction. These velocities are generated by the jth source element at point P_i (on the body surface) or P_k (off the body surface) and resolved normal and tangent to the body surface (A_{ij}, B_{ij}) or x axis (X_{kj}, Y_{kj}) . In terms of complex velocities W_{ij} and W_{kj} the influence coefficients for points on and off the body surface can be expressed as

$$B_{ij} + iA_{ij} = \overline{W}_{ij} e^{-i\alpha_{ij}}$$

$$X_{kj} + iJ_{kj} = \overline{W}_{kj}$$
(B13)

where the bar indicates the conjugate and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\underline{i}}$ is the i^{th} element angular orientation.

The complex potential at $\boldsymbol{z}_k^{}$ for a unit source located at $\zeta(S)$ is expressed as

$$w = \phi + i\psi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left[\mathbb{Z}_{k} - \zeta(S) \right]$$
(B14)

The complex velocity W_{kj} is the influence of element j at the point p_{v} . Since W = dw/dz the influence coefficient becomes

$$\widetilde{W}_{kj} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{j_{elem}}^{\gamma} \frac{d}{dz_k} \ln(z_k - \zeta(S)) dS$$
(B15)



Referring to Figure 26,

$$dS = e^{-i\alpha} d\zeta$$
(B16)

Replacing dS in equation (B15) and evaluating the integral there results

$$W_{kj} = \frac{e^{-\alpha_j}}{2\pi} \int_{\zeta_{1j}}^{\zeta_{2j}} \frac{d}{dz_k} \ln[z_k - \zeta(S)] d\zeta = \frac{e^{-i\alpha_j}}{2\pi} \ln \frac{(z_k - \zeta_{1j})}{(z_k - \zeta_{2j})}$$

(B17)

Ē

APPENDIX C

COMPUTATION OF FLOW QUANTITIES FOR POTENTIAL FLOW ANALYSIS



APPENDIX C

COMPUTATION OF FLOW QUANTITIES FOR POTENTIAL FLOW ANALYSIS

Once the combined velocities on the body surface are calculated, the pressure distribution and the lift coefficient of the body can be found. The equation of motion for steady, incompressible, inviscid fluid can be expressed as

$$(\vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathbf{v}} = -\frac{1}{o} \nabla p \tag{C1}$$

For potential (irrotational) flow Bernoulli's equation results

$$\frac{p}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2} V^2 = Constant$$
(C2)

and is applicable everywhere. The pressure coefficient $\ensuremath{C_p}$ is defined as

$$c_{p} = \frac{p - p_{\infty}}{\frac{1}{2}\rho v_{\infty}^{2}}$$
(C3)

By use of equation (C2)

$$C_{\rm p} = 1 - \frac{v^2}{v_{\rm p}^2}$$
 (C4)

The two-dimensional lift coefficient is defined as

$$C_{\chi} = \frac{L}{\frac{1}{2} \rho v_{\infty}^2 C}$$
(C5)

.

This can be obtained by integration of the pressure distribution over the surface of the body. Since $L = \int_{c}^{b} p_{i} \cos \alpha_{i} dS_{i}$,

$$C_{i} = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{p,i} \cos \alpha_{i} \Delta S_{i}$$
(C6)

where $C_{p,i}$ represents the pressure coefficient at the control point of the ith element. The thrust coefficient is defined as

$$C_{T} = \frac{T}{\frac{1}{2} \rho v_{\infty}^{2} C}$$
(C7)

where T is the exit thrust of the propulsion system. The exit thrust is obtained from the vertical force on the jet, the jet deflection angle, and the experimental turning efficiency η between the propulsion system exhaust and the trailing edge of the flap. Then

$$T = \frac{F_y}{\eta \sin \theta}$$
(C8)

where η is the turning efficiency of the exhaust jet. The vertical force is calculated by integration of the pressures on the jet

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathbf{p}_{i} \cos \alpha_{i} \Delta \mathbf{S}_{i}$$
(C9)

where α_i is the angular orientation of the ith element.

a na gran ta gr

APPENDIX D

POTENTIAL FLOW COMPUTER PROGRAM

APPENDIX D

POTENTIAL FLOW COMPUTER PROGRAM

Summary

A schematic representation of the main subroutines of the computer program is illustrated in Figure 27. The program is divided up into seven parts. These are called from the main program. Part 1 performs computations with the basic data input. It calculates angular orientation of elements of the body, mid point of elements, rotates the body, etc. Subroutine 22YA generates the initial shape of the exhaust jet of the propulsion system from the input data. Part 2 formulates the matrix including the complex velocity potential for points on and off the body surface. Part 4 solves the above matrix. The influence coefficients A_{ij} , B_{ij} , X_{kj} , and Y_{kj} (see appendix B) are determined in this subroutine. The combination solution is obtained in part 6. Part 7 determines if the jet exhaust is properly orientated. It integrates for the mass flux into the propulsion system and calculates the forces on the exhaust jet.

Input

The inputs required by the program are as follows:

FLGO2, FLGO3, etc.	- control flags (see comment cards main
	program)
MON	- controls amount of output desired (see
	comment cards main program)
CDIM	- total x distance of body including ex-
	haust jet
VINF	- free stream velocity
x ₁ , y ₁	- point on body at trailing edge of flap
	(start of jet)
x ₂ , y ₂	- point of initial location of trailing
	edge of exhaust jet
BETA	- flap angle
DELT	- thickness of jet
ALF2	- initial jet angle at trailing edge of jet
ККК	- number of elements on jet
POSS	- turning efficiency of exhaust jet
CHORD	- chord length of airfoil
NN	- number of points on body (first time thru
	DO 100p)
THETA	- rotation angle for airfoil
BDN	- one if on body points follow
X(I), X(I + 1), etc.	- coordinates of points on the body surface
Y(I), Y(I + 1), etc.	- coordinates of points off the body surface
NN	- number of off body points (second time
	thru DO loop)

THETA	- rotation angle for off body points
BDN	- zero if off body points follow
X(I), X(I + 1), etc.	- coordinate of points off the body surface
Y(I), Y(I + 1), etc.	- coordinate of points off the body surface
NTYPE, XP, YR	- equal zero for prescribed velocity normal
	to surface of body
NUF(I), $NUF(I + 1)$, etc.	- prescribed velocity normal to surface of
	body (known value for inlet of propul-
	sion system, zero for rest of body)
TUF(I), TUF(I + 1), etc.	- tangential velocity on surface of body,
	input as zero

Output

The output consist of tangential velocities and pressure coefficients for each element on the body for the basic solutions and the combination solution. It also includes the mass flow rate into the propulsion system, the forces on the exhaust jet, the thrust coefficient of the propulsion system, the lift coefficient of the body, and the basic input data. Also included are the x and y components of velocity and angular orientation of points off the body (in the flow field).

The complete program listing follows:

Complete Program Listing

\$MAJ UND	NOPRINT	
SZ ER DIV		
\$18308	NOMA P	
SIBFTC B2Y	1	
CDECK B 2Y1		B2Y00040
COMM	ON IN, HEDR, CASE, RPI, R2PI, SP, CL, ALPHA, FALPHA, DALFA, CHORD, SUNDS	.82Y00100
1	XMC, YMC, ADDY, FLG02, FLG03, FLG04, FLG05, FLG06, FLG07, FLG08,	B 2Y 00110
2	FLG09, FLG10, FLG11, FLG12, ND, NLF, NER, NT, NB, NCFLG, FLG15, FLG16	
COMM	ON SUMSIG, VINF, MON, BETA, CDIM, VREF, DELT, FLG13, FLG14, ITER, ALF2	
COMM	ON / SPACER/DUMMY(10000)	
CCMM	ON /F DRC UR/XC URV (200) , YCURV (200) , KKK	
COM	MON / NBSAVE / NBOLD	B2Y00140
D IME	NSION ND(10), NLF(10), SUMDS(10), XMC(8), YMC(8), ADDY(8)	B2Y0008C
1, HE	DR(15)+CASE(2)	B2Y00090
COM	PLEX IM	B2Y00050
INT	EGER FLG02,FLG03,FLG04,FLG05,FLG06,FLG07	B 2Y 000 60
1, FL	G08, FLG09, FLG10, FLG11, FLG12, FLG13, FLG14, FLG15, FLG16	
DATA	KORE/10000/	
C *****	MON=C IS REGULAR DUTPUT	
C *****	MON=1 IS MINIMUM OUTPUT	
C *****	FLG01=1 ONE BODY	
C ******	FLG02=1 DOES OFF BODY PCINTS	
C *****	FLG03=1 ALPHA IS INPUT	
C *****	FLG11=1 DOES SUCTION	
C ******	FLG13=1 DOES THE TAIL	
C *****	FLG14=1 COMPUTES THE TALL WITH A CUBIC	
C ******	FLGIS=1 SKIPS INTEGRATING FUR THE MASS FLUX	
C ******	FLG16=1 CACULATES THE FORCE ON THE END OF THE TAIL ONLY	
NBU		82400190
I TER		
IU CALL	PARII	82700180
CALL.		B2700190
CALL	PART2	82100200
CALL	COLVET /DUMMY, NT. NCELC. KOPE. 1. 2. 8. 3. 41001	82700220
30 CALL		82400260
60 CALL	DAD TA	B 2Y CO 270
IFIE	16131 80.80.70	02.00210
70 CALL	DADT 7	
80 G0 T	0.10	
END		B2Y00330

\$ IBMAP	22YZ	
	ENTRY	. UN12.
.UN12.	PZE	UNI T12
UN IT12	FILE	,UT1,INOUT,BIN,BLK=256,NOLIST
	EN TR Y	. UN13.
.UN13.	PZE	UNI T13
UN IT13	FILE	,UT3,INOUT,BIN,BLK=256,NOLIST
	END	



SOR IGIN ALPHA	
\$1BFTC B2Y2	
CDECK B2Y2	B2Y00340
SUBROUTINE PARTI	B2Y00350
COMMON IM. HEDR. CASE. RPI. R2 PI. SP. CL. ALPHA. FALPHA. DAL FA. CHORD. SUM DS.	B2Y00460
1 XMC . YMC . ADDY . FLG02 . FLG03 . FLG04 . FLG05 . FLG06 . FLG07 . FLG08 .	B2Y00470
2 FI G09-FI G10-FI G11 - FI G12 - ND - NI F - NEB - NT - NB - NCFI G - FI G15 - FI G16	
COMMON SUMSIG, VINE, MON, BETA, CDIN, VREF, DELT, FLG13, FLG14, ITER, ALE2	
COMMON /FORCUR/XCURV(200) .YCURV(200) .KKK .POSS	
COMMON /NBS/ KII	
COMMON / NASA VE / NBOLD	B 2Y 00 360
DIMENSION X(300) . Y(300) . XMP(299) . YMP(299) . ALFA(299).	B2Y00420
1 8505(299), SINA (299), COSA (299), DELS (299), DALE(298), 7 (299).	B2Y00430
2Q(300), HEDR(15), ND(10), NLF(10), SUMDS(10), XMC(8), YMC(8),	B2Y00440
3ADDY(8) + CASE(2) + NUF(299) + TUF(299)	B2Y00450
DIMENSION RADC (250)	
CCMPLEX IN, Z, Q	B2Y00370
REAL MX. MY. NUF	B2Y00380
INTEGER BDN, SUBKS, SEQ1, SEQ2	B2Y00390
INTEGER FLG02, FLG03, FLG04, FLG05, FLG06, FLG07	B2Y C0400
1, FLG08, FLG09, FLG10, FLG11, FLG12, FLG13, FLG14, FLG15, FLG16	
EQUIVALENCE (NUF, X), (TUF, Y)	B2Y00490
IM = (0.1 I)	B2Y00500
N = FLG12	B2Y00510
READ (5,4) HEDR, CASE, NB, FLG02, FLG03, FLG04, FLG05, FLG06,	B 2Y 00 520
1 FLG07, FLG08, FLG09, FLG10, FLG11, FLG12,FLG13,FLG14,	
2 FLG15,FLG16,SEQ1,NIN	
4 FORMAT(15A4, 2X, 2A4/18I1)	
IF(NBOLD .EQ. 0) NBOLD = NB	B2Y00540
IF(NIN $_{\bullet}EQ_{\bullet}$ O) NIN = 5	B2Y00550
READ (5,6) MON,CDIM,VINF,X1,Y1,X2,Y2,BETA,DELT,VREF,ALF2	
READ (5,7) KKK,POSS	
6 FORMAT (15,6F10.5,3F5.2/1F10.5)	
7 FORMAT (15,F10.4)	
READ (5, 8) SP, CL, ALPHA, FALPHA, DALFA, CHORD, SEQ2	B2Y00570
8 FORMAT (6F10.0, 16X 14)	B2Y00580
IF (SEQ2 .GE. SEQ1) GO TO 80	82Y C0590
60 WRITE (6,9)	B 2Y 00600
9 FURMAT (SOHODATA UUT UF SEQUENCE. SURT DATA UN 77-80. RELUAD.)	B2Y00610
STOP	B 2Y 006 20
BO SEQ1 = SEQ2	82400630
82 IF (CHURD = Q_{2} 0.) CHURD = 1.	82100640
WRITE (6, 12) HEDR, CASE, NB, FLGU2, FLGU3, FLGU4, FLGU5, FLGU5,	82100650
1 FLG07, FLG08, FLG09, FLG10, FLG11, FLG12, FLG13, FLG14, FLG15, FLG16,	
2 SP, CL, ALPHA, FALPHA,	B2100660
2 DALFA, CHURD, NIN	82400670
12 FURMAT (THE 25X 20HUUUGLAS ALKCRAFT CUMPANY / 28X 2THEUNG BEACH	182100680
I DIVISION /// GA ZONFRUGRAH DZIC Z-D CASCADE // IIA ZONFRUTT CA	B2100890
2 - 13 20Y OUEL DATA THIT /// 0 X 12 A 14 4 4 4 7 THE ASE NUL (244) // 0 X 7 THE UTE	B 2Y 00 710
$3 = 13 + 20 \times 907 \pm 146 \times 2 = 137 \times 307 \pm 103 \times 307 \pm 137 \pm 1037 \times 1037 \pm 1037 \times 103$	82100710
4 THELAG 3 - 13/20A THELAG 6 - 13/ 6A THELAG 7 - 13/20A THELAG 6 5 - 13/ 64 GHELAG 9 - 13/20A GHELAG 13 - 13/ 64 GHELAG 13 - 13/	82100720
3 - 137 or micke $7 - 13720$ Micke $13 - 137$ or Micke $11 = 137$	02100730
A=12.204 OHEIAC 16 - 12.204 OHEIAC 15 - 13.204 OHEIAC 14 = 13/68 OHEIAC 15	
m = 13 + 20x 3 m = 13 + 21x 6 m + 13 + 21x 6 m + 10 = 13 + 21x 6	B 22 00 740
D IIA IUN SPACING = FI3.87 IOX SH LL = FI3.87	B2100740
(15% ON ALTHA - F15+07 (% 190 NLEI ALPHA - F15+87 (% 194 DELIA AL	D2100750
$D_{\Gamma} D_{\Gamma} = \Gamma (1 + 0) (1 + 1 + 0) (1 + 0) $	n / · · · · · / / / / / / / / / / / / /
SNATES AND NON-INTEOR ELOP ONLY - IS I	B 2Y 00 7 70
9NATES AND NON-UNIFORM FLOW ONLY =, 15)	B2Y00770

