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## FORTH ORD

The results reported in this thesis are based upon data from bovine electrocardiograms obtained on cattle in the dairy herd during the period from Jamary 1937 to June 1938.

Since an attempt is being made to determine the normal bovine electrocardiogram, the tabulation and discussion of the data is intentionally detailed. All variations which could be construed to be even remotely significant have been noted and an attempt has been made to place upon them a fair evaluation.

Since this study has been carried out on a relatively small group of animals, it is possible that these data do not give a complete picture of the normal limits of the bovine electrocardiogram. However, the animals used would seem to be representative of normal dairy cattle and confirmation of these results must await further work using larger samples.
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It has now been some three decades since Einthoven made electrocardiography experimentally and clinically feasible by adapting to this use the string galvanometer. This tremendous foreward stride was the motivating stimulus to research of such intensity that the science today occupies an important niche in the field of human medicine. Unfortunately for those interested in animal investigation of purely veterinary interest, much of our knowledge of electrocardiography is based on clinical work on the human subject. The bulk of the extant animal data are principally on such laboratory species as the dog and exist as the result of experimental procedures performed by workers seeking basic information primarily of interest to investigators in the human field. Since the larger domestic animals are not employed for this purpose, there is little that can be borrowed. It is interesting to note, however, that one of the earlier reports comes from such a source. Waller, (1), in 1889 while employing the capillary electrometer in a study of various lead combinations on dogs and cats, mentions having used one horse as a subject. This same worker in a subsequent report, (2), (1913) indicates that the species is of sufficient interest to warrant study of a few more individuals.

A perusal of the available Iiterature reveals that to date comparatively little has been done by way of a systematic attempt to
study the normal electrocardiogram of domesticated animals. Of the several species included in this category, the horse has perhaps received more attention than any other. Nörr, (3), in 1913 and Kahn, (4), later in the same year, employing a single lead from the xiphoid cartilage of the sternum to the shoulder region, report their normal findings on a few horses. More recently (1937) Yacoel and Spitz, (5), studied 17 different combinations of experimental leads in this species. In the case of cattle, the most comprehensive report is that by Nörr, (6). (1921) who studied the EKG of 11 animals employing, with but one exception, the single "regio apicis-regio praescapularis" lead which is favored by continental workers. A rather extensive bibliography of the German reports concerning both normal and abnormal electrocardiograms of domestic animals is cited by NeumanKleinpaul and Steffan, (7). Barnes and associates, (8), (1938), while studying the effect of cod liver oil in the diet of young calves on FKG intervals, report normal intervals in four controls. A limited amount of normal bovine EKG work is in progress in this country at the present time, (9).

From the foregoing, it can be seen that this field of investigation as applied to the common domestic animals has scarcely been touched. The paucity of work as evidenced by scarcity of reports is the challenging stimulus prompting the present study. Since the bovine species, especially the dairy breeds, figures so prominently in animal research concerning nutrition, metabolism, and other problems of animal husbandry; and since the economics of milk production requires more and more scientific knowledge to meet the needs for
increased efficiency, the value of the electrocardiograph as an additional instrument to aid in certain phases of this work must be determined.

Since progress cannot be made until the normal has been studied, the present report will concern itself solely with this phase of animal electrocardiography.

## EXP ARINENTAL PROC EDURE

Selection of Subjects. Ninety-seven animals composing the major portion of the institution's dairy herd, and representing the following breed distribution, were selected for study:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Jersey - - . . . . - - } 23 \\
& \text { Guernsey - - . . . . . - } 22 \\
& \text { Ayrshire - . . . . - - } 13 \\
& \text { Brown Swiss - . . . - - - } 19 \\
& \text { Holstein - - - - - - } 20
\end{aligned}
$$

It was felt that this group would be quite satisfactory for several reasons. The subjects were, with but very few exceptions, thoroughly accustomed to the presence of strangers and might be expected to react least unfavorably when brought into the strange surroundings of the EXG room. They were representative animals kept under modern c onditions of herd management on a fairly high plane of nutrition necessary for optimum milk production. The age distribution ranged from five months to 12 years inclusive. Varying stages of gestation and lactation were represented. Sick or extremely nervous animals were not included. Males, being notoriously poor subjects in experimental work involving observations on such physiological phenomena as heart rate, respiration, etc., were excluded.

Apparatus. The apparatus employed was a Hindle \#2 model of the Einthoven electrocardiograph purchased from the Cambridge Instrument

Company. Current for the field coils of the galvanometer magnet was suoplied by three Edison storage batteries with a total maximum discharge rate of six amperes. No tracings were taken when this rate fell below three. Current for the projection lamp and camera motor was supplied by an $A C-D C$ motor generator unit placed in another room at a distance of some fifteen feet from the galvanometer. All necessary parts of the apparatus were carefully grounded.

The time-marker was the standard type controlled by a tuning fork and arranged to form vertical time lines 0.04 seconds apart on the record.

The galvanometer string tension was uniformly adjusted so that the introduction of a potential of one millivolt into the string circuit would deflect the string shadow on the record one cm. Once this was obtained for lead I, further readjustment was rarely required for the other two leads. The apparatus was placed in a special room In another building separated from the dairy barn by some 300 yards. It was thus necessary to lead the animals this distance prior to taking the tracing. They were then tied in an improvised stall and sufficient time was allowed for the animal to return to as nearly a normal state as was possible under the circumstances before a record was attempted.

No effect of temperature variations or other environmental factors upon the efficiency of the apparatus could be discerned. These were occasionally quite extreme. During the winter months the room was
of ten uncomfortably cold. At times the humidity was so high that beads of molsture were discernable on the walls of the room and on two or three occasions the lenses of the optical system of the galvanometer had to be wiped Prequently with lens paper to remove the moisture which condensed so heavily as to almost completely obscure the string shadow.

Selection of Leads. The three stendard leads only were employed, namely: lead $I$, right front leg to left front leg; lead II, right front leg to left rear leg; lead III, left front leg to left rear leg.

Since there exists some difference of opinion concerning the choice of leads in electrocardiogram studies in the large domestic animals, a brief review of the salient features involved may not be amiss at this point.

Perusal of the available reports indicates that the various investigators are almost wholly unanimous in the opinion that tracings taken by the three standard Einthoven leads employed in the human subject are entirely unsuitable for use in these animals. The principal reason given is the varlations in the records obtained by this method. These variations are attributed to the difference in anatomical position of the heart in the horse and cow in relation to the leads as compared to the human.

Of the three species, bovine, equine, and human, the former possesses a heart whose long axis, through apex and middle of base, is more nearly perpendicular to the ventral thorecic floor. In the
case of the equine heart it is known that, while it occuoies a position in the thorax roughly comparable to that of the bovine, its basal attachment is such that the anatomical axis is more horizontal. To elaborate further using the descriptive methods of Nörr, (6), an imaginary line drawn through the apex and middle of the base would in the bovine pierce the skin anteriorly at about the level of the cervical angle of the scapula, while a comparable point in the horse would be at a more ventral level. Kahn, (4). indicates this point to be at about the distal end of the middle third of the scapula. It follows that the posterior emergence of this line would be slightif more anterior in the ox than in the horse. This is of little importance, however, the apical region in each species being usually selected by investigators for placement of one electrode in obtaining tracings by this type of lead.

The long axis (base-apex axis) of the human heart makes a smaller angle with the frontal plane than the corresponding axis of the bovine or equine heart.

Classifying these three species as to the relatively greater proportion of the heart lying to the left of the median plane, the human has $2 / 3$ (11), the bovine $5 / 7$ (6), and the horse $3 / 5$ (12), of its mass thus located. It can be seen that there is relatively little dissimilarity.

From the foregoing discussion it is obvious that the standard Einthoven leads applied to horses and cattle do not approach the
plane of the long axis of the heart to the degree reached in humans. This is the reasoning back of the decision by many workers (Nörr -3-6; Kahn - 4; Yacoel and Spitz - 5) to attempt other leads and explains the usual dismissal of the matter with the remark that the results with standard lead combinations are worthy of little more than passing comment.

It is believed that, due to the relatively greater ease of electrode application, and the more extensive information obtainable from three derivations, as compared to the single lead of Kahn and others, the possible significance of results obtained by the three standard Einthoven leads should be investigated first. The necessity of these data, if for no other purpose than as a basis for comparison With results obtained from other lead combinations, can be readily appreciated. This is in general agreement with the opinion of Dukes (9).

Selection and Technique of Placement of Slectrodes. The Cambridge German silver plate electrodes similar to those employed in human clinical work were used exclusively in this study. This decision resulted after a small amount of preliminary experimentation on three cows using first warm saline pad electrodes*, and comparing these results with those obtained with German silver plate electrodes,

[^0]contacting the skin through the medium of a special saline paste*. No appreciable differences were noted in the potentials recorded for the same individual with the two types of electrode. It was further observed that use of the former method was attended by greater uneasiness on the part of the animal regardless of the temperature of the saline solution employed. The latter method was therefore adopted, the technique of which is discussed below.

The plate electrodes were applied to the front limbs on their lateral surfaces immediately above the knee joint on a slightly convex area formed by the distal portions of the ulnaris lateralis and digital extensor groups of muscles. The site of application of the left rear linb electrode was on the antero-lateral surface 2 to 3 inches above the humero-radial (hock) joint where the peroneus tertius and digital extensor muscles form an exterior convexity most nearly adapted to the slight concavity of the electrode plate.

In applying the electrodes the hair was first closely clipped on the sites previously described over an area about two or three times that required for its apposition. The decision to merely close clip the area of application resulted after tracings obtained by this method were compared with results obtained in the same individual

[^1]after the area was carefully shaved with no appreciable difference in the recorded potentials being noted, providing sufficient electrode paste was used. The former method, because of greater convenience and safety, was accordingly adopted.

The skin was then $v i g o r o u s l y$ rubbed with a liberal quantity of electrode paste. The plates were immediately applied after first covering their surfaces with the paste. A moderately firm pressure accompanied by a very slight rotary motion was used to insure a good contact before tightening the rubber straps binding the electrode firmly but not too tightly to the limb. While a liberal quantity of paste should be used, care should be taken not to contaminate the lead cable at the point of juncture with the electrode since when this happens polarization of ten occurs.

After the tracing was recorded, the paste was carefully removed from the skin with a wet cloth. If this was not done, a peculiar local vesicular type of dermatitis resillted in many cases.

The patient leads approached the electrodes from above the subject to avoid lessening the contact surface by reason of separation of the plate from the skin as the result of side pull from the weight of the lead cable, which of ten happened when the approach was from. below.

At no time during the recording of an electrocardiogram were the subject's feet allowed to make a wet contact with the floor. In the event that this happened, the wet area was liberally covered with
dry wood shavings before a record was attempted.

Record Treatment. Three serial electrocardiograms approximately one month apart were recorded for each member of the entire series. It was originally planned to allow an interval of four weeks between tracings, but in practice this period was occasionally exceeded or decreased by three to five days, and in a very few cases seven to ten days. Without exception, curves were obtained in the afternoon.

Bromide paper was the photographic medium used. The exposed strips were developed, trimned, and mounted one lead above the other upon a special bristol board mounting card as shown in Fig. III. All unused portions of records were carefully labelled and saved to be used in making up composite groupings as exemplified by Fig. II, etc.

Methods of Anslysis. In this study the nomenclature introduced by Einthoven was employed, and the letters $P, Q, R, S$, and $T$ were assigned to the various electrocardiographic deflections according to the rules in common use in human electrocardiography. A second upright QRS deflection encountered in certain cases was designated R'. All electrocardiograms used in this study were carefully analyzed according to the sample analysis chart shown in Fig. $X$ in the Appendix. The determinations made and methods employed will be considered in order.

The heart rate was determined in each lead by taking an average of five or six $R-R$ intervals.

The intervals selected, namely $P-R, Q R S$, and $Q-T$ were measured as carefully as possible by employing a reading glass and counting the
mumber of 0.04 second time spaces occupied by the interval. It is probable that the accuracy of this method is somewhat less than 0.01 second for all intervals. The grouping of values in table XXIX suggests, however, that the measurements were often to an accuracy of 0.02 seconds. Readable complexes were always selected for measurement of intervals. Fspecial care was taken in choosing those displaying a level base line. In a few tracings, dus to wandering of the string, this was rather difficult. Contrary to the usual custom in human investigations, the cardiac electrical axis range was too extreme to permit arbitrary selection of any given lead for determination of interval length.

The systolic index or systole: cycle ratio was calculated for each electrocardiogram according to the formula devised by Bazett, (13), which is stated as follows:

$$
K=\frac{R-T}{\sqrt{R-R}}
$$

in winch $Q-T$ is the time interval from the beginning of $Q R S$ to the end of $T$ and $R-R$ is the interval from $Q B S$ in one cardiac cycle to QBS in the next. Since $\mathbb{I}$ varies directly with duration of ventricular systole when calculated by the above formula, an increase in its value represents an increase in the duration of $Q-T$ in proportion to the length of the entire cycle $(R-R)$. The value $K$ is commonly considered as being practically constant in normal human electrocardiograms, (24).

The potential of the several waves, with the exception of $P$, was measured according to the method, (14), commonly employed in the analysis of human electrocardiograms. Due largely to the tendency of the galvanometer string to wander in many subjects, it was decided to use the relatively shorter $P-R$ level as a base line in the messurement of the potential of $P$ as well as for $Q R S$ and $R^{\prime}$. The $T \rightarrow P$ segment was employed as the base line in the measurement of $T$. Upward deflections were measured from the top of the string shadow to the absolute upper limit of the wave while with downwardly directed waves, the procedure was reversed (i.e., from the bottom of the string shadow at the proper segment to the bottom of the wave).

The variations in the potential of the QRS deflections between the leads upon which is based the determination of the cardiac electrical axis, is only superficially treated in the present study. Using a series of triangular diagrams based upon the recommendations of Wilson, (10), and representing axis gradations in steps of $30^{\circ}$, the approximate electrical axis of each electrocardiogram in the series was determined. No attempt was made to accurately measure the potential in the three leads at the same relative time instant using graphic methods of the type employed, for example, by Fahr, (15). The only criterion of evaluation of QRS was the approximate net area of its deflection, as nearly as this could be estimated by viewing the comolex, (10). No attempt was made to determine the manifest potential according to the method of Einthoven, (16). Whether it is justifiable to apply the principles of Einthoven's equilateral triangle to the analysis of the bovine electrocardiogram is, of course, uncertain.

## THE HEART RATE

Since the heart rate has been shown to directly influence especially the $Q-T$ and to a lesser extent the $P-R$ intervals in the human subject, (14), it is necessary to include a discussion of this factor as encountered in the present study and to compare these data With the established normals for the various dairy breeds.

The subject has been reviewed by Fuller, (17). It is noteworthy that in the various perminute frequencies given by the ten authorities listed in this review there is considerable disagreement; the variations being from 40-50, (18), to 40-80, (19). Fuller reports, in the four major dairy breeds (Jersey, Guernsey, Ayrshire and Holstein) embracing a total of 39 adult female animals, a range of from 46 to 96 per minute. The mean for the breeds ranged from 59.8 for Guernseys to 69.6 for Ayrshires. The average for all breeds was 65.7 per minute.

