

LIBRARY Michigan State University



PLACE IN RETURN BOX to remove this checkout from your record. TO AVOID FINES return on or before date due. MAY BE RECALLED with earlier due date if requested.

DATE DUE	DATE DUE	DATE DUE

2/05 p:/CIRC/DateDue.indd-p.1

T H E S I S

COMPARATIVE FEEDING EXPERIMENT BETWEEN

MICHIGAN AND WESTERN BRED LAMBS.

B Y

LEWIS F. BIRD.

Michigan Agricultural College.

12000

THESIS

As sheep feeding becomes more and more an industry of the farmers of the State of Michigan each year, it is important, if not essential, that they should know, or at least have an idea, as to what feeds will yield the largest net gain, and also whether the greatest net gain can be made by feeding home bred or western bred lambs, and it is in view of a probable or partial answer that the following experiment was made. It would be reasonable, of course, to suppose that the heavier lambs would make the better gains if they were in the same state of condition, or nearly the same, at the beginning of the experiment, and this reasoning was found to be true, as heavier lambs did make a greater average gain than did the lighter ones.

The plan of the experiment was to secure as nearly as possible an average lot of lambs such as a feeder would buy for fattening purposes.

The Michigan lambs were bought of Frank Watson, September 30, 1901, weighing at that time an average of 53.9 pounds in Lansing, and an average of 53.25 pounds upon arrival at the College, at \$4.35 per cwt. They were turned on pasture, where they remained until November 14th, when, on account of a storm, they were put in the old grade dairy barn, where the experiment was carried on. From November 15th to 26th they were fed a ration of lucerne hay without any grain ration. From November 26th to 30th they were fed lucerne hay and a grain ration of 1 pound of bran per head per day, when the experiment proper began.

• , . • • • • . • • ,

The western lambs were bought October 7th, of Clay,
Robinson and Co., Chicago, at 4 cents per pound, together with
\$18.20 freight made them cost \$4.34 per cwt. They averaged
53 pounds in Chicago. They were shipped October 8th, and arrived in Lansing at 9:00 A.M. October 9th. On October 10th,
at the College, they averaged 52.5 pounds. They were dipped
October 11th, using 4½ packages of Cooper's Sheep Dip to make
112 gallons. October 11th, they were turned on pasture in
Field 14. October 19th they were weighed and averaged 54.4
pounds. November 9th, they averaged 58.13 pounds.
They were put in the barn the same time as the Michigan
lambs and fed upon the same ration.

The experiment was run in three thirty-day periods, using corn, corn meal, oats and bran as a grain ration, and clover hay as a roughage. Period one, the grain ration was oats two parts to bran one part by weight. Period two, whole corn two parts to bran one part by weight. Period three, corn meal two parts to bran one part by weight. During periods one and two they were fed one pound of grain per head per day, and period one, one and one-half pounds per day.

The lambs were at no time allowed to exercise in the open air, and were not taken out of the pen except for the purpose of weighing once each week. Clean, fresh water was kept before them at all times. They were fed at 5:30 each morning, and at 4:30 each night.

A record of the temperature of the barn was taken twice

each day, and the barn was kept at as near the same temperature as possible.

The prices of feed used in the experiment was:

Oats. \$.40 per bushel.

Corn. \$.55 " "

Corn Meal20.35 * ton.

Bran. 20.00 " "

Hay. 6.00 " "

The oats were grown upon the College farm, and were of variety. the American Banner, The greater portion of the whole corn and corn for the corn meal was also grown upon the College farm. The bran was purchased of the flouring mills of Lansing. The hay was purchased of farmers in the near vicinity of the College, and was of the early June clover variety except what was fed from February 5th to 13th, which was second cutting clover.

PLATE I.