FLG12 = NB2Y00790 RETURN B2Y00800 119 NT = 0 B2Y00810 NCFLG = 2 B2Y00820 REWIND 4 B2Y00830 REWIND 9 82100840 REWIND 12 B2Y00850 REWIND 13 82Y00860 82Y00890 DO 120 I = 1, 10 SUMDS(I) = 0.B2Y00900 NLF(I) = 0B2Y00910 120 ND(1) = 0 B2Y00920 K 2 = NB B2Y00930 IF (FLG02 .NE. 0) K2 = NB + 1 B2Y00940 DO 2000 L = 1, K2 B2Y00950 READ (5, 15) NN, MX, MY, THETA, ADDX, ADDY(L), SEQ2 82200960 15 FORMAT (5X 15, 5F10.0, 16X 14) IF (SEQ2 .LT. SEQ1) GO TC 60 B2Y00970 82Y00980 SEQ1 = SEQ2B2Y00990 122 READ (5.16) BDN. SUBKS.NLFF.XMC(L).YMC(L).ELPSTH.SEQ2 16 FORMAT (3(5x 15),3F10.0,16x,14) B2Y01010 IF (SEQ2 .LT. SEQ1) GO TO 60 B2Y01020 SEQ1 = SEQ2B2Y01030 124 ND(L)=NN J J = ND (1) 11=ND(1)-KKK+1 KII=ND(1) M = NN - 1 B2Y01050 NLF(L) = NLFF B2Y01060 NT = NT + NN B2Y01070 IF(NLFF .EQ. 0 .AND. BDN .NE. 0) NCFLG = NCFLG + 1 IF (SUBKS .EQ. 0) GO TO 140 B2Y01080 B2Y01090 IF(L .NE. K2) GO TC 145 B2Y01100 NTIMES = NBOLD - NB B2YC1110 IF(NTIMES .LE. O) GC TO 145 82Y01120 GO TO 145 B 2Y 01180 140 ELPSTH = ABS(ELPSTH) B2Y01190 IF (ELPSTH .LE. 0.0) GO TO 139 B2Y01200 DANGLE = 6.2831853E0 / FLOAT (M) B2Y01210 ANGLE = 0.0 B2Y01220 X(1) = 1.0B 2V01230 X(NN) = 1.0 B2Y01240 Y(1) = 0.0 B2Y01250 Y(NN) = 0.0 B2Y01260 N = M - 1B2Y01270 DO 141 I = 1,N B2Y01280 ANGLE = ANGLE - DANGLE B2Y01290 X(I+1) = COS (ANGLE) B2Y01300 141 Y(I+1) = ELPSTH * SIN (ANGLE) B2YC1310 GO TO 145 139 DO 142 I = 1,NN,6 82101330 READ (NIN, 20) X(1), X(1+1), X(1+2), X(1+3), X(1+4), X(1+5), SEQ2 B2Y01340 20 FORMAT (6F10.0, 16X 14) B2Y01350 IF (SEQ2 .LT. SEQ1) GO TO 60 B 2Y 01 360 142 SEQ1 = SEQ2 B2Y01370 DO 144 I = 1, NN, 6 B 2Y 01 380 READ (NIN, 20) Y(I), Y(I+1), Y(I+2), Y(I+3), Y(I+4), Y(I+5), SEQ2 B2Y01390 IF (SEQ2 .LT. SEQ1) GO TO 60 B2Y01400 144 SEQ1 = SEQ2 82Y01410 THE TA = THE TA / 57.2957795E0

IF (THE TA .EQ. 0.) GO TO 300 CSTHT = COS(THE TA) SNTHT = SIN(THE TA) DO 290 I = 1, NN T1 = X(I)X(I) = T1*CSTHT + Y(I)*SNTHT290 Y(I) = Y(I)*CSTHT - T1*SNTHT 300 CONTINUE 145 IF (FLG12 .GT. 0) GO TO 150 B2Y01440 IF(FLG14 .EQ. 0) GO TO 148 IF (BDN.NE.1) GO TO 148 T1=X1 X1=T1*COS(THE TA) + Y1*SIN(THE TA) Y 1= Y1*COS(THE TA) - T1* SIN(THE TA) T2=X2 X 2= T2*COS (THE TA) + Y2* SIN (THE TA) Y2=Y2*COS(THE TA) - T2* SIN(THE TA) x3=x2+9.72 ¥ 3=¥2-1.32 THE TA = THE TA* 57.2957795E0 AL F = BE TA + THE TA CALL SUBCUR (X1, Y1, X2, Y2, X3, Y3, ALF, ALF2, DELT) MMM =KKK NNN=1 143 DO 147 I =NNN, MMM X(I) = XCURV(I)147 Y(I)=YCURV(I) IE (NNN-II) 146.148.148 146 NNN=II MMM=JJ GO TO 143 148 WRITE (13) (X(I),I=1,NN) WRITE (13) (Y(I), I=1, NN) B2Y01460 150 IF (BDN .EQ. 0) GO TO 200 B2Y01470 IF (FLG12 .GT. 0) GO TO 163 B2Y01480 DO 160 I = 1, M B2Y01490 XMP(I) = (X(I+1) + X(I)) / 2.B2Y01500 160 YMP(I) = (Y(I+1) + Y(I)) / 2. B2Y01510 WRITE (13) (XMP(1), 1 = 1, M) B2Y01520 WRITE (13) (YMP(1) . I = 1 . M) B2Y01530 GO TO 200 B2Y01540 163 SUMS = 0.0 B 2Y 01 550 DC 164 I = 1.M B2Y01560 XMP(I) = (X(I+1)+X(I))/2. B2Y01570 YMP(I) = (Y(I+1)+Y(I))/2.B2Y01580 T1 = X(I+1) - X(I)B2Y01590 T2 = Y(1+1)-Y(1) B2Y01600 DELS([) = SQRT(T1*T1+T2*T2) B2Y01610 SUMS = SUMS + DELS(1) B2Y01620 R SD S(I) = SUMS 82Y01630 164 ALFA(I) = ATAN2(T2,T1)B2Y01640 MM = NN-2 B2Y01650 00 165 I = 1. MM B2Y01660 165 DALF(I) = (ALFA(I+1)-ALFA(I))*57.2957795E0 B2Y01670 200 WRITE (6, 24) HEDR. NN. NLF(L). MX. MY. THETA. ADDX. ADDY(L). B2Y01680 1 XMC(L), YMC(L) B2V01690 24 FORMAT (1H 25X 26HDOUGLAS AIRCRAFT COMPANY / 28X 21HLONG BEACHB2Y01700 1 DIVISION,///5X,15A4,// 5X,4HNN =,14,4X,5HNLF =,14,5X,4HMX =, 2 F13.8, 4X 4HMY = F13.8 / 5X 7HTHETA = F13.8, 4X 6HADDX = F13.8, B2Y01710 B2YC1720 3 2X 6HADDY = F13.8 / 7X 5HXMC = F13.8, 5X 5HYMC = F13.8) B2Y01730

IE (MON-1) 27.240.240 27 IF (BDN .EQ. 0) GO TO 220 IF (FLG12.LE.O) WRITE (6.25) 82101740 8 28 01 760 IF (FLG12.GT.0) WRITE (6.26) 9 28 01 740 25 FORMAT (1H0 4X 33HON-BODY (CORDINATES (INTRANSFORMED)) B 2Y 01 770 82701780 WRITE (6.28) BDN B 2Y 01 790 28 EORMAT(9H BODY NO. 13//12X1HX13X1HY11X7HDELTA S 7X SHSUMDS BX 9 22 01 900 7HD AL PHA //1 1 82701810 GO TO 230 82701820 220 LE (ELG12-LE-0) WRITE (6-31) 82101830 31 FORMAT (1H0 4X 34HOFF-BODY COORDINATES (TRANSFORMED) // 10X 1 5HX-OFF 9X 5HY-OFF //) 0 2401960 9 24 01 9 50 IF (FIG12.GT.O) WRITE (6.32) 0 34 01 0/ 0 32 FORMAT (1HO 4X 36HOFF-BODY COORDINATES (UNTRANSFORMED) // 10X 0 3401070 SHY-DEF OX SHY-DEF // 1 1 8 27 01880 230 LE (ELG12+LE+0) GO TO 240 B 2V 01 800 IF (BDN.LE.0) GO TO 235 B2Y01900 WRITE (6,36) X(1),Y(1),XMP(1),YMP(1),DELS(1),RSDS(1) 82701910 WRITE (6,40) (I, X(I), Y(I), DALF(I-1), XMP(I), YMP(I), 8 28 01 0 20 1 DEIS(I), RSDS(I), I = 2, M) B2Y01930 WRITE (6.44) NN. X(NN). V(NN) B2Y01940 60 10 240 82701950 235 WRITE (6,48) (I, X(I), Y(I), I = 1, NN) 240 IF (MX .EQ. 0.) GO TO 260 82701960 9 28 01 070 00 250 I = 1 . NN 8 22 01 980 250 X(I) = X(I) * MX 82201000 XMC(I) = XMC(I) & MX 82202000 260 IF (MY .EQ. 0.) GD TO 280 B2Y02010 00 270 I = 1, NN B 2V A 2A 2A 270 Y(I) = Y(I) * MY B2Y02030 YMC(L) = YMC(L) * MY B 2102040 280 IF (ADUX .EQ. 0.) GO TO 320 DO 310 I = 1, NN B2Y02170 $310 \times (1) = \times (1) + ADDX$ B2YC2180 XMC(L) = XMC(L) + ADDX 82Y02190 320 T1 = ADDY(1) B2Y02200 IF (T1 .FQ. 0.) GO TO 340 B2Y02210 DO 330 I = 1, NN 82102220 330 Y(1) = Y(1) + T1B2Y02230 YMC(L) = YMC(L) + T1 8 28 02240 340 IF (CHORD .EQ. 1.) GO TO 360 B2Y02250 00 350 I = 1. NN 82102260 X(I) = X(I)/CHORD8 280 2 270 350 Y(I) = Y(I)/CHORDB2Y02280 XMC(L) = XMC(L)/CHORD82402290 YMC(L) = YMC(L)/CHORD B 2V 02300 360 IF (BDN .EQ. 0) GO TO 500 B2Y02310 SUMS = 0. B 2Y 0 23 20 DO 400 I = 1. M B2Y02330 T1 = x(1+1) - x(1)82Y02340 $I_2 = Y(1+1) - Y(1)$ B2Y02350 $XMP(I) = (X(I+1) + X(I)) / 2_{*}$ 82Y02360 YMP(I) = (Y(I+1) + Y(I)) / 2.82102370 TDS = SQRT (T1*T1 + T2*T2) 82102380 DELS(I) = TDS0.000000 SUNS = SUMS + TOS B 2V 02400 R SD S(I) = SUMS B2Y02410 COSA(I) = T1 / TDSSINA(I) = T2 / TDS B 2Y 02420

B2Y02430

ALFA(I) = ATAN2 (T2, T1)B2Y02440 Z(I) = CMPLX (XMP(I), YMP(I)) B2Y02450 400 Q(I) = CMPLX (X(I), Y(I)) B2Y02460 Q(NN) = CMPLX (X(NN), Y(NN)) B2Y02470 SUMDS(L) = RSDS(M) B2Y02480 WRITE (12) (XMP(I), I = 1, M) B2Y02490 WRITE (12) (YMP(I), I = 1, M) B2Y02500 WRITE (12) (DELS(I), I = 1, M) B2Y02510 IF (FLG12.GT.0) GO TO 450 B2Y02520 M = NN - 2 B 2Y 02 530 DO 420 I = 1, M B2Y02540 DALF(I) = (ALFA(I+1)-ALFA(I)) * 57.2957795 IF (ABS(DALF(I)).GT.270.) DALF(I)=360.)-ABS(DALF(I)) 420 CONTINUE IF (MON.GE.1) GO TO 600 WRITE (6, 36) X(1), Y(1), XMP(1), YMP(1), DELS(1), RSDS(1) B2Y02560 36 FORMAT (1H 3H 1 2F14.8, / 4X 4F14.8) B2Y02570 M = NN - 1 82102580 WRITE (6, 40) (1, X(1), Y(1), DALF(1-1), XMP(1), YMP(1), DELS(1) B2Y02590 1 , RSDS(I), I = 2, M) 40 FORMAT (1H I3, 2F14.8, 28X F14.8 / 4X 4F14.8) B2Y02600 B2Y02610 WRITE (6, 44) NN, X(NN), Y(NN) B2Y02620 44 FORMAT (1H 13, 2F14.8) B2Y02630 GO TO 600 450 WRITE (13) (X(I),I=1,NN) WRITE (13) (Y(I),I=1,NN) B2Y02640 B2Y02650 B 2V 02660 B2Y02670 M = NN-1 wRITE (13) (XMP(I), I=1,M)
wRITE (13) (YMP(I), I=1,M) B2Y02680 B2Y02690 GO TO 600 B2Y02700 500 IF (MON.GE.1) GO TO 501 IF (FLG12 .LE. 0) WRITE (6,48) (I, X(I), Y(I), I = 1, NN) B2Y02710 48 FORMAT (1H 13, 2F14.8) B2Y02720 501 M=NN IF (FLG12 .LE. 0) GO TO 530 B2Y02740 WRITE (13) (X(I), I=1,NN) B2Y02750 WRITE (13) (Y(I) . I=1.NN) B2Y02760 530 DO 550 I = 1, NN 550 Z(I) = CMPLX(X(I), Y(I)) B2Y02770 B2Y02780 600 WRITE (9) (Z(I), I = 1, NN) B2Y02790 IF (BDN .EQ. 0) GO TO 2000 B2Y02800 M=NN-1 WRITE (9) (SINA(I), I = 1, M) B2YU2810 WRITE (4) (SINA(1), I = 1, M) B2Y02820 WRITE (9) (COSA(I) , I = 1, M) B2Y02830 WRITE (4) (COSA(I) , I = 1, M) B2Y02840 WRITE (9) (Q(I), I = 1, NN) B2Y02850 2000 CONTINUE B2Y02860 NT = NT - NB - ND (NB+1) B2Y02870 c NT = TOTAL NO. OF ELEMENTS B2Y02880 IF (FLG11.EQ.0) RETURN B2Y02890 REWIND 12 B2Y02900 REWIND 4 B2Y02910 M = 1 B 2Y 02920 N = ND(1)-1 B 2Y 02930 DO 2050 J = 1. NB B2Y02940 READ (12) (XMP(I),I=M.N) B2Y02950 READ (4) (SINA(1),I=M,N) B2Y02960 READ (12) (YMP(I) .I = M.N) B2Y02970 READ (4) (COSA(1) .I = M.N) B2Y02980