The results in the present study obtained by the analysis of electrocardiogrems show somewhat higher values. Examination of table I reveals that in the 97 animals composing the 5 breeds reported the range is from a minimum of 48 to a maximum of 98 per minute with an average per minute frequency for the entire series of 71.6. The mean for the breed groups ranged from 65.9 for the Jerseys to 76.6 for the Ayrshires. The most striking difference between this and the data by Fuller is in the Guernsey group where the former exceeds the latter average by 13 beats per minute. Next in order is the Ayrshire group where the rate exceeds Fuller's average by 7 beats. In the remaining breeds compared, the difference is not great.

| Table I．Summary of Heart Bate （Average of Three Leads and Three Monthly Tracings of All Age Groups） |  |  |  |  |  | Summery of Fuller＇s Data（17） （Placed Here for Comparison） |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breed |  | Heart Rate （Per Minute） |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 咢 } \\ & \text { 萛 } \\ & \text { 4 } \\ & \text { H } \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ |  | Heart Rate（Per Minate） |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 胃 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | 見 見 感 | Mean | 8 tand－ <br> ard <br> Devia－ <br> tion of <br> Means |  |  | 最 总 플 |  | Mean |
| Jersey | 23 | 48 | 83 | 65.91 | 1.7445 | 9 | 356 | 46 | 84 | 62.7 |
| Guernsey | 22 | 49 | 98 | 72.72 | 2.6543 | 7 | 317 | 48 | 72 | 59.8 |
| Ayrshire | 13 | 61 | 96 | 76.38 | 3.4417 | 8 | 332 | 52 | 90 | 69.6 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Brown } \\ \text { Swiss } \end{array}$ | 19 | 50 | 90 | 74.21 | 2.2941 |  |  | No | ta |  |
| Holstein | 20 | 52 | 95 | 71.50 | 2.9154 | 15 | 687 | 51 | 96 | 68.6 |
| Total | 97 | 48 | 98 | 71.60 | 1.2226 | 39 | 1692 | 46 | 96 | 65.7 |

There are several factors incident to the recording of electro－ cardiograms as performed in this study that could be responsible for cardiac frequencies even higher than those actually encountered．In the first place it was necessary to lead each animal for a distance of some 300 yards before tracings could be taken．This in itself ordinarily would not be expected to cause a very marked increase，but a few fractious individuals（especially Ayrshires and young animals of other breeds）were led with considerable difficulty；invariably arriv－ ing at the FKG room showing a marked increase in both heart and re－ spiratory rate．However，since it has been demonstrated by Lewis and Cotton，（20），that the decrease in duration of the P－R interval coinci－ dent with the increase in cardiac frequency during exercise returns to
normal in three to five minutes in human subjects, and, since it usually requires about ten to fifteen minutes to prepare an animal for the actual taking of tracings, it seems a reasonable assumption that this should not be a factor of great importance.

The matter of nervousness, psychic, or emotional stimulation (sympathetic effects generally always present in animals, to a greater or lesser degree depending upon temperament, when transported to a strange environment) cannot be thus casually dismissed. Rothberger and Winterberg, (21), have demonstrated that the increase in heart rate in dogs from sympathetic stimulation is accompanied by changes In the electrocardiogram similar to those observed by Lewis and Cotton during exercise. It is possible that emotional excitement which incresses the heart rate may also shorten the $P-R$ interval of the bovine electrocardiogram.

Die to the troublesome nature of this factor further elaboration seems necessary concerning environmental conditions responsible for and methods employed to minimize it as much as possible. The first precaution taken, as previously mentioned, was the selection of a herd accustomed to the presence of strangers. Even some of these relatively socialized animals in the presence of the electrocardiograph, the buzzing of the tuning fork in the timer circuit, a semi-darkened room, and a strange stall, showed evidence of excitement.* In nervous breeds

[^2]such as the Ayrshire a few individuals maintained a relatively slow cardiac frequency quite out of keeping with all the outward signs of extreme uneasiness, while in a few individuals of other breeds (especially Holsteins) the reverse was occasionally observed.

The foregoing observations were obtained by watching the movement of the galvanometer string shadow with everything in readiness for the actual recording of an electrocardiogram. If any evidence of excitement occurred prior to or during the taking of tracings resulting in an increased heart rate, operations were halted until it was evident solely in the opinion of the operator, that the rate had returned to normal. In spite of this, however, a significant amount of variation is seen between leads in a few tracings. Monthly variations in the same subject were very common.* These may have been influenced to a certain extent by any one or a combination of the following factors: ingestion of food, period of the day, temperature, and changes in status of pregnancy. As to the first two, since all records were taken in the afternoon and since all the animals were on a fairly strict feeding regimen which did not appreciably change throughout the entire experiment, these may be considered to be of minor 1 mportance. In the case of changes in environmental temperature it should be remarked that since this study extended over a period of eight months, from October to the following June, this may have been a factor

[^3]contributing to some of the monthly rate changes observed. The principal change in the status of precnancy would be the occurrence of parturition between the recording of any of the three monthly tracinge. This occurred (exclusive of 2 abortions) in 9 individuals* with results too inconclusive to warrant the drawing of any conclusions.

Finally it mast be pointed out that, while the majority of animals investigated can be classified as adults, the inclusion of a few young individuals** would naturally cause a wider standard deviation from the mean than if the age group had been more restricted. Furthermore, the majority of mature animals were on a relatively high level of milk production, and the increase in heart rate coincident with the heightened plane of nutrition necessary for maximum production has been thoroughly established, (22; 17).

From the foregoing discussion it may be safely concluded that, when all conditioning factors are considered, the heart rates found in this series do not depart materially from the values found by others and may be considered to be within normal limits for the bovine.

[^4]
## INTERVALS OF THE BOVITE ELECTROCARDIOGRAM

The three intervals, $P-R, Q R S$, and $Q-T$, were measured according to the method described under procedure. These measurements in each lead of the three monthly tracings as well as the computation of the systolic index according to Bazett's formula are recorded in Table XXIX (Appendix). The time values may all be read directly in decimal fractions of seconds. It will be observed that the sign MNM" occurs occasionally; indicating that the interval was not measurable. This was usually due to the obscuring of at least one of the waves bounding the interval by muscle tremor, interference by $\mathbf{A - C}$ induction, extremeIy low potential or, more rarely, complete absence of a wave. It is thus apparent that an interval in a lead may be measurable one month and not measurable the next.

Note that the data in this table are grouped according to breeds, the individuals with in each group being arranged according to age, beginning with the youngest and proceeding to the oldest member.

The distribution of the various intervals by breeds, arbitrarily selected from the first monthly tracings, is shown in tabular form integrated with the discussion of each. For the sake of uniformity and since the longest interval in any lead of the first monthly electrocardiogram was rarely exceeded in the two subsequent tracings, the greatest interval in any lead of the former was arbitrarily selected for these tables. In the case of the $Q-T$ interval a table is included showing the average of three leads and three tracings making a total of nine items.

A partial statistical summary of the minima, mexima, and mean measurements for the three intervals as well as the value for $K$ is included in Table $X$. In connection with this table the standard deviation of the means has been computed according to the formula:

and

SD Mean =
$\mathbf{N}$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S D=\text { Standard Deviation } \\
& X=\text { Number of items } \\
& I=\text { Items (intervals, etc.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the human tendency to read to the nearest 0.02 second in the measurement of borderline intervals as discussed under procedure, Table XI showing the distribution of all intervals in steps of this value is included.

The P-R Interval

The duration of $P-P$ as shown in Table $X$ ranged from the minimum of 0.1 to the maximum of 0.3 second with an average duration of 0.192 second. The S. D. Mean indicates very close grouping of the values about the mean. This is borne out by Table II in which is set forth the distribution of the longest $P-R$ interval in any lead of the Pirst monthly tracings. The grouping is even more apparent if the values are distributed in steps of 0.02 second as is shown in Table XI.

Analysis of Table II reveals that 85 per cent of the 97 animals are found in the range from 0.16 to 0.24 second inclusive.

Table II. Distribution of Values in 0.01 Second Found for the Longest $P \rightarrow R$ Interval in $A n y$ Lead of the First Monthly Tracings.


Concerning variations in duration of $P-R$ between the leads of individual electrocardiograms, recourse mast be had to Table XXIX in the appendix where all individual measurements are given. Here it may be seen that agreement between the leads is very close. Taking lead II as a standard for comparison, the values in leads I and III are more of ten within 0.01 second of this measurement. Occasionally the difference may be 0.02 second; onl.y very rarely is this exceeded. These variations agree rather closely with those found by Lewis and Gilder, (23), in the human subject.

No particular lead seems more favorable for showing greater length of $P-R$ than any other. Study of Table XXIX may create the impression that lead I is slightly more favorable than leads II and III in spite of the fact that this is most of ten the unfavorable lead from the standpoint of recorded potential. It is a fair assumption that the 10w potential QRS in this lead with perhaps absence of the initial effects of $P$ and $Q R S$ present in the favorable leads, are factors contributing to errors in measurement.

Table $X$ shows some slight differences between the breeds. Due to the small size of each group the significance of these variations is questionable. The mean dhows some breed differences, ranging from 0.176 second for Jerseys to 0.217 for Holsteins. Data on a larger number of animals are needed before conclusions can be drawn as to the occurrence of significant differences between breeds in this respect.

Variations of P-R between the three serial electrocardiograms of each individual are relatively minor. A monthly variation of 0.01 second occurs in one or more leads of every individual. This is within the limit of human error in measurement previously mentioned. Less frequently there is a variation of 0.02 to 0.03 second, and in a very few cases (eight animals) 0.04 second, in one case 0.05 second, and in two cases 0.06 second. The 0.04 second variation was usually associated with a marked decrease in heart rate. However, a few of these, as well as the more extreme variations, were due to monthly variations in diphasic $P$ waves. It is conceivable that should the initial phase be absent one month, the interval would be as much shorter as the durotion of the absent phase. This would also be true if monthly inconstancy occurred in the initial effects of QRS and may, as previously mentioned, account for the longer $P-R$ interval seen in unfavorable low potential leads. This factor is only of interest when leads are compared and has no influence on tabulated data containing only the longest interval in any lead.

Analysis of Table XXIX shows little correlation of length of $P-R$ and heart rate between individuals. The lower values are more often associated with the faster rate. 保reme changes of rate from month to month in the same individual seem to affect the interval more markedly than any other factor. Minor rate changes seldom exert any influence.

Of the nine individuals freshening in the interim between the recording of electrocardiograms, six showed no appreciable chance in $P-R$, while three exhibited a slight increase ( 0.02 to 0.04 second).

The Duration of QRS

The duration of $Q R S$ ranged from 0.06 to 0.12 second with an average value of 0.094 second. Table III below gives the distribution by breeds of the longest $Q R S$ interval in any lead of the first monthly tracings.

Table III. Distribution of Values, in 0.01 Second Found for the Longest Duration of QRS, in Any Lead of the First Monthly Tracings.

| Intervals <br> $(0.01$ sec. $)$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jersey |  |  | 3 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 23 |
| Guernsey |  | 3 | 7 | 7 |  | 5 | 22 |  |
| Ayrshire |  |  | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 |  | 13 |
| Br. Swiss | 1 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 19 |  |
| Holstein | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 20 |
| TOTATS | 2 | 2 | 19 | 28 | 27 | 10 | 9 | 97 |

Two subjects (both Holstein calves 9 and 5 months old respective16) showed the minimum of 0.06 second, while the maximum of 0.12 second was seen in nine individuals. There is considerable variability of measurement between leads in any given electrocardiogram. In general, the smallest value is seen in lead I and the greatest in lead III. However, the difference between leads II and III rarely exceeds 0.01 second and quite of ten the measurements are equal. Very exceptionally a variation of 0.02 second is observed. The only extremes noted were the tracings of Brown Swiss No. 240 where lead III exceeded II by about 0.04 second and Jersey No. 63 where lead II exceeded III by 0.06 second. As to lead $I$, the duration of $Q R S$ varied from equality to a decrease of fifty percent below the value for lead II. If an average were to be obtained for lead I of the entire series it would show a definitely smaller interval than in the other two leads.

No apjarent breed differences are observed in the $s$ tatistical summary in Table $X$. The average duration of QRS of 0.004 second in the entire series compares very favorably with the mean for each breed group.

Variations between serial electrocardiograms are relatively infrequent in leads II and III. When present they are usually within the ranee of 0.01 second. The greatest variation is found in lead I where differences of 0.02 to 0.03 second are occasionally seen. Since this is usually the unfavorable lead in cattle, these results are not surprising and are associated with monthly variations of the low potential deflections.

Changes in heart rate or status of pregnancy were without influence on this interval in the entire series. The lower values (about 0.08 second or less) were most consistently present in animals below two years of age.

## The $Q-T$ Interval

The Q-T interval raneed from a minimum of 0.29 to a maximum of 0.47 second with an average duration of 0.389 second.

Table IV gives the distribution by breeds of the longest $Q-T$ interval in any lead of the first monthly tracing. However, due to the inherent tendency of this measurement to vary inversely with the heart rate and since the rate varies in many cases from lead to lead within a given tracing as well as from month to month, it is believed that an average of the longest $Q-T$ interval in each lead of the three monthly tracings for every individual would give a more uniform value for this phase of the cardiac cycle. This distribution is incorporated In Table V. As is to be expected, a more uniform distribution is obtained with less scattering of the groupings under the various values.

In this table the minimum of 0.29 second was observed in two cases. These were two calves five and nine months old respectively showing a mean heart rate in the three monthly tracings of 95 per minute in the former and 96 in the latter. The maximum, 0.47 second, was present also in two cases, a five year old Jersey with a mean heart rate of 56 and an eleven year old Brown Swiss showing an average rate of 49 per minute.
Table IV. Distribution of Values, in 0.01 Second Found for the $Q-T$ Interval, in the First Monthly Tracings.


Table V. Distribution of Values, in 0.01 Second, Found for the Averag. Q-T Time of Three Leads and Three Monthly Tracings.


Framination of Table XXIX (Appendix) indicates that variability between leads is more common with this interval than either $p-R$ or Qui. Equality is the exception rather than the rule. Taking lead II merely as a standard for comparison, a plus or minus difference in leads $I$ and III of 0.01 to 0.02 second is very common. A difference of 0.03 to 0.04 second between leads is present in a few cases, with the maximum of 0.05 to 0.06 second of very infrequent occurrence. On the whole there is a tendency for leads II and III to be more nearly equal, with lead I showing a slightly lesser value. But considerable variation is seen and this statement should be accepted with some reservation.

The statistical summary of $Q-T$ presented in Table $X$ indicates no significant breed differences as to minima and maxima. Fith respect to the mean values the table shows that the Guernsey, Brown Swiss,
and Holstein groups show a close correlation with the average for the entire series.

In the Jersey group the mean exceeds the total average by 0.018 second. This breed also records the lowest average heart rate. The average of $Q-T$ in the Ayrshires is 0.019 second less than the mean for the entire series. The average heart rate in this group is two beats less per mimate than the Brown Sriss. It is interesting to note that the average duration of $Q-T$ in the latter breed exceeds that of the former by 0.021 second. This difference in $Q-T$ between two breeds showing approximately equal heart rates, while interesting, is perhaps too minor to warrant the drawing of any conslusions.

Monthly variations are even more prominent than the differences observed between the leads and are in general closely associated with variations in heart rate, there being an increase in the duration of Q-T with a decrease in heart rate and vice versa.* The range is too inconsistent to be dealt with in a general discussion. For further details reference can only be made to Table XXIX in the appendix.

[^5]To further clarify the relationship existing between duration of Q-T and heart rate in the various breed groups constituting this series, Table VI, showing the duration of $Q \rightarrow T$ at various levels of cardiac frequency, was devised. In formulating this table the duration of $Q-T$ and the heart rate in each lead of the three monthly traciñs was used. Thus there are nine values for both the interval and rate on each animal represented. It will be observed, however, that of the total of 873 leads, only 839 appear in the table. The difference represents unfavorable leads in which the interval or the rate (one case) were not accurately measurable.

The results with this type of tabular treatment show a remarixably consistent decrease in duration of $Q-T$ with an increase in heart rate. The mean values are especially uniform in this respect. Although the average bovine $Q-T$ time is apparently somewhat longer at comparable rate levels, the general trend in the table is similar to the findings of Fridericia, (26), in the normal human subject.