W 17 17 11 11		W W W W W	W W W W W W W	H 11 11 11 11 11 11
Date of Weighing	"Weight of "Mich.Lambs		n" Weight of "Western Lambs	
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11) 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	11 11 11 11 11	W 11 11 11 11 11 11	77 97 97 98 97 99 99 77 99 99
*Nov. 30	1897.	W	<u>"</u> 1567.	T 11
"Dec. 7	, . 1922.	" 25 _•	1592.5	25.5
	1947.	* 25.	* 1618.	7 25 . 5 7
" " 2]	" 1965.	" 18.	" 1603.	' -15. "
" " 28	" 2025.	¹¹ 60.	w 1745.	' 142. "
"Jan. 4	" !	и и 58.	w 1761.	16.
" " 1]	W	¹¹ 38.5	* 1773.	12. "
ч	11	Ħ	W	1 11
" " 18	2221.5	100.	1875.5	102.5
" " 25	2229.	7.5	* 1889.5	14. "
"Feb. 1	. * 2227.5	" -1. 5	" 1936.5	47. "
" " 8	2345.5	" 118.	1988.	51.5
" " 15	2432.5	* 87.	2026.	38. "
" " # 22	2427.5	" -5.	" 208 4	' 58. "
" " 28 # # # # # #	" 2474.5	47.	" 2127.5	43.5
			र गगमामा	T TT 17 17 19 19 19

Total Gain- 577.5

PLATE II.

w w w w w "Date of Weighing		h Period"Weight "W	
W W 11 11 11	11 11 11 11 11 11 11	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	ambs "Period" "
" Nov. 30	1897.	* 75.88*	1567. " 62.68"
* Dec. 30	# 2093. #	196. 83.72	1728. " 161. " 69.12"
W Jan. 29	" 2146" W	53. ^H 85.84 ^N	1945. " 217. " 77. 8"
" Feb. 28	^н 2474.5 п и и и и и и и и	328.5 W 98.98 ^H	2127.5 " 182.5 " 85. 1"
Total Gair	1—	577.5	560.5

PLATE III.

Michi	gan	Lambs.
MICHI	Rau	TIGMIND .

17	11 11	11 11	W 11 W			11 11 11 11	H H H H H	** "	**	11
Ħ	Perio	od"	Gain	"Cost o	r "Grain Fe	d w	Cost of			11
11		₩			Fed for 100#		l# Gain			Ħ
12	11 11	11 17	W W W	11 11 11 11	" " " " " "	" " " "	H H H H	11 11	11	11
11		W		11	**	11				11
47	I	••	195.	" \$8.7 5	" 384.6	#	\$.046			17
83		11		11	n	**	• • •			Ħ
11	II	11	53.	* 7.41	" 1415.		.14			Ħ
Ħ		u	- + •	Ħ	11		• • •			11
Ħ	III	17	328.5	" 11.35	ⁿ 342.	*	.0345			Ħ
#	11 11	17 17	W W W	W W W W	11 11 11 11 11	W 11 11 11	W W tt tt 11	++ ++	**	**

Western Lambs.

11	11 W	** **	W 11 11	* * * *	" "	** # ** **		**		••		••
*1	Perio	od "	Gain	*Cost of		rain Fe	4		Cost o	ſ		*
Ħ	,	*		"Grain F				1	# Gai	n		11
Ħ	11 11	# #	11 H H	M M M H H	11 11	W W U W	11 11 11	11 1		11	11 11	***
17		17		# .	11		W					Ħ
11	I	11	161.	" \$ 8.75	Ħ	466.	11	\$.0543			Ħ
17	_	17		n	17		Ħ	•	• • • • • •			17
17	II	10	217.	" 7.41	W	345.6	**		.034			11
*		**		Ħ	17		11		•			Ħ
17	III	Ħ	182.5	" 11.35	W	616.4	w		.062			11
Ħ	11 11	11 tt	11 11 11	# # # # 11	11 11	11 11 11 11	11 11 11	17 1	1 11 11 11	11	11 11	11

PLATE IV.

