

THESIS

COMPARISON OF ELECTRICAL AND MECHANICAL METHODS FOR DETERMINING THE EFFICIENCY OF MOTORS BY R. P. KELLEY R. W. SHEEHAN 1917

Elicinic metors Induction Copyl. THESIS topil ,

Electrical augeneering

•.

.

ι

. · · ·

Comparison of Electrical and Mechanical Methods for Determining the Efficiency of Motors.

A Thesis Submitted to

The Faculty of

MICHIGAN AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE.

Вy

heehan.

Candidates for the Degree of Bachelor of Science.

June 1917.

. <

THESIS

. . .

eop.1

. . .

•

•

1

PREFACE .

The object of the tests on the Induction Motor was to obtain all of the data possible for the comparison of the different methods of determining the efficiency of this type of Alternating Current apparatus; and to become familiar with the different methods used commercially for testing the efficiency of motors.

Data was taken for the different tests and curves plotted from the calculated results. These curves furnish an easy and accurate method of comparing the relative merits of the different methods.

A study was made of the different kinds of brakes used for the Brake Test and the kind shown in our sketch was finally selected.We are indebted to Prof. Polson for advice on this subject. The main reason for selecting the type of brake used was that the length of the brake arm is constant at all conditions of load.

> R.P.K. R.W.S.

101892

BIBLIOGLAPHY.

Experimental Electrical EngineeringK	arapetoff
5	7 0- 584
5	6 I - 564.
Standard Hand BookS	ect.7
I	15 - 1 28
Ì	99 - 209.
Sheldon, Mason and Hausman2	3I - 2 33.
Penders American Hand BookI	000 - 1005.
American Correspondence SchoolsV	olume 4.
3	60 - 364.
Steinmetz A.C. Phenomena3	06 - 310.

.

LIST OF APPARATUS USED.

MOTOR:

G.E.Induction Motor # 183030. Type: KT-65A- 1200 - Form C. 60 cycle, 5 H.P., I200 R.P.M. 13.2 Amperes, 220 Volts.

WATTIETERS: Weston A.C. Wattmeters # 207 and # 208. Model 310, Max. capacity 20 amperes. High range in volts 300, low I50. High range in watts 3000, low 750.

> Weston Portable A.C. Wattmeter Max. capacity 25 amperes. High range in volts 300, low 150. High range in watts 6000, low 3000. Model 16, # 4995.

CURRENT TRANSFORMERS: Weston Switchboard Current Transformers. Model 236, Type I,# 330, # 325. Amperes 25 - 5. Line voltage 2200, Frequency 25 - 125. Watts 5.

AILETERS: Weston A.C. Ammeters. Model 155, # 8892, Range 5 amperes. Model 155, # 8889, Range 5 amperes. Model 155, # 16615, Range 25 amperes. Model 155, # 16451, Range 50 amperes.

VOLTITIER:

Weston A.C. Voltmeter. Model 156, # 4143.

BRAKE:

Rope Brake as shown in blue print.

SCALES:

Platform scales, Range on arm IO #, Max. range IOO STEED COUNTER.

BRAKE TEST.

The object of a brake test on any motor is to obtain the data for calculating the H.P. output and input of the machine.

To do this suitable electrical measuring instruments are placed in the line and their readings, multiplied by some constant, will the power input into the machine. The output is measured by means of the brake and from these two sets of readings the efficiency is determined.

The set up was made as shown in the wiring diagram consisting of two wattmeters with the current coils connected in the two outside leads and the potentialcoils connected across the middle lead and the line in which the current coil was connected. Ammeters were placed in the two outside lines and a voltmeter across the lines.

The voltage was held at the name plate value of the machine and simultaneous readings of all instruments were taken. The scale weight and the speed of the motor were recorded.

Using the formula:

H.P. Output= 2 **TT** W 1 n 33000

Where W= Weight at end of the arm.

1= Length of the brake arm.

n = R.P.M. of the motor

the H.P. output was calculated for each point of the test and the efficiency of the motor determined from the formula:

> Efficiency = H.P. Output ----- x IOO. H.P. Input

BRAKE TEST # I.

DATA.

