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To cope rith the problen of atuntri panfish ropulations, the fisheries manager of tociay commonly ennors one or inore of the following antiocis of control: (1) poisoninis (compte or partial), (2) netting, (3) water urawiow, (4) stockirg or (E) destruction of spawn.

During the sumer of 1956 , a cooperative experiment was begun oy the Irstitute of Fisheries Research and the Lake and Streara Irmpovenent section of the Fich Division of the Nichigan Department of Conservation to control stunted panfish populations by partial poisoning with roterone. is part $0_{i}$ the experiment, an attemnt was made to cotermine the percent kill by two methoc.s: 1) comarative netting and 2) by ratio sampling. Comparative netting was done with trapnets fished before and after poisoning in the same location for eçual periods of time. Matio sampling consisted of sampling the poisoned fish for rarked fish which had been released prior to poisoning.

The estimated percent lill for each lake is as follows: Saddle Lake -- comparative noting 3 ún, ratio sarling $18.5,5$ Lower ocott Lake -comparative netting $39.5, \dot{y}$, ratio sampling $50.1 ;$ E East Basin of Turk Lake -conparative netting $89.7 \%$, ratio sampling $41.8 \%$ and West Basin of Turk Lake -- co:nparative netting 86.0\%, ratio sampling 32.6\%. The great variation in results is most likely due to insurficient and biased sampling.

Probably the most promising method of estimating population reducticn is comarative netting using the large seine. The large seine takes a ropresentative sample of the population in large enough numbers to wake it economically justifiable.
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Lorosostous promutass - sootrd ear
Amia calva - bowfin
INuicbus sp. - buffalo
Irimzon sucetta - lake chubsuclerr
Catostonus comiersennii - white sucker
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Hotewiconus cr:solcucas - colcon shiner
Hybortymchus notatus - bluntrose minnow
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Notronis commutus - conmon sl:iner
Notropis heterodon - blackchin shiner
Motropis heterolepis - blaclnose shiner
Aneiurus nebulosus - brown builliead
Amciurus natalis - yello% sullread
Sohilbcodes sp. nadtom
Lrura lini - mudminnow
Lisox americanus vemiculatus - &rass nickerel
Esox lucius - northern rike
Ferca flavescens - yellow perch
Boleoscr:a nisrum - Johnny darter
Foecilichthys crilis - Icwa darter
Hicrorterus salmoices - larementh bass
Chaenobryttus coronarius - warmouth
Loporis cranellus - green sunfish
Lenomis megalotis - longear sunfish
Leromis gibbosus - pumpkinseed
Leponis racrochirus - bluegill
Nmbloplites rupestris - rock vass
Pono:is nigro-inaculatus - black cranie
```

Stunted panfish populations are a problem for the fisheries manager throughout the United States. This condition usually comes to his attention throum routine surveys or complaints that fishing is poor and most of the fish are small.

On further investigation, he may encounter some or all of the following characteristics of a stunted population:

1. The fish are slow growing.
2. Fish generally are in poor condition.
3. A small percentage of the fish are "keeper" size.
4. Usually one age-group predominates.
5. Few old fish are present.
6. Males predominate in young fish; females are predominant in older fish. (Eschmeyer, 1937).
7. Few predators are present, most of which are large.
8. Many centrarchid hybrids occur.

Overcrowding, which is one of the major causes of stunting, is due to a shift in the population "balance" - the unstable equilibrium between mumerous fish species and countless environnental situations (Johnson, 1949). This shift may occur naturally or may be the result of selective fishing.

Climatic factors seem to affect population balance by influencing spawning success and survival of certain species. The lake herring (Leucichthys artedi) populations of Lake Erie fluctuate with the turbicity;
year class strength in whitefish (Coregonus clupeaformis) in some Canadian lakes is inversely correlated with the strength of autumn wincis; wid abundance of Kamloops trout (Salmo gairdneri kamloops) is affected by spring run-off (Larkin, 1955). It is also known that northern pike spawning is influenced by spring water levels.

Some biologic factors involved in stuntinc may be (1) abundant aquatic vegetation, (2) carnabalism and mutual predation, (3) flexible growth rates which allow fish to grow slowly rather than starve, and (4) the high reproductive potential of prey species.

By concentrating his fishing efforts on large fish, especially predators, man harvests fish in a disproporticnate relation to their numbers in the population. This harvest of predators is significant because predators are a key factor in controlling the mumbers of prey.

Further damage to population "balance" is done when people destroy spawning grounds of predators and create new spawning sites for prey species by making desirable water front real estate.

Out-moded fishing regulations have also contributed to stunting by controlling the harvest of prey species which should be cropped extensively. Through education it is hoped that further liberalized fishing for warmwater prey species may be authorized in this state as they have been in other states. Controls on predator harvest should be kept until further studies are made concerning predator-prey relationships as affected by sport fishing.

Clarke (1954) states, "Perhaps the most generally harmful effect of increasing mumbers among animal populations is the competition for food." The adverse effects on the individual as well as the population
are expressed in the growth rate. Odum (1954) expresses it as follows: growth rate equals the intrinsic rate of growth minus self-crowding effects minus detrimental effects of the other species.

Objectives of the Study
The material presented herein covers all present-day methods of control of stunted panfish populations with special emphasis given to the work done in Michigan curing the summer of 1956. The data from this work are presented to show the results of two methods used to measure population reduction.
population renuction methods

## POPULATION EEEUCTION METHODS

The two basic methods of control are 1) complete renoval of the population and restocking and 2) partial reduction of the stunted species with or without further corrective measures.

Poisoning
Methods of poisoning with rotenone are many. Rounsefell and Everkart (1953) list 7 methods of dispersal ranging from hand application to spraying by plane. Pond poisoning methods are covered by Swingle, Prather and Lawrence (1953).

Lake stratification has been a problen: in poisoning. Smith (1950) states, "In lakes with depths over 25 feet, difficulty has been encountered in obtaining a complete kill of fish by poisoning, presumably as a result of an inadequate dispersal of the poison in toxic concentrations to all depths."

