

DESIGN OF NORTH LOGAN Street Bridge

THESIS FOR THE DEGREE OF B. S.

CARL HAUSSMAN, Jr. 1939

THESIS

.

, .





PLACE IN RETURN BOX to remove this checkout from your record. TO AVOID FINES return on or before date due. MAY BE RECALLED with earlier due date if requested.

DATE DUE	DATE DUE	DATE DUE
10131 20 59 2082		
		6/01 c:/CIRC/DateDue.p65-p.15

Design of North Logan

Street Bridge

A Thesis Submitted to

The Faculty of

Michigan State College

of

Agriculture and Applied Science

by Carl Haussman, Jr.

Canidate for the Degree of

Bachelor of Science

June 1939



Acknowledgement

4

The author wishes to thank Professor C.A.Miller and Mr. Collins Thornton for their help in the preparation of this thesis.

120334

Takes -

In the City of Lansing there is only one bridge that is available for all traffic crossing the Grand River enroute to Grand Rapids and other places in the northwest of the State. This bridge, on U.S.16, must carry all Lansing and through traffic. Although it can, and does carry all traffic, such a situation is far from desirable due to the fact that many motorists must travel a long circuitous route in order to cross the bridge.

The City of Lansing contemplates building another bridge in the future that will alleviate the conditions as they now are. This thesis is concerning the design of such a bridge which shall be known as the North Logan Street Bridge.

Such a bridge would not only offer a cut off for Lansing traffic going to the northwest part of the State, but would also give a through route for traffic from the small towns south of Lansing that come comes into the City on South Logan Street.

North Logan Street comes to a dead end a distance from the river and due to existing property it is not feasible to continue it; however, what this thesis purposes is a jog in the route over to Alice Street which does run to the river bank. Alice Street would be available for building an approach to the bridge proper.

There are several conditions at the Alice Street location that must be considered before the bridge can be designed. What is desired is this: A bridge that will offer a direct route from Alice Street over to U.S.16 to the north. If this is accomplished the bridge will have to cross the river, and in addition, clear the Consumers Power Railway siding. The South bank of the river is twenty feet higher than the north bank so the bridge will probably have to be built on a grade. Also it would be desirable to build the bridge so that it is perpendicular to the flow of the river.

A reinforced concrete open spandrel arch was deemed best for this location, but due to the many qualifying conditions there were many possibilities as far as types of arches were concerned. After many trials a bridge was adopted using 5 - 100 foot arches. The arch ring was to be made up of four separate rings. Instead of trying to take up the difference in grade between the two banks in the arches it was found better to build the bridge on level grade and fill up to this grade on the north side of the river.

A good deal of the fill necessary on the north side could be obtained from earth which would have to be cut between the bridge and U.S.16. A road would have to be built between these points and an attempt was made to find a grade line that would allow just as much cut as possible in the roadway which would find use as fill near the bridge.

This type of bridge will necessitate a viaduct approach on the south side which will have to be built in a slight curve. However, it was thought better to have the curve on the approach than on the bridge proper, thus simplifying problems of design and construction on the arches. The viaduct design was not considered in this thesis.

Because of the nature of this work the material consists mainly of computations. As all arches are the same the work is shown for designing one of them, the method of least work was used in designing these arches. The floor scheme of beams and girders is a typical one used by the City of Lansing in their design of the South Logan Street Pridge. A gravity type pier was designed and computations are shown for the north abutment.

It might be stated that if the bridge as here shown were to be used it would be possible to use a thinner arch ring than used by the author due to two reasons. (1) The final stress as shown are rather low, (2) A concrete railing was first contemplated which was later changed to a lighter steel one.

The starting point for the design is the use of the crown thickness formula, where dc crown thickness in inches, L clear span in feet, WL live load in pounds per square foot, WC dead load at crown in pounds per square foot. For safety's sake the author added 2" to this thickness which apparently was unnecessary.

The clearance over the railroad offered by this bridge will be the 19 feet as specified for this type of track; however, if desired the track can be lowered a few feet as is evidenced on the general site plan.

Due to the size of this structure the piers and abutments are to go down to bed rock which is at an elevation of 85.

The roadway is to be 9 inches of concrete with a 3 inch asphalt topping.