Since considerable variation in heart rate is present in the series, the facts elucidated in Table VI may largely explain the relatively extreme variability of $Q-T$ previously discussed.
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Table VI. The Daration of Q-T at Various Levels of Cardiac Frequency.

| Rate | Breed | No. of <br> Leads | Q -T (in 0.01 sec.) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| 43 to 50 | Jersey | 9 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.453 |
|  | Ayrshire | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | Br. Swiss Holstein | 5 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.46 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50 \\ & 0.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.482 \\ & 0.428 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total | 22 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.452 |
| 50 to 60 | Jersey | 40 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.436 |
|  | Guernsey | 32 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.435 |
|  | Ayrshire | 13 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.424 |
|  | Br. Swiss | 8 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.450 |
|  | Holstein | 36 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.425 |
|  | Total | 129 | 0.38 | 0.52 | 0.432 |
| 60 to 70 | Jersey | 76 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.414 |
|  | Guernsey | 49 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.410 |
|  | Ayrshire | 41 | 0.32 | 0.44 | 0.400 |
|  | Br. Swiss | 54 | 0.36 | 0.47 | 0.420 |
|  | Holstein | 43 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0.401 |
|  | Total | 263 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.410 |
| 70 to 80 | Jersey | 53 | 0.34 | 0.44 | 0.386 |
|  | Guernsey | 59 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.378 |
|  | Ayrshire | 17 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.381 |
|  | Br. Swise | 52 | 0.32 | 0.44 | 0.387 |
|  | Holstein | 51 | 0.34 | 0.44 | 0.376 |
|  | Total | 232 | 0.32 | 0.44 | 0.382 |
| 80 to 90 | Jersey | 6 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.346 |
|  | Guernsey | 26 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.350 |
|  | Ayrshire | 17 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.353 |
|  | Br. Swiss | 23 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.349 |
|  | Holstein | 29 | 0.31 | 9.40 | 0.354 |
|  | Total | 101 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.351 |
| 90 to 125* | Jersey | 8 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.322 |
|  | Guernsey | 24 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.312 |
|  | Ayrshire | 24 | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.302 |
|  | Br. Swiss | 21 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.328 |
|  | Holstein | 15 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.308 |
|  | Total | 92 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.313 |

* Only 10 leads in this group showed a rate greater than 1 d 4 beats per minute.


## The Systolic Index

Due to the wide range of $Q-T$ as the result of the variability in heart rate in this study, it was thought highly desirable to apply Bazett's formula, as discussed under procedure, to each of the three electrocardiograms of every individual constituting the series. The selection of a complex for this purpose was solely on the basis of distinctness of the necessary waves. While not invariably used, lead II was usually most favorable. The constant in each monthly tracing is included in Table XXIX to which previous reference has been made. Monthly changes only will be considered directly from this table.

The value for $K$ in this series, as shown in Table $X$, ranges from 0.34 to 0.48 , with an average of 0.418 .

Table VII below gives the breed distribution of the various values for $K$ encountered in this study. To obtain more average figures, the mean of the constant in the three monthly tracings of each individual was taken and expressed to the nearest second place decimal fraction.

Table VII. Distribution of Value for $K$ (Bazett's Formula) to the Nearest Whole Decimal No. Average of the Three Monthly Tracings.


In this table the lower values of $0.34,0.35$, and 0.36 were seen In three young animals, 5, 13, and 8 months old respectively. The two individuals showing the value of 0.47 were $f$ ive and two years old. The maximum of 0.48 was observed in only one case, an eight-year-old Guernsey. The statistical summary of the value for $\mathbb{K}$ in this series presented in Table $X$ shows a range in the minimum values of from 0.34 in the Holstein to 0.40 in the Jersey group with the maxima remaining remarkably constant. It mast be pointed out that the Holstein group contained the youngest member ( 5 months of age) of the entire series. The average values for the several breeds is very close to the mean for the entire group. Examination of Table XXIX shows considerable minor variation from month to month with little correlation between changes in $\mathbb{Z}$ and rate in the same individual. Using the values in the second monthly tracing merely as a basis for comparison we find the first and third monthly values showing the following plus or minus differences:

Table VIII. Distribution of Agreement of Value for $\mathbb{K}$ (Bazett's Formula) in the Second Month With the First and Third Monthly Records.


[^6]This table shows that the value for $K$ was identical in all three serial tracings in only six of the ninety-seven animals constituting this series. Of the 182 possibilities in the remaining ninety-one subjects, perfect agreement of the first or third month with the second occurred eighteen times. Disagreement within the range 0.001 to 0.020 occurred almost two and one half times more frequently than the wider range of 0.020 to 0.040 . In but seven instances was the difference greater than 0.040 and only one of these showed the widest variation recorded in the series. This was in the range 0.060 to 0.070. While not indicated in Table VIII, there are many cases in which the first and third months are in closer agreement with each other than with the value for $K$ in the second monthly tracing. It is believed that of the three possible combinations for comparison, the one selected would serve the purpose here as adequately as either of the other two.

In an effort to further explain the variation of $K$ between individuals, the following table showing the relationship between age and the systolic index is presented:

Table IX. Minimum, Maximum, and Mean Values for K (Bazett) at Various Age Levels..

| K (Bazett's Formula)* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group: | No. of Cases | Minimum | Maximum | Mean |
| 5 to 8 mo . | 3 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.377 |
| 8 to 10 mo . | 6 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.390 |
| 10 mo . to 1 yr . | 8 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.398 |
| 1 to $11 / 2 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 7 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.408 |
| $11 / 2$ to $2 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 13 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.415 |
| 2 to 3 grs . | 12 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.429 |
| 3 to 4 yrs. | 17 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.428 |
| 4 to 5 yrs . | 10 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 0.426 |
| 5 to 6 yrs . | 9 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.433 |
| 6 to 8 yrs. | 5 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.424 |
| 8 to 10 yrs. | 3 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.450 |
| 10 to $12 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 4 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.410 |

The table shows a relatively unform increase in the value for I in the age groups from five months to about two years. It is possible that this may be a failure on the part of Bazett's formula to correct for rate in the higher frequencies obtaining in young subjects.

[^7]Table X. Partial Statistical Summary of the Value for $K$ and Duration of Bovine EKG Intervals.

|  | P-R (Sec.) |  |  |  | QRS (Sec.) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Breed | Mini. Maxi. Mean | St. Dev. <br> of Mean | Mini. Maxi. Mean | St. Dev. <br> of Mean |  |  |  |  |
| Jersey | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.176 | 0.0131 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.096 | 0.0128 |
| Guernsey | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.197 | 0.0073 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.098 | 0.0035 |
| Ayrshire | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.206 | 0.0005 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.091 | 0.0024 |
| Br. Swiss | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.183 | 0.0067 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.093 | 0.0031 |
| Holstein | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.217 | 0.0091 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.091 | 0.0037 |
| Total <br> All <br> Breeds | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.192 | 0.0002 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.094 | 0.0018 |


|  | $Q-T^{*}$ (Sec.) |  |  |  | I (Basett's Formula)** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breed | Mini. | Maxi. | Yean | St. Dev. of Mean | Mini. | Maxi. | Mean | St. Dev. of Mean |
| Jersey | 0.35 | 0.47 | 0.407 | 0.0061 | 0.40 | 0.47 | 0.425 | 0.0043 |
| Guernsey | 0.31 | 0.44 | 0.384 | 0.0095 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.419 | 0.0054 |
| Ayrshire | 0.29 | 0.42 | 0.370 | 0.0117 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.407 | 0.0097 |
| Br. Swiss | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.391 | 0.0094 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.424 | 0.0059 |
| Holstein | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.384 | 0.0077 | 0.34 | 0.46 | 0.411 | 0.0058 |
| Total <br> All <br> Breeds | 0.29 | 0.47 | 0.389 | 0.0039 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0.418 | 0.0027 |

[^8]Table XI. Distribution of EXG Intervals in Steps of 0.02 Second by Breeds.


BOVINE FKG DERLECTONS - THEIR OCCUMPENCE, FOTENTIAL AND FORM

While this phase of electrocardiography is usually more logically considered before the intervals, it was decided to reverse the order in this report. The principal reason for this decision lies in the fact that here is encountered the greatest confusion and difficulty of classification and discussion.

As previously mentioned, the nomenclature of the waves is the same as that employed for electrocardiograms taken by the three standard leads in the human subject. Due to the rather frequent occurrence of a second positive QRS deflection, it was necessary for purposes of convenience to designate this as $R^{\prime}$.

Deflections were considered to be present even when of so low a potential as to be not accurately measurable. As will be noted, the inconstancy of low potential waves unfortanately increases the occurrence of monthly variations in the sumary tables. These variations are probably due to a combination of several factors. It must be borne in mind that the taking of electrocardiograms of animals while in the standing position, with the possible exception of the horse*, introduces the factor of potential from contraction of voluntary muscle. This may be one or both of two forms. The most common is a steady tremor from persistent mascular contraction (Fig. VII) incident to maintenance of a quiet standing position. Less frequently there is a wandering of the string (Fig. VII, and lead III of Fis. IX)

[^9]in certain animals, possibly from normal body sway in maintaining balance, but also associated with nervousness. The effect is somewhat similar to that seen in human subjects during emotional excitement. While marked changes in the bovine electrocardiogram from month to month are most probably due to a shift in the axis of the rather mobile heart, the interference due to muscular effects accounts for the failure to recognize many low potential deflections (below 0.3 mv ). For this reason any changes between the three monthly tracings must not be considered too seriously. The summarized occurrences should rather be $\nabla$ iewed as a total unit indicating a species tendency.

It must be emphasized that voluntary muscle effects with the apparatus employed were seldom serious enough to obscure deflections of definite measurable potential. This is contrary to the observations of Nörr, (6). Alternating current induction was a troublesome factor in low potential waves in a very few cases.

Occurrence of the Various Deflections

The P Fave. The auricular deflection or $P$ wave, in common with all the deflections composing a bovine cardiac cycle, shows characteristics differing materially from those encountered in human tracings. These differences are largely an increase in the incidence of diphasic and extremely low potential or, more rarely, complete absence of waves.

Monophasic P occurred 23 times in lead I, 35 tines in lead II, and 80 times in lead III. The direction of this wave was almost without exception upward. In two or three instances an unfavorable low potential deflection showed a negative tendency (Table XXX). Diphasic $P$ occurred 64 times in lead $I, 62$ times in lead II, and 8 times in lead III. The two phases composing this type of wave were always of either approximately equal potential or with positive (upward) summetion, never of negative summation. In other words, there was never a diphasic $P$ the potential of whose positive phase was not equal to or greater than its negative phase. The sequence of events in all but four individuals showing this form was an initial quick, downward deflection followed by a much slower upward phase. Regardless of how inconsequential the character of the minor phase, a wave showing this characteristic was always classified as diphasic. Approximately 80 per cent of the diphasic $P$ waves in all leads of the first monthly tracings in this series showed a positive phase of greater potential than the negative phase. Four individuals displayed diphasic $P$ with a plus to minus sequence. This always occurred in lead III and showed a marked tendency to vary from month to month. The potential of the
two phases did not depart from the tendency displayed by the other type of diphasic $P$ discussed previously.

In a few cases $P$ was totally absent or when present was of such extremely low potential that its form could not be accurately determined. This occurred 10 times in lead $I$ and 9 times in lead III. The deflection was always present in lead II.

The general form of this wave presents no other outstanding features worthy of critical discussion which may not be obtained by careful examination of Figures II - A, B, C, D, and E. It may not be amiss to note that true notching of $P$, such as was found by Kahn, (4), in the horse and to a lesser extent by Norr. (6), in the bovine, when employing the single regio-apicis: regio praescapularis lead, did not occur in a single instance in this series.

In Table XII is summarized the occurrence of the various combinations of $P$ wave types with respect to leads in the first monthly tracings. Of the 64 possible combinations of positive and negative monophasic, diphasic, and non-determinable waves in the three leads only 15 occurred. Of these the $\mathrm{DD}^{+*}$ combination occurred most frequently ( 34 times). The sum of the instances in which $\mathrm{DD}^{+}$, $\mathrm{D}++$, DDD, and +++ occurred accounts for 68 per cent of the 97 animals constituting this series with the balance distributed as shown in the table.

[^10]When monophasic $P$ occurs in only one lead of an electrocardiogram, it almost invariably appears in lead III. Its presence in any other lead than III is always coincident with its appearance in more than one of the leads. For example, monophasic $P$ never occurs in lead II witiout also being present in leads I andor III. Diphasic P appeared with about equal frequency in the single leads I and II. Its most frequent occurrence, however, was in the lead I and II combination.

Table XII. Summary of the Occurrence of Various Combinations of $P$ Waves With Respect to Leads in the First Monthly Tracings.

| H \% H | H - ¢ ¢ | H - - a H |  |  | \% \% ¢ H H 4 |  |  | ~01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | D | D | - | - | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 |
| D | D | + | 8 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 34 |
| D | + | + | 7 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 16 |
| + | D | D | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| + | D | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 5 |
| - | D | + | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| + | + | + | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| + | + | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| + | D | NM | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| NM | + | + | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| NM | D | + | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | 7 |
| D | D | SNM | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 6 |
| SM | + | + | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| + | + | NM | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 3 |
| M | + | + | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 22 | 13 | 19 | 20 | 97 |

```
Key: \(\quad+=\) upward deflection
    - = downward deflection
    D = diphasic wave
    NM = absent, non-measurable, or non-determinable wave
    \(M=M\) shaped complex with positive summation
```

The QRS Group. Before considering the several deflections composing the QRS group it might be well to again emphasize the fact that waves were considered to be present even when of so small a potential as to constitute merely a trace (below 0.03 millivolt). It should be borne in mind that the ease with which these may be obscured by $A \subset C$ induction and muscle tremor doubtless gives rise to apoarent variations that do not exist.

The deflection $Q$ occurred 59 times in lead $I$, 78 times in lead II, and 68 times in lead III. $R$ was present 76 times in lead $I, 96$ times in lead II, and 96 times in lead III. $S$ was found 28 times in lead I, 8 times in lead II, and 20 times in lead III. The second positive QRS deflection (called $R^{\prime}$ in this study) occurred twice in lead $I$, 5 times in lead II, and 14 times in lead III. To gain a better concention of the significance of these figures, Table XIII, showing the approximate percentage incidence of occurrence of these deflections in the various leads, is presented.

Table XIII. Percentace Occurrence of the Various Deflections Composing QRS in the Three Leads.

| Lead | Per Cent |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q | R | S | 28 |
|  | 60 | 78 | 28 | 4 |
| III | 80 | 99 | 8 | 14 |

The above table indicates the almost invariable occurrence of $R$ in leads II and III, the great frequency of $Q$ in all leads, and the relative infrequency of $S$ and $R^{\prime}$ waves.

Table XIV sumarizes the occurrence of $Q, R, S$, and $R^{\prime}$ waves alone and in combination in the various leads and lead combinations of the first monthiy tracings. QRS was represented by a single downwardly directed (Q) wave in 20 instances and this only in lead I. QRS occurred as a lone upward deflection ( $R$ wave) mainly in the single leads $I$ and III, and simultaneously in the two leads I and II, appearing 11, 10, and 6 times in the order mentioned. Diphesicity of $Q R S$ ( $Q$ and $R$ waves) occurred most frequently simaltaneously in leads II and III (36 times), and I, II, and III (22 times). Next in order comes the single lead II which showed this combination in 11 instances. The second possible type of diphasicity ( $R$ and $S$ waves) never occurred in more than one lead of any electrocardiogram, being present 16 times in lead $I$, once in lead II, and 4 times in lead III. The "typical" complex composed of $Q, R$, and $S$ waves occurred 10 times in lead $I$. Its presence in any other lead or lead combination was relatively negligible. As to QRS groups showing $R^{\prime}$ waves, little can be said at this point beyond the fact that the RSR' sequence occurred most frequently, being present in the greatest number of instances in lead III (9 times).