With the development of new emulsified rotenone products, the thermal barrier problems may be eliminated. Bassett (1956) in testing new rotenone products found that, "From the standpoint of efficiency and economy, Pro-Noxfish is reconmended for shallow, well circulated lakes; Chem Fish for deeper or stratified lakes, and Chem Fish Special for special problem waters." Pro-Noxfish is a product of S. B. Penick Company and Chem Fish and Chem Fish Special are produced by the Chemical Insecticide Corporation.

In the past low temperatures have made poisoning with rotenone ineffective. Brown and Ball (1943) found that rotenone was ineffective
under $45^{\circ}$. Today, however, poisons have been perfected so that poisoning can be effective with water temperatures as low as $39^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. This means that poisoning can be done during overturn which would facilitate complete poisoning.

Partial poisoning is possible when lakes are stratified. King (1953, 1954), attempting population control work on Lake Hiwassee, Oklahona, poisoned only the shoal areas. In 1951, 20 acres of the 154 acres were poisoned. In 1952, 30 acres were poisoned. Age-growth studies after two years indicated no change in growth rate. Due to the failure of the previous poisonings, the entire shoreline was treated in 1953 and 1954. A substantial growth acceleration in largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides), bluegill (Lepomis macrochirus) and redear sunfish (Lepomis microlophus) was noted after the 1953 application. King (op. cit) states that, "Evidence from other partial fish population removals indicates that about 50 per cent of the water volume must be treated before definite growth increases can be noted." Work in Michigan indicates that a good partial kill will result from treating most or all of the surface area when the lakes are stratified (Greenbank, 1941).

Leonard (1938) gives the concentration of 0.50 p.p.m. as the lowest concentration of rotenone for killing fish. At this concentration rotenone is generally accepted as being lethal to most fish. Complete poisoning. Complete poisoning is used throughout the nation for renovation of trout waters, destruction of rough fish populations, and the elimination of stunted panfish. Many fisheries managers feel this is the most economical way to control stunted panfish. With
limital budgets and personnel to handle the work, many states are restricted to this technique. Even though it is the most economical way to treat the stunted panfish robler, it is still costly, especially when treating; large bodies of water witi rotenone. The use of toxarione will reduce tratment costs if a satisfactory concentration can he found (llooner and Grzenda, 1556).

Following the comlete elimination of the fisín molation, restocking often presents a roblem. wich fish to plant, when, ard in what numbers can be difficult cuestions. If tie roblem were solely Diological, its solation wouldn't be too difficult but tie interests of the fishing public must be considersd.
"The homan idea of management is to alter a fish population to produce a larger harvestable crop of desirable fishes even if some deviation from the natural is required" (Johnson, 1949). Nany peonle have considered t.ee idea of planting only one secies, a suitable predator. Combinations of two or three species iave received sure attention. An increase in tic standing crop in lakes and ronds is noted as the number of sonies of fish increuses, but the raximum cros of sclected incividaal snecies occur when only une or tro siecies are roront (Carlander, 10,5). The use of one or two species of fish ner body of water would mean a large standing crop and a snecialized snort fishery because fisherman would have to choose the lake(s) for fishing, on the basis of the kind of fish they desired.

Partial poisoning. with the advent of rotenone, dartial poisoning has become a conmon management practice in many states. Partial poisoning may be selective, but selective poisoning need not be partial. Selective
poisoning with rotenone can be used for gizzard shad and yellow perch. The concentration used is such that these fish are killed but the $L_{50}$ (concentration at which 50 per cent of test animals die) for other species is not reached (Burdick, Dean and Harris, 1955).

Partial poisoning may be directed at a certain group in the population by the method of application. Littoral areas may be poisoned in an attempt to kill smaller prey fish with a minimum kill of large prey and predators. Spawning areas may also be poisoned to reduce certain species.

Removal of competition at all levels is one of the advantages of partial poisoning with rotenone (Grice, 1957). Other points in favor of the use of rotenone are (1) it is easy to handle and apply, (2) it is non-toxic to humans and livestock, (3) it is low in cost of labor and materials needed as compared to netting and (4) the fish are edible if taken soon after poisoning.

The major drawbacks of partial poisoning is the inability to deter mine the extent of the kill of the various species. This inability is mainly due to the fact that our sampling techniques and population estimates only give us an "educated" guess as to what the population is.

On small bodies of water, such as farm ponds, sampling with a seine may give some indication as to the population composition according to size groups. In the southern part of the United States, the number of intermediate size panfish is used to measure the degree of "balance" (Swingle, 1950). No such figures as those given by Swingle are known for northern states.

For large bodies of water, change in growth rate seens to be the best criterion on which to base the efficacy of the poisoning. It must be noted, however, that these changes usially last but a few years and then the treatment must be repeated.

Partial poisoning may be augmented by planting of predators in an effort to establish the necessary predators.

## Netting

Since poisoning with rotenone has become popular, the use of nets to control stunted panfish has become secondary. The chief reason for this change is economic. Grice (1957) shows that in the state of Massachusetts the cost of poisoning is only one-sixth that of netting. In addition, nets are usually selective as to the species and size groups taken.

Intensive netting can temporarily improve the growth rate of the species being removed but has little effect on the remainder of the population. Boussu (1955) removed approximately 80 percent of the crappies from a 24 -acre lake, and only the young-of-the-jear and yearling crappies showed an increased growth rate. Grice (1957) got similar results. In both of these cases, the predator population failed to increase significantly.

Netting today is primarily used for work on the control of rough fish, with most of the work being done with large seines. Seining is done in late fall or early spring because at this time aquatic vegetation is less abundant, the water temperature is low and the fish are usually concentrated in particular areas.

O'Farrell (195́) states that "for effective rough fish removal, all lakes must be seined at least anmually." The use of seines is usually limited by the availability of good seining beaches.

Richer and Gottschalk (19140) found in Indiana that the removal of a large portion of the rough fish resulted in increased abundance of game fish and improved ancling success. Rose and Moen (1952) obtained comparative results in Iowa. Johnson (19/F) states that: "Complete removal of all carp would allow a rerlacement of $15-20$ pounds of came fish for every 100 pounds of carp lost, and only if the aquatic environment were suitable for game fishes."