	PEAD (12)	82402000
		82202000
2050		8 2 4 0 3 0 1 0
2050		02103010
		82103020
	WRITE (0, 50)	82103030
20	FORMAT (INT 5X 3 / NUMBER OF NUM-ONFORM FLUWS EXCEEDS 8 //	82103040
	6X ISHPROGRAM TERMINATED J	82103050
	STOP	82103060
2100	NCFLG = NCFLG + FLGII	
	DU 4000 K = 1, FLGII	82103080
	READ (5,64) NTYPE, XR, YR, SEQ2	8 2Y 03090
64	FORMAT (11, 9X 2F10.0, 46X 14)	B2Y03100
	IF (SEQ2.LT.SEQ1) GO TO 60	82Y03110
	SEQ1 = SEQ2	82Y03120
	IF (NTYPE.GT.1) GO TO 2400	B2Y03130
	DO 2200 I = 1, NT, 6	B2Y03140
	READ(NIN, 20)NUF(I), NUF(I+1), NUF(I+2), NUF(I+3), NUF(I+4), NUF(I+5),	B2Y03150
1	1 SEQ2 .	82Y03160
2200	SEQ1 = SEQ2	82YC3180
2160	DU 2300 I = 1, NT, 6	
	READ(NIN, 20) TUF(I), TUF(I+1), TUF(I+2), TUF(I+3), TUF(I+4), TUF(I+5),	B2Y03200
1	L SEQ2	B 2Y 03210
2300	SEQ1 = SEQ2	B2Y03230
2260	IF (NTYPE) 3000,3000,2800	
2400	IF (NTYPE-EQ-3) GO TO 2600	B2Y03250
	00 2500 L = 1. NT	B2Y03260
	T1 = (XMP(I) - XR) * * 2 + (YMP(I) - YR) * * 2	B 2Y 03270
	NUF(I) = (YMP(I) - YR)/TI	B2Y03280
2500	$T_1F(I) = (XR - XMP(I))/T_1$	82703290
	60 10 2800	82703300
2600	00 2700 (= 1. NT	82703310
2000		B 2Y 03320
2700		B 2V 033330
2000		B 2V 03360
2000		B 2V 03340
		02103360
2000	$A \cup f(1) = -11 + 5 i A A (1) + 10 + (1) + 6 \cup 3 A (1)$	82103300
2900	$\frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} \frac$	B2103310
3000	WRITE (4) (NOP(1), $1=1$, NT)	02103300
	WRITE (4) (TUP(I),I=1,NT)	82103390
	WKIIE (6,68) HEDR, K, (1,NUF(1),NUF(1+1),NUF(1+2),NUF(1+3),	
	1 NUF(1+4),NUF(1+5),1=1,NI,6)	
68	FUKMAILIHI,6X,1044,///X,20HNUN-UNIFORM FLOW NU., 13,//12X, 2HNG, 1 11X 2HTG // (1X 15, 6F13.2))	82103410
4000	CONTINUE	B2Y03430
	BETURN	B 2Y C3440
	END	B2Y03450

la.

SIBFTC 22YAA SUBROUTINE SUBCUR(X1,Y1,X2,Y2,X3,Y3,ALF,ALF2,DELT) COMMON /FORCUR/XCURY(200),YCURV(200),KKK COMMON X0(50),Y0(50) COMMON X0(50),Y0(50) COMMON X0(50),X(50),X(50),X(150),YU(50),N0(10) DATA RAD,0174329/

DATA TOL /1.E-6/ EXTERNAL FUNC WRITE (6.23) X2. Y2. X3. Y3. ALF. DELT . ALF2. X1. Y1 23 FORMAT (9F10.4) ALF2=ALF2*RAD X3=X2+9.72*COS(ALF2)-DELT/2.0*SIN(ALF2) Y3=Y2-DELT/2.0*COS(ALF2)-9.72*SIN(ALF2) ALF2=-ALF2 ALF = -ALF * RAD X 2MX1= (X2-X1) x 2P x1= X2+X1 x1Tx2= x1 * x2 Y 2M Y1= Y2-Y1 x15= x1 *x1 X25= X2* X2 DET = -1.0 * (X2MX1) **3 ALE = SIN(ALE) (COS(ALE) ALF2=SIN(ALF2)/COS(ALF2) $A = (x_2 S + (x_2 M x_1 + x_1 + A L F + y_1 + (3, 0 + x_1 - x_2)) + x_1 S + (y_2 + (x_1 - 3, 0 + x_2) + A L F 2 + x_2 + A L F 2 +$ 1X2MX1))/DET B = (X2*(6+0*X1*Y2MY1+ALF*(2+0*X15-X1TX2-X25))+ALF2*X1*(X15+X1TX2-2+ 10*X2511/DET G = (-3, 0 + Y2MY1 + X2PX1 + 3, 0 + ALF + (X2S - X1S) + (ALF2 - ALF) + (X2S + X1TX2 - 2, 0 + X1)1S))/DET D=(2.0*Y2HY1-2.0*X2HX1*ALF-(ALF2-ALF)*X2HX1)/DET SC = SIMP S1(X1,X2,FUNC,K) S = SC + D SQRT((X3-X2)**2 + (Y3-Y2)**2) JJ=KKK+1 KK=KKK-1 DS=S/FLOAT(KK) DO 1 I=1,KKK AY = 1-1 1 XS(I) = AY *DS XG=(X2MX1)/FLOAT(KK)+X1 X(1) = X1Y(1)= Y1 00 2 I=2.KK 11 = 1 3 GNUM = XS(I) - SIMPS1(X1,XG,FUNC,K) + XG * FUNC(XG) DENOM = FUNC(XG) XN = GNUM/DENOM REL = ABS ((XG-XN)/XN) XG = XN IF (REL .GT. TOL) GO TO 3 IF(XG .GE. X2) GO TO 4 X(1)= XG Y(I) = A + xG + (B + xG + (C + D + xG))YP = B + X(I) *(2.0*C+3.0* D *X(I)) YP = -1.0/YPTHET = ATAN(YP) IF(YP .LT. 0.0) GO TO 10 DY =-DELT * SIN(THET) DX=-DELT + COS(THET) GO TO 11 10 DY = DELT * SIN(THET) DX = DELT + COS(THET) $11 \times L(I) = \times (I) + DX$ 2 YL(I) = Y(I) + DYGO TO 5

4

4 IK= I1

SLOP = (Y3-Y2)/(X3-X2) THET = A TAN(SLOP) SLOPL = (Y3-(Y2-DELT))/(X3-X2) 6 XG = XS(11) - SC DY = XG + SIN(THET) DX = XG + COS(THET) X(I1) = X2 + DXY(I1) = Y2 + DY IF(ALF2 .NE. 0.0) GO TO 20 YL(II)= SLOPL * (X(II)-X2) * (Y2 - DELT) XL(11)= X(11) GO TO 21 20 DELTG = (1.0 - XG/(S-SC)) * DELT SLOPL = -1.0/ALF2 SLOPL = A TAN(SLOPL) DYL = -DELTG * SIN(SLOPL) DYX = -DELTG * COS(SLOPL) YL(11) = Y(11) + DYLXL(11) = X(11) + DXL 21 I1 = I1 + 1IF(11 .EQ. KKK) GO TO 5 5 X(KKK)=X3 XL(KKK) = X3 Y (KKK)=Y3 YL(KKK)=Y3 YP = -1.0/ALFTHET = ATAN(YP) DY = -DELT * SIN(THET) DX = -DELT * COS(THET) XL(1) = X1 + DXYL(1) = Y1 + DYDO 7 I=1.KKK I-LL=L XCLRV(I) = XL(J) YCURV(I) = YL(J) K=KII-KKK+I XCLRV(K) = X(I) 7 YELRV(K) = Y(I) RETURN END \$18FTC FXYZ FUNCTION FUNC(X)

```
COMMON /FNC/ B,C,D
FUNC= SQRT(1.0 + (B+2.0 * C*X+3.0*D*X*X)
1**2)
Return
END
```

SUR IGIN ALPHA SIBFTC B2Y3 CDECK B2Y3 SUBROUTINE PART2

B2Y03460 B2Y03470


		MATRIX FORMATION SUBROUTINE **	B2Y03480
		CCMPLEX IN, Z, Q, W1, W2, TF, T2, T1, CLOG, CSINH	B2Y03490
		INTEGER FLG02, FLG03, FLG04, FLG05, FLG06, FLG07	B2Y03500
	1	1, FLG08, FLG09, FLG10, FLG11, FLG12	B2YC3510
		DIMENSION Z(299), Q(300), SINA(299), COSA(300), ND(10),	B2Y03520
	1	1 VNS(400), VTS(400), A(299), B(299), SUMDS(10), NLF(10)	B2Y03530
		DIMENSION VNST(10),HEDR(15),CASE(2),XMC(8),YMC(8),ADDY(8)	B2Y03540
		COMMON IM, HEDR, CASE, RPI, R2PI, SP, CL, ALPHA, FALPHA, DALFA, CHORD, SUMDS,	B 2Y 03550
		1 XMC , YMC , ADDY , FLG02 , FLG03 , FLG04 , FLG05, FLG06, FLG07, FLG08,	B2Y03560
		2 FLG09, FLG10, FLG11, FLG12, ND, NLF, NER, NT, NB, NCFLG	B2Y03570
		RPI = 0.31830989E0	B2Y03580
		$R_{2}PI = 0.15915494E0$	B2Y03590
		REWIND 9	B2Y03600
		REWIND 10	B2Y03610
		REWIND 8	
		M = 1	B2Y03630
		N = ND(1) - 1	B2Y03640
		M1 = 1	B2Y03650
		N1 = ND(1)	B2Y03660
		DO 100 L = 1. NB	B2Y03670
		READ (9) (7(1), I = M. N)	B2Y03680
		READ (9) (SINA(I), $I = M, N$)	B2Y03690
		READ (9) (COSA(1), $I = M_{-} N$)	B2Y03700
		READ (9) ($Q(I)$, $I = M1$, $N1$)	B2Y03710
		M = N + 1	B2Y03720
		N = N + ND(1+1) - 1	B2Y03730
		M1 = N1 + 1	B2Y03740
1	001	N1 = N1 + ND(1+1)	B2Y03750
		K = NB * NT	B2Y03760
		DO 200 I = 1. K	B2Y03770
			82703780
	200		82103790
- 1		ASSIGN 850 TO NSO	82703800
			82703810
			B2Y03820
			82403830
c			02103030
č			
•		M] = 2*NR	82103860
		DO 250 K = 1. MI	B2Y03870
2	250	READ (4)	82703880
		M 1=N T+1	
		N 1 = 2 * N T	
		$PO_{300} K = 1. ELG11$	B2Y03910
		B F A D (4) (VNS(1), T = M1, N1)	B2Y03920
		READ(4)	82703930
		MI = MI + NT	B2Y03940
3	300		B2V03950
4	0.04	NPELG = 0	82203960
		A2 = 0-	B 2V03070
		B2 = 0.	82703980
		L = NT	82703990
	500	00 1500 4 = 1 - 1	B 2Y 04000
5		A1 = 1	B 2Y 04010
5		N1 = ND(1) - 1	82404020
5			
5		41 = 4 = 4	B2104020
5		J1 = J - L J2 = 0	B2Y04030
5		J1 = J - L J2 = 0 J4 = 0	B2Y04030 B2Y04040 B2Y04040
5		J] = J = L J2 = 0 J4 = 0 T] = GMEX(COSA(J), -SINA(J))	B2Y04030 B2Y04040 B2Y04050 B2Y04050
5		J1 = J - L J2 = 0 J4 = 0 T1 = CMPLX(COSA(J), -SINA(J)) D0 1200 L = L. NB	B2Y04030 B2Y04040 B2Y04050 B2Y04060 B2Y04060

J1 = J1 + LB2Y04080 J4 = J4 + 1B2Y04090 с IF (SP .NE. 0.0) TF = CSINH(3.14159265E)*(Z(J)-Q(M1))/SP) B2Y04100 DO 1000 K = M1, N1 B2Y04110 J2 = J2 + 1B2Y04120 CALL FORM1 (J, K, J2, Z, Q, SINA, COSA, W1) B2Y04140 700 IF (NPFLG .NE. 0) GO TO 750 B2Y04260 720 T2 = CONJG(W1) * T1 B2Y04270 A1 = AIMAG(T2) B 2V 04 2 80 IF (J .EQ. J2) A1 = ABS(A1) B1 = REAL(T2) B2YC4290 B2Y04300 GO TO 800 B2Y04310 B2Y04320 750 A1 = - AIMAG(W1) B1 = REAL(W1) B2Y04330 B2Y04340 800 GO TO N50, (850,900) 850 VNS(J1) = VNS(J1) - B1 + B2 B2Y04350 VTS(J1) = VTS(J1) + A1 - A2 B2Y04360 900 A(J2) = A1 + A2 B 2Y 04370 1000 B(J2) = B1 + B2 B 2Y 04 380 GO TO N51,(1050,1100) B 2Y 04 390 1050 VNS(J1) = VNS(J1) / SUMDS(J4) B2YC4400 VIS(J1) = VIS(J1) / SUMDS(J4) B2Y04410 1100 M1 = N1 + 2 B2Y04420 1200 N1 = N1 + ND(I+1) B2Y04430 B2Y04440 VNST(1) = - SINA(J) VNST(2) = COSA(J) B2Y04450 GO TO 1275 B2Y04460 IF(FLG11 .LT. 1) KL = J - L B2Y04470 00 1250 I = 3. NCELG B2Y04480 KL = KL + L B 27 04490 1250 VNST(I) = VNS(KL) B2Y04500 1275 WRITE (10) (A(I), I = 1, NT), (VNST(KL), KL = 1, NCFLG) 82Y04510 WRITE (10) (B(I), I = 1, NT) IF (FLG07 .EQ. 0) GO TO 1300 WRITE (6, 5) J, (A(I), I = 1, NT) B2Y04520 B2Y04530 B2Y04540 5 FORMAT (1HO 12H AJK ROW 14 // (6F15.8)) B2Y04550 WRITE (6, 10) J, (B(1), I = 1, NT) B 2V 04 560 10 FORMAT (1H0 12H 6JK ROW I4 // (6F15.8)) 1300 WRITE (8) (A(I), I = 1, NT) WRITE (8) (B(I), I = 1, NT) B2Y04570 B2Y04580 1500 CONTINUE B2Y04600 c M = 1 B2Y04620 N = 1 B 2Y 046 30 DO 2000 J = 1, NB B2Y04640 IF (NLF(J) .NE. 0) GO TO 1800 B2Y04650 WRITE (4) (VNS(I), I = M, N) B2Y04660 WRITE (4) (VTS(1), I = M, N) B2Y04670 1800 M = N + 1 B2Y04680 2000 N = N + L B2Y04690 IF (FLG07 .EQ. 0) GO TO 3000 B2Y04700 N = NB * L B2Y04710 WRITE (6, 15) (VNS(I), I = 1, N) B2Y04720 WRITE (6, 20) (VTS(I), I = 1, N) B2Y04730 15 FORMAT (1H0/10X 3HVNS /// (6F15.8)) B 2Y 04 740 20 FORMAT (1H0 / 10x 3HVTS /// (6F15.8)) B2Y04750 3000 IF (FLG02 .EQ. 0 .OR. NPFLG .NE. 0) RETURN B2Y04760 B2Y04770 NPFLG = 1L = ND(NB+1)82404780 READ (9) (Z(1). I = 1. L) B2Y04790