₩t.#	R.P.M.	Volts. AB	Amps. A	Amps. B	Watts AB	Watts. BC
0	1210	220	5.05	5,25	620	- 360
2	II 95	220	5.15	5.30	680	- 3IO
4	1185	220	5.15	5.30	740	- 220
6	118ó	220	5.35	5.45	840	- 180
8	II75	220	5.40	5.50	866	- I20
IO	1170	220	5.50	5.55	920	- 80
15	II70	220	5.75	5.85	1060	+ 40
20	II 70	220	6.15	6.35	I 200	+ 160
25	II 70	220	6.65	6.85	I4 00	+ 320
30	1160	220	6.85	7.25	1490	+ 450
35	1150	220	7.65	8.00	1680	+ 600
40	1150	220	8.50	8.90	1870	+ 760
45	II55	220	8.90	9.30	2020	+ 880
50	II55	220	9.75	10.15	2220	I 020
55	II55	220	I0. 35	10.50	2390	1190
60	1150	220	II.50	I 2.25	2640	I380
65	1140	220	12.90	13.00	2800	I540

Radius of pulley plus I/2 thickness of rope = .344 ft.

Calculations for Brake Test.

= .0000656 x II40 x 65 = 4.85

BRAKE TEST # I.

(continue**d**)

RESULTS.

Wt. #.	Total Watts	H.P.	H.P.	Efficiency.
	Input.	Input.	Output.	6/2 /2
02468 II223344556	260 370 520 660 746 840 1100 1360 1720 1940 2280 2630 2900 3240 3580 4020	.348 .495 .697 .885 I.00 I.120 I.475 I.82 2.30 2.60 3.05 3.52 3.89 4.34 4.80 5.37	0.0 .1565 .315 .465 .615 .766 1.150 1.530 1.915 2.26 2.64 3.41 3.41 3.41 3.41 3.41	0.0 31.6 452.6 50 50 78 40 83 0 83 80 5 6 9 84 83 85 6 9 86 5 87 87 86 85 87 86 84
65 ~	4340	5.8i	4.85	83.5

	•	

.

	• •	• •		
	•	•	•	
	-			
~				
•	•	•		
•		•		
•	•	•		
•	-	•		
•	~			
•	•	•		
-	•	•		
•	•	•		

 •
 •
 •
 •

 •
 •
 •
 •

 •
 •
 •
 •

 •
 •
 •
 •

BRAKE TEST # 2.

The efficiency curves from Brake Test # I ,the Circle Diagram and the Loss method, while checking within 3 % at the full load point were over 5 % different at the quarter and half load points. Not being quite sure of our results from the first set of readings, we ran a second brake test on the same motor and under the same conditions.

DATA.

₩ t.#.	R.P.M.	Volts AB	Amps. A	Amps. C	Watts AB	Watts. BC
05150505050	1200 1195 1193 1180 1180 1160 1165 1150 1150 1140	220 220 220 220 220 220 220 220 220 220	4 4 5 5 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 0 2 0 1 5 1 7 3 0 7 5 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0	4.995 4.995 5.007 5.007 8.905 10.30 10.30	560 680 960 1160 1320 1440 1640 1820 2040 2200 2560	- 360 - 190 - 30 + 120 + 280 + 380 + 380 - 495 630 790 980 1080
70 80	1130 1120	220 220 220	13.5 16.0	I3.5 I5.8	2600 2400	1640 1900

RESULTS.

₩ t .#.	Total Watts Input.	H.P. Input.	H.P. Output.	Efficiency %
5	490	.656	.391	59.8
IO	790	I.060	.781	73.8
15	1080	I.45	I.16	80.0
20	I44 0	I.93	I.55	80.5
25	1700	2,28	I.92	84.0
30	I935	2,59	2.28	88 . I
35	2270	3.04	2.67	87.7
4 Ó	2610	3,50	3.02	86.4
45	3020	4 05	3 39	83 8
50	3280	4 40	3 75	85.2
60	3950	5 2	4 48	84 5
70	4240	<u> </u>	5 00	88.8
Åõ	4200		5.80	82.0
00	4500	/•≠	2.07	03.0

۲ ۲ ۲ د · · · · · ·

• • • • • • • • •

	•			
•	•	•		
•	•	•		
	-	•	,	
x	•	•		
	-	•		
	•	•		
	-	•		
	,			
	•	-		
	•	•		
	• ·			

• • •

	• •	· ·		•	•
	•	•	•		

•	•	•	
•	•	•	
	•	•	
	•	•	
	•	•	
_	_		
		•	
•	•	•	
	,	•	
•	•	•	
•	•	•	
	•	•	
•	•		
•	•	•	

Actual load tests on Induction Hotors are avoided whenever it is possible because they involve a considerable expenditure of energy and require special appliances if accurate results are to be obtained. In machines beyond a certain size the waste of power and the arrangements for load make load tests practically impossible. Therefore mothods have been developed for predetermining the perform ance of the machine under actual load conditions from a few simple readings taken at no load. One of these methods is by means of the circle diagram.