Concerning breed differences, conclusions based on such a small number of animals as compose each group must be drawn with extreme reserve. It is noteworthy that $Q$ waves were most frequent in Jerseys and least in Holsteins, while this latter breed showed the greatest incidence of $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ waves. For the rest, the mean trend in the several breeds presents no differences worthy of mention.
Table XIV. Summary of the Occurrence of the Deflections of QRS in the Various Leads and Lead Combinations of the First Monthly Tracings.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deflec- } \\ & \text { tions } \end{aligned}$ | or | R only |  |  |  |  |  | $Q$ and R |  |  |  |  |  |  | R and 8 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q. } R, \\ & \text { and } 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㖞 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\text { R, } \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}^{1}} \text { and }$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead Combinations $\rightarrow$ | I |  | I | 1 III | II | III |  |  |  |  | II |  | III |  |  | II |  | II | I |  | II | III |  |  |  |  |
| Jersey | 10 |  | 10 | 02 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 5 |  | 40 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Guernsey | 4 |  | 20 | 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 |  | 50 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ayrshire | 0 |  | 13 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 |  | 50 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Brown } \\ \text { 8wiss } \end{array}$ | 6 |  | 1 | 04 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 5 |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Holstein | 0 |  | 6 | 01 | 4 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 |  |  | 11 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| TOTALS | 20 |  | 13 | 310 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 11 |  | 3 | 6 | 1 | 36 |  |  | 61 | 1 | 4 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 |  | 3 | 9 | 1 |

The T Wave. The final electricel effects of ventricular systole evidenced in the electrocardiogram by the deflection $T$, like the $P$ wave presents characteristic peculiarities rarely encountered in human tracings. Diphasicity is the outstanding feature of this weve. It is not to be inferred that monophasic deflections do not occur, but tracings in which $T$ was not diphasic in one, and more frequently, two leads are extremely rare. Nonophasic waves resemble somewhat those seen in the human subject except that the summit tends to be more sharply defined and less rounded. One limb is often, but not always, more quickly executed and therefore $f$ iner in outline than the other. In this respect it is reminiscent of that portion of diphasic $T$ where the change in direction of potential is recorded. Diphasic complexes are largely characterized by relatively slow broad initial and terminal deflections, the movement of the string during change in direction of potential being usually rather quickly executed. (See Figure II, etc.)

The sequence of events (as with the $P$ wave) was, with but two exceptions, an initial negative followed by a positive phase. In the two individuals showing a positive to negative sequence, considerable monthly variation occurred. (See Table XXX).

Monophasic $T$ occurred 79 times in lead $I$, 27 times in lead II, and 20 times in lead III. Of these, 75 in lead $I, 22$ in lead II, and 4 in lead III were negative. This indicates that, in this series, monophasic $T 1$ and 2 were largely negative while $T 3$ was mainly positive. Diphasic T occurred 14 times in lead I, 70 times in lead II, and 64 times in lead III. The two phases comosing this tyoe of wave showed
negative sumnation in 107 instances, phases of equal potential in 25 instances, and positive summation in 14 instances in the 146 leads in winh diphasic $T$ occurred. From this it can be seen that diphasic $T$ is largely negative.

Triphasic $T$ was seen only once*, and then only in the first monthly tracing. This wave varied from diphasic to positive monophasic in the two succeeding monthly tracings.

Extremely low potential undeterminable $T$ waves occurred four times in lead I and four times in lead III. Unlike $P$, complete absence of $T$ was never encountered.

The occurrence of the various combinations of $T$ waves with respect to leads in the first monthly tracings is sunmarized in Table XV. Of the 64 possible combinations of positive and negative monophasic, diphasic, and non-determinable waves in the three leads, only 19 occurred. The three most frequent combinations were the following: $-D D, 37$ times; --D, 17 times; - + + 13 times. The foregoing embraces 69 per cent of the 97 animals constituting this series. The remainder are distributed as shown in the table.

The table further shows that when monophasic $T$ occurred in only one lead of an electrocardiogram, it invariably presented itself in lead I or III, never in lead II. Its occurrence in lead II was always coincident with monophasic $T 1$ and or $T 3$, the most common combination being leads I and II. Diphasic $T$ occurred in all leads and lead combinations,

[^11]the most favorable single leads being II and III, and the most favorable lead combinations, lead II and III. Since the trend in all breeds was roughly the same and since each group represented such a small mumber of individuals, no conclusions as to breed differences can be safely drawn.

Table XV. Summary of the Occurrence of Various Combinations of $T$ Waves with Respect to Leads in the First Monthly Tracings.


Key:
$+=$ upward deflection

- = downward deflection
$D=$ diphasic wave
$N D=$ non-measurable or nondeterminable wave $T=W$ shaped complex
* Triphasic wave with slightly positive summation.

In order to obtain a more accurate understanding of the significance of the tabulated values to be presented in this section, a brief explanatory discussion mast be entered into at this point. The prevalence of slight voluntary muscle tremor and, to a lesser extent A-S induction in certain tracings, precluded extreme accuracy in the measurement of very low potential waves. Clearly recognized deflections of a potential of 0.03 millivolts or less were recorded as having this value. Deflections of such extremely low potential as to be almost lost in a vibrating string shadow were recorded as non-determinable (N. D.). Totally absent waves were, of course, recorded as such. Where, as frequently occurred, slight variations were seen in the potential of a wave within a lead, the largest value was used. In the case of diphasic waves, the potential of the largest phase was recorded; or, where the phases were of equal value, one phase was taken. In so far as possible, measurements were made at points within the lead where the string shadow was not wandering since it was observed that this factor may produce apparent changes in potential leading to considerable error. Since there is, with but few exceptions, relatively little variation in size of potential from month to month, the tabulated measurements in this section were arbitrarily ta'ren from the first monthly tracings.

## The Distribution of Fotentials by Leads

The Potential of $P$. With a wave of such absolute 10 potential, breed differences, if any, are difficult to evaluate. For this reason the series will be considered mainly as a group. Study of Table XVI shows that the range for measurable waves is from the minimum of 0.03 millivolt in all three leads to the maximum of 0.12 in lead $I, 0.18$ in lead II, and 0.22 in lead III. It mast be stated, however, that non-determinable waves occurred in 28 instances in lead $I$ and in 17 instances in lead III. Therefore, the absolute minimum is less in these leads than is actually recorded in the table. It is interesting to note that the Ayrshire breed displayed this type of wave in 6 out of 13 individuals composing this group. The remainder of the breed groups exhibited non-determinable waves to a lesser and more uniform degree. The mean values for the entire group was $0.06,0.10$, and 0.07 millivolt in leads I, II, and III respectively.

Table XVI. Minimum, Maximum, and Mean values for Potential of $P$ in the First Monthly Tracings.

| Breed <br> Lead $\rightarrow$ |  | Occurrence |  |  | Potential (Millivolts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Minimum |  |  | Maximum |  |  | Mean |  |  |
|  |  | I* | II | III* | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III |
| Jersey | 23 | 16 | 23 | 23 | . 03 | . 10 | . 04 | . 10 | . 15 | .10 | . 06 | . 12 | . 08 |
| Guernsey | 22 |  | 22 | 20 | . 03 | . 03 | . 03 | .12 | . 15 | . 22 | . 05 | . 11 | . 09 |
| Ayrshire | 13 | 7 | 13 | 7 | . 03 | . 03 | . 03 | . 08 | . 10 | . 08 | . 05 | . 08 | . 06 |
| Brown Swiss | 19 | 15 | 19 | 12 | . 03 | . 03 | . 03 | . 10 | . 18 | . 12 | . 07 | . 10 | . 06 |
| Holstein | 20 | 17 | 20 | 18 | . 03 | . 03 | . 03 | . 10 | . 12 | . 10 | . 05 | . 09 | . 06 |
| All | 97 | 69 | 97 | 80 | . 03 | . 03 | . 03 | . 12 | . 18 | . 22 | . 06 | . 10 | . 07 |

[^12]The Potential of $Q$. The occurrence of $Q$, alone and in combination with other waves, was more frequent in lead II, being present in 60,80 , and 70 per cent of leads I, II, and III respectively.

The range of potential for the group as set forth in Table XVII is from the minimum of 0.03 millivolt in all three leads to the maximum of $0.60,1.00$, and 0.00 millivolt in leade I. II, and III respectively. The mean for the group was 0.23 millivolt in lead $I, 0.16$ in lead II, and 0.11 in lead III.

Breed differences are not significant beyond the fact that of the Holsteins in which $Q$ occurred only two individuals displayed a potential greater than 0.12 millivolt.

Table XVII. Minimum, Maximum, and Mean Values for Potential of $Q$ in the First Monthly Tracings.

| $\xrightarrow[\text { Breed }]{ }$ |  | Occurrence |  |  | Potential (Millivolts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Minimum |  |  | Maximum |  |  | Mean |  |  |
|  |  | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III |
| Jersey | 23 | 16 | 20 | 17 | . 08 | . 03 | . 03 | . 60 | . 60 | . 25 | . 26 | .13 | . 07 |
| Guernsey | 22 | 15 | 22 | 20 | . 03 | . 03 | . 03 | . 60 | 1.00 | . 90 | . 26 | . 23 | . 17 |
| Ayrshire | 13 | 5 | 10 | 7 | . 10 | . 03 | . 03 | . 40 | . 23 | . 30 | . 23 | . 09 | . 10 |
| $\begin{array}{l\|l} \text { Brown } \\ \text { Swiss } \end{array}$ | 19 | 16 | 17 | 12 | . 03 | . 03 | . 03 | . 50 | . 50 | . 40 | . 21 | .19 | . 11 |
| Holstein | 20 | 6 | 11 | 12 | . 03 | . 03 | . 03 | . 40 | . 32 | . 30 | . 15 | . 08 | . 09 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Breeds } \end{aligned}$ | 97 | 58 | 80 | 68 | . 03 | . 03 | . 03 | . 60 | 1.00 | .90 | . 23 | .16 | . 11 |

The Potential of $R$. This wave was present in all cases in lead III, in all but one case in lead II, and in 72 per cent of the individuals in lead I.

The potential ranged from the minimum of 0.03 millivolt in all leads to the maximum of $0.70,2.60$, and 1.50 millivolt in leads $I$. II, and III respectively. The average for the entire series was 0.16 millivolt in lead $I, 0.37$ millivolt in lead II, and 0.35 millivolt in lead III. Table XVIII displays the necessary tabulated data.

No marked discrepancies of average potentials between the five breed groups are evident.

Table XVIII. Minimum, Maximum, and Mean Values for Potential of $R$ in the First Monthly Tracings.

| Breed <br> Lead $\rightarrow$ |  | Occurrence |  |  | Potential (Millivolts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Minimum |  |  | Maximum |  |  | Mean |  |  |
|  |  | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III |
| Jersey | 23 | 11 | 23 | 23 | . 05 | . 10 | . 03 | . 70 | 2.60 | 1.50 | . 21 | . 54 | . 53 |
| Guernsey | 22 | 15 | 22 | 22 | . 03 | . 03 | . 05 | .30 | . 60 | . 65 | .12 | . 27 | . 29 |
| Ayrshire | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | . 05 | . 10 | .10 | . 50 | . 80 | 1.00 | .21 | .33 | . 29 |
| Brown Swiss | 19 | 13 | 19 | 19 | . 05 | . 24 | .10 | . 30 | . 75 | - 95 | . 13 | .30 | . 33 |
| Holstein | 20 | 19 | 19 | 20 | . 03 | .13 | . 05 | . 43 | 1.10 | . 93 | . 18 | . 40 | . 29 |
| All <br> Breeds | 97 | 70 | 96 | 97 | . 03 | . 03 | . 03 | . 70 | 2.60 | 1.50 | . 16 | . 37 | . 35 |

The Potential of S. Next to $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ this wave was of the least frequent occurrence and showed the lowest potential of any deflection composing the QRS group. It occurred 29 times in lead I, 6 times in lead II, and 18 times in lead III. Table XIX indicates that, aside from a tendency to occur most frequently in lead I of the Guernseys, no outstanding breed differences are present.

Potentials were uniformly low and ranged from a minimum of 0.03 millivolt in all leads to a maximum of $0.20,0.10$, and 0.25 millivolt in leads I, II, and III respectively. Mean values were very low, 0.06 millivolt in lead I, 0.07 in lead II, and 0.12 in lead III. The lower potential specimens of this wave showed a marked tendency to vary from month to month, often being present one month and completely absent the next or vice versa.

Table XIX. Minimum, Maximum, and Mean Values for Potential of $S$ in the First Monthly Tracings.

| Breed <br> Lead $\rightarrow$ |  | Occurrence |  |  | Potential (Millivolts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Minimum |  |  | Maximum |  |  | Mean |  |  |
|  |  | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III |
| Jersey | 23 | 4 | 2 | 2 | . 03 | . 05 | . 05 | . 10 | . 10 | .06 | . 07 | . 07 | . 05 |
| Guernsey | 22 | 10 | 2 | 3 | . 03 | . 10 | .10 | . 20 | .10 | . 20 | . 06 | .10 | . 13 |
| Ayrsh ire | 13 | 8 | 0 | 4 | . 03 | - | .06 | .15 | - | . 20 | . 06 | - | . 12 |
| Brown <br> Swiss | 19 | 4 | 0 | 1 | . 03 | - | - | . 05 | - | - | . 03 | - | .10 |
| Holstein | 20 | 3 | 2 | 8 | . 03 | . 03 | . 03 | . 15 | . 05 | . 25 | . 07 | . 04 | . 13 |
| Al1 <br> Breeds | 97 | 29 | 6 | 18 | . 03 | . 03 | .03 | . 20 | . 10 | . 2.5 | . 06 | . 07 | . 12 |

The Potential of R'. The significance of tive second positive QRS deflection is not clear. Since the $R^{\prime}$ wave seldom occurs, is consistently associated with bizarre, splintered $R$ waves, displays considerable monthly change, and is uniformly of such low potential as to vary from zero to trace within a lead, the question arizes es to Whether or not it should be considered as an actual deflection. Eurthermore, since its prosence is apparently the result of an exaggerated solintering or notching of $R^{*}$, perhaps it should with more justice be accorded the scant notice usually evidenced when present in lead III of human tracings, (27). Table $X X$ shows that $R^{\prime}$ occurred 2 times in lead I, 5 times in lead II, 14 times in lead III, and was more prevalent in Holsteins than in any of the other breeds. Due to the infrequency of its occurrence no definite conclusions can be drawn as to the effect of breed or lead on differences in potential. Potentials ranged from a minimum of 0.03 to a maximum of 0.20 millivolt, with a mean of 0.15 millivolt in lead $I, 0.11$ in lead $I I$, and 0.10 in lead III.

[^13]Table XX. Minimum, Naximum, and Mean Values for Potential of R' in the First Monthly Trecings.

| Breed <br> Lead $\rightarrow$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}\right.$ | Occurrence |  |  | Potential (Millivolts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Minimum |  |  | Maximum |  |  | Mean |  |  |
|  |  | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III |
| Jersey | 23 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | . 20 | . 10 |
| Guernsey | 22 | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | .03 | - | - | . 20 | - | . 15 | . 11 |
| Ayrshire | 13 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | . 10 | - | . 15 |
| Brown Swis8 | 19 | - | - | 2 | - | - | . 06 | - | - | .10 | - | - | . 08 |
| Holstein | 20 | 1 | 3 | 6 | - | . 03 | . 05 | - | . 10 | . 15 | . 20 | . 07 | . 09 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { All }} \\ & \text { Breeds } \end{aligned}$ | 97 | 2 | 5 | 14 | - | . 03 | . 03 | - | . 10 | . 20 | . 15 | . 11 | . 10 |

The Potential of $T$. $T$ was present in all individuals and in all leads. The fact that potentials were not recorded for 4 cases in leads I and III does not mean that this wave was absent in these instances. The potential was merely so low as to fail to bring it under the 0.03 millivolt classification. Here, as with all the other deflections, breed differences are not significant enough to merit consideration.

Table XXI shows the range of potential to be from a minimum of 0.03 millivolt in all three leads to a maximum of $0.60,1.10$, and 0.90 millivolt in leads $I$, II, and III respectively. The mean values are 0.20 millivolt in lead $I, 0.31$ millivolt in lead II, and 0.18 millivolt in lead III.

Table XXI. Minimum, Maximum, and Mean Values for Fotential of $T$ in the First Monthly Tracings.

| $\qquad$ <br> Lead $\rightarrow$ |  | Occurrence |  |  | Fotential (Willivolts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Minimum |  |  | Maximum |  |  | Mean |  |  |
|  |  | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III |
| Jersey | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 | .03 | . 12 | . 06 | . 35 | . 70 | . 40 | . 17 | . 30 | . 18 |
| Guernsey | 22 | 21 | 22 | 22 | . 03 | .10 | . 05 | . 33 | 1.10 | . 90 | . 14 | . 23 | .17 |
| Ayrshire | 13 | 13 | 13 | 11 | . 10 | . 03 | . 10 | . 40 | .70 | .32 | . 25 | . 30 | . 16 |
| Brown <br> Swiss | 19 | 18 | 19 | 18 | . 06 | . 06 | . 10 | . 60 | .60 | . 40 | . 23 | . 31 | . 19 |
| Holstein | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | . 08 | . 10 | . 03 | . 43 | . 70 | . 40 | . 24 | . 41 | . 21 |
| A11 <br> Breeds | 97 | 93 | 97 | 93 | . 03 | . 03 | . 03 | .60 | 1.10 | . 90 | . 20 | . 31 | . 18 |

Occurrence, Distribution, and Range of the Highest Potential EKG Deflections in Any Lead of the First Monthly Tracings*

To gain a better conception of the distribution, size, and mean values for the maximum potential waves of the bovine electrocardiogram. this section has been included. The material presented will be limited to a brief resume of the findings for each wave. For reasons mentioned several tines previously, conclusions concerning breed differences must be accepted with reserve. Table XXII showing distribution and table XXIII showing minime, maxima and mean values for the various breeds will be found on pages 61 and 62.