DO 3100 I = 1. K 82YC4810 VNS(I) = 0. B2Y04820 3100 VIS(I) = 0. B2Y04830 ASSIGN 850 TO N50 82704840 ASSIGN 1050 TO N51 B2Y04850 60 10 500 B2Y04860 END B2Y04870 SIBETC B2Y4 CDECK B 2Y4 B2Y04880 SUBROUTINE FORM1 (J, K, J2, Z, Q, SINA, COSA, W) COMPLEX IM, Z, Q, W, CLOG 82Y04890 B2YC4900 COMMON IM HEDR CASE BPI B2PI SPICLALPHA FALPHA. NER. NT. NB. NCELG B2Y04910 DIMENSION 2(299), Q(300), SINA(299), COSA(299) B2Y04920 DIMENSION HEDR(15) .CASE(2) B2Y04930 M = CLOG ((Z(J)-Q(K)) / (Z(J)-Q(K+1)))82104940 W = (COSA(J2) - IM*SINA(J2)) * R2PI * W B2Y04950 RETURN B2Y04960 82Y04970 END

```
SOR IGIN
                ALPHA
$IBFTC B2Y9
CDECK B 2Y9
                                                                                 B2Y06110
      SUBROUTINE PART4
                                                                                 B2Y06120
      CCMPLEX IM
INTEGER FLG02, FLG03, FLG04, FLG05, FLG06, FLG07
                                                                                 B2Y06130
                                                                                  B2Y06140
     1, FLG08, FLG09, FLG10, FLG11, FLG12
                                                                                 B2Y C61 50
      DIMENSION A(300 ). R(300, 5). ND(10). NLE(10)
                                                                                  B2Y06160
      D IMENSION HEDR (15) , CASE (2) , SUMDS (10) , XMC (8) , YMC(8) , AD DY(8)
                                                                                  B2Y06170
      COMMON IM HEDR CASE RPI R2PI SP.CL. ALPHA, FALPHA, DALFA, CHORD, SUMDS, 82406180
     1
              XMC , YMC , ADD Y , F LG 02 , F LG 03 , F LG 04 , FL G 05 , F LG 06 , FL G 07 , FL G 08 ,
                                                                                 B2Y06190
     2
              FLG09, FLG10, FLG11, FLG12, ND, NLF, NER, NT, NB, NCFLG
                                                                                  B 2Y 06200
       REWIND 1
                                                                                 B2Y06210
      REWIND 3
                                                                                 B 2Y 062 20
      REWIND
               4
                                                                                  B2Y06230
      REWIND 10
                                                                                 B 2V 04 240
      M = 1
                                                                                 B 2Y 062 50
      N = ND(1) - 1
                                                                                 B2Y06260
      DO 100 K = 1, NB
                                                                                 B2Y06270
      READ (4) ( R(I,1), I = M, N )
                                                                                 B2Y06280
      READ (4) ( R(1,2), I = M, N )
                                                                                  B 2V 06 2 00
      M = N + 1
                                                                                 B 2Y 06300
 100 N = N + ND(K+1) - 1
PRECEDING READS IN SINES, COSINES, ONSET FLOWS NEXT ( IF ANY).
                                                                                  8 27 06 310
                                                                                  B2Y06320
      IF ( NCFLG .LE. 2 ) GO TO 180
                                                                                 B2Y06330
      DO 150 J = 3. NCFLG
                                                                                 B2Y06340
      READ (4) ( R(I,J), I = 1, NT )
                                                                                  8 27 06350
  150 READ (4)
                                                                                  B 2Y 06360
  180 DO 200 J = 2, NCFLG
                                                                                 82406370
      DO 200 I = 1, NT
                                                                                 B2Y06380
  200 R(I, J) = -R(I, J)
                                                                                  B 2Y 06390
  250 DO 300 I = 1, NT
                                                                                 82706400
      READ (10) ( A( J ), J = 1, NT )
                                                                                 82YC6410
      READ (10)
                                                                                 B2Y06420
  300 WRITE(1) (A(J), J=1, NT), (R(I, J), J=1, NCFLG)
                                                                                 B2Y06430
```

76

82Y04800

K = NB * L

SORIGIN ALPHA \$IBFTC C20X9 C20X9 B2Y06480 SUBROUTINE SOLVIT (A. ND. MD. KD. NI. MM. NO. NW. *) 82Y06490 DIMENSION A (KD) 82706620 c B2Y06630 LOGICAL LAST B2Y06640 с B2Y06650 N = ND B2Y06680 M = MD B2Y06690 KORE = KD 82106700 NPM = N + M B2Y0671C IF (MAXO(3 * NPM, M * N) .GT. KORE) RETURN 1 B2Y06720 MT = MM B2Y06730 REWIND MT B2Y06740 NIN = NI B2Y06750 REWIND NIN 82Y06760 NOUT = NO B2Y06770 REWIND NOUT B2Y06780 MP1 = M + 1B2Y06790 NN = N B2Y06800 NEL = NPM B2YC6810 с B2Y06820 C - - CALCULATE THE MAXIMUM NO. OF ROWS. "K" B2YC6830 ċ B2Y06840 10 K = (KORE - NEL) / NEL B2Y06850 c B2Y06860 C - - TEST TO SEE IF THE REST OF THE MATRIX WILL FIT IN CORE B2YC6870 č B2Y06880 LAST = K .GE. NN B2Y06890 IF (LAST) K = NN B2Y06900 C. B2Y06910 C - - READ "K" ROWS OF THE AUGMENTED "A" MATRIX B2Y06920 č B2Y06930 30 NT = 0 B2YC6940 00 40 18 = 1, K B2Y06950 NS = NT + 1 B2Y06960 NT = NT + NEL B2Y06970 40 READ (NIN) (A(IO). IO = NS. NT) B2YC6980 c B2Y06990 C - - CHECK TO SEE IF WE WERE UNLUCKY ENOUGH TO END UP WITH ONLY ONE ROWB2Y07000 ċ B2Y07010 IF (K .EQ. 1) GO TO 90 B2Y07020 с B2Y07030 - - "K" IS GREATER THAN "1" SO WE CAN START THE TRIANGULARIZATION Ċ B2Y07040 с B2Y07050 NELP1 = NEL + 1 B2V07060 NS = - NEL NELP2 = NELP1 + 1 B2Y07070 B2Y07080 C B 2Y 070 90

END FILE 1 REWIND 1 RETURN

END

B2Y06440

82Y06450

B2Y06460

B2Y06470

```
C - - FORM THE 'TRAPEZOIDAL' ARRAY (8)
ċ
      DO 50 IB = 2, K
      NP = NELP2 - IB
      NS = NS + NELP1
      NT = NS
      DO 50 IO = 18, K
      NT = NT + NEL
      MN = NT
      NB = NS
      A(NT) = (-A(NT)) / A(NS)
      DO 50 NF = 2, NP
      MN = MN + 1
      NB = NB + 1
   50 A(MN) = A(MN) + A(NT) * A(NB)
      IF (LAST) GD TO 90
С
C - - WRITE THE "TRAPEZOIDAL" MATRIX ON TAPE
      NT = 0
      NP = NEL
      NS = - NEL
      DO 60 ID = 1. K
      NS = NS + NELP1
      NT = NT + NEL
      WRITE (MT) NP. (A(IB). IB = NS. NT)
   60 NP = NP - 1
NP = NP - M
      NS = KORE - NEL + 1
С
C - - READ ANOTHER ROW
С
      DO 80 IO = 1, NP
      READ (NIN) (A(IB). IB = NS. KORE)
с
C - - MODIFY THIS ROW BY THE "TRAPEZOIDAL" ARRAY
Ċ.
      NT = 1
      MN = NS
      DO 70 18 = 1. K
      NB = NT
      NF = MN + 1
      A(MN) = (-A(MN)) / A(NT)
      DO 65 NN = NF, KORE
      NB = NB + 1
   65 A(NN) = A(NN) + A(MN) * A(NB)
      MN = NF
   70 NT = NT + NELP1
с
C - - WRITE THE MODIFIED ROW ON TAPE
   80 WRITE (NOUT)
                       (A(NT), NT = MN, KORE)
      REWIND NOUT
      REWIND NIN
с
C - - SWITCH THE TAPES
      NT = NIN
      NIN = NOUT
      NOUT = NT
```

B2Y07100 B2Y07110 B2Y07120 B2Y07130 B2Y07140 B2Y07150 B2Y07160 B2Y07170 B2Y07180 B2Y07190 B2Y07200 B2Y07210 B2Y07220 B2Y07230 B2Y07240 B2Y07250 B2Y07260 B2Y07270 B2Y07280 B2Y07290 B2Y07300 B 2Y 07310 B2Y07320 B2Y07330 B2Y07340 B2Y07350 B2Y07360 B2Y07370 B2Y07380 B2Y07390 B2Y07400 B2Y07410 B2Y07420 B 2Y 074 30 B2Y07440 B2Y07450 B2Y07460 B2Y07470 B2Y07480 B2Y07490 B2Y07500 B2Y07510 B2Y07520 B2Y07530 B2Y07540 B2Y07550 B 2Y 07560 B2Y07570 B2Y07580 B2Y07590 B2Y07600 B2Y07610 B2Y07620 B2Y07630 B2Y07640 B2Y07650 B2Y07660 B2Y07670 B2Y07680 82Y07690

c B2Y07700 C - - RE-CALCULATE ROW LENGTH AND LOOP BACK B2Y07710 B2Y07720 NEL = NEL - K B2Y07730 NN - NEL - M B2Y07740 GO TO 10 B2Y07750 С B2Y07760 C - - REWIND ALL TAPES 82Y07770 c B2Y07780 90 REWIND MT B2Y07790 REWIND NIN B2Y07800 REWIND NOUT B2Y07810 с B2Y07820 C - - CONDENSE THE MATRIX B2Y07830 B2Y07840 NN = NEL B2Y07850 NL = NELP1 B2Y07860 IF (K .EQ. 1) GO TO 105 B2YC7870 NS = 1B2Y07880 NT = NEL B2Y07890 DO 100 IB = 2, K B2Y07900 NS = NS + NELP1 NT = NT + NEL B2Y07910 B2Y07920 DO 100 IO = NS. NT B2Y07930 A(NL) = A(IO) B2Y07940 100 NL = NL + 1 B2Y07950 105 N1 = KORE - K * M + 1 B2Y07960 B2Y07970 с C - - THERE, NOW WE CAN START THE BACK-SOLUTION B2Y07980 C * * NOTE.. THE FIRST AVAILABLE LCCATION FOR THE SOLUTIONS IS A(N1) B2Y07990 с B2YC8000 NREM = N B2YC8010 NEL = NPM B 2Y 080 20 LAST = K .EQ. N B 2Y 080 30 NPASS = 0B2Y08040 B2Y C8050 С ċ - - SOLVE FOR THE ANSWERS CORRESPONDING TO 'K' ROWS B2Y C8060 ċ B 2Y 080 70 110 KM1 = K - 1 B 2Y 080 80 KP1 = K + 1 B 2Y 080 90 NS = NL - MP1 82708100 NPASS = NPASS + 1 B2Y08110 DO 130 MN = 1, M B2Y 08120 NF = NS + MN B2Y08130 A(NF) = A(NF) / A(NS)B2Y08140 NT = NS B2Y08150 IF (KM1 .EQ. 0) GO TO 130 B2Y08160 DO 125 IB = 1, KM1 B2Y08170 NF = NF - 18 - M 82Y08180 NT = NT - MP1 - IB B2Y 08190 SLM = 0.0 B 2Y C8200 NP = NF B2Y08210 N2 = MP1 + IB B2Y08220 DO 120 IO = 1, IB B2Y08230 NN = NT + IO82Y08240 NP = NP + N2 - IOB2Y08250 120 SUM = SUM + A(NN) * A(NP) B2Y08260 125 A(NF) = (A(NF) - SUM) / A(NT) B2Y08270 130 CONTINUE B 2Y C8280 c B2Y08290

)

C - - MOVE THE SOLUTIONS TO CONTIGUOUS LOCATIONS STARTING AT A(N1) B2Y08300 B2Y08310 N1 = KORE + 1 B2Y08320 DO 140 NN = 1, K B 2Y C8330 DO 135 MN = 1, M B2Y08340 NL = NL - 1 B2Y08350 N1 = N1 - 1B2YC8360 135 A(N1) = A(NL) 82108370 140 NL = NL - NN B2Y08380 с B2Y08390 C - - WRITE THE SOLUTIONS ON TAPE B2YC8400 ċ 82Y08410 WRITE (NIN) K B2YC8420 NS = N1 - 1 B 2Y 08430 DO 145 MN = 1, M B2Y08440 NT = NS + MN B2YC8450 145 WRITE (NIN) (A(IO), IO = NT, KORE, M) B2Y C8460 с B2Y 08470 ċ - - TEST IF THIS IS THE LAST PASS B2Y08480 ċ B 2Y 08490 IF (LAST) GO TO 200 B 2Y C8500 с B2Y08510 C - - WE MUST NOW MODIFY THE TRIANGULAR MATRIX TO REFLECT THE EFFECT OF B2Y08520 C THE SOLUTIONS OBTAINED SC FAR (EQ 21) B2Y08530 C * * NOTE .. LOCATIONS A(1) TO A(N1-1) ARE NOW FREE TO USE 82Y08540 С B 2Y 08550 C - - CALCULATE THE NEXT VALUES OF 'NEL' AND 'NREM' B2Y08560 č B2Y08570 NELOLD = NEL B 2Y 08580 KOLD = K B 2Y C8590 NEL = NEL - K B2Y08600 NREM = NREM - K 82Y08610 с B 2Y 086 20 C - - NOW APPLY THE INCREDIBLE FORMULA FOR THE NEW "K" B 2Y 086 30 С B2Y 08640 B2Y08650 K = (-4 * M - 1) / 2 + IFIX(SORT(0.25 + FLOAT((4 * M + 2) * M + 1 2 * (KORE - NELOLD)))) B 2Y 08660 NROW = NREM - K + 1 B2Y08670 IF (K .LT. NREM) GO TO 150 82Y08680 LAST = . TRUE. B2Y 08690 NROW = 1 B2Y08700 K = NREM B2Y08710 150 NS = 1 B2Y08720 NT = NELOLD + 1 B2Y08730 с B2Y08740 C - - READ IN THE ROWS TO BE MODIFIED B2Y08750 c B2Y08760 DO 190 IB = 1, NREM 82Y 08770 NT = NT - 1 B2Y08780 IF (IB .LE. NROW) GO TO 160 B2Y08790 B2Y08800 NS = NS + NNNT = NT + NN 82Y08810 160 READ (MT) NN. (A(IO). IO = NS. NT) 82Y08820 NP = N1 - 1 B2Y08830 NF = NT - M - KM1 B2YC8840 NN = NN - KOLD B2Y08850 DO 170 MN = 1. M B2Y08860 N2 = NF B2Y08870 NA = NP + MN B2Y08880 NB = NA 82Y08890