We will first explain the theory and the construction of the circle diagram and then give the proof of its



Let OE in the figure represent the impressed voltage in one of the phases and OI the current in the same phase at a certain load. The angle \emptyset between the two them corresponds to the power factor of the motor at this load. Theory and experiment both show that, when the load is varied, the current changes in such a way that the locus of its vector is represented by a semicircle I IK

The current at no load is represented by the vector OI, The current is small and the phase angle EOI, is large, the power factor being low. The no load current may be conside ered as consisting of a wattless component Om, which produces the magnetic flux, and a power component mo, which overcomes iron and friction loss in the motor. As the load increases, the point I moves along the circle, the value of the current increasing as well as the power factor.When the motor becomes overloaded the power factor decreases again, due to a more pronounced influence of the magnetic leakage. When the load is such that the motor stops, the c current it takes at standstill is represented by a certain vector OI_s. This current is called the short circuited current or the current with the armature locked. Thus if the circle has been determined for the motor, the power factor Cos \emptyset may be measured from the diagram for any given value of the current input OI.

The proof of this can be verified by experiment with an accurate load test by plotting the vectors of current to the observed value of the phase angle \emptyset . It will be found that the load points lie on a semicircle.

The circle may be constructed knowing only one point on it, if in addition, the position of the point Im is known. As such a point, the point I is usually selected, the vector OI_s representing the current with the armature locked. No brake is really required in either case and the readings are both simple and accurate. OI being the primary current, its component Id in phase with the voltage OE represents the working component of the current; therefore Id, multiplied by the voltage, gives true watts input per phase. The circle gives watts input and the value of the power factor for any given value of the primary current.

Output, torque, slip, efficiency, in fact all the data necessary for plotting the performance curves may be predetermined from the circle diagram.

PROOF OF THE CIRCLE DIAGRAM.

Instead of considering the rotor revolving and delivering mechanical power, it may be assumed as locked and loaded electrically on non-inductive external resistances. The same currents can be produced in the primary windings, by suitably varying the resistances, as if the rotor were revolving and were loaded mechanically.



By stopping the motor the secondary induced emf is increased $n_i \div (n_i - n_g)$ times, where n is the synchronous wpeed of the motor, and n_g is it s actual speed. The revolving flux cuts the secondary conductors at a rate proportional to $(n_i - n_g)$ when the rotor is revolving; with the armature locked the speed at which the conductors are cut by the flux is equal to n_i . The frequency of the secondary currents being thus greatly increased, the reactance of the secondary in thereby also increased the same number of times. By adding such an external resistance that the rotor resistance is is increased $n_i \div (n_i - n_g)$ times, the same current and the same electrical relations are obtained in the secondary as with the rotor revolving; consequently the primary current will have the same value and the same power factor. Varying the external resistance is equivalent to varying the byfake load with the armature revolving. In this way the Induction motor is reduced to a stationary transformer with an abnormal leakage and with a secondary load of non-inductive resistances , varying in value from 0 to infinity.

Such a transformer can be replaced by an equivalent resistance and an equivalent reactance and the problem is then reduced to merely this; A non-inductive load is connected to a constant potential line, with some resistance and some inductance in series with it. The locus of the current vector when the load changes from zero to infinity is to be determined This can be solved by the use of the above figure.