Circular segmental arches were used and reinforcing steel is used in the top and bottom.

The live loading assumed was 200 pounds per square foot. 2500 # concrete was used with n = 12.

Reference books used are "Reinforced Concrete Construction" Vol.3, by Hool, "Reinforced Concrete Structures" by Peabody, "Design of Viaduct for South Logan Street" by Thornton, "Design of Open Spandrel Reinforced Concrete Arch" by Cooke and "Reinforced Concrete Design", by Sutherland and Clifford.

In some computations several trials were necessary but only the final results are shown.

The following sheets contain the computations for the North Logan Street Bridge

.

 $dc = \sqrt{L} + \frac{L}{10} + \frac{W_L}{200} + \frac{Wc}{400}$ $dc = \sqrt{100} + \frac{100}{10} + \frac{200}{200} + \frac{150}{400}$

L = 100'

dc=22"

Let crown thickness = 2'

Let springing thickness = 4!



$Y_0 = 20 - 1.49$ $Y_0 = 18.51'$

Distance between center lines of columns= 9'3/32"= 9.1'

Area of road crossection = sidewalk + road + beams Wt of road crossection = 2(7/12)(5) + 38(1) + 8(1)(1) 150

Wt. per ring $\frac{8,870 (9.1)}{4} = 20,360 \#$ (4 rings per cross sec.)

Use lft. so. columns they are oversize but it is not customary to go below this size.

Add to the above weight per ring the weight of girders, columns, and arch ring. Wt. of girder on $1 \operatorname{ring} = \frac{3(2)(40)(150)}{4} = 9,000 \#$

total wt. per ring 29,400#
Wt.@ 6 per ring = 29,400 + 10,800 = 40,200
5 per ring = 29,400 + 3,150 = 32,450
4 per ring = 29,400 + 1,860 = 31,260
3 per ring = 29,400 + 900 = 30,300
2 per ring = 29,400 + 300 = 29,700
1 per ring = 29,400 + 300 = 29,700

tote	al weight	applied	to ring	per col	umn per	l'width of	ring wt. per
		ri	ng weight	5		total wt.	ring
6	40 , 200#	(9.1)(7)(150)	(3.6) =	34 ,4 00#	74,600	10,700
5	3⇔ , 450	9560	(3.2)	2	30, 600	63 , 050	9,000
4	31,260	15	(2.7)	2	25 , 800	57 , 060	8,100
3	30,300		(१.4)	5	22,900	5 3,20 0	7,600
2	29,700	5	(2.1)	=	20,100	49,800	7,100
1	89 , 700	•1	(2)	:	19,100	48,800 total	7,000 49,500#

•

The rings are 7' wide the loads as shown are applied thru the columns. The load at 6 acts down to the pier.

Dead Load Equilibrium Polygon

Load	Arm	Moment	Section
		0	*
▲ 7,000	9.1	63,700	В
15 7,100 14.100	9.1	128,310	
		192,010	C
C 7.600			
21,700	9.1	197,470	_
		389,480	D
D <u>8,100</u>			
89,800	9.1	<u> </u>	R
E 9,000 58,800	9.1	353,080	•
00,000		,	
F <u>10,700</u> 49,500	1.25	61.875	
	2,50	1,075,615	Springing

Location of Reference Axis

								٦
Sect.	70 y	đx	dx3/12	àx .333	.06(d .33)	I	20/ I	Ī
1	18.58	2.05	.6179	1.72	.1775	.7954	23,359	1.257
2	18,18	8.10	.6717	1.77	.1880	.8597	21.115	1.116
5	17.35	2.15	.7282	1.82	.1987	.9269	18.718	1.078
4	16.21	2,29	1.0008	1.96	.2305	1.2313	13.165	.812
4	14.65	2.45	1.2258	8.12	,2696	1.4954	9.796	.669
6	18.72	2.65	1.5500	2.32	.5289	1.8729	6.792	.534
7	10.45	2.88	1,9907	2,55	.5902	2,3809	4.589	.420
8	7.87	3.17	2.6546	2.84	.4840	3.1386	2.507	.519
9	4.92	3.47	3,481 8	3.14	.5916	4.0734	1. 208	. 245
10	1.60	3.80	4.5727	3.47	•7225	5.2952	.502	.189
	< Ze					•	rot•99t	8009A