SUM = 0.0 B2Y08900 DO 165 ID = 1. KOLD 82708910 SUM. = SUM + A(N2) * A(NA) B2Y08920 N2 = N2 + 1 B2Y08930 165 NA = NA + M B2Y08940 N2 = N2 + MN - 1 B2Y08950 170 A(N2) = A(N2) - SUM B 2Y 08960 B2Y08970 C - - WRITE THE MODIFIED ROW ON TAPE OR CONDENSE THE ROW B2Y08980 č B2Y08990 NL = NT - M + 1 82Y09000 IF (IB .GE. NROW) GO TO 175 B2Y09010 NF = NI - KP1 B 2Y 090 20 WRITE (NOUT) NN. (A(IO). IO = NS. NF). (A(IO). IO = NL. NT) B2Y09030 GO TO 190 B2Y09040 175 NF = NL - KOLD B 2Y 090 50 DO 180 MN = NL, NT B2Y09060 A(NF) = A(MN) B2Y09070 180 NF = NF + 1 B2Y09080 190 CONTINUE B2Y09090 RENIND MT B2Y09100 REWIND NOUT B2Y09110 с B2Y09120 C - - SWITCH THE TAPES B2Y09130 c B2Y09140 NT = MT B2Y09150 MT = NOUT B2Y09160 NOUT = NT B 2Y 091 70 с B2Y09180 - - LOOP BACK THRU THE SOLUTION B2Y09190 C. c B2Y09200 NL = NF B2Y09210 GO TO 110 B2Y09220 c B2Y09230 C - - START TO WRAP IT UP B2Y09240 c B 2Y 09250 200 REWIND NIN B2Y09260 N2 = N B 2Y 09270 с B2Y09280 C * * NOTE.. AT THIS POINT ALL LOCATIONS A(1) THRU A(KORE) ARE FREE B2Y09290 č B2Y09300 DO 220 IB = 1, NPASS B2Y09310 READ (NIN) K B2Y09320 N1 = N2 - K + 1B2Y09330 NS = N1 B2Y09340 NT = N2B 2Y 09350 B2Y09360 с C - - READ IN THE SOLUTIONS B2Y09370 č B2Y09380 DO 210 IO = 1, M B2Y09390 READ (NIN) (A(NN), NN = NS, NT) B2Y09400 NT = NT + N B2Y09410 210 NS = NS + N B2Y09420 220 N2 = N1 - 1 B 2Y 09430 с B2Y09440 C - - WRITE THE SOLUTIONS ON TAPE B2Y09450 č B2Y09460 NT = 0B2Y09470 DO 230 IO = 1, M B2Y09480 NS = NT + 1 B2Y09490

1

		NT = NT + N	B2Y09500
	230	WRITE (NW) (A(NN), NN = NS, NT)	B2Y09510
c			B 2Y 09520
		RETURN	B 2Y 09580
		END	B 2Y 09590

SOR IG	IN ALPHA	
\$ IBFT(C B2YE	
CDECK	B2YE	B2Y10740
	SUBROUTINE PART5	B2Y10750
	COMPLEX IM	B 2Y 10760
	INTEGER FLG02, FLG03, FLG04, FLG05, FLG06, FLG07	B2Y10770
1	- FLG08. FLG09. FLG10. FLG11. FLG12	B2Y10780
	DIMENSION 8(299), VI(299, 5), SIG(299, 5), T(299, 5), CP(299, 6)	B2Y10790
1	ND(10), NE(10), Y(300), Y(300), YMP(299), YMP(299), CASE(2)	B 2Y 10800
	S(MOS(1)), $S(C(R))$, $S(C(R))$, $S(D(C(R))$, $S(D(C(R)))$, $S(D(C(R)$	82710810
	COMMON IN HERE CASE, DOT. 0201, SD. CI. ALDHA, EALDHA, DALEA, CHODA, SIMOS,	B 2V10820
	When the set of the se	0 27 10020
		82110830
	COMMON SUBSICITY INF, MON, BETA, CDIM, VREF, DELT, FLGI3, FLGI4, ITER, ALF2	
	EQUIVALENCE (1, CP)	82110850
	DATA VELID / 3H V0, 4H V90, 3H V1, 3H V2, 3H V3, 3H V4, 3H V5,	82410860
	1 3H V6, 3H V7, 3H V8 /,BLANK/1H /	B 24 108 70
	REWIND 3	B2Y10880
	REWIND 4	B2Y10890
	REWIND 10	B2Y10900
	REWIND 8	
	REWIND 12	B2Y10920
	REWIND 13	B 2Y 10930
	M = 1	B 2Y 10940
	N = ND(1) - 1	B2Y10950
	DO 100 K = 1. NB	B2Y10960
	READ (4) ($T(1,2)$, I = H, N)	B2Y10970
	READ (4) (T(I-1), I = M. N)	B2Y10980
C	READS IN SINES AND COSINES	B2Y10990
	M = N + 1	B 2Y 11000
100	N = N + ND(K+1) - 1	82Y11010
	LE (NCELG LE 2) GO TO 200	B 2V11020
	150.1 = 3.00000000000000000000000000000000000	B 2Y 11030
		B 2V11040
160	$E = A D \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} T \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = 1$ NT)	8 24 110 50
200		82111050
200		82111000
250	REAU(3) (SIG(1,3), 1 = 1, NI)	82711070
	50,400,1=1,10	82411080
	READ (10)	B2411090
	READ (10) ($B(L)$, $L = 1$, NT)	B2Y11100
	DO 400 J = 1, NCFLG	B2Y11110
	PR = 0.	B2Y1112C
	DO 300 L = 1, NT	82Y11130
300	PR = PR + B(L)*SIG(L,J)	B2Y11140
	VT(I,J) = PR + T(I,J)	82Y11150
400	CP(I,J) = 1 - VT(I,J) **2	82Y11160
	DO 500 J = 1, NCFLG	82Y11170
500	WRITE (8) ($VT(I,J)$, I = 1, NT)	
	IF (MON-1) 510,520,520	

.

510 M = 1 B2Y11190 N = ND(1) B 2Y 11200 M1 = 1 B 2Y 11210 N1 = ND(1) - 1 B2Y11220 DO 700 J = 1. NB B2Y11230 READ (13) (X(I), I = M, N) READ (13) (Y(I), I = M, N) B2Y11240 B 2Y 11250 READ (13) (XMP(I), I = M1, N1) B 2Y 11260 READ (13) (YMP(1), I = M1, N1) B2Y11270 M = N + 1B2Y11280 N = N + ND(J+1) B2Y11290 M1 = N1 + 1B 2Y 11 300 700 N1 = N1 + ND(J+1) - 1 B2Y11310 00 2500 L = 1. NCFLG B 2Y 11320 I = 1 B 2Y 11330 J = 1 B2Y11340 N = 1 B2Y11350 N = ND(1) B2Y11360 LCTR = 220 K = 1 - 2 B2Y11380 IF (FLG10 .LT. 2) GO TO 1000 B2Y11390 1000 WRITE (6, 1100) HEDR, CASE 1100 FORMAT (1H1 25X 20HDDUGLAS AIRCRAFT CDMPANY / 28X 21HLONG BEACHB2Y11450 1 DIVISION //// 5X:15A4,/16H CASE ,2M4) B2Y11460 IF(K) 1150, 1300, 1500 1150 WRITE (6, 1200) 1200 FORMAT (1H 19HSTREAMFLOW SOLUTION) B2Y11470 B2Y11480 B 2Y 11490 GO TO 1700 B 2Y 11 500 1300 WRITE (6, 1400) B2Y11510 1400 FORMAT (1H 23H90-DEGREE FLOW SOLUTION) B 2Y 11 520 GO TO 1700 B 2Y 11 530 1500 WRITE (6, 1600) K B2Y11540 1600 FORMAT (1H 35HNON-UNIFORM ONSET FLOW SOLUTION NO. 13) B2Y11550 1700 IF (FLG12.LE. 0) WRITE (6.1800) B2Y11560 1800 FORMAT (1H 25HUNTRANSFORMED COORDINATES // 1 B 2Y 11 570 IF (FLG12.GT.0) WRITE (6,1900) B2Y11580 1900 FORMAT (1H 23HTRANSFORMED CCORDINATES //) B2Y11590 WRITE (6,1950) B2Y11600 1950 FORMAT (12X 1HX 13X 1HY 14X 1HY 12X 2HCP 11X 5HS IGMA //) B2Y11610 2000 WRITE (6, 2100) I, X(I), Y(I), XMP(J), YMP(J), VT(J,L) B2Y11620 1. CP(J.L). SIG(J.L) B2Y11630 2100 FORMAT (1H 13, 2F14.8 / 4X 5F14.8) B2Y11640 I = I + 1 B2Y11650 J = J + 1 B 2Y 11660 IF (I .EQ. N) GO TO 2200 B2Y11670 IF (I .LE. LC TR) GO TO 2000 B2Y11680 LCTR = LCTR + 22 B2Y11690 GO TO 1000 B2Y11700 2200 M = M + 1 B2Y11710 N = N + ND(M) 82Y11720 WRITE (6, 2300) I, X(I), Y(I) B2Y11730 2300 FORMAT (1H 13, 2F14.8 //) B2Y11740 I = I + 182Y11750 IF (J .GE. NT) GO TO 2500 B 2Y 11 760 GO TO 2000 B2Y11770 2500 CONTINUE B 2Y 11 780 520 RETURN B 2Y 11 790 END B2Y11800

J F SOR IGIN ALPHA \$IBFTC B2YF CDECK B 2YF B2Y11810 SUBROUTINE PART6 B2Y11820 COMPLEX IM Integer FLG02, FLG03, FLG04, FLG05, FLG06, FLG07 B2Y11830 B2Y11840 1, FLG08, FLG09, FLG10, FLG11, FLG12 B2Y11850 DIMENSION SIGMA (299, 4), SUMA (300, 4), SUMB(300, 4) DIMENSION THETV(299) DIMENSION VC (299), X(300), Y(300), XMP(299), YMP(299), XM(299) B2Y11870 1, YM(299), SINA(299), COSA(299), CP(299), DELS(299), ND(10) B2Y11880 2, NLF(10), SUMDS(10), GAM(9), XMC(8), YMC(8), HEDR(15), ADDY(8) B2Y1189 4. YTEMP(1500), VXL(299), VYL(299), XIJ(299), YIJ(299), DVA(9,8) B 2Y 11910 3. DVT(9,10).DV(9,9).DELSUT(299).SIGT(299). XTEMP(1500) B 2Y 11900 5, DVX(9,10), ZTEMP(300), VCID(2), VXID(2), VYID(2), GAMT(8), CASE(2) B2Y11920 DIMENSION PRES(299) , XN(299) , YN(299) DIMENSION XID(2), YID(2), XIDOFF(2), YIDOFF(2) B2Y11930 COMMON IM, HEDR, CASE, RPI, R2 PI, SP, CL, AL PHA, FALPHA, DALFA, CHORD, SUM DS, B 211940 1 XMC, YMC, ADDY, FLG02, FLG03, FLG04, FLG05, FLG06, FLG07, FLG08, B2Y11950 2 FLG09, FLG10, FLG11, FLG12, ND, NLF, NER, NT, NB, NC FLG, FLG15, FLG16 COMMON SUMSIG, VINF, MON, BETA, CDIM, VREF, DELT, FLG13, FLG14, ITER, ALF2 EQUIVALENCE (GAM, DVT), (DV, DVT(10)), (DVA, DVT(19)) B2Y11970 1, (VXL, XMP), (VYL, YMP), (SIGT, XM), (XIJ, SINA), (YIJ, COSA) B2Y11980 2. (ZTEMP. XTEMP(300)) DATA VCID, VXID, VYID/4H ATA XCID,VXID,VYID/4H V,1HC,4H V,1HX,4H V,1HY/ DATA XID /6H XM5,1HP/,YID/6H YM ,1HP/ B2Y12000 B2Y12010 DA TA XIDOFF /4H XO ,3HFFB/,YIDOFF/4H YO,3HFFB/ B2Y12020 SPP = SP B2Y12030 c IE *S77 .E8. 0.0) SPP = 1.0 E6 + Y 040 IF (FLG08 .EQ. 0 .OR. FLG02 .EQ. 0) GO TO 40 B2Y12050 REWIND 10 B 2Y 12060 8 2Y 12070 J = 2 * NT DO 30 I = 1, J B 2Y 12080 30 READ (10) B2Y12090 C ** LOOP SKIPS BOTH ON-BODY MATRICES B2Y12100 40 NER = 0 B2Y12110 DET = 1. B2Y12120 K = NCFLG - 2 B 2Y 121 30 REWIND 7 REWIND 3 B2Y12140 REWIND 4 B2Y12150 REWIND 8 REWIND 12 B 2Y 12170 **REWIND 13** B2Y12180 DO 50 J = 1, 10 DO 50 I = 1, 9 B2Y12190 B2Y12200 DVX(I,J) = 0. B2Y12210 50 DVT(I,J) = 0. B 2Y 12220 IF (FLGO4 •NE• 0) ALPHA = DALFA IF (FLGO6 •NE• 0) ALPHA = 0• ALPHA = ALPHA / 57•2957795E0 B 2Y 12240 B2Y12250 B 2Y 12260 CSALF = COS(ALPHA) B2Y12270 SNALF = SIN(ALPHA) B 2Y 12280 READ (8) (XTEMP(I), I = 1, NT) READ (8) (YTEMP(I), I = 1, NT) M = 1 B2Y12310