The $P$ nave. The maximum potential of the auricular deflection ranged from 0.03 to 0.22 millivolt with a tendency toward rather uniform distribution about the mean. Ten animels showed a potential of $0.08,38$ a potential of 0.10 , and 19 a potential of 0.12 millivolt. Of the remaining 30 animels, 15 were grouped in the range from 0.13 to 0.15 millivolt inclusive. The balance were distributed above and below these limits as shown in Table XXII. The mean potential in the various breed groups ranged from 0.083 in the Ayrshires to 0.129 in the Jerseys. The average for the entire series was 0.106 millivolt.

The Q Wave. Tables XXII and XIII show extremely wide and uneven scattering of the values about the mean. The absence of $Q$ in all leads of 10 individurls lowers the average potential in the latter table. The rance for actually occurring waves was from 0.03 millivolt in eight cases to 1.00 millivolt in one individual. The mean in the various

[^14]breed groups ranced from 0.093 millivolt in the Holsteins to 0.302 millivolt in the Guernseys; the average for the entire series (including the ten zero, or absent cases) was 0.208 millivolt. The Holstein group displayed rather definite characteristics deserving further mention. Of the ten cases showing total absence of $Q$ in all leads, seven were in this breed. Nine individuals displayed potentials of 0.12 millivolt or less, six of which were 0.05 millivolt or less. These latter were usually associated with $R$ wave preponderance and showed considerable tendency toward montily variation from low potential to complete absence and vice versa. This tendency, however, was seen in all breeds showing not only low potential $Q$ but also small $R$ ' and $S$ waves.
T.e R Wave. The most consistently present deflection of $Q R S, R$ displayed even greater irregularity in distribution about the mean than the previous wave. The range in maximm potential in any lead was from 0.10 millivolt in five instances to 2.60 millivolts in one instance. It is interesting to note thet the average in the various breed groups ranged from the maximum of 0.618 millivolt in the Jerseys to from 0.337 to 0.394 millivolt in the other breed groups. The mean for the entire series was $0.425 \mathrm{millivol} t$.

The $S$ and R' Waves. With such infrequently occurring irregular waves as these, the statistical methods employed in this section may not be apolicable; and, since the treatment accorded these two deflections in the preceding section may be less apt to mislead, the picture will not be further complicated by a summery discussion of the data in Tables XXII and XXIII.

Table XXII. Distribution of the Highest Potential EKG Waves in Any Lead of the First Monthly Tracings*.


* Due to the variability of $R^{\prime}$ the maximum potential of this wave is taken from any lead of the three monthly tracings.
Table XXIII. Partial Statistical Summary of the Size of the Greatest Deflections in Any Lead of the Bovine Flectrocardiogram (Expressed in Millivolts).

|  | $P$ |  |  |  | Q |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breed | Mini. | Maxi. | Mean | St. Dev. of Mean | Mini. | Maxi. | Mean | St. Dev. of Mean | Mini. | Maxi. | Mean | St. Dev. of Mean |
| Jersey | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.129 | 0.0049 | 0 | 0.60 | 0.216 | 0.0370 | 0.10 | 2.60 | 0.618 | 0.1021 |
| Guernsey | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.114 | 0.0065 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 0.302 | 0.0486 | 0.10 | 0.65 | 0.337 | 0.0433 |
| Ayrshire | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.083 | 0.0076 |  | 0.40 | 0.150 | 0.0333 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 0.394 | 0.0602 |
| Br . Swiss | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.104 | 0.0072 | 0.03 | 0.80 | 0.252 | 0.0461 | 0.10 | 0.95 | 0.356 | 0.0504 |
| Holstein | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.092 | 0.0050 | 0 | 0.40 | 0.093 | 0.0278 | 0.10 | 1.10 | 0.386 | 0.0532 |
| A11 Breeds | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.106 | 0.0031 | 0 | 1.00 | 0.208 | 0.0197 | 0.10 | 2.6 | 0.425 | 0.0336 |
|  | S |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ |  |  |  | T |  |  |  |
| Breed | Mini. | Maxi. | Mean | St. Dev. of Mean | Mini. | Maxi. | Mean | St. Dev. of Mean | Mini. | Max ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | St. Dev. of Mean |
| Jersey | 0 | 0.50 | 0.041 | 0.0216 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.023 | 0.0114 | 0.15 | 0.70 | 0.316 | 0.0257 |
| Guernsey | 0 | 0.20 | 0.041 | 0.0126 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.019 | 0.0102 | 0.10 | 0.90 | 0.239 | 0.0351 |
| Ayrshire | 0 | 0.30 | 0.067 | 0.0223 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.057 | 0.0194 | 0.15 | 0.70 | 0.326 | 0.0419 |
| Br . Swiss | 0 | 0.10 | 0.012 | 0.0058 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.014 | 0.0085 | 0.18 | 0.60 | 0.352 | 0.0265 |
| Holstein | 0 | 0.30 | 0.066 | 0.0208 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.055 | 0.0134 | 0.15 | 0.70 | 0.427 | 0.0334 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breeds | 0 | 0.50 | 0.044 | 0.0081 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.032 | 0.0057 | 0.10 | 0.90 | 0.328 | 0.0161 |

Variations in the Form of $Q R S$ and Distribution of the Various Trpes

In figure $I$ is presented a complete general grouping of the various representative tynes of QRS encountered in this study. On turning to this figure, it will be apparent at a glance that for those familiar With normal human electrocardiograms, considerable mental readjustment may be necessary in order to eliminate the imression that one is dealing with abnormal tracings. The arrangement is purely arbitrary. Beginning with typical $R$ wave predominance, the progression is through varying types of multirhase complexes to negative ( $\mathcal{Q}$-wave) predominance and finally representative unfavorable low potential QRS types. Beginning with type 1 , their form and occurrence will be considered in order. The discussion will be based upon the figure referred to above, and Tables XXIV and XXV showing various features concerning occurrence of the several forms. Taile XXIV indicates the per cent incidence of occurrence in the entire series. Table XXV shows the actual number of times each form was present in the three leads tabulated according to breed groups. In compiling the data for this table, the sum of the three leads in the three serial electrocardiograms for each of the 97 animals was used, making a total of 291 each of leads $I$, II, and III. Due to the occurrence of monthly variations, it is believed that this procedure will yield data upon which more accurate conclusions can be based. For greater clarity of comparison, Table XXIV will be drawn upon for occurrence of the QRS forms while Tahle XXV will be referred to concerning possible breed differences.

Under types 1 and 2 are grouped those complexes showing definite R wave predominance varying from a quick fine up and downstroke to more or less progressive degrees of coarsening of both limbs of this deflection. Type 1, the only QPS most typical of normal human tracines, occurred in but one indivitual and is reproduced in full in Figure III. Type 2 appeared in 19 per cent of the instances in lead I, 4 per cent in lead II, end 2 per cent in lead III. Table XXV, indicates that this form occurred about four tines more frequently in lead I of the Holsteins than in any other breed.

Types 3 and 4 are characterized by a quick fine upstroke of $R$ with slurring or coarsening of the descending limb which may te complete (type 3) or merely confined to its terminal portion (type 4). Tipe 3 occurred in 2 per cent of the instances in lead $I, 10$ per cent in lead II, and 4 per cent in lend III. No significant treed differences are shown in Table XXV. Type 4 was seen in lead I of only one individual. However, it occurred in 36 per cent of the instances in lead II and 26 per cent in lead III. There is an apparent tendency for the occurrence of this type of QRS to be more frequent in the Jersey than in any of the other breed groups. The two types together apseared in about 24 per cent of the 873 leads in the three serial electrocardiograms of the 97 animals constituting this series.

In type 5 is scen the beginning of the very troublesome and changeable group of vibratory complexes. Type 5a shows notching of the downstroke at the point where the terminal portion becomes coarsened. with a lowering in potential of the initial spike, forked or somewhat M-shaped, complexes such as 5b occur. Since these two closely related forms occurred

With about equal frequency, they will be considered together. The two types were totally absent in lead $I$, present in 8 per cent of the instances in lead II, and 17 per cent in lead III.

In type 6, the descending 1 imb of the initial spike crosses the isoelectric level giving rise (according to the accepted classification in such cases) to a second positive QRS deflection or $R^{\prime}$ wave of which the coarsened terminal portion of $R$ in type 4 a seems to be the remote analogue. This occurred in 7 per cent of the instances in lead III, and was entirely absent in the other two leads.

From this point the forms pass through various etages of $M$-shaped complexes in which the notching may (type 8) or may not (type 7) cross the isoelectric level. Type 7 occurred in 1 per cent of the instances in lead I, 3 per cent in lead II, and 7 per cent in lead III. Table XXV indicates this form to be much more prevalent in the Brown Swiss and Holstein groups. Tyoe 8 was of negligible occurrence in lead $I$, apoeared in 2 per cent of the instances in lead II, and 7 per cent in lead III. These two forms complete the class showing initial upward ( $R$ wave) deflections of QRS.

Types 9 to 12 inclusive, exhibit downwardly directed initial effects of QRS. The complexes as a whole are $W$-shaped vibratory (types 9 and 10b) or truly $W$-shaped (type 10a). In many of these the excursion of the string shadow in executing the vibrations may be broad enough to cross the base line at two points, giving rise again to a second positive QRS deflection or R' Fave (type $10 b$ and possibly $9 b$ ). Due to their relative similarity of form, types 9 and 10 are considered together. Comparative occurrence in the three leads are not especially significant. The two forms together were present in 8 per cent of all the leads.

Type 11 shows two typical variations of diphasic (QR) complexes displaying opposite potential excursions which are broad enough to indicate considerable rotation of the electrical axis during systole. Occurring with considerable uniformity in the several breed grouns, this form of $Q D S$ was present in 9 per cent of the instances in lead $I$, 22 per cent in lead II, and 8 per cent in lead III.

Type 12 is characterized by $Q$ predominance reminiscent of human electrocardiograms showing abnormal axis deviation. It is interesting to note that the two limbs of $Q$ in this class were prone to be less broad or cogrse than the oppositely directed complex displayed in type 2. The occurrence of this form was very largely in lead $I$, being present in 22 per cent of the instances in this lead and only 7 and 4 per cent in leads II and III respectively. Table XXV indicates this type to be of most frequent occurrence in all three leads of the Guernsey group, while the Jerseys and Brown Swiss follow in order with occurrence principally in lead $I$. The appearance of this form in the tro reniaining groups (Ayrshire and Holstein) is relatively infrequent.

Under type 13 of the figure is arbitrarily lumed all extremely unfavorable low potential QZS. Examination of the four specimens will reveal resemblances to previously classified complexes. Due to the extremely low potential displeyed by the members and the difficulty attending the accurate classification of many of these, a separate group presented the only logical solution. Study of Table XXIV reveals that unfavorable QRS is lareely confined to lead I where it was present in 40 per cent of the instances. The distribution was remarkably miform in the five breed groups.

Summary. To summarise the foregoing data more comprenensibly, the resume below is presented.

In lead $I$, type 2 occurred in 19 per cent, type 12 in 22 per cent, and type 13 in 40 per cent of all individuals. Tyce 5, 6, and 8 were totally absent, and the balance were thinly scattered among the remaining forms.

In lead II the distribution is somewhat more uniform, the more frequently occurring forms being types 3, 4, and 11 , which were present in 10,36 , and 22 per cent of the individuals respectively.

Lead III shows the most uniform distribution of all, with type 4 occurring in 26 per cent of the individuels and the balance rather evenly distributed among the remaining types.

Type 1, being present in only one individual, was not considered of sufficient imoortance to warrant mention in the forecoing summary.

Finelly, attention must be directed to one constantly present characteristic of QRS in this series. Beginning with type 2 and ending with type 11 , the final effects are almost invariably broader and slower than any other phase of the complex. A faint suggestion of this is also definite enough in the remaining forms to warrant the conclusion that this pheomenon is a characteristic feature of the bovine electrocardiogram.

Table XXIV. Per Cent* Incidence of Occurrence of the 13 QRS Types Shown in Figure I.

| QRS <br> Types $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | a | b | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 I | 1 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 22 | 40 |
| I II | 1 | 4 | 10 | 36 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 22 | 7 | 4 |
| L III | 1 | 2 | 4 | 26 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 7 |
| All <br> Leads | 1 | 8 | 5 | 19 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 11 | 17 |

* To the nearest whole number.

Table XXV. Distribution of the QRS Types, Represented in Figure $I_{0}$ in the Three Serial सlectrocardiograms*。


[^15]

Figure I. Various types of Bovine EKG Complexes Arranged According to Form of the QRS Group.

## Classification of the Bovine Electrocardiogram

Since no two individuals in this series displayed identical electrocardiograns, any method of classification will perforce not be entirely satisfactory and above criticism. The form and character of ORS based upon the type complexes exhibited in Fieure I were arbitrarily talcen as the basis for the arrangement of major groups. The occurrence of tise several types in the different lead combinations and the form of $Q R S$ in the aberrant lead were the criteria employed in the arrangement of sub-groups. The finll result of this arrangement is the basis for the detailed outline on the following paces. The three tracings from each individual were not separated. Where monthly changes were extreme enough to permit placement of the individual in more than one position, the predominant characteristics of the three tracings were employed as the basis for final classificetion. Furthermore, it is conceivable that certain electrocardograms may show unlike QRS types in the different leads causing them to be unclassifiable. Trese are growed in a separate class.

Figure II-A shows specimens of the various types encountered and is so labeled as to be readily integrated with the outline presented on pases 72 to 78 . Since the photogranhic reduction necessary for inclusion of the entire figure within the dimensions of a pace is so great ss to obscure the form of the complexes, the components of the figure have been broken up into smaller units and are reproduced as figures II-B, II-C, II-D, and II-E on pages 79 to 85 . Figure III on page 85 shows an umusud type of bovine electrocardiogram.

## Detailed Classification of Bovine Electrocerdiograms According to Form of QRS

I. Tracings showing $R$ wave predominance characterized by:
A. Coarsened escending and descending limbs (Figure II-1, 2, and 3), entire ( $\mathrm{Fig}_{\text {igre }}$ II-7), or terminal portion (Figure II-4, 5, and 6) of descending limb of $R$ wave.