## Water Level Manipulation

Water drawdown is an effective tecmique for control of stunted panfish in reservoirs and drainaile lakes and ponds. Bennett (1951) states that "the use of a drain valve for the management of fish populations in artificial lakes surpasses all other techniques in value, and no farm pond or artificial lake built for recreation should be planned without an outlet so situated and of such a size that all water and fish in the impoundment will pass under the dam to the downstream side where a simple screen can be installed to trap the fish."

In reservoirs, drawdown is sometimes augmented by seining of game species and poisoning of rough fish.

Other manipulations of water levels can help bring about suitable conditions for an increase in predator pressure. By drawing down in the winter, the predators and the prey species are concentrated, thereby increasing the probability of predation. Maintaining high springtime
levels will favor pike spawning, steady levels in Nay and June may aid bass spawning, and lowering of the levels after this will shorten panfish spawning periods (Junnson, 1949).

Water drawdown is equally applicable to farm ponds. The degree of drawdown depends on the location of the pond. In the north, there is always danger of winterkill after chawdown in ponds and shallow lakes (Bennett, 1954).

Water level manipulation is becoring a widely used management practice for fish population control in large drainable bodies of water.

## Stocking

"'Tnerapeutic' stocking is an old idea revived for new reasons. If removal of undesirable species is so expensive and often futile, aid might be obtained from living predatory fishes which could control obnoxious or overcrowded species and fumish good angling themselves" (Johnson, 1949). Northern pike, muskellunge, walleyes, largemouth bass, channel catfish, and striped bass have all been used. These fish are desirable sport fish as well as possible population regulators. However, little evaluation has been made of the results of planting predators as a management practice.
"Two questions arise immediately: How many predatory fish are needed to do the job and how can they be produced? Partial answers are now available. Expansion of rearing pond facilities for raising prodigious quantities of hungry fingerlings or yearlings is now under way, not with the old aim of scattering a few thousand into each lake but to pour in at
least 50 to 100 fingerlings per surface acre in lakes where control of other fish is needed and where such quantities of fincerlings are not naturally produced.

As for the number of carnivorous fishes required, only an empirical answer is to be had. Analysis of testnet data on 253 Minnesota lakes indicates that dominance or control of fish populations there can be achieved by pile only when its weight comprises 25 to 30 per cent of the total fish weight present. If yellow pineperch are dominant predators, they must comprise 45 to 50 per cent of all fish weight" (Johnson, 1949).

The idea of using predators such as the bowfin or gar has received sone thought but adverse public opinion has prevented such plantincs. Trese species might exert predator pressure tirroughout most of their life without being greatly reduced in number by fishing.

## Destruction of Spawn and Spawning Sites

The use of chemicals for destruction of spawn and fry has received some attention in the eastern states.

In Vermont, Stewart (1956) is experimenting with "Canrite", a cormercial creanery detergent, for cestruction of eggs and fry of yellow perch and punpkinseed sunfish. "Canrite" ( $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}$ ) was dispersed in granular form over concentrations of egg masses, resulting in a high percentage of kill.

Jackson (1956) conducted experiments in New Hampshire for the control of the common sunfish Lepomis gibbosus by placing NaOH pellets in
the nests. Eggs and fry were killed in from $3-5$ minutes in a concentration of 5 p.p.m.

Experiments in New Jersey show that $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ can be used as a spawndestroying agent. Essbach (1956) found that 5.6 mg . per liter of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ gave a 95 percent kill of yellow perch eggs, and those that hatched quickly died. The use of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ is greatly complicated because its toxicity is directly affected by alkalinity, pH and the amount of organic matter present.

The use of chemicals for destruction of spawn and fry needs much further research before it can be seriously regarded as an effective management tool.

Mechanical cestruction of spawn and spaming sites is possible but usually on a small scale. Raking, trampling and dragging can be used to destroy panfish nesting areas in farm ponds or other small bodies of water.

The control of aquatic vegetation to prevent stunting is common practice in pond culture but as yet has had little application on large bocies of water. At the present tine in Florida, this technique is bein! used but no evaluation is available. Similar work is being done in Michigan to determine the affects of removal of aquatic vegetation on growth rate of fish.

Aquatic vegetation will probably becone an extensive problem as more and more lakes approach late stages of eutrophication.

METHOXS OF ESTIMATING TiE PERCEMT KILL OF THE FIGi POPUATION

IETHODS OF ESTLATI:G THE PERCEVT KILL UR TIE FISH POPULATION

Miller (1950) states that: "The literature is conspicuously short of data on either (a) the completeness or otherwise of kills, (b) the proportion of the whole population actually recovered, supposing a kill was complete, and (c) the rapidity with which repopulation with undesirable species takes place."

There are two means commonly used to determine population reduction (1) reduction in catch per unit effort of net (fillnet, trapnet, seine) or (2) ratio sampling of a known number of $m a r k e d$ fish in the poisoned populations. Netting has definite disadvantages: (1) selectivity of the gear, (2) the amount of tine sequired to get sufficient samples, and (3) the investment needed for the gear. Uf the three types of gear, the large seine seems to offor the best solution. The seine can be used effectively both in spring and fall. It can also be used to remove desirable game fish before poisoning.

Ratio sampling by the Petersen mark and recapture method may be used to determine the percent reduction, the theory being that the marked fish should be killed in the same proportion as the unmarked fish. By taking a random sample of the poisoned population, the number of marked fish per unit of pickup (lbs., barrels) can be determined. This ratio is then multiplied by the total number of pickup units to get the estimated kill of the marked fish. The estimated kill is then divided by the known number of marked fish to deternine the percent kill. The main problens are (1) how many fish to mark (2) what size fish to mark
(3) which species to rark (4) how large a sample to take and (5) over what period of tine should these samples be taken.

The number of fish to be marked is usually governed by the means of obtaining the fish but as many fish as possible should be mariced. The size of fish to be marked is dictated by how effective the piclup will be. The sample size and the time of sarmpling will probably be governed by the amount of time alotted for the project.