CD represents the vector of the line voltage, which is constant; CI is the current at a certain load. CF, in phase with the current, represents the resistance drop. BD, perpendicular to the current vector represents the reactive drop; CD the geometrical sum of CF and FD is constant. As the latter two vectors are perpendicular to each other, the point F moves on a semicircle having CD for its diameter. The equivalent reactance being constant under all conditions of load, the vector FD represents the current to a certain scale and is proportional to it. Thus when the load varies, the extremity of the vector of the current moves on a semicircle. This semicircle CIK, has its diameter perpendicular to the true position of the current **x** vector. This proof applies to the induction motor, since it has been demonstrated that the circuits in the two cases are electrically equivalent. The only difference is that in addition to the load current CI, the induction motor takes a magnetizing current Om and a small power component mC for overcoming iron loss and friction. This brings the total primary current to the value OI and this figure is identical with that of the circle diagram of the induction motor.

CIRCLE DIAGRAM TEST.

DATA.

EXCITATION TEST.

Volts.	Amps.	Amps.	Watts	Watts	Amps.	Total
AB	A	C	AB.	BC.	Av.	Watts.
250 235 220 203 190 175 160 145 120	6.60 5.06 4.57 4.15 3.71 3.04 3.04 2.52	6.80 5.60 5.65 4.55 3.45 3.45 3.25	900 710 510 360 330 250 190	- 560 - 430 - 360 - 280 - 210 - 180 - 160 - 120 - 70	6.70 5.63 5.55 4.15 7.45 3.05 3.05 4.05 4.05 4.05 4.05 4.05 4.05 4.05 4	340 280 240 230 220 180 170 130 120

RESULTS FROM EXCITATION TEST.

Rated Voltage.	Watts Input.	Amps. Input.
220	252	5,2



CIRCLE DIA GRAM TEST.

SHORT CIRCUIT TEST.

DATA.

.

Volts.	Amps.	Amps.	Watts	Watts	Amps	Total
AB.	A.	C.	AB.	BC.	Av.	Watts.
48 50 56 62.5 80 102.5 122.0 140 160 180 200 210 220	11.9 16.0 18.0 21.5 25.5 39.5 39.5	12.0 16.0 18.1 21.5 25.8 32.8 40.0	370 640 890 1300 1830 2960 4360	5 20 25 45 200 370	12. 16.0 18.05 21.5 25.6 32.7 39.7	375 660 915 1345 3160 4730 6180 8210 10410 13000 14300 15775

The last six values of the total watts were calculated from the power component.

RESISTANCE OF STATOR WINDINGS

ΒY

DROP OF POTENTIAL METHOD.

P] _{18.8} e	Amperes.	Volts Drop	Resistance.
AB.	I4 I2 I7	I3 I2 I7	.93 I.00 I.00
Mean	- 1	-,	.99
BC	7 11 17.5 15	7 11 17.0 14.5	1.00 1.00 .97 .98
Mean			• 99
CA	17.5 12.0 9.5 7.0	17.0 12.0 9.5 7.0	.97 I.00 I.00 I.00
llean			.99





CIRCLE DIAGRAM TEST.

COLPUTATIONS.

App. H.P. input with Armature locked = $i_g E \sqrt{3}^2 / 746$ i_g Current at rated voltage = 71 amperes. E = Rated voltage = 220 H.P. = 7I x 220 x $\sqrt{3} / 746 = 36.25$ % Power factor = $\frac{\text{True power input}}{\text{Apparent power input}} x 100$ $= \frac{\text{Watts}}{i_g E \sqrt{3}} x 100$ $= \frac{1580}{7I \times 220 \times \sqrt{3}} x 100 = 58.4 \%$ App. H.P. input with no load = $i_0 E \sqrt{3} / 746$ $i_0 = \text{Current input at 220 volts, no load.}$ $i_0 = 5.2$ H.P. = $\frac{5.2}{746} = 2.65$ H.P. % Power factor = $\frac{\text{Watts}}{i_0 E \sqrt{3}} = 2.65$ H.P. % Power factor = $\frac{\text{Watts}}{i_0 E \sqrt{3}} = 12.7 \%$

RESULTS.