1. In leads I and II -
a. Showing mainly low potential positive, coarse, and somerhat vibratory type of QRS in lead III:

Ayrshire 141 Figure II-1 (FKG B312);
b. Showing split or M-sheped QRS in lead III:

Ayrshire \#159 Figure II-2 (EKG E336);
c. Showing diohasic (PS) type of $Q P S$ in lead III:

Holstein \#186 Figure II-3 (EKKG B568).
2. Coarsened terminal portion of downstroke of $R$ in leads II and III with a cuick fine ascending limb -
a. Showing mainly positive QRS in lead I:

Jersey \#101 Figure II-4 (EKG Bl51);
Jersey \#ll5;
Ayrshire ${ }^{\text {\# }} 162$;
Holsteins $\# 252$ and 254;
b. Showing low potential mairly diohasic (QR) deflections in lead I:

Jersey \#05 and 97;
Jersey \#114 Figure II-5 (JKG B267) ;
Guernsey ${ }^{4} 9$;
Holstein (E258 Figure II-6 (EKG B650);
c. Showing mainly negative $W$-shaped $Q R S$ in lead $I$ :

Guernsey \#f Figure II-7 (ENG E438);
d. Showing mairly negative (Q) deflection in lead III:

```
Jersey #88;
Guernsey #1 Figure II-8 (ENKG BZ48);
Guernsey #30;
Agrshire #146 Figure II-G (FKG Bl30);
Brown Swiss #234 and 248.
```

B. Coarsened notched terminal portion of descending limb of $R$ wave.

1. Notching of down-stroke in lead III with coarsened downstroke of $R$ in lead II -
a. Fith mainly positive sumnation in lead I:
```
Jersey #80;
Guernsey #59 Figure II-10 (EKG B325);
Holstein #269 Figure II-11 (EKG B345);
Holstein #275;
Holstein #}282 Figure II-12 (EKG Bl16)
Brown Swiss #301 and 307;
```

b. With mainly diphasic (QR) deflection in lead I:

Ayrshire ${ }^{\# 161 ;}$
Holstein \#220 Figure II-13 (ERG B404).
2. In leads I and II -

Fith Vibratory R3:
Guernsey \#7 Figure II-14 (EKG Ble2).
3. In leads II and III -
a. With mainly positive sumation in lead I:

Jersey \#62 Figure II-15 (EKG B590); Brown Swiss \#300;
b. With mainly diphasic (QR) deflection in lead I:

Jersey \#85 Figure II-16 (EKG B320); Jersey 487 and 108; Guernsey $\mathrm{F}^{4} 48$ Figure II-17 (FFG B89);
c. With very low potential QRS in lead I:

Jersey \#116 Figure II-18 (KKG B328);
d. With mainly negative sumation in lead III:
II. Trecings showing vibratory type QRS characterized by:
A. $M$ type $Q R S$ with $R$ wave oredominance.

1. In leads II and III -
a. With mainly positive deflection in lead I:

Holstein \#180 Fiequre II-20 (KKG B570); Holstein \#278;
b. With mainly dirhasic (QP) deflection in lead I:

Brown Swiss \#237 and 239*;
c. With mainly low potentinl negative deflection in lead I:

Jersey \#73 Fignare II-2l (EFG B85).
2. In lead II only with negative ( $Q$ wave) $Q R S$ in lead I and upward deflection ( R wave) with coarsened downstroke in leed III -

Brown Swiss \#303.
B. $T$ type wave showing split $Q$ wave predominance.

1. In lead III with negative summation in leads I and II -

Guernsey \#25 Figure II-22 (ERG B94).
2. In leads I end III with $Q R$ deflection in lead II -

Ayrshire \#163 Figure II-23 (FKG B442).
C. Splintered or split type QRS of sufficirnt breadth to often cause the formation of a second positive QRS deflection ( $R^{\prime}$ wave) by reason of the passing of the downstroke of the first spike of the complex below the isoelectric level.

1. Coarsened notched downstroke tyoe in lead III (or exaggeration of the notching or splitting classified in nart IB of the outline) -

* QRS in leads II and III were so nearly like that of Figure II-21 that no specimen comolexes were taken from this group.
a. Showing smaliest A in lead $I$ with coarsened notched or merely coarsened dornstroke of $R$ in lead II:

Ayrshire 152 Fifure II-24 (EKG Bl27); Holstein 7105,226 , and 286 ; Brown Swiss \#240;
b. Snowing negative predominance in l.ead I with diphasic or vibretory QRS in lead III:

Jersey \#86;
Ayrshire \#160 Figure II-25 (ETH B390).
2. K type or split complexes of sufficient breadth to produce generally distinct $S$ waves -
a. In lead III with mainly positive deflections in leads I and II:

Ayrshire 竎53:
Holstein \#231 Figure II-26 (EKG Bl15);
b. In lead III with mainly negative lead I and slichtly negative or dirhasic lead II:

Guernsey \#3:
Ayrshire \#156;
Holstein \#260 Figure II-27 (IKG B366);
c. In leads II and III:
(1) With positive deflection in lead I:

Holstein 280 Figure II-28 (FIG BII4);
(2) Fith negative lead I:

Jersey \#OO;
d. In leads I, II, and III:

Ayrshire \#144 Fieure II-29 (EKG B304).
3. Tracines showing varying degrees of $\bar{W}$ tyce split complexes -
a. In leads I and II with very low potential dichasic QRS in lead III:

Brown Swiss \# $\mathrm{O}^{2}$ 5;
b. In leads I and III with diphasic (QR) deflections in lead II:

Guernsey \#n $^{41 \text {; }}$
Brown Swiss \#231 Fieure II-30 (NG B305);
c. In leads II and III:
(1) With R wave in lead I:

Guernsey 末 $^{1} 4$ Figure II-3l (EKG BO3) ;
Guernsey \#57: Holste in \#253 Figure II-32 (EKG B362);
(2) With extremely low potential of all waves in lead I:

Guernsey 并50 Figure II-33 (KKG R92); Ayrsinire ${ }^{\text {tl }} 151$.
III. Trecincs whose QPS indicates rather extreme rotation of the electrical axis during systole:

1. In lead II -

With mainly sharp $Q$ wave in lead I and coorsened downstrine or splintered or notched sumnit of the predominant $R$ wave in lead III:

```
Jersey #79 Figure II-34 (EKG B145);
Jersey #100 Figure II-35 (IKG B97);
Jersey m?17;
Guernsey 114 ;
Guernsey \(\ddagger 28\) Figure II-36 (EKG BG6):
Guernsey \#'46 and 55;
Brown Swiss 4230 and 305;
Brown Swiss titho6 Figure II-37 (AKG R1c9).
```

2. In leads I and II -

Fith vibratory R predominence in lead III:
Brown Swis3 \#232 Figure II-38 (FKG B135); Brown Suiss \#302.
3. In lead III -

Fith mainly negative sumnation in lead II and low potential slightly negative lead I:

Jersey \#39 Figure II-39 (bk B319).
4. In leads II and III -
a. Fith small $R$ wave in lead I:

Ayrshire \#150;
Holstein \#259 Figure II-40 (EKG B55, );
b. With very low non-determinable QSS in lead I:

Guernsey \#37 Figure II-41 (EKG B144);
c. With largely negative ( $Q$ wave) predominance in lead I:

Brown Swiss \#233 Fieure II-L2 (TYG B402); Holstein \#261 Fimure II-43 (EKG B367).
IV. Trocings showing negative predominance of QRS characterized by:
a. Unfavorable negative or diphasic QRS in lead I:

Guernsey \#3 Figure II-45 (ENG E 34 );
Guernsey ${ }^{\#} 42 ;$
Guernsey \#47 Figure II-46 (EKG Bl23);
b. Unfavorable diphasic QRS in lead III:

Jersey \#63 Figure II-44 (BKG B271).
V. Miscellaneous tracings presenting peculiarities precluding rational classification.*
a. Tracings showing low voltage QRS in all three leads generally negative summation in lead $I$, diphasic lead II, and positive lead III characterized by considerable monthly change:

Guernsey \#60 Figure II-47 (ETG E380);
Brown Swiss \#238;
Brown Swiss \#304;
Holstein \#256 Figure II-48 (EKG B560);
b. Tracings showing quick fine $R$ waves of extreme amplitude in all three leads:

Jersey \#84 Figure III.

[^16]Summary of the Distribution of the Various Groups in the Classification. The breed distribution of the various types through the second subdivision in the outline is summarized in the table below. This compilation shows that type I and II embraced 72 per cent of the entire group of 97 animals, with type III next in order (18 per cent) and type IV and $V$ of relatively infrequent occurrence. The series is too small to warrant conclusions as to breed differences if any. It may be significant that of the 21 Jerseys 14 were in type $I$.

Table XXVI. Summary of the Distribution of EKG types according to the Outline on pages 72 to 78 and as illustrated in Figure II.

| Types $\rightarrow$ | I |  |  | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  | IV |  | V |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroups $\rightarrow$ | $\begin{array}{ll} A \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{A} \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | B <br> 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jersey | 6 | 17 | 14 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Guernsey | 4 | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 6 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 | 3 |  | 1 |
| Ayrshire | 22 |  | 5 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Brown <br> Swiss | 2 | 21 | 5 | 21 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |
| Holstein |  | 4 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Breeds } \end{aligned}$ | 317 | 919 | 39 | 51 | 11 | 7 | 8 |  | 1 | 10 | 2 | 1 |  | 18 | 4 |  | 5 |

## FIGURES

The figures on the following pages are arranged to illustrate the various types of bovine electrocardiograms encountered:

Figure II A shows various types of electrocardiograms arranged according to the classification on pases 72 to 78. The Roren numerals on the extreme ridht have reference to leads; the Roman numerals to the left, as well as the canital letters, have reference to the major subdivisions of the classification referred to above, and the Arabic numbers under each EKG refer to individuals. The figure is reduced to about onefourth actual size of the original.

Figures II $B, C, D$, and $E$ are the components of Figure II $A$, as indicated by the number under each EKG, enlerged to the actual size of the original records.

Figure III is an unusual type of bovine electrocardiogram, about one-half original size to show tyoe of mounting card emoloyed. The arrangement of leads is I, II, and III in descending order from top to bottom of the figure.
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Figure III - An Unusual Type of Bovine Electrocardiogram. This figure is reduced in size to show type of mounting card employed. The dimensions of the original card are $81 / 2$ by 11 inches.


## THE BLCCTRICAL AXIS OF IHY ROVINE HEART

The approximate electrical axis of each electrocardiogram in the series was determined by the method described under procedure. These values are recorded in Table XiX. Due to the superficial methods enployed and the general unfavorableness of many tracings, the data presented in this section are only of the most general nature and do not warrant definite conclusions. If the electrocardiograph should prove to be of value in certain bovine experimental procedures, more detailed study of the normal is essential.

Table XXVII shows the electrical axis distribution in the first monthly tracings of the five breeds composing the present series. Study of this table shows that practically 50 per cent of the animals are In the axis range from $+30^{\circ}$ to $+90^{\circ}$ inclusive, and 65 per cent from $+30^{\circ}$ to $+170^{\circ}$. Only 17 per cent are grouped in the $-30^{\circ}$ to $-160^{\circ}$ range,

Table XXVII. Distribution of Electrical Axes by Breeds in the First Monthly Tracings.

| Range $\rightarrow$ | $+30^{\circ}$ <br> to <br> $+91^{\circ}$ | $+91^{\circ}$ <br> to <br> $+170^{\circ}$ | $-30^{\circ}$ <br> to <br> $-91^{\circ}$ | $-91^{\circ}$ <br> to <br> $-160^{\circ}$ | $180^{\circ}$ | Non- <br> Determinable |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jersey | 13 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Guernsey | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Ayrshire | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Brown Swiss | 8 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Holstein | 14 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| TOMAL | 48 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 9 |

with but 6 per cent showing the extreme deviation of $180^{\circ}$. It is interesting but perhads not significant to note that no individuels were present in a radius of $30^{\circ}$ on either side of zero. Considering the relatively small size of the group and the methods employed in axis determination, conclusions concernine breed differences should pernaps not be drawn.

Ten animals (about 10 per cent) displayed, in the course of the three monthly tracines, electrocardiograme of a tyoe precluding even an aporoximate axis determination. This group is best described in the following outline:
I. Electrocardiograms showing a non-determinable exis in all three monthly trecings.
A. Due to marsed rotation of the electrical axis during systole*:

1. Ayrshire \#163 Fig. II-A 23;
2. Ayrshire \#151;
3. Brown Swiss \#302;
4. Holstein \#280 Fig. V-J;
5. Holstein \#261 Fig. II-A 43;
B. Due to unfavorable, low potential, and often vibratory tyne of QRS in two or more leads:
6. Guernsey \#57;
7. Brown Swiss \#245;
8. Holstein \#256 Fig. II-A 48.
II. Electrocardiograms showing a non-determinable electrical axis in only one of the three monthly tracines.
 90) is unique in that QRS in leads II and III are aporoximately mirror images of each other. This coupled with an unfavorable vibratory type QRS in lead I renders axis determination impossible. The succeeding two monthly records

[^17]in this animal show a definite electrical aris of approxinately $+90^{\circ}$.
B. Brown Swiss \#306. Since this individual is especially prone to show changes* during the actual recording of its EKG, Figure VIII (BKG \#B316) is selected for discussion here. Paying no attention to the last half of lead III which will be taken up later (page 97), it can be seen that the low potential upward sumation of QBS in all three leads makes axis determination impossible.

To sum up the foregoing outline, it is apparent that records of which the electrical aris is not determinable are lareely confined to those individuals whose $Q R S$ is either of two types:
(a) Tracings showing usually vibratory QRS of low potential;
(b) Tracings showing usually di- and sometimes triphasic QRS the algebraic sum of whose potential is very close to zero**.

In concluding this section the periodic variations in electrical axis shown in Table XXX Fill be briefly considered. Taking the first monthly record merely as a basis for comparison, the distribution of the maximum deviation in either direction from this $s$ tandard in the two succeeding electrocardiograms is as follows:

[^18]Table XXVIII. Distribution of the Maximum Monthly Axis Variation From Those Present in the Initial Tracings.
$\left|\begin{array}{lcccccccc}\hline \text { Degree of } & 10^{\circ} & 20^{\circ} & 30^{\circ} & 40^{\circ} & 45^{\circ} & 60^{\circ} & 80^{\circ} & \text { Total } \\ \text { Variation } \rightarrow & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ \text { Jersey } & 3 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 9 \\ \text { Gernsey } & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ \text { Ayrshire* } & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ \text { Brown Swiss } & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \text { Holstein } & 0 & 10 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 26 \\ \hline \text { All Breeds } & 8 & 4 & 10\end{array}\right|$

From these figures it is evident that the most frequently occurring deviation is in the 10 to 30 degree range, where we find grouped 22 of the 26 animals showing appreciatle monthly variations. It must be remarked further that many individuals displayed monthly axis changes which were minor enouch to be undetected because of the rough methods employed. This warrants the oninion that more accurate and painstaking aralyses would bring to light a greater incidence of periodic variation than that indicated in Table XXX. Finally, when one considers the unfavorable plane from which current is lead off by the standard Einthoven leads in cattle and superimposes upon this the relative mobility of the bovine heart, it is extremely doubtful that axial changes in experimental procedures requiring repeated records can ever be safely considered as significant in this species. That part of this conclusion which concerns cardiac mobility is in general agreement with the findins of Katz, et al., in dogs (28).

[^19]
Figure IV - A Bovine EKK of a Type Precluding Cardiac Electrical Axis Determination.

## VARIATIORTS IN TH: BOVINE ILECTROCAPDOGRAM

The three monthly tracines on each animal included in this series affords a reasonable opportunity for studying any chanees in the bovine electrocardiogram occurring during these intervals. For convenience in comparison Table XXX (apvendix), showinf the occurrence of all the waves composing a complete cardiac cycle in each of the three montrly electrom cardiograms arranged according to breeds, etc., was devised. In order that the table embody as much information as possible, symbols indicating the more general nature of the various deflections were necessary, and detailed study cannot be made without strict reference to the appended key. Since even the most minor changes were recorded, any attempt at statistical treatment of this phase of the subject could only end in confusion. Therefore, the discussion at this point will be of a far more general nature than has hitherto been employed. Concerning the table, only a few more or less sweening generalizations will be drawn. Monthly changes in the $P$ and $T$ deflections are largely but not always, associated with the variable diphasicity so characteristic of these waves in the bovine electrocardiogram. The nature of this change is discussed more completely on pages 23 and 29. In the case of $P$, we have superimposed upon this $t$ ype of variability the inconstancy of low potential waves in certain subjects. Variability in the occurrence of deflections composing the QSS groups is due in many cases to inconstant low potential $Q$ and $S$ waves.

Since Table XXX fails to show certain significant changes in form of the QRS group in serial electrocardiograms, furtiner elahoration of


#### Abstract

the general character of these variations is necessary. For this purpose Figure $V$ is presented. This illustration contains EKG complexes from each lead of the three monthly tracings of the eleven animals showing the most outstanding periodic variations. For purposes of convenience only those subjects showing changes not only in qeS but also $P$ and $T$ waves were selected. It is not to be assumed that these represent an averace cross section of the series. Many individuals displayed varying degrees of change in $P, Q R S$, or $T$ alone. In many cases these were quite minor. A few showed practically no monthly change.