The percent of recovery of marked fish after poisoning has been variable. Krumholz (1944) in checking the fin-clipping method for estimating fish population had an 86 percent return. Ball (1944) in poisoning Tr.ird Sister Lake recovered 23.4 percent of the marked fish. In poisoning Ford Lake, Ball (194,5) rccovered 54 percent of the marked fish. In all of the above experiments, the men conducting the tests were trying for a complete kill.

The use of the ratio sampling method is probably not economically justifiable in view of the sampling method developed with the large seine in such states as Iowa, disconsin ane linnerota. If a lalie is sampled each year at the same time (spring or fall), the seine should give comparable samples of the fish population. The seine also takes a large number of fish which can be used for growth and size frequency distribution studies without destroying the fish. The use of the large seine will be limited by the water depth and obstructions on the lake bottons.

NETHORS OF COUTRUL USED II MICHICAN

## METHODS OF CONTROL USED IIN MICHIGAN

The control of stunted fish populations in Michigan was begun by R. W. Fischmeyer in 1934 with his work on stunted yellow perch. Eschneyer (1937, 1938) suggested three methods to alleviate the condition: (1) increase food by fertilization, (2) removal of a considerable portion of the population, and (3) complete removal of the population followed by stocking with other species.

Partial or complete removal was accomplished by using rotenone, and rotenone augnented by dynamite to circulate the deep water. These waters were later stocked with trout.

In 1937 Eschmeyer began an experiment to determine the effects of population reduction in warmwater lakes. The lake chosen for the experiment had two distinct basins with a connecting channel. The channel was blocked and one basin poisoned in an attempt to completely destroy the population. Following detoxification the obstruction was removed and the fish allowed to move into the other basin. Beckman (1940, 1942), continuine the study, found that the reduction in population was followed by improved growth rate of the rock bass. This increased growth rate was maintained for four years. In further studies, Beckman (1948) found that the improvement of growth following winterkill is only temporary and that differential kill in favor of rough fish of ten occurs.

During the surmer of 1956 the Institute for Fisheries Fiesearch and the Lake and Stream Improvement Section of the Fish Division of the Michigan Department of Conservation started a cooperative experiment
to develop techniques for stunted panfish control and methods of evaluating these techniques.

Selection of Lakes
The choice of lakes for the experiment was based on the slow growth rate of the bluegill populations and the poor fishing reputation. The lakes chosen for the experiment were Saddle Lake, Van Buren County; Lover Scott Lake, Allegen County; and Turk Lake, Montcalm County. All of these lakes are in the southwestern part of the state.

## Basic Methods Usec in Summer of 1956

Preliminary work on all lakes consisted of marking all fish over 3.5 inches taken by seine, trapnet and hook and line. These fish were released in the center of the basin of the lake except in Saddle Lake where they were released at site of capture. The fish were grouped into one-inch size groups ( 3.5 to 4.5 inches, 4.5 to 5.5 inches, etc.).

The poisoning was done with Noxfish, an emulsified rotenone product of the S. B. Penick Co. The rotenone was sprayed over the surface with a Carter Centrifuge Pump powered by a Briggs and Stratton engine. The anount of rotenone applied was theoretically sufficient to give a concentration of $0.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.

Sampling of the poisoned fish took place during the first three days after poisoning. The fish were picked up and put into barrels for handling purposes. The fish were sorted as to species. Scale samples were taken and size frequency distributions recorded. In addition the average number per pound was determined for most species. Fish under 3.5 inches were not sampled.

Bottom transects were made to determine the number of fish remaining on the lake bottom. The transects were made within 24 hours of the poisoning. For each transect, a line of known length was laid out and the swimner using a Scott Hydro-Pak followed this line counting all fish within one foot either side of the line. From the transect counts, estimates as to the number of fish per acre were made.

Estimation of Percent Kill
The percent kill was estimated in two ways, l) reduction in catch per unit effort of trapnets and 2) by ratio sampling.

The catche per unit effort of trapnets from before and after poisoning were compared to get the percent reduction (see Table IX, Appendix). These trapnet sets were 24 hour sets in the same location.

Saddle Lake, TIS, R15W, Sections 9, 10, 15, 16, 22, Van Buren County, is a 290 -acre lake with a maximum depth of 32 feet. The bottom types are fibrous peat, mid and sand. The lake is drained by Barber Creek which is part of the Black River drainage. About 80 percent of the lake is shoal, and approximately 45 percent of the lake has dense aquatic weed beds.

The following fish are found in Saddle Lake and Barber Creek: bluegill, punpkinseed, warmouth, black crappie, largemouth bass, brown and yellow bullhead, madtom, carp, golden shiner, blackchin shiner, spotfin shiner, blacknose shiner, bluntnose minnow, pugnose minnow, jellow perch, Iowa darter, mudminnow, creek chub, lake chubsucker, and grass pickerel, northern pike, spotted gar, and bowfin.

Preliminary work on Saddle Lake consisted of marking fish over 3.5 inches which were caught by seines and trapnets. Seining, begun on July 12, 1956, met with only limited success, so trapnetting was begun July l6th and was carried on until July 20th. Trapnetting yielded 1077 fish.

From July l2-20th a total of 2886 fish were marked by fin clipping and released at the site of capture. Of these fish, 1910 were marked in areas to be poisoned.

Poisoning of Saddle Lake was done from July 24th to August 6th in 9 areas ranging in size from 3 to 43 acres. The area poisoned (148 acres) represents 51 percent of the total surface area and 25 percent
of the total volume. These areas were enclosed by a blocking seine(s) 10 feet high and of one-inch-bar mesh. It was hoped that this would prevent migration into or out of the poisoned area.

After each area was poisoned, a sample of fish was taken. Of the 62 barrels picked up from the 9 areas, 11.5 were studied. The total pickup must be considered miminal as not all of the lake could be completely covered. The pickup was done with scap nets and scooping devices mounted on boats.

On the day after the area had been poisoned, bottom transects were made to determine the number of fish remaining on the lake bottom. In the 9 areas, 44 transects were made with 40,632 square feet of bottom being viewed. A total of 249 fish were found, giving an estimate of 266 fish per acre. Since these transects were made within 24 hours of the poisoning, it is not known how many of these fish came to the surface to be included in the pickup in the following days. Fish continued to come to the surface for 4 or 5 days; large northern pike, gar pike and bowfin were the last to come up.