t	Ξ		=	<u>101.351</u> - 6.639	15.30'
---	---	--	---	---------------------------	--------

ye = r - t = 20 - y - t

ye = 20 - 1.49 - 15.50

y c = 5.21



7/8" sq.bar = .785 sq.inches
4 bars = 3.14 sq. inches
A =
$$\frac{dx + (n-1)}{144}$$
 (3.14) = .2399 \neq dx sq.ft.
I = $\frac{dx^3}{12} \neq$.2399 $(\frac{dx}{2} - \frac{1}{6})^2$
= $\frac{dx^3}{\sqrt{2}} \neq$.06 $(dx - .333)^2$

Arch Constants

Sect.	X	Y = -\$	I8/I	Y ² /I	1/4
1	2.81	3.01	9.925	11.588	.436
8	8.35	2.61	27.810	7.600	.427
5	13.81	1.78	205.736	5.417	.418
4	19.30	.64	3 02 .46 2	3,329	• 395
5	24.72	.92	408.811	.569	.371
6	29,95	2,85	899.0 33	4.336	.345
7	55.06	5.12	516.266	11.008	• 380
8	40,01	7.70	510.655	18.914	. 293
9	44.70	10.65	489.532	27 .815	.269
10	49,20	13.97	457,501	36.805	.245
			5,377.751	125.261	5.522

Moments due to dead load

ML = 0 MZ = 7(3.80) = 26.6 K rp feet MS = 7(9.26) + 7.1(.16) = 65.815 M4 = 7(14.75) + 7.1(5.65) = 143.365 M5 = M4 + 7.6(1.97) + 14.1(5.42) = 234.756 M5 = M5 + 21.7(5.23) = 348.250

$$M7 = M6 \neq \&1.7(5.11) \neq 8.1(3.21) = 485.138$$

$$M8 = M7 \neq &9.8(4.95) = 638.648$$

$$M9 = M8 \neq &9.8(4.69) \neq 9(3.75) = 806.060$$

$$M10 = M9 \neq 38.8(4.50) \neq 980.660$$

Dead Stresses

Sect.	$M_{\perp} = MR$	$\frac{\mathbf{M} \neq \mathbf{MR}}{\mathbf{I}}$	(<u>M⊥+ MR</u>) ¥ I
1	0	0	0
8	26.600	59.311	154,802
3	65.815	141.897	252,577
4	143,565	232.8 25	149.008
5	834.759	314.108	888.979
6	548,850	371.397	1,058,481
7	485.138	407.516	2,086.482
8	532 .64 8	403.629	3,107.945
9	806.060	39 4 . 96 9	4,206.480
10	930.660	370.689	5,178.525
	3,723.295	2,696.541	15,370,443

Dead Load Stresses

 $Mo = \frac{(\frac{M+1}{1})}{2 \le \frac{1}{1}} = \frac{2.696.341}{13.878} = 805.068 \text{ kip feet}$

$$M_{0} = H_{0} = \frac{-\frac{2}{2} \left(\frac{M_{L} + M_{R}}{I}\right) (Y)}{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{Y^{2}}{I} + 2\frac{1}{A}\right)} = \frac{15,370,443}{250,323} = 59.723 \text{ klps}$$

Mc = Mo - Ho (Yc) = $\neq 16.358$ kip foot eccentricity = e

Ъ

• = + 3.29"

 $Ms = -1,075,615 \neq 59,783(18.51) = 89,858$ ft. lbs. To = 59,783 ($(0 \times 6) \neq 49,500$ (5mc)

Ts - 77,568#

eccentricity =
$$\frac{39,858}{77,568}$$
 (12)= 4.62*

Crown Streeses

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{fe}_{=} & \underline{P} & \neq & \underline{Me} \\ & & & I \end{array} \\ & & = & \frac{59.783}{528.55} & \neq & \frac{16.357(12)(12)}{17.274} & = & & 581.516\%/sq.in. & 48.5 & \frac{166}{39.1n} \\ & & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$$

Springing Stresses

fc = <u>77.568</u> = <u>89.858(12)(24)</u> = 152.9 lbs/sq.m and 101.1 lbs/sq.in.