H.P. Output	H.P. Input	% Efficiency.
.5 I.0	.91 1.51	55.0
1.5	1.94	77.4
2.0	2.50	80.1
3.0	3.60	83.4
4.0	4.76	84.2
5.0	5.95	84.0
6.0	7.21	83.4

The losses in an Induction Motor consist of copper loss in the stator and copper loss in the rotor; friction and wind age. The rotor copper loss is proportional to the slip and is expressed in per cent of the slip. No load current = I_n No load power = W_n Primary resistance per phase = R_p Primary no load copper loss = $I_n^2 R_p \ge 3/2 = W_{pn}$ Iron and friction loss = No load power. Input - no load primary copper loss = $W_n - W_{pn} = W_{FI}$ Power input at any load W_x , Current = I_x Primary copper lose = $I_x^2 \ge R_p \ge 3/2 = W_{px}$ Output + Sec. copper loss $W_x - (W_{px} + W_{FI}) = W_B$

Input into the sefondary. Secondary copper loss = $W_8 \equiv \text{Slip} / 100 = W_1$ Output = Secondary input - Secondary copper loss

 $= W_{s} - W_{l} = W_{o}$ Efficiency = W_{o} / W_{x}

LETHOD.

The motor was loaded by means of a brake and the following readings taken for different loads; - Volts, amperes, watts and speed. Readings were also taken at no load and the resistance of the windings measured by the drop of potential method.

DATA.

R.P.M.	Volts. AB	Watts. AB	Watts. BC	Amps: A	Amps. C
1210 1195 1180 1170 1170 1170 1170 1150 1155 1155 115	220 220 220 220 220 220 220 220 220 220	620 680 740 840 866 920 1060 1200 1400 1490 1680 1870 2020 2220 2390 2640	- 360 - 310 - 220 - 180 - 120 - 80 + 40 160 320 450 600 760 880 1020 1190 1380	55555555555555555555555555555555555555	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
1140	220	2000	1740	15.2	13.0

EFFICIENCY BY LOSSES.

RESULTS.

Watts Input.	Current Amps.	Primary I ² R loss.	% Slip.	Input To sec.	Secondary Copper loss.
252 370 520 660 746 840 1360 1360 1940 2630 2900 3580 4340	55555555555555555555555555555555555555	40.2 77.5 77.7 80.0 81.0 82.0 86.1 93.0 104.9 116.5 129.0 135.0 148.0 155.0 178.0 186.0	0.18 1.26568999992555000556	0.0 80.7 230.5 368.0 453.2 546.2 802.1 1055.2 1408.1 1683.3 1951.2 2289.2 2553.2 289.2 2553.2 289.2 2553.2 3631.2 3631.2 3942.2	0.0 1.0 3.8 7.6 11.2 15.8 23.2 30.5 40.7 64.4 89.0 104.0 109.5 124.0 138.0 165.0 212.0
Watts	Output.	Ef:	ficienc	у.	
0. 79. 226. 360. 442. 530. 778. 1024. 1367.4 1619. 1862. 2185. 2444. 2776. 3075. 3466. 3730.	0 7 7 4 0 4 9 7 0 7 0 0 7 0 0 0		00564065537255020 24556777888888888888888888888888888888888		

Resistance of one phase = .495 ohms. Primary no load copper loss = $I^2R \ge 3/2$ = 5.20² $\ge .99 \ge 3/2$ = 40.2 Watts Irom and friction loss = No load input = no load copper loss. = 252 - 40.2 = 2II.8 Watts.






1 . •



•

. . .

▶

R.W.SHEEHAN. TYPE OF BR AKE USED. THESIS R.P.KELLEY annnið ST ZZZZNÍG 0

·



In summarizing this thesis the following features: and characteristics have been shown:-

The circle diagram method of testing for the efficiency of Induction Motors is much to be preferred to the brake test. With the latter method, vibration makes accuracy with a light load practically impossible. With the circle diagram method only a few readings need be taken and the method is very accurate.

The Loss method while giving results very close to those obtained from the circle diagram; possess the same disadvantages as the brake test. The difficulty of holding the load constant while taking the speed and the waste of power are the principal disadvantages.

At full load the efficiencies as computed by the different methods agree within 3 %.

In closing we hope that the data and results contained in this thesis may be of much value to the Electrical Engineering Department at M.A.C.

• . . : . • ٦ . • . . · · • • • •

.

ROOM USE ONLY

Comparison of electrical & mechanical methods for deter- T621.3 K29 101892 cop.1 Kelley	Comparison of electrical & mechanical methods for deter- T621.3 K29 101892 cop.1 Kelley	Comparison mechanical T621.3	of elect methods K29 cop.l Kelley	rical & for deter- 101892
T621.3 K29 101892 cop.1 Kelley	T621.3 K29 lol892 cop.1 Kelley	T621.3	K29 cop.l Kelley	101892