In sampling 11.5 barrels, 66 recaptures were recorded (see Table $I$, Appendix). If this sample is a random sample of the poisoned population, the ratio sampling method may be applied. The estimated percent kill by the ratio sampling method was 18.5 percent. The estimated percent kill by comparative netting is 75.7 percent.

The use of ratio sampling during the summer of 1956 was beset with many problems: (1) there were not enough men to work the samples, (2) samples were taken only on the first few days following poisoning because of the shortage of manpower and time, and (3) the biased pickup. Members
of the pickup crew knew that the biolcgists were looking for marked fish and therefore made an effort to find these fish. Furthermore, large game fish were picked up first so as to prevent adverse public relations.

After the lake had been poisoned, nets were fished in the pcisoned areas and in the non-poisoned area. The 12 nets fished in the poisoned areas showed a 36 percent reduction in numbers while the 4 nets in the non-poisoned area showed a 95 percent reduction. The combined percent reduction was 75 percent. This large discrepency in percent reduction may be explained by movement of fish into the areas of reduced competition, a seasonal migration of the fish or sampling variation.

The estimated kill of fish over 3.5 inches is 50 pounds or 476 individuals per acre for the poisoned area. No estimate of the weight of fish under 3.5 inches was made for Saddle Lake (see Table II, Appendix).

In taking weights, it was hoped that an imbalance in the predatorprey ratio could be shown. The predator-prey ratio by weight for fish over 3.5 inches in Saddle Lake, with mud pickerel, bowfin, garpike, northern pike and largemouth bass considered as predators, is 1:5.

Ricker (1955) distinguishes 3 types of numerical relationships between predators and a species of prey they attack: "A. Predators of any given abundance take a fixed fraction of the prey species during the time they are in contact, enough to satiate them. The surplus prey escapes. B. Predators at any given abundance take a fixed fraction of prey species present, as though there were captures at random encounters. C. Predators take all the individuals of the species that are present, in excess of a certain minimum number."

In type B the number of prey species eaten is proportional to the abundance of predators and to the abundance of prey.

Poundage ratios of predators to prey fish species have been used to indicate "balanced" populations. Swingle (1950) gives a predatorprey ratio of $1: 3 \cdot 0-6.0$ as most desirable for ponds. This ratio is based on the weight of all fish in the pond. Moyle (1949) gives the ratio of $1: 6$ for Minnesota lakes. This ratio is based on seining data from littoral areas of 68 game-fish lakes. The average poundage of large predaceous fishes (pike, pikeperch and bass) is compared to that of all other fish combined.

In the present study, all precator-prey ratios were within the desirable range given by Swingle (1050) except the west basin of Turk Lake which was 1:17. Due to the biased pickup of large predatory fish mainly the bass and the time of sampling, the estimates of the pounds of predators are too high. In making the estimates it was presumed that fish would be picked up at the same rate throughout the pickup period. This assumption was incorrect. The difference in rate at which various species came to the surface caused the estimated number of bass to be too high. Krumholz (1950) in poisoning a pord in Indiana recovered 87.1 percent of his marked bass but all of them in the first 3 days after poisoning. Marked green sunfish came to the surface for 8 days with the greatest number coming to the surface the third day.

In order to improve predator spawning success so that the prey species can be controlled, the prey species must be reduced. Partial poisoning augmented by predator planting seems to be the best answer. The selection of predators will vary from lake to lake. The most
efficient predator according to Johnson (1949) is the northern pike. By placing pike at the top with a predator rating of 100 , the yellow pikeperch (walleye) would have a rating of 50 and the largemouth bass a rating of 20 (in northern waters). The predator rating of bowfin, gar and grass mialerel are uninown.

The problem of rearing enough predators to meet the demands for population control and fishing pressure is complex and difficult. Should predators be planted on a put and take basis? Should efforts be concentrated on improving habitat for predator spawning? How much artificial propagation is needed? Are any changes in fishing regulations necessary? Answers to these questions will have to be temporary while basic research on predators is conducted. Information is lacking on food preferences, size relationships, buffer relationships and density relationships.

Artificial propagation and transfer from other lakes will probably be necessary for stocking of newly poisoned lakes. Northern pike are being successfully reared in natural ponds and shallow lakes. Walleyes and bass are reared in artificial situations.

With increased fishing pressure on the predatory species, it may be necessary to reduce the catch by increasing the size limits. By 80 doing, predators would be able to exert their influence on the prey species for greater periods of time.

Figures 1 and 2 (see Appendix) show the growth rate and size frequency distribution of Saddle Lake bluegills.

LOVNR SCOTT LhKE

## LOWER SCOTT LAKE

Lower Scott Lake, TliN, Kl5W, Sections 4, 9, 10, Allegan County, is a 127-acre lake with a maxinum depth of 3 feet. The bottom is fibrous peat with some sandy areas. A stream from Upper Scott Lake flows into Lower Scott Lake whose water level is maintained by a dam in the outlet which flows into the Black River. The lake is 100 percent shoal and aquatic weed beds cover approxinately 75 percent of the lake bottom.

The following fish are found in the lake: bluegill, pumpkinseed, warmouth, black crappie, largemouth bass, yellow perch, Johnny darter, northern pike, grass pickerel, carp, golden shiner, blackchin shiner, brown and yellow bullhead, lake chubsucker, buffalo and bowfin.

From August 24 th to 28 th, 1305 fish over 3.5 inches, caught by hook and line, large seine and trapnet, were marked and released in the middle of the lake.

On August $28 \mathrm{th}, 100$ acres ( 79 percent of the area and 02 percent of the volume) were poisoned with Noxfish. The pickup and sampling followed the same basic procedure. Of the 50 barrels picked up, 7 were sampled (see Table III, Appendix). Because all fish could not be picked up, the pickup crew was requested to make an estimate of the mumber of barrels of fish remaining. They estimated that 60 barrels of fish remained (see Table IV, Appendix).

Bottom transects were again nade within 24 hours of poisoning with an estimate of 251 fish per acre being made from two transects totaling 4,330 square feet.

A total of 54 recaptures were recorded in the sampling. Luring sampling, a difference in the rate of species return was noted.