Live Load Influence Table

Load at AL

Sect.	NL= ML+ MR ML- MR	(ML + MR) <u>1</u> Ī	(ML-MR) X I	(ML+MR) Y I
8	3.80	4.777	59 .89	12.48
5	9.26	9.823	135.66	17.48
4	14.75	11.977	251.16	7.67
5	20.17	15,494	355.57	- 18.41
6	25.40	13.564	406.24	- 38.66
7	30,50	12.614	449.26	- 65.61
8	35.46	11.312	458.59	- 87.10
9	40.15	9.837	439.71	- 104.76
10	44.65	8.439	415.20	- 117,89
		96,037	2,903.28	- 588,80

Mo	96.037 13.278	=	7.23
-----------	------------------	---	------

- $V_0 = \frac{8903.28}{6,755.46} = .430$
- $H_0 = -\frac{388.80}{257.36} = -1.511$

			Load at BL	,	
5	.16	.172	2.38	.51	
4	5.65	4.588	88.55	2,94	
5	11.07	7.406	183.08	- 6.81	$M_{0} = \frac{58.597}{18.070} = 5.961$
6	16.50	8.704	860.68	-24.81	T9+240
7	21.41	8.992	315.26	- 46.04	vo,800,99 = .275 6,755.46
8	26.36	8.409	336.44	- 64.75	$H_{01} = \frac{514.05}{51000} = -1.880$
9	31.05	7.607	540,05	- 81.01	807.006
10	35,55	6.719	<u>530.57</u>	<u>-93.86</u>	
		52.597	1,856.99	- 514.05	

Load at CL

Sect.	ML= MEFMR = MFFMR	(ML+MR) <u>1</u> Ī	(HL- HR) (<u>x</u>) I	(NGr MR)Y Î	
5	1.97	1.518	38.58	- 1.81	
6	7 • 2 0	3.845	115.16	- 10.96	$M_0 = \frac{26 \cdot 816}{10} = 1 \cdot 97$
7	12.31	5.770	181.26	- 26.47	13,270
8	17.26	5.506	220.50	- 42.40	6755.46
9	21.95	5.378	240.40	- 57.28	Ho 060
10	26.45	<u>4.999</u> 86.216	<u>295.95</u> 1,035.65	- <u>69.84</u> - <u>208.16</u>	x07 • 30

7	5.21	1.548	47.86	- 6.90
8	8.16	2.605	104.15	- 10,58
9	12.85	3,148	140.78	- 33.55
10	17.35	5.279	161.35	- 45.81
		10.578	453.46	- 96.68

 $M_{0} = \frac{10.578}{13.378} = .788$

 $\frac{H_0}{857.36} = -.575$

Load at BL

9	3.75	.919	41.08	- 9,80
10	8,25	1,559	76,70	- 11.78
		2,478	117.78	- 51.58

$$Mo = \frac{8.478}{13,878} = .187$$

$$Vo = \frac{117.78}{6,755.46} = .017$$

Ho_ - 51.58 - -.125

9

Influence table

	Un i	t load at			
<u></u>	AL	BL	ĊĻ	DL	EL
Mo	7.23	3.961	1.970	•78 2	.187
Ho	1.511	1,220	8 03	.375	.123
Vo=Vr	•430	.275	. 153	.067	.017
V_= 1-VØ	.570	•725	•84 7	.93 3	•98 3
-(3.21)H	o-4.850	-3.916	-2.594	-1.204	395
M crown	+ 2.380	+.045	624	422	208
15.3(Ho)	23.118	18.6 66	1 2.362	5.738	1. 882
51.3.7(VO)	22.071	14.116	7.853	3.439	.873
Sum	52.419	36.743	22.1 85	9.959	2. 942
K L	-46.780	-37.680	-28.580	-19.480	-10.380
<u>Msleft</u>	↓ 5.639	937	-6.395	-9.521	-7.438
MsRight	+8.277	+8.511	+6.479	+3.081	+1.196
Ho €os⊖)	1.129	•92 2	.614	.290	.1 50
V (Sin0)	• 379	•482	• 564	•62 1	•65 5
tsleft	1.508	1.404	1.178	.911	.805
Н о(СозӨ)	1.129	• 9 22	.614	• 290	.150
VR (CODD)	•286	.183	.102	•045	•011
Tsright	1.415	1.105	•716	• 335	. 161