Ħ	11 11	w w 1	1 11	11 11	Ħ	11	11 11	11	10	11 11	11 11	11	11 11	11	11	**	n n	Ħ	Ħ	11	H
17 17	Peri	od j	F	3ran		W	0a	ts	W*	- Hay	र हिल	Co	st Gr	of :	ree W	d He	V W	T T	ota	1	it T
Ħ	17 11		1 11	11 11	11	Ħ	17 17	**	Ħ	11 11	W H	1 11	W 11	11	17 17	11	11 11	_	W 11		w
W		•	,			w			19			W			•		H				tī
11	I	1	50	00		Ħ	100	0	11	300	00	Ħ	\$17	.30	Ħ	\$9.	00	\$	26.	50	11
Ħ	_	•	1			W			W	-		W	•	•	w	•	Ħ	•			Ħ
Ħ		•	•			WS	hel	led	1 "			Ħ			*		11	1			11
17		•	•				orn		**			***			17		91	1			**
11	II	1	50	00			100		*	300	00		\$14	.82	10	\$9.	00	\$	23.	82	Ħ
11		,	1			11			11			Ħ	-		Ħ		91				11
11		1	f			# C	orn					W			11			1			**
*		•	17				Mea		*			Ħ					11	1			11
Ħ	III	1	75	50		W	150	0	*	22	5 0	17	\$22	.70	**	\$6.	.75	\$	29.	45	Ħ
		Cos	t of	r fe	ed	er	itir	e`e	g x e	eri			_		-			-\$	79.	77	

Michigan Lambs.

Debit.

25	lambs,	18 97#	at	\$4.3 5	=	\$80.52
	Bran,	875 #	•	20.00	_	8.75
	Oats,	500 #	Ħ	.40	_	6.25
	corn,	500 #	w	.55	_	4.91
	Corn Meal,	75 0#		20.35	=	7.63
	Hay,	4125#	11	6.00 Sotal	cost-	12.37 \$120.43

Credit.

25 lambs, 2475.5# at \$6.25 <u>-</u> \$154.66

Profit on 1 lamb- \$1.40

Western Lambs.

Debit.

25	lambs,	1567#	at	\$4.34	=	\$68.01
	Bran,	875 #	•	20.00	=	8.75
	Cats,	500 #	17	.40	=	6.25
	corn,	500 #	*	.55	=	4.91
	Corn Meal,	75 0#	*	20.35	=	7.63
	Hay,	4125#	**	6.00 Total	cost-	12.37 \$107.92

Credit.

25 lambs, 2127.5# at \$6.25 <u>=</u> \$132.97

Profit on 1 lamb- \$1.00

Plate I shows the weekly gain or loss of both Michigan and Western lambs. It will be seen that although the difference in total gain is slight that it is in favor of the Michigan lambs.

Plate II shows the gains by periods.

Plate III gives the cost of the grain fed, the grain fed for 100 pounds gain, and the cost of 1 pound gain. It will be noticed that the Michigan lambs made a very small gain during Period II, but I think that this can be attributed in part to their not getting a grain ration large enough to much more than supply the natural wants of the body. Also to the fact that the weather during this period was warmer than either Periods I or II, and that their wool was much longer and their fleeces heavier than the Western lambs.

Plate IV gives a total cost of all of the feeds used during each period, and also a sum total of the whole experiment.

Ohe remarkable thing in this experiment was that only one lamb was off feed during the whole time. This lamb was a Western, weighing 99 pounds, which was off feed from January 31st to February 5th, when he began to eat all right.

. • ,

Conclusions.

The heavier lambs made greater gains than the lighter on the same feed.

The Michigan lambs made a greater net profit than did the Western lambs.

The colder the weather, the better the gain.

Small gains are not always unprofitable, nor are large gains always profitable.

A ration of corn meal and bran gave better results, as a whole, than either corn and bran or oats and bran.