|  | August 28 | August 29 | Auçust 30 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bluegills | 3 | 3 | 12 |
| pumpkinseed | 14 | 8 | 2 |
| largemouth bass | 4 | - | 2 |
| black crappies | 5 | - | 1 |

A differential rate of return by size and species has been no $\quad=\mathrm{C}$ by other workers (Brown and Ball, 1943; Krumholz, 1950). This differential may be caused by fish getting entangled in aquatic vegetation, the nature of the fishes reaction to the poison, by different rates of decomposition or by lack of a swim bladder.

The estimated kill from comparative netting showed a 39.1 percent kill while ratio sampling showed a 5 ? .1 percent kill.

The predator-prey ratio was 1:3 for fish ever 3.5 inches.
Figures 3 and 4 (see Appendix) gave the growth rate and size frequency distribution of the bluegills in Lower Scott Lake.

## TURK LAKE

Turk Lake, T1ON, R8W, Sections 3, 9 and 10, Montcalm County, is a 15l-acre lake with a maximum depth of 20 feet. The bottom types are pulpy and fibrous peat, marl and sand. The lake has two distinct basins, east (91 acres) and west ( 60 acres), connected by a small channel. Turk Lake Creek drains the lake and flows into the Flat River. Species of fish found in Turk Lake are: bluegill, pumpkinseed, warmouth, green sunfish, longear sunfish, rock bass, black crappie, largemouth bass, yellow perch, northern pike, white sucker, carp, common shiner, and blackchin shiner.

From August lst to 14 th, 1991 fish over 3.5 inches, caught by hook and line, seine and trapnet, were marked and released in the center of the east basin of the lake. The east basin was divided into two areas ( 24 acres and 67 acres) and all of the surface area treated. The two areas were separated by blocking seines.

The total pickup was 56 barrels with an estimated 25 barrels remaining. In sampling 8.3 barrels, 87 recaptures were recorded (see Table V and Table VI, Appendix).

Bottom transects indicated 332 fish per acre on the lake bottom 24 hours after poisoning. While swimming these transects, it was noted that fish were alive in water greater than 12 feet in depth. This indicates that only 27 percent of the volume was actually poisoned.

The predator-prey ratio was 1:3.
Estimated kill by ratio sampling was 41.8 percent and from comparative netting 89.7 percent. However, samples taken by trapnetting were so small as to cast doubt on the validity of the results.

In ti:e west basin, 1069 fish were marked and released in the center of the basin. On August 21 st the cntire surface area was poisoned. Live cages set at varying depths showed all fish to be dead within 24 hours of poisoning.

The pickup of all fish arounted to 45 barrels of which 10 were studied. In sanpling 10 barrels, 77 recaptures were observed (see Table VII and Table VIII, Appendix).

Bottom transects revealed 518 fish per acre.
The predator-prey ratio was 1:17.
The estimated kill by the ratio sampline method was 32.6 percent and by comparative netting 86.0 percent.

Figures 5 and 6 (see Appencix) give the growth rates and size frequency iistribution of Turk Litie bluegills.

## STATMIMG CROP

Clarke (1954) defincs standing crop as "the abundance of the organisms existing in the area at the time of observation; it may be expressed as number of individuals, as biomass, as enery content, or in some other suitable terms." Standiñ crops of fish do not necessarily bear a close relationship to fish production but usually the standing crop is the only available estimate of fish production in a body of water (Carlander, 1955).

The estinated standing crop in pounds for the three lakes are as follows:

| Laise | Estimated Total <br> Pounds Killed | Estimated <br> Percent Kill | Estimated <br> Standing <br> Crop | Pounds <br> Per Acre |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Turk | 4800 | 32.6 | 14,724 | 245 |
| East Turk | 0800 | 47.8 | 21,053 | 231 |
| Lower Scott | 9900 | 58.1 | 17,039 | 134 |
| Saddle | 7400 | 18.5 | 40,000 | 138 |

The estimates are based on the results of the ratio sampling method of estimating the percent kill. Although this method has many weak points, the estimates are not unreasonable for intermediate to hard (M.O. alkalinity 50-150 p.F.m.; over 150 F.p.m.) warm water lakies in the southern part of the state. The standing crops in pounds per acre are considerably higher than those reported by Ball (1946b). Ball (op. cite) found that the averace standing crop in ponds per acre for "bass-type" lakes for the state of Michigan was 81.3).

The estimated standing crop for Saddle Lake is probably low as only 50 percent of the lake was sampled, and much of this area was shoal with clean sand bottom.

These data show that these lakes can and do support large fish populations which if properly managed could provide much sport fishing.

Of all the methods used to control stunted panfish populations, poisoning and water drawdown have been the most successful. Complete poisoning, although a difficult goal to attain, gives the fisheries manager a controllable starting point in his efforts to produce the most desirable fish. A similar situation may be created by the draining of a pond or lake.

Partial poisoning has one main drawback, the evaluation of the poisoning. Today, as in the past, age-growth studies are the criteria on which the efficiency of the poisoning is based. The age-growth method has been used for the lack of something more comprehensive. The lack of a comprehensive study was largely due to inadequate sampling techniques.

During the summer of 1956 two methods were tried in an effort to detect what had happened to different segments of the fish populations upon being partially poisoned with rotenone. Comparative netting with trapnets and ratio sampling of marked fish both proved inadequate due to lack of proper sampling. Insufficient netting before and after poisoning failed to establish a large enough sample to make results reliable. Biased pickup plus insufficient sampling gave questionable results for the ratio sampling estimates. Because each of these methods requires much time and effort, it seems likely that their use will be limited to research rather than management practices.

The proper use of the large seine as a sampling technique holds great promise in attempting to evaluate partial poisoning as a control
measure. with the larer seine, which tues fairly representative suples, size frequency distribution and siscins abundance can ve ceternincu. In adcition, scale saples for concurrent afe-growth studies cen be readily taken.