	N = ND(1) - 1	B 2Y 12320
	DO 100 I = 1, NB	B 2Y 12330
	GAM(I) = (XTEMP(M) + XTEMP(N))*CSALF	82Y12340
	GAM(I) = - (GAM(I) + (YTEMP(M)+YTEMP(N)) *SNALF)	82Y12350
	M = N+1	B 2Y 12360
100	N = N + ND(I+1) - 1	82Y12370
	IE (K . EQ. 0) GO TO 160	8212380
	00 150 I = 1. K	B 2Y 1 2 3 90
	READ (8) (TEMP(1), I = 1, NT)	02112570
	M = 1	B 2Y 12410
	N = NO(1) = 1	8 27 1 24 20
		8 2 1 2 4 20
	DVA(1.1) = TTEND(N) + TTEND(N)	82712440
150	DVY(I,I) = DVA(I,I)	8 2 1 2 4 50
1.0	DELIND 0	02112450
100	CAN(1)-(CAN(1)-DVA(1 1)) (DVA(1 2)	
60/0	0 540 (0) (VTEND(1) 1 - 1 NT)	
5040	READ (0) (AICMP(1), 1 - 1, N) /	0.001.00.70
	SNALF = SINT ALPHA J	82113870
	REAU (8) (TIEMP(1), 1 = 1, NI)	0.021.0000
		82113890
5070	VU(1) = XIEMP(1) = USALF + YIEMP(1) = SNALF	82113900
	$READ \left(\begin{array}{c} B \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} X IEMP(I), \\ I \end{array} = 1, \\ NI \end{array} \right)$	
	DU 5100 I = 1, NI	B2Y13940
5100	V(1) = V(1) + X EMP(1)	
	IF(NLF(1).NE.0) GU TU 5150	
	READ(8) (XTEMP(1),I=1,NT)	
	DO 5125 I=1.NT	
5125	VC(I)=VC(I)+XTEMP(I)*GAM(1)	
5150	DO 5200 I = 1, NT	B 2Y 13 960
5200	CP(I) = 1 - VC(I) + VC(I)	B2Y13970
	H = 1	B2Y13980
	N = ND(1) - 1	B 2Y 13990
	H1 = 1	B 2Y 14000
	N1 = ND(1)	B 2Y 14010
	DO 5250 J = 1, NB	B 2Y 140 20
	READ (4) (SINA(I), $I = M$, N)	B 2Y 140 30
	READ (13) ($X(I)$, I = M1, N1)	B2Y14040
	READ (4) ($COSA(I)$, I = M, N)	B2Y14050
	READ (13) (Y(I), I = M1, N1)	B2Y14060
	READ (13) (XMP(I), I = M, N)	B2Y14070
	READ (13) ($YMP(I)$, $I = M, N$)	B 2Y 140 80
	READ (12) ($XH(L) \cdot I = M \cdot N$)	B2Y14090
	READ (12) ($YM(I) \cdot I = M \cdot N$)	82Y14100
	READ (12) (DELS(I). I = M. N)	B2Y14110
	M1 = N1 + 1	B2Y14120
	N1 = N1 + ND(J+1)	B2Y14130
	M = N + 1	B2Y14140
5250	N = N + NO((+)) - 1	82Y14150
	N=1	
	N=NO(1)=1	
	00 5210 L=M-N	
	XN(1+1) = (X(1)+X(1+1))/2 = 0	
	YN([+1]=(Y([)+Y([+1]))/2.0	
5210	PRES([+1]=CP([]	
2210	UDITE (7) (DEC(141) . I - M. N)	
	HOLTE (7) (CO (T) I-H N)	
	WEITE (7) (UF 11/11-11/11)	
	$ \begin{array}{c} T T T T T T T T$	
	TE (HON-2) 5220 5220 5220	
5220	PUNCH 3331; LALPHA	

E.



5551	FORMAT (15,F10.5)	
	CALL BEDOMP (XMP(M), XMP(N))	2
	CALL BCDUMP (YMP(M),YMP(N))	
	CALL BCDUMP (VC (M), VC (N))	
5230	IF (FLG12 .LE. 0) GU TU 5252	
	DO 5251 I = 1.NT	B2Y14170
5251	DELSUT(I) = DELS(I)	B2Y14180
	GO TO 5301	B2Y14190
5252	DO 5300 I = 1,NT	B 2Y 14200
5300	DELSUT(I) = SQRT((X(I+1)-X(I))**2 + (Y(I+1)-Y(I))**2)	B2Y14210
5301	GT = 0.0	B2Y14220
	DO 5350 I = 1, 1	
5350	GT = GT + GAM(I)	B2Y14240
	T = C • O	
С	T = .5 * GT / SPP	B 2Y 14250
	IF (FLGO5 .EQ. O) FALPHA= ATAN2 (SNALF+T , CSALF)	B2Y14260
	ALFEX = ATAN2 (SNALF - T, CSALF)	B2Y14270
	ALFEX = ALFEX * 57.2957795E0	B2Y14280
	FALPHA = FALPHA * 57.2957795E0	B2Y14290
	ALPHA = ALPHA * 57.2957795E0	B2Y14300
	IF (FLG04 .EQ. 0) DALFA = FALPHA - ALFEX	B2Y14310
	VIN = SQRT (1. + 2.*SNALF*T + T*T)	B2Y14320
	VEX = SQRT ($1 + - 2 + SNALF + T + T + T$)	B 2Y14330
5375	J = 1	B 2Y 14340
	K1 = 1	B2Y14350
	M = 1	B2Y14360
	N = ND(1) - 1	B 2Y 14370
	DD 5800 L = 1. NB	B 2Y 14 380
	LCT8 = 190	
	CL = 0.0	B2Y14400
	CD = 0.0	B2Y14410
		B 2Y 14420
	DO 5400 I = M. N	B 2V 14430
	T = CP(I) + DEIS(I)	B 2Y 14446
	$C_1 = C_1 = T_{\pm}(OSA(1))$	B 2V14450
	$CD = CD + T \pm SINA(1)$	B 2V 14466
5400	CM = CM + Th(COSA(1)) + (YN(1) - YNC(1)) + SINA(1) + (YN(1) - YNC(1)) + CM	B2V14470
5400	AA = CHORD /CDIM	02114410
		0 2V 144 00
	K2 - ND(1)	8 2V14400
	NET IL SEEN HERE SE AIDUA DALEA EAIDUA VIN VACILI	B 2V1/60/
5500	ALLE () JODO HEURY ST, ALTHAY DALFAY FALTHAY VIN, ANGLE !!	B 2V 14500
	LALFEAT (14) 254 2540 DUCIAS ATOCRAFT CONDAMY / 284 2140 DUC BEACH	D 21 14 510
2220	PORMATION // EVIENA// EVIENA// EVIENA// COMPANY / 20% ZIMUNG BEAUN	02114920
	L DIVISION /// DA IDA4// DA 903FACING = F13.8, DA /HALPHA = F13.8	D 21 14530
	2, 3A ISHUELIA ALPHA = FI3.0 // 14H INLEI ALPHA = FI3.0, 3A	D 21 14540
	5 SHV INLE I = F13.8, 13% SHARC = F13.8 // 2% 12HEXII ALPHA = F13.8,	82114550
	4 4A ONV CALL = F13.8, 13A DHYML = F13.8 // 6H LASE 2A4,22H COMBI	02Y14560
	TE (ELGLALES)	8214570
	IF (FLG12.LE. U) WKI IE (0,556U) L	B2Y14580
>>>0	FURMAL (10H BUDY NU.13,27H UNTRANSFORMED COORDINATES //)	8 ZY 14590
	IF (FLG12+GI+0) WRITE (6+5570) L	B2Y14600
5570	FURMAT LICH BUDY NU. 13, 25H TRANSFORMED COORDINATES //)	82Y14610
	WRITE (6,5580)	B2Y14620
5580	FORMAT (11X 1HX 13X 1HY 13X 2HVC 12X 2HCP 10X 7HDELTA S //)	B2Y14630
5600	WRITE (6, 5650) I, X(J), Y(J), XMP(K1), YMP(K1), VC(K1), CP(K1)	B2Y14640
1	,DELSUT(K1)	B2Y14650
5650	FORMAT (1H I3, 2F14.8 / 4X 5F14.8)	82Y14660
	I = I + 1	B 2Y 14670

K1 = K1 + 1B 2Y 14690 IF (I .EQ. K2) GO TO 5700 B2Y14700 IF (I .LE. LCTR) GO TO 5600 82Y14710 LCTR = LCTR + 19B2Y14720 GO TO 5500 B 2Y 14730 5700 WRITE (6, 5650) I. X(J). Y(J) B2Y14740 J = J + 1B 2Y 14750 WRITE (6, 5750) CL 5750 FORMAT (1H0 / 5X 4HCY = F13.8) B2Y14780 M = N + 15800 N = N + ND((+1) - 1 B2Y14790 c K = NCELG-2 = NUMBER OF GAMMAS B2Y14800 CL = 2. *G T* AAA IF (FLG10 .LT. 2) GO TO 5830 B 2V 148 20 5830 WRITE (6. 5840) CL B2Y14890 5840 FORMAT (1H0 4X 4HCL = F13.8) B2Y14900 5850 IF (FLG02 .EQ. 0 .OR. FLG10 .EQ. 1 .OR. FLG10 .EQ. 2) GO TO 6700 82Y14910 N = ND(NB+1)B2Y14920 K2 = K + 2 82Y14930 DO 6100 J=1.K2 B 2Y14940 READ(3) (SIGMA(I, J), I=1, NT) 82Y14950 6100 CONTINUE B2Y14960 c NOW ALL SIGMAS ARE IN CORE. ORDER = 0.90.1.2.ETC. 8214970 DO 6110 I=1.NT B2Y14980 6110 SIGT(I) = SIGMA(I,1)*CSALF + SIGMA(I,2)*SNALF +SIGMA(I,3) B2Y15000 IF(K.EQ.0) GD TO 6150 $00 \in 120 J = 1.1$ READIAL B2Y15020 READ(4) B2Y15030 DO 6120 I = 1,NT 82Y15040 6120 SIGT(I) = SIGT(I) + SIGMA(I,J+3)*GAM(J) M = 1 8 2Y 15060 M1 = N B 2Y 15070 DO 6130 J = 1.K B 2Y 1 50 80 IF(J .LE. FLG11) GO TO 6122 B2Y15090 R EAD(4) (XTEMP(1), I = M, M1) B2Y15100 READ(4) (YTEMP(I) .I =M.ML) B2Y15110 GO TO 6125 B2Y15120 6122 DO 6123 I = M, M1 B2Y15130 XTEMP(1) = 0.0 82Y15140 6123 YTEMP(I) = 0.0 B2Y15150 IF(NLF(1).NE.0) GO TO 6125 READ (4) READ (4) 6125 M = 1 6130 M1 = N 6150 READ(13)(X(I),I=1,N) B2Y15180 READ(13)(Y(I) .I=1.N) B2Y15190 IF (MON-1) 6152,6155,6155 6152 CONTINUE WRITE (6,6151) HEDR, SP, ALPHA 6151 FORMAT(1H1,25X,26HODUGLAS AIRCRAFT COMPANY / 28X,21HLONG BEACH B2Y15210 1 DIVISION ///5X,15A4//5X,9HSPACING = ,F13.8,4X,7HALPHA =,F13.8 B2Y15220 2///39H OFF-BODY POINT COMPONENT VELOCITIES. / 75H ORDER OF PRINB2Y15230 4TOUT IS STREAMFLOW,90-DEGREE FLOW,NON-UNIFORM FLOW 1, ETC. // B2Y15240 51 3X . 1HX . 20X . 1HY . 1 8X . 3H VXL . 17X . 3H VYL . 17X . 3HVXL . 17X . 3HV YL //) B2Y15250 6155 DO 6400 J = 1.N B2Y15260 READ(10) (YIJ(I) .I=1 .NT) 82Y15270 READ(10) (XIJ(I),I=1,NT) B2Y15280 SUM1 =0. B2Y15290

SUM2 =0. B 2Y 15300 DO 6210 I = 1,K2 B 2Y 15310 SUMA(J,I) = 0.0 B2Y15320 6210 SUMB(J,I) = 0.0 B2Y15330 DO 6200 I = 1.NT B2Y15340 T = SIGT(I)B 2Y15350 SUM1 = SUM1 + T*XIJ(I) 82Y15360 6200 SUM2 = SUM2 + T+YIJ(1) B 2Y 15370 IF(K.EQ.0) GO TO 6300 B 2Y 15380 N1 = J B2Y15390 DO 6250 I = 1,1 T = GAM(I) B2Y15410 SUM1 = SUM1 + T*YTEMP(N1) B2Y15420 SUM2 = SUM2 + T#XTEMP(N1) B2Y15430 6250 N1 = N1 +N B 2Y 15440 6300 VXL(J) = SUM1 + C SALF B2Y15450 VYL(J) = SUM2 + SNALF B2Y15460 IF(MON-1) 6305,6400,6400 6305 DO 6370 I = 1,K2 B2Y15470 DO 6310 LSD = 1,NT B2Y15480 T = SIGMA(LSD,I) B2Y15490 SUMA(J,I) = SUMA(J,I) + T*XIJ(LSD) B2Y15500 6310 SUMB(J,I) = SUMB(J,I) + T*YIJ(LSD) B2Y15510 IF (I.LE.2) GO TO 6355 B2Y15520 N1 = J B 2Y 15530 DO 6350 L SD =1.1 IF(LSD+2.NE.I) GO TO 6350 B2Y15550 SUMA(J,I) = SUMA(J,I) + YTEMP(N1) B2Y15560 SUMB(J,I) = SUMB(J,I) + XTEMP(N1) B2Y15570 6350 N1 = N1 + N 82Y15580 GO TO 6370 B 2Y 15590 6355 IF (I.EQ.2) GO TO 6360 B2Y15600 ***I = 1 MEANS AXI SYMMETRIC FLOW C*** 82Y15610 SUMA(J,I) = SUMA(J.I) + 1.0 B2Y15620 GO TO 6370 B2Y15630 C*** ***I = 2 MEANS 90 DEGREE FLOW B2Y15640 6360 SUMB(J,I) = SUMB(J,I) + 1.0 B2Y15650 6370 CONTINUE B 2Y 15660 WRITE(6,6371) J, X(J), Y(J), (SUMA(J,I),SUMB(J,I), I=1,K2) B2Y15670 6371 FORMAT(1H .13,6F20.8 / (44X,4F20.8)) B2Y15680 6400 THETV(J) = A TAN2(VYL(J) , VXL(J)) *57.2957795 WRITE (7) (VXL(I) .I=1.N) WRITE (7) (VYL(1),I=1,N) IE (MON-2) 6420.6430.6430 6420 CALL BCDUMP (X(1),X(N)) CALL BODUMP(Y(1) .Y(N)) CALL BCDUMP (VXL(1),VXL(N)) CALL BEDUMP (VYL(1),VYL(N)) 6430 LCTR = 45 B2Y15700 I = 1 B 2Y 15710 IF (FLG10 .LT. 2) GU TO 6500 B2Y15720 6500 WRITE (6, 6550) HEDR, SP, ALPHA B2Y15860 6500 MARIE (10)Jú (10)Jú (10)Jú ALDINA JIALENA AIRCRAFT COMPANY / 28X 21HLONG BEACHB2Y158TO 1 DIVISION ///5X 15A4 // 5X 9HSPACING = F13.8, 4X THALPHA = F13.8 B2Y1588O 2 ///28H OFF-BODY POINT VELOCITIES // 11X 1HX 13X 1HY 12X 3HYXL B2Y1589O 3 11X 3HVYL 10X 5H THE TA//) 6600 WRITE (6, 6650) I, X(I), Y(I), VXL(I), VYL(I) , THETV(I) 6650 FORMAT (1H 13, 5F14.8) B2Y15930 I = I + 1IF (I .GT. N) GO TO 7000 B2Y15940