To return to a discussion of the figure, it will be seen that subjects $A, B, C$, and $D$ illustrate chanqes in $R$ wave types characterized by varying degrees of coarsening with or without notching of the descending limb. Thile the complexes of each individual in general tend to resambe each other, the changes show no definite trend, there being no correlation between notching one month, and coarsening of the entire, or the terminal portion of the downstroke in succeeding or preceeding tracings. Subjects $E, F, G, H$, end $I$ show, in addition to $R$ and $Q$ wave types of $Q R S$, mainly changes in the vibratory $Q R S$ complexes so frequently encountered in this study. The chance here is almost invariably an increase in excursion of the descending limb of the first soike which is of ten great enough to cross the isoelectric level, or vice versa in the case of $Q R S$ showin negative summation. Subject $K$ is representative of chanees observed in unfavorable electrocardingrams.

Since monthly chanees in potential of $Q P S$ are in the main not strixing, it would seem difficult to reconcile these variations with
simple axial rotetion of the bovine heart. Very little is known about this subject. Frior to 1935 , variations in serial electrocardiograms of animals were given little thought. At about this time Katz, et al, (28), working with serial electrocardiograms of dogs found significant variations referable to changes in electrical ares the to the greater mobility of the heart in the chest of this snecies as comared to the human surject.

Yonthly changes in $P$ occur more frequently in the low potential waves, (especially lead I and to a lesser extent lead III). The orincipal variation is in potential, great enough to result in complete
 happen occasionally within a lead (subject $K$, lead III of the first monthly tracing). In one subject ( $C$, lead I) there is direct reversal of potential. The chances in diphasic $P$ waves showing positive or negative sumation one month to mononhasic in the direction of their predominance in a subsequent or previous monthly tracing, so strikingly illustrated in Table XXX, is unfortunately not well shown in the figure. Lead II in subject $C$ and $G$, and lend III in subject $H$ illustrate this somewhat.

Monthly chances in $T$, unlike $P$, are not associnted largely with low potential. Here, as with the other deflections, no definite trend is shown and classification is impossible. The principal variation seen is diphasic to positive or negative monophasic, and this is not always in the direction of predominance of the diphasic wave in a previous or subsequent tracing. These changes occur quite regularly
in the electrocardiograms shown in the figure and aprear in all leads. More or less direct reversal is seen in leads I and III of subject A and $K$, and lead II of subjects $E, H$, and $I$. Subject $A$ is interesting in that complete reversal occurred the third month with diphasic waves in the second monthly tracing.

Changes Within Leads. Reserved for final discussion in this phase of the study are the changes occurring within a lead during the actual recording of a bovine electrocardiogram. Since intra-lead changes in $Q R S$ phasic with respiration is not infrequent in the human subject (29), and has also been observed in cattle (6), it is not inconceivable that this factor may be of imortance here. This was not carefully checked in the present study and must await further investigation.

Very slight chances in potential of QRS ( 0.05 to 0.1 millivolt) occurred in a great majority of the records. Potential changes slintly greater than this, especially in the type 5 and 7 complexes of Figure I, results in an apparent change in the character of $Q R S$. This is due to the fact that the lovering of potential is proportionally greater in the initial spike so that an $R$ wave with a coarsened notched downstroke, for example, or an $M-s h a p e d Q R S$ with a higher usually fine initial deflection during one cardiac cycle may in a succeeding cycle be more truly in the shape of the letter M. Varying degrees of this form of change are most outstandingly shown in the following subjects:

Brown Swiss $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } 303 \\ & \text { I III of Fig. VI (EKKG B142) }\end{aligned}$
Holstein \#220
I III of Fig. II A-13 (EKG B405)
Jersey 4116
Holstein \#180
L II of Fig. II A-18 (EKG B328)
L II of Fig. II A-20 (HKG B570)


Sometimes the chane is from $R$ with a coarsened notched downstroke to
 Fienure VII, ENKG B440).

Marked changes were sometimes encountered after movement due to restlessness or nervousness during the $\operatorname{HK}$ recording. This is most strikingly seen $\ln$ lead III of Brown Swiss \#306 (Figure VIII, EKG B316). During the recording of the third lead in this trecing, sufficient restlessness occurred to necessitate cessation of operations for a moment or two. When the record was resumed it can be seen that grave chances in the character of the entire complex had taicen place. These are mainly an increase in potential of all waves with direct reversal of $Q R S$. It must be further observed that the factor of heart rate is probably of little significance here since sufficient time was allowed for this to return to the normal winch obtained in the first half of the lead recording. Another subiect (Guernsey \#l, Figure IX) during the recording of lead I shows a change from mainly $Q$ predominance with only a faint sugestion of $R$ to a definite $Q R$ tipe of complex after restlessness so slint as to be eccompanfed by muscular effects on? y great enough to cause a slift of the string of approximately one $\mathrm{cm} .$, and no appreciable increase in heart rate.


Figure VI - Bovine FKG Showing Variations in the QRS Group During the Recording of Lead III.

Figure VII - Bovine EKG Showing Changes in Character of QRS During
the Recording of Lead II in a Nervous Animal. The disappearance of
the string shadow in lead III is due to muscular movement.

Figure VIII - Bovine FKK Showing Changes in Character of Waves Following

Figure IX - Bovine EKG Showing Changes in Complexes Following
Very Slight Muscular Movement During the Recording
of Lead I.

## SURARY

1. Three serial electrocardiograms apmoximately one month apart were teken on each of 97 normal cattle convosing an entire dairy herd and representing the Jersey, Guernsey, Ayrshire, Brown Swiss, and Holstein breeds. The group wes kent under modern conditions of herd management and maintained for optimum milk production. All ages from five months to twelve years were included. In this manner the effect of variations in age, period of gestation and lact tion, breed differences, and individual montily variations on the electrocardiogram colld be studied.
2. The cardiac frequencies encountered ranged from a minimm of 48 to a maximum of 98 per minute, with a mean of 71.6 for the entire group. Tiese valies very closely aporoximate the normals determined by other investicators, when all conditioning factors are considered.
3. The deflection $P$ was invariably present in lead II. In a few coses it was totally absent in leads I and III or when present was of such extremely low potential that its form could not be satisfactorily determined. The notential of determinable waves ranged from below 0.03 millivolt in all three leads to the marimm of 0.12 in lead $I, 0.18$ in lead II, and 0.02 in lead III. The mean values for the entire group were $0.06,0.10$, and 0.07 millivolt in leads $I$, II, and III respectively. Broadly speaking the deflection was more prone to be diohesic in leads I and II and monophasic in lead III, al thourh severel combinations of
the two types in the three leads were present. In about 90 per cent of the cases the net area of the deflection was positive. The balance was composed of dinhasic waves with onnosite excursions of about equal size. Nogative $P$ waves occurred in only tro instances in lead I and in a sincle instance in lead III.
4. The deflection $Q$ ras found to occur in 60 per cent of the instances in lead $I, 80$ per cent in lead II, and 70 per cent in lead III. Its potential ranged from below 0.03 millivolt in all three leads to maxima of $0.60,1.00$, and 0.00 millivolt in leads $I$, II, and III respectively. The means for the kroup were 0.23 millivolt in lead I, 0.15 in lead II, and 0.11 in lead III. Of the Holctein group in winch $Q$ occurred, only two individuals displayed a notentinl greater than 0.12 millivolt.
5. Tre deflection $R$ occurred in 78 per cent of the instances in lead $I$, and 93 per cent in leads II and III. Its potential ranged from below 0.03 millivolt in all tinree leads to maxima of $0.70,2.60$, and 1.50 millivolts in leads $I$, II, and III respectively. The mean for the entire series was found to be 0.16 millivolt in lead I, 0.37 in lead II, and 0.35 in lead III.
6. The deflection $S$ was present in 23 per cent of the cases in lead $I$, only 8 per cent in lead II, and 20 per cent in lead III. fotentials were uniformly low, never above 0.25 millivolt in any lead. The mean for the series was C. 06 millivolt in lead $I, 0.07$ in lead II, and 0.12 in lead III.
7. The second unricht Q? deflection was of the least frequent occurrence, being present in 2 per cent of the instances in lead $I$, 4 per cent in lead II, and 14 per cont in lead III. Fotentials were very low, rancing from the minimum of below 0.03 to the maximum of 0.20 millivolt.
8. The deflection $T$ was present in all individels and in all leads. This wave was more frequently monophasic in lead I and diphasic in leads II and III. However, several combinations of the tro types in the three leads were present. Monophasic waves were lareely negative in leads I and II, and positive in lead III. Diohasic waves showed negative sumnation in most instances. Fotentials were found to rafge from a minimu of kelow 0.03 millivolt in all three leads to the maxima of $0.60,1.10$, and 0.90 millivolts in leads $I$, II, and III respectively. The hean values were 0.20 millivolt in lead $I, 0.31$ in lead II, and 0.18 in lead III.
9. The duration of the $P-R$ interval ranced from a minimum of 0.1 to a maximun of 0.3 second, with an average duration of 0.19 second. Some slight individual monthly variations as well as variations between the breeds were found.
10. The duration of QES ranged from 0.06 to 0.12 second with an averace value of 0.004 second. In general the lowest values were nowe com:ion in lead I and the highest in lead III. However, the differences between leads II and III were not very great. Monthly variations were more common in lead $I$.
11. The $Q-T$ interval ranged from a minimu of 0.20 to a maximu of 0.47 second, with an average duration of 0.359 second. This interval increases in duration with a decrerse in heart rate and vice versa. For this reason great variations occurred.
12. The systolic index as determined by Bazett's formia ranced from 0.34 to 0.48 , with an average of 0.418 . Indsidual montaly variations of from 0.001 to 0.030 were not uncommon.
13. The electrical axis of the bovine heart ranged in 65 oer cent of the individuals from +30 to +170 degrees. The balance were largely grouped in the -30 to -150 degree ranoe. Ten animals displayed, in one or of of the three serial electrocerdiograms, records of a tine precluaing even an aporoximate axis determination.
14. An attemot was made to classify the bovine electrocardiogram according to the following general scheme:

Group I. Tracines in which $R$ was the largest $Q R S$ deflection in at least two leads.

Grow II. Tracin s characterized mainly by extremely uneven rotation of the electrical axis durine the execution of QRS, thus giving rise to various tyoes of bizarre multiphase complexes.

Groun III. Tracings showing mainly diphasic QPS complexes of rather extreme breadth.

Group IV. Tracings showing QTS complexes with a negative net area in at least two lead.s.

Grow V. Miscellaneous unfavorable, low potential, or otherwise יnclassifiable electrocardiograns.

The major groups were further subdivided upon the basis of $Q P S$ type and its occurrence in the several lead conbinations as well as the form of QES in the indifferent lead.
15. Variations in serial electrocardiograns as well as variations occurring during the recording of leads were stucied. The former occurred with great frequency, but were on the whole relatively minor. The various deflections usually retained a rather close resemblance to each other in the three serial tracings of most individuals. In general, the auricular deflection was the most constant in form from month to month.

Variations within a lead occurred infrequently and are discussed. Breed differences were not sufficiently grent to warrant the drawing of conclusions in view of the relatively small number composing each group.
16. No marked influence of stage of gestation upon the bovine electrocardiogram could be discerned in this study. Whether some of the variations observed were due to this factor could not be determined.

The above observations also hold true for any possible influence of stace of lactation.
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APPENDIX


| $\pm \underline{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abs.... absent weve | N. . . . . notched |
| C...... coursened wave | Mn'.... non-measurable (i.e., below |
| CD..... coursened downstroke | 0.03 millivolt) |
| CID.... coursened irregular | R..... rounded |
| dornstroke | S..... slow |
| CWD.... coursened notched downstroize | T..... trace |
| CIJ..... coursened ucstroke | Va.... variable |
| D...... diphasic (both + and - | Vi.... vibratory |
| potentials measured) | VD.... vibratory downstroke |
| F...... forked | VU.... vibratory upstroke |
| I...... inconstant low potential weve | T..... "\%" shape complex |

[^20]|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Age } \\ \text { (1st } \\ \text { Month) } \end{array}$ |  |  | Rate <br>  |  |  | EKG Intervals（Sec．） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PR |  |  | QRS |  |  | Q |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'す5 } \\ & \text { H } \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 厄委 } \\ & \text { Mg } \end{aligned}$ |  | rig | $\begin{aligned} & \text { od } \\ & \text { H } \\ & \text { MO } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 62 | － | 8 |  | I | 91 | 100 | 59 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.40 | $$ |
|  |  |  |  | II | 84 | 94 | 60 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.40 |  |
|  |  |  | III | 84 | 94 | 65 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.40 |  |  |
| 90 | － | 11 | I | 65 | 103 | 72 | 0.16 | NM | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.32 | 0.40 | INN |  |
|  |  |  | II | 68 | 100 | 77 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.36 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 72 | 100 | 94 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0 |  |
| 80 | 1 | 3 | I | 75 | 58 | 63 | 0.16 | NM | 0.18 | 0.06 | ITM | 0.07 | 0.37 | NM | NM |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 70 | 60 | 63 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.42 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 68 | 58 | 60 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.44 |  |  |
| 89 | 1 | 4 | I | 72 | 63 | 65 | 0.18 | NM | 0.18 | 0.08 | NM | 0.06 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.38 | － |  |
|  |  |  | II | 72 | 63 | 63 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.40 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 72 | 63 | 63 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.38 | $00^{\circ}$ |  |
| 88 | 1 | 6 | I | 60 | 56 | 73 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.38 | －1 |  |
|  |  |  | II | 65 | 54 | 68 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 士 士 士 |  |
|  |  |  | III | 58 | 58 | 68 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.40 | $0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 85 | 1 | 7 | I | 60 | 50 | 60 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.07 | NM | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.40 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 57 | 48 | 58 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.40 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 56 | 48 | 60 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 000 |  |
| 86 | 1 | 7 | I | 73 | 54 | 59 | INM | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 6 6 0 |  |
|  |  |  | II | 72 | 48 | 60 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.44 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 72 | 50 | 58 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.44 | $\bigcirc 0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 87 | 1 | 7 | I | 75 | 68 | 79 | 0.16 | NM | 0.16 | 0.05 | NM | 0.06 | 0.40 | NM | 0.36 | ঢ\％m |  |
|  |  |  | II | 68 | 72 | 79 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.40 | $0.34$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 68 | 75 | 79 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.40 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .34 \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 73 | 1 | 9 | I | 74 | 88 | 88 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.36 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 74 | 79 | 84 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.36 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 72 | 79 | 88 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 1M | 0.36 | 00 |  |
| 84 | 1 | 10 | I | 75 | 65 | 65 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.10 | ITM | NM | 0.44 | ¢80 |  |
|  |  |  | II | 77 | 65 | 70 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.44 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 77 | 65 | 75 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.42 | － |  |
| 79 | 2 | 1 | I | 63 | 75 | 68 | NM | NM | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.40 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 63 | 75 | 68 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.44 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 63 | 75 | 68 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.40 | O |  |
| 116 | 3 | 5 | I | 59 | 79 | 72 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.06 | TMM | NM | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.42 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 60 | 75 | 68 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.46 | 0.38 | 0.44 | ふอ |  |
|  |  |  | III | 63 | 75 | 67 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.44 | 17M | 0.46 | $\bigcirc 0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 117 | 3 | 6 | I | 60 | 68 | 75 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.36 | न¢06 |  |
|  |  |  | II | 58 | 72 | 72 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.40 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 58 | 68 | 79 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.36 | $\bigcirc 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 114 | 3 | 7 | I | 46 | 48 | 48 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | NM | NM | 0.45 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 47 | 5.1 | 50 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.46 | $\stackrel{\infty}{m}$ |  |
|  |  |  | III | 47 | 50 | 48 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.48 | － |  |
| 115 | 3 |  | I | 54 | 72 | 68 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 660 |  |
|  |  | 7 | II | 54 | 72 | 68 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.40 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 56 | 72 | 65 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.40 | $\bigcirc 0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 11.8 | 3 | 11. | I | 56 | 70 | 72 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.40 | nnm |  |
|  |  |  | II | 54 | 77 | 63 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.42 | Э $\because$ 。 |  |
|  |  |  | III | 52 | 77 | 65 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.40 | $00^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 111 | 4 | 1 | I | 60 | 70 | 72 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.36 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 60 | 68 | 68 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 은. |  |
|  |  |  | III | 60 | 68 | 72 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.38 | $\bigcirc 0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 108 | 4 | 10 | I | 60 | 62 | 47 | NM | NM | NM | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.44 | ¢0\％ |  |
|  |  |  | II | 52 | 67 | 54 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.50 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 52 | 60 | 48 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | $\because 0 .$ |  |
| 101 | 5 | 7 | I | 56 | 58 | 55 | 0.22 | 0.22 | NM | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.44 | 0.42 | INM | に边 |  |
|  |  |  | II | 54 | 56 | 56 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.48 | －゙き |  |
|  |  |  | III | 50 | 57 | 54 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.48 |  |  |
| 100 | 5 | 8 | I | 62 | 73 | 70 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.41 | IM | 0.40 | －N |  |
|  |  |  | II | 63 | 72 | 70 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 可 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | III | 63 | 73 | 72 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.40 | $\bigcirc 0^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| 95 | 5 | 10 | I | 63 | 58 | 65 | IM | NM | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.38 | す¢ |  |
|  |  |  | II | 62 | 58 | 64 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | $7 \mathrm{M}=$ |  |
|  |  |  | III | 62 | 60 | 61 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 000 |  |
| 97 | 8 | 4 | I | 63 | 61 | 60 | NM | NMM | 0.20 | IM | NM | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 63 | 59 | 63 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | $0.42$ | $0.44$ | $0.42$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { ¢ }}$ ¢ |  |
|  |  |  | III | 60 | 63 | 61 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.44 | NM | 0.42 | $\bigcirc 0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 63 | 12 |  | I | 72 | 65 | 68 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.40 |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | II | 72 | 65 | 68 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.40 | $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ |  |
|  |  |  | III | 72 | 65 | 68 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | NM | 0.44 | 0.44 |  |  |