The large seine is not a panacea but a sampling tocl. liuch roseurci is still nenoscary on such subjects as predator food proforence, me.atorprey size requionshins, powation densitins and buffer species before a more intellisent :"masement prorran for warm water lakes is forthcowing-
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aPPEIDIX

TABLE I
ESTIATAD MO:BRR OF RHCAPTUNES FOR SADNLE LAKE

| Species | Number of marked fish | $\begin{gathered} \text { Recaptures } \\ \text { in } 11.5 \\ \text { barrels } \end{gathered}$ | Total barrels picked up | Estimated total recaptures | Estimated percent kill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 701 | 4 | 62 | 22 | 3.1 |
| Punpkinsced | 1.56 | 21 | 62 | 113 | 24.7 |
| Black crappie | 05 | 2 | 62 | 11 | 12.9 |
| Yellow perch | 135 | 8 | 62 | 43 | 31.8 |
| Warmouth | 77 | 6 | 62 | 32 | 41.5 |
| Lake chub sucker | 131 | 3 | 62 | 16 | 12.2 |
| Golden shiner | 160 | 1 | 62 | 5 | 3.1 |
| Bullhead | 105 | 13 | 62 | 70 | 66.6 |
| Largemouth bass | 49 | 8 | 62 | 43 | 07.7 |
| Bowfin | 5 | - | 62 | - | - |
| Carp | 1 | - | 62 | - | - |
| Spotted gar | 2 | - | 62 | - | - |
| Hybrics | 2 | - | 62 | - | - |
| Grass pickerel | 1 | - | 62 | - | - |
| Total | 1910 | 66 |  | 355 | 13.5 |

TABLE II

| Species | Pounds in 11.5 barrels | Total barrels picked up | Estimated total pounds | Number of fish per pound | Estimated total number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 388 | 62 | 2095 | 14.7 | 30,800 |
| Pumpkinsced | 105 | 62 | 567 | 12.2 | 6,900 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Yellow } \\ \text { perci } \end{gathered}$ | 95 | 62 | 513 | 9.6 | 4,900 |
| rolden shiner | 67 | 62 | 362 | 11.0 | 4,000 |
| Black crappie | 22 | 62 | 119 | 6.1 | 700 |
| Lake chub sucker | 159 | 62 | 859 | 8.2 | 7,000 |
| Narmouth | 133 | 62 | 71.8 | 14.3 | 10,700 |
| Grass pickerel | 22 | 62 | 119 | 2.6 | 1,000 |
| Bullhead | 127 | 02 | 686 | 3.4 | 2,300 |
| Bowfin | 3.5 | 62 | 19 | . 6 | 11 |
| Largemouth bass | 182 | 62 | 983 | 2.1 | 2,100 |
| Spotted gar | 4 | 62 | 22 | 1.0 | 22 |
| Carp | $285^{3 *}$ | 62 | $285^{* *}$ | . 06 | $19^{*}$ |
| Northern pike |  | 62 | 40* | . 3 | $10^{*}$ |
| Total |  | 62 | 7400 |  | 70,500 |

i'izure 1. Sadile Laike - Growth Fate of Bluerills


Fimure 2. Sacile Lide - Bize Frequency Distribution of Bluegills


Size Groups

TABLE III
ESTIMATED NUMBER OF RECAPTURES FOR LOWER SCOTT LAKE

| Species | Number of marked fish | $\begin{gathered} \text { Recaptures } \\ \text { in } 7 \\ \text { barrels } \end{gathered}$ | Estimated total barrels | Istinated total recaptures | Estimated percent kill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 704 | 18 | 110 | 236 |  |
| Pumbinseed | 283 | 24 | 110 | 374 | 130.9 |
| Largerouth bass | 32 | 6 | 110 | 99 | 309.3 |
| Black crappie | 78 | 6 | 110 | 29 | 120.9 |
| Warmouth | 12 | - | 110 | - | - |
| Northerm pise | 5 | - | 110 | - | - |
| Bullnead | 109 | - | 110 | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yellow } \\ & \text { percl } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | - | 110 | - | - |
| Hybrics | 7 | - | 110 | - | - |
| Golden shiner | 4 | - | 110 | - | - |
| Lake chub sucker | 2 | - | 110 | - | - |
| Bowfin | 2 | - | 110 | - | - |
| Grass pickerel | 1 | - | 110 | - | - |
| Total | 1305 | 5 |  | 758 | 50.1 |

## TABLE IV

ESTIUTED KIIT OF FISH OVER 3.5 I:CHSS IN LO:LAN SCOTT LAST

| Species | Pounds in 1 barrel | Estimated total barrcle | Estimated total pounis | Number of fish per pound | Estimated total number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluerill | 17.5 | 110 | 1925 | 21.4 | 41,200 |
| Fumplinseec | $? 7$ | 110 | 1727 | 14.2 | 24,500 |
| Black cranpie | 0.8 | 110 | 85 | 4.0 | 400 |
| Largemouth bass | 7.5. | 110 | 1716 | 3.9 | 6,700 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yellow } \\ & \text { perch } \end{aligned}$ | 0.5 | 110 | 55 | 13.3 | 700 |
| Bullicad | 2.1 | 110 | 231 | 2.8 | 600 |
| Lake chub sucreer | 17.6 | 110 | 1736 | 2.9 | 5,600 |
| Golden shiner | 1.5 | 110 | 165 | 11.0 | 1,800 |
| Varmouth | 3.7 | 110 | 1407 | 30.0 | 12,200 |
| Grass pickerel | 1.2 | 110 | 132 | 6.0 | 800 |
| Buffalo | 4.0 | 110 | 440 | 0.05 | 22 |
| Carp | 5.2 | 110 | 572 | 0.1 | 57 |
| Northern pike | 3.3 | 110 | 363 | . 25 | 31 |
| Bowfin | 1.4 | 110 | 154 | . 21 | 32 |
| Total |  |  | 9900 |  | 94,700 |


| Species | Number of marled fish | $\begin{gathered} \text { Recaptures } \\ \text { in } 8.3 \\ \text { harrels } \end{gathered}$ | Estimated total barrels | Estimated total recaptures | Estimated percent kill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 1221 | 26 | 81 | 251 | 20.6 |
| Pumpkinseed | 224 | 23 | 81 | 226 | 100.9 |
| Black crappie | 159 | 2 | 81 | 16 | 10.1 |