IF (I .LE. LCTR) GO TO 6600	B 2Y 15950
LCTR = LCTR + 45	B 2Y 15960
GO TO 6500	B2Y15970
6700 IF (FLG10 .EQ. 0 .OR. FLG10 .EQ. 3) GO TO 7000	82Y15980
FLG10 = 3 * FLG10 - 3	B2Y15990
IF (FLG02 .NE. 0) WRITE (6, 6750)	B 2Y 1 60 00
6750 FORMAT (32H1FLAG 10 IS NON-ZERO OFF-BODY /	B 2Y 16010
1 30H VELOCITIES CANNOT BE COMPUTED 1	8 2Y 160 20
FLG02 = 0	B2Y16030
READ (5, 6800) (XTEMP(I), I = 1, NT)	B2Y16040
6800 FORMAT (6F10.0)	B2Y16050
DO 6900 I = 1, NT	8 2Y 1 60 60
VC(I) = VC(I) * XTEMP(I)	B 2Y 16070
6900 CP(I) = 1 VC(I) * VC(I)	B2Y16080
GO TO 5375	B 2Y 160 90
7000 DO 7100 I = 1,NB	B2Y16100
7100 GAMT(I) = GAM(I)	B2Y16110
RETURN	B2Y16120
END	B2Y16130

SOR I	GIN	ALPHA	
\$ IBF	IC 22 YP		
	SLBROUT	INE PART	7
C			
C	THIS	SUBROUTI	NE INTEGRATES FOR THE MASS FLUX AND IS USED TO
С		DETERMIN	E IF THE JET STREAM IS PROPERLY ORIENTED
С			
	COMMON	IM,HEDR,C	A SE, RPI, R2PI, SP, CL, ALPHA, FALPHA, DALFA, CHORD, SUMDS,
	1	XMC , YMC , A	DDY, FLG02, FLG03, FLG04, FLG05, FLG06, FLG07, FLG08,
	2	FLG09,FLG	10,FLG11,FLG12,ND,NLF,NER,NT,NB,NCFLG,FLG15,FLG16
	COMMON	SUM SIG, VI	NF, MON, BETA, CDIN, VREF, DELT, FLG13, FLG14, ITER, ALF2
	COMMON	/FORCUR/)	CURV (200) , YCURV (200) , KKK , POSS
	COMPLEX	IM	
	INTEGER	FLG15,FL	G16+FLG02
	D IMENSI	ON X(300), Y(300), HEDR(15), CASE(2), ADDY(8),
	2		ND(10), NLF(10), SUMDS(10), XMC(8), YMC(8)
С	1 RSDS(4	99) . SINA	(499) . COSA (499) . DELS (499) . DALF(498) . Z(499).
	D IMEN SI	ON RADC	250) .PRES(250) .VXL(250) .VYL(250) .XN(250) .YN(250).
	1 CP(2	501 .DPRES	(50) . V(50) . PTOT (50) . RADC CL (50) . XCL (50) . YCL (50)
	D IMEN SI	ON VSPEC	4) ,XB(500),YB(500)
	REWIND	13	
	REWIND	7	
	M=ND(1)		
	READ (1	3) (XB(1) •[=1 • M)
	READ (1	3) (YB(I).	I =1 • M)
	READ (1	3)	
	READ (1	3)	
	M =N T		
	M1=NT-3		
	N=ND(2)		
	KK=KKK-	1	
	1.1=NO (1	ĩ	
	I I=ND (1		
	READ (1	3) (X(I).	I =1 • N)
	READ (1	3) (Y(I)	I =1 + N)

```
READ(7) (PRES(I+1),I=1,N)
     READ (7) (CP(I),I=1,M)
     READ (7) (XN(I+1),I=1.M)
     READ (7) (YN(I+1),I=1,M)
     READ (7) (VXL(I), I=1, N)
     READ (7) (VYL(I),I=1,N)
      DO 30 I=1.NT
  30 PRES(I)=CP(I)+0.00119+VINF++2
      TMA 55=0.0
     M = 1
      N=36
      DO 100 I =M.N
      VXL(1)=(VXL(1)+VXL(1+1))/2.0
      VYL(I)=(VYL(I)+VYL(I+1))/2.0
      DELY=Y(1+1)-Y(1)
      DEL X=X(I+1)-X(I)
     DMASS=-VXL(I)*DELY
      DMASSY=DELX*VYL(1)
 100 THASS= THASS+DHASS+DHASSY
      VAVG=TMA 55/3. 61
      TMA $5=TMA $5*0.00238*VI NF *32.2/12.0*4.5
с
         TMASS IS THE MASS FLOW INTO THE FANS FOUND BY INTEGRATION
ċ
č
      WRITE (6,1000) TMASS, VINF, VAVG
1000 FORMAT (1H1/23H THE INTEGRATED MASS =,F10.4,7H LB/SEC/23H FREE ST
     IREAM VELOCITY =, F10.4,4H FPS/,23H THE AVERAGE VELOCITY =, F10.4//)
      IF (VINF-300.) 200,200,999
  200 WRITE (6,1006)
1004 FORMAT (1H ,2F10.4,6F15.8////)
1006 FORMAT (1H1//8X1HX,9X,1HY,8X,8HPRESSURE)
  645 M=2
      N=KKK
      MM=II+2
  650 DO 700 I=M,N
  700 WRITE (6,1004) XN(I), YN(I), PRES(I-1)
      IF (N-MM) 750.800.800
  750 M=II
      N=JJ
      GO TO 650
  800 TFY=0.0
      TFX=G.O
      IF (FLG16.EQ.1) GO TO 840
      N=1
      M=KK
      GO TO 850
  840 N=1
      M=10
      JJJ=1
  850 DO 500 I=N.M
      T1=XB(I+1)-XB(I)
      T2=YB(I+1)-YB(I)
      TDS=SORT(T1+T1+T2+T2)
      COSAL =T1/TDS
      SINAL =T2/TDS
       IF (FLG16.EQ.1) GO TO 860
      DFX=PRES(1) *TDS*SINAL*4.5/12.0
      DFY=-PRES(1) *TD S*C0 SAL*4.5/12.0
      60 10 870
  860 SLOP =- T2/T1
```

AL1=A TAN(SLOP) AL2MAL=-ALF2-AL1 CJJJ= JJJ DFY = PRES(1) * TDS*COS(AL2 MAL)*CJJJ TFY=TFY+DFY GO TO 900 870 TFX=TFX+DFX IFY=TFY+DFY 900 CONTINUE IF (N-II) 950,975,975 950 IF (FLG16.EQ.1) GO TO 960 N=II M=JJ-1 GO TO 850 960 N=ND(1)-10 M=ND(1)-1 JJJ=-1 GO TO 850 975 WRITE(6,1008) TFX, TFY 1008 FORMAT (1H .//+404 THE TOTAL FORCE ON THE JET IN THE X-DIRECTION 1=,F10.4/404 THE TOTAL FORCE ON THE JET IN THE Y-DIRECTION =,F10.4) IF (FL016-EQ.0) GO TO 980 FLG16=0 GO TO 800 980 BETA=BE TA*3.14159/180. THRUST = TFY/(SIN(BETA)*POSS) CMU=THRUST*12./(0.00119*4.5*CDIH*VINF**2) WRITE (6,1010) THRUST,CMU 1010 FORMAT (1H ,//,9H THRUST =,F10.4,3H LB//23H CMU FOR THE JET FLAP = 1. F10.4) TPA = THRUST*12.0/(4.5*DELT) PRA TIO=((TPA-40.) +0.2/760.+1.0) +2116./(2116.+.00119+V INF++2) WRITE (6,1020) PRATIO 1020 FORMAT(1H ,9H PRATIO =, F10.4) 999 RETURN END

k

)

APPENDIX E

SYMBOLS FOR BOUNDARY LAYER ANALYSIS

APPENDIX E

SYMBOLS FOR BOUNDARY LAYER ANALYSIS

^b 1 ^{····b} 5	coefficients in linearized momentum equation (43)
c	airfoil chord
°1c4	coefficients in equation (41)
C _f	coefficient of skin friction, $\tau_{\rm w} / \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho U^2\right)$
C _L	three-dimensional lift coefficient
f'	velocity defect variable, $(U - \overline{u})/U$
н	shape factor, δ^*/θ
к	curvature
L	distance along airfoil surface from stagnation point to trailing edge
р	static pressure
Р	parameter in equation (31)
Q	parameter in equation (31)
Rex	Reynolds number based on $~\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{x}U/\nu$
Re _ô *	Reynolds number based on δ^* , $\delta^* U/v$
Re ₀	Reynolds number based on $~\theta , \theta U / \nu$
t	thickness of airfoil
т	non-dimensional effective viscosity (see eq. (38))
ū,v	time average velocities in the x and y directions, respectively

U	velocity at the outer edge of the boundary layer (potential flow velocity)
u'v'	Reynolds stress
\overline{v}_w	wall transpiration velocity
x	streamwise coordinate (see Fig. (16))
у	coordinate normal to wall (see Fig. (16))
α	angle of attack
β	the Clauser equilibrium pressure gradient, $\delta^*(dp/dx)/\tau_w$
δ	boundary layer thickness
ô *	displacement thickness, $\int_0^{\infty} (U - \overline{u})/U dy$
η	non-dimensional coordinate normal to wall, y/δ^{\bigstar}
θ	momentum thickness, $\int_0^{\infty} \overline{u}(v - \overline{u})/v^2 dy$
к	von Karman constant in the effective viscosity function (taken here to be 0.41)
ν	molecular kinematic viscosity
ν _e	effective kinematic viscosity
ρ	density
त्त	local shear stress
τ <mark>'</mark> b	non-dimensional shear stress gradient (see eq. (42))
φ,Φ	wall and defect effective kinematic viscosity functions
χ,Χ	wall and defect layer variables for the effective kinematic viscosity function
Subscripts	
i	index of variable in the x direction
w	evaluated at wall
x	differentiation with respect to x
80	evaluated at edge of the boundary layer



Superscripts:

 $\overline{(\)} \qquad \text{used with functions of } x \text{ only, denotes average value,} \\ [(\)_{i+1} + (\)_i]/2$

() differentiation with respect to n



APPENDIX F

DERIVATION OF THE TRANSFORMED BOUNDARY LAYER EQUATION OF MOTION



APPENDIX F

DERIVATION OF THE TRANSFORMED BOUNDARY LAYER EQUATION OF MOTION

The transformed boundary layer equation of motion is obtained by making a coordinate transformation to the standard continuity and momentum equations for two-dimensional, incompressible flow. The momentum equation as given by equation (25) of the text is:

$$\overline{u} \ \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x} + \overline{v} \ \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} = U \ \frac{dU}{dx} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(v_e \ \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} \right)$$
(F1)

or

$$\frac{\overline{u}}{\overline{u}}\frac{\partial\overline{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\overline{v}}{\overline{u}}\frac{\partial\overline{u}}{\partial y} = \frac{d\overline{u}}{dx} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{v_e}{\overline{u}}\frac{\partial\overline{u}}{\partial y}\right)$$
(F2)

Transform variables from (x,y) to (\xi,n) where $\xi = x$ and $n = y/\delta^*$, and utilizing Mellor's transformation,

$$\frac{\overline{u}}{\overline{U}} = 1 - f' \quad \text{or} \quad \overline{u} = (1 - f')U \tag{F3}$$

where $f' = \partial f / \partial \eta$. Then

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x} = (1 - f') \frac{dU}{dx} - U \frac{\partial f'}{\partial x}$$
(F4)

Here

$$f' = f'(\xi, \eta)$$
 (F5)

Then

$$\left(\frac{\partial f'}{\partial x}\right)_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\partial f'}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial f'}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
(F6)

The following conventional notation will be used:

$$\frac{\partial f'}{\partial \eta} = f''$$
 (F7)

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{y}{\delta^*} \right) = \frac{\delta^*}{\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} - y} \frac{\partial \delta^*}{\partial x} = -\frac{n}{\delta^*} \frac{\frac{d\delta^*}{dx}}{\delta^*}$$
(F8)

Hence, using equation (F7) and (F8), equation (F6) becomes

$$\left(\frac{\partial \underline{f}'}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right)_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\partial \underline{f}'}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\underline{f}''}{\xi} \frac{\partial \underline{\delta}^{*}}{\delta^{*}}$$
(F9)

Substituting equation (F9) into (F4) yields

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x} = (1 - f') \frac{dU}{dx} - U \frac{\partial f'}{\partial \xi} + \frac{U f'' \eta d\delta^*/dx}{\delta^*}$$
(F10)

Also

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} = U \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (1 - f') = -U \frac{\partial f'}{\partial y}$$
$$= -U \frac{\partial f'}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} = -U f'' \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{y}{\delta^*}\right)$$
(F11)

or

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} = -\frac{Uf''}{\delta^*}$$
(F12)

The continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial y} = 0. \qquad (Fish)$$

is used to obtain \overline{v} , and $\partial \overline{v} / \partial x$ which are inserted into the momentum equation. Integrating each term of the continuity equation yields:

$$\int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial y} dy' = \int_{\overline{v}(\mathbf{x}, 0)}^{y} d\overline{v}' = \overline{v} - \overline{v}_{w} = -\int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x} dy' \quad (F14)$$

Let

$$\mathbf{y'} = \eta^+ \delta^* \tag{F15a}$$

and

$$dy' = \delta^* d\eta^+$$
 (F15b)

where ' and + denote dummy variables for integration purposes, and substitute equation (F10) into equation (F14) to obtain

$$\overline{v} = \overline{v}_{w} - \frac{dU}{dx} \delta^{\star} \int_{0}^{\eta} (1 - f') d\eta^{\dagger} + U \delta^{\star} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{\partial f'}{\partial \xi} d\eta^{\dagger} - U \frac{d\delta^{\star}}{dx} \int_{0}^{\eta} f'' r_{t}^{\dagger} dr_{t}^{\dagger}$$
(F16)

or

$$\frac{\overline{v}}{\overline{v}} = \frac{\overline{v}}{\overline{v}} - \frac{\delta^* \frac{d\overline{v}}{dx}}{\overline{v}} \int_0^{n} (1 - f') d\eta^+ + \delta^* \int_0^{n} \frac{\partial f'}{\partial \xi} d\eta^+ - \frac{d\delta^*}{dx} \int_0^{n} f'' \eta^+ d\eta^+$$