 $\cos \theta = \frac{56.2}{75.2} = .7473$ $\sin \theta = \frac{50}{75.2} = .6649$ Mc= Mo-3.21 Ho (%) Ms = Mo+15.3Ho+51.33-KL Ms right = Mo + 15.3Ho-51.33Vo Live load = 200#/4'

Live panel load = 200(9.1) = 1820#

Maximum Live Moment and Thrust at Crown and Springing

Data from influence table

	Loading	Unit load values	Live load Stresses
Movements 4	AL ARBL BR	4.850	8,827ft.#
At Crown	C, C, D, D, E, E,	-2.508	-4,565ft.#
Ho with + Mc		5.462	9,941#
Ho with - Mc		2.619	4 ,754#
Momements at	AL ARBRCRDEER	33 .1 83	60,393ft.#
Springing -	BL CL DL EL	-24.991	-44,210ft.#
Thrust + Ms		5.940	9,537#
Thrust -Ms		4.298	7,822#

Temperature Stress 60 fall

Ho $\underbrace{\frac{\xi \text{tn}E}{\chi^{2} + \xi_{1}}}_{= \frac{1}{8}, 783}$ -000006(60)(10)(2,500, 1	000)(144) = -10,0631bs.
	20° Rise
Mc: Ho(3.21): 37,300 ft.#	Ho - 3,335
Ts = Ho(Cos.) = -7,5%0#	Mc = -10,767 Ms = 2,507
Ms_ Ho(15.30)= -153,964ft.#	MS = 51,881

for 00 rise divide by -3 to get these values

Spri	Inging	ThrustTs	MovementMs	е	e/t	t/e	f¢	ſs
Max	Dead	77,562	29,858				in 165/52	314 14
⊢ M	Live	9,537	60,393					
		87,0 <u>9</u> 9	80,251	11.	11			
	temp.rise	2507	51,321					
6		89,606	131,570	17.6	<u>, n</u>			
Max	Dead	77,562	29,858					
— Ivī	Live	7,822	-44,210					
		85,384	-1 4,35%	-2	2 11			
	temp.fall	- 7,520	-153,964					
		77,864	-168,316	21.8	3".51	48	562	11, 60
Crov	7n	77,864 Stress Sum Thruse Ho	-168,316 mary & Unit Str Movement Mc	21.8 resses e e	8".51	4 ∴.8 t/e	562 fc	11,604 f
<u>Crov</u> Max	7n Dead	77,864 Stress Sum Thruse Ho 59,703	-168,316 mary & Unit Str Movement Mc 10,357	21.8 resses e e	2".51	4 ∴ <u>.8</u> t/e	562 fc #/2~	11,60 f #/4
<u>Crov</u> Max M	vn Dead Live	77,864 Stress Sum Thruse Ho 59,703 9 ,941	-168,316 mary & Unit Str Movement Mc 10,357 8,827	21.8 resses e e	8".51	4 ∴.8 t/e	562 fc #//a~	11,604 f 1/2
<u>Crov</u> Max M	n Dead Live	77,864 Stress Sum Thruse Ho 59,703 9 ,941 69,664	-168,316 mary & Unit Str Movement Mc 10,357 8,807 05,184	21.6 cesses e e 4.35"	2".51	4 ∴.8 t/e	562 fc t/2 "	11,604 f 1/2
<u>Crov</u> Max M	np.fall -	77,864 Stress Sum Thruse Ho 59,703 9 ,941 69,664 10,063	-168,316 mary & Unit Str Movement Mc 10,357 8,807 05,184 30,302	21.8 resses e e 4.35"	2".51	4 ∴.8 t/e	562 fc 4/c	11,604 f #/2
Crov Max M ten	n Dead Live np.fall -	77,864 Stress Sum Thruse Ho 59,703 9 ,941 69,664 10,063 59,601	-168,316 mary & Unit Str Movement Mc 10,357 8,807 05,184 30,302 57,486	21.6 resses e e 4.35" 11.6"	e".51	4 <u>•</u> 8 t/e	562 fc ±//2~ 800	11,604 f 14, 40
<u>Crov</u> Max M <u>ter</u> Max	vn Dead Live np.fall - Dead	77,864 Stress Sum Thruse Ho 59,703 9 ,941 69,664 10,063 59,601 59,723	-168,316 mary & Unit Str Movement Mc 10,357 8,897 95,184 30,302 57,486 16,357	21.8 cesses e e 4.35" 11.6"	e".51	4 ∴.8 t/e	562 fc ±//2 ~ 800	11,604 f 4/2 14,404
<u>Crov</u> Max M <u>ter</u> Max M	n Dead Live np.fall - Dead Live	77,864 Stress Sum Thruse Ho 59,7°3 9 ,941 69,664 10,063 59,601 59,723 4,754	-168,316 mary & Unit Str Movement Mc 16,357 8,897 95,184 302 57,486 16,357 -4,565	21.8 resses e e 4.35" 11.6"	8".51	4 ∴.8 t/e	562 fc #//27 800	11,604 f 4 /2