Table XXIX．Continued．

GURRNSEY

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Hig } \\ \text { 䛼运 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (list } \\ \text { Month) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rog } \\ & \text { 䁹 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | BKG Intervals（Sec．） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PR |  |  | QRS |  |  | QT |  |  |
|  |  |  | + |  |  |  | d | dis |  | ro t | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ro } \\ & \text { 4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 和界 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { 出 } \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Yrs | Mo． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | m |  |
| 6 | － | 10 |  | I | 100 | 82 | 82 | NM | 0.16 | NM | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.32 |  |
|  |  |  |  | II | 100 | 79 | 86 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.32 |  |
|  |  |  | III | 94 | 77 | 86 | 0.15 | INM | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.30 |  |  |
| 8 | － | 10 | I | 104 | 84 | 100 | 0.16 | 0.16 | INM | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.28 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 104 | 82 | 103 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.30 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 100 | 79 | 94 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.30 |  |  |
| 3 | － | 11 | I | 72 | 100 | 100 | 0.16 | 0.14 | NM | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.34 | 0.34 | ¢6 ¢ |  |
|  |  |  | II | 70 | 86 | 84 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.36 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 72 | 84 | 84 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.37 | O |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 84 | 94 | 94 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 17M |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 79 | 94 | 70 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.36 | \％ |  |
|  |  |  | III | 84 | 100 | 75 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.36 | $0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | I | 115 | 100 | 94 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.34 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 100 | 86 | 88 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.33 | $\underset{\exists}{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{~F} \text { M }$ |  |
|  |  |  | III | 125 | 88 | 88 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 00 |  |
|  | 1 | 8 | I | 88 | 68 | NM | IM | INM | NM | 0.05 | INM | NM | 0.34 | NM | ITM | 6 |  |
| 57 |  |  | II | 68 | 68 | 68 | NM | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.38 | N |  |
|  |  |  | III | 68 | 65 | 60 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 00 |  |
|  | 1 | 6 | I | 88 | 79 | 75 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.34 | 0.34 | NM |  |  |
| 60 |  |  | II | 100 | 79 | 75 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.34 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 91 | 75 | 72 | 0.16 | IM | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.38 | $00^{\circ}$ |  |
| 59 | 1 | 7 | I | 97 | 75 | 88 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.32 | M |  |
|  |  |  | II | 88 | 75 | 91 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.32 | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |
|  |  |  | III | 82 | 75 | 91 | 0.17 | 0.19 | IM | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.32 | $\bigcirc{ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| 55 | 1 | 11 | I | 75 | 65 | 60 | 0.19 | NM | NM | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.38 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 60 | 72 | 58 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.44 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 60 | 72 | 58 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.40 |  |  |
| 46 | 2 | 8 | I | 61 | 63 | 54 | 0.20 | INM | NM | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.46 | － |  |
|  |  |  | II | 61 | 63 | 63 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.70 | 0.44 | ． 4 | 0.45 | － |  |
|  |  |  | III | 61 | 58 | 54 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0. | $\bigcirc 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 50 | 2 | 9 | I | 58 | 60 | 54 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.41 | O |  |
|  |  |  | II | 60 | 60 | 54 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.44 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 60 | 54 | 55 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | $0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 48 | 3 | － | I | 54 | 55 | 50 | 0.26 | INM | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.42 | － |  |
|  |  |  | II | 43 | 50 | 50 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 43 | 50 | 48 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | $\circ^{\circ} 0^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| 47 | 3 | 1 | I | 65 | 75 | 79 | 0.22 | 0.21 | NM | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.38 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 68 | 75 | 75 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.38 | べさ |  |
|  |  |  | III | 68 | 75 | 75 | 0.19 | NM | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.38 |  |  |  |
| 44 | 3 | 10 | I | 75 | 79 | 72 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.35 | －${ }^{0}$ |  |
|  |  |  | II | 75 | 82 | 72 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.40 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 75 | 84 | 75 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.40 | $\bigcirc{ }^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 41 | 4 | － | I | 68 | 68 | 68 | NM | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.38 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 68 | 68 | 79 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.39 | すきま |  |
|  |  |  | III | 65 | 68 | 72 | 0.20 | NM | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.40 | O |  |
| 42 | 4 | － | I | 56 | 63 | 60 | IM | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |  | 0.42 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 54 | 68 | 65 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.44 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 58 | 72 | 52 | 0.16 | MM | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 00 |  |
| 37 | 4 | 7 | I | 58 | 50 | 57 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.40 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 54 | 50 | 56 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.42 |  |  |
|  |  |  | III | 54 | 52 | 56 | IMM | NM | IM | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.44 |  |  |
| 30 | 5 | 11 | I | 79 | 65 | 75 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.36 | NM | 0.37 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 79 | 63 | 70 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.38 | a |  |
|  |  |  | III | 75 | 58 | 65 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 000 |  |
| 28 | 6 | 10 | I | 84 | 75 | 68 | 0.20 | NM | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.44 | $\cdots$ N6 |  |
|  |  |  | II | 75 | 84 | 68 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.42 | Э． |  |
|  |  |  | III | 73 | 75 | 65 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.40 | $0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 25 | 6 | 11 | I | 72 | 75 | 65 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.38 |  | 0.40 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 68 | 72 | 64 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.42 | Y |  |
|  |  |  | III | 72 | 72 | 63 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.42 | $\bigcirc 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 14 | 8 | 2 | I | 79 | 79 | 66 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.46 |  |  |
|  |  |  | II | 72 | 84 | 55 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 9 |  |
|  |  |  | III | 72 | 79 | 56 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.48 | $\bigcirc 0^{\circ}$ |  |
| 7 | 11 |  | T | 65 | 77 | 68 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.37 | 0.36 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 | II | 65 | 77 | 66 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.40 | － |  |
|  |  |  | III | 70 | 77 | 68 | NM | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.40 | $\bigcirc 0^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ |  |

A YRSHIRE

| 裚: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (Ist } \\ \text { Month) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | EKKG Intervals（Sec．） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PR | QRS |  |  | QT |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { व్ } \\ & \text { वू } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vit } \\ & \text { H. } \\ & \text { Mo } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +\frac{9}{4} \\ & \text { 等 } \\ & \rightarrow \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ชす } \\ & \text { 的 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { do } \\ & \text { 枵 } \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ |  | で | $\begin{aligned} & \text { w } \\ & \text { 炒 } \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 163 | － | 8 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 94 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 68 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 97 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.18 \\ & 0.16 \\ & 0.16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \\ & 0.16 \\ & 0.16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17 \\ & 0.16 \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.06 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.29 \\ & 0.32 \\ & 0.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 0.32 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 0.32 \\ & 0.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ñ } \\ & \text { 以 } \\ & \text { KN } \\ & \circ \circ \circ \end{aligned}$ |
| 162 | － | 9 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { II } \\ \text { III } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \\ 107 \\ 100 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 94 94 91 | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \\ & 97 \\ & 97 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.18 \\ & 0.16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N M M \\ & N M \\ & N M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NM} \\ & \mathrm{NM} \\ & \mathrm{NM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04 \\ & 0.06 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{~m} \\ & 0.06 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NM } \\ & 0.06 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.29 \\ & 0.32 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NM} \\ & 0.28 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28 \\ & 0.28 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50, } \\ & \text { MN } \\ & 00_{0}^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| 161 | 1 | 1 | II | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \\ & 84 \\ & 84 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 94 \\ & 91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107 \\ 107 \\ 107 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \\ & 0.17 \\ & \mathrm{MM} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \\ & \text { NM } \\ & \text { NM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NM} \\ & \mathrm{NM} \\ & \mathrm{NM} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 0.30 \\ & 0.35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 0.28 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 0.26 \\ & 0.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mon } \\ & \text { MNN } \\ & \dot{M} 0^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| 160 | 1 | 8 | II | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 72 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 63 \\ & 64 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 75 \\ & 94 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \mathrm{M} \\ 0.22 \\ 0.19 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.20 \\ 0.23 \\ 0.20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \\ & 0.21 \\ & 0.17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 0.38 \\ & 0.38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.36 \\ & 0.36 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MMI} \\ & 0.32 \\ & 0.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NHN HN } \\ & \text { MN } \\ & \dot{\circ} \dot{0} \circ \end{aligned}$ |
| 159 | 1 | 8 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { II } \\ \text { III } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 52 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 65 \\ & 58 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 58 \\ 58 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NM } \\ & 0.20 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.20 \\ 0.20 \\ \text { NM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INM } \\ & 0.22 \\ & 0.23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 0.09 \\ & 0.07 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.08 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.38 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.42 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 156 | 2 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { II } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 84 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 88 \\ & 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & 86 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.23 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17 \\ & 0.16 \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.18 \\ & 0.18 \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.37 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.37 \\ & 0.37 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.36 \\ & 0.38 \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { He 志 } \\ & \text { 志 } \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 153 | 2 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { II } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 79 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 68 \\ & 65 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 68 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \\ & 0.22 \\ & \text { IMM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NMM } \\ & 0.22 \\ & 0.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \\ & 0.22 \\ & 0.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.36 \\ & 0.38 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.38 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 152 | 2 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} I I \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 63 \\ & 65 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 58 \\ & 58 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 65 \\ & 63 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \\ & 0.22 \\ & 0.24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NMM } \\ & 0.24 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.20 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.44 \\ & 0.42 \\ & 0.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.44 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 151 | 2 | 8 | II | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 68 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 68 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 68 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \\ & 0.21 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \\ & 0.18 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \\ & 0.19 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.38 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.42 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 150 | 2 | 11 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { II } \\ \text { III } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 75 \\ & 72 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 68 \\ & 68 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 58 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.18 \\ & 0.16 \\ & 0.18 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NM } \\ 0.20 \\ 0.22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.18 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 0.10 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 0.10 \\ & 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.10 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 0.38 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.41 \\ & 0.44 \\ & 0.44 \end{aligned}$ | $$ |
| 146 | 3 | 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { II } \\ \text { III } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 68 \\ & 68 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 63 \\ & 68 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 63 \\ & 58 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NM } \\ 0.22 \\ 0.24 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \\ & 0.24 \\ & 0.20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \\ & 0.22 \\ & 0.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \\ & 0.42 \\ & 0.41 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 144 | 3 | 6 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { I } \\ \text { III } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \\ & 79 \\ & 77 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 65 \\ & 63 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 58 \\ & 54 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.20 \\ & 0.20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.21 \\ & 0.20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \\ & 0.23 \\ & 0.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.11 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.12 \\ & 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.11 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.40 \\ 0.38 \\ \text { IM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.44 \\ & 0.44 \\ & 0.46 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 141 | 4 | 9 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { II } \\ \text { III } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & 125 \\ & 115 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 90 \\ & 88 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 79 \\ & 72 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.18 \\ & 0.16 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.17 \\ & \text { NM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.20 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.09 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.08 \\ 0.09 \\ \text { NM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28 \\ & 0.28 \\ & \mathrm{NM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.32 \\ 0.33 \\ M M \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.36 \\ & 0.36 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ |  |
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[^0]:    * This method consisted essentially of wrapping the 1 imbs with a soft cloth soaked in very warm saturated saline solution around which was wound five turns of \#19 bare copper wire.

[^1]:    - Formula supplied through courtesy of Dr. F. N. Wilson, Dep't. of Internal Medicine, University Hospital, Ann Arbor, Mich. The paste consists essentially of sodium chloride, potassium bitartrate, glycerin, and pumice incorporated in a gum tragacanth gel with phenol added as a preservative.

[^2]:    * It is interesting to note in passing that a marked increase in cardiac frequency was observed during the act of urination. No tracing was recorded in which this is shown.

[^3]:    * For further information Table XXIX (Appendix), showing the rate during each lead of the three monthly tracings for every individual. may be consul ted.

[^4]:    * Jersey Nos. 73, 79, 101, 100 and 63; Guernsey Nos. 7 and 47; and Brown Swiss Nos. 239 and 300.
    ** Nineteen of the 97 animals were under 1 year of age.

[^5]:    * Since monthly variations in diphasic $T$ waves are common, it is entirely possible that, should the final phase be absent (isoelectric) one month, the interval would have a tendency to be as much shorter as the duration of the absent phase. This would also be true of the monthly inconstancy seen in the initial effects of QRS. Variations extreme enough to cause a marked difference in $Q-T$ time are relatively infrequent providing the longest interval in any lead be chosen, and any influence this factor may have tends to be lost in the variations due to rate. This phase of electrocardiography in the human suoject is statistically treated by Adams, (25).

[^6]:    * This agreement is within 0.001 .

[^7]:    * Average of the three monthly tracings on each individual.

[^8]:    * The values used here are averages of all three leads in three tracings. ** The figures for $K$ are purely numerical.

[^9]:    * Due to the peculiar anatomy of this species relatively complete muscular relaxation may be present while in the standing position.

[^10]:    * The symbols DD+ means that the deflection was diphasic in leads I and II, and positive monophasic in lead III. Similarly, +++ indicates a positive monophasic wave in leads $I$, II, and III, etc.

[^11]:    * Guernsey No. 46, see Table XXX.

[^12]:    * The discrepancy in numbers here is due to non-determinable waves.

[^13]:    * See discussion, page 65.

[^14]:    * Due to the variability of $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$, the maximum potential of this wave is taken from any lead of the three monthly tracings.

[^15]:    - Since there are three serial electrocardiograms for each of the 97 animals represented, the data for this table is obtained from a total of 291 records ( 873 leads). Any discrepancies occurring are due to monthly variations in form of QRS.

[^16]:    * While justification could ferhaps be obtained for the disposition of this group by placing them in the nearest correct position in the preceeding outline, it was thought best to create for the time being a separate class for these tracings.

[^17]:    * Discussed on pace 66.

[^18]:    * This is evidenced by comparing the third monthly tracing in K of Figure $V$ with the figure referred to above. Both of these illustrations were obtained from the same recording.
    ** These are referred to in the discussion as showing considerable rotation of the electrical axis during systole.

[^19]:    * These figures are exclusive of Ayrshire \#lit whose electrical axis could not be determined in the first monthly tracing.

[^20]:    Figure $X$ - Samle of chart embloyed in tracing analysis. The interval measurements
    were taken for each lead and entered in descending order in the proner space. The
    aprended key is merely a code system arbitrarjly devised to afford a limited and very general description of the various deflections.