(E17)

í

Introduce

$$P = \frac{\delta^{\star} \frac{dU}{dx}}{U}, \quad Q = \frac{\frac{d}{dx} (\delta^{\star}U)}{U} = \frac{\delta^{\star} \frac{dU}{dx}}{U} + \frac{d\delta^{\star}}{dx}$$
(F18)

so that

$$\frac{d\delta^*}{dx} = Q - P \qquad (\vec{r}_{15})$$

Using the above expressions in equation (F17) yields

$$\frac{\overline{v}}{\overline{v}} = \frac{\overline{v}_w}{\overline{v}} - P \int_0^{\eta} (1 - f') d\eta^+ + \delta^* \int_0^{\eta} \frac{\partial f'}{\partial \xi} d\eta^+$$

$$- (Q - P) \int_0^{\eta} f'' \eta^+ d\eta^+ \qquad (F20)$$

Then, it follows that

$$\int_{0}^{\eta} d\eta^{+} = \eta$$
 (F20a)

$$\int_{0}^{n} f' dn^{\dagger} = \int_{0}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta^{\dagger}} dn^{\dagger} = \int_{0}^{n} df^{\dagger} = f \qquad (F20b)$$

$$\int_{0}^{n} \frac{\partial f'}{\partial \xi} d\eta^{+} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \int_{0}^{n} f' d\eta^{+} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi}$$
(F20c)

$$\int_0^{\eta} \eta^+ f'' d\eta^+ = \int_0^{\eta} \eta^+ \frac{\partial f'}{\partial \eta^+} d\eta^+ = \eta f' - \int_0^{\eta} f' d\eta^+ = \eta f' - f$$

Hence, equation (F20) becomes

$$\frac{\overline{v}}{\overline{v}} = \frac{\overline{v}}{\overline{v}} - P(r_1 - f) + \delta^* \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} - (Q - P)(nf' - f)$$
 (F21)

$$\frac{\overline{v}}{\overline{U}} = \frac{\overline{v}_w}{\overline{U}} - Q(\eta - f) + \delta^* \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} + (Q - P)(1 - f')\eta$$
(F22)

Substituting equations (F3), (F10), (F12), and (F22) into the momentum equation (F2) gives:

$$(1 - f')^{2} \frac{dU}{dx} - (1 - f')U \frac{\partial f'}{\partial \xi} + \frac{(1 - f')f'' n \frac{d\delta}{dx}U}{\delta^{*}} - \frac{\nabla_{W}}{U} \frac{Uf''}{\delta^{*}} + Q(n - f) \frac{Uf''}{\delta^{*}} - \frac{(Q - P)(1 - f')nUf''}{\delta^{*}} - \frac{\delta^{*} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi}Uf''}{\delta^{*}} = \frac{dU}{dx} + \frac{1}{\delta^{*}} (-TUf'')'$$
(F23)

where

$$T = v_e / U \delta^*$$

Using

$$(1 - f')^2 \frac{\frac{dU}{dx} \delta^*}{U} - \frac{\frac{dU}{dx} \delta^*}{U} = P(1 - 2f' + f'^2 - 1) = P(f' - 2)f'$$
(F24)

and

$$(1 - f') \frac{f'' \eta U \frac{d\delta^*}{dx}}{U} = (Q - P)(1 - f')f'' \eta$$
(F25)

The transformed boundary layer equation of motion becomes

$$(\mathrm{Tf}'')' + [Q(n - f) - \overline{v}_{w}'/U]f'' + P(f' - 2)f'$$
$$= \delta^{*}(1 - f') \frac{\partial f'}{\partial x} + \delta^{*}f'' \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$$
(F26)

99

or
where

$$Q = \frac{\frac{d}{dx} (\delta^* U)}{U}, P = \frac{\delta^* dU/dx}{U}, T = \frac{v_e}{tt\delta^*}$$

and $\mathbf x$ has been substituted for $\boldsymbol \xi.$ The independent variables are then $\mathbf x$ and $\eta.$

REFERENCES



REFERENCES

- Koenig, David G.; Corsiglia, Victor R.; and Morelli, Joseph P.: Aerodynamic Characteristics of a Large-Scale Model with an Unswert Wing and Augmented Jet Flap. NASA TN D-4610, 1968.
- Campbell, John P.; and Johnson, Joseph L., Jr.: Wind Tunnel Investigation of an External-Flow Jet Augmented Slotted Flap Suitable for Application to Airplanes with Pod-Mounted Jet Engines. NACA TN 3898. 1956.
- Parlett, Lysle P.; and Freeman, Delma C., Jr.; and Smith, Charles C., Jr.: Wind Tunnel Investigation of a Jet Transport Airplane Configuration with High Thrust-Weight Ratio and an External-Flow Jet Flap. NASA TN D-6058, 1970.
- Gartshore, I. S.: Prediction of the Blowing Required to Suppress Separation from High-Lift Aerofoils. Paper no. 70-872, AIAA, July 1970.
- Thwaites, Bryan, ed.: Incompressible Aerodynamics. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1960.
- Weber, J.: The Calculation of the Pressure Distribution over the Surface of Two-Dimensional and Swept Wings with Symmetrical Aerofoil Sections. Rep. R & M 2918, Aeronautical Research Council, Gt. Britain, 1956.
- Goldstein, S.: Approximate Two-Dimensional Airfoil Theory. Parts I-VI. Aeronautical Research Council, Gt. Britain, Reps. CP-68 to CP-73, 1952.
- Anon: A Direct Iteration Method for the Calculation of the Velocity Distribution of Bodies of Revolution and Symmetrical Profiles. Rep. ARL/RI/G/HY/12/2, Admiralty Research Laboratory, Gt. Britain, August 1951.
- Jacob, K.: Some Programs for Incompressible Aerodynamic Flow Calculations. Tech. Rep. 122, Calif. Inst. Technology Computing Center, 1960.



- Spence, D. A.: The Lift Coefficient of a Thin, Jet-Flapped Wing. Proc. Roy Soc. (London), Ser. A, vol. 238, December 4, 1956, pp. 46-68.
- Spence, D. A.: The Lift on a Thin Aerofoil with a Jet-Augmented Flap. Aeron. Quart., vol. 9, August 1958, pp. 287-299.
- Smith, A. M. O.; and Pierce, J.: Exact Solution of the Neumann Problem. Calculation of Noncirculatory Plane and Axially Symmetric Flows about or Within Arbitrary Boundaries. Rep. ES-26988-V, Douglas Aircraft, Apr. 25, 1968.
- Giesing, Joseph P.: Extension of the Doublas Neumann Program to Problems of Lifting Infinite Cascades. Rep. LB-31653, Douglas Aircraft Co., July 2, 1964. (Available from DDC as AD-605207.)
- 15. Giesing, Joseph P.: Potential Flow About Two-Dimensional Airfoils. Part I: A Summary of Two-Dimensional Airfoil Methods. Part II: Solution of the Flow Field About One or More Airfoils of Arbitrary Share in Uniform or Non-uniform Flows by the Douglas Neumann Method. Rep. LB-31946, Douglas Aircraft Co., Dec. 1, 1965.
- Cohen, Clarence B.; and Reshotko, Eli: The Compressible Laminar Boundary Layer with Heat Transfer and Arbitrary Pressure Gradient. NACA TR 1294, 1956.
- Sasman, Philip K.; and Cresci, Robert J.: Compressible Turbulent Boundary Layer with Pressure Gradient and Heat Transfer. AIAA J., vol. 4, no. 1, Jan. 1966, pp. 19-25.
- McNally, William D.: Fortran Program for Calculating Compressible Laminar and Turbulent Boundary Layers in Arbitrary Pressure Gradients. NASA TN D-5681, May 1970.
- Bennett, J. A.; and Goradia, S. H.: Methods for Analysis of Two-Dimensional Airfoils with Subsonic and Transonic Applications. Rep. ER-8591, Lockheed-Ceorgia Co., July 1966.
- Crank, J.; and Nicolson, P.: A Practical Method for Numerical Evaluation of Solutions of Partial Differential Equations of the Heat-Conduction Type. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., vol. 43, 1947, pp. 50-67.
- Flügge-Lotz, I.: The Computation of the Laminar Compressible Boundary Layer. Dept. Mech. Eng., Stanford Univ., Rep. R-352-30-7, 1954, or Office of Scientific Research, Tech. Note 54-144, 1954.

- Bradshaw, P.; Ferriss, D. H.; and Atwell, N. P.: Calculation of Boundary Layer Development Using the Turbulent Energy Equation. J. Fluid Mech., Vol. 28, pt. 3, 1967, pp. 953-616.
- 23. Patankar, S. V.; Spalding, D. B.; and Ng, K. H.: The Hydrodynamic Turbulent Boundhry Layer on a Smooth Wall, Calculated by a Finite Difference Method. Proc. Conf. on Computation of Turbulent Boundary Layer Prediction, Stanford University, 1968, pp. 356-365.
- Cebeci, T.; and Smith, A. M. O.: A Finite-Difference Solution of the Incompressible Turbulent Boundary Layer Equations by an Eddy-Viscosity Concept. Proc. Conf. on Computation of Turbulent Boundary Layer Prediction, Stanford University, 1968, pp. 346-355.
- Smith, A. M. O.; and Cebeci, T.: Numerical Solution of the Turbulent Boundary Layer Equations. Rep. DAG 33725, Douglas Aircraft Co., 1967.
- Herring, H. J.; and Mellor, G. L.: Computer Program to Calculate Incompressible Laminar and Turbulent Boundary Layer Development. NASA CR-1564, 1970.
- Patankar, S. V.; and Spalding, D. B.: Heat and Mass Transfer in Boundary Layers. C. R. C. Press, 1968.
- Mellor, G. L.; and Gibson, D. M.: Equilibrium Turbulent Boundary Layers. J. Fluid Mec., v. 24, pt. 1, 1966, pp. 225-253.
- Mellor, G. L.: The Effects of Pressure Gradients on Turbulent Flow Near a Smooth Wall. J. Fluid Mech., v. 24, pt. 2, 1966, pp. 254-274.
- Mellor, G. L.; and Herring, H. J.: Two Methods of Calculating Turbulent Boundary Layer Behavior Based on Numerical Solutions of the Equations of Motion. Proc. Conf. on Computation of Turbulent Boundary Layer Prediction, Stanford University, 1968, pp. 331-345.
- Kellogg, Oliver D.: Foundations of Potential Theory. Dover Publi., Inc., 1953.
- Purcell, E. W.: The Vector Method of Solving Simultaneous Linear Equations. Math. Phys., vol. 32, 1953, pp. 180-183.
- Stockman, Norbert O.: Potential Flow Solutions for Inlets of VTOL Lift Fans and Engines. Analytic Methods in Aircraft Aerodynamics, NASA SP-228, 1970, pp. 659-681.
- Emslie, K.: Wind Tunnel Test on Models of V. T. O. Aircraft. Aircraft Eng., vol. 38, no. 6, June 1966, pp. 8-13.

- Williams, J.; Butler, F. J.; and Wood, M. N.: The Aerodynamics of Jet Flaps. Rep. R & M 3304, Aeronautical Research Council, Gt. Britain, 1963.
- Goldstein, S.: Modern Developments in Fluids Dynamics, vol. 1, Dover Pub., 1965, p. 119.
- Massey, B. S.; and Clayton, B. R.: Some Properties of Laminar Boundary Layers on Curved Surfaces. Journal of Basic Engineering, June 1968, pp. 301-312.
- Schlichting, H.: Boundary Layer Theory. McGraw Hill Book Co., 1968.
- Hartree, D. R.; and Womersley, J. R.: A Method for the Numerical or Mechanical Solution of Certain Types of Partial Differential Equations. Proc. Roy. So. (London), Series A, vol. 161, no. 906, Aug. 1937, p. 353-366.
- Clauser, F. H.: The Turbulent Boundary Layer. Advances in Applied Mechanics, vol. IV, Academic Press, Inc., 1956, pp. 2-51.
- Mellor, G. L.: Turbulent Boundary Layers with Arbitrary Pressure Gradients on Convergent Cross Flows. AIAA Journal, 1967, pp. 1570-1578.
- Laufer, J.: The Structure of Turbulence in Fully Developed Pipe Flow. NACA TR 1174, 1954.
- Van Dyke, M.: Perturbation Methods in Fluid Mechanics. Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- Hildebrand, F. B.: Advanced Calculus for Engineers. Prentice-Hall, 1958.
- McCracken, D. D.; and Dorn, W. S.: Numerical Methods and Fortran Programming. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1965.
- Crabtree, L. F.: Prediction of Transition in the Boundary Layer on an Aerofoil. Royal Aircraft Establishment, London, Technical Note Aero. 2491, Jan. 1957.
- Michel, R.: Determination of Transition Point and Calculation of Drag of Airfoils in Incompressible Flow. Publication no. 58, O. N. E. R. A. (France), 1952.
- Granville, Paul S.: The Calculation of Viscous Drag of Bodies of Revolution Navy Department. Rep. no. 849, David Taylor Model Basin, 1953.

- Hancock, G. J.: Problems of Aircraft Behavior at High Angles of Attack. AGARD 136, April 1969.
- Chappell, P. D.: Flow Separation and Stall Characteristics of Plane, Constant-Section Wings in Subcritical Flow. The Aeronautical Journal of the Royal Aeronautical Society. Vol. 72, Jan. 1968, pp. 82-90.

ILLUSTRATIONS







(b-1) 30⁰ flap deflection.



(b) Externally blown flap wing section.

Figure 1. - Types of wing flap systems considered.







Figure 2. - Lewis wind tunnel model of multiple-fan, blown flap, wing propulsion system.

κ.



(b) Two-dimensional representation of wing propulsion system.

Figure 2. - Concluded.

-







Figure 4. - Finite-element approximation to body surface.

化化学基本化化 网络小胆石 经济权 化化磷









Figure 6. - Basic solutions for inlet.







Figure 8. - Comparison of theoretical velocity distributions with experimental data for two-dimensional inlet.

λ.



Figure 9. - Effect of jet shapes on upper-surface pressure distribution. Flap angle, 30°; wing angle of attack, 0°.

a.









(c) Wing angle of attack, 0°; flap angle, 60°.

Figure 11. - Flow field for externally blown flap, wing propulsion system. Mass flow coefficient, 0.38; thrust coefficient, 3.













.





.













÷.





a.






Figure 20. - Turbulent boundary layer parameters on the airfoil of a blown flap wing propulsion system at various angles of attack.

5







Figure 22. - Turbulent boundary layer velocity profiles on the airfoil of a blown flap wing propulsion system at various angles of attack.







Figure 24. - The stalling characteristics of a blown flap wing propulsion system.

-



(b) Two-dimensional cross section.



-







Figure 27. - Schematic representation of computer program.

.



. .



т. Т