•24"

58,3 3 **1,025**

1**Z**



Maximum stresses at springing

 $\frac{d^{\prime}}{5} = \frac{Z}{48} = \cdot^{0416}$ $P^{0} = \frac{As}{b5} = \cdot^{00545} \qquad (^{\prime\prime}P^{\circ} = 18(\cdot00545) = \cdot0655$ by plot 16 K = .58, C = 9.8 fe = $\frac{M}{b5^{\prime\prime}} (C8) = \frac{140.000(12)}{12(48)(48)} (9.2) = 560$ lbs./sq.in. $\frac{d^{\prime}}{d} = \frac{4}{c^{\prime}} = \frac{140.000(12)}{12(48)(48)} = \frac{160}{12(48)(48)}$ kd = .58(46) = 26.7 kd = .58(46) = 26.7 X = \frac{86.7}{46}
fs = M X = 11,600 lbs./ sq.in.

Maximum Stresses at the Crown

 $\frac{d}{t} = \frac{2}{24} = .0855$ po = .01190 (n-1) po = .131
by Plot C = 8 K = .4
fe = $\frac{M}{bt}$ (C) = $\frac{57500(12)}{12(34(24))}$ (8) = 800 lbs/sq.in.
kd = .4 (22) = 8.8 $\frac{800}{X} = \frac{8.8}{13.2}$ fs = X M = 14.400 lbs/sq.in.

Abutment Design

Favement and live load surcharge
$$\frac{350}{190}$$
 2.921

Weight above abutment = 26(~3.92)(1~0) = 74,600

$$\frac{26(24)(100)}{2} - \frac{36,400}{111,000\%}$$

Wt. of triangles of concrete

(1)
$$\frac{150(23.6)(4)}{2} = 10,610\#$$

(2)
$$\frac{150(3.6)(4)}{2} = 8,490$$

(3)
$$\frac{150(1.1)(3.1)}{2} = 48,400$$

(4)
$$\frac{150(18.3)(6.5)}{2} = \frac{8,990}{76,400\#}$$

111,000 (a) = 74,600(13) + 36,400($\frac{26}{3}$)

a= 11.60'

 $187,400(\overline{\mathbf{X}}) = 111,000(11.6) - 10,610(18.5) - 8,490(19.2)$

8920(6.3) - 98,400(15.6)

x _ 13.10feet

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \left[(50.42)^{2} - (23.9)^{2} \right] (120) (.25)$$

= 15(1930)= 9,000 lbs

Point of application of P

 $X = \frac{h^{2} - 3hh}{3(h + h)} = \frac{30.5 + 3(30.5)(23.92)}{3(30.5 - 7(3.92))}$ X = 15 feet $S = \frac{H}{A} \neq \frac{Mc}{T} = \frac{245,000}{19} \neq \frac{245,000(.8)(9.5)}{(19)}$ S = 16,400 lbs./sq.ft. and 9,400 lbs./sq. ft. $S = \frac{243,000 \neq 243,000(1.3)(9.5)}{12}$ S = 18,050 lbs./sq.ft. and 7550 lbs./sq.ft.

These values are not too high as the abutment goes down to a rock foundation.

T624

H377 120334 cop.1 Haussman

.



.

.

.

·