| Varmouth | 48 | 3 | 81 | 32 | 66.6 |
| Yellow perch | 88 | 6 | 81 | 57 | óli. 8 |
| Largemouth bass | 41 | 13 | 01 | 130 | 317.1 |
| White sucker | 17 | 1 | $\bigcirc 1$ | $\bigcirc$ | 47.1 |
| Bullhead | 104 | 10 | $\delta 1$ | 97 | 93.3 |
| Longear sunfish | 22 | 2 | 81 | 16 | 72.7 |
| Northern pike | 8 | - | 81 | - | - |
| Rock bass | 7 | - | 81 | - | - |
| Bowfin | 8 | - | 81 | - | - |
| Green sunfish | 44 | - | $\delta 1$ | - | - |
| Total | 1991 |  |  | 833 | 41.8 |

TABLE VI
ESTILATTD KILL OF FIGH OVER 3.5 IMCHES IN THE EAST BASIN UF TURK LAKE

| Species | Pounds <br> in 5.3 <br> barrels | Estimated total barrels | Estimated total pounds | Number of fish per pound | Estimated total number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 219.25 | E1 | 3355 | 24.1 | 80,900 |
| Pumpkinseed | 78.25 | 81 | 1197 | 5.2 | 6,200 |
| Largemouth bass | 115.0 | 21 | 1760 | 6.5 | 11,400 |
| Black crappie | 48.75 | 81 | 746 | 14.5 | 10,100 |
| Yellow perch | 40.75 | 81 | 023 | 13.7 | 8,500 |
| Warrouth | 19.75 | E1 | 302 | 16.7 | 5,000 |
| Bullizead | 23.75 | 81 | 363 | 5.4 | 2,000 |
| Northern pike | 14.50 | 81 | 222 | . 5 | 100 |
| Bowfin | 6.75 | 81 | 103 | . 4 | 40 |
| White sucker | 10.0 | 21 | 150 | 1.6 | 200 |
| Total |  |  | 8800 |  | 125,100 |

TABLE VII
ESTITATED NUMER OF PGCAPTEES FOR THE NEST BASIN OF TURK LAKE

| Species | Number of marked fish | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recaptures } \\ & \text { in } 10 \\ & \text { barrels } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> barrels <br> picked up | Estimated total recaptures | Estimated percent kill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 453 | 26 | 45 | 117 | 25.8 |
| Pumpkinseed | 266 | 34 | 45 | 153 | 37.5 |
| Green sunfish | 16 | 1 | 1,5 | 5 | 31.2 |
| Longear sunfish | 7 | - | 45 | - | - |
| Warmouth | 19 | 1 | 45' | 5 | 26.3 |
| Black crappie | 79 | - | 45 | - | - |
| Yellow perch | 53 | 3 | 45 | 14 | 26.4 |
| Largemouth bass | 24 | 2 | 45 | 9 | 37.5 |
| Northern pike | 9 | 1 | 45 | 5 | 55.5 |
| Write sucker | 51 | 2 | 45 | 9 | 17.6 |
| Bullheads | 71 | 7 | 45 | 32 | 45.0 |
| Rock bass | 4 | - | 45 | - | - |
| Bowrin | 3 | - | 45 | - | - |
| Hybrids | 12 | - | 45 | - | - |
| Conmon shiner | 1 | - | 45 | - | - |
| Carp | 1 | - | 45 | - | - |
| Total | 1069 |  |  | 349 | 32.6 |

TABLE VIII
BSTLATB KILL OF FIOH CVOR 3.5 INOHES II THE UEST BASIN OF TURK LAKE

| Species | Pounds <br> in 5.3 <br> barrels | Total barrels picked up | Estimated total pounds | Number of fish per pound | Estimated total nurber |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 260.0 | 45 | 2210 | 31.9 | 77,100 |
| Largemouth bass | 29.25 | 45 | 248 | 8.1 | 2,000 |
| Pumpkinseed | 92.50 | 45 | 780 | 20.1 | 15,900 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yellow } \\ & \text { perch } \end{aligned}$ | 26.75 | 45 | 738 | 23.3 | 17,200 |
| Black crappie | 24.25 | 45 | 207 | 12.5 | 2,600 |
| inite sucker | 13.0 | 45 | 113 | 1.7 | 200 |
| Warmouth | 30.25 | 45 | 256 | 16.7 | 4,300 |
| Bullhead | 24.0 | 45 | 203 | 4.0 | 800 |
| ilorthern pike | 2.75 | 45 | 23 | 22.2 | 500 |
| Total |  |  | 4800 |  | 120,500 |

Figure 5. Turk Lake - Growth Fate of Bluersill


Figure 6. Turk Lake - Size Frequency Listribution of Bluerills


Thisl: In

| Snecies | Sacide Lake |  | Lower jeott Lake |  | Turk Lake |  | ```Turk Lal:e Dast Basin B A``` |  | ```Turk Lake Nest Basin B A``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $B$ | A* | B | A | B | A |  |  |  |  |
| Bluerill | S61 | 170 | 129 | 79 | 1!: | 5 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| rutisinsecd | 33 | 20 | 75 | 38 | $11:$ | 3 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 2 |
| Black craprie | 41 | 12 | 1:5 | $1: 3$ | -8 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 18 | 1 |
| Largenouth bass | 20 | 13 | 17 | 11 | 25 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 15 | 0 |
| Northern pile | 1 | 1 | $1:$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | - | - |
| Yellow perch | - | - | - | - | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Bullheads | 113 | 36 | 137 | 74 | 56 | 3 | 25 | 2 | 28 | 1 |
| Wamouth | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 3owfin | 3 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| 3)otted gar | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| White suckers | - | - | - | - | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| Hebrids | - | - | - | - | 0 | 2 | - | - | 0 | $<$ |
| Total | 1077 | 260 | 1:08 | 247 | 201 | 24 | 107 | 11 | 94 | 13 |
| Fercent Reduction | 75. | 71** |  | 47 | 88. |  | โ9. |  |  | 17 |

[^0]Wrym trapnets fished jensicie and outsicie tlie poisonca areas.



[^0]:    HB - jefore poisoning; i - Aiter poisoming.

